

Prevalence and risk factors of prenatal depression among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at Adventist Hospital, Bekwai Municipality, Ghana

Kwabena Acheanpong, BSc, MPH^{a,b,c}, Xiongfeng Pan, MD, MPH^a, Atipasa Chiwanda Kaminga, BSc, MPH^a, Aizhong Liu, MD, PhD^{a,*}

Abstract

Depression, arising in the perinatal period are a major health issue in low- and middle-income countries. However, little attention has been paid in the research of depression symptoms. This study aimed to estimate the prevalence and risk factors of depression during pregnancy.

A cross-sectional study was conducted in pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at Adventist Hospital in the Bekwai Municipality, Ghana, between February and May 2020. Information on sociodemographic, medical, and obstetric factors were collected from the antenatal booklet and prenatal depression symptoms was defined as a patient health questionnaire scores ≥10. Descriptive statistics, Chi-Squared test, and Fisher exact test were used to analyze dichotomous variables. Multivariate logistic regression model was applied to estimate the adjusted odds ratios (AOR) and 95% confidence interval (95% confidence interval [CI]) for risk factors associated with prenatal depression. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20.0.

The prevalence of prenatal depression in this study was 26.9% (95% CI; 24.6%–29.2%). Advance maternal age \geq 35years (AOR = 1.49, 95% CI 1.05–2.11, P < .026) and low educational attainment (AOR 2.15, 95% CI 1.23–2.34, P < .007) were significantly higher among women with parental depression compared with maternal age <35years and higher educational attainment respectively. Similarly, nulliparous women (AOR = 4.93, 95% CI 1.60–15.16, P < .005), primiparous women (AOR = 5.42, 95% CI 1.76–16.71, P < .003) and multiparous women (AOR = 4.79, 95% CI 1.61–14.22, P < .005) were significantly higher among women with parental depression compared with maternal age \geq 35 years and higher educational attainment respectively. Similarly, nulliparous women (AOR = 4.93, 95% CI 1.60–15.16, P < .005), primiparous women (AOR = 5.42, 95% CI 1.76–16.71, P < .003) and multiparous women (AOR = 4.79, 95% CI 1.61–14.22, P < .005) were significantly higher among women with parental depression compared with grand multiparous woman (\geq 7 deliveries). Finally, prenatal depression was found to be significantly associated with hypertension in pregnancy (AOR = 1.71, 95% CI: 1.12–2.60, P < .013).

Depression during pregnancy is high in the study area and is significantly associated with advance maternal age, low educational attainment, parity less than 7 deliveries, and hypertension during pregnancy.

Abbreviations: AOR = adjusted odds ratios, IBM = Body Mass Index, CI = confidence interval, COR = Crude Odd Ratio, PHQ-9 = patient health questionnaire.

Keywords: depression, Ghana, prenatal depression, prevalence, risk factors

Editor: Chiedu Eseadi.

This research was supported by Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Clinical Epidemiology and the Hunan Provincial Key Research and Development Program (2018SK2065), China.

The authors have no conflicts of interests to disclose.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

^a Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, Xiangya School of Public Health, Central South University, Changsha Hunan, China, ^b Department of Public Health, Adventist University of Africa, Kenya, ^c Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Clinical Epidemiology, Xiangya School of Public Health, Central South University, Changsha, China.

* Correspondence: Aizhong Liu, Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, Xiangya School of Public Health, Central South University, Changsha Hunan, China (e-mail: lazroy@live.cn).

Copyright © 2022 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Acheanpong K, Pan X, Kaminga AC, Liu A. Prevalence and risk factors of prenatal depression among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at Adventist Hospital, Bekwai Municipality, Ghana. Medicine 2022;101:10(e28862).

Received: 23 August 2020 / Received in final form: 30 January 2022 / Accepted: 31 January 2022

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000028862

1. Introduction

Depression, anxiety, and somatic symptoms, known as common psychiatric illness, arising in the perinatal period, which commences at 22 completed weeks (154 days) of gestation and ends 7 completed days after birth are a major health issue in lowand middle-income countries.^[1] Depression is a typical disease that is widely spread across the population and is usually associated with extreme symptoms and loss of roles. Although the recent increase in care is promising, the lack of appropriate services is a major problem. The emphasis on screening and treatment expansion must be balanced by a corresponding focus on improving care efficiency. Depression symptoms are most common in women during pregnancy,^[2] possibly due to a combination of hormonal changes and a number of psychosocial factors.^[3] Given the primary role of care often played by women, antenatal depression can potentially have important implications for fetal growth and child development.^[4] Evidence suggests that females born to depressed mothers could have a higher risk of perinatal depression (a mood disorder that affect women during pregnancy and after childbirth) relative to those born to nondepressed mothers.^[5,6] At the individual level, in conjunction with antenatal depression (a form of clinical depression that affect women during pregnancy), the risk of low birth weight,

preterm birth, intrauterine growth restriction, low Apgar score and pregnancy complications is considered to be higher.^[7–9] In addition, antenatal depression has been associated with neurodevelopmental, social and attachment difficulties and educational outcomes, malnutrition, respiratory disorders and a higher risk of developing later-life mental health disorders for infants.^[10–13] Depression during pregnancy can also affect maternal health, adherence to medical and psychological treatments, and increased risk behaviors such as substance use and misuse.^[14,15] However, there is a limited number of studies examining the associated factors of antenatal depression in Sub-Sahara Africa. Various studies have been conducted in Ghana on the prevalence of depression among women, ranging from 3.8% to 34.4%.^[16– 19] But few of these studies have focused on prenatal depression.

Despite the link between pregnancy depression and adverse birth outcomes, no data are available in the study area. The aim of this study was therefore to estimate prevalence and risk factors associated with depression during pregnancy at Adventist Hospital, Bekwai Municipality, Ghana.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design, setting, and participants

A cross-sectional study was carried out to estimate the prevalence of depression and factors associated with women attending Antenatal Clinic at Adventist Hospital, Bekwai Municipality, Ghana. The Municipality is located in the southern part of the Ashanti region, lies within 6° 00'N -6°30 'N and Longitudes 1°00 W and 1° 35 W and it covers a total land area of about 633 sqkm. In other parts of the Municipality, however, human activities, particularly farming and timber extraction, have reduced the primary forest to secondary forests. The population of the Municipality according to the 2010 Population and Housing Census stands at 118,024 with 55,615 males 62,409 females and nearly 97,277 (82.4%) reside in rural areas. The eligibility criteria included women above or equal to 18 years of age, pregnant at gestational age 18 to 33 x0200A; weeks, permanent residents in the target area and having no psychological treatment or obstetric complication in the current pregnancy. Data collection began in February and ended in May 2020.

2.2. Ethical considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from Research and Ethics Review Committee of the Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, Central South University, China prior to the commencement of the study. A written informed consent was obtained from each study participant after the intent and significance of the study was explained.

2.3. Sample size and power analysis

The sample size for this study was calculated using a single population proportion formula with a 95% confidence interval (CI), a 5% margin error and an assumption that 50% of pregnant women were depressed. By adding 10% for non-response, the minimum sample size required for this study was calculated as n=400 participants. Systematic random sampling method was used to recruit a total of 1433 study participants from their Antenatal Care visit sequence during the study period.

