Original Article

Domestic Violence as a Risk Factor for Maternal Depression and Neonatal Outcomes: A Hospital-Based Cohort Study

Rida Nongrum, Elsy Thomas, Jessie Lionel, Kuruthukulangara S. Jacob¹

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study attempted to follow up a cohort of women who presented to a tertiary hospital to investigate the effect of domestic violence on maternal and neonatal outcomes. **Materials and Methods:** Women, between 26-34 weeks of gestation, attending the obstetrics outpatient department, were recruited and followed up until delivery. They were assessed at recruitment and after delivery using the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale, the Abuse Assessment Screen, and a pro forma to assess socio-demographic and clinical characteristics. Bivariate and multivariate statistics were employed to assess statistical significance. **Results:** One hundred and fifty women were recruited, 132 delivered in the hospital and were followed up. Domestic violence was associated with antenatal and postnatal depression, spouse's insistence of a boy baby, medical complications during pregnancy, preterm delivery, and lower birth-weight. **Conclusion:** Domestic violence has a significant impact on maternal and neonatal outcomes. Screening for domestic violence and interventions should be part of all antenatal programs. India should also employ public health approaches to change its patriarchal culture.

Key words: Cohort design, domestic violence, India, maternal and neonatal outcomes

INTRODUCTION

Domestic violence, a global problem, is also a significant public health concern in India. Studies from India have documented high rates of such violence.^[1-4] Studies analyzing results from the National Family Health Survey -3 have documented a rate of 39.6%.^[5] In addition, to being a human rights concern, domestic violence is also been linked to adverse health outcome including termination of pregnancy,^[5] sexually

Access this article online	
	Quick Response Code
Website: www.ijpm.info	
DOI: 10.4103/0253-7176.130989	

transmitted infections, attempted suicide,^[6] HIV infection,^[7] depression, and anxiety.^[8] Violence has also been recorded during pregnancy^[9] and is associated with preterm births^[10] and low birth weight infants.^[11] This study attempted to investigate the effects of domestic violence on maternal and neonatal outcomes during pregnancy and delivery.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and setting

The study employed a prospective cohort design. Pregnant women attending the obstetrics outpatient department were recruited and followed up until delivery.

Participants

Women, between 26-34 weeks of gestation, who were planning to deliver in the institution, were recruited

Departments of Obstetrics and Gynecology, ¹Psychiatry, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India

Address for correspondence: Prof. Kuruthukulangara S. Jacob Department of Psychiatry, Christian Medical College, Vellore- 632 002, Tamil Nadu, India. E-mail: ksjacob@cmcvellore.ac.in for the study. The details of the study were explained, and written informed consent was obtained. The study protocol was cleared by the Institutional Review Board.

Data collection

The following instruments were administered:

- 1. A pro forma to collect socio-demographic and clinical characteristics,
- 2. Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS):^[12] The scale is a commonly employed instrument to assess peri-natal depression. It is a 10-item screen, which is easy to administer and score. It has been employed in many cultures and settings. It has been used in India, and the Tamil version has been employed at Vellore,^[13]
- 3. Abuse Assessment Screen (AAS):^[14,15] This instrument has been commonly employed to assess intimate partner violence in clinical and research settings. It has been used in many countries including India. Privacy and confidentiality was ensured.

Follow-up

The women were followed up until delivery. The following instruments were employed to assess clinical outcome:

- 1. A pro forma to collect maternal and neonatal outcomes,
- 2. Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale,
- 3. Abuse Assessment Screen.

Bias

The exposure and outcomes were measured by different physicians. While the exposure was collected by RN, the maternal and neonatal outcomes were documented by the attending physicians and subsequently transcribed from the patient medical records.

Statistics

Descriptive statistics were used to describe continuous variables while frequency distributions were obtained for categorical variables. Mann-Whitney U test was used to assess the significance of continuous variables while the chi-squared test was employed for categorical data. Multivariate analysis, using multiple linear and logistic regressions, was employed to adjust for the effect of maternal age. Subjects lost to follow-up were compared to those who delivery at the hospital in order to assess for participation bias. The sample size was calculated (n = 126) using the computer program Epi-Info version 6 using the value of domestic violence taken as $20\%^{[2]}$ and a precision of 7%.

