

Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)-Negative Men Who Have Sex With Men Have Higher CD8⁺ T-Cell Counts and Lower CD4⁺/CD8⁺ T-Cell Ratios Compared With HIV-Negative Heterosexual Men

Sebastiaan O. Verboeket,^{12,©} Ferdinand W. Wit,^{12,3} Eveline Verheij,¹² Rosan A. van Zoest,¹² Neeltje A. Kootstra,⁴ Marc van der Valk,¹ Jan M. Prins,¹ Maarten F. Schim van der Loeff,^{1,5} and Peter Reiss,^{1,2,3}; on behalf of the AGEhIV Study Group

¹Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Departments of Global Health and Internal Medicine, Amsterdam Infection and Immunity Institute and Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, ²Amsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, ³HIV Monitoring Foundation, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, ⁴Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Department of Experimental Immunology, Amsterdam Infection & Immunity Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, ⁵Public Health Service of Amsterdam, Department of Infectious Diseases, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Background. We previously reported T-cell senescence to be similar in people with human immunodeficiency virus (PWH) with suppressed viremia (predominantly men who have sex with men [MSM]) and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-negative otherwise comparable controls but greater than in healthy blood donors. This led us to compare CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell counts and CD4⁺/CD8⁺ ratios between HIV-negative MSM and men who only have sex with women (MSW) and relate observed differences in behavioral factors and infectious exposures, including cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection.

Methods. In 368 HIV-negative MSM and 72 HIV-negative MSW, T lymphocyte phenotyping was performed 3 times biennially. Baseline CMV serology and sexually transmitted infection (STI) incidence and/or STI seroprevalence, sexual, and substance-use behavior data were collected during study visits.

Results. Men who have sex with men, compared with MSW, had higher CD8⁺ counts (551 vs 437 cells/mm³, P < .001), similar CD4⁺ counts (864 vs 880 cells/mm³, P = .5), and lower CD4⁺/CD8⁺ ratios (1.84 vs 2.47, P < .001). Differences were most pronounced for MSM with >10 recent sex partners and partly explained by higher CMV seroprevalence in MSM.

Conclusions. These findings suggest that factors other than HIV may, in both PWH and certain HIV-negative MSM, contribute to a low CD4⁺/CD8⁺ ratio. Whether this, like in PWH, contributes to comorbidity risk in HIV-negative MSM requires further study. **Keywords.** CD4-CD8 ratio; cytomegalovirus; HIV; men who have sex with men; sexual and gender minorities.

Since the beginning of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) epidemic, CD4⁺ (CD4) and CD8⁺ T lymphocyte (CD8) counts and the CD4/CD8 ratio have been studied as potential predictive markers of disease progression in people with HIV (PWH). We now know that CD4 count restoration after combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) initiation is strongly correlated not only with a lower likelihood of developing

The Journal of Infectious Diseases® 2021;224:1187–97

acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS), but also non-AIDS-associated comorbidities [1, 2]. Furthermore, although evidence remains inconclusive [3], studies have suggested a low CD4/CD8 ratio during long-term cART to be predictive for the development of non-AIDS-associated comorbidities [4-9]. People with HIV remain at increased risk of such comorbidities despite sustained viral suppression on cART [10, 11]. In HIVnegative populations, a low CD4/CD8 ratio with an expansion of CD8⁺ T cells that express CD57 or lack expression of CD28 has been described as reflecting part of an "immune risk phenotype" [12, 13]. Thus, low CD4/CD8 ratios, in both HIV-positive and HIV-negative populations, have been associated with morbidity [14] and mortality [15-17]. An in-depth analysis of T-cell senescence and activation was performed on a limited sample of AGE, IV participants [18] and in those enrolled in the COBRA (COmorBidity in Relation to AIDS) study linked to it [19]. Both cohorts include an HIV-negative control group, with a majority of men who have sex with men (MSM), to ensure good comparability with the HIV-positive index group. A second control group of blood bank donors was only included within the COBRA cohort, who had low risk of bloodborne infectious

Received 2 September 2019; editorial decision 24 January 2020; accepted 30 January 2020; published online January 31, 2020.

Presented in part: 20th International Workshop on Co-morbidities and Adverse Drug Reactions in HIV, 14 October 2018, New York City, United States of America.

Correspondence: Sebastiaan Verboeket, MD, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam and Amsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development, AHTC, Tower C4, Paasheuvelweg 25, 1105 BP Amsterdam, the Netherlands (s.o.verboeket@ amsterdamumc.nl).

[©] The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jiaa048

diseases. $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cell senescence, as measured by the expression of CD57 or loss of CD27 and CD28 expression, was comparable between HIV-positive and HIV-negative participants but higher for both groups when compared with blood bank donors. This result suggests increased T-cell senescence also in HIV-negative COBRA/AGE_hIV participants. Cytomegalovirus (CMV) seropositivity was an important driver of this increased T-cell senescence in both HIV-positive and HIV-negative COBRA participants. Likewise, CMV seropositivity has also been associated with high CD8 counts and low CD4/CD8 ratios in PWH [20].

In many countries, including the Netherlands, the majority of PWH are MSM [21]. Men who have sex with men are not only at increased risk of acquiring HIV but also of acquiring a wide range of other sexually transmitted infections (STIs). These not only include treatable bacterial STIs such as syphilis, gonorrhea, and chlamydia but also chronic viral infections such as viral hepatitis and herpesvirus infections, including CMV [22]. Cytomegalovirus seropositivity in particular [23], but also transient bacterial STIs [24, 25], have each been associated with a decreased CD4/CD8 ratio and an increased CD8 count.

In view of our earlier observation, we evaluated CD4 and CD8 counts and CD4/CD8 ratios in a larger group of HIVnegative individuals, comparing MSM and men who only have sex with women (MSW). We evaluated to what extent any observed differences were related to sexual and behavioral factors, exposure to STIs, and CMV infection.

