# Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

#### RESEARCH ARTICLE

**3** OPEN ACCESS



# COVID-19 vaccine confidence among parents of racially diverse children aged 0–12 years old in Canada: The role of major experience of racial discrimination, health literacy, and conspiracy beliefs

Seyed Mohammad Mahdi Moshirian Farahi<sup>a</sup>, Rose Darly Dalexis<sup>b</sup>, Idrissa Beogo<sup>c,d</sup>, Léa Gakima<sup>a</sup>, and Jude Mary Cénat pac,e

<sup>a</sup>School of Psychology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada; <sup>b</sup>Interdisciplinary School of Health Sciences, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada; <sup>c</sup>School of Nursing, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada; <sup>c</sup>Interdisciplinary Centre for Black Health, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada; <sup>c</sup>Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Ottawa Research Chair on Black Health, Ottawa, ON, Canada

#### **ABSTRACT**

The success of COVID-19 vaccination is linked to trust, hesitancy, and confidence. Racial discrimination impacts vaccine hesitancy and trust, particularly in racialized groups. This study investigates factors influencing COVID-19 vaccine confidence among Canadian parents from different racial groups, addressing a gap in existing research. Data were collected in 2023 (October to November) included 2,528 parents of children aged 0–12. Findings showed significant mean differences between racial groups, F (7, (2520) = 9.92, p < .001, with Arabs presented lower means of confidence (M = 30.26, SD = 9.39) compared to Asian (M = 35.71, SD = 8.14), Black (M = 33.23, SD = 9.50), and Indigenous parents (M = 35.07, SD = 9.45). Multiple linear regression among White participants showed that conspiracy beliefs were negatively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence ( $\beta$  = -.60, p < .001), whereas health literacy was positively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence ( $\beta$  = .09, p < .001). Results among racialized groups showed that conspiracy beliefs ( $\beta = -.23$ , p < .001) and racial discrimination ( $\beta = -.05$ , p = .049) were negatively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence, while health literacy was positively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence ( $\beta$  = .31, p < .001). This study highlights the complex factors influencing COVID-19 vaccine confidence among Canadian parents from racialized groups, suggesting that racial discrimination and conspiracy beliefs significantly reduce vaccine confidence, while health literacy plays a crucial role in increasing confidence. These results underscore the importance of addressing misinformation and systemic barriers to trust in vaccination efforts.

#### **ARTICI F HISTORY**

Received 10 February 2025 Revised 20 March 2025 Accepted 24 March 2025

#### **KEYWORDS**

Confidence in COVID-19 vaccine; racial diversity; racial discrimination; health literacy; conspiracy beliefs

# Introduction

Health-related researchers and public health authorities have been interested in studying factors associated with trust, 1-4 hesitancy,<sup>5–7</sup> and confidence<sup>8,9</sup> in COVID-19 vaccines due to their relationship with the success of vaccination campaigns. 10 Studies in Canada have shown that sociodemographic and psychosocial factors are associated with COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy, mistrust, confidence, and uptake. 1,4,5,8,11,12 For instance, Burns et al.5 showed that being 34 years old and below, being Black, Indigenous, and having increased conspiracy beliefs toward COVID-19 is associated with higher vaccine hesitancy. Kaida et al. 13 showed that the intention to get vaccinated was negatively associated with being Indigenous, mixed/other racial identity, having a high school or less education, and having an income of 80K or lower. Cénat et al.<sup>1</sup> showed that education, religion, age, job status, language, and marital status were associated with vaccine mistrust among Black individuals. Additionally, Cénat et al. showed that experience of racial discrimination in healthcare settings, health literacy, and conspiracy beliefs were associated with COVID-19 vaccine mistrust.<sup>1</sup>

While numerous studies have explored factors related to vaccine hesitancy, very few have examined those associated with Canadian parents' confidence in COVID-19 vaccine. Moreover, there is only one study that has focused on COVID-19 vaccine confidence among a Canadian sample. Cénat et al.<sup>8</sup> investigated factors related to COVID-19 vaccine confidence among Black individuals. The results showed that living in Quebec, having a post-secondary certificate or diploma below a bachelor's degree, an income between \$30,000 to \$74,999, higher experience of major discrimination, and conspiracy beliefs were negatively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence. Moreover, Cénat et al.8 showed that province of residence (British Columbia, Alberta, Manitoba, and Nova Scotia), religion (Muslim), increased health literacy and experiences of traumatic events related to COVID-19 were positively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence. Studies among parents have shown that factors such as trust in healthcare providers (pharmacists, doctors, nurses), 14 risk perception, social norm about getting vaccinated, 15 religious or personal beliefs, safety concerns, and information about vaccination 16 are associated with vaccine

CONTACT Jude Mary Cénat (2017, Vanier Hall, Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5, Canada.

hesitancy. Schellenberg et al.<sup>14</sup> investigated parents' trust in sources of information on vaccination among Canadian parents of 2-year-old children. Schellenberg et al. 14 showed that parents with lower levels of parental knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs regarding children's vaccines, trade/college education or high school education or less and those widowed/separated/ divorced were less likely to trust information on COVID-19 vaccination. Besides, compared to territories, provinces were associated with higher trust in vaccination information sources. For instance, parents from the province of Quebec were more likely to trust all vaccination information sources (e.g., doctors, pharmacists, friends, and healthcare providers), while parents from the territories had less trust in doctors, nurses and pharmacists and more trust in information from family. Moreover, parents from the province of Ontario had more trust in the Provincial Ministry of Health, but less trust in family and friends. McKinnon et al. 17 showed that parents from racialized groups, with younger children, a lower income, and born abroad were less likely to accept COVID-19 vaccination. Hetherington et al. 18 showed a lower likelihood of vaccination for children among mothers with lower income, lower levels of education, and incomplete vaccination history. However, studies among parents from diverse racial groups in Canada are still lacking. To our knowledge, to date, no study was conducted on vaccine confidence among a Canadian sample of parents. In addition, none was conducted in different racial groups (e.g., Arab, Asian, Black, Indigenous).

Due to the impact of parental beliefs regarding the COVID-19 vaccines on their decision for their children's vaccination 19-21 and given the primary role of parents as decision-makers in their children's vaccination choices, 22 the current study focused on parents of children aged 0-12 years old. Given the importance of discovering the determinants of COVID-19 vaccine confidence among parents and the limited evidence regarding factors associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence in diverse Canadian groups, the current study aims to investigate factors related to COVID-19 vaccine confidence among parents of Asian, Arab, Black, Indigenous, and White children in Canada. Understanding the factors associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence among different parental groups is essential for tailoring public health strategies. The findings of this study will provide important insights into health equity, offering valuable implications for policymakers and the healthcare system. The current study expands the evidence base, providing a more comprehensive understanding of the determinants of COVID-19 vaccine confidence among racially diverse parents of children aged 0-12 years old.

