

Reclassification of 11 Members of the Family Rhodobacteraceae at Genus and Species Levels and Proposal of *Pseudogemmobacter hezensis* sp. nov.

Tengfei Ma¹, Han Xue¹, Chungen Piao¹, Chengyi Liu², Mei Yang², Danran Bian¹ and Yong Li^{1*}

¹ Key Laboratory of Forest Protection of National Forestry and Grassland Administration, Ecology and Nature Conservation Institute, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing, China, ² Panzhihua City Academy of Agricultural and Forest Sciences, Panzhihua, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Baokai Cui, Beijing Forestry University, China

Reviewed by:

Stefan Spring, German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures GmbH (DSMZ), Germany Qiliang Lai, Third Institute of Oceanography, State Oceanic Administration, China

> *Correspondence: Yong Li Iylx78@hotmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Evolutionary and Genomic Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 06 January 2022 Accepted: 04 March 2022 Published: 13 April 2022

Citation:

Ma T, Xue H, Piao C, Liu C, Yang M, Bian D and Li Y (2022) Reclassification of 11 Members of the Family Rhodobacteraceae at Genus and Species Levels and Proposal of Pseudogemmobacter hezensis sp. nov. Front. Microbiol. 13:849695. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.849695 A novel Gram-stain-negative, aerobic, motile bacterial strain, D13-10-4-6^T, was isolated from the bark sample of Populus × euramericana. The strain could grow at 15-35°C, at pH 6-10 and in 0-4% (w/v) NaCl, and the strain tested positive for oxidase and catalase activities. The main polar lipids were phosphatidylmonomethylethanolamine, diphosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylglycerol, and phosphatidylethanolamine. The main respiratory guinone was Q-10, and the predominant fatty acid was $C_{18,1}$ $\omega 7c$. The phylogenetic analyses showed that the strain belonged to the genus Pseudogemmobacter of the family Rhodobacteraceae. The family Rhodobacteraceae is an ecologically diverse group that includes bacteria from aquatic to terrestrial ecosystems. As a consequence, the classification of the family Rhodobacteraceae is difficult, not least when the early taxonomy work relied heavily on 16S rRNA gene analysis. Recently, the taxonomic status of many members of the family has been revised based on the genome analysis; however, there are still some classification conflicts due to the lack of genome sequences and parallel publication time. In this study, phylogenetic trees based on 16S rRNA gene, gyrB gene, and 120 concatenated proteins, the average amino acid identity (AAI) and percentage of conserved proteins (POCP) have been used for the analysis of strain D13-10-4-6^T and other members of 15 genera within the family to further clarify their taxonomic relationships. For the data of phylogeny, AAI, and POCP, the taxonomic proposals are (1) reclassification of Rhodobacter tardus as the type species of a novel genus, Stagnihabitans gen. nov., as Stagnihabitans tardus comb. nov.; (2) reclassification of Tabrizicola alkalilacus, Tabrizicola sediminis, Tabrizicola algicola into a novel genus, Pseudotabrizicola gen. nov., as Pseudotabrizicola alkalilacus comb. nov., Pseudotabrizicola sediminis comb. nov., Pseudotabrizicola algicola comb. nov.; (3) reclassification of Rhodobacter sediminicola into the genus Cereibacter as Cereibacter sediminicola comb. nov.; (4) reclassification of Rhodobacter flagellatus, Rhodobacter thermarum, and Xinfangfangia soli into the genus Tabrizicola as Tabrizicola flagellatus comb. nov., Tabrizicola thermarum comb. Nov., and

Tabrizicola soli comb. nov.; (5) reclassification of *Xinfangfangia humi* into the genus *Pseudogemmobacter* as *Pseudogemmobacter humicola* comb. nov.; (6) classification of strain D13-10-4-6^T as a novel species of the genus *Pseudogemmobacter,* for which the name *P. hezensis* sp. nov. is proposed, the type strain is D13-10-4-6^T (= CFCC 12033^T = KCTC 82215^T).

Keywords: Xinfangfangia, cereibacter, Rhodobacter, Tabrizicola, Pseudotabrizicola, GTDB-Tk, average amino acid identity

INTRODUCTION

Populus \times *euramericana* cakers on poplar trees were found in China for many years, and the stem or branch bark of the diseased tree was cracked and exuded frothy fluid. During our investigation of the bacterial diversity in *Populus* × *euramericana* caker, strain D13-10-4-6^T was isolated from the symptomatic bark of *Populus* × *euramericana* caker. The phylogenetic analyses showed that the strain belonged to the genus Pseudogemmobacter of the family Rhodobacteraceae. The family Rhodobacteraceae, described by Garrity et al. (2005), the so-called purple nonsulfur bacteria (Imhoff et al., 1998), is one of the major subdivisions of the class Alphaproteobacteria. It is ecologically and phenotypically diverse, and most of the members of the family have been found in various marine environments, including seawater, sea sediments, sea ice, coastal biofilms, marine animal tissues, and seaweeds (Selje et al., 2004; Buchan et al., 2005; Brinkhoff et al., 2008). At the time of writing, the family included more than 180 genera with validated names.¹

The early classification of the genera within the family Rhodobacteraceae relied heavily on the analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequence and resulted in several non-monophyletic genera, for instance, the genus Rhodobacter (Imhoff et al., 1984). The genus Rhodobacter was reclassified by Suresh et al. (2019) and Hördt et al. (2020) based on the genome analysis. The members of the genus were divided into five distinct clades in the 16S rRNA gene-based phylogenetic tree constructed by Suresh et al. (2019). The Cereibacter sphaeroides (formerly Rhodobacter sphaeroides) clade was reclassified into the genus Luteovulum (Suresh et al., 2019) and then transferred into the genus Cereibacter by Hördt et al. (2020). However, Rhodobacter alkalitolerans, which belongs to the C. sphaeroides clade, was not reclassified due to the lack of genomic sequence. At present, the genus Rhodobacter contains 13 species with validated names according to the List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature (LSPN).² The taxonomic status of the recently described Rhodobacter species, R. thermarum (Khan et al., 2019), R. flagellatus (Xian et al., 2020), R. sediminicola (Suresh et al., 2020), and R. tardus (Sheu et al., 2020), was also not included in the early reclassification of Rhodobacter due to the nearly parallel time of description, causing confusion in the classification of the genus Rhodobacter.

The genus *Xinfangfangia*, described by Hu et al. (2018), is closely related to the genera of *Rhodobacter* and *Tabrizicola* within the family Rhodobacteraceae (Hu et al., 2018). It contains

only two species with validated names, namely, *Xinfangfangia* soli and *Xinfangfangia humi* (Kämpfer et al., 2019). The genus *Pseudogemmobacter* described by Suman et al. (2019) contains only one species with validly published names, namely, *Pseudogemmobacter bohemicus*. While *X. humi* and *P. bohemicus*, which were proposed almost simultaneously, share a high 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity of 99.2%. Therefore, the relationship of *P. bohemicus* and *X. Humi* needs to be studied.

Along with the advances in whole-genome sequencing technologies, several methods for taxonomic classification at the species and genus levels have been developed. The new standards for species recognition are developed using digital whole-genome comparisons, such as average nucleotide identities (ANIs) (Konstantinidis and Tiedje, 2005) and genome-togenome-distance calculations (GGDCs) (Richter and Rosselló-Móra, 2009; Meier-Kolthoff et al., 2013). The average amino acid identity (AAI) (Luo et al., 2014; Rodriguez-R and Konstantinidis, 2014) and percentage of conserved proteins (POCPs) (Qin et al., 2014), which are methods of measuring amino acidlevel genomic similarity between protein-coding regions, have been used in the delineation of prokaryotic organisms at the genus level. Furthermore, the phylogenetic analysis based on the whole-genome sequence has been recently encouraged for the taxonomy of prokaryotes owing to its robustness and repeatability (Chun et al., 2018). Nowadays, along with those methods, the reclassification of prokaryotes at class (Hördt et al., 2020), order (Orata et al., 2018), family (Liang et al., 2021), and genus (Suresh et al., 2019) levels has been done.