METHODS

Study Population

The prospective AGE, IV Cohort Study investigates age-related comorbidities and their risk factors in HIV-positive and HIVnegative individuals aged \geq 45 years [10]. Human immunodeficiency virus-positive participants were recruited from the Academic Medical Center's ambulatory HIV clinic, and HIVnegative participants were recruited from the sexual health clinic and the Amsterdam Cohort Studies on HIV/AIDS at the Public Health Service of Amsterdam [26]. Participants undergo standardized biennial screening to evaluate the presence of, or risk factors for, age-associated comorbidities. Most participants in the HIV-negative cohort are MSM, with a limited number of MSW and women. For the current analysis, only HIV-negative men with data available on sexual behavior were included (Figure 1). Human immunodeficiency virus-positive participants and women were not included to allow evaluation of the effects of being an MSM independent from the effects of gender and HIV. Participants with HIV seroconversion during follow-up were excluded from the time of seroconversion onward. All participants provided written informed consent; the study protocol was approved by the local ethics review committee and is registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov (identifier NCT01466582).



Screened for eligibility

(HIV-1-negative & ≥45 years) at

Figure 1. Flow diagram of included participants and measurements. ¹MSW = men who have sex with women and reported <2 male sex partners in their lifetime. ²Low-risk MSM = men with \geq 2 male sex partner in their lifetime and on average <10 sex partners during 6 months before study visits; includes 1 male-to-female transgender individual. ³High-risk MSM = men with \geq 2 male sex partner in their lifetime and on average >10 sex partners during 6 months before study visits.

Measurements

Data from baseline and 2 follow-up study visits were available for analysis, comprising 4 years of follow-up. During each study visit, information about sexual behavior, smoking, alcohol use, and recreational drug use were obtained through standardized questionnaires inquiring about lifetime exposure and exposure during the 6 months before the study visits. In addition, during each study visit, percentages and absolute counts of T, B, and natural killer (NK) cells and CD4 and CD8 subpopulations of T cells in peripheral blood were determined using BD Multitest 6-color TBNK reagent with BD Trucount Tubes (BD Biosciences) by flow cytometry (BD FACSCanto II; BD Biosciences). Serology for HIV (4th-generation antibody/ antigen test) and syphilis (Treponema pallidum particle agglutination assay and rapid plasma reagin) were also performed at each visit. Chronic hepatitis B (hepatitis B surface antigen positive) and hepatitis C (hepatitis C virus ribonucleic acid [RNA] positive) statuses were determined only at baseline. In stored baseline plasma samples, CMV-immunoglobulin (Ig)G titer (ELISA-VIDITEST; VIDIA, Praha, Czech Republic) and levels of intestinal fatty acid binding protein ([I-FABP] a biomarker for intestinal integrity), soluble (s)CD14, sCD163 (markers of monocyte activation), and interleukin (IL)-6 (marker of inflammation) were determined using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) (DuoSet ELISA; R&D Systems). Data on syphilis, chlamydia, and gonorrhea diagnosed at the municipal sexual health clinic in between study visits were extracted from clinical records. For a subset of participants (n = 92) (Supplementary Table 1.1), data regarding percentages of activated (CD38⁺/HLA-DR⁺) and senescent (CD27⁻/CD28⁻) T-cells within total CD4 and CD8 T-cell populations were available from analyses we previously published [18, 19].

Definitions

Men who have sex with men was defined as men who reported having had ≥ 2 male lifetime sex partners, and MSW was defined as men with <2 lifetime male sex partners, given that repeated exposures were the primary focus of interest. After finding large differences in CD4/CD8 ratios between MSW and MSM, but also between MSM with high versus low numbers of recent sex partners in a preliminary analysis, we decided, for this analysis, to further stratify MSM into high- and low-risk categories for the exploration of potential factors driving differences between study groups. High-risk as opposed to low-risk MSM were defined as MSM who reported a mean of >10 vs ≤ 10 sex partners in the 6 months before each of the 3 study visits, respectively, using the number of sex partners as proxy for the risk of both STIs and HIV.

Statistical Analysis

Characteristics of MSW, low-risk MSM, and high-risk MSM were compared using Wilcoxon rank-sum and χ^2 tests as appropriate. Differences in log_e-transformed CD4/CD8 ratios and log_e transformed CD4 and CD8 counts between study groups were determined using linear mixed-effects models to account for repeated measurements. Models included a random intercept and random slope for time since baseline for each participant. We subsequently included potential confounding and/or mediating factors in the models following a stepwise forward variable selection process to evaluate which factors were driving any observed differences in lymphocyte subsets between subgroups. The variables body mass index (BMI), recent gonorrhea diagnosis, recent chlamydia diagnosis, and those concerning sexual and substance use behaviors were time updated. To allow differentiating between effects caused by different behavioral patterns between participants

(between-participant variation) versus changes in an individual's behavior over time (within-participant variation), we used a technique called "within subject centering" [27]. This means continuous predictor variables were split into 2. One dummy variable was fixed in time and consisted of the participant's calculated mean of that variable over the 3 study visits, for the analysis of between-participant variation. A second dummy variable consisted of the deviation from the individual's mean at a particular study visit, for the analysis of within-participant variation. Because the covariates CMV seropositivity, HCV RNA status, and I-FABP levels were only measured at the baseline visit, we also performed sensitivity analyses using baseline-data only. Additional mixedeffects models were built to evaluate associations of activation and senescence markers with absolute T-cell counts and the mean differences of these markers among MSW, low-risk MSM, and high-risk MSM, for the subgroup of participants in whom these markers were available [18, 19].

RESULTS

Differences in Participant Characteristics

Seventy-two MSW, 254 low-risk MSM, and 114 high-risk MSM were included in this analysis, 74%, 78%, and 82% of whom, respectively, completed 4 years of follow-up (Figure 1). High-risk MSM were slightly younger than low-risk MSM (Table 1). Men who have sex with men generally were more highly educated, used recreational drugs more often, and smoked less frequently compared with MSW. Participants' estimated lifetime number of sex partners differed substantially: MSW had a median of 25 (interquartile range [IQR], 15-50), compared with 200 (IQR, 50-700) and 1000 (IQR, 500-2000) estimated lifetime sex partners in low-risk MSM and high-risk MSM, respectively. All STI rates were higher in MSM, especially in high-risk MSM; however, chronic hepatitis B and C were rare (prevalence <2% for both in all groups). Baseline CMV seroprevalence was higher in low-risk MSM compared with MSW (76% vs 54%, P < .001) and highest in high-risk MSM (90%, $P_{high-risk MSM vs low-risk MSM} = .003$). During follow-up, none of the MSW but 2 low-risk MSM and 4 high-risk MSM seroconverted for HIV (incidence rate 0, 2.1, and 9.3 per 1000 person-years of follow-up, respectively).