# Material and methods

# Design and data collection

This study is a part of the "perception of vaccine effectiveness among Canadians parents of children aged 0-12 and Canada's various ethnic communities" project. The data were collected from October 2023 to November 2023. The population included parents of children aged 0-12. Recruitment of participants was conducted through an

online survey (Computer Assisted Web Interviewing (CAWI)) by Canada's largest research and marketing company, Léger (LÉO). This community currently has over 420,000 active members across Canada. A random number of 19,308 panel members were directly invited via e-mail to participate in the study. In addition, 1,210 panel members were invited through third parties or various partnership/ advertising programs, as well as LÉO's social media platforms. A total of 4,158 people clicked on the survey, 1,522 were non-eligible. From the 2,636 people who were eligible, 108 refused to participate and 2,528 participants completed the survey (response rate: 95.90%). Each participant received a personalized invitation link by e-mail that can only be used once to prevent them completing the survey more than once or sharing it with others. The median survey length was 16 min and 21 s. Inclusion criteria consisted of 1) living in Canada; 2) parents of children aged 0-12; and 3) being able to complete the survey in English or French. This project was approved by the University of Ottawa Research Ethics Board (#H-04-23-9199) and all participants completed an electronic informed consent.

#### Measures

# Sociodemographic

Participants completed a sociodemographic form that included information about gender, age, education, religion, province, race, language, place of birth, marital status, job status, and annual household income.

### COVID-19 vaccine confidence

The confidence of COVID-19 vaccine was measured using vaccine confidence subscale from COVID-19 vaccine confidence and mistrust beliefs scale.<sup>23</sup> This subscale includes nine items on a five-point Likert scale (from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree"). Higher scores indicate greater levels of vaccine confidence. Bogart et al. reported a Cronbach's alpha of .90 for this subscale.<sup>23</sup> The internal consistency of this scale was .95 in the current study.

#### Major experience of racial discrimination

The Major Racial Discrimination Scale (MRDS) was developed by Williams et al.<sup>24</sup> to measure major racial discrimination experiences in different domains such as health services, education, housing, job, justice system, and economics (i.e., "have you ever been treated unfairly in a hospital or other health services?"). The MRDS in the current study included seven items with a binary response option ("yes" or "no). Previous studies have reported an internal consistency of .73 to .91.<sup>25,26</sup> The internal consistency of this scale was .78 in the current study.

# **Everyday racial discrimination**

Everyday racial discrimination was measured using the Everyday Discrimination Scale (EDS).<sup>27</sup> The EDS contains five items (e.g., "You receive worse service than others at restaurants or stores.") each rated on a six-point scale, ranging from "never" to "almost everyday." Higher scores indicate higher levels of everyday discrimination. Cénat

et al. reported a Cronbach's alpha of .90 for this scale.<sup>27</sup> The Cronbach's alpha of this scale was .94 in the current study.

# Health literacy

The health literacy scale (HLS) was used to measure health literacy.<sup>28</sup> The HLS includes eight items (e.g. "I can distinguish between high-quality and poor-quality health information on the Internet.") on a five-point scale (from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree"). The HLS measures how individuals perceive their ability to locate and use health-related information online. Higher scores indicate greater levels of health literacy. Norman and Skinner reported a Cronbach's alpha of .88 for this scale.<sup>28</sup> The internal consistency of this scale was .92 in the current study.

### Conspiracy beliefs

The COVID-19 Conspiracy Belief scale was used to measure conspiracy beliefs about COVID-19.<sup>29</sup> This scale includes 10 items on a five-point Likert scale (from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree"). This scale assesses the information on the origin of COVID-19, the number of fatalities associated with the virus, and vaccination (i.e., "a vaccine against COVID-19 already existed and was only made available once millions of people had been infected."). Increased scores on this scale indicate greater levels of conspiracy beliefs. Constantinou et al. reported a Cronbach's alpha of .89.29 The internal consistency of this scale was .93 in the current study.

# Statistical analyses

Mean (M) COVID-19 vaccine confidence was compared across sociodemographic factors using independent t-tests and one-way ANOVA. A set of post hoc analyses was conducted using Tukey's tests on significant results of F-tests. Two hierarchical multiple linear regression models among White participants and racialized groups were separately conducted using structural equation models. The analyses among racialized groups contained two models: Model 1 included racial discrimination as a latent factor (major experience of racial discrimination and everyday racial discrimination) and health literacy after controlling for gender, age, and province. Model 2 included predictors from Model 1 and conspiracy beliefs. Likewise, the analyses among White participants included two models: Model 1 included health literacy after controlling for gender, age, and province. Model 2 included predictors from Model 1 and conspiracy beliefs. Multicollinearity assumption was checked using a variance inflation factor (VIF). A significance level of  $\leq$  .05 was used for all significance tests. The mean difference analyses were conducted using SPSS 29. Hierarchical multiple linear regression models were developed in Stata/SE 18, as Stata's structural equation modeling package allows researchers to create latent factors.

# Results

The current study included 2,528 parents (57.52% women). In total, 50.24% were White, 11.87% were Black, 11.39% were

Asian, 9.97% were Arab, 9.61% were Indigenous, 3.44% had mixed racial identity, 1.82% were Latin American, and 1.66% had other racial identity. Sociodemographic characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Mean differences across sociodemographic factors are presented in Table 2. Results showed significant mean differences between racial groups, F(7, 2520) = 9.92, p < .001. Post hoc analysis showed that Asian (M = 35.71, SD = 8.14) and Indigenous parents (M = 35.07, SD = 9.45) had higher mean vaccine confidence compared to White (M = 31.87 SD =10.51), Arab (M = 30.26, SD = 9.39), and people with other racial identity (M = 30.07, SD = 9.87). Asian parents had also higher mean vaccine confidence compared to parents with mixed racial identity (M = 31.11, SD = 11.11). Black parents (M = 33.23, SD = 9.50) had higher mean vaccine confidence compared to Arab parents. Results also showed higher mean COVID-19 vaccine confidence among men (M = 33.69, SD =9.77) compared to women (M = 31.71, SD = 10.23), t (2358) =4.93, p < .001. This difference was also observed among White and parents with other racial identity (Table 2). However, no gender differences were found among Black, Arabic, Asian, and Indigenous parents. Other mean differences are presented in Table 2.