2.4. Outcome measure

Prenatal depression was the outcome of study, which was measured using the patient health questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9). PHQ-9 is one of the most validated tools in mental health and can be a powerful tool to assist clinicians with diagnosing depression and monitoring treatment response. The 9 items of PHQ-9 are based directly on the 9 diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition. Each of the 9 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition criteria was scored as "0" (not at all) to "3" (nearly every day), with a range of 0 to 27. Prenatal depression in this study was defined as PHQ-9 score ≥10. PHQ-9 score of 10 or higher can detect more cases of major depression than the PHQ determination of major depression originally described by Spitzer et al in 1999.^[20] PHQ-9 has a good internal consistency (Cronbach's alphas range from 0.83 to 0.89),^[21] good inter-rater reliability ranges between 0.83 and 0.92^[22] and adequate test-retest reliability correlation of 0.84 between administrations done within 48 hours.^[21] A PHO-9 score cutoff of 10 yielded sensitivity and specificity rates of 85% and 84%, respectively, for a depression diagnosis and 75% and 88% for a sub-diagnosis, respectively.^[23]

2.5. Independent variables

Major socio-demographic, obstetric, and clinical factors were collected from antenatal book at enrolment. Socio-demographic included in this study were maternal age (18–25, 26–34, and \geq 35 years), maternal education (tertiary, senior high school, Junior high School, and primary school and below), employment status (salary worker, trader, apprenticeship, farmer, hairdresser, and unemployed), and pre-pregnancy Body Mass Index (or BMI, weight in kg/height in m², which was categorized as underweight (<18.5), normal weight (\leq 18.5–24.9), overweight (\geq 25–29.9), and obese (BMI \geq 30).

Obstetric and Clinical factors included in this study were gravida (primigravida was defined as been pregnant for the first time. for the purpose of this study "multigravida" was defined as been pregnant 2 to 4 times or "grand multigravida" was defined as been pregnant 5 times or more), parity ("nulliparity" was defined as zero delivery, "primiparity" was defined as 1 delivery, for the purpose this study "multiparity" was defined as 2 to 6 deliveries or "grand multiparity" was defined as \geq 7 deliveries), gestational weeks at recruitment (<28weeks and \geq 28weeks), syphilis (yes/no), hepatitis B (yes/no), human immunodeficiency virus (yes/no), malaria (yes/ no), sickling cell trait (yes/no), hypertension in pregnancy (yes/no, which was defined as \geq 90 mm Hg), and anemia status (yes/no, which was defined as a hemoglobin concentration of less than 110 g/L (less than 11g/dL) in venous blood).

2.6. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20.0 (IBM Inc). Continuously distributed variables were presented as means and standard deviation (SD) categorical variables were presented as proportions (%). Participants were divided into 2 groups based on PHQ-9 score: a non-depressed group (PHQ score <10), and a depressed group (PHQ score \geq 10). The independent variables were categorized to analyze the association between each independent and outcome variable using a bivariate analysis to calculate the Crude Odd Ratio (COR) with 95% CI. Those variables that were associated at a *P* value of <.7 in the

bivariate analysis were entered into a multivariate logistic regression model to calculate the Adjusted Odd Ratio (AOR) and to eliminate the effects of confounding. Variables with a P value of <.05 in the multivariate analysis were considered to be significant.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the study participants

A total of 1433 pregnant women were included in the study with the mean age of 27.98 ± 6.55 years (range 18–47). Age records were divided into 3 groups: 18–25 years (36.0%), 26–34 years (45.7%), and \geq 35 years (18.4%). One hundred eighty one (50.3%) had completed junior and 30% had low level of education (no formal or primary school education). With regard to obstetric characteristics, 992(69.2%) were in their second trimester, primigravida accounted for 314(21.9%) while both multigravidas and grand multigravidas accounted for 1119 (78.6%) of pregnant women. Nulliparas, primiparas, multiparas accounted for 411(28.7%), 291(20.3%), and 730(51.0%) of pregnant women as summarized in Table 1.

3.2. Prevalence of prenatal depression

Patient health questionnaire-9 scale assessment were done to all the study subjects to check for depression. The mean PHQ-9 scores were (Mean \pm SD) 8.64 \pm 2.43. The results indicated 386 women 26.9% (95% CI; 24.6%–29.2%) with PHQ-9 scores of 10 or higher, with 348 cases (24.5%) considered moderate risk, and 37 (2.6%) high risk.

3.3. Association of prenatal depression with sociodemographic, obstetrics, and clinical factors

All variables were analyzed using binary logistic regression to evaluate factors associated with prenatal depression among the study participants as summarized in Table 2. Association with advance maternal age \geq 35years was not significant on bivariate analysis but not in multivariate logistic regression (COR = 1.32; 95% CI: 0.95–1.82, P>.097). Primary school or no formal education was found to be a risk factor of prenatal depression among the women (COR = 1.98; 95% CI: 1.10-3.16, P < .022). Nulliparous women [COR=4.08 (95% CI; 1.43-11.62, P <.009)]; primiparous women (COR=4.22: 95% CI 1.47-12.12, P < .008; and multiparous women were about 4 times (COR = 4.05: 95% CI 1.44-11.45, P < .008) more likely to have prenatal depression compared to women with grand multiparous., Finally, participants who had hypertension during pregnancy were 1.68 times (COR = 1.63(95% CI; 1.09-2.45, P < .017) more likely to have prenatal depression compared to participants without hypertension in pregnancy. There was also no evidence of association between prenatal depression and employment status, gravida, syphilis, hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), prevention of mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus, sickling cell status, malaria infection status, weeks of gestation age at recruitment, anemia in pregnancy and BMI groups.

3.4. Risk factors of prenatal depression among pregnant women

Variables showing $P \le .7$ in the bivariate analysis were used for a multivariate logistic regression analysis, which presented estimates of association between independent variables and prenatal

depression prevalence. The results showed that advance maternal age \geq 35years (AOR 1.49, 95% CI 1.05–2.11, *P* < .026); low educational attainment (AOR 2.15, 95% CI 1.23–2.34, *P* < .007); nulliparous women (AOR 4.93, 95% CI 1.60–15.16, *P* < .005); primiparous women (AOR 5.42, 95% CI 1.76–16.71, *P* < .003); Multiparous women (AOR 4.79, 95% CI 1.61–14.22, *P* < .005) and hypertension in pregnancy(AOR 1.71, 95% CI 1.12–2.60, *P* < .013), were all significantly higher among women with parental depression as shown in Table 3.

4. Discussion

4.1. Main findings

The estimated prevalence of prenatal depression in the current study was 26.9% (95% CI; 24.6%–29.2%). After eliminating variables with *P* value \geq .05, prenatal depression was found to be associated with advance maternal age \geq 35years, low educational attainment (primary school or no formal education), parity (nulliparity, primiparity, or multiparity) and hypertension during pregnancy.

4.2. Comparison with other studies

The prevalence of prenatal depression(26.9%) in the current study was high, similar to the 28.7% prevalence of antenatal depression reported in Sodo district, south central Ethiopia,^[24] 24.5% reported in Abeokuta North Local Government Area, Nigeria^[25] and a pooled prevalence rate reported in Ethiopia (24.2%, 95% CI: 19.8–28.6).^[26] However, the prevalence found in our study is shown to be higher than the 21.0% reported for pregnant women in Western Cape, South Africa,^[27] 14.8% reported in State of South Minas Gerais, Brazil^[28] and the 19.0% reported in Blantyre district, Malawi,^[29] but lower than 34.4%% prevalence reported among women in Kintampo Health Research Centre of Ghana by Weobong et al,^[19] 35.0% reported in South Africa^[30] and the 38.4% reported in Kenya.^[31] In addition, various figures have also been given for the prevalence of antenatal depression in the globe. A systematic review and meta-analysis found a combined prevalence rate of 24.3% in South Asia (95%CI 19.03-30.47). The prevalence rates for India (17.74%, 95% CI 11.19–26.96) and Sri Lanka (12.95%, 95% CI 8.29-19.68) were lower than our prevalence levels, while the prevalence rates for Pakistan (32%, 95% CI 23.11-42.87) and Nepal (50%, 95% CI 35.64-64.36) were higher than our study's prevalence rate.^[32] The differences between studies can be explained, at least in part, by differences in the population, location and design of the study and the size of the sample.