Higher CD8 Counts and Lower CD4/CD8 Ratios in Men Who Have Sex With Men (MSM), Especially in High-Risk MSM

Including all measurements from all 3 study visits, MSM, compared with MSW, had higher mean CD8 counts (551 vs 437 cells/ mm³, P < .001), similar mean CD4 counts (864 vs 880 cells/mm³, P = .5), and lower mean CD4/CD8 ratios (1.84 vs 2.47, P < .001), respectively. Furthermore, high-risk compared with low-risk MSM had higher mean CD8 counts (599 vs 529 cells/mm³, P = .013), similar mean CD4 counts (824 vs 881, P = .097), and mean lower CD4/CD8 ratios (1.59 vs 1.96, P < .001), respectively. We calculated the within-subject mean CD4 count, mean CD8 count, and mean CD4/CD8 ratio, for each participant separately,

Characteristics	MSW	Low-Risk MSM (≤10 Partners)	High-Risk MSM (>10 Partners)	PValue MSW vs Low-Risk MSM ^a	P Value Low-Risk MSM vs High-Risk MSM a
Z	72	254	114		
Age in years	52 (48–60)	53 (48–59)	51 (47–56)	1	.02
BMI (kg/m²)	25 (24–29)	25 (23–27)	24 (23–26)	600.	90.
Born in the Netherlands	55 (76.4%)	218 (86.5%)	93 (82.3%)	.04	ω.
High educational attainment ^b	32 (45.1%)	157 (62.5%)	70 (64.2%)	.008	œ.
Estimated ^c number of lifetime male sex partners	(00) 0	200 (50–700)	1000 (500–2000)	<.001	<.001
Estimated ^c number of lifetime female sex partners	25 (15–50)	2 (0-5)	2 (0–5)	<.001	2.
Number of male sex partners prior 6 months	0-0) 0	2 (1–5)	20 (10–30)	<.001	<.001
Number of recent female sex partners prior 6 months	2 (1–3)	0-0) 0	(00) 0	<.001	.5
Tobacco smoking during last month	25 (34.7%)	56 (22.2%)	22 (19.5%)	.03	O.
Heavy daily drinking ^{d,e}	7 (9.7%)	12 (4.8%)	6 (5.4%)	۲.	œ.
Recreational drug use ^e	11 (15.5%)	68 (27.1%)	47 (41.6%)	.05	.006
Daily marijuana use ^e	4 (5.6%)	11 (4.4%)	2 (1.8%)	2.	.2
Use of MDMA, monthly or more frequent $^{ m e}$	3 (4.2%)	18 (7.2%)	14 (12.4%)	.4	۲.
Neisseria gonorrhoeae diagnosis at recruitment visit	1 (1.4%)	9 (3.6%)	11 (9.6%)	.4	.02
Chlamydia trachomatis diagnosis at recruitment visit	2 (2.8%)	17 (6.7%)	10 (8.8%)	.2	.5
Ever contracted syphilis ^f	1 (1.4%)	53 (20.9%)	32 (28.1%)	<.001	t.
Syphilis diagnosis at recruitment visit ^g	1 (1.4%)	2 (0.8%)	5 (4.4%)	9.	.02
HCV RNA positive	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.8%)	2 (1.8%)	D	4.
HBsAg positive	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.4%)	2 (1.8%)	9.	.2
CMV IgG-seropositive	39 (54.2%)	193 (76.3%)	102 (89.5%)	<.001	.003
Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CMV, cytomegalovirus; H	bsAa, hepatitis B	surface antigen; HCV, hepatitis C viru:	s; laG, immunoalobulin G; MDMA, 3,4-r	nethylenedioxymethamphetamine; MSM,	men who have sex with men; MSW, men who only

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of MSM and Low-Risk and High-Risk MSM

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CMV, cy have sex with women; RNA, ribonucleic acid.

NOTE: Given values are median (interquartile range) or n (%).

 $^3\!\chi^2$ tests were used for comparison, except for age, BMI, and numbers of sex partners where Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were used.

^bFinished vocational level education or higher.

^cEstimated by participant himself.

 $^{\rm d}{\rm Drinking}>4$ international units of alcohol daily or almost daily.

^eDuring prior 6 months.

 $^{\dagger}Treponema~pallidum$ particle agglutination assay positive.



Figure 2. Distribution of per participant mean absolute CD4 and CD8 counts and mean CD4/CD8 ratios stratified by risk group. MSM, men who have sex with men; MSW, men who only have sex with women.

based on all his available measurements (from at most 3 study visits). Figure 2 shows the distribution of these mean CD4/CD8 ratios and mean CD4 and CD8 counts, stratified by MSW, low-risk MSM, and high-risk MSM. Mean CD8 counts were higher and mean CD4/CD8 ratios lower with higher numbers of recent sex partners, with 5.6% of MSW having a mean CD4/CD8 ratio

below 1, as opposed to 9.1% of low-risk MSM and 21.9% of highrisk MSM. A CD4/CD8 ratio <.5, observed at just a single study visit, was found in 4 (2%) low-risk MSM, 1 (1%) high-risk MSM, and no (0%) MSW. The cutoff for having on average >10 recent sex partners (ie, "high-risk") was derived from the analysis shown in Table 2. Three separate multivariable linear mixed-effects

Table 2. Associations of Being MSM and the Reported Number of Sex Partners in the 6 Months Before Study Visits With the Absolute CD4 and CD8 Counts and CD4/CD8 Ratio^a

		Log _e (CD4) Log _e (CD8)		。(CD8)	Log _e (CD4/CD8)		
Variable	N ^b	Coeff	95% CI	Coeff	95% CI	Coeff	95% CI
<2 lifetime male-male sexual contact (MSW)	181	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
≥2 lifetime male-male sexual contact (MSM)	968	-0.01	-0.10 to 0.07	0.19**	0.06 to 0.31	-0.20**	-0.32 to -0.08
Mean number of sex partners during 6 months before study visits, per participant (between participant variation)							
≤2 sex partners	410	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
>2 to ≤10 sex partners	432	-0.01	-0.09 to 0.06	0.02	-0.08 to 0.12	-0.03	-0.13 to 0.07
>10 to ≤30 sex partners	225	-0.05	-0.14 to 0.04	0.14*	0.02 to 0.27	-0.19**	-0.31 to -0.07
>30 sex partners	82	-0.08	-0.21 to 0.05	0.14	-0.05 to 0.32	-0.21*	-0.39 to -0.04
Deviation from individual's mean number of sex partners reported at current visit (within participant variation)							
≤5 sex partners higher or lower	931	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
>5 sex partners higher	105	-0.02	-0.07 to 0.03	-0.01	-0.08 to 0.05	-0.00	-0.05 to 0.04
>5 sex partners lower	113	-0.02	-0.07 to 0.03	-0.02	-0.09 to 0.05	0.00	-0.04 to 0.05

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; Coeff, coefficient; MSM, men who have sex with men; MSW, men who only have sex with women; ref, reference category.