In this study, phylogenetic analysis based on the 16S rRNA gene, *gyrB* gene, and genomes sequence, as well as ANI, AAI, and POCP, was used to confirm the taxonomic relationship of the novel strain and its closely related members (e.g., members of the genus *Xinfangfangia* and *Rhodobacter*) in the family Rhodobacteraceae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strain and Culture Conditions

Strain D13-10-4-6^T was isolated from the bark sample of *Populus* × *euramericana* collected from Heze, Shandong Province, China (34° 82'N, 115° 46'E) as previously described (Li et al., 2015). In brief, the bark samples were sterilized for 30 s with 70% ethanol, and then exposed to 4% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite for 3 min. After rinsing in sterile water three times, the samples with 2 ml sterile water were transferred to sterile mortar and

¹https://lpsn.dsmz.de/family/rhodobacteraceae

²https://lpsn.dsmz.de/genus/rhodobacter

ground with a pestle, respectively. The obtained solution was then shaken for 30 min at 30° C. The suspension with a dilution series was spread on tryptic soy agar (TSA, Difco). After 2 days of incubation on TSA plates at 30° C, single colonies were selected and cultured on a new plate, and were then preserved at -80° C with a supplement of 20% (v/v) glycerol.

Genome Sequencing

The genomes of the strains D13-10-4- 6^{T} and *X. soli* ZQBW^T were sequenced by Illumina NovaSeq PE150 (Novogene, Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). Low-quality reads in the raw data were filtered by readfq (version 10), then the genome assembly with high-quality reads was performed using SOAPdenovo (version 2.04) (Li et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010), SPAdes (Bankevich et al., 2012), ABySS (Simpson et al., 2009), and then the results were integrated with CISA (Lin and Liao, 2013). The gap of the genome assembly was filled using gapclose (version 1.12).

Phylogenetic Analysis

The 16S rRNA gene of strain D13-10-4-6^T was amplified by the primers 27F/1492R (Lane, 1991). The similarity of the 16S rRNA gene sequence between the strain D13-10-4- 6^{T} and the validly published bacterial species was determined using EzBio-Cloud's identify service³ (Yoon et al., 2017). The 16S rRNA gene sequence of the related strains was obtained from GenBank for the phylogenetic analysis. After multiple sequence alignment with Clustal W, the phylogenetic analysis was carried out using MEGA X by the neighbor-joining, maximumlikelihood, and maximum-parsimony methods (Kumar et al., 2018). Aquidulcibacter paucihalophilus TH1-2^T was used as an outgroup. The phylogenetic trees were evaluated by 1,000 bootstrap resamplings.

The *gyrB* gene sequences of the strain D13-10-4-6^T were obtained from its genomic sequences according to Altschul et al. (1990), and a 1,050 bp sequence was obtained. The *gyrB* gene sequences of the related strains were obtained from GenBank or their genome sequences. The phylogenetic trees based on the *gyrB* gene sequence were constructed using the maximum-likelihood, neighbor-joining, and maximum-parsimony methods as a description of 16S rRNA gene phylogenetic analysis.

Concatenated protein tree has a higher recognition than single phylogenetic marker gene tree (e.g., 16S rRNA and *gyr*B) for bacterial taxonomy (Ciccarelli et al., 2006; Thiergart et al., 2014), and has been widely used in solving bacterial taxonomy (Hördt et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2021). The genome sequences of the strain D13-10-4-6^T and its related strains retrieved from GenBank (**Supplementary Table 1**) were used to construct the phylogenetic tree. A concatenated alignment of 120 ubiquitous single-copy proteins of the related strains was performed by GTDB-Tk v1.5.1⁴ using the classify_wf command (Chaumeil et al., 2020). The alignment file was used to construct the maximum-likelihood tree with IQ-TREE 2.1.4-beta (Minh et al., 2020), and the best model was automatically selected by ModerFinder for the ML tree. The tree was visualized and edited with iTOL (Letunic and Bork, 2021).

Phylogenomic Metric Calculations

Average nucleotide identity (ANI is a measure of similarity between two genomic sequences, which is a useful tool to differentiate bacterial species in common with DNA-DNA hybridization (DDH) (Goris et al., 2007; Richter and Rosselló-Móra, 2009). The ANI values among the novel strain D13-10-4- 6^{T} and its closely related reference strains (*P. bohemicus* Cd-10^T, *X. humi* IMT-291^T) were determined using OrthoANI (Lee et al., 2016). The GGDC⁵ was used to calculate the dDDH values among the novel and its closely related reference strains (Meier-Kolthoff et al., 2013). The analysis of the average AAI and POCP among the strains in this work was carried out with CompareM⁶ and a Python script (POCP)⁷ (Xu et al., 2020), respectively. The pangenome analysis was carried out with BPGA (Chaudhari et al., 2016) with default parameters.

Chemotaxonomic Characterization

The strain D13-10-4-6^T was shaken for 48 h in a tryptic soy broth (TSB; Difco) at 30°C, then collected by centrifuging at 10,000 rpm for 4 min. The harvested cells were freeze-dried and used to analyze the polar lipid and respiratory quinone. Polar lipids were analyzed by two-dimensional thin-layer chromatography as described by Minnikin et al. (1984). Isoprenoid quinones were extracted from the strain D13-10-4-6^T as reported by Collins et al. (1977), analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (Groth et al., 1997; Du et al., 2013), and confirmed by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry. After culturing for 2 days in TSB at 30°C, the cells were harvested at exponential phase and used for cellular fatty acids. Cellular fatty acids were extracted as reported by Kuykendall et al. (1988), analyzed using the Sherlock Microbial Identification System (Sasser, 1990).

Phenotypic Characterization

Growth conditions of the strain D13-10-4-6^T were determined at different temperature, pH, and salinity levels according to the method described by Li et al. (2016). The growth temperature was set at 4, 10, 15, 20, 25, 28, 30, 37, 41, and 45°C. The pH values for growth were adjusted to various pH values (pH 4.0-11.0, at intervals of 1.0 pH unit) by the buffers (Delory and King, 1945; Gomori, 1955) citrate and Na₂HPO₄ buffer (pH 4.0-5.0), phosphate buffer (pH 6.0-7.0), Tris buffer (pH 8.0-9.0), and Na₂HPO₄/NaOH (pH 10.0-11.0). The salinity was determined in the range of 0-9% (w/v, intervals of 1%). Gram staining was performed according to the method described by Jenkins et al. (2003). To examine the anaerobic growth, the strain was incubated on TSA plates at 30°C for 1 week in an anaerobic jar (Li et al., 2016). The activities of catalase and oxidase were determined by the methods described by Smibert and Krieg (1994). Enzymatic activity, carbon source utilization, and acid production were performed by API ZYM, API 20 NE,

³https://www.ezbiocloud.net/identify

⁴https://github.com/Ecogenomics/GtdbTk

⁵http://ggdc.dsmz.de

⁶https://github.com/dparks1134/CompareM

⁷https://github.com/2015qyliang/POCP

and API 50 CH (bioMérieux) according to the manufacturer's instructions, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genome Information

The genome of strains D13-10-4-6^T and *X. soli* ZQBW^T were sequenced and analyzed. In total, 63 contigs with a total sequence length of 4,683,906 bp for the strain *X. soli* ZQBW^T were obtained, which was predicted to have 4,455 protein-coding genes, 47 tRNA genes, 3 rRNA genes, and 3 other RNA genes. The DNA G + C contents was 67.6%. The strain D13-10-4-6^T genome produced 66 contigs with a total sequence length of 4,605,234 bp, which was predicted to have 4,206 protein-coding genes, 45 tRNA genes, 3 rRNA genes, and 3 other RNA genes. The DNA G + C content 0 for the strain D13-10-4-6^T was 62.9%, which was similar to *P. bohemicus* Cd-10^T (63.2%).

