

Emerging concepts and recent advances in basal cell carcinoma [version 1; referees: 2 approved]

Mariam Totonchy ¹⁰, David Leffell²

¹Department of Dermatology, Yale University School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, P.O. Box 208059, New Haven, CT 06520-8059, Connecticut, USA

²Department of Dermatology, Section of Cutaneous Oncology and Dermatologic Surgery, Yale University School of Medicine, 40 Temple Street 5A, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

 V1
 First published: 04 Dec 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):2085 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11314.1)

 Latest published: 04 Dec 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):2085 (doi:

10.12688/f1000research.11314.1)

Abstract

Basal cell carcinoma (BCC) is the most common malignancy worldwide, arising from non-keratinizing cells within the basal layer of the epidermis. The incidence of BCC continues to rise annually, increasing the burden of management of these carcinomas and the morbidity associated with their treatment. While surgical interventions such as Mohs micrographic surgery and surgical excision are the standard of care and yield the highest cure rates, the number of non-surgical interventions approved for the treatment of BCC continues to expand. We review various surgical and non-surgical approaches to the treatment of BCC, focusing on targeted molecular therapies that are approved for locally advanced or recurrent disease.

Open Peer Review





F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, peer review takes place before publication; the referees are listed below, but their reports are not formally published.

- 1 Desiree Ratner, Mount Sinai Beth Israel Cancer Center West, USA
- 2 Uwe Wollina, Academic Teaching Hospital of the Technical University of Dresden, Germany

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Mariam Totonchy (mariam.totonchy@yale.edu)

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

How to cite this article: Totonchy M and Leffell D. Emerging concepts and recent advances in basal cell carcinoma [version 1; referees: 2 approved] *F1000Research* 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):2085 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11314.1)

Copyright: © 2017 Totonchy M and Leffell D. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

First published: 04 Dec 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):2085 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11314.1)

Introduction

Non-melanoma skin cancers (NMSCs), which include basal cell carcinoma (BCC) and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), are the most common cancers in Caucasians. One in five Americans will develop skin cancer in their lifetime¹. In 2012, 5.4 million NMSCs were diagnosed in the US alone². BCC comprises approximately 80% of these NMSCs, and incidence rates are increasing worldwide^{2,3}. Incidence rates of BCC in the US have risen by approximately 2% per year3, and there are significant increases among women and individuals younger than 40 years of age3-6. BCCs are treatable cancers and have low rates of metastasis and mortality7,8; however, their high incidence rates and treatment costs contribute significantly to the rising economic burden of health care9. From 2007 to 2011, as compared with from 2002 to 2006, the aggregate cost of treating skin cancer in the US rose from \$3.6 to \$8.1 billion per year, and the average cost of treatment per patient has also increased significantly, from \$1,000 in 2006 to \$1,600 in 20119-11. In addition to the rising cost of treatment, BCCs are associated with significant morbidity, making their management an important public health concern.

Risk factors

The increase in incidence rates of BCC may indicate changes in environmental or lifestyle and behavioral risk factors. Solar ultraviolet (UV) irradiation is a known risk factor for BCC¹². Exposure to UV light, particularly UVB, induces mutations in tumor suppressor genes and plays a key role in the pathogenesis of BCC¹³⁻¹⁵. A history of blistering sunburn and younger age at first blistering sunburn have been associated with BCC, yet it is still unclear whether continuous or intermittent sun exposure poses a greater risk¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Exposure to UV radiation early in life or intermittent exposure throughout life may be more important than overall cumulative exposure¹⁹. In the past decade, indoor tanning has emerged as a significant risk factor for skin cancer, including early-onset BCC²⁰⁻²⁴. The melanocortin 1 receptor gene, MC1R, is associated with fair skin, red hair, and increased risk for melanoma and NMSC²⁵⁻³⁰. Both MC1R genotype and indoor tanning have been used to predict risk of early-onset BCC in statistical models²⁸.

Increasing age, male sex, and white race have also been correlated with higher rates of BCC^{31,32}. Higher risk with age may be due in part to a reduced ability to repair DNA damage from UV radiation, leading to the accumulation of carcinogenic photoproducts^{33,34}. Other important risk factors include a family history of skin cancer, fair complexion, light eye/hair color, and a low ability to tan^{35,36}. Interestingly, a recent study also found that adult body mass index was inversely associated with earlyonset BCC³⁷.