Our result suggests that older maternal age is associated with prenatal depression symptoms. This current finding is consistent with previous studies suggesting an increased risk of depression in advance maternal age women.^[33,34] A similar result was found in a study conducted by Weobong et al,^[35] which recorded an increase in maternal age and a significant impact on the development of prenatal depression. Reasons for this correlation can be due to the fact that advanced maternal age women experience higher rates of pregnancy complications, multiple births, obstetrical intervention and extreme maternal morbidity than younger mothers.^[36] However, no significant association between older age and prenatal depression was found in other studies.^[37–39]

The results of this study are consistent with a growing body of evidence suggesting an increased risk of depression in women

Table 1

Prevalence of prenatal depression among pregnant women by sociodemographic, obstetric, and clinical characteristics of pregnant women (N = 1433).

		Depre	Depression	
Variable	N (%) Screen	No	Yes	P value
Age in years				.035
18–25	513 (36.0)	374 (35.9)	139 (36.2)	
25-34	651 (45.7)	492 (47.2)	159 (41.4)	
≥35	262 (18.4)	86 (22.4)	176 (16.9)	
Education	- (-)		- ()	.001
Tertiary	88 (6.1)	68 (6.5)	20 (5.2)	
Senior High School	197 (13.7)	165 (15.8)	32 (8.3)	
Junior High School	636 (44.4)	483 (46.1)	153 (39.6)	
Primary & below	512 (35.7)	331 (31.6)	181 (46.9)	
Employment status				.132
Salary worker	60 (4.2)	41 (3.9)	19 (4.9)	
Trader	497 (34.7)	354 (33.8)	143 (37.0)	
Apprenticeship	133 (9.3)	100 (9.6)	33 (8.5)	
Farmer	74 (5.2)	50 (4.8)	24 (6.2)	
Hairdresser	286 (20.0)	203 (19.4)	83 (21.5)	
Unemployed	383 (26.7)	299 (28.6)	84 (21.8)	
Weeks of gestation at recruitment				.537
<28weeks	992 (69.2)	720 (68.8)	272 (70.5)	
≥28weeks	441 (30.8)	327 (31.2)	114 (29.5)	
Gravida				0.518
Primigravida	314 (21.9)	224 (21.4)	90 (23.3)	
Multigravida	726 (50.7)	528 (50.4)	198 (51.3)	
Grand multigravida	393 (27.4)	295 (28.2)	98 (25.4)	
Parity				.037
Nulliparity	411 (28.7)	298 (28.5)	113 (29.3)	
Primiparity	291 (20.3)	209 (20.0)	82 (21.2)	
Multiparity	683 (47.7)	496 (47.4)	187 (48.4)	
Grand multiparity	47 (3.3)	43 (4.1)	4 (1.0)	
Blood type				.930
Туре А	177 (12.4)	131 (12.5)	46 (11.9)	
Type B	213 (14.9)	152 (14.5)	61 (15.8)	
Type AB	85 (5.9)	63 (6.0)	22 (5.7)	
Туре О	958 (66.9)	701 (67.0)	257 (66.6)	
Syphilis				.390
No	1380 (96.3)	1011 (96.6)	369 (95.6)	
Yes	53 (3.7)	36 (3.4)	17 (4.4)	
Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) status				.211
No	1356 (94.6)	986 (94.2)	370 (95.9)	
Yes	77 (5.4)	61 (5.8)	16 (4.1)	
PMTCT of HIV				.235
No	1424 (99.4)	1042 (99.5)	382 (99.0)	
Yes	9 (0.6)	5 (0.5)	4 (1.0)	
Sickling cell status				.615
Negative	1301 (90.8)	953 (91.0)	348 (90.2)	
Positive	132 (9.2)	94 (9.0)	38 (9.8)	
Malaria infection at registration				.325
No	1267 (88.4)	931 (88.9)	336 (87.0)	
yes	166 (11.6)	116 (11.1)	50 (13.0)	
Hypertension at registration				.016
No	1321 (92.2)	976 (93.2)	345 (89.4)	
Yes	112 (7.8)	71 (6.8)	41 (10.6)	
Anemia at registration				.596
Severe Anemia (<7.0 g/dL)	10 (0.7)	9 (0.9)	1 (0.3)	
Moderate Anemia (7.0-9.9g/dL)	348 (24.3)	257 (24.5)	91 (23.6)	
Mild Anemia (10.0–10.9 g/dL)	521 (36.4)	382 (36.5)	139 (36.0)	
No Anemia (≥11 g/dL)	554 (38.7)	399 (38.1)	155 (40.2)	
Body mass index (BMI) group				.162
Underweight	24 (1.7)	16 (1.5)	8 (2.1)	
Normal weight	670 (46.8)	483 (46.2)	187 (48.4)	
Overweight	443 (30.9)	340 (32.5)	103 (26.7)	
Obese	295 (20.6)	207 (19.8)	88 (22.8)	

Definition: For the purpose of this study "Grand Multigravida" was defined as was been pregnant 5 times or more; "Grand Multiparity" was defined as 7 times or more deliveries. PMTCT = prevention of mother-to-child transmission, HIV = human immunodeficiency. Table 2

Binary logistic regression analysis of factors associated with prenatal depression (N = 1433).

variables	Frequency	COR (95% CI)	P value
Age in years			
<25	513 (36.0)	1	-
25–34	651 (45.7)	0.87 (0.68-1.13)	.300
≥35	262 (18.4)	1.32 (0.95–1.82)	.097
Education			
Tertiary	88 (6.1)	1	-
Senior High School	197 (13.7)	0.66 (0.35-1.23)	.192
Junior High School	636 (44.4)	1.08 (0.63–1.83)	.784
Primary & below	512 (35.7)	1.98 (1.10–3.16)	.022
Employment status			
Salary worker	60 (4.2)	1	-
Trader	497 (34.7)	0.87 (0.49–1.55)	.641
Apprenticeship	133 (9.3)	0.61 (0.33-1.10)	.099
Farmer	74 (5.2)	0.71 (0.36–1.39)	.322
Hairdresser	286 (20.0)	1.04 (0.50-2.15)	.925
Unemployed	383 (26.7)	0.88 (0.48–1.61)	.683
Weeks of Gestational age at recruitment	· · · ·		
<28weeks	992 (69.2)	1	
>28weeks	441 (30.8)	0.92 (0.72-1.19)	.537
Gravida			518
Primigravida	314 (21.9)	1	.010
Multigravida	726 (50 7)	0.93 (0.70–1.25)	646
Grand multigravida	303 (27 /)	0.83 (0.50-1.16)	266
Parity	333 (27.4)	0.03 (0.05-1.10)	.200
Nulliparity	/11 (28 7)	4 08 (1 42 11 62)	.005
Primiparity	201 (20.2)	4.00 (1.43-11.02)	.009
Fililipality Multiparity	231 (20.3)	4.22 (1.47-12.12)	000.
Crand multiparity	003 (47.7) 47 (2.2)	4.05 (1.44–11.45)	.000
Curabilia	47 (5.5)	I	-
Syphilis	1200 (06.2)	1	
NU	1360 (90.3) 52 (2.7)		-
Ites	53 (3.7)	1.29 (0.72-2.33)	.391
Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBSAg) status	000 (04.0)	4	
NO No -	986 (94.2)		-
Yes	61 (5.8)	0.70 (0.40–1.23)	.211
	1404 (00 4)	4	
No	1424 (99.4)		-
Yes	9 (0.6)	2.18 (0.58-8.17)	.247
Sickling status			
Negative	1301 (90.8)	1	-
Positive	132 (9.2)	1.29 (0.72–2.33)	.391
Malaria infection			
No	1267 (88.4)	1	-
yes	166 (11.6)	1.19 (0.84–1.70)	.326
Hypertension in pregnancy			
No	1321 (92.2)	1	
Yes	112 (7.8)	1.63 (1.09–2.45)	.017
Anemia in pregnancy			
No	483 (33.7)	1	
Yes	950 (66.3)	0.90 (0.70-1.15)	.385
Body Mass Index (BMI) Groups			.164
Underweight	24 (1.7)	1	
Normal weight	670 (46.8)	0.77 (0.33–1.84)	.562
Overweight	443 (30.9)	0.61 (0.25-1.46)	.263
Overweight	- ()	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Definition: For the purpose of this study "Grand Multigravida" was defined as was been pregnant 5 times or more; "Grand Multiparity" was defined as 7 times or more deliveries.