Multiple linear regression results are presented in Table 3. Regarding White participants, the final model showed that conspiracy beliefs were negatively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence ( $\beta = -.60$ , p < .001) and health literacy was positively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence ( $\beta$ = .09, p < .001). Among racialized groups, the final model showed that conspiracy beliefs were negatively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence ( $\beta = -.23$ , p < .001) and health literacy was positively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence ( $\beta$  = .31, p < .001). Racial discrimination was negatively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence ( $\beta$  = -.05, p = .049). As shown in Table 3, the strength of relation between racial discrimination and COVID-19 vaccine confidence did not change significantly after adding conspiracy beliefs to the model (Model 1:  $\beta = -.07$ ; Model 2:  $\beta = -.05$ ). These findings indicate that racial discrimination is an important factor in predicting COVID-19 vaccine confidence among racialized groups.

# **Discussion**

The aim of the current study was to investigate the confidence in COVID-19 vaccines among parents of children aged 0-12 years old from diverse racial groups in Canada. Results showed COVID-19 vaccine confidence differences between racial groups. Asian and Indigenous parents exhibited higher vaccine confidence compared to White, Arab, and parents with another racial identity, while Asian parents had higher vaccine confidence compared to parents with mixed racial identity. Moreover, Black parents presented higher vaccine confidence compared to Arab parents. The regression results confirmed that being Black, Asian, or Indigenous were positively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence. In contrast, McKinnon et al.<sup>17</sup> showed higher unwillingness to vaccine among racialized parents residing in Montreal compared to White parents. In another study in England, Bell et al.<sup>30</sup>

Total														)				
Total	z	q%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%
	2528		1270	50.24	300	11.87	252	9.97	288	11.39	46	1.82	243	9.61	42	1.66	87	3.44
yex Men	1069	42.29	540	42.52	113	37.67	123	48.81	164	56.94	25	54.35	25	22.63	18	42.86	31	35.63
Women	1454	57.52	727	57.24	187	62.33	129	51.19	123	42.71	21	45.65	188	77.37	24	57.14	55	63.22
Non-Binary Age	2	.20	m	.24					_	.35							_	1.15
14–24	89	3.52	51	4.02	7	2.33	4	1.59	9	2.08	7	4.35	8	3.29	4	9.52	7	8.05
25-34	762	30.14	413	32.52	06	30.00	29	23.41	78	27.08	15	32.61	49	26.34	16	38.10	27	31.03
35-44	1173	46.40	523	41.18	160	53.33	129	51.19	143	49.65	18	39.13	152	62.55	12	28.57	36	41.38
45–54	401	15.86	219	17.24	41	13.67	52	20.63	45	15.63	6	19.57	14	5.76	8	19.05	13	14.94
55-64	84	3.32	53	4.17	7	.67	7	2.78	13	4.51	_	2.17	4	1.65	<del>-</del>	2.38	3	3.45
65–74	18	.71	10	.79			_	.40	3	1.04	<del>-</del>	2.17	<b>—</b>	4.	<del>-</del>	2.38	_	1.15
75 and above	_	.04	_	80:														
Province																		
Ontario	917	36.27	433	34.09	125	41.67	107	42.46	151	52.43	21	45.65	32	13.17	12	28.57	36	41.38
Quebec	712	28.16	397	31.26	80	26.67	86	38.89	16	5.56	12	26.09	75	30.86	17	40.48	17	19.54
British Columbia	298	11.79	131	10.31	6	3.00	12	4.76	89	23.61	2	10.87	22	22.63	4	9.52	14	16.09
Alberta	261	10.32	121	9.53	34	11.33	17	6.75	31	10.76	m	6.52	40	16.46	4	9.52	1	12.64
Saskatchewan	98	3.40	45	3.54	15	2.00	9	2.38	2	1.74	-	2.17	10	4.12	<del>-</del>	2.38	3	3.45
Manitoba	96	3.80	4	3.46	15	2.00	4	1.59	12	4.17	-	2.17	18	7.41			7	2.30
New Brunswick	99	2.61	4	3.46	7	2.33	2	1.98	3	1.04	m	6.52	4	1.65				
Nova Scotia	26	2.22	39	3.07	7	2.33	7	.79	_	.35			7	.82	7	4.76	m	3.45
Prince Edward Island	9	.24	m	.24	_	.33							7	.82				
Newfoundland and Labrador	23	.91	Ξ	.87	9	2.00	<b>—</b>	.40					m ·	1.23	<del>-</del>	2.38	<del>-</del>	1.15
Northwest Territories	7	80. /	,	,					-	.35				14.	,	,		
Yukon	4 -	<u>e</u> 5	7	<u>o</u>	,	ć							_	4.	-	7.38		
Nunavut Education	-	4			-	55.												
Duima w / / o conda w . / h . a h . a l	171	10.63	700	של ננ	00	1267	6	11 51	,	25.01	1	7,77	Š	יריר	;	01.20	7	10.1
FIIIIaly/secondary/mgn school	1/4	10.03	704 101	21.50	0 7.	10.27	7 7 7 0	10.11	- c	11.76	- 1	15.22	¥ £	10 57	- 2	20.05	7 2	19.04
University cortificate/bacholog degree	012	26.12	5 6	22.72	ر د ر	10.23	112	100	162	1.40	۲ ,	13.22	5 4	10.72	5 7	25.73	. r	25.63
Contracts described and representations of the contracts of the contracts of the contract of t	כוץ	30.12	163	12.62	171	40.55	CI 7	44.04	50.	36.60	77	0.74	110	10.70	<u>.</u>	7.17	- c	25.05
Gladuate degree (Masters/FIID) Place of Birth	776	20.02	601	12.03	00	70.07	-0	74.71	<u>-</u>	71.10	2	41.74	0	40.30	n	<b>1.</b> /	07	66.77
Canada	1813	71.72	1184	93.23	77	25.67	89	35.32	113	39.24	16	34.78	240	98.77	53	69.05	65	74.71
Abroad	715	28.28	98	6.77	223	74.33	163	64.68	175	92.09	30	65.22	3	1.23	13	30.95	22	25.29
Language	:	;		;	;		1	;	!	!	!	;	!	;		!		!
English	1446	27.70	6//	61.34	169	56.33	6/	31.35	143	49.65	17	26.09	197	81.07	16	45.24	48	55.17
French	543	21.48	366	28.82	9	21.67	39	15.48	4	1.39	m	6.52	38	15.64	15	35.71	13	14.94
Bilingual	399	15.78	97	7.64	20	16.67	92	36.51	110	38.19	92	39.13	<sub>∞</sub>	3.29	∞	19.05	16	18.39
Other	140	5.54	78	2.20	91	5.33	42	16.67	3.	10.76	73	28.26					10	11.49
Job status Employed (part time/full time/enff employed)	7174	04.02	1000	05 02	222	73 67	305	01 25	אעכ	05.07	00	07 70	305	96 10	22	70 57	7	96.06
Lingioled (pare time/raine/seil-employed)	108	4.27	27	2.13	31	10.33	16	6.35	2 2	4.17	, w	6.52	202 41	5.76	ς ←	7.38	, 4	4.60
Student	49	1.94	14	1.10	18	00.9	7	2.78	! m	1.04	7	4.35	4	1.65			-	1.15
Homemaker	223	8.82	120	9.45	18	00.9	24	9.52	25	8.68	7	4.35	19	7.82	8	19.05	7	8.05
Retired	24	.95	19	1.50					٣	1.04			<b>.</b>	.41			-	1.15
Household income																		
Below \$10,000	26	2.22	70	1.57	17	2.67	10	3.97	4	1.39			4	1.65			-	1.15
\$10,000-\$19,999	65	2.57	25	1.97	12	4.00	Ξ	4.37	4	1.39	-	2.17	7	2.88	7	4.76	m	3.45
\$20,000–\$39,999	187	7.40	63	4.96	46	15.33	19	7.54	22	7.64	9	13.04	21	8.64	2	11.90	2	5.75

