



## **Complete Genome Sequence of Serratia marcescens Phage MTx**

**Kristin Graham,a\* Miranda Freeman,a Heather Newkirk,a Mei Liu,a Jesse Cahill,a\* [Jolene Ramseya](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3774-5896)**

<sup>a</sup>Center for Phage Technology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

**ABSTRACT** Serratia marcescens is a nosocomial pathogen that has evolved resistance to multiple antibiotics. Here, we present the genome sequence of myophage MTx that infects S. marcescens. MTx encodes 103 proteins, with 26 being assigned a predicted function or superfamily classification, and it has little similarity with other phages at the nucleotide level.

Serratia marcescens is a pathogen from the *Enterobacteriaceae* family responsible for hospital-acquired infections. It is commonly found in soil or water and is able to infect plants, animals, and humans [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Considering the ability of S. marcescens to form biofilms and its emerging drug resistance, there is a need for new approaches, such as the use of phage, to treat these infections [\(1,](#page-1-0) [2\)](#page-1-1). Here, we present the complete genome sequence of the Serratia-infecting phage MTx.

Using Serratia marcescens D1 (number 8887172; Ward's Science), MTx was isolated from activated sludge collected at a wastewater treatment plant located in Bryan, TX. Both the host and phage were cultured as described in reference [3](#page-1-2) at 30°C in LB (BD) broth and agar. Phage genomic DNA was purified and prepared with a TruSeq nano low-throughput (LT) kit for Illumina MiSeq sequencing with v2 500-cycle chemistry, which yielded 346,883 reads [\(4\)](#page-1-3). The 250-bp paired-end reads were quality controlled and trimmed using FastQC [\(http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) [fastqc/\)](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) and the FASTX-Toolkit 0.0.14 [\(hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx\\_toolkit/\)](http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/). The MTx contig was assembled using SPAdes v.3.5.0 at 146-fold coverage [\(5\)](#page-1-4). PCR (forward primer, 5'-GGTTCCTGTGGGTGTAATTGT-3'; reverse primer, 5'-CCTCCTGGCAACACCTTATT-3') and Sanger sequencing were used to close the genome. Analysis and annotation of the phage genome were performed using tools in Galaxy [\(https://cpt.tamu.edu/galaxy](https://cpt.tamu.edu/galaxy-pub) [-pub\)](https://cpt.tamu.edu/galaxy-pub) and Web Apollo, respectively, both hosted by the Center for Phage Technology at Texas A&M University [\(6,](#page-1-5) [7\)](#page-1-6). Gene calling was performed using GLIMMER 3.0 and MetaGeneAnnotator 1.0 or ARAGORN 2.36 for tRNAs, and gene function was predicted using BLASTp v.2.2.31 against the UniProtKB Swiss-Prot/TrEMBL and NCBI nonredundant (nr) databases, with a  $\leq 0.001$  expectation value cutoff [\(8](#page-1-7)-[12\)](#page-1-9). Additionally, domain searches with InterProScan v.5.22, TMHMM v.2.0, and LipoP v.1.0 were used [\(13](#page-1-10)[–](#page-1-11)[15\)](#page-1-12). Further analysis for predictions used HHpred with ummiclust 30\_2018\_08 for multiple-sequence alignment (MSA) generation and PDB\_mmCIF70 for modeling in the HHsuite v.3.0 release [\(16\)](#page-1-13). The presence of rho-independent termination sites was detected with TransTermHP v.2.09 [\(17\)](#page-1-14). Phage samples were stained using 2% (wt/vol) uranyl acetate, and morphology was determined using transmission electron microscopy performed at the Microscopy and Imaging Center at Texas A&M University [\(18\)](#page-1-15).

