COVID-19



Cognitive impairment in young COVID-19 patients: the tip of the iceberg?

Claudio Solaro 10 · Giulia Gamberini · Fabio Giuseppe Masuccio 1

Received: 7 May 2021 / Accepted: 4 August 2021 / Published online: 17 August 2021 © Fondazione Società Italiana di Neurologia 2021

Keywords Cognition · COVID · MoCA

We read with interest the article entitled "Neurological manifestations of coronavirus disease 2019: exploring past to understand present" [1] that reported neurological manifestations at onset and along management of COVID-19.

Regarding clinical features and investigations for neurological manifestations, cognitive impairment is not mentioned and no data are available on cognitive burden of the SARS-CoV-2 infection in subjects independent and without a history of cognitive impairment before the infection [2, 3]. We reported cognitive functions in hospitalized sub-acute subjects with symptomatic COVID-19 who were previously independent at home younger than 60 years old.

Inclusion criteria are the following: radiologically COVID-19 pneumonia, positive nasopharyngeal swab, independent at home before the infection (Barthel Index = 100), employed, no neurological diseases, no delirium episodes during COVID-19 acute phase, no mechanical ventilation, no new COVID-19-related neurological symptoms (ageusia or anosmia), and no oxygen supplementation at the time of evaluation.

All patients were screened by a trained neurologist and neuropsychologist for cognitive function with Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA; pathological cut-off: 23) [4] and for depressive and anxious symptoms with Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D; pathological cut-off: 8) and Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A; pathological cut-off: 17). An evaluation of blood oxygen saturation and heart rate was performed before and after the neuropsychological assessment. The type of clinical onset was registered (respiratory onset/other). All the patients gave written informed consent before participating in the study.

symptoms, blood oxygen saturation and heart rate before and after MoCA, frequency of comorbidities, depressive symptoms, and anxiety. In addition, 10 out of 19 unimpaired subjects at MoCA were further tested with Trial Making Test (attention) and Symbol Digit (executive functions), showing pathological scores in 8 subjects, while Phonemic Fluency was in range.

MoCA is a useful screening tool to detect cognitive

tion, was 20(8) (Table 1).

MoCA is a useful screening tool to detect cognitive impairment in sub-acute COVID-19 but without enough sensitivity in younger. Results suggest a significant unexpected rate of cognitive impairment in young sub-acute COVID-19 subjects at time of hospital discharge. The ongoing follow-up will be crucial to understand the impact on everyday life and work. The results highlight the need of a multidisciplinary team to face the major rehabilitation and socio-economic relevance issues in the frame of the so-called long COVID [5, 6].

Out of 522 subjects admitted to the Internal Medi-

cine COVID Unit from the 1st of November 2020 to the

31st of March 2021, 32 patients were selected (mean

age = 53.7(4.8); 19 M/13F); 5 subjects reported type 2 dia-

and/or depressive symptoms (mean HAM-D score = 5(8.5)).

The mean score of MoCA test, corrected for age and educa-

impaired and 19 unimpaired subjects (63.33%). The two

groups were comparable for age, sex, COVID-19 onset

No subjects showed anxiety (mean HAM-A score = 6(10))

MoCA score of 23 classified 13 (36.67%) cognitively

betes mellitus, 11 hypertension, and 3 asthma.



Department of Rehabilitation, CRRF. "Mons. L. Novarese", Loc. Trompone, SNC, 13040 Moncrivello, VC, Italy

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the study population according to MoCA (expressed as means and standard deviation; differences expressed as *p* value, effect size and relative 95% CI)