^aResults of multivariable linear mixed-effects models.

^bNumber of measurements per variable category. Estimates are derived from 3 multivariable linear mixed-effects models with the log_e transformed absolute CD4 and CD8 counts and log_e transformed CD4/CD8 ratio as outcome variables. The models include a per participant random intercept and random slope for time since baseline, using an unstructured covariance matrix. Models also included adjustment for time since baseline and time in the day of blood draw.

**P* < .05.

***P* < .01

models were constructed to analyze predictors of CD4 count, CD8 count, and CD4/CD8 ratio. In addition to 2 potential confounding variables (time since baseline and time in the day of blood draw), the models include the following 3 predictor variables of interest: (1) MSW vs MSM; (2) mean number of recent sex partners over all study visits of the participant; and (3) variation in number of recent sex partners between the mean and the actual visit. Being MSM and having either >10 or >30 recent sex partners "on average during study follow-up", each were independently associated with higher CD8 counts ($P_{\text{MSM vs MSW}}$.003, $P_{>10 \text{ vs } \le 2 \text{ partners}}$.028, $P_{>30 \text{ vs } \le 2 \text{ partners}}$.014) and lower CD4/CD8 ratios ($P_{\text{MSM vs MSW}}$.001, $P_{>10 \text{ vs } \le 2 \text{ partners}}$.003, $P_{>30 \text{ vs } \le 2 \text{ partners}}$.017). Changes in an individual's number of recent sex partners during study follow-up were not associated with differences in CD4 or CD8 counts.

Lower CD4/CD8 Ratio for a Large Part Explained by Higher Cytomegalovirus-Seroprevalence in Men Who Have Sex With Men

Figure 3 shows results of multivariable models adjusted both for potential confounders and mediators of the differences among MSW, low-risk MSM, and high-risk MSM. The differences in CD4/CD8 ratios and absolute CD8 counts between groups were reduced after addition of baseline CMV serostatus to the models, with the difference in CD4/CD8 ratio between MSW and low-risk MSM remaining borderline statistically significant (P = .051). The differences in CD4/CD8 ratios between highrisk MSM and both low-risk MSM and MSW were also attenuated by 26% and 32%, respectively, but remained statistically significant (P = .003 and P < .001, respectively). Supplementary Figure 1 illustrates differences in CD4/CD8 ratios in both CMV-positive and CMV-negative subgroups. Cytomegalovirus IgG titers were not associated with a lower CD4/CD8 ratio, independently of CMV serostatus, and therefore were not included in the models. Although other factors were independently associated with the CD4/CD8 ratio, they had little to no effect on the low-risk MSM and high-risk MSM coefficients. Table 3 shows the associations of the included covariates on the lymphocyte counts in the final multivariable models from Figure 3. Other than baseline CMV serostatus, recent 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine ([MDMA] P = .02) use, a recent diagnosis of syphilis (P = .01) or gonorrhea (P = .005), and having chronic hepatitis C at baseline (P = .01) were also significantly associated with lower CD4/CD8 ratios. A history of tobacco smoking (expressed as pack-years smoking, P = .01), a blood draw later in the day (ie, diurnal effect, P = .009), and time since baseline (P < .001) were associated with a higher CD4/CD8 ratio. Higher I-FABP levels were univariably associated with a lower CD4/CD8 ratio (P = .009) but no longer in the multivariable model (P = .09). Soluble CD14, sCD163, IL-6, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), and D-dimer were not associated with the CD4/CD8 ratio (Supplementary Table 2). Sensitivity analyses limited to cross-sectional data from just the baseline visit showed similar results: after adjustment



Figure 3. Differences in CD4- and CD8-counts and CD4/CD8 ratios among men who only have sex with women (MSW), low-risk men who have sex with men (MSM), and high-risk MSM, before and after stepwise forward adjustment for additional potential mediating factors. Values are the coefficients from differences between risk groups with 95% confidence intervals extracted from linear mixedeffects models. Adjustment was performed for the following: ¹educational level, time of blood draw, time since baseline; ²demographics plus cytomegalovirus (CMV) serostatus at baseline; ³demographics, CMV, plus pack-years smoking, recent (<6 months) 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) use; ⁴demographics, CMV, substance use, plus recent (<1-2 years) syphilis or gonorrhea infections, hepatitis C RNA positivity at baseline; ⁵demographics, CMV, substance use, sexually transmitted infections (STIs), plus intestinal fatty acid-binding protein (I-FABP) level (ie, marker of microbial translocation). Not independently associated with the CD4/ CD8 ratio and therefore not included in the models: age, body mass index, country of origin, current smoking status, recent (<6 months), GHB use, popper use, cocaine use, daily marijuana use, hepatitis B surface antigen positivity at baseline, recent (<1-2 years) chlamydia infection. MSM, men who have sex with men; MSW, men who only have sex with women.

for CMV-serostatus and other covariates, high-risk MSM still had a significantly lower CD4/CD8 ratio (P = .006) and higher CD8 count (P = .06) compared with MSW. Effect sizes were comparable to those found in the full models [log_e(CD4/CD8) high-risk MSM vs MSW -0.19 (baseline only) and -0.20 (full model); log_e(CD8) high-risk MSM vs MSW 0.13 (baseline only) and 0.16 (full model)].