Phylogenetic Analyses

In this study, we have constructed phylogenetic trees based on the 16S rRNA gene, gyrB gene, and concatenated proteins (Figures 1-3) for representative members of the family Rhodobacteraceae encompassing 15 genera. The main groups clustering with the members of Rhodobacteraceae in 16S rRNA gene-based tree, gyrB gene-based tree, and concatenated proteins-based tree are almost consistent. The strains D13-10-4-6^T, P. bohemicus Cd-10^T, and X. humi IMT-291^T form one monophyletic group to in turn form Pseudogemmobacter clade with strong bootstrap support in all three phylogenetic trees (Figures 1-3), which is far removed from the branch of X. soli (the type species of the genus Xinfangfangia). X. humi IMT-291^T forms a distinct branch from the strains D13-10-4- 6^{T} and *P. bohemicus* Cd- 10^{T} in the *Pseudogemmobacter* clade. The results suggested that X. humi $IMT-291^{T}$ should be a species belonging to the genus Pseudogemmobacter, although *P. bohemicus* $Cd-10^T$ and *X. humi* $IMT-291^T$ were published almost simultaneously and shared 99.26% 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity with each other. The strain D13-10-4-6^T forms a distinct branch from *P. bohemicus* $Cd-10^T$ and *X. humi* IMT-291^T in all phylogenetic trees, and it has the highest 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity to *P. bohemicus* Cd- 10^{T} (97.6%) and X. humi IMT-291^T (97.4%), and shares a less than 97% sequence similarity with all other validly published species. The results indicate that the strain D13-10-4-6^T should belong to a novel species of the genus Pseudogemmobacter.

Several genera are non-monophyletic, such as *Rhodobacter*, *Tabrizicola*, and *Xinfangfangia*. In most of the cases, the 16S rRNA gene-based tree shows its low discriminatory power. For instance, the species of the genus *Tabrizicola* are divided into two branches in the 16S rRNA gene-based tree, but they are clustered into three distinct branches, not least *T. aquatica* RCRI19^T (type species of the genus) and *T. piscis* K13M18^T are grouped together with *R. thermarum* YIM 73036^T, *R. flagellatus* SYSU G03088^T, and *X. soli* ZQBW^T in trees based on *gyr*B gene and concatenated proteins tree with strong support.





It can be seen from the trees based on the 16S rRNA gene, *gyrB* gene, and concatenated proteins that *Rhodobacter* and *Tabrizicola* are two closely related non-monophyletic genera. The members of the genus *Tabrizicola* are observed in three clades, clades A, B, and C, which are labeled in **Figures 1–3**. Clade A, formed by *Tabrizicola alkalilacus* DJC^T, *Tabrizicola sediminis* DRYC-M-16^T, and *Tabrizicola algicola* ETT8^T, is next



FIGURE 2 Neighbor-joining phylogenetic trees based on partial *gyr*B gene sequences showing the position of strain D13-10-4-6^T and reference strains. Bootstrap values over 70% (expressed as percentages of 1,000 replications) are shown. The scale bar corresponds to 0.05 substitutions per nucleotide site. Filled circles indicate branches recovered by maximum-likelihood method and open circles at branches recovered by the maximum-parsimony method.



FIGURE 3 | Phylogenetic tree among strain D13-10-4-6T and reference strains based on a concatenated alignment of 120 ubiquitous single-copy proteins. Aquidulcibacter paucihalophilus TH1-2T was used as an outgroup. The scale bar corresponds to 0.1 substitutions per amino acid position.

to the Gemmobacter clade and is far removed from two other Tabrizicola clades with a strong support in 16S rRNA genebased, gyrB gene-based, and concatenated proteins-based trees. These results suggest that clade A should belong to a novel genus of the family Rhodobacteraceae. Tabrizicola clade B, grouped by T. aquatica RCRI19^T (type species of the genus), T. piscis K13M18^T, R. thermarum YIM 73036^T, R. flagellatus SYSU G03088^T, and X. soli ZQBW^T, is a monophyletic cluster found in trees based on the gyrB gene and concatenated proteins with a strong support, indicating that R. thermarum YIM 73036^T, R. flagellatus SYSU G03088^T, and X. soli ZQBW^T should be transferred to the genus Tabrizicola. Tabrizicola fusiformis SY72^T, located in clade B in the 16S rRNA gene-based tree, is clustered together with Tabrizicola oligotrophica KMS-5^T to form clade C in both trees based on the gyrB gene and concatenated proteins, demonstrating that T. fusiformis SY72^T and *T. oligotrophica* KMS-5^T may be a novel genus of the family Rhodobacteraceae.

In the 16S rRNA gene-based tree, *Cereibacter* clade include *R. alkalitolerans* JA916^T, *R. sediminicola* JA983^T), and members of the genus *Cereibacter*, except for *Cereibacter changlensis* JA139^T (the type species). The species *C. changlensis* JA139^T is observed in the *Gemmobacter* clade, which is similar to the results reported by Suresh et al. (2015). While *C. changlensis* JA139^T is grouped in the *Cereibacter* clade and located at the edge of the clade in trees inferred from the *gyrB* gene and concatenated proteins, indicating that it should belong to the genus *Cereibacter*, which is consistent to the results described by Suresh et al. (2015). *R. sediminicola* JA983^T is clustered in the *Cereibacter* clade in all the trees based on the 16S rRNA gene, *gyrB* gene, and concatenated proteins, indicating that they should be transferred to the genus *Cereibacter*.

The genus *Rhodobacter* proposed by Imhoff et al. (1984) contains 13 species with validated names according to the LSPN. In trees based on the 16S rRNA gene, gyrB gene, and concatenated protein, *R. tardus* CYK-10^T forms one distinct branch from other clades, suggesting that it should belong to a novel genus of the family Rhodobacteraceae. Three members (*R. sediminicola* JA983^T, *R. thermarum* YIM73036^T, *R. flagellatus* SYSU G03088^T) are clustered into the *Tabrizicola* clade and *Cereibacter* clade, respectively. The other eight members of the genus *Rhodobacter* are temporarily classified into the genus *Rhodobacter* because of the absence of genome sequence of *R. azollae*, *R. lacus*, *R. alkalitolerans*, and *R. sediminis*, although they are grouped into two clusters in the 16S rRNA gene tree.

Genomic, Chemotaxonomic, and Physiological Analysis of the Novel Strain

The ANI values between the strain D13-10-4- 6^{T} and its three closely related strains range from 74.4 to 81.2%, which are lower than the recommended ANI species boundary cutoff value (95–96%). The dDDH values between the strain D13-10-4- 6^{T} and its closely related strains are 19.7–24.3%, lower than the threshold for species (70%). Those data indicate that

the strain D13-10-4-6^T should belong to a novel species of the genus *Pseudogemmobacter*. Besides, *P. bohemicus* Cd-10^T and *X. humi* IMT-291^T share a 99.26% 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity, while their ANI and dDDH values are 79.1 and 22.1%, respectively (**Table 1**), which are lower than the species boundary cutoff values. Therefore, *P. bohemicus* Cd-10^T and *X. humi* IMT-291^T should belong to a different species of the genus *Pseudogemmobacter*.

The polar lipids of the strain D13-10-4-6^T are phosphatidy-Imonomethylethanolamine (PME), diphosphatidylglycerol (DPG), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), phosphatidylglycerol (PG), phosphatidylcholine (PC), an unidentified phospholipid (PL), and six unidentified lipids (L) (Supplementary **Figure 4**). The presence of PE in the strain D13-10-4- 6^{T} is a useful characteristic to distinguish it from P. bohemicus and X. soli. The presence of DPG and absence of PC in the strain D13-10-4-6^T are important characteristics to differentiate it from X. humi. The respiratory quinones detected in the strain D13-10-4-6^T are Q-10 (91.3%) and Q-9 (8.7%), which are similar to P. bohemicus Cd-10^T and X. humi IMT-291^T. X. soli contains the only respiratory quinone of Q-10, which is different from the strains D13-10-4-6^T, P. bohemicus Cd-10^T, and X. humi IMT-291^T. The phenotypic characterization of the strain D13-10-4-6^T is listed in Table 2 and in the species description.