	ĭ	Total <sup>a</sup>	White	ite	Black	ck	Arab (Middle East or North Africa)	ddle East Africa)	Asian (Soorth	Asian (South, East or Southeast Asia)	Latin A	Latin American	Indige	Indigenous	Ö	Other	Μ̈́	Mixed
	z	q%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%
\$40,000-\$59,999	285	11.27	118	9.29	47	15.67	34	13.49	38	13.19	2	10.87	21	8.64	∞	19.05	14	16.09
\$60,000-\$79,999	352	13.92	172	13.54	48	16.00	48	19.05	38	13.19	∞	17.39	22	9.05	2	11.90	1	12.64
666666\$-00008\$	388	15.35	202	15.91	47	15.67	20	19.84	52	18.06	Ξ	23.91	10	4.12	7	16.67	6	10.34
\$100,000-\$124,999	378	14.95	228	17.95	35	11.67	29	11.51	45	15.63	7	15.22	13	5.35	2	11.90	16	18.39
\$125,000–\$149,999	249	9.85	139	10.94	22	7.33	19	7.54	33	11.46	7	4.35	70	8.23	9	14.29	∞	9.20
\$150,000-\$199,999	317	12.54	183	14.41	18	00.9	24	9.52	34	11.81	7	4.35	37	15.23	~	7.14	16	18.39
\$200,000 and above	251	9.93	120	9.45	∞	2.67	8	3.17	18	6.25	4	8.70	88	36.21	_	2.38	4	4.60
Religion																		
Christian	1329	52.57	629	53.46	240	80.00	27	22.62	88	30.56	33	71.74	160	65.84	25	59.52	47	54.02
Muslim	267	10.56	18	1.42	35	11.67	164	65.08	34	11.81			-	.41	7	16.67	8	9.20
Buddhist	29	1.15	7	.16	m	1.00	-	.40	19	09.9	7	4.35	-	.41			_	1.15
Jewish	29	1.15	16	1.26	4	1.33	4	1.59					-	.41	7	4.76	7	2.30
Hindu	61	2.41	-	80:			7	.79	51	17.71			-	.41	7	4.76	4	4.60
Other	110	4.35	48	3.78	8	2.67	4	1.59	22	7.64			16	6.58	4	9.52	8	9.20
No religion	703	27.81	206	39.84	10	3.33	70	7.94	74	25.69	1	23.91	63	25.93	7	4.76	17	19.54
Marital status																		
Sinlge	238	9.41	122	9.61	34	11.33	12	4.76	Ξ	3.82			36	14.81	9	14.29	17	19.54
Married/common-law partner	2211	87.46	1097	86.38	263	87.67	231	91.67	271	94.10	45	97.83	202	83.13	35	83.33	29	77.01
Divorced/widowed	79	3.13	51	4.02	m	1.00	6	3.57	9	2.08	-	2.17	2	2.06	<b>—</b>	2.38	2	3.45

Table 1. (Continued).

<sup>a</sup>Some N does not reach 2,528 due to having a response option "*prefer not to answer.*" <sup>b</sup>Valid percentages were reported ("*prefer not to answer*" responses were treated as missing).

2,528)
2,5
<u>&gt;</u>
es (
iables
var
þi
Irap
nog
der
Ġ
S SC
s across soci
s ac
ences across sociodemo
ëre
differ
eans
confidence means
Se
der
onfi
ē
Ġ
-19 vaccine
-15
COVID
5
7
able
Н