High CD8 Count and Low CD4/CD8 Ratio Associated With T-Cell Activation and Senescence

In the subgroup of participants with available data, both high CD8 counts and low CD4/CD8 ratios were strongly associated with

Table 3. Associations of Factors Potentially Driving Differences in CD4/CD8 Ratios, CD4 and CD8 Counts, Between High- and Low-Risk MSM and MSW

	FX/TUª	Log _e (CD4) All Measurements		Log _e (CD8) All Measurements		Log _a (CD4/CD8) All Measurements	
Variable		Coeff	<i>P</i> Value	Coeff	<i>P</i> Value	Coeff	<i>P</i> Value
Including All Follow-up Measurements							
Risk Category							
MSW	FX	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Low-risk MSM	FX	0.01	.8	0.10	.10	-0.09	.12
High-risk MSM	FX	-0.04	.4	0.16	.018	-0.20	.003
High educational attainment ^b	FX	-0.01	.8	0.07	.1	-0.08	.05
Time of blood draw (per hour later in the day)	TU	0.02	<.001	0.01	.1	0.01	.009
CMV seropositive at baseline	FX	0.09	.02	0.41	<.001	-0.32	<.001
Pack-years smoking per 5 pack-years ^c	TU	0.03	<.001	0.01	.02	0.01	.01
At least monthly use of MDMA during last 6 months	TU	-0.01	.7	0.06	.2	-0.09	.02
Recent syphilis diagnosis ^d	TU	-0.06	.2	0.05	.4	-0.12	.01
Recent gonorrhea diagnosis ^e	TU	-0.07	.01	0.01	.8	-0.08	.005
Hepatitis C RNA positive at baseline	FX	0.16	.3	0.68	.001	-0.52	.01
I-FABP (ng/mL, per log, increase)	FX	-0.02	.5	0.04	.2	-0.05	.09
Time since baseline in years	TU	-0.00	.6	-0.02	<.001	0.02	<.001
Sensitivity Analyses Including Baseline Measurements Only							
Risk Category							
MSW	FX	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Low-risk MSM	FX	0.00	.9	0.10	.1	-0.10	.1
High-risk MSM	FX	-0.06	.3	0.13	.06	-0.19	.006
High educational attainment ^b	FX	0.01	.9	0.09	.04	-0.08	.05
Time of blood draw (per hour later in the day)	FX	0.02	.05	0.00	1.0	0.02	.2
CMV seropositive at baseline	FX	0.08	.04	0.43	<.001	-0.35	<.001
Pack-years smoking per 5 pack-years ^c	FX	0.03	<.001	0.01	0.05	0.01	.02
At least monthly use of MDMA during last 6 months	FX	0.02	.8	0.05	.5	-0.03	.7
Recent syphilis diagnosis ^d	FX	-0.06	.6	0.13	.4	-0.19	.2
Recent gonorrhea diagnosis ^e	FX	-0.4	.6	-0.02	.8	-0.02	.9
Hepatitis C RNA positive at baseline	FX	0.23	.2	0.74	.001	-0.52	.014
I-FABP (ng/mL, per log _e increase)	FX	-0.02	.4	0.03	.4	-0.05	.1

Abbreviations: Coeff, coefficient; CMV, cytomegalovirus; FX, fixed over time; I-FABP, intestinal-fatty acid binding protein; MSM, men who have sex with men; MSW, men who only have sex with women; MDMA, 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine; ref, reference category; RNA, ribonucleic acid; TU, time updated.

NOTE: Results of multivariable linear mixed-effects models.

^aVariables are FX or TU.

^bHigher vocational education or university degree.

^cOne pack-year = 20 cigarettes/day during 1 year.

^dRapid plasma reagin >1:4, syphilis diagnosis at sexually transmitted infection (STI) clinic in between visits or <1 year before baseline

^eDiagnosis at recruitment visit or in between follow-up visits at the STI clinic.

higher percentages of senescent and activated T-cells, in both the CD4 and CD8 compartments (Supplementary Table 1.2). Moreover, the percentages of activated CD4 and CD8 T cells were significantly higher among high-risk MSM compared with MSW and low-risk MSM (Supplementary Table 1.3). Albeit proportions of senescent CD4 and CD8 T-cells were also numerically higher in high-risk MSM compared with MSW and low-risk MSM, these differences were not statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

In our analysis, middle-aged HIV-negative MSM, especially MSM with a higher number of sex partners, had lower CD4/ CD8 ratios, mostly driven by higher CD8 counts, compared

with HIV-negative MSW. These differences for a large part were explained by a higher CMV seroprevalence among MSM and high-risk MSM. The remainder of the differences in relative CD4 and CD8 counts could not fully be explained by other factors measured in our study. Several behavioral characteristics and recent STIs were more prevalent among MSM and also independently associated with a lower CD4/CD8 ratio, but they did not influence the low-risk MSM and highrisk MSM coefficients. The independence of these factors (eg, recent syphilis or gonorrhea or MDMA use) should be interpreted with caution. These factors are also strongly correlated with, and therefore a proxy of, high-risk sexual behavior, which might not be fully adjusted for through the other variables in the models. Vieira et al [25] also reported lower CD4 and higher CD8 counts in pre-exposure prophylaxis-using MSM diagnosed with asymptomatic chlamydia and/or gonorrhea, which was related to increased CD8 T-cell activation, similar to our findings. Furthermore, repeated infection with different CMV strains could be responsible for increased CD8 counts in MSM. Cytomegalovirus-superinfection with a different strain has been demonstrated to occur in humans, most definitively in the context of women already known to be CMV seropositive before becoming pregnant, delivering a child with symptomatic congenital CMV disease [28, 29]. Likewise, in animal models, CMV superinfection was demonstrated and was related to CD8 T-cell expansion [30, 31]. Other viruses, such as herpes simplex virus 1/2, Epstein-Barr virus, and human herpesvirus 8, are also more prevalent among MSM and could contribute to differences in immunological phenotypes [22, 32, 33]. Finally, Noguera-Julian et al [34] showed MSM to have a different gut microbiome compared with MSW irrespective of their HIV status. We found a trend of higher I-FABP (ie, intestinal integrity marker) in those with lower CD4/CD8 ratios. Differences in the composition of the gut microbiome, for example, caused by specific sexual practices or frequent antibiotic use could hypothetically contribute to the lower CD4/CD8 ratios we observed in MSM. Contrary to our findings, previous studies, but conducted only in HIV-positive persons, have reported higher sCD14, sCD163, hs-CRP, and IL-6 levels associated with a lower CD4/CD8 ratio [4, 35]. Specifically, Serrano-Villar et al [4] reported such associations related only to lower CD4 counts, but not higher CD8 counts, and to be absent in HIV-positive participants with >500 CD4 cells/mm³. In PWH, the association between lower CD4/CD8 ratios and increased innate immune activation markers therefore seems predominantly related to HIV-specific factors, in conjunction with poor CD4 count recovery after cART initiation. The association between a lower CD4/CD8 ratio and higher CD8 T-cell activation in the subgroup of our HIV-negative participants, with higher degrees of T-cell activation in high-risk MSM, resembles findings concerning T-cell activation in HIV-positive individuals [4]. However, our subgroup is insufficiently large and lacks the required follow-up time to demonstrate whether these changes in HIV-negative MSM are associated with increased risk of non-AIDS comorbidity and mortality as was shown in PWH [4].