 $\label{eq:main} \ensuremath{\mathfrak{P}}\xspace{\mathsf{MTCT}} = \ensuremath{\mathsf{prevention}}\xspace{\mathsf{order}}\xspace{\mathsforder}\xspace{\mathsforder}\xspace{\mathsforder}\xspace{\mathsforder}\xspace{\mathsforder}\xspace{\mathsforder}\xspace{\mathsforder}\xspace{\mathsforder}\xspace{\mathsforder}\xspace{\mathsforder}\xspace{\mathsforder}\xspace{\mathsforder}\xspace{\mathsforder}\xs$

with low educational attainment.^[40,41] Similar results have been identified in other studies conducted by Bunevicius et al^[42] and Jeong et al,^[43] which reported low education and its major effect on prenatal depression. Backed by others, prenatal depression tends to be more prevalent in women with low educational

attainment.^[44,45] This increased risk can be due to a number of factors, such as the belief that, unlike low education, higher education is correlated with more productive jobs and higher wages. Such jobs provide economic opportunities and work conditions that help to alleviate financial stress, encourage

Table 3

Multivariate logistic regression analysis of factors associated with prenatal depression (N=1433).

Appendix	Variables	AOR	95% CI		P value
<25	Age in years				
25-34 0.649 0.645 1.118 245 2x5 1.490 0.060 2.144 426 Card or ducation	<25	1	-	-	
≥35 1,490 1,050 2,114 0,266 Level of ducator Terriary 1 - - Terriary 1 - - - Beinor High School 1,233 7,77 2,150 4,466 Junor High School 1,233 7,77 2,150 4,607 Pinnary & Dobav 2,151 1,233 6,77 1,433 4,55 Apprentionsith 0,855 0,427 1,443 4,55 Apprentionsith 0,655 0,246 1,1002 0,611 Farmer 0,685 0,340 1,333 0,281 Interroryologi 0,685 0,425 1,500 4,443 Umeroryologi 0,685 0,678 1,102 0,77 Cand multiparvia 0,462 0,588 1,112 2,003 Multiparvia 0,425 1,633 1,5157 0,003 Printigarvia 0,425 1,633 1,5157 0,003 Multiparity 4,520	25–34	0.849	0.645	1.118	.245
Level of exocution in this behaves in the set of exocution in this behaves in the set of exocution in this behaves in the set of exocution in this behaves in the set of exocution in the set of exocution in this behaves in the set of exocution in	≥35	1.490	1.050	2.114	.026
Telliny1Shorin Hip, School0.7620.3951.4664/16Jurior Hip, School1.2337.7772.150490Primary, J. Evoluti11.2303.7620.007Findy methy1Trade0.7850.4271.4434.353Apprenticestip0.5552.6261.1020.611Farmer0.6860.3401.383.2911Hahrdresser0.9970.4632.146.9941Uremployed0.7850.2651.500.484Gestaturcal ageMiligardia0.8020.5681.152.370.370Grand miligardia0.7420.4951.151.300Primigardia0.7820.5681.132.210Grand miligardia0.7420.4951.131.100Primigardia0.7851.6031.672.003Miligardy4.7851.6031.672.003Primigardia0.9725.2431.843.929Sphili statuNo1No1No1No1No1No1	Level of education				
Senior liph School0.7620.3961.466416Primary & balov2.1511.2303.7620.07Primary & balov2.1511.2303.7620.07Endogram MathematicStalay worker1Trader0.7650.2671.4434.35Apprenticeship0.5350.2681.0020.51Heindrasser0.9870.4632.1469.94Heindrasser0.9870.4632.1469.94Heindrasser0.9870.4631.500	Tertiary	1	_	-	
Junic High School 1.233 7.77 2.150 4.400 Prinary & Divoy 2.151 1.230 3.762 .040 Prinary & Divoy 1 - - - Trade 0.785 0.427 1.443 .453 .453 Apprenticeship 0.685 0.340 1.333 .281 .443 .453 .216 .453 .2164 .994 Heintresor 0.907 0.463 .2160 .484 .484 .464 .4	Senior High School	0.762	0.396	1.466	.416
Primagé below2.1511.203.762.007Endogren vision1Salay worker1Tader0.7550.4271.443.435Approntoship0.5350.2461.033.246Haindesser0.9670.4632.146.994Haindesser0.9670.4632.146.994Haindesser0.9670.4632.146.994Gestand age230wels1230wels0.8850.6781.132.270Granda0.8920.6851.132.210Primy0.7420.4951.132.210Grand multignoida0.7420.4951.132.005PringerMalagrify4.9281.0321.5157.005PringerType A1Type A1Type A1No1No1No1No1No1No1No1No1No1No	Junior High School	1.233	.707	2.150	.460
Employment statics Image and the state and the	Primary & below	2,151	1.230	3.762	.007
Star protect 1 - - Tarder 7765 0.427 1.43 .435 Apprenticeship 0.535 0.268 1.012 .051 Farmer 0.885 0.268 1.012 .051 Hairdlesser 0.997 0.463 2.146 .984 Linempored 0.788 0.425 1.500 .484 Linempored 0.788 0.425 1.500 .484 Schweids 0.885 0.678 1.16 .700 Cavida - - . . . Primigravida 1 - - . . Multigravida 0.802 0.568 1.113 .150 Grand multigravida 0.742 0.465 1.612 .003 Multigravity 4.785 1.603 15.157 .005 Grand multigravity 1 - - . .003 Bood bpo 1 - - .003	Employment status				
mate 0.785 0.427 1.43 435 Approxincenting 0.535 0.286 1.002 0.511 Farmer 0.665 0.340 1.333 2.291 Hattresser 0.997 0.463 2.146 9.94 Hattresser 0.997 0.463 2.146 9.94 Casulard age - - - - 220wobs 1 - - - 220wobs 0.885 0.678 1.166 .370 Casulard - - - - - Primpardia 1 - - - - - Casulard 0.742 0.495 1.113 150 - - Party - <td>Salary worker</td> <td>1</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td></td>	Salary worker	1	_	_	
Approtessibp 6.53 0.286 1.02 087 Fammer 0.635 0.286 1.343 .291 Handmessar 0.997 0.463 2.146 .994 Ummyloped 0.798 0.425 1.500 .484 Gestathoral age - - . . . <22breeks	Trader	0.785	0 427	1 443	435
Profession C.C.C.C. C.C.C. C.C.C.C. C.C.C.C. <thc.c.c.c.< th=""></thc.c.c.c.<>	Apprenticeship	0.535	0.286	1 002	.100
Instruct 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.000	Former	0.685	0.200	1 383	.001
namenipole 0.397 0.403 2.140 .3894 destational age	Hoirdrooper	0.003	0.462	1.303	.291
Dire Inject 0.749 0.423 1.300 1.404 Sestational ago - - - <280webs	Hampleved	0.997	0.403	2.140	.994