The predominant fatty acids of the strain D13-10-4-6^T are $C_{18:1} \ \omega 7c$ (81.1%), $C_{16:0}$ (5.4%), and $C_{18:0}$ (4.1%). The detailed and differential fatty acids data of strain D13-10-4-6^T and its related species are listed in **Table 3**. The percentage of $C_{18:1} \ \omega 7c$ in the novel strain can be used to distinguish it from *P. bohemicus* Cd-10^T and *X. humi* IMT-291^T. The absence of 11-methyl $C_{18:1} \ \omega 7c$ in the strain D13-10-4-6^T is a useful characteristic to differentiate it from *X. soli* ZQBW^T.

Phylogenomic Metric Analysis

Average AAI is one of the well-established methods to separate prokaryotic genera (Luo et al., 2014; Rodriguez-R and Konstantinidis, 2014). It is proposed to be 65% AAI value for genera delineation of Bacteria and Archaea (Konstantinidis et al., 2017). However, the category thresholds of AAI for genus delineation are variable in many genera. For example, the value of 70% AAI is used to separate the genus Geomonas from the other genera of the family Geobacteraceae (Xu et al., 2020), and a range of 64.6-77.0% AAI is used to delineate different genera of the family Geobacteraceae (Xu et al., 2019). In this study, the values of AAI among 52 type strains from 15 related genera of the family Rhodobacteraceae have been determined and are listed in Table 4 and Supplementary Table 2. It can be seen from Table 4 that a gradient of 63.5-75.3% and 74.2-98.7% AAI values is found among the different clade (genera) and in the same clade of the family Rhodobacteraceae. Those data are consistent to the results of phylogeny based on concatenated proteins.

TABLE 1 Average nucleotide identity (ANI), digital DNA–DNA hybridization (dDDH) values among D13-10-4-6^T, *P. bohemicus* Cd-10^T, *X. humi* IMT-291^T, and *Xinfangfangia soli* ZQBW^T.

Strain	D13-10-4-6 ^T		Cd-10 ^T		IMT-291 ^T	
	ANI	dDDH	ANI	dDDH	ANI	dDDH
strain D13-10-4-6 ^T	100					
Pseudogemmobacter bohemicus Cd-10 ^T	81.2	24.3	100			
Xinfangfangia humi IMT-291 [⊤]	78.3	22.0	79.1	22.1	100	
Xinfangfangia soli ZQBW ^T	74.4	19.7	74.7	19.4	76.7	20.3

TABLE 2 | Differential characteristics of strain D13-10-4-6^T and closely related reference strains.

Characteristic	1	2	3	4
Cell shape	Ovoid to rod-shaped	Ovoid to rod-shaped	Rod-shaped	Rod-shaped
Colour of colonies	Creamy white	Creamy white to	Beige	Light yellow
		Beige		
Optimum pH	7.0-8.0	7.0–8.0	5.5-6.5	7
Optimum temperature (°C)	25–30	28	20–28	30
Growth in max NaCl (%, w/v)	4	1	2	2
Reduction of nitrate, indole production	_	+	-	-
Utilization of:				
D-Glucose, D-mannose, D-mannitol	+	+	-	-
L-Arabinose	+	_	+	-
D-Maltose	-	+	-	-
L-Rhamnose, N-acetyl-glucosamine	+	_	-	-
Enzyme activities:				
Lipase (C14)	W	+	-	-
Valine arylamidase	W	+	-	W
Cystine arylamidase	-	+	-	W
α-Chymotrypsin	-	+	-	-
α-Glucosidase	+	_	-	+
N-acetyl-β-glucosaminidase	+	-	-	-
Trypsin	-	+	-	-
Hydrolysis from:				
Aesculin	+	-	-	-
Gelatin	-	-	+	-
Predominant polar lipids	PME, DPG, PE, PG	PME, PG, DPG, PC,	PE, PME, PG, PC,	PC, PG, PME
G + C content (%)	62.9	63.2	66.5	67.0

1, strain D13-10-4-6^T; 2, Pseudogemmobacter bohemicus Cd-10^T (data from Suman et al., 2019); 3, Xinfangfangia humi IMT-291^T (data from Kämpfer et al., 2019); 4, Xinfangfangia soli ZQBW^T (data from this study). +, positive; -, negative; W, weakly positive.

The *Pseudogemmobacter* clade, including strains D13-10-4- 6^{T} , *P. bohemicus* Cd-10^T and *X. humi* IMT-291^T, has 77.4-81.8% AAI values among each other and shows 63.8-73.6% AAI values among the members of other clades in this study (**Table 4** and **Figure 4**), which is consistent to the results of phylogeny based on the 16S rRNA gene, *gyrB* gene, and concatenated proteins (**Figures 1**-3). The *Cereibacter* clade, including *R. sediminicola* JA983^T and members of the genus *Cereibacter*, has 75.8-98.7% AAI values among the members of the clade and 65.2-72.7% AAI values among the *R. sediminicola* should be transferred to the genus *Cereibacter* (**Table 4** and **Supplementary Figure 1**). Similarly, the AAI values within the

Tabrizicola clade A and *Tabrizicola* clade B can also distinguish them from the other strains (**Table 4** and **Supplementary Figures 2**, **3**).

Percentage of conserved protein is another method for genus delineation of prokaryote, and the value for genera delineation of POCP is proposed to be 50% (Qin et al., 2014). While the thresholds of POCP for genus delineation are also variable in many genera. The value of 65% POCP was used to separate the genus *Geomonas* from the other genus of the family Geobacteraceae (Xu et al., 2020), and most of the POCP values within the *Roseobacter* group comparisons were greater than 50% of the family Rhodobacteraceae (Wirth and Whitman, 2018). In this work, we also calculated the POCP values among the 52

TABLE 3 Cellular fatty acid profiles of strain D13-10-4-6T	and closel
related type strains.	

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fatty acid	1	2	3	4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C _{18:0}	4.1	26.3	2.5	3.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C _{16:0}	5.4	19.9	10.5	3.5
C10:0 3-OH 2.4 NA 2.8 0.9 C18:0 3-OH 2.6 NA NA 1.4 11-methyl C18:1 ω7c - NA 22.7 2.3 C18:1 ω7c 81.1 50.3 58.8 85.2	C _{16:1} ω7c	1.5	2.9	NA	-
C18:0 3-OH 2.6 NA NA 1.4 11-methyl C18:1 ω7c - NA 22.7 2.3 C18:0 ω7c 81.1 50.3 58.8 85.2	C _{10:0} 3-OH	2.4	NA	2.8	0.9
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C _{18:0} 3-OH	2.6	NA	NA	1.4
C _{18:1} ω7c 81.1 50.3 58.8 85.2	11-methyl C _{18:1} ω7c	-	NA	22.7	2.3
	C _{18:1} ω7c	81.1	50.3	58.8	85.2

1, strain D13-10-4-6^T; 2, Pseudogemmobacter bohemicus Cd-10^T (data from Suman et al., 2019); 3, Xinfangfangia humi IMT-291^T (data from Kämpfer et al., 2019); 4, Xinfangfangia soli ZQBW^T (data from this study). NA, not available; -, not detected.

type strains of 15 related genera of the family Rhodobacteraceae (**Supplementary Table 2**). The results show that a gradient of 41.7–68.1% POCP, except the values between, was found among the different clade (genera) of the family Rhodobacteraceae, and 56.5–88.6% among the species of the same clade. Therefore, it is hard to use the same thresholds for genus delineation because they show a broad range of values from both intragenus and intergeneric. But for several clades, it is useful to distinguish one group from the others, for instance, members of the *Pseudogemmobacter clade* show the values of POCP from 61.0 to 62.9% among each other and have 41.7–59% POCP values among members from the other clades (**Table 4** and **Figure 4**). The same

goes for the *Cereibacter* clade and *Tabrizicola* clade A (**Table 4** and **Supplementary Figures 1**, **2**).

