

Complete Genome Sequence of Stenotrophomonas Phage Pokken

[Ashley Hayden,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2836-7624)a,b* Nicholas Martinez,a Russell Moreland,a Mei Liu,a Carlos F. Gonzalez,a [Jason J. Gill,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9494-6053)a [Jolene Ramseya](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3774-5896)

^aCenter for Phage Technology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA ^bDepartment of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

ABSTRACT Stenotrophomonas maltophilia is a Gram-negative bacterium associated with multidrug-resistant nosocomial infections, a problem for immunocompromised patients and those with cystic fibrosis. Here, we present the new S. maltophiliainfecting podophage Pokken. Its 76,239-bp genome, with 92 protein-coding genes and 5 tRNA genes predicted, is similar to that of phage N4.

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia is an emerging Gram-negative multidrug-resistant
 Sopportunistic pathogen [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Increasingly, S. maltophilia has been seen in nosocomial infections in intensive care units and in immunocompromised individuals [\(2\)](#page-1-1). Additionally, S. maltophilia is associated with severe pulmonary disease in cystic fibrosis patients [\(3\)](#page-1-2). In the interest of exploring potential therapeutic treatment options, we isolated and annotated the genome of S. maltophilia podophage Pokken.

Pokken was isolated from filtered (filter size, 0.2 μ m) freshwater collected at Camp Creek Lake (Franklin, TX) and propagated aerobically on S. maltophilia (ATCC 17807) at 30°C in nutrient broth or agar (BD) with the soft-agar overlay method [\(4\)](#page-1-3). To determine phage morphology, samples were negatively stained with 2% (wt/vol) uranyl acetate and viewed by transmission electron microscopy at the Texas A&M Microscopy and Imaging Center [\(5\)](#page-1-4). DNA was purified with the modified Promega Wizard DNA clean-up system shotgun library preparation protocol, prepared as Illumina TruSeq libraries with a Nano low-throughput kit, and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq instrument with paired-end 250-bp reads using v2 500-cycle chemistry [\(6\)](#page-1-5). The 414,121 total reads in the phage-containing index were quality controlled with FastQC [\(www.bioinformatics](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc) [.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc\)](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc) and trimmed using the FastX Toolkit v0.0.14 [\(http://](http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/) [hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/\)](http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/). With SPAdes v3.5.0 at the default settings, a raw contig at 188.1-fold coverage was assembled [\(7\)](#page-1-6). To verify that the complete sequence was present, PCR products amplified off the contig ends (forward, 5'-GGGTACATCCC GAGTAAGAAAC-3'; reverse, 5'-GTGACCTCCATGGTTCGATAG-3') were sequenced by the Sanger method. Protein-coding genes were annotated by using GLIMMER v3.0 and MetaGeneAnnotator v1.0 [\(8,](#page-1-7) [9\)](#page-1-8). tRNA genes were detected with ARAGORN v2.36 [\(10\)](#page-1-9). TransTermHP v2.09 analysis was used to annotate termination sites (rho independent) [\(11\)](#page-1-10). Putative gene functions were assigned based on conserved protein domains, which were detected using InterProScan v5.33-72 and similarity search results from BLAST v2.2.31 against the following databases, with a 0.001 maximum expectation value cutoff: NCBI nonredundant, UniProtKB Swiss-Prot, and TrEMBL [\(12](#page-1-11)[–](#page-1-12)[14\)](#page-1-13). Potential transmembrane domains were detected with TMHMM v2.0 [\(15\)](#page-1-14). Genome-wide DNA sequence similarity between Pokken and other phages was calculated by progressive-Mauve v2.4.0 [\(16\)](#page-1-15). Genomic terminus type was predicted by PhageTerm [\(17\)](#page-1-16). All tools were accessed at the Center for Phage Technology Galaxy interface, and Web Apollo was used for annotation [\(https://cpt.tamu.edu/galaxy-pub/\)](https://cpt.tamu.edu/galaxy-pub/) [\(18,](#page-1-17) [19\)](#page-1-18). Unless otherwise stated, all tools were executed using default parameters.

The 76,239-bp genome of podophage Pokken has a 55% G+C content, lower than the 66.8% average G-C content of the host [\(20\)](#page-1-19). Our analysis predicted 92 protein**Citation** Hayden A, Martinez N, Moreland R, Liu M, Gonzalez CF, Gill JJ, Ramsey J. 2019. Complete genome sequence of Stenotrophomonas phage Pokken. Microbiol Resour Announc 8:e01095-19. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.01095-19) [10.1128/MRA.01095-19.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.01095-19)

Editor Catherine Putonti, Loyola University Chicago

Copyright © 2019 Hayden et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Address correspondence to Jolene Ramsey, [jolenerr@tamu.edu.](mailto:jolenerr@tamu.edu)

* Present address: Ashley Hayden, Department of Neuroscience, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA.

Received 5 September 2019 **Accepted** 21 September 2019 **Published** 17 October 2019

coding genes and 5 tRNA genes, yielding an overall 92.8% coding density. Of the 29 protein-coding genes that were assigned putative functions, 18 were similar by BLASTp search to enterobacterial phage N4 (GenBank accession number [NC_008720\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_008720). Pokken has an overall 29.94% identity with phage N4 and was predicted to contain 627-bp direct terminal repeats, which were somewhat longer than the direct terminal repeats in phage N4 [\(21\)](#page-1-20). Additionally, Pokken encodes four putative tail fiber proteins in a row (NCBI accession number [QEG09305](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/QEG09305) to [QEG09308\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/QEG09308), and bacteriophage Prado encodes four tail fiber proteins in a row similar to those of Pokken (GenBank accession number [KF626667\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/KF626667) [\(22\)](#page-1-21).