Uestanding appe <28webks	Unempioyed	0.798	0.425	1.500	.484
<2000805 1 - - 22000805 0.805 0.0769 1.156 .370 Gravida - - - Multigravida 0.802 0.568 1.132 .210 Gravida 0.742 0.495 1.113 .1517 Parly - - - .003 Multigravida 0.742 0.495 15.157 .005 Gravid multigravida 4.928 1.603 15.157 .003 Multigravity 4.928 1.603 15.157 .003 Multigravity 4.785 1.609 14.228 .005 Gravid multigravity 1 - - - Type A 1 - - - Type B 1.128 0.707 1.800 .614 Type A 0.972 .524 1.804 .929 Syblits status - - - - No 1 -	Gestational age				
≥280webs 0.885 0.678 1.136 .370 Printigravida 1 - - Multigravida 0.802 0.568 1.132 .210 Grand multigravida 0.742 0.495 1.113 .150 Parity 1 - . .0003 Multigravida 0.742 0.495 1.113 .150 Parity . . .0003 .0033 .0033 Multigravida 0.742 1.603 16.712 .0033 Multigravity 4.785 1.609 14.228 .0053 Grand multiparity 1 - - - Type A 1 - - - Type B 1.128 0.707 1.804 .929 Type 0 0.985 0.673 1.443 .939 Syphilis status 	<28weeks	1	_	_	
Gravida 1 - - Multigravida 0.802 0.668 1.132 2.10 Gravid multigravida 0.742 0.803 1.113 .100 Parity	≥28weeks	0.885	0.678	1.156	.370
Printigravida 1 - - Mutigravida 0.802 0.668 1.132 210 Grand mutigravida 0.742 0.495 1.113 .150 Parlly - - - .005 Primiparity 4.928 1.603 15.157 .005 Primiparity 5.420 1.758 16.712 .0035 Grand mutigravida 0.787 1.603 15.157 .005 Grand mutigravity 1 - .003 .005 .0035 Grand mutigravity 1 - - .0035 .0035 .0036 .0036 .0035 .0036 .0035 .0035 .0035 .0036 .0035 .0035 .0035 .0035 .0035 .0035 .0036 .0037 .0036 .01 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	Gravida				
Multigravida 0.802 0.668 1.132 210 Grand multigravida 0.742 0.495 1.113 150 Parity 4.928 1.603 1.5157 .0005 Primiparity 5.420 1.758 16.712 .0003 Multiparity 4.785 1.609 14.228 .0005 Grand multiparity 4.785 1.609 14.228 .0005 Grand multiparity 4.785 1.609 .4228 .0005 Grand multiparity 4.785 1.609 .4228 .0005 Blood type - - - . . Blood type - - - . </td <td>Primigravida</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	Primigravida	1	-	-	
Grand multigravida 0.742 0.495 1.113 1.50 Parity 4.928 1.603 15.157 .005 Primiparity 5.420 1.758 16.712 .0003 Multiparity 4.785 1.609 14.228 .0005 Grand multiparity 1 - - - Blod type - - - - Type A 1 - - - Type B 1.128 0.707 1.800 .614 Type A 1 - - - No 1 - - - Yes 0.995 0.673 1.443 .939 Syphilis status - - - - No 1 - - - - Yes 2.634 0.288 1.032 .062 .029 Sicking cell status - - - - - - - - <td>Multigravida</td> <td>0.802</td> <td>0.568</td> <td>1.132</td> <td>.210</td>	Multigravida	0.802	0.568	1.132	.210
Parily Nulliparity 4.929 1.603 15.157 .005 Multiparity 5.420 1.758 16.012 .005 Multiparity 4.785 1.609 14.228 .005 Grand multiparity 1 - - - Blood type - - - - Type A 1 - - - - Type B 1.128 0.707 1.800 .614 .929 Type AB 0.972 .524 1.804 .929 Syphilis status - - - - Yes 1.408 0.723 .742 .314 Hepatits B surface antigen (HBsAg) status - - - - No 1 - - - - - Yes .545 0.288 1.032 .062 .056 .028 .029 .056 Sicking cell status - - - - -	Grand multigravida	0.742	0.495	1.113	.150
Number 4.928 1.603 15.157 005 Primiparity 5.420 1.758 16.712 .003 Multiparity 4.785 1.609 14.228 .003 Grand multiparity 1 -	Parity				
Primperity 5.420 1.758 16.712 .003 Multiparity 4.785 1.609 14.228 .005 Grand multiparity 1 - . . Blood type Type A 1 - - . . . Type A 0.972 .524 1.804 .929 . <td>Nulliparity</td> <td>4.928</td> <td>1.603</td> <td>15.157</td> <td>.005</td>	Nulliparity	4.928	1.603	15.157	.005
Mutipany 4.785 1.609 14.228 .005 Grand mutiparity 1 – – – Type A 1 – – – Type B 1.128 0.707 1.800 .614 Type A 0.972 .524 1.804 .929 Type O 0.985 0.673 1.433 .939 Syphilis status – – – – – No 1 – – – – – Yes 1.06 0.723 2.742 .314 Pepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) status 1 –	Primiparity	5.420	1.758	16.712	.003
Index Index Index Index Index Grand multiparity 1 - - - Blood type - - - - Type A 1 - - - Type AB 0.972 .524 1.800 .614 Type AB 0.972 .524 1.804 .929 Syphilis status - - - - No 1 - - - - Yes 1.408 0.723 2.742 .314 Hepattils B surface antigen (HBsAg) status - - - - Yes 1.408 0.723 2.742 .314 Hepattils B surface antigen (HBsAg) status - - - - Yes .545 0.288 1.032 .062 PMTCI of HV - - - - No 1 - - - Yes 0.872 0.	Multinarity	4 785	1 609	14 228	005
Book Synce - Type A 1 - - Type B 1.128 0.707 1.800 6.14 Type B 1.128 0.707 1.800 6.14 Type B 1.128 0.707 1.800 6.14 Type O 0.985 0.673 1.403 .939 Syphilis satus - - - - No 1 - - - - Yes 1.408 0.723 2.742 .314 Hepattis B surface antigen (HBSAg) status - - - - No 1 - - - - Yes .545 0.288 1.032 .062 PMTC1 of HIV - - - - No 1 - - - Yes 2.634 0.543 12.768 .229 Sicking cell status - - - - No	Grand multiparity	1	_	T ILLES	1000
Type A 1 - - Type B 1.128 0.707 1.800 .614 Type AB 0.972 .524 1.804 .929 Type AD 0.985 0.673 1.443 .939 Syphilis status - - - - No 1 - <td>Blood type</td> <td>I</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Blood type	I			
Type B 1 - <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		1			
Type AB 0.707 1.800 0.714 Type AB 0.972 .524 1.804 .929 Type O 0.985 0.673 1.443 .939 Sypfilis status - - - - No 1 - - - - Yes 1.408 0.723 2.742 .314 Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) status - - - No 1 - - - Yes .545 0.288 1.032 .062 PMTCT of HIV - - - - No 1 - - - Yes 2.634 0.543 12.768 .229 Sickling cell status - - - - No 1 - - - - Yes 0.872 0.507 1.500 .621 Malaria infection - - - -	Type A Type B	1 100	0 707	1 800	614