RESULTS

One hundred and fifty women were recruited for the study. The majority were young women (mean 26.32 years, SD 4.22), literate (100%), housewives (86.7%), primigravidae (59.3%), lived in joint families (73.7%),

from middle class backgrounds (mean income Rupees 9000 per month, SD 8252) and were married for a few years (mean 3.11, SD 3.28). Their spouses were a few years older (mean 31.55, SD 3.40) and were literate (100%) and employed (100%). Eleven (7.3%) women reported a history of domestic violence. One hundred and thirty-two (88.0%) were followed up to delivery. There were no statistically significant difference between women who were lost to follow up and those who were followed up till delivery on the following variables: Age, education, occupation, type of family, debt, spouse's age, education, occupation, income, gestation at recruitment, antenatal depression scores, and history of domestic violence.

The proportion of women who had vaginal, instrumental, and cesarean deliveries was 51.5%, 12.9%, and 35.6%, respectively. Sixty-seven babies (50.8%) delivered were boys. The mean APGAR scores at 1 and 5 minutes were 8.86 (SD 0.88) and 9.48 (SD 0.97), respectively. The mean birth weight was 2.886 Kg (SD 0.516).

Table 1 documents the characteristics significantly associated with domestic violence. Domestic violence was associated with spouse's desire for a boy baby, complications of pregnancy, maternal antenatal and postnatal depression, preterm delivery, and lower birth weight after adjusting for age using multivariate statistics.

DISCUSSION

While there is much data from India on domestic/ intimate partner violence and it impact on the mother, there is a dearth of data on its impact on pregnancy, delivery, and neonatal outcomes. The strengths of this study are its prospective cohort design, independent assessments of exposure and clinical outcomes, a good follow up, and the use of multivariate statistics. Its limitations include the fact that its sample and the proportion of women reporting violence was relatively small.

The study confirmed the findings of investigations, which have confirmed a significant association between domestic violence and maternal and pregnancy-related outcomes (e.g. maternal depression).^[6,8] It also supported evidence that gender-based violence was associated with the patriarchal preference for boy babies.^[13] The findings also argued that such violence impact on neonatal outcomes (e.g. preterm births and lower birth weights).^[10,11]

This study adds to the evidence that domestic violence is a significant maternal, child health, and public health issue, which has a significant impact on maternal and neonatal outcomes. It mandates routine screening

Clinical characteristic/ outcome	Women who experienced domestic violence Mean (sd) no. (%)	Women who did not experience domestic violence Mean (sd) no. (%)	Bivariate statistics Mann- Whitney U test/Chi- squared test	Multivariate statistics ¹ adjusted for age
Baseline characteristics				
Age (in years)	29.12 (4.2)	26.37 (4.2)	300.5; z-1.87; P=0.061	B=2.754; SE=1.527; P=0.074
Income per month (in Indian rupees)	1256.2 (8516.5)	8585.3(8148.6)	491.0; z=1.98; P=0.048	B=4725.4; SE=2587.0; p=0.07
Debt present	2 (25.0)	7 (5.6)	χ ²⁼ 4.43; df=1; <i>P</i> =0.035	OR=5.65; 95% CI 0.93, 34.35; P=0.060
Employed outside home	3 (37.5)	16 (12.9)	$\chi^{2=5.45}$; df=1; P=0.02	OR=3.20; 95% CI 0.65, 15.74; P=0.152
Baseline EPDS score	13.25 (4.3)	3.58 (4.67)	117.0; z=-4.86; P=0.000	B=9.501; SE=1.707; P=0.000
Spouse insistent on boy baby	1 (12.5)	1 (0.8)	χ ²⁼ 6.89; df=1; <i>P</i> =0.009	OR=59.0; 95% CI 1.96, 1776.0; P=0.019
Spouse's education-college	6 (75.0)	56 (45.2)	χ ²⁼ 10.47; df=3; <i>P</i> =0.015	OR=3.24; 95% CI 0.62, 16.96; P=0.16
Maternal outcome				
Total number of medical complications	1.25 (1.39)	0.23 (0.49)	574.5; z=-2.02; P=0.043	B=1.024; SE=0.212; P=0.000
Post-partum EPDS score	12.75 (3.81)	3.40 (4.62)	67.0; z=4.38;P=0.000	B=9.140; 1.680; P=0.000
Neonatal outcome				
Preterm gestation	3 (42.9)	10 (8.1)	χ ²⁼ 8.97; df=1; <i>P</i> =0.003	OR=7.78; 95% CI 1.49, 40.50; P=0.015
Birth weight	2.52 (1.10)	2.91 (0.45)	398.5; z=-0.93; P=0.35	B=-0.388; SE=0.188; P=0.041