Strengths and Limitations

The strengths of this study are the relatively large sample of MSM with a sexual risk behavior profile comparable to that of many HIV-positive MSM and the measurement of CD4 and CD8 counts at multiple time points, allowing us to account for the high variability of these indices over time. The extensive data collection including STI-positivity, immune activation markers, and data on sexual behavior and recreational drug use allowed us to explore a multitude of potential factors driving the observed differences in T lymphocyte counts. Important

limitations include that data on chlamydia and gonorrhea infections were not systematically collected, implying that reported diagnosed STIs had been treated at the time of lymphocyte phenotyping, and those asymptomatically present during study visits may have remained undiagnosed. Furthermore, CMV and hepatitis B and C status were only determined at baseline. Thus, incident super- or (re)infections with these viruses during follow-up could not be accounted for. Any such undiagnosed infections could have influenced our results, potentially explaining the remainder of the observed effect of being MSM. However, the cross-sectional baseline-only sensitivity analysis suggested other causative mechanisms, because STI data at baseline were complete. Furthermore, the use of self-reported sexual behavior data may potentially under- or overestimate true exposures, because participants may be inclined to provide socially acceptable answers and be subject to recall bias. The strong correlation of self-reported data with biomarkers of sexual risk such as STI incidence suggests that such bias may be limited in our study. Generalizability of these findings to the general population of MSW and MSM is limited given recruitment of participants at a sexual health clinic, who are more likely to have exhibited more sexual risk behavior. Finally, the classification of low-risk and high-risk behavior based on ≤/>10 sex partners was data-driven and therefore might not be reproducible in other cohorts.

CONCLUSIONS

We observed lower CD4/CD8 ratios, mainly resulting from higher CD8 counts, in HIV-negative MSM compared with MSW. We show that these differences could at least partially be explained by higher CMV seroprevalence in MSM. Men who have sex with men have high rates of STIs, but they also have psychosocial stress due to a social minority position [36], often in conjunction with higher rates of recreational drug use. Future studies should attempt to evaluate the possible influence of such factors on immune system changes. In turn, these changes may be related to increased cardiovascular disease risk observed in both HIV-positive and HIV-negative MSM [37, 38]. For example, CMV seroprevalence was much higher among MSM in our study and has been associated with cardiovascular disease development, frailty, and mortality [39-46]. Our findings contribute to the understanding of why in many HIV-positive MSM who are treated with cART and have suppressed viremia, the CD4/CD8 ratio and CD8 counts do not return to general population-based normal values. Many PWH differ in their lifestyle compared with people from the general population. We show that such factors or exposures can impact their immune system. These immunological differences should not mistakenly be attributed only to HIV infection or its treatment in studies using general population control subjects. Our results also warrant further investigation into the effects of (CMV-induced) immunological differences on the occurrence of age-related comorbidities not only in HIV-positive but also HIV-negative MSM.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Notes

Disclaimer. None of the funding bodies had a role in the design or conduct of the study, the analysis and interpretation of the results, the writing of the report, or the decision to publish.

Financial support. This work was funded by The Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMW) (Grant Number 300020007) and AIDS Fonds (Grant Number 2009063). Additional unrestricted scientific grants were received from Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare, Janssen Pharmaceuticals N.V., and Merck & Co.

Potential conflicts of interest. P. R., through his institution, has received independent scientific grant support from Gilead Sciences, Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc., Merck & Co., and ViiV Healthcare and has served on scientific advisory boards for Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare, Merck & Co., and Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, for which honoraria were all paid to his institution. F. W. W. has served on scientific advisory boards for ViiV and Gilead Sciences. R. A. v. Z. has received travel grants from Gilead Sciences and was a speaker at an event sponsored by Gilead Sciences for which her institution received remuneration. M. F. S. v. d. L. has received independent scientific grant support from Sanofi Pasteur, MSD Janssen Infectious Diseases and Vaccines, and Merck, served on the advisory board of GSK, and received nonfinancial support from Stichting Pathologie Onderzoek en Ontwikkeling. All authors have submitted the ICMIE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

AGE_bIV Cohort Study Group

Scientific Oversight and Coordination. P. Reiss (principal investigator), F. W. N. M. Wit, M. van der Valk, J. Schouten, K. W. Kooij, R. A. van Zoest, E. Verheij, S. O. Verboeket, B. C. Elsenga (Amsterdam University Medical Centers [Amsterdam UMC], University of Amsterdam, Department of Global Health and Amsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development [AIGHD]). M. Prins (coprincipal investigator), M.F. Schim van der Loeff, L. del Grande, V. Olthof, I. Agard (Public Health Service of Amsterdam, Department of Infectious Diseases).

Data Management. S. Zaheri, M. M. J. Hillebregt, Y. M. C. Ruijs, D. P. Benschop, A. el Berkaoui (HIV Monitoring Foundation).

Central Laboratory Support. N. A. Kootstra, A. M. Harskamp-Holwerda, I. Maurer, M. M. Mangas Ruiz, A. F. Girigorie, B. Boeser-Nunnink (Amsterdam UMC, Laboratory for Viral Immune Pathogenesis and Department of Experimental Immunology).

Project Management and Administrative Support. W. Zikkenheiner, F. R. Janssen (AIGHD).