Type O 0.972 524 1.604 929 Type O 0.985 0.673 1.443 939 Syphilis status - - - 939 No 1 - - 933 931 Yes 1.408 0.723 2.742 931 Hepattis B surface antigen (HBsAg) status - - 933 932 933 933 933 933 933 933 933 933 9333 933 933		1.120	0.707	1.000	.014
Type U 0.585 0.673 1.443 .535 Syphilis status No 1 - - Yes 1.408 0.723 2.742 .314 Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) status - - - No 1 - - - - Yes .545 0.288 1.032 .062 PMTCT of HIV - - - - No 1 - - - Yes 2.634 0.543 12.768 .229 Sickling cell status - - - - No 1 - - - - Yes 0.872 0.507 1.500 .621 Malaria infection No 1 -	Туре АБ	0.972	.324	1.004	.929
Syphiles status - - No 1 - - Yes 1.408 0.723 2.742 .314 Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) status - - - No 1 - - - Yes 0.545 0.288 1.032 .062 PMTCT of HV - - - - No 1 - - - Yes 2.634 0.543 12.768 .293 Sicking cell status - - - - No 1 - - - - Yes 0.872 0.507 1.500 .621 Malaria infection - - - - No 1 - - - Yes 0.898 0.695 1.160 .413 Anemia - - - - No 1 - - </td <td>Type U</td> <td>0.985</td> <td>0.673</td> <td>1.443</td> <td>.939</td>	Type U	0.985	0.673	1.443	.939
No 1 - - Yes 1.408 0.723 2.742 .314 Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) status .	Syphilis status				
Yes 1.408 0.723 2.742 .314 Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) status 1 No 1 Yes 545 0.288 1.032 PMTCD of HV .	No	1	-	-	
Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) status 1 1 No 1 - 0.288 1.032 0.62 PMTCT of HIV - </td <td>Yes</td> <td>1.408</td> <td>0.723</td> <td>2.742</td> <td>.314</td>	Yes	1.408	0.723	2.742	.314
No 1 Yes .545 0.288 1.032 .062 PMTCT of HIV	Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) status				
Yes .545 0.288 1.032 .062 PMTCT of HIV -	No	1			
PMTCT of HIV No 1 - - Yes 2.634 0.543 12.768 .229 Sicking cell status . <th< td=""><td>Yes</td><td>.545</td><td>0.288</td><td>1.032</td><td>.062</td></th<>	Yes	.545	0.288	1.032	.062
No 1 - - Yes 2.634 0.543 12.768 .229 Sickling cell status 2 No Yes 0.872 0.507 1.500 .621 . Malaria infection .	PMTCT of HIV				
Yes 2.634 0.543 12.768 .229 Sickling cell status No	No	1	-	-	
Sickling cell status No 1 No 1.500 .621 Malaria infection - </td <td>Yes</td> <td>2.634</td> <td>0.543</td> <td>12.768</td> <td>.229</td>	Yes	2.634	0.543	12.768	.229
No 1.500 .621 Malaria infection - - No 1 - - Yes 1.447 0.888 2.358 .138 Hypertension - - - - No 1 -	Sickling cell status				
Yes 0.872 0.507 1.500 .621 Malaria infection No 1 - - Yes 1.447 0.888 2.358 .138 Hypertension No 1 - - Yes 1.709 1.121 2.604 .013 Anemia No 1 - - Yes 0.898 0.695 1.160 .410 Body Mass Index (BMI) group Underweight 1 - - - Normal weight 0.848 0.345 2.081 Overweight 0.672 0.270 1.671 Obse 0.936 0.374 2.344	No				
Malaria infection No 1 - - Yes 1.447 0.888 2.358 .138 Hypertension - - - No 1 - - Yes 1.709 1.121 2.604 .013 Anemia - - - Yes 0.898 0.695 1.160 .410 Body Mass Index (BMI) group 1 - - Underweight 1 - - Normal weight 0.848 0.345 2.081 .719 Overweight 0.672 0.270 1.671 .393 Obese 0.936 0.374 2.344 .887	Yes	0.872	0.507	1.500	.621
No 1 - - Yes 1.447 0.888 2.358 .138 Hypertension - - - - No 1 - - - Yes 1.709 1.121 2.604 .013 Anemia - - - - No 1 - - - Yes 0.898 0.695 1.160 .410 Body Mass Index (BMI) group - - - - Underweight 1 - - - Normal weight 0.848 0.345 2.081 .719 Overweight 0.672 0.270 1.671 .393 Obese 0.936 0.374 2.344 .887	Malaria infection	01012	0.001		1021
No 1 0.888 2.358 .138 Hypertension - <td>No</td> <td>1</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td></td>	No	1	_	_	
Hypertension 1.447 0.000 2.000 1.100 Hypertension - - No 1 - - Yes 1.709 1.121 2.604 .013 Anemia - - No 1 - - Yes 0.898 0.695 1.160 .410 Body Mass Index (BMI) group - - - Underweight 1 - - Normal weight 0.848 0.345 2.081 .719 Overweight 0.672 0.270 1.671 .393 Obese 0.936 0.374 2.344 .887	Vac	1 //7	0.888	2 358	138
No 1 - - Yes 1.709 1.121 2.604 .013 Anemia - - .013 .013 No 1 - - .013 Yes 0.898 0.695 1.160 .410 Body Mass Index (BMI) group - - .410 Underweight 1 - - .410 Normal weight 0.848 0.345 2.081 .719 Overweight 0.672 0.270 1.671 .393 Obese 0.936 0.374 2.344 .887	Hyportonsion	1.447	0.000	2.330	.150
No I	No	1			
Tes 1.709 1.121 2.004 .013 Anemia	NU	1 700	-	-	010
Anterna – – No 1 – – Yes 0.898 0.695 1.160 .410 Body Mass Index (BMI) group – <	Anomia	1.709	1.121	2.004	.013
No 1 - - Yes 0.898 0.695 1.160 .410 Body Mass Index (BMI) group - - - Underweight 1 - - Normal weight 0.848 0.345 2.081 .719 Overweight 0.672 0.270 1.671 .393 Obese 0.936 0.374 2.344 .887	Anemia				
Yes 0.898 0.695 1.160 .410 Body Mass Index (BMI) group - <td>INO</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	INO	1	-	-	
Body Mass Index (BMI) group 1 - - Underweight 1 - - Normal weight 0.848 0.345 2.081 .719 Overweight 0.672 0.270 1.671 .393 Obese 0.936 0.374 2.344 .887	Yes	0.898	0.695	1.160	.410
Underweight 1 - - Normal weight 0.848 0.345 2.081 .719 Overweight 0.672 0.270 1.671 .393 Obese 0.936 0.374 2.344 .887	Body Mass Index (BMI) group				
Normal weight 0.848 0.345 2.081 .719 Overweight 0.672 0.270 1.671 .393 Obese 0.936 0.374 2.344 .887	Underweight	1	-	-	
Overweight 0.672 0.270 1.671 .393 Obese 0.936 0.374 2.344 .887	Normal weight	0.848	0.345	2.081	.719
Obese 0.936 0.374 2.344 .887	Overweight	0.672	0.270	1.671	.393
	Obese	0.936	0.374	2.344	.887