In addition to risk factors for developing BCC, there are certain features of BCCs that place them in a high-risk category. High-risk BCC features include tumors of longstanding duration, diameter of greater than 2 cm, aggressive histo-pathologic subtype, perivascular or perineural infiltration, location in the mid-face or ears, history of radiation exposure, and prior treatment failure³⁸. Morpheaform, infiltrative, and micronodular histo-pathologic subtypes are considered aggressive. Chronically immunosuppressed patients are at high risk not only for NMSC but also for more aggressive phenotypes of these cutaneous carcinomas due to impaired immune surveillance of the skin^{39–41}. Solid organ transplant recipients comprise an important subgroup of immunosuppressed patients for whom skin cancer is the most common post-transplant malignancy^{42–46}. While the relative increased risk of developing SCC is higher compared with BCC in this group, the risk of BCC is still significantly increased at a factor of 7 to 20 as compared with the normal population^{43,47}. The number of solid organ transplants in the US is increasing annually, making treatment of NMSC in this high-risk group of heightened concern⁴⁸.

Genetic basis of disease

UV radiation plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of BCC, accounting for the high rate of somatic mutations and "UV signatures" seen in this type of carcinoma49,50. In addition to these "UV signature" genetic alterations, mutations in the patched 1 (PTCH1) gene on chromosome 9q22 have been shown to play a key role in the pathogenesis of sporadic BCC^{51,52}. Loss of heterozygosity of PTCH1 is the most frequent genetic alteration in BCC, occurring in a reported 53% to 69% of BCCs⁵³⁻⁵⁷. PTCH allele alterations are seen in approximately 83% of BCCs⁵⁵. Critical to this discovery was the characterization of a subset of patients with nevoid BCC syndrome (Gorlin syndrome). This syndrome, first characterized by Gorlin⁵⁸, is an autosomal dominant disorder caused by mutations in the PTCH1 gene, resulting in the inappropriate activation of hedgehog (HH) signaling and an increased risk of BCC and developmental defects⁵⁸⁻⁶¹. PTCH1 encodes a protein that functions as the receptor for sonic HH ligands which, when mutated, leads to uncontrolled cell growth and BCC tumorigenesis^{60,62}. The HH signaling pathway has been the genetic basis for the development of targeted therapies for BCC, which will be discussed below.

Though less critical than *PTCH1* in the pathogenesis of BCC, p53 is another important tumor suppressor gene that has been implicated in BCC tumorigenesis⁵⁴. P53 plays a critical role in DNA repair and cell cycle regulation⁶³ and has altered expression in a range of tumor types. Reported rates of p53 mutation are between 44% and 56% in BCC^{54,64,65}.

Management

Targeted molecular therapies

Smoothened inhibitors. Several molecular therapies for BCC have focused on the HH signaling pathway. Binding of HH ligands to *PTCH1* leads to a loss of inhibition of this pathway, leading to activation of smoothened (SMO), a seven-transmembrane protein downstream of *PTCH1*. SMO then interacts with several proteins and ultimately leads to the expression of the GLI family of transcription factors that promote proliferation, survival, and differentiation, key genes involved in BCC tumorigenesis^{59,66}. Several of the small-molecule targeted therapies therefore have focused on SMO inhibition.

Vismodegib. In 2012, vismodegib became the first SMO inhibitor to gain US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval. It was approved for use in metastatic BCC (a very rare occurrence), for locally advanced BCC recurrent after surgery, and for patients who are not candidates for surgical resection or radiation. The initial phase 1 trial studied 33 patients with locally advanced BCC treated with vismodegib at one of three doses (150, 270, or 540 mg daily) and found that 18 out of 33 patients responded⁶⁷. Two patients had a complete response, defined as a 100% regression of the visible/palpable lesions, and six had a partial response, defined as a more than 50% reduction in tumor diameter. The median duration of response was 12.8 months⁶⁷. Of the patients who did not respond, 15 had stable disease and four had progression of their BCCs while on treatment⁶⁷. Interestingly, two patients with progressive disease had elevated GL11 mRNA levels in tissue samples, raising the question of possible resistance mechanisms in these patients.

The phase II trial of the efficacy and safety of vismodegib in advanced basal-cell carcinoma (ERIVANCE) by Sekulic et al. led to the approval of vismodegib68. This trial enrolled 104 patients (33 patients with metastatic BCC and 71 patients with locally advanced BCC) who took 150 mg daily of vismodegib⁶⁸. In patients with metastatic BCC, the response rate was 30%, whereas in 63 patients with locally advanced BCC, the response rate was 43%. Response rate was defined as a decrease of 30% or more in the externally visible or radiographic dimension or as complete resolution of ulceration (if present at baseline). The median duration of response was 7.6 months⁶⁸ and the median progression-free survival was 9.5 months according to independent review. All patients had at least one adverse event, and in 12% of patients, adverse events led to discontinuation of vismodegib. The most common adverse events reported with vismodegib include alopecia, dysgeusia, muscle spasms, weight loss, fatigue, decreased appetite, nausea, and diarrhea⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹.