Participating HIV Physicians and Nurses. S. E. Geerlings, A. Goorhuis, J. W. R. Hovius, F. J. B. Nellen, T. van der Poll, J. M. Prins, P. Reiss, M. van der Valk, W. J. Wiersinga, M. van Vugt, G. de Bree, F. W. N. M. Wit; J. van Eden, A. M. H. van Hes, F. J. J. Pijnappel, A. Weijsenfeld, S. Smalhout, M. van Duinen, A. Hazenberg (Amsterdam UMC, Division of Infectious Diseases).

Other Collaborators. P. G. Postema (Amsterdam UMC, Department of Cardiology); P. H. L. T. Bisschop, M. J. M. Serlie (Amsterdam UMC, Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism); P. Lips (Amsterdam UMC); E. Dekker (Amsterdam UMC, Department of Gastroenterology); N. van der Velde (Amsterdam UMC, Division of Geriatric Medicine); J. M. R. Willemsen, L. Vogt (Amsterdam UMC, Division of Nephrology); J. Schouten, P. Portegies, B. A. Schmand, G. J. Geurtsen (Amsterdam UMC, Department of Neurology); F. D. Verbraak, N. Demirkaya (Amsterdam UMC, Department of Ophthalmology); I. Visser (Amsterdam UMC, Department of Psychiatry); A. Schadé (Amsterdam UMC, Department of Psychiatry); P. T. Nieuwkerk, N. Langebeek (Amsterdam UMC, Department of Medical Psychology); R. P. van Steenwijk, E. Dijkers (Amsterdam UMC, Department of Pulmonary Medicine); C. B. L. M. Majoie, M. W. A. Caan (Amsterdam UMC, Department of Radiology); H. W. van Lunsen, M. A. F. Nievaard (Amsterdam UMC, Department of Gynaecology); B. J. H. van den Born, E. S. G. Stroes (Amsterdam UMC, Division of Vascular Medicine); W. M. C. Mulder, S. van Oorspronk (HIV Vereniging Nederland).

References

- Lundgren JD, Babiker AG, Gordin F, et al.; INSIGHT START Study Group. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. N Engl J Med 2015; 373:795–807.
- El-Sadr WM, Lundgren J, Neaton JD, et al. CD4+ countguided interruption of antiretroviral treatment. N Engl J Med 2006; 355:2283–96.
- 3. Trickey A, May MT, Schommers P, et al.; Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort Collaboration (ART-CC). CD4:CD8 ratio and CD8 count as prognostic markers for mortality in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients on antiretroviral therapy: the antiretroviral therapy cohort collaboration (ART-CC). Clin Infect Dis **2017**; 65:959–66.
- 4. Serrano-Villar S, Sainz T, Lee SA, et al. HIV-infected individuals with low CD4/CD8 ratio despite effective antiretroviral

therapy exhibit altered T cell subsets, heightened CD8+ T cell activation, and increased risk of non-AIDS morbidity and mortality. PLoS Pathog **2014**; 10:e1004078.

- 5. Serrano-Villar S, Pérez-Elías MJ, Dronda F, et al. Increased risk of serious non-AIDS-related events in HIV-infected subjects on antiretroviral therapy associated with a low CD4/CD8 ratio. PLoS One **2014**; 9:e85798.
- Serrano-Villar S, Moreno S, Fuentes-Ferrer M, et al. The CD4:CD8 ratio is associated with markers of age-associated disease in virally suppressed HIV-infected patients with immunological recovery. HIV Med 2014; 15:40–9.
- Lo J, Abbara S, Shturman L, et al. Increased prevalence of subclinical coronary atherosclerosis detected by coronary computed tomography angiography in HIV-infected men. AIDS 2010; 24:243–53.
- 8. Sigel K, Wisnivesky J, Crothers K, et al. Immunological and infectious risk factors for lung cancer in US veterans with HIV: a longitudinal cohort study. Lancet HIV **2017**; 4:e67–73.
- 9. Mussini C, Lorenzini P, Cozzi-Lepri A, et al.; Icona Foundation Study Group. CD4/CD8 ratio normalisation and non-AIDS-related events in individuals with HIV who achieve viral load suppression with antiretroviral therapy: an observational cohort study. Lancet HIV **2015**; 2:e98–106.
- Schouten J, Wit FW, Stolte IG, et al.; AGE_hIV Cohort Study Group. Cross-sectional comparison of the prevalence of age-associated comorbidities and their risk factors between HIV-infected and uninfected individuals: the AGE_hIV cohort study. Clin Infect Dis **2014**; 59:1787–97.
- 11. Guaraldi G, Orlando G, Zona S, et al. Premature age-related comorbidities among HIV-infected persons compared with the general population. Clin Infect Dis **2011**; 53:1120–6.
- Vasto S, Colonna-Romano G, Larbi A, Wikby A, Caruso C, Pawelec G. Role of persistent CMV infection in configuring T cell immunity in the elderly. Immun Ageing 2007; 4:2.
- Olsson J, Wikby A, Johansson B, Löfgren S, Nilsson BO, Ferguson FG. Age-related change in peripheral blood T-lymphocyte subpopulations and cytomegalovirus infection in the very old: the Swedish longitudinal OCTO immune study. Mech Ageing Dev 2001; 121:187–201.
- 14. Dutta A, Uno H, Lorenz DR, Wolinsky SM, Gabuzda D. Low T-cell subsets prior to development of virus-associated cancer in HIV-seronegative men who have sex with men. Cancer Causes Control **2018**; 29:1131–42.
- 15. Huppert FA, Pinto EM, Morgan K, Brayne C. Survival in a population sample is predicted by proportions of lymphocyte subsets. Mech Ageing Dev **2003**; 124:449–51.
- 16. Ferguson FG, Wikby A, Maxson P, Olsson J, Johansson B. Immune parameters in a longitudinal study of a very old population of Swedish people: a comparison between survivors and nonsurvivors. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 1995; 50:B378–82.