The POCP values in this study are not always consistent to the phylogenetic analysis, as exemplified by the Tabrizicola clade B. Members of the Tabrizicola clade B show 45.2-68.1% POCP values among each other, and 65.9-85.1% POCP values among the members of other clades, respectively (Table 4). The POCP values can distinguish Tabrizicola clade B from other related members except for *T. oligotrophica* KMS-5^T, *Fuscovulum* blasticum DSM 2131^T, and Gemmobacter aestuarii CC-PW-75^T (Supplementary Figure 3). The POCP values between X. soli ZQBW^T (belonging to *Tabrizicola* clade B) and *T. oligotrophica* KMS-5^T, F. blasticum DSM 2131^T, and G. aestuarii CC-PW- $75^{\rm T}$ were slightly higher than those between X. soli ZOBW^T and other members in *Tabrizicola* clade B (Supplementary Figure 3). Due to X. soli ZQBW^T forming a stable clade within Tabrizicola clade B and forming a distinct clade with T. oligotrophica KMS-5^T, F. blasticum DSM 2131^T, and G. aestuarii CC-PW-75^T, we analyzed the pan-genome of *Tabrizicola* clade B in a supplementary analysis.

The pan-genome analysis was used in the classification of bacteria (Awan et al., 2018; Suresh et al., 2019). The amount of core genes was sensitive to heterogeneous in the coreand pan-genome analysis (Inglin et al., 2018; Suresh et al., 2019). The core gene numbers within were *Tabrizicola* clade B were considerably higher than those within the *Tabrizicola* clade B and *T. oligotrophica* KMS-5^T, *F. blasticum* DSM 2131^T, and *G. aestuarii* CC-PW-75^T (**Table 5**), indicating that the

Organism	AAI (%)		POCP (%)	
	Intragenus	Intergeneric	Intragenus	Intergeneric
Pseudogemmobacter clade	77.4–81.8	63.8–73.6	61.0-62.9	41.7–59.0
Cereibacter clade	75.8–98.7	65.2-72.7	60.9-88.6	44.6-62.7
Rhodobacter clade	79.5–90.1	64.2–75.3	70.4–79.3	41.9-65.5
Rhodobacter tardus	100	63.5–70.9	100	42.8-61.9
Tabrizicola clade A	84.6-87.0	63.9–73.2	70.4–73.3	45.6-65.7
Tabrizicola clade B	79.5–86.7	64.2-74.4	65.9-85.1	45.2-68.1
Tabrizicola clade C	76.18	65.0–74.0	67.4	45.9-67.8
Gemmobacter	69.2-93.0	64.7-73.26	51.0-77.7	42.2-67.8
Cypionkella	100	63.8–74.0	100	42.7-63.4
Falsigemmobacter	90.2	63.8–66.6	79.7	42.7-52.2
Falsirhodobacter	100	64.6–69.6	100	41.7-51.5
Fuscovulum	100	65.0-74.4	100	48.2-68.1
Haematobacter	92.68	63.8-68.1	81.8	44.0-53.2
Paenirhodobacter	100	63.8–74.4	100	45.7-63.8
Phaeovulum	74.2	64.7-72.4	69.2	45.2-65.5
Pseudorhodobacter clade	78.3–83.5	63.9–71.5	61.9–73.2	44.3-67.2
Sinirhodobacter	74.4–96.1	63.5–75.3	56.5-88.3	44.3–63.8

TABLE 4 Average amino acid identity (AAI) values and percentage of conserved protein (POCP) values of all strains for the intragenus and intergeneric comparisons.

Pseudogemmobacter clades include strain D13-10-4-6^T, Pseudogemmobacter bohemicus Cd-10^T, and Xinfangfangia humi IMT-291^T. Cereibacter clades include Rhodobacter sediminicola JA983^T and members of the genus Cereibacter. Rhodobacter clades include Rhodobacter maris JA276^T, Rhodobacter aestuarii JA296^T, Rhodobacter capsulatus DSM 1710^T, and Rhodobacterviridis JA737^T. Tabrizicola clade A includes Tabrizicola alkalilacus DJC^T, Tabrizicola sediminis DRYC-M-16^T, and Tabrizicola elgicola ETT8^T. Tabrizicola clade B includes Xinfangfangia soli ZQBW^T, Rhodobacter flagellatus SYSU G03088^T, Rhodobacter thermarum YIM 73036^T, Tabrizicola aquatic RCRI19^T, and Tabrizicola piscis K13M18^T, Tabrizicola clade C includes Tabrizicola fusiformis SY72^T and Tabrizicola oligotrophica KMS-5^T.



TABLE 5 | Pan-genomic analysis of *Tabrizicola* clade B and the related strains.

Clade	Organism name	No. of core genes	No. of other genes
All eight strains	Rhodobacter flagellatus SYSU G03088 ^T	1,656	1,892
	Rhodobacter thermarum YIM 73036 ^T	1,656	1,873
	Tabrizicola aquatica RCRI19 ^T	1,656	2,112
	<i>Tabrizicola piscis</i> K13M18 [⊤]	1,656	2,522
	Xinfangfangia soli ZQBW [™]	1,656	2,877
	Tabrizicola oligotrophica KMS-5 ^T	1,656	2,087
	Fuscovulum blasticum DSM 2131^{T}	1,656	1,896
	Gemmobacter estuarii CC-PW-75 ^T	1,656	1,933
Tabrizicola clade B	Rhodobacter flagellatus SYSU G03088 ^T	2,144	1,442
	Rhodobacter thermarum YIM 73036 ^T	2,144	1,414
	Tabrizicola aquatica RCRI19 ^T	2,144	1,651
	<i>Tabrizicola piscis</i> K13M18 [⊤]	2,144	2,126
	Xinfangfangia soli ZQBW ^T	2,144	2,571

relationships of *Tabrizicola* clade B and the three species were heterogeneous and the *Tabrizicola* clade B should belong to a genus different from the three species. The pan-genome

analysis reinforces the results of *gyr*B and concatenated protein phylogenetic trees. In conclusion, *Tabrizicola* clade B should belong to the same genus.

CONCLUSION

Phylogenetic trees based on the 16S rRNA gene, gyrB gene, and concatenated alignment of 120 ubiquitous single-copy proteins were constructed to clarify the relationship of the members from the 15 closely related genera within the family Rhodobacteraceae. The AAI, POCP, and ANI analyses as well as chemotaxonomic and physiological tests were also performed and used as supplementary evidence. On the basis of the data obtained, the taxonomic proposals were (1) reclassification of R. tardus as the type species of a novel genus, Stagnihabitans gen. nov., as Stagnihabitans tardus comb. nov.; (2) reclassification of T. alkalilacus, Tabrizicola sediminis, and Tabrizicola algicola into a novel genus, Pseudotabrizicola gen. nov., as Pseudotabrizicola alkalilacus comb. nov., Pseudotabrizicola sediminis comb. nov., Pseudotabrizicola algicola comb. nov.; (3) reclassification of Rhodobacter sediminicola into the genus Cereibacter as Cereibacter sediminicola comb. nov.; (4) reclassification of Rhodobacter flagellatus, Rhodobacter thermarum, and X. soli into the genus Tabrizicola as Tabrizicola flagellatus comb. nov., Tabrizicola thermarum comb. nov., and Tabrizicola soli comb. nov.; (5) reclassification of X. humi into the genus Pseudogemmobacter as Pseudogemmobacter humicola comb. nov.; and (6) classification of strain D13-10-4- 6^{T} as a novel species of the genus Pseudogemmobacter, for which the name Pseudogemmobacter hezensis sp. nov. is proposed; the type strain is D13-10-4-6^T (= CFCC 12033^T = KCTC 82215^T).

Description of *Pseudogemmobacter hezensis* Sp. nov.

Pseudogemmobacter hezensis (he.zen'sis. N.L. masc./fem. adj. *hezensis*, of Heze, a city in Shandong Province, China, where the organism was isolated).