MTx has a 68,621-bp genome with a G-C content of 49.9% and a 92.6% coding density from 103 potential protein-coding genes. Predicted functions and superfamily assignments were given to 26 proteins. The genome has no identified tRNAs. Phage-Term predicted 3,566-bp terminal repeats [\(19\)](#page-1-16). In a comparison using progressive-Mauve, MTx does not share significant nucleotide similarity ( $<$ 30%) with other phages, although it encodes 50 proteins similar to multiple Pseudomonas phages (GenBank **Citation** Graham K, Freeman M, Newkirk H, Liu M, Cahill J, Ramsey J. 2019. Complete genome sequence of Serratia marcescens phage MTx. Microbiol Resour Announc 8:e00573-19. [https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00573-19.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00573-19)

**Editor** John J. Dennehy, Queens College

**Copyright** © 2019 Graham et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Address correspondence to Jesse Cahill, [jesse.cahill@tamu.edu,](mailto:jesse.cahill@tamu.edu) or Jolene Ramsey, [jolenerr@tamu.edu.](mailto:jolenerr@tamu.edu)

\* Present address: Kristin Graham, Department of Biomedical Engineering and Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, USA; Jesse Cahill, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA.

**Received** 15 May 2019 **Accepted** 30 May 2019 **Published** 20 June 2019 accession numbers [LC105987,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/LC105987) [FM897211,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/FM897211) [LN610579,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/LN610579) and [KR869157\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/KR869157) and 47 proteins similar to Escherichia phage ECML-117 (GenBank accession number [JX128258\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JX128258) [\(20\)](#page-1-17).

The genes in MTx do not appear to be grouped by function, as replication and structural genes are scattered throughout the genome. The enzymatic proteins expected for replication, including DNA polymerase subunits, two helicases, a ligase, and a primase, were annotated. MTx encodes a thymidylate synthase (GenBank accession number [QBQ72381\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/QBQ72381). Among the structural components needed for myophage assembly are major and minor capsid proteins and multiple baseplate proteins. MTx contains a lytic transglycosylase (GenBank accession number [QBQ72355\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/QBQ72355) and endolysin (Gen-Bank accession number [QBQ72363\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/QBQ72363); however, the corresponding holin and spanin components of the typical lysis cassette were not identified.

**Data availability.** The genome sequence and associated data for phage MTx were deposited under GenBank accession number [MK618717,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MK618717) BioProject accession number [PRJNA222858,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA222858) SRA accession number [SRR8869241,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRR8869241) and BioSample accession number [SAMN11360401.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/biosample/SAMN11360401)

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by funding from the National Science Foundation (awards EF-0949351 and DBI-1565146). Additional support came from the Center for Phage Technology (CPT), an Initial University Multidisciplinary Research Initiative supported by Texas A&M University and Texas AgriLife, and from the Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics.

We are grateful for the advice and support of the CPT staff.

This announcement was prepared in partial fulfillment of the requirements for BICH464 Bacteriophage Genomics, an undergraduate course at Texas A&M University.