- 17. Wikby A, Maxson P, Olsson J, Johansson B, Ferguson FG. Changes in CD8 and CD4 lymphocyte subsets, T cell proliferation responses and non-survival in the very old: the Swedish longitudinal OCTO-immune study. Mech Ageing Dev **1998**; 102:187–98.
- Cobos Jiménez V, Wit FW, Joerink M, et al.; AGE_hIV Study Group. T-cell activation independently associates with immune senescence in HIV-infected recipients of long-term antiretroviral treatment. J Infect Dis 2016; 214:216–25.
- Booiman T, Wit FW, Girigorie AF, et al.; Co-morBidity in Relation to Aids (COBRA) Collaboration. Terminal differentiation of T cells is strongly associated with CMV infection and increased in HIV-positive individuals on ART and lifestyle matched controls. PLoS One 2017; 12:e0183357.
- 20. Freeman ML, Mudd JC, Shive CL, et al. CD8 T-cell expansion and inflammation linked to CMV coinfection in ARTtreated HIV infection. Clin Infect Dis **2016**; 62:392–6.
- van Sighem AI, Boender TS, Wit FWNM, Smit C, Matser A, Reiss P. Monitoring Report 2018. Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Infection in the Netherlands. Available at: www.hiv-monitoring.nl. Accessed 2 January 2019.
- 22. van Bilsen WPH, Zaaijer HL, Matser A, et al. Infection pressure in men who have sex with men and their suitability to donate blood. Clin Infect Dis **2019**; 68:1001–8.
- Strindhall J, Skog M, Ernerudh J, et al. The inverted CD4/ CD8 ratio and associated parameters in 66-year-old individuals: the Swedish HEXA immune study. Age (Dordr) 2013; 35:985–91.
- 24. Pope V, Larsen SA, Rice RJ, Goforth SN, Parham CE, Fears MB. Flow cytometric analysis of peripheral blood lymphocyte immunophenotypes in persons infected with Treponema pallidum. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol **1994**; 1:121–4.
- 25. Vieira VA, Avelino-Silva VI, Cerqueira NB, et al.; PrEP Brasil Study Team. Asymptomatic anorectal *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infections are associated with systemic CD8+ T-cell activation. AIDS 2017; 31:2069–76.
- 26. Jansen IA, Geskus RB, Davidovich U, et al. Ongoing HIV-1 transmission among men who have sex with men in Amsterdam: a 25-year prospective cohort study. AIDS 2011; 25:493–501.
- van de Pol M, Wright J. A simple method for distinguishing within- versus between-subject effects using mixed models. Animal Behaviour 2009; 77:753–8.
- 28. Yamamoto AY, Mussi-Pinhata MM, Boppana SB, et al. Human cytomegalovirus reinfection is associated with intrauterine transmission in a highly cytomegalovirusimmune maternal population. Am J Obstet Gynecol **2010**; 202:297 e1–8.
- 29. Ross SA, Arora N, Novak Z, Fowler KB, Britt WJ, Boppana SB. Cytomegalovirus reinfections in healthy seroimmune women. J Infect Dis **2010**; 201:386–9.

- Hansen SG, Powers CJ, Richards R, et al. Evasion of CD8+ T cells is critical for superinfection by cytomegalovirus. Science 2010; 328:102–6.
- 31. Trgovcich J, Kincaid M, Thomas A, et al. Cytomegalovirus reinfections stimulate CD8 T-memory inflation. PLoS One **2016**; 11:e0167097.
- 32. Chuerduangphui J, Proyrungroj K, Pientong C, et al. Prevalence and anatomical sites of human papillomavirus, Epstein-Barr virus and herpes simplex virus infections in men who have sex with men, Khon Kaen, Thailand. BMC Infect Dis 2018; 18:509.
- 33. van Baarle D, Hovenkamp E, Dukers NH, et al. High prevalence of Epstein-Barr virus type 2 among homosexual men is caused by sexual transmission. J Infect Dis 2000; 181:2045–9.
- Noguera-Julian M, Rocafort M, Guillén Y, et al. Gut microbiota linked to sexual preference and HIV infection. EBioMedicine 2016; 5:135–46.
- 35. Vita S, Lichtner M, Marchetti G, et al.; for ICONA Foundation Study Group. Brief report: soluble CD163 in CMV-infected and CMV-uninfected subjects on virologically suppressive antiretroviral therapy in the ICONA cohort. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2017; 74:347–52.
- Meyer IH. Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: conceptual issues and research evidence. Psychol Bull 2003; 129:674–97.
- 37. Swartz JA. The relative odds of lifetime health conditions and infectious diseases among men who have sex with men compared with a matched general population sample. Am J Mens Health 2015; 9:150–62.
- Hatzenbuehler ML, McLaughlin KA, Slopen N. Sexual orientation disparities in cardiovascular biomarkers among young adults. Am J Prev Med 2013; 44:612–21.
- 39. Gkrania-Klotsas E, Langenberg C, Sharp SJ, Luben R, Khaw KT, Wareham NJ. Seropositivity and higher

immunoglobulin G antibody levels against cytomegalovirus are associated with mortality in the population-based European prospective investigation of Cancer-Norfolk cohort. Clin Infect Dis **2013**; 56:1421–7.

- 40. Gkrania-Klotsas E, Langenberg C, Sharp SJ, Luben R, Khaw KT, Wareham NJ. Higher immunoglobulin G antibody levels against cytomegalovirus are associated with incident ischemic heart disease in the population-based EPIC-Norfolk cohort. J Infect Dis **2012**; 206:1897–903.
- 41. Haarala A, Kähönen M, Lehtimäki T, et al. Relation of high cytomegalovirus antibody titres to blood pressure and brachial artery flow-mediated dilation in young men: the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. Clin Exp Immunol **2012**; 167:309–16.
- 42. Hui J, Qu YY, Tang N, et al. Association of cytomegalovirus infection with hypertension risk: a meta-analysis. Wien Klin Wochenschr **2016**; 128:586–91.
- Margolick JB, Bream JH, Nilles TL, et al. Relationship between T-cell responses to CMV, markers of inflammation, and frailty in HIV-uninfected and HIV-infected men in the multicenter AIDS cohort study. J Infect Dis 2018; 218:249–58.
- 44. Roberts ET, Haan MN, Dowd JB, Aiello AE. Cytomegalovirus antibody levels, inflammation, and mortality among elderly Latinos over 9 years of follow-up. Am J Epidemiol **2010**; 172:363–71.
- Tang N, Li JW, Liu YM, et al. Human cytomegalovirus infection is associated with essential hypertension in Kazakh and Han Chinese populations. Med Sci Monit 2014; 20:2508–19.
- Wang GC, Kao WH, Murakami P, et al. Cytomegalovirus infection and the risk of mortality and frailty in older women: a prospective observational cohort study. Am J Epidemiol 2010; 171:1144–52.