		lotal	M	wnite	۵	DIACK	ζ	Alab	€	Asidii	Latili A	Latin American	ınaıgenous	snous	Otner	<u>ש</u>	naxiivi	ָקָ קר	
	M	SD	M	SD	Ø	SD	N	SD	Ø	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	×	SD	<i>p</i> -value <sup>a</sup>
Total	32.57	10.08	31.87	10.51	33.23	9.50	30.26	9.39	35.71	8.14	32.39	11.00	35.07	9.45	30.07	9.87	31.11	11.11	<.001
Men Monen Moonen	33.69	9.77 10.23	33.73	9.98	33.64	9.76 9.36	31.03	8.98	36.27	8.00	31.52	11.05	33.76	10.69	35.00	7.48	31.00	12.79 10.11	<.001
<i>p-</i> value Age 11 3	100.>	7	<.U01	, ,	187:		402.		051.		504		750		400.		8/6.		
14–24 25–34	29.35 31.44	10.27 9.71	30.83	10.59 9.89	32.54	9.57	29.37	8.79	34.94	7.93	34.27	8.24	32.06	10.50	28.69	9.60	30.22	10.59	.008
35-44	33.03	10.14	31.72	10.79	33.94	9.33	29.95	9.69	35.59	8.28	32.94	11.71	37.14	8:38			32.17	11.19	<.001
45–54 55 and older	35.36	9 97	35.68	9 94	31.44	10.27	32.44	10.00	39.84	7.63 8.06									. 15 7. 7.
p-value <sup>b</sup>	<.001		<.001	;	.250		.191		.173	2	.716		<.001				.488		È
Province		,	9	1	0	i i	9		1	1	i L	i G	1	1			0		
Ontario Onebec	32.64	10.14	31.99	9.83	30.09	9.55	30.13	9.34	35.70	8.27	35.24	8.95	32.97	7.17	2835	11 53	32.42	10.51	
The Prairies and British Columbia	32.98	10.17	31.53	11.25	35.15	8.95	31.87	8.03	35.80	7.49			34.43	9.12			29.97	11.24	<.001
lhe Atlantic محریماریون	33.63	9.38	32.32	9.38	38.95	6.92	327		600				710				099		.003
Fyange Education	-		5		-		t 77:		200				2				9		
Primary/secondary/high school	28.52	10.65	27.31	10.87	31.18	8.00	28.34	8.61	34.87	8.79			30.15	10.91			28.18	12.76	.002
College Haivarsity cartificate/hachalor degree	31.10	10.38	31.39	10.34	30.05	10.32	27.27	10.18 9.05	34.82	7.48	30.45	11 33	30.96	11.62	32.13	7.43	30.79	11.53	.037
Graduate degree (Master's/PhD)	35.90	8.75	35.47	9.27	34.26	9.98	34.66	8.32	35.59	8.79	5	2	38.93	5.69	-	?	33.60	10.14	×.001
<i>p-</i> value <sup>p</sup> Place of Birth	<.001		<.001		600		<.001		.779				<.001				.536		
Canada	32.34	10.29	31.86	10.54	31.83	10.35	31.46	9.38	35.18	8.00	28.81	9.44	34.97	9.46	29.38	10.91	30.37	10.98	<.001
Abroad <i>p</i> -value <sup>b</sup>	33.16 .055	9.53	32.07 .859	10.24	33.71	9.16	29.61 .067	9.37	36.05	8.23	34.30	11.43					33.32 .285	11.48	<.001
Language																			
English	32.79	10.36	31.73	10.91	33.64	9.89	31.04	8.75	36.09	7.92			35.31	9.45	31.95	9.17	30.90	11.48	<.001 205
French Bilingual	31.78	9.65	32.27	9.72 10.25	34.54	8.38 9.42	30.08 29.50	9.48	35.52	8.28	34.33	9.97	55.59	9.85	78.00	0/:11	29.56	11.16	 0 100.>
Other	32.74	10.03	31.89	10.54	32.94	9.37	30.64	10.35	35.00	8.40			L		,		ļ		305
<i>p</i> -value Job status	.235		.934		.236		./48		./38				.256		.416		/89.		
Employed (part-time/full-time/self-employed)	32.98	9.89	32.22	10.27	33.36	9.55	30.69	9.40	35.86	8.08	32.72	10.96	36.28	8.53	31.58	9.77	31.42	10.93	<.001
Student	30.86	10.51	5	2	33.94	10.61	<u> </u>												
Homemaker Batirad	29.52	11.18	28.63	11.85	31.67	8.32	27.54	9.67	34.56	8.82			28.79	12.78					.119
p-value <sup>b</sup>	<.001	2	<.001	2	.865		.270		.448				.021						
Household income هوایین دران ۱۵۵	30.30	0 67	78.75	10.87	37 50	8 30													186
below 310,000 \$10,000-\$19,999	30.94	7.02 10.93	29.88	12.22	52.39	00.0													
\$20,000-\$39,999	30.96	10.44	30.17	11.02	32.74	10.18	30.11	7.96	34.32	8.54			28.43	10.88					.243
\$40,000–\$59,999 \$60,000–\$79,999	30.67	10.43 9.83	30.26	10.77	33.34	9.28	30.71	7.58	36.82	8.21			28.33	12.68					<.001
666,665-00008\$	32.47	9.63	32.00	68.6	33.36	8.21	29.58	9.75	35.96	8.30									900.
\$100,000-\$124,999	33.37	9.68	33.27	9.67	31.97	10.02	32.52	9.48	34.84	8.66			50	į			33.63	11.12	.730
51.25,000-5149,999	44 49	2	X																

*p*-value<sup>a</sup> <.001 .732 <a href="https://www.edu.org/"></a>.004 .517 10.75 10.39 11.07 S Mixed 28.94 34.29 31.65 .087 .912 Z 371 10.41 9.56 S Other 28.04 30.29 Z 12.46 10.91 10.21 4.34 7.74 SD Indigenous 37.09 28.88 31.32 <.001 28.69 40.31 <.001 Z 10.96 11.83 Latin American S 31.03 32.67 Z 8.36 7.66 8.18 8.03 8.02 8.32 7.51 9.79 S Asian 37.44 36.85 35.79 35.11 32.64 36.49 .176 35.74 Z 9.39 9.96 S Arab 33.85 29.46 30.24 30.48 Z 9.89 9.63 S Black 33.20 28.32 .002 .756 .632 Z 10.25 11.68 10.64 10.61 10.44 11.04 SD White 32.52 30.88 31.54 33.22 .003 29.41 32.24 30.00 .008 Z 10.30 8.99 7.53 9.66 8.62 10.49 10.40 9.95 11.17 10.01 S Total 32.26 31.73 33.28 36.28 34.02 30.17 33.54 29.45 32.98 30.51 35.53 <.001 <.001 Z Sinlge Married/common-law partner \$200,000 and above Divorced/widowed p-value<sup>b</sup> Table 2. (Continued). Other No religion *p*-value<sup>b</sup> Marital status P-value Religion Christian Muslim Buddhist Jewish Hindu

<sup>a</sup>p-values were obtained using independent t-tests (for variables with two categories) and ANOVAs (for variables with more than two categories). <sup>b</sup>This p-value is for the differences between racial groups. <sup>c</sup>This p-value is for total and mean differences within each racial group.



Table 3. Multiple linear regression for COVID-19 vaccine confidence among white and racialized groups.

			White pa	articipants					Racialize	ed group		
		Model 1			Model 2			Model 1			Model 2	
	B (SE)	Z	<i>p</i> -value	B (SE)	Z	<i>p</i> -value	B (SE)	Z	<i>p</i> -value	β	Z	<i>p</i> -value
Gender	14 (.03)	-5.27	<.001	15 (.02)	-6.89	<.001	04 (.03)	-1.64	.100	02 (.03)	94	.345
Age	.12 (.03)	4.39	<.001	.03 (.02)	1.24	.215	.07 (.03)	2.48	.013	.06 (.03)	2.15	.032
Province	.01 (.03)	.42	.671	.02 (.02)	.73	.463	.05 (.03)	1.87	.061	.06 (.03)	2.52	.012
Health literacy	.20 (.03)	7.77	<.001	.09 (.02)	4.25	<.001	.31 (.03)	12.26	<.001	.31 (.02)	12.88	<.001
Racial discrimination <sup>a</sup>							07 (.03)	-2.89	.004	05 (.03)	-1.97	.049
Conspiracy beliefs				60 (.02)	-36.28	<.001				23 (.02)	-9.33	<.001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Latent factor (everyday racial discrimination and major experience of racial discrimination).

showed that racialized parents/guardians were more likely to reject a COVID-19 vaccine for themselves and their children compared to White parents/guardians. Nonetheless, the outcome of the current study was different from previous studies' measures. For example, McKinnon et al. 17 measured intention to vaccinate against COVID-19 among parents for their children and Bell et al.<sup>30</sup> measured vaccine acceptability for parents and for their children.