¹Multiple Linear Regression employed for continuous dependent variables, while Logistic Regression employed for categorical variables. The following variables were not statistically significantly related to domestic violence: Education, duration of marriage, consanguinity of marriage, type of family, spouse's age, spouse's education, smoking and alcohol use status of spouse, extramarital affair of spouse, duration of labour, duration of rupture of membranes, duration of hospital stay, APGAR scores at 1 and 5 minutes, sex of baby

during the antenatal period and calls for effective clinical interventions for prevention. It also argues for social strategies to change the patriarchal culture and public health approaches to bring about such change in Indian society.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The study was funded by a grant from the Christian Medical College, Vellore.

REFERENCES

- Rocca CH, Rathod S, Falle T, Pande RP, Krishnan S. Challenging assumptions about women's empowerment: Social and economic resources and domestic violence among young married women in urban South India. Int J Epidemiol2009;38:577-85.
- Stephenson R, Koenig MA, Acharya R, Roy TK. Domestic violence, contraceptive use, and unwanted pregnancy in rural India. Stud Fam Plann 2008;39:177-86.
- Koenig MA, Stephenson R, Ahmed S, Jejeebhoy SJ, Campbell J. Individual and contextual determinants of domestic violence in North India. Am J Public Health 2006;96:132-8.
- Jeyaseelan L, Kumar S, Neelakantan N, Peedicayil A, Pillai R, Duvvury N.Physical spousal violence against women in India: Some risk factors. J Biosoc Sci 2007;39:657-70.
- Yoshikawa K, Agrawal NR, Poudel KC, Jimba M.A lifetime experience of violence and adverse reproductive outcomes: Findings from population surveys in India. Biosci Trends 2012;6:115-21.
- Chowdhary N, Patel V.The effect of spousal violence on women's health: Findings from the Stree Arogya Shodh in Goa, India. J Postgrad Med 2008;54:306-12.

- Silverman JG, Decker MR, Saggurti N, Balaiah D, Raj A. Intimate partner violence and HIV infection among married Indian women. JAMA 2008;300:703-10.
- 8. Patel V, Kirkwood BR, Pednekar S, Pereira B, Barros P, Fernandes J, *et al*.Gender disadvantage and reproductive health risk factors for common mental disorders in women: A community survey inIndia. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2006;63:404-13.
- Peedicayil A, Sadowski LS, Jeyaseelan L, Shankar V, Jain D, Suresh S, et al. India SAFE Group. Spousal physical violence against women during pregnancy. BJOG 2004;111:682-7.
- Rodrigues T, Rocha L, Barros H. Physical abuse during pregnancy and preterm delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2008;198:171.e1-6.
- 11. Sharps PW, Laughon K, Giangrande SK.Intimate partner violence and the childbearing year: Maternal and infant health consequences. Trauma Violence Abuse 2007;8:105-16.
- Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. Br J Psychiatry 1987;150:782-6.
- Chandran M, Tharyan P, Muliyil J, Abraham S. Post-partum depression in a cohort of women from a rural area of Tamil Nadu, India. Incidence and risk factors. Br J Psychiatry 2002;181:499-504.
- McFarlane J, Parker B, Soeken K, Bullock L. Assessing for abuse during pregnancy. Severity and frequency of injuries and associated entry into prenatal care. JAMA 1992;267:3176-8.
- Laughon K, Renker P, Glass N, Parker B. Revision of the Abuse Assessment Screen to address nonlethal strangulation. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2008;37:502-7.

How to cite this article: Nongrum R, Thomas E, Lionel J, Jacob KS. Domestic violence as a risk factor for maternal depression and neonatal outcomes: A hospital-based cohort study. Indian J Psychol Med 2014;36:179-81.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None.