Cells are Gram-stain-negative, aerobic, non-motile, catalaseand oxidase-positive, ovoid to rod-shaped, 1.6-2.0 µm in length and 0.8-1.0 µm in width. Colonies are creamy white, circular, smooth, with entire margins after incubation for 2 days at 28°C on TSA. The strain can grow at 15-37°C (optimum, 25-30°C), at pH 6-10 (optimum, pH 7-8). Growth occurs at a concentration of 0-4% (w/v) NaCl. It is positive for the activity of alkaline phosphatase, esterase lipase (C8), esterase (C4), leucine arylamidase, valine arylamidase, acid phosphatase, naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase, α-glucosidase, N-acetyl- β -glucosaminidase; weakly positive for lipase (C14), β -galactosidase; negative for cystine arylamidase, trypsin, α chymotrypsin, α -galactosidase, β -glucuronidase, β -glucosidase, α -mannosidase, and α -fucosidase (API ZYM). It is negative for reduction of nitrate to nitrogen, reduction of nitrate to nitrite, indole production, gelatin hydrolysis, and the activity of urease, arginine dihydrolase; positive for the utilization of glucose, Dmannose, L-arabinose, D-mannitol, N-acetyl-glucosamine, malic acid (API 20 NE). Acid is produced from L-arabinose, D-xylose, D-galactose, D-fructose, L-rhamnose, D-lyxose, D-fucose, Lfucose; weakly positive for erythritol, D-arabinose, D-ribose, and L-sorbose (API 50 CH). The polar lipids are PME, DPG, PE, PG, PC, PL1, and six unidentified lipids (L). The respiratory quinones are Q-10 and Q-9. The predominant fatty acids are $C_{18:1}\omega7c$. The type strain is D13-10-4-6^T (= CFCC 12033^T = KCTC82215^T), isolated from the bark samples of *Populus* × *euramericana* in Shandong Province, China. The DNA G + C content is 62.9%.

The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers for the 16S rRNA gene and genome sequences for strain D13-10-4- 6^{T} is MT036106 and JABJXT000000000, respectively.

Description of *Pseudogemmobacter humi* Comb. nov.

Pseudogemmobacter humi (hu'mi. L. gen. fem. n. humi, of/from soil, the isolation source of the type strain).

Basonym: Xinfangfangia humi (Kämpfer et al., 2019).

The description of *Pseudogemmobacter humi* is the same as that given for *X. humi* by Kämpfer et al. (2019). The type strain is $IMT-291^{T}$ (= LMG 30636^T = CIP 111625^T = CCM 8858^T).

Emended Description of the Genus Pseudogemmobacter

The description as given by Suman et al. (2019) remains correct except that the species are positive or negative for catalase and nitrate reductase.

Description of *C. sediminicola* Comb. nov.

Cereibacter sediminicola (se.di.mi.ni'co.la. L. neut. n. sedimen, sediment; L. masc./fem. suff. -cola, inhabitant, dweller; from L. masc./fem. n. incola, dweller; N.L. masc./fem. n. sediminicola, dweller of sediments).

Basonym: Rhodobacter sediminicola (Suresh et al., 2020).

The description of *C. sediminicola* is the same as that given for *R. sediminicola* by Suresh et al. (2020). The type strain is JA983^T (= KCTC 15782^T = NBRC 113843^T).

Description of Stagnihabitans Gen. nov.

Stagnihabitans (Sta.gni.ha'bi.tans. L. neut. n. *stagnum*, a small area of water, pond; L. pres. part. *Habitans*, an inhabitant; N.L. masc. n. *Stagnihabitans*, an inhabitant of pond water).

Cells are Gram-strain-negative, aerobic, non-motile, oxidasepositive, catalase-negative, ovoid to rod-shaped and divide by binary fission, sometimes forming chains. The predominant respiratory quinone is Q-10. The major cellular fatty acid is $C_{18:1}\omega7c$. PE, PG, and PC are the major polar lipids. The DNA G + C content is 66%. The member of the genus is separated from *Rhodobacter* based on the 16S rRNA, gyrB and concatenated protein phylogenetic trees, genome comparison. The type species is *S. tardus* comb. nov.

Description of S. tardus Comb. nov.

Stagnihabitans tardus (tar'dus. L. masc.adj. *tardus*, slow, referring to the slow growth of the organism).

Basonym: Rhodobacter tardus (Sheu et al., 2020).

The description of S. *tardus* is the same as that given for R. *tardus* by Sheu et al. (2020). The type strain is CYK- 10^{T} (= BCRC 81191^T = LMG 31336^T).

Description of Pseudotabrizicola Gen.

nov.

Pseudotabrizicola (Pseu.do.ta.bri.zi.co.la. Gr. masc./fem. adj. pseudês, false; N.L. fem. n. *Tabrizicola*, a bacterial generic name; N.L. fem. n. *Pseudotabrizicola*, false Tabrizicola).

Cells are Gram-strain-negative, aerobic, non-motile, catalaseand oxidase-positive, rod-shaped. PG, DPG, PE, and PC are the major polar lipids. The predominant respiratory quinone is Q-10. The major cellular fatty acids are usually iso- $C_{18:0}$, $C_{18:1} \ \omega 7c$, and/or $C_{18:1} \ \omega 6c$. The DNA G + C content is 62.9– 64.4%. Members of the genus are separated from *Tabrizicola* based on the 16S rRNA, gyrB, and concatenated proteins phylogenetic trees, genome comparison. The type species is *P. sediminis* comb. nov.

Description of P. sediminis Comb. nov.

Pseudotabrizicola sediminis (se.di'mi.nis. L. gen. net. n. *sediminis*, of a sediment).

Basonym: Tabrizicola sediminis (Liu et al., 2019).

The description of *P. sediminis* is the same as that given for Tabrizicola sediminis by Liu et al. (2019). The type strain is DRYC-M-16^T (= CGMCC 1.13881^T = KCTC 72105^T).

Description of P. alkalilacus Comb. nov.

Pseudotabrizicola alkalilacus (al.ka.li.lacus. N.L. neut. n. *alkali* from Arabic article al, the; Arabic n. *qaliy*, ashes of saltwort, alkali; L. masc. n. *lacus*, a lake; N.L. gen. masc. n. *alkalilacus* of analkaline lake).

Basonym: Tabrizicola alkalilacus (Phurbu et al., 2019).

The description of *Pseudotabrizicola alkalilacus* is the same as that given for *T. alkalilacus* by Phurbu et al. (2019). The type strain is DJC^{T} (= CICC 24242^T = KCTC 62173^T).

Description of P. algicola Comb. nov.

Pseudotabrizicola algicola (al.gi'co.la. L. fem. n. *algae*, an alga; L. masc./fem. suff.-*cola*, dweller; from L. masc./fem. n. *incola* an inhabitant; N.L. masc./fem. n. *algicola* an inhabitant of algae).

Basonym: Tabrizicola algicola (Park et al., 2020).

The description of *P. algicola* is the same as that given for *Tabrizicola algicola* by Park et al. (2020). The type strain is $ETT8^{T}$ (= KCTC 72206^T = JCM 31893^T = MCC 4339^T).

Description of T. flagellatus Comb. nov.

Tabrizicola flagellatus (fla.gel.la'tus. L. masc. part. adj. *flagellatus*, flagellated).

Basonym: Rhodobacter flagellatus (Xian et al., 2020).

The description of *T. flagellatus* is the same as that given for *Rhodobacter flagellatus* by Xian et al. (2020). The type strain is SYSU $G03088^{T}$ (= CGMCC 1.16876^T = KCTC 72354^T).

Description of T. thermarum Comb. nov.

Tabrizicola thermarum (ther.ma'rum. L. gen. fem. pl. n. *thermarum*, of hot springs).

Basonym: Rhodobacter thermarum (Khan et al., 2019).

The description of *T. thermarum* is the same as that given for *Rhodobacter thermarum* by Khan et al. (2019). The type strain is YIM 73036^{T} (= KCTC 52712^{T} = CCTCC AB 2016298^{T}).

Description of T. soli Comb. nov.

Tabrizicola soli (so'li. L. neut. n. *soli* of soil, the source of the type strain).

Basonym: Xinfangfangia soli (Hu et al., 2018).