Parameter	Population $(n=32)$	$MoCA < 23 \ (n = 13)$	MoCA > 23 (n = 19)	p	Effect size (95% CI)
Age (years)	53.77(4.81)	54.64(5.16)	53.26(4.66)	0.461 ^a	$0.283 (-0.466 \text{ to} -1.027)^{\text{b}}$
Length of stay (days)	16.54(9.08)	17(12.21)	16.29(7.35)	0.885^{a}	$0.076 (-0.733 \text{ to } 0.884)^{b}$
SpO2 (%) ^c	94.77(2.28)	94.81(2.60)	94.74(2.16)	0.927^{a}	$0.035 (-0.708 \text{ to } 0.777)^{b}$
HR (bpm) ^c	66.43(13.82)	68.91(14.53)	65(13.59)	0.465^{a}	$0.281 (-0.468 \text{ to } 1.024)^{b}$
SpO2 (%) ^d	95.33(3.56)	95.45(3.50)	95.26(3.68)	0.890^{a}	$0.053 (-0.690 \text{ to } 0.795)^{b}$
HR (bpm) ^d	80.83(13.89)	76(16.20)	81.89(12.72)	0.591^{a}	$-0.206 (-0.949 \text{ to } 0.540)^{b}$
ΔSpO2 (%) ^e	0.57(3.06)	0.64(2.33)	0.53(3.47)	0.926^{a}	$0.035 (-0.708 \text{ to } 0.778)^{b}$
$\Delta HR (bpm)^f$	14.40(15.32)	10.09(20.34)	16.89(11.41)	0.248^{a}	0.447 (-1.195 to 0.308) ^b
HAM-D	4.90(5.30)	4.73(5.62)	5(5.26)	0.895^{a}	$-0.051 (-0.793 \text{ to } 0.693)^{\text{b}}$
HAM-A	5.93(5.66)	5(5.40)	6.47(5.87)	0.501^{a}	$-0.258 (-1.001 \text{ to } 0.490)^{\text{b}}$
MoCA score	23.50(4.24)	19.01(3.70)	26.03(2.72)	0.0001^{a}	$-2.430 (-3.393 \text{ to } 1.441)^{\text{b}}$
Sub-items ^g					
- Orientation	5/25	5/8	0/19	0.0001^{h}	3.497 (0.468 to 6.525) ⁱ
- Denomination	2/28	1/12	1/18	0.685^{h}	$0.588 (-2.290 \text{ to } 3.465)^{i}$
- Visuospatial Ability	8/22	7/6	1/18	0.0002^{h}	3.450 (1.091 to 5.809) ⁱ
- Executive Functions	17/13	10/3	7/12	0.004^{h}	2.842 (0.584 to 5.099) ⁱ
- Memory	23/7	10/3	13/6	0.161 h	$1.529 (-0.742 \text{ to } 3.801)^{i}$
- Attention	10/20	5/8	5/14	0.284 h	$0.847 (-0.718 \text{ to } 2.413)^{i}$
- Fluency	14/16	9/4	5/14	0.003^{h}	2.534 (0.692 to 4.375) ⁱ
- Calculation	9/21	8/5	1/18	0.0001^{h}	3.871 (1.460 to 6.283) ⁱ
Comorbidities (Yes/No)					
- Hypertension	11/21	6/7	5/14	0.122^{h}	$-1.212 (-2.778 \text{ to } 0.354)^{i}$
- T2DM	5/27	3/10	2/17	0.236^{h}	$-1.159 (-3.136 \text{ to } 0.817)^{i}$

SpO2, blood oxygen saturation; HR, heart rate; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; HAM-A, Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus. ^aStudent t-test (significance for p < 0.05); ^bCohen's d and relative 95% confidence interval; ^cbefore MoCA; ^dafter MoCA; ^eASpO2, SpO2 after MoCA-SpO2 before MoCA; ^f Δ HR, HR after MoCA-HR before MoCA; ^gnumber of subjects with pathological score/number of subjects with normal score; ^hchi-squared test (significance for p < 0.05); ⁱodds ratio and relative 95% confidence interval

References

- Desai I, Manchanda R, Kumar N, Tiwari A, Kumar M (2021) Neurological manifestations of coronavirus disease 2019: exploring past to understand present. Neurol Sci 42(3):773–785
- Ritchie K, Chan D, Watermeyer T (2020) The cognitive consequences of the COVID-19 epidemic: collateral damage? Brain Commun 2:1–5
- 3. Paterson RW, Brown RL, Benjamin L, Nortley R, Wiethoff S, Bharucha T et al (2020) The emerging spectrum of COVID-19 neurology: Clinical, radiological and laboratory findings. Brain 143:3104–3120
- Carson N, Leach L, Murphy KJ (2018) A re-examination of Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) cutoff scores. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 33:379–388
- Nalbandian A, Sehgal K, Gupta A, Madhavan MV, McGroder C, Stevens JS et al (2021) Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Nat Med 27(4):601–615
- The Lancet Neurology (2021) Long COVID: understanding the neurological effects. Lancet Neurol. 20(4):247

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