Similar response rates were seen in a subsequent open-label, two-cohort, multicenter study of 119 patients with advanced BCC who were poor candidates for surgical resection or radio-therapy. They were also treated with vismodegib 150 mg daily. Response rates were 30.8% for those with metastatic disease and 46.4% for those with locally advanced BCC⁷⁰. Of the 115 patients included as efficacy-evaluable patients, about half (49.5%) experienced stable disease—27 out of 56 patients with locally advanced BCC and 20 out of 39 patients with metastatic BCC. The median treatment period was 5.5 months, and the most common adverse events reported were muscle spasms, dysgeusia, alopecia, and diarrhea⁷⁰.

The study of vismodegib in patients with advanced basal cell carcinoma (STEVIE) is the largest vismodegib trial to date; 499 patients (468 with locally advanced BCC and 31 with metastatic disease)⁷¹. Objective responses were seen in 66.7% of patients with locally advanced BCC and 37.9% of those with metastatic disease. The median time to response for both groups was 2.7 months, and the median duration of the response was 22.7 months. The median duration of vismodegib treatment was 36.4 weeks, and 80% of patients discontinued therapy (36% due to adverse events, 14% due to progressive disease, and 10% from patient request to stop treatment). Vismodegib has recently been evaluated as a neoadjuvant to surgery for high-risk BCC⁷². An open-label single-arm study of 15 enrolled patients examined vismodegib 150 mg/day as neoadjuvant for a goal of 3 to 6 months of therapy prior to surgical resection⁷². A total of 11 out of 15 patients completed the trial. Of the four who could not complete the trial, one was lost to follow-up and two withdrew due to vismodegib-related side effects and one due to an unrelated adverse event. Of the 13 BCCs that were selected for surgery, vismodegib reduced the surgical defect area by 27% from baseline. In the non-recurrent tumors (9 out of 13), vismodegib reduced the surgical defect area by 36%; however, for the recurrent BCCs (4 out of 13), there was no reduction in surgical defect area, introducing the possibility that these tumors had acquired mutations that rendered them resistant to the effects of vismodegib.

Owing to the genetic basis of nevoid basal cell nevus syndrome, patients with this disorder are of particular interest for treatment with SMO inhibitors. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial by Tang *et al.* examined treatment with vismodegib in 41 patients with nevoid basal cell nevus syndrome⁷³. After 3 months of therapy, the number of new surgically eligible BCCs was significantly reduced in the vismodegib-treated group as compared with the placebo group (mean of 2 versus 29, respectively, of new surgically eligible BCCs per year)⁷³. Unfortunately, 54% of patients (14 out of 26) receiving vismodegib discontinued treatment because of adverse drug side effects. Overall, while the results of vismodegib treatment have been promising, there remain important questions regarding durability of the response, long-term tolerability of the adverse effects, and acquisition of resistant mutations over time.

Sonidegib. Sonidegib is an SMO inhibitor that gained FDA approval in 2015 for treatment of patients with locally advanced BCC who are not candidates for curative surgery or radiation⁷⁴. Approval was based on data from the phase II randomized, double-blind Basal Cell Carcinoma Outcomes with LDE225 Treatment (BOLT) trial assessing the efficacy of sonidegib for metastatic or locally advanced BCC75. A total of 230 patients were enrolled and placed on either 200 or 800 mg daily, and objective response rates were 36% (20 out of 55) and 34% (39 out of 116), respectively. There were both greater response rates and fewer adverse events in the 200mg dose sonidegib group as compared with the 800 mg group⁷⁵. In analyzing objective response rates for tumor types, 43% and 38% of patients with locally advanced BCC and 15% and 17% of patients with metastatic BCC responded in the 200 and 800 mg dosing schedules, respectively. The median time to tumor response was 4 months, and median progression-free survival was 22.1 months^{75–78}. The adverse effect profile of sonidegib is similar to that of vismodegib.

Although there are no direct head-to-head comparisons of vismodegib with sonidegib, objective response rates were similar using BCC modified Response Criteria In Solid Tumors (BCC-mRECIST), at 48% in the ERIVANCE (vismodegib, 150 mg daily) study with a minimum follow-up of 21 months, and 56% in the BOLT (sonidegib, 200 mg daily) trial with a minimum

follow-up of 18 months^{68,69,78}. It should be noted that this is an indirect comparison that should be interpreted with caution. Further randomized, double-blind controlled trials comparing both the safety and efficacy of both SMO inhibitors are needed.