Regarding the other sociodemographic factors, results showed lower confidence in COVID-19 vaccines among women compared to men. These findings can be explained by the beliefs among women who may have greater concerns about the risks associated with vaccines. 31,32 Thematic analysis of Hetherington et al.'s study<sup>18</sup> showed that the most concern in mothers residing in Calgary was safety and efficacy of vaccines such as adverse effects. Current study also showed that younger parents had lower levels of confidence in COVID-19 vaccines compared to older parents. This finding is consistent with previous studies that have shown more vaccine hesitancy among younger people.<sup>32</sup> Results also indicated higher levels of confidence in COVID-19 vaccines among parents with higher education (e.g., college, university certificate/bachelor's degree, and graduate degree) and those who were married/common-law partner. In fact, higher levels of education might be paired with enhanced literacy regarding the pandemic and COVID-19 vaccines which increases the confidence in COVID-19 vaccines. Similarly, Jansen et al.<sup>33</sup> showed that higher level of education attainment was associated with increased health literacy thus an increased confidence in COVID-19 vaccine.<sup>33</sup> Additionally, Szilagyi et al.<sup>34</sup> showed that there was higher child vaccine intention among parents with bachelor's degree or higher education. Moreover, more confidence in COVID-19 vaccines among parents who were married or had common-law partner could be associated with their higher levels of trust in vaccine information sources (e.g., doctors, nurses, and pharmacists). 14 However, further studies are needed to explain socio-cultural factors related to COVID-19 vaccine confidence among married parents or those who have a common-law partner.

Regarding regression analyses, results showed that conspiracy beliefs were negatively and health literacy was positively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence. Among racialized groups, health literacy was positively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence in both models. Racial discrimination was negatively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence in Model 1. After including conspiracy beliefs in

the model, the strength of this association did not change. These findings suggest that racial discrimination plays a key role in predicting COVID-19 vaccine confidence. Although conspiracy beliefs are a very strong predictor and usually make racial discrimination non-significant, in this case, racial discrimination is strong enough to resist conspiracy beliefs. Results also showed that conspiracy beliefs were negatively associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence.

Previous studies have indicated that parents' health literacy is associated with their attitudes toward vaccinating their children against COVID-19.35-39 Moreover, studies have shown that parents' conspiracy beliefs play an important role in parents' attitudes/willingness to vaccine children. 19-21 As shown in previous studies, parents' main concerns and reasons for not getting vaccine are as follows: concerns about safety and efficacy, side effects, rushed process, long-term effects, being new, not enough information/evidence, and children hardly affected by COVID-19. 18,30,34 That is why increased health literacy through internet, social media, and other sources may increase parents' awareness about COVID-19 vaccines which in turn decreases conspiracy beliefs regarding COVID-19 vaccines. Hence, improving health literacy among parents is crucial for encouraging informed decision-making, increasing vaccine uptake, and protecting children's health during the pandemic.

#### **Implications**

The current study provides insights into how racial discrimination, health literacy, and conspiracy beliefs play critical roles in COVID-19 vaccine confidence among racialized groups. One of the most important factors in COVID-19 vaccine confidence was racial discrimination. Racial discrimination might decrease trust in healthcare systems 40 and impact health behaviors. 41 To ensure a healthcare system free from racism, it is imperative to implement a multifaceted approach.<sup>42</sup> This includes comprehensive training for healthcare staff on anti-racist practices, the development and enforcement of policies aimed at eliminating racial discrimination, and the establishment of a system of positive and negative reinforcement. Moreover, training nurses to effectively address vaccine hesitancy among racialized parents, which is often rooted in experiences of racism, is essential for improving healthcare outcomes. This training should equip nurses with the cultural humility<sup>43</sup> and communication skills necessary to build trust,44 acknowledge the historical and systemic factors contributing to vaccine mistrust, and engage in

empathetic dialogue. Moreover, public health agencies should develop strategies to mitigate the negative effects of racial discrimination on vaccine uptake. Due to the importance of vaccine confidence among parents in vaccine decision-making for their children, it is crucial to develop educational programs on vaccination to enhance parents' awareness while taking into account the needs of different communities. For example, public health agencies and healthcare services should focus on developing and testing interventions that improve health literacy. These interventions may reduce health disparities, particularly among marginalized and underserved populations, and contribute to more equitable health outcomes. Furthermore, to reduce conspiracy beliefs, such programs should be developed for creating a transparent communication and engagement with different communities. This approach aligns with the recommendation by the Royal Society of Canada Task Force on COVID-19, which advocates for tailoring interventions to enhance vaccine acceptance and reduce disparities in uptake by addressing local needs. It emphasizes the importance of actively engaging and collaborating with communities in the design and development of these interventions.<sup>17</sup> Additionally, communities should create factsheets about vaccination and promote them in social media and other digital platforms to increase awareness and knowledge about vaccines.

#### Limitations

Although this study is the most important to date in Canada on COVID-19 vaccine confidence among parents, it still has some limitations. First, since the current research was a cross-sectional study, that prevented us to explore factors/events related to the outcome variable over time. Second, there were only five parents from non-binary gender which led us to exclude them from the analysis due to insufficient numbers of participants. Therefore, future studies should consider over-sampling for non-binary gender to address this limitation. When attempting to compare with existing literature, the lack of previous studies on COVID-19 vaccine confidence in Canada may leave gaps. Most of them have focused on different outcome variables related to COVID-19 vaccine which are vaccine acceptance, uptake, intention, and willingness.

# **Conclusions**

The current research investigated factors related to COVID-19 vaccine confidence among parents from diverse racial groups in Canada. Findings indicate differences in COVID-19 vaccine confidence among race groups, with a higher confidence among Asian, and Indigenous parents compared to White parents. It was evident that the racial discrimination, health literacy, and conspiracy beliefs play a key role in COVID-19 vaccine confidence. The current study's findings help to better understand the underlying significant factors that influence parent's confidence in COVID-19 vaccine which can be useful in developing targeted interventions and policies intended to increase vaccine confidence among parents. This study contributes to the current literature by addressing the gaps in understanding COVID-19 vaccine confidence among racially diverse parental groups. While much of the existing studies

have focused on broader populations, this study specifically investigated COVID-19 vaccine confidence within Asian, Arab, Black, Indigenous, and White communities in Canada, offering valuable insights into the unique factors associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence.

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

# **Funding**

This study was supported by the grant [# 2324-HQ-000162] and the grant [# 4500440446] from the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC).

# **Author contribution**

Drs. JMC and SMMMF had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Concept and design: JMC and SMMMF. Acquisition of data: JMC. Statistical analysis: JMC and SMMMF. Interpretation of data: JMC and SMMMF. Drafting of the manuscript: JMC and SMMMF, Beogo, and Dalexis. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Cénat, RDD, IB, and LG. Supervision: JMC.

# **Data availability statement**

Data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

# **Ethical approval statement**

This study was approved by the University of Ottawa Research Ethics Board (#H-04-23-9199).