Data availability. The genome sequence and associated data for phage Pokken were deposited under GenBank accession number [MN062186,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MN062186) BioProject accession number [PRJNA222858,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA222858) SRA accession number [SRR8892199,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRR8892199) and BioSample accession number [SAMN11411460.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/biosample/SAMN11411460)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by funding from the National Science Foundation (award DBI-1565146) and the Citrus Research and Development Foundation (project C726) to C.F.G. Additional support came from the Center for Phage Technology (CPT), an Initial University Multidisciplinary Research Initiative supported by Texas A&M University and Texas AgriLife, and from the Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics of Texas A&M University.

We are grateful for the advice and support of the CPT staff.

This announcement was prepared in partial fulfillment of the requirements for BICH464 Bacteriophage Genomics, an undergraduate course at Texas A&M University.

REFERENCES

- 1. Brooke JS. 2012. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: an emerging global opportunistic pathogen. Clin Microbiol Rev 25:2– 41. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00019-11) [.1128/CMR.00019-11.](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00019-11)
- 2. Adegoke AA, Stenström TA, Okoh AI. 2017. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia as an emerging ubiquitous pathogen: looking beyond contemporary antibiotic therapy. Front Microbiol 8:2276. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02276) [fmicb.2017.02276.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02276)
- 3. Berdah L, Taytard J, Leyronnas S, Clement A, Boelle P-Y, Corvol H. 2018. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: a marker of lung disease severity. Pediatr Pulmonol 53:426 – 430. [https://doi.org/10.1002/ppul.23943.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ppul.23943)
- 4. Adams MH. 1956. Bacteriophages. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, NY.
- 5. Valentine RC, Shapiro BM, Stadtman ER. 1968. Regulation of glutamine synthetase. XII. Electron microscopy of the enzyme from Escherichia coli. Biochemistry 7:2143–2152. [https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00846a017.](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00846a017)
- 6. Summer EJ. 2009. Preparation of a phage DNA fragment library for whole genome shotgun sequencing. Methods Mol Biol 502:27– 46. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-565-1_4.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-565-1_4)
- 7. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455– 477. [https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.](https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021)
- 8. Delcher AL, Harmon D, Kasif S, White O, Salzberg SL. 1999. Improved microbial gene identification with GLIMMER. Nucleic Acids Res 27: 4636 – 4641. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.23.4636.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.23.4636)
- 9. Noguchi H, Taniguchi T, Itoh T. 2008. MetaGeneAnnotator: detecting species-specific patterns of ribosomal binding site for precise gene prediction in anonymous prokaryotic and phage genomes. DNA Res 15:387–396. [https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsn027.](https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsn027)
- 10. Laslett D, Canback B. 2004. ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA genes in nucleotide sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 32:11–16. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh152.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh152)
- 11. Kingsford CL, Ayanbule K, Salzberg SL. 2007. Rapid, accurate, computational discovery of rho-independent transcription terminators illuminates their relationship to DNA uptake. Genome Biol 8:R22. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r22) [.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r22.](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r22)
- 12. Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, McWilliam H, Maslen J, Mitchell A, Nuka G, Pesseat S, Quinn AF, Sangrador-Vegas A,

Scheremetjew M, Yong S-Y, Lopez R, Hunter S. 2014. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics 30: 1236 –1240. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031)

- 13. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:421. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421)
- 14. UniProt Consortium. 2019. UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. Nucleic Acids Res 47:D506 –D515. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1049.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1049)
- 15. Krogh A, Larsson B, Heijne von G, Sonnhammer EL. 2001. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J Mol Biol 305:567–580. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315) [.1006/jmbi.2000.4315.](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315)
- 16. Darling AE, Mau B, Perna NT. 2010. progressiveMauve: multiple genome alignment with gene gain, loss and rearrangement. PLoS One 5:e11147. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011147.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011147)
- 17. Garneau JR, Depardieu F, Fortier L-C, Bikard D, Monot M. 2017. PhageTerm: a tool for fast and accurate determination of phage termini and packaging mechanism using next-generation sequencing data. Sci Rep 7:8292. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07910-5.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07910-5)
- 18. Afgan E, Baker D, Batut B, van den Beek M, Bouvier D, Cech M, Chilton J, Clements D, Coraor N, Grüning BA, Guerler A, Hillman-Jackson J, Hiltemann S, Jalili V, Rasche H, Soranzo N, Goecks J, Taylor J, Nekrutenko A, Blankenberg D. 2018. The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2018 update. Nucleic Acids Res 46:W537–W544. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky379.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky379)
- 19. Lee E, Helt GA, Reese JT, Munoz-Torres MC, Childers CP, Buels RM, Stein L, Holmes IH, Elsik CG, Lewis SE. 2013. Web Apollo: a Web-based genomic annotation editing platform. Genome Biol 14:R93. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-8-r93) [.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-8-r93.](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-8-r93)
- 20. Lira F, Berg G, Martinez JL. 2017. Double-face meets the bacterial world: the opportunistic pathogen Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Front Microbiol 8:2190. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02190.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02190)
- 21. Ohmori H, Haynes LL, Rothman-Denes LB. 1988. Structure of the ends of the coliphage N4 genome. J Mol Biol 202:1–10. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(88)90512-8) [0022-2836\(88\)90512-8.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(88)90512-8)
- 22. Ahern SJ, Das M, Bhowmick TS, Young R, Gonzalez CF. 2014. Characterization of novel virulent broad-host-range phages of Xylella fastidiosa and Xanthomonas. J Bacteriol 196:459 – 471. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JB](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01080-13) [.01080-13.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01080-13)