The description of *T. soli* is the same as that given for *X. soli* by Hu et al. (2018). The type strain is $ZQBW^T$ (= KCTC 62102^T = CCTCC AB 2017177^T).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories andaccession number(s) can be found below: NCBI—MT036106, JABJXT000000000, JAEACP000000000.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CP and YL designed the experiments, provided the methods, and revised the manuscript. TM finished the manuscript and completed most of the experiments. HX helped to reconstructed and analyzed the gene trees. DB finished the fatty acid profiles. CL and MY collected the samples. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This research was supported by the National Infrastructure of Microbial Resources (NMRC-2021-7) from the Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Yan Liu, Fengjuan, Wang, and Wenjieng Li (China Forestry Culture Collection Center) for the material preparation and strain preservation.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2022.849695/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403–410. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2
- Awan, F., Dong, Y., Liu, J., Wang, N., Mushtaq, M. H., Lu, C., et al. (2018). Comparative genome analysis provides deep insights into Aeromonas hydrophila taxonomy and virulence-related factors. BMC genomics 19:712. doi: 10.1186/s12864-018-5100-4
- Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A. A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A. S., et al. (2012). SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* 19, 455–477. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2012. 0021
- Brinkhoff, T., Giebel, H.-A., and Simon, M. (2008). Diversity, ecology, and genomics of the *Roseobacter clade*: a short overview. *Arch. Microbiol.* 189, 531–539. doi: 10.1007/s00203-008-0353y
- Buchan, A., González, J. M., and Moran, M. A. (2005). Overview of the marine Roseobacter lineage. Appl. Environ. Microb. 71, 5665–5677. doi: 10.1128/AEM. 71.10.5665-5677.2005
- Chaudhari, N. M., Gupta, V. K., and Dutta, C. (2016). BPGA-an ultra-fast pangenome analysis pipeline. Sci. Rep. 6, 1–10. doi: 10.1038/srep24373
- Chaumeil, P. A., Mussig, A. J., Hugenholtz, P., and Parks, D. (2020). GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the *Genome Taxonomy* database. *Bioinformatics* 36, 1925–1927. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz848
- Chun, J., Oren, A., Ventosa, A., Christensen, H., Arahal, D. R., da Costa, M. S., et al. (2018). Proposed minimal standards for the use of genome data for the taxonomy of prokaryotes. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 68, 461–466. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.002516
- Ciccarelli, F. D., Doerks, T., Von Mering, C., Creevey, C. J., Snel, B., and Bork, P. (2006). Toward automatic reconstruction of a highly resolved tree of life. *Science* 311, 1283–1287. doi: 10.1126/science.1123061
- Collins, M. D., Pirouz, T., Goodfellow, M., and Minnikin, D. E. (1977). Distribution of menaquinones in Actinomycetes and corynebacteria. Microbiology 100, 221– 230. doi: 10.1099/00221287-100-2-221
- Delory, G. E., and King, E. J. (1945). A sodium carbonate-bicarbonate buffer for alkaline phosphatases. *Biochem. J.* 39, 245. doi: 10.1146/annurev.bi.14.070145. 003533
- Du, H. J., Zhang, Y. Q., Liu, H. Y., Su, J., Wei, Y. Z., Ma, B. P., et al. (2013). Allonocardiopsis opalescens gen. nov., sp. nov., a new member of the suborder Streptosporangineae, from the surface-sterilized fruit of a medicinal plant. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 63, 900–904. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.041491-0
- Garrity, G., Bell, J., and Lilburn, T. (2005). "Family I. rhodobacteraceae fam. nov," in Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, 2nd Edn, Vol. 2 (The Proteobacteria), part C (The Alpha-, Beta-, Delta-, and Epsilonproteobacteria), eds N. R. Krieg, J. T. Staley, and G. M. Garrity (New York:NY: Springer), 161.
- Gomori, G. (1955). Preparation of buffers for use in enzyme studies. *Method. Enzymol.* 1, 138–146. doi: 10.1016/0076-6879(55)01020-3
- Goris, J., Konstantinidis, K. T., Klappenbach, J. A., Coenye, T., Vandamme, P., and Tiedje, J. M. (2007). DNA–DNA hybridization values and their relationship to whole-genome sequence similarities. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 57, 81–91. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64483-0
- Groth, I., Schumann, P., Rainey, F., Martin, K., Schuetze, B., and Augsten, K. (1997). Demetria terragena gen. nov., sp. nov., a new genus of actinomycetes isolated from compost soil. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 47, 1129–1133. doi: 10.1099/00207713-47-4-1129
- Hördt, A., López, M. G., Meier-Kolthoff, J. P., Schleuning, M., Weinhold, L.-M., Tindall, B. J., et al. (2020). Analysis of 1,000⁺ type-strain genomes substantially improves taxonomic classification of alphaproteobacteria. Front. Microbiol. 11:468. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00468
- Hu, Q., Zhang, L., Hang, P., Zhou, X.-Y., Jia, W.-B., Li, S.-P., et al. (2018). Xinfangfangia soli gen. nov., sp. nov., isolated from a diuron-polluted soil. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 68, 2622–2626. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.00 2887
- Imhoff, J. F., Petri, R., and Süling, J. (1998). Reclassification of species of the spiral-shaped phototrophic purple non-sulfur bacteria of the α-Proteobacteria: description of the new genera Phaeospirillum gen. nov. nov. Rhodospirillum mediosalinum to Roseospira mediosalina comb. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 48, 793–798. doi: 10.1099/00207713-48-3-793

- Imhoff, J. F., Trüper, H. G., and Pfennig, N. (1984). Rearrangement of the species and genera of the phototrophic "purple nonsulfur bacteria". *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Mcrobiol.* 34, 340–343. doi: 10.1099/00207713-34-3-340
- Inglin, R. C., Meile, L., and Stevens, M. J. (2018). Clustering of pan-and coregenome of *Lactobacillus* provides novel evolutionary insights for differentiation. *BMC genomics* 19:284. doi: 10.1186/s12864-018-4601-5
- Jenkins, D., Richard, M. G., and Daigger, G. T. (2003). "Methods," in Manual on the Causes and Control Of Activated Sludge Bulking, Foaming, and Other Solids Separation Problems, Chap. 2, eds D. Jenkins, M. G. Richard, and G. T. Daigger (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press).
- Kämpfer, P., Busse, H.-J., McInroy, J. A., Criscuolo, A., Clermont, D., and Glaeser, S. P. (2019). Xinfangfangia humi sp. nov., isolated from soil amended with humic acid. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 69, 2070–2075. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0. 003434
- Khan, I. U., Habib, N., Xiao, M., Li, M.-M., Xian, W.-D., Hejazi, M. S., et al. (2019). Rhodobacter thermarum sp. nov., a novel phototrophic bacterium isolated from sediment of a hot spring. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 112, 867–875. doi: 10.1007/s10482-018-01219-7
- Konstantinidis, K. T., Rosselló-Móra, R., and Amann, R. (2017). Uncultivated microbes in need of their own taxonomy. *ISME J.* 11, 2399–2406. doi: 10.1038/ ismej.2017.113
- Konstantinidis, K. T., and Tiedje, J. M. (2005). Genomic insights that advance the species definition for prokaryotes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 2567–2572. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0409727102
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., and Tamura, K. (2018). MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 1547–1549. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msy096
- Kuykendall, L. D., Roy, M. A., O'neill, J. J., and Devine, T. E. (1988). Fatty acids, antibiotic resistance, and deoxyribonucleic acid homology groups of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 38, 358–361. doi: 10. 1099/00207713-38-4-358
- Lane, D. J. (1991). "16S/23S RRNA sequencing," in *Nucleic Acid Techniques in Bacterial Systematics*, eds E. Stackebrandt and M. Goodfellow (Chichester, UK: Wiley), 115–175.
- Lee, I., Kim, Y. O., Park, S.-C., and Chun, J. (2016). OrthoANI: an improved algorithm and software for calculating average nucleotide identity. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 66, 1100–1103. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.000760
- Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2021). Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49, W293– W296. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkab301
- Li, R., Li, Y., Kristiansen, K., and Wang, J. (2008). SOAP: short oligonucleotide alignment program. *Bioinformatics* 24, 713–714. doi: 10.1016/S0953-5438(03) 00036-5
- Li, R., Zhu, H., Ruan, J., Qian, W., Fang, X., Shi, Z., et al. (2010). De novo assembly of human genomes with massively parallel short read sequencing. *Genome Res.* 20, 265–272. doi: 10.1101/gr.097261.109
- Li, Y., Fang, W., Xue, H., Liang, W.-X., Wang, L.-F., Tian, G.-Z., et al. (2015). Brenneria populi sp. nov., isolated from symptomatic bark of Populus[×] euramericana canker. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 65, 432–437. doi: 10.1099/ijs. 0.066068-0
- Li, Y., Song, L. M., Guo, M. W., Wang, L. F., and Liang, W. X. (2016). Sphingobacterium populi sp. nov., isolated from bark of Populus[×] euramericana. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 66, 3456–3462. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.00 1217
- Liang, K. Y., Orata, F. D., Boucher, Y. F., and Case, R. J. (2021). Roseobacters in a sea of poly-and paraphyly: whole genome-based taxonomy of the family *Rhodobacteraceae* and the proposal for the split of the "*Roseobacter clade*" into a novel family. *Roseobacteraceae fam. nov. Front. Microbiol.* 12:683109. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.683109
- Lin, S.-H., and Liao, Y.-C. (2013). CISA: contig integrator for sequence assembly of bacterial genomes. *PLoS One* 8:e60843. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0060843
- Liu, Z.-X., Dorji, P., Liu, H.-C., Li, A.-H., and Zhou, Y.-G. (2019). Tabrizicola sediminis sp. nov., one aerobic anoxygenic photoheterotrophic bacteria from sediment of saline lake. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 69, 2565–2570. doi: 10.1099/ ijsem.0.003542
- Luo, C., Rodriguez-r, L. M., and Konstantinidis, K. T. (2014). MyTaxa: an advanced taxonomic classifier for genomic and metagenomic sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42:73. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku169