#### **Notes on contributor**

Jude Mary Cénat, Ph.D., M.Sc., C.Psych. is a Full Professor in the School of Psychology, the Director of the Interdisciplinary Centre for Black Health and of the Vulnerability, Trauma, Resilience & Culture Research Laboratory (V-TRaC Lab). Dr. Cénat also holds the University of Ottawa Research Chair on Black health. His research program explores factors associated with vulnerability, trauma, and resilience, with a particular interest in the role of cultural factors.; racial disparities in health and social services; and global mental health. He conducts research in North America, Europe, Africa, and the Caribbean. He leads major projects on the mental health of Black communities in Canada that documented for the first-time prevalence and factors related to depression, anxiety, PTSD, psychosomatic symptoms, and other mental health problems among Black individuals in Canada. With his team, he also developed online trainings (via the bilingual platform https://santementalpourtous.ca/; https://mentalhealthforveryone.ca) which aims to equip mental health professionals with the knowledge to provide culturally appropriate and antiracist care. Dr. Cénat is also a member of the College of New Scholars, Artists and Scientists of the Royal Society of Canada.

#### **ORCID**

Jude Mary Cénat (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3628-6904



#### References

- 1. Cénat JM, Moshirian Farahi SMM, Bakombo SM, Dalexis RD, Pongou R, Caulley L, Yaya S, Etowa J, Venkatesh V. Vaccine mistrust among Black individuals in Canada: the major role of health literacy, conspiracy theories, and racial discrimination in the healthcare system. J Med Virol. 2023;95(4). doi: 10.1002/jmv.
- 2. Simione L, Vagni M, Gnagnarella C, Bersani G, Pajardi D. Mistrust and beliefs in conspiracy theories differently mediate the effects of psychological factors on propensity for COVID-19 vaccine. Front Psychol. 2021;12:12. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.683684.
- 3. Ogueji IA, Demoko Ceccaldi BM, Okoloba MM, Maloba M, Adejumo AO, Ogunsola OO. Black people narrate inequalities in healthcare systems that hinder COVID-19 vaccination: evidence from the USA and the UK. J Afr Am Stud. 2022;26(3):297-313. doi: 10.1007/s12111-022-09591-5.
- 4. Cénat JM, Moshirian Farahi SMM, Dalexis RD, Caulley L, Xu Y, Beogo I, Pongou R. COVID-19 vaccine mistrust, health literacy, conspiracy theories, and racial discrimination among a representative ethnically diverse sample in Canada: the vulnerability of Arab, Asian, Black, and indigenous peoples. J Med Virol. 2024;96(7). doi: 10.1002/jmv.29795.
- 5. Burns KE, Dubé È, Godinho Nascimento H, Meyer SB. Examining vaccine hesitancy among a diverse sample of Canadian adults. Vaccine. 2024;42(2):129-135. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2023.12.030.
- 6. Ochieng C, Anand S, Mutwiri G, Szafron M, Alphonsus K. Factors associated with COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among visible minority groups from a global context: a scoping review. Vaccines. 2021;9(12):1445. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9121445.
- 7. Cénat JM, Noorishad P-G, Bakombo SM, Onesi O, Mesbahi A, Darius WP, Caulley L, Yaya S, Chomienne M-H, Etowa J, et al. A systematic review on vaccine hesitancy in Black communities in Canada: critical issues and research failures. Vaccines. 2022;10 (11):1937. doi: 10.3390/vaccines10111937.
- 8. Cénat JM, Moshirian Farahi SMM, Broussard C, Dalexis RD. The state of COVID-19 vaccine confidence and need in Black individuals in Canada: understanding the role of sociodemographic factors, health literacy, conspiracy theories, traumatic stressors and racial discrimination. Vaccine. 2024;42(4):960-968. doi: 10. 1016/j.vaccine.2023.10.048.
- 9. Nabirova D, Horth R, Kassabekova L, Henderson A, Yesmagambetova A, Alaverdyan S, Nuorti JP, Smagul M. Corrigendum: factors associated with COVID-19 vaccine confidence among primary care providers in Kazakhstan, March-April 2021. Front Public Heal. 2023;11:11. doi: 10.3389/fpubh. 2023.1308374.
- 10. Yu H, Bauermeister JA, Oyiborhoro U, Aryal S, Lipman TH, Tan ASL, Glanz K, Villarruel AM, Bonett S. Trust in federal COVID-19 vaccine oversight and parents' willingness to vaccinate their children against COVID-19: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2024;24(1):830. doi: 10.1186/s12889-024-18342-y.
- 11. Dalexis RD, Moshirian Farahi SMM, Dort J, Beogo I, Clorméus LA, Caulley L, Xu Y, Cénat JM. Rates and factors related to COVID-19 vaccine uptake in racialized and indigenous individuals in Canada: the deleterious effect of experience of racial discrimination. J Med Virol. 2024;96(12). doi: 10.1002/ jmv.70127.
- 12. Cénat JM, Farahi SMMM, Dalexis RD, Yaya S, Caulley L, Chomienne M. COVID-19 vaccine uptake, conspiracy theories, and health literacy among Black individuals in Canada: racial discrimination, confidence in health, and COVID-19 stress as mediators. J Med Virol. 2024;96(2). doi: 10.1002/jmv.29467.
- 13. Kaida A, Brotto LA, Murray MCM, Côté HCF, Albert AY, Nicholson V, Gormley R, Gordon S, Booth A, Smith LW, et al. Intention to receive a COVID-19 vaccine by HIV status among a population-based sample of women and gender diverse individuals in British Columbia, Canada. AIDS Behav. 2022;26 (7):2242-2255. doi: 10.1007/s10461-022-03577-w.