Definition: For the purpose of this study "Grand Multigravida" was defined as was been pregnant 5 times or more; "Grand Multiparity" was defined as 7 times or more deliveries.

PMTCT = prevention of mother-to-child transmission, HIV = human immunodeficiency.

healthier lifestyles, and thus improve mental health. For example, a cross-sectional study in Osasco, São Paulo, Brazil, found that higher incomes and the level of education of expectant couples were independently associated with lower prevalence of depression during pregnancy.^[46] Again, low education may have a detrimental impact on the individual development of a sense of superiority and self-efficacy, which in turn worsens the capacity of people to cope with the challenges and stresses of life.^[47] Increasing maternal knowledge and awareness of prenatal depression and its coping strategies could be one of many likely interventions to protect pregnant women from depression in the study area.

We found that multiparous, primiparous, and nulliparous women were independently associated with prenatal depression. The finding of higher risk of depression for multiparous women is supported by some studies.^[34,48,49] De Jesus Silva et al^[28] reported that women with a higher number of births and children had depression. This was also confirmed in the South African study^[50] in which pregnant women with depression also had more children. In addition, nulliparity or primiparity was significantly associated with prenatal depression, which is consistent with the 3 previous studies, which showed that nulliparous or primiparous women are at higher risk than multiparous women.^[33,51,52] Others did not, however, found any significant association between parity and depression.^[38,53,54]

In our study, we found that women with hypertension during pregnancy were 1.68 times more likely to experience prenatal depression than those without such a diagnosis. Our study is parallel to a prospective population-based study conducted by Kurki et al to investigate nulliparous Finnish women receiving prenatal care in the metropolitan area of Helsinki who reported 2.5times an increased risk of depression for preeclampsia.^[55] Compared to non-depressed women, those with moderate depression had a 2.3-fold increase in preeclampsia risk, while moderate-severe depression was associated with a 3.2-fold increase in preeclampsia risk as reported by Qiu et al in a case-control study of Peruvian women which is similar to our finding.^[56] For example, in a study involving 452 psychiatric outpatients diagnosed with depression, Rabkin et al^[57] found that hypertension was 3 times more prevalent than those without hypertension. A study conducted by Jokisalo and colleagues^[58] found that a feeling of hopelessness, frustration with treatment, and perceived tension with blood pressure measurement are associated with poor blood pressure control.

4.3. Study strengths and limitations

The validated scale used to measure symptoms of depression had good psychometric characteristics. This study provided us with up-to-date information on prevalence and risk factors for prenatal depression and could help in the design of a cohort study in the study area. The results of this study are also useful for public health planning, monitoring, and evaluation. The sample size of this study is among the largest in Ghana and sub-Saharan Africa as a whole. However, due to the nature of the design of the study, in general, and the fact that this is a one-time measurement of exposure and outcome, it is difficult to establish a causal relationship. Similarly, sampling bias may have happened as a result of respondents who decline to participate in a study. For example, if pregnant women with depression were less likely to engage in the study than those without depression, the frequency of depression in the area could have been underestimated. Furthermore, while systematic sampling, as utilized in current study, decreases the likelihood of sample bias, it does not totally remove it. A biased sample may result if the sampling frame, or the actual list of pregnant women from whom the sample was drawn, does not match the population. Again, one of the selected hospitals was involved and this could limit the generalizability of the findings of the study. Therefore, this study may not be sufficient to understand the trends of the disease in this situation. Although depressive symptoms were evaluated using a valid selfadministered scale, psychiatrists did not perform any further clinical assessments to evaluate depression among the participants in this study.

4.4. Implications

Our study findings have contributed to an understanding of antenatal depression and the importance of alertness in mental health and well-being during pregnancy. The findings recorded in this study also indicate that attempts should be made to routinely identify pregnant women who are depressed and to use the information to improve the mental health status of the affected population. Identification of prenatal depression and its risk factors help health care professionals to monitor high-risk women and to administer preventive interventions and careful treatment. Future studies and clinical efforts need to shift towards successful cost-effective antenatal depression intervention.

5. Conclusion

Depression during pregnancy is high in the study area and significantly associated with advanced maternal age, low educational attainment, parity less than 7 deliveries and hypertension during pregnancy. Screening for depression needs to be incorporated with antenatal care services particularly for women at risk of prenatal depression for early detection and successful intervention.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the women who participated in the study. We would like to thank the research data team, the antenatal, and administrative staff of the Adventist Hospital in Bekwai Municipality for their support for the study. We appreciate the recommendations made by the representatives of the International Advisory Board of Central South University, the Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics of the Xiangya School of Public Health and thank our collaborators.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Kwabena Acheampong.

Data curation: Kwabena Acheampong.

Formal analysis: Kwabena Acheampong, Xiongfeng Pan, Aizhong Liu.

Funding acquisition: Aizhong Liu.

Investigation: Kwabena Acheampong.

Methodology: Kwabena Acheampong.

Project administration: Kwabena Acheampong.

Resources: Xiongfeng Pan, Atipatsa Chiwanda Kaminga.

Supervision: Atipatsa Chiwanda Kaminga, Aizhong Liu.

Validation: Xiongfeng Pan, Atipatsa Chiwanda Kaminga, Aizhong Liu. Visualization: Xiongfeng Pan, Aizhong Liu.

Writing – original draft: Kwabena Acheampong.

Writing – review & editing: Kwabena Acheampong, Xiongfeng Pan, Aizhong Liu.

References

- Stewart RC, Umar E, Tomenson B, Creed F. A cross-sectional study of antenatal depression and associated factors in Malawi. Arch Womens Ment Health 2014;17:145–54.
- [2] Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, et al. The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). JAMA 2003;289:3095–105.
- [3] Soares CN, Zitek B. Reproductive hormone sensitivity and risk for depression across the female life cycle: a continuum of vulnerability? J Psychiatry Neurosci 2008;33:331–43.
- [4] Anderson J. The impact of family structure on the health of children: effects of divorce. The Linacre quarterly 2014;81:378–87.
- [5] Carbone DL, Handa RJ. Sex and stress hormone influences on the expression and activity of brain-derived neurotrophic factor. Neuroscience 2013;239:295–303.
- [6] Seney ML, Ekong KI, Ding Y, Tseng GC, Sibille E. Sex chromosome complement regulates expression of mood-related genes. Biol Sex Differ 2013;4:20.
- [7] Araujo DM, Vilarim MM, Sabroza AR, Nardi AE. Depression during pregnancy and low birth weight: a systematic literature review. Cad Saude Publica 2010;26:219–27.
- [8] Grote NK, Bridge JA, Gavin AR, Melville JL, Iyengar S, Katon WJ. A meta-analysis of depression during pregnancy and the risk of preterm birth, low birth weight, and intrauterine growth restriction. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2010;67:1012–24.
- [9] Hoirisch-Clapauch S, Brenner B, Nardi AE. Adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes in women with mental disorders. Thromb Res 2015;135(Suppl 1):S60–3.
- [10] Jacques N, de Mola CL, Joseph G, Mesenburg MA, da Silveira MF. Prenatal and postnatal maternal depression and infant hospitalization and mortality in the first year of life: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Affect Disord 2019;243:201–8.
- [11] Alder J, Fink N, Bitzer J, Hosli I, Holzgreve W. Depression and anxiety during pregnancy: a risk factor for obstetric, fetal and neonatal outcome? A critical review of the literature. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2007;20:189–209.
- [12] Davalos DB, Yadon CA, Tregellas HC. Untreated prenatal maternal depression and the potential risks to offspring: a review. Arch Womens Ment Health 2012;15:1–14.
- [13] Dunkel Schetter C, Tanner L. Anxiety, depression and stress in pregnancy: implications for mothers, children, research, and practice. Curr Opin Psychiatry 2012;25:141–8.
- [14] Goodman SH, Gotlib IH. Risk for psychopathology in the children of depressed mothers: a developmental model for understanding mechanisms of transmission. Psychol Rev 1999;106:458–90.
- [15] Downey G, Coyne JC. Children of depressed parents: an integrative review. Psychol Bull 1990;108:50–76.
- [16] Wemakor A, Mensah KA. Association between maternal depression and child stunting in Northern Ghana: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2016;16:869.
- [17] Wemakor A, Iddrisu H. Maternal depression does not affect complementary feeding indicators or stunting status of young children (6-23 months) in Northern Ghana. BMC Res Notes 2018;11:408.
- [18] Guo N, Bindt C, Te Bonle M, et al. Association of antepartum and postpartum depression in Ghanaian and Ivorian women with febrile illness in their offspring: a prospective birth cohort study. Am J Epidemiol 2013;178:1394–402.
- [19] Weobong B, Ten Asbroek AH, Soremekun S, et al. Determinants of postnatal depression in rural ghana: findings from the don population based cohort study. Depress Anxiety 2015;32:108–19.
- [20] Arroll B, Goodyear-Smith F, Crengle S, et al. Validation of PHQ-2 and PHQ-9 to screen for major depression in the primary care population. Ann Fam Med 2010;8:348–53.
- [21] Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med 2001;16:606–13.
- [22] Adewuya AO, Ola BA, Afolabi OO. Validity of the patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9) as a screening tool for depression amongst Nigerian university students. J Affect Disord 2006;96:89–93.