- Meier-Kolthoff, J. P., Auch, A. F., Klenk, H.-P., and Göker, M. (2013). Genome sequence-based species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. BMC bioinformatics 14:60. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-14-60
- Minh, B. Q., Schmidt, H. A., Chernomor, O., Schrempf, D., Woodhams, M. D., Von Haeseler, A., et al. (2020). IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 37, 1530–1534. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msaa015
- Minnikin, D. E., O'donnell, A. G., Goodfellow, M., Alderson, G., Athalye, M., Schaal, A., et al. (1984). An integrated procedure for the extraction of bacterial isoprenoid quinones and polar lipids. *J. Microbiol. Meth.* 2, 233–241. doi: 10. 1016/0167-7012(84)90018-6
- Orata, F. D., Meier-Kolthoff, J. P., Sauvageau, D., and Stein, L. Y. (2018). Phylogenomic analysis of the gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs (order *Methylococcales*) calls for the reclassification of members at the genus and species levels. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:3162. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03162
- Park, C.-Y., Chun, S.-J., Jin, C., Le, V. V., Cui, Y., Kim, S.-Y., et al. (2020). Tabrizicola algicola sp. nov. isolated from culture of microalga ettlia sp. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 70, 6133–6141. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.004508
- Phurbu, D., Wang, H., Tang, Q., Lu, H., Zhu, H., Jiang, S., et al. (2019). Tabrizicola alkalilacus sp. nov., isolated from alkaline Lake *Dajiaco* on the *Tibetan Plateau*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 69, 3420–3425. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.003635
- Qin, Q.-L., Xie, B.-B., Zhang, X.-Y., Chen, X.-L., Zhou, B.-C., Zhou, J., et al. (2014).
 A proposed genus boundary for the prokaryotes based on genomic insights. *J. bacteriol.* 196, 2210–2215. doi: 10.1128/JB.01688-14
- Richter, M., and Rosselló-Móra, R. (2009). Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species definition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 106, 19126–19131. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0906412106
- Rodriguez-R, L. M., and Konstantinidis, K. T. (2014). Bypassing cultivation to identify bacterial species. *Microbe* 9, 111–118. doi: 10.1128/microbe.9.111.1
- Sasser, M. (1990). Identification of Bacteria By Gas Chromatography Of Cellular Fatty Acids. Newark:DE: MIDI Inc.
- Selje, N., Simon, M., and Brinkhoff, T. (2004). A newly discovered Roseobacter cluster in temperate and polar oceans. Nature 427, 445–448. doi: 10.1038/ nature02272
- Sheu, C., Li, Z.-H., Sheu, S.-Y., Yang, C.-C., and Chen, W.-M. (2020). Tabrizicola oligotrophica sp. nov. and Rhodobacter tardus sp. nov., two new species of bacteria belonging to the family rhodobacteraceae. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 70, 6266–6283. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.004526
- Simpson, J. T., Wong, K., Jackman, S. D., Schein, J. E., Jones, S. J., and Birol, I. (2009). ABySS: a parallel assembler for short read sequence data. *Genome Res.* 19, 1117–1123. doi: 10.1101/gr.089532.108
- Smibert, R.M and Krieg NR (1994). "Phenotypic characterization," in *Methods for General and Molecular Bacteriology*, eds P. Gerhardt, R. G. E. Murray, W. A. Wood, and N. R. Krieg (Washington:US: American Society for Microbiology).
- Suman, J., Zubrova, A., Rojikova, K., Pechar, R., Svec, P., Cajthaml, T., et al. (2019). *Pseudogemmobacter bohemicus gen*. nov., sp. nov., a novel taxon from the *Rhodobacteraceae* family isolated from heavy-metal-contaminated sludge. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 69, 2401–2407. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.003493
- Suresh, G., Kumar, D., Krishnaiah, A., and Ch, S. (2020). Rhodobacter sediminicola sp. nov., isolated from a fresh water pond. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 70, 1294–1299. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.003913

- Suresh, G., Lodha, T. D., Indu, B., Sasikala, C., and Ramana, C. V. (2019). *Taxogenomics* resolves conflict in the genus *Rhodobacter*: a two and half decades pending thought to reclassify the genus *Rhodobacter*. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:2480. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02480
- Suresh, G., Sasikala, C., and Ramana, C. V. (2015). Reclassification of *Gemmobacter changlensis* to a new genus as *Cereibacter changlensis* gen. nov., comb. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 65, 794–798. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.000016
- Thiergart, T., Landan, G., and Martin, W. F. (2014). Concatenated alignments and the case of the disappearing tree. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 14:266. doi: 10.1186/s12862-014-0266-0
- Wirth, J. S., and Whitman, W. B. (2018). Phylogenomic analyses of a clade within the roseobacter group suggest taxonomic reassignments of species of the genera Aestuariivita, Citreicella, Loktanella, Nautella, Pelagibaca, Ruegeria, Thalassobius, Thiobacimonas and Tropicibacter, and the proposal of six novel genera. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 68, 2393–2411. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.00 2833
- Xian, W.-D., Liu, Z.-T., Li, M.-M., Liu, L., Ming, Y.-Z., Xiao, M., et al. (2020). *Rhodobacter flagellatus* sp. nov., a *thermophilic bacterium* isolated from a hot spring. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 70, 1541–1546. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.003929
- Xu, Z., Masuda, Y., Hayakawa, C., Ushijima, N., Kawano, K., Shiratori, Y., et al. (2020). Description of Three novel members in the family *Geobacteraceae*. *Microorganisms* 8, 634. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8050634
- Xu, Z., Masuda, Y., Itoh, H., Ushijima, N., Shiratori, Y., and Senoo, K. (2019). Geomonas oryzae gen. nov., sp. nov. Front. Microbiol. 10:2201. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2019.02201
- Xu, Z., Masuda, Y., Wang, X., Ushijima, N., Shiratori, Y., Senoo, K., et al. (2021). Genome-based taxonomic rearrangement of the *rrder Geobacterales* including the description of *Geomonas azotofigens sp. nov.* and *Geomonas diazotrophica sp. nov. Front. Microbiol.* 12:737531. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.737531
- Yoon, S.-H., Ha, S.-M., Kwon, S., Lim, J., Kim, Y., Seo, H., et al. (2017). Introducing *EzBioCloud*: a taxonomically united database of 16S rRNA gene sequences and whole-genome assemblies. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 67, 1613–1617. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.001755

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Ma, Xue, Piao, Liu, Yang, Bian and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.