- 14. Schellenberg N, Dietrich Leurer M, Petrucka P, Crizzle A. Predictors and impact of trust on vaccine decisions in parents of 2-year-old children in Canada: findings from the 2017 childhood national immunization coverage survey (cNICS). BMC Public Health. 2023;23(1):1796. doi: 10.1186/s12889-023-16705-5.
- 15. McGregor S, Goldman RD. Determinants of parental vaccine hesitancy. Can Fam Physician. 2021;67(5):339-341. doi: 10. 46747/cfp.6705339.
- 16. McKee C, Bohannon K. Exploring the reasons behind parental refusal of vaccines. J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther. 2016;21(2):104-109. doi: 10.5863/1551-6776-21.2.104.
- 17. McKinnon B, Quach C, Dubé È, Tuong Nguyen C, Zinszer K. Social inequalities in COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and uptake for children and adolescents in Montreal, Canada. Vaccine. 2021;39(49):7140-7145. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.10.077.
- 18. Hetherington E, Edwards SA, MacDonald SE, Racine N, Madigan S, McDonald S, Tough S. SARS-CoV-2 vaccination intentions among mothers of children aged 9 to 12 years: a survey of the all our families cohort. C Open. 2021;9(2):E548-55. doi: 10.9778/cmajo.20200302.
- 19. Allen JD, Fu Q, Nguyen KH, Rose R, Silva D, Corlin L. Parents' willingness to vaccinate children for COVID-19: conspiracy theories, information sources, and perceived responsibility. J Health Commun. 2023;28(1):15-27. doi: 10. 1080/10810730.2023.2172107.
- 20. Iannello P, Colautti L, Magenes S, Antonietti A, Cancer A. Blackand-white thinking and conspiracy beliefs prevent parents from vaccinating their children against COVID -19. Appl Cogn Psychol. 2022;36:1177-1187.
- 21. Mantina NM, Ngaybe MGB, Zeiders KH, Osman KM, Wilkinson-Lee AM, Landor AM, Hoyt LT. Latinx youth's and parents' COVID-19 beliefs, vaccine hesitancy and vaccination rates: longitudinal associations in a community sample. PLOS ONE. 2024;19 (7):e0307479. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0307479.
- 22. Glanz JM, Wagner NM, Narwaney KJ, Shoup JA, McClure DL, McCormick EV, Daley MF. A mixed methods study of parental vaccine decision making and parent-provider trust. Acad Pediatr. 2013;13(5):481-488. doi: 10.1016/j.acap.2013.05.030.
- 23. Bogart LM, Dong L, Gandhi P, Klein DJ, Smith TL, Ryan S, Ojikutu BO. COVID-19 vaccine intentions and mistrust in a national sample of Black Americans. J Natl Med Assoc. 2022;113(6):599-611. doi: 10.1016/j.jnma.2021.05.011.
- 24. Williams DR, Yu Y, Jackson JS, Anderson NB. Racial differences in physical and mental health. J Health Psychol. 1997;2(3):335-351. doi: 10.1177/135910539700200305.
- 25. Cénat JM, Farahi SMMM, Dalexis RD. Prevalence and determinants of depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms among Black individuals in Canada in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. Psychiatry Res. 2023;326:115341. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2023. 115341.
- 26. Cénat JM, Hajizadeh S, Dalexis RD, Ndengeyingoma A, Guerrier M, Kogan C. Prevalence and effects of daily and major experiences of racial discrimination and microaggressions among Black individuals in Canada. J Interpers Violence. 2022;37(17–18): NP16750-78. doi: 10.1177/08862605211023493.
- 27. Cénat JM, Kogan C, Noorishad P, Hajizadeh S, Dalexis RD, Ndengeyingoma A, Guerrier M. Prevalence and correlates of depression among Black individuals in Canada: the major role of everyday racial discrimination. Depress Anxiety. 2021;38 (9):886-895. doi: 10.1002/da.23158.
- 28. Norman CD, Skinner HA. eHEALS: the eHealth literacy scale. J Med Internet Res. 2006;8(4):e27. doi: 10.2196/jmir.8.4.e27.
- Constantinou M, Kagialis A, Karekla M. COVID-19 scientific facts vs. conspiracy theories: is science failing to pass its message? Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(12):6343. doi: 10.3390/ iierph18126343.
- 30. Bell S, Clarke R, Mounier-Jack S, Walker JL, Paterson P. Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future COVID-19 vaccine: a multi-methods study in England. Vaccine. 2020;38 (49):7789-7798. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.10.027.



- 31. Toshkov D. Explaining the gender gap in COVID-19 vaccination attitudes. Eur J Public Health. 2023;33(3):490-495. doi: 10.1093/ eurpub/ckad052
- 32. Galanis P, Vraka I, Siskou O, Konstantakopoulou O, Katsiroumpa A, Kaitelidou D. Willingness, refusal and influential factors of parents to vaccinate their children against the COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Prev Med (Baltim). 2022;157:106994. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2022.106994.
- 33. Jansen T, Rademakers J, Waverijn G, Verheij R, Osborne R, Heijmans M. The role of health literacy in explaining the association between educational attainment and the use of out-of-hours primary care services in chronically ill people: a survey study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2018;18(1):394. doi: 10.1186/s12913-018-3197-4.
- 34. Szilagyi PG, Shah MD, Delgado JR, Thomas K, Vizueta N, Cui Y, Vangala S, Shetgiri R, Kapteyn AP. Parents' intentions and perceptions about COVID-19 vaccination for their Children: results from a national survey. Pediatrics. 2021;148(4):148. doi: 10.1542/peds.
- 35. Bektas İ, Bektas M. The effects of parents' vaccine hesitancy and COVID-19 vaccine literacy on attitudes toward vaccinating their children during the pandemic. J Pediatr Nurs. 2023;71:e70-4. doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2023.04.016.
- 36. Dagli E, Topokara N. The effect of parents' health literacy level on the attitudes and behaviors of childhood vaccinations. Black Sea J Heal Sci. 2023;6(1):47-56. doi: 10.19127/bshealthscience.1167822
- 37. Kocamaz EB, Kocamaz H. Awareness of Covid-19 and attitudes toward vaccination in parents of children between 0 and 18 years: a cross-sectional study. J Pediatr Nurs. 2022;65:75-81. doi: 10.1016/ j.pedn.2022.03.011.

- 38. Gendler Y, Ofri L. Investigating the influence of vaccine literacy, vaccine perception and vaccine hesitancy on Israeli parents' acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine for their children: a cross-sectional study. Vaccines. 2021;9(12):1391. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9121391.
- 39. Zhang H, Chen L, Huang Z, Li D, Tao Q, Zhang F. The effects of parent's health literacy and health beliefs on vaccine hesitancy. Vaccine. 2023;41(13):2120-2126. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2023.02.
- 40. Cénat JM. Racial discrimination in healthcare services among Black individuals in Canada as a major threat for public health: its association with COVID-19 vaccine mistrust and uptake, conspiracy beliefs, depression, anxiety, stress, and community resilience. Public Health. 2024;230:207-215. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.
- 41. Gibbons FX, Kingsbury JH, Weng C-Y, Gerrard M, Cutrona C, Wills TA, Stock M. Effects of perceived racial discrimination on health status and health behavior: a differential mediation hypothesis. Heal Psychol. 2014;33(1):11-19. doi: 10.1037/ a0033857.
- 42. Cénat JM. How to provide anti-racist mental health care. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(11):929-931. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)
- 43. Lekas H-M, Pahl K, Fuller Lewis C. Rethinking cultural competence: shifting to cultural humility. Heal Serv Insights. 2020;13:13. doi: 10.1177/1178632920970580.
- 44. Rousseau C, Gomez-Carrillo A, Cénat JM. Safe enough? Rethinking the concept of cultural safety in healthcare and training. Br J Psychiatry. 2022;221(4):587-588. doi: 10.1192/bjp. 2022.102.