- [23] Sidebottom AC, Harrison PA, Godecker A, Kim H. Validation of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ)-9 for prenatal depression screening. Arch Womens Ment Health 2012;15:367–74.
- [24] Bitew T, Hanlon C, Medhin G, Fekadu A. Antenatal predictors of incident and persistent postnatal depressive symptoms in rural Ethiopia: a population-based prospective study. Reprod Health 2019;16:28.
- [25] Thompson O, Ajayi I. Prevalence of antenatal depression and associated risk factors among pregnant women attending antenatal clinics in Abeokuta North Local Government Area, Nigeria. Depress Res Treat 2016;2016:4518979.
- [26] Zegeye A, Alebel A, Gebrie A, et al. Prevalence and determinants of antenatal depression among pregnant women in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Preg Childb 2018;18:462.
- [27] Bernstein M, Phillips T, Zerbe A, et al. Intimate partner violence experienced by HIV-infected pregnant women in South Africa: a crosssectional study. BMJ Open 2016;6:e011999.
- [28] de Jesus Silva MM, Peres Rocha Carvalho Leite E, Alves Nogueira D, Clapis MJ. Depression in pregnancy. Prevalence and associated factors. Invest Educ Enferm 2016;34:342–50.
- [29] Chorwe-Sungani G, Chipps J. A cross-sectional study of depression among women attending antenatal clinics in Blantyre district, Malawi. S Afr J Psychiatr 2018;24:1181.
- [30] Tomlinson M, Rotheram-Borus MJ, Scheffler A, le Roux I. Antenatal depressed mood and child cognitive and physical growth at 18-months in South Africa: a cluster randomised controlled trial of home visiting by community health workers. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci 2018; 27:601–10.
- [31] Mochache K, Mathai M, Gachuno O, Vander Stoep A, Kumar M. Depression during pregnancy and preterm delivery: a prospective cohort study among women attending antenatal clinic at Pumwani Maternity Hospital. Ann Gen Psychiatry 2018;17:31.
- [32] Mahendran R, Puthussery S, Amalan M. Prevalence of antenatal depression in South Asia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Epidemiol Community Health 2019;73:768–77.
- [33] Raisanen S, Lehto SM, Nielsen HS, Gissler M, Kramer MR, Heinonen S. Risk factors for and perinatal outcomes of major depression during pregnancy: a population-based analysis during 2002-2010 in Finland. BMJ Open 2014;4:e004883.
- [34] Golbasi Z, Kelleci M, Kisacik G, Cetin A. Prevalence and correlates of depression in pregnancy among Turkish women. Matern Child Health J 2010;14:485–91.
- [35] Weobong B, Soremekun S, Ten Asbroek AH, et al. Prevalence and determinants of antenatal depression among pregnant women in a predominantly rural population in Ghana: the DON population-based study. J Affect Disord 2014;165:1–7.
- [36] Muraca GM, Joseph KS. The association between maternal age and depression. J Obstet Gynaecol Can 2014;36:803–10.
- [37] Srinivasan N, Murthy S, Singh AK, Upadhyay V, Mohan SK, Joshi A. Assessment of burden of depression during pregnancy among pregnant women residing in rural setting of chennai. J Clin Diagn Res 2015;9: LC08-12.
- [38] Ratcliff BG, Sharapova A, Suardi F, Borel F. Factors associated with antenatal depression and obstetric complications in immigrant women in Geneva. Midwifery 2015;31:871–8.
- [39] Agostini F, Neri E, Salvatori P, Dellabartola S, Bozicevic L, Monti F. Antenatal depressive symptoms associated with specific life events and sources of social support among Italian women. Matern Child Health J 2015;19:1131–41.
- [40] Bolton HL, Hughes PM, Turton P, Sedgwick P. Incidence and demographic correlates of depressive symptoms during pregnancy in an inner London population. J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol 1998;19: 202–9.
- [41] Lancaster CA, Gold KJ, Flynn HA, Yoo H, Marcus SM, Davis MM. Risk factors for depressive symptoms during pregnancy: a systematic review. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2010;202:5–14.
- [42] Bunevicius R, Kusminskas L, Bunevicius A, Nadisauskiene RJ, Jureniene K, Pop VJM. Psychosocial risk factors for depression during pregnancy. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2009;88:599–605.
- [43] Jeong HG, Lim JS, Lee MS, Kim SH, Jung IK, Joe SH. The association of psychosocial factors and obstetric history with depression in pregnant women: focus on the role of emotional support. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2013;35:354–8.
- [44] Fellenzer JL, Cibula DA. Intendedness of pregnancy and other predictive factors for symptoms of prenatal depression in a population-based study. Matern Child Health J 2014;18:2426–36.

- [45] Lydsdottir LB, Howard LM, Olafsdottir H, Thome M, Tyrfingsson P, Sigurdsson JF. The mental health characteristics of pregnant women with depressive symptoms identified by the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. J Clin Psychiatry 2014;75:393–8.
- [46] Faisal-Cury A, Rossi Menezes P. Prevalence of anxiety and depression during pregnancy in a private setting sample. Arch Womens Ment Health 2007;10:25–32.
- [47] Bauldry S. Variation in the protective effect of higher education against depression. Soc Ment Health 2015;5:145–61.
- [48] Abujilban SK, Abuidhail J, Al-Modallal H, Hamaideh S, Mosemli O. Predictors of antenatal depression among Jordanian pregnant women in their third trimester. Health Care Women Int 2014;35:200–15.
- [49] Redshaw M, Henderson J. From antenatal to postnatal depression: associated factors and mitigating influences. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2013;22:518–25.
- [50] Vythilingum B, Field S, Kafaar Z, et al. Screening and pathways to maternal mental health care in a South African antenatal setting. Arch Womens Ment Health 2013;16:371–9.
- [51] Ali NS, Azam IS, Ali BS, Tabbusum G, Moin SS. Frequency and associated factors for anxiety and depression in pregnant women: a hospital-based cross-sectional study. Sci World J 2012;2012:653098.

- [52] Fisher J, Tran T, Duc Tran T, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for symptoms of common mental disorders in early and late pregnancy in Vietnamese women: a prospective population-based study. J Affect Disord 2013;146:213–9.
- [53] Rubertsson C, Hellström J, Cross M, Sydsjö G. Anxiety in early pregnancy: prevalence and contributing factors. Arch Womens Ment Health 2014;17:221–8.
- [54] Fisher J, Tran T, La BT, Kriitmaa K, Rosenthal D, Tran T. Common perinatal mental disorders in northern Viet Nam: community prevalence and health care use. Bull World Health Organ 2010;88:737–45.
- [55] Kurki T, Hiilesmaa V, Raitasalo R, Mattila H, Ylikorkala O. Depression and anxiety in early pregnancy and risk for preeclampsia. Obstet Gynecol 2000;95:487–90.
- [56] Qiu C, Sanchez SE, Lam N, Garcia P, Williams MA. Associations of depression and depressive symptoms with preeclampsia: results from a Peruvian case-control study. BMC Womens Health 2007;7:15.
- [57] Rabkin JG, Charles E, Kass F. Hypertension and DSM-III depression in psychiatric outpatients. Am J Psychiatry 1983;140:1072–4.
- [58] Jokisalo E, Enlund H, Halonen P, Takala J, Kumpusalo E. Factors related to poor control of blood pressure with antihypertensive drug therapy. Blood Press 2003;12:49–55.