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>**REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-1-1"></span>1. Hejazi A, Falkiner FR. 1997. Serratia marcescens. J Med Microbiol 46: 903–912. [https://doi.org/10.1099/00222615-46-11-903.](https://doi.org/10.1099/00222615-46-11-903)
- 2. Matsushita K, Uchiyama J, Kato S-I, Ujihara T, Hoshiba H, Sugihara S, Muraoka A, Wakiguchi H, Matsuzaki S. 2009. Morphological and genetic analysis of three bacteriophages of Serratia marcescens isolated from environmental water. FEMS Microbiol Lett 291:201–208. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01455.x) [10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01455.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01455.x)
- <span id="page-1-3"></span><span id="page-1-2"></span>3. Adams MH. 1956. Bacteriophages. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, NY.
- <span id="page-1-4"></span>4. Summer EJ. 2009. Preparation of a phage DNA fragment library for whole genome shotgun sequencing. Methods Mol Biol 502:27– 46. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-565-1\\_4.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-565-1_4)
- 5. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455– 477. [https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.](https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021)
- <span id="page-1-5"></span>6. Afgan E, Baker D, Batut B, van den Beek M, Bouvier D, Cech M, Chilton J, Clements D, Coraor N, Grüning BA, Guerler A, Hillman-Jackson J, Hiltemann S, Jalili V, Rasche H, Soranzo N, Goecks J, Taylor J, Nekrutenko A, Blankenberg D. 2018. The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2018 update. Nucleic Acids Res 46:W537–W544. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky379.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky379)
- <span id="page-1-6"></span>7. Lee E, Helt GA, Reese JT, Munoz-Torres MC, Childers CP, Buels RM, Stein L, Holmes IH, Elsik CG, Lewis SE. 2013. Web Apollo: a Web-based genomic annotation editing platform. Genome Biol 14:R93. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-8-r93) [.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-8-r93.](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-8-r93)
- <span id="page-1-7"></span>8. Delcher AL, Harmon D, Kasif S, White O, Salzberg SL. 1999. Improved microbial gene identification with GLIMMER. Nucleic Acids Res 27: 4636 – 4641. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.23.4636.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.23.4636)
- 9. Noguchi H, Taniguchi T, Itoh T. 2008. MetaGeneAnnotator: detecting species-specific patterns of ribosomal binding site for precise gene prediction in anonymous prokaryotic and phage genomes. DNA Res 15:387–396. [https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsn027.](https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsn027)
- 10. Laslett D, Canback B. 2004. ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA genes in nucleotide sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 32:11–16. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh152.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh152)
- <span id="page-1-8"></span>11. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:421. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421)
- <span id="page-1-10"></span><span id="page-1-9"></span>12. The UniProt Consortium. 2018. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res 46:2699. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky092) [gky092.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky092)
- 13. Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, McWilliam H, Maslen J, Mitchell A, Nuka G, Pesseat S, Quinn AF, Sangrador-Vegas A, Scheremetjew M, Yong S-Y, Lopez R, Hunter S. 2014. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics 30: 1236 –1240. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031)
- <span id="page-1-11"></span>14. Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer ELL. 2001. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J Mol Biol 305:567–580. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315) [.1006/jmbi.2000.4315.](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315)
- <span id="page-1-13"></span><span id="page-1-12"></span>15. Juncker AS, Willenbrock H, von Heijne G, Brunak S, Nielsen H, Krogh A. 2003. Prediction of lipoprotein signal peptides in Gram-negative bacteria. Protein Sci 12:1652–1662. [https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.0303703.](https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.0303703)
- 16. Zimmermann L, Stephens A, Nam S-Z, Rau D, Kübler J, Lozajic M, Gabler F, Söding J, Lupas AN, Alva V. 2018. A completely reimplemented MPI bioinformatics toolkit with a new HHpred server at its core. J Mol Biol 430:2237–2243. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2017.12.007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2017.12.007)
- <span id="page-1-14"></span>17. Kingsford CL, Ayanbule K, Salzberg SL. 2007. Rapid, accurate, computational discovery of Rho-independent transcription terminators illuminates their relationship to DNA uptake. Genome Biol 8:R22. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r22) [.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r22.](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r22)
- <span id="page-1-16"></span><span id="page-1-15"></span>18. Valentine RC, Shapiro BM, Stadtman ER. 1968. Regulation of glutamine synthetase. XII. Electron microscopy of the enzyme from Escherichia coli. Biochemistry 7:2143–2152. [https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00846a017.](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00846a017)
- 19. Garneau JR, Depardieu F, Fortier L-C, Bikard D, Monot M. 2017. PhageTerm: a tool for fast and accurate determination of phage termini and packaging mechanism using next-generation sequencing data. Sci Rep 7:8292. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07910-5.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07910-5)
- <span id="page-1-17"></span>20. Darling AE, Mau B, Perna NT. 2010. progressiveMauve: multiple genome alignment with gene gain, loss and rearrangement. PLoS One 5:e11147. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011147.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011147)