Hedgehog pathway resistance. Primary and secondary drug resistances to SMO inhibitors have been reported. Novel heterozygous missense SMO mutations were sequenced in recurrent and resistant BCC tissue to vismodegib79,80. In cases of secondary resistance, the SMO mutations that were isolated were not present in the primary tumors that had originally responded to treatment, and distinct recurrent nodules of BCC demonstrated unique SMO mutations, suggesting a heterogeneous and dynamic mechanism of resistance that can rapidly arise in recurrent tumor tissue⁷⁹. In cases of primary resistance in the tumor tissue of origin, given the cost of treatment with SMO inhibitors as compared with genetic sequencing, it may be more cost-effective for all patients to undergo screening prior to the initiation of targeted therapy. Interestingly, a study of nine patients with advanced BCC resistant to treatment with vismodegib also demonstrated resistance to sonidegib, suggesting that chemoresistance can occur between different SMO inhibitors⁸¹.

It is appropriate to consider cost in deciding therapeutic options. According to Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) data from 1992 to 1995, the cost per episode of care for NMSC was estimated at \$492 in the outpatient setting⁸². In a more recent study comparing the economic cost of treating patients with advanced versus non-advanced BCC from 2010 to 2014, the mean cost of treating an advanced BCC was \$11,143 compared with \$1,171 for a non-advanced BCC⁸³. The majority of patients with advanced BCC (93.7%, n = 794) received radiation therapy, at a mean cost of \$10,317. Of the 847 patients with advanced BCCs, only three received vismodegib. The cost of vismodegib is \$250 per capsule or \$7,500 per month⁸³. Treatment length varies by patient, and the treatment is not curative per se, but rather suppressive. However, an expected 10-month treatment course of vismodegib costs approximately \$75,000⁸⁴. It is important to reserve this treatment approach for patients who are not candidates for surgery or radiation.

Mohs, surgical excision, and electrodessication and curettage

Standard surgical excision with 4-mm margins is the recommended treatment for BCCs with non-aggressive histology, size of less than 2 cm, and occurrence on low-risk sites where tissue sparing is not critical (trunk and extremities)⁸⁵. With 4-mm surgical margins, 95% of cases of BCC less than 2 cm were cleared with standard excision⁸⁶. BCC of the face demonstrates high rates of incomplete excision, and greater efficacy has been demonstrated using Mohs micrographic surgery (MMS) as compared with standard excision^{87–92}. Five-year rates of recurrence following MMS for primary BCC are approximately 1.4% to 3.2% for primary and 2.4% to 6.7% for recurrent BCCs^{89–91}. Low rates of recurrence in MMS are attributed to optimal margin control given full histologic examination at the time of surgery of all peripheral and deep margins. MMS is recommended in cases of aggressive histology, recurrent BCC, and critical areas of skin conservation (head, neck, genitalia, hand/feet, nipples, and so on) and for tumors of large size (more than $2 \text{ cm})^{85}$.

Electrodessication and curettage (EDC) has been used for decades to treat BCC. Although this can be a cost-effective treatment, cure rates are highly operator-dependent. One study demonstrated five-year recurrence rates at 5.7% to 18.1% depending on the skill level of the physician⁹³. Higher recurrence rates were seen on the forehead, paranasal areas, and nose, and overall cosmesis tends to be poor in the head and neck regions. For these reasons, this treatment is now rarely recommended for BCC in these locations.

Topical therapy

Imiquimod. Imiquimod 5% is a topical Toll-like receptor 7 agonist approved by the FDA for treatment of superficial BCCs less than 2 cm in diameter. A recent randomized controlled trial comparing imiquimod 5% cream (daily for 6 weeks in superficial BCC and daily for 12 weeks in nodular BCC) with surgical excision found five-year success rates of 82.5% for the imiquimod treatment group and 97.7% for the standard surgical excision group94. A randomized, vehicle-controlled study of subjects with superficial BCC treated with imiguimod 5 to 7 times per week for 6 weeks as compared with vehicle showed composite clinical and histologic clearance rates of 75% for imiquimod 5 times per week and 73% for imiquimod 7 times per week⁹⁵. Imiquimod is generally well tolerated, but the most common treatment effects include erythema, crusting, erosions, and scabbing, which correlate positively with histologic clearance rates⁹⁶. A randomized single-blind, non-inferiority randomized controlled trial demonstrated topical treatment with imiquimod 5% (daily, 5 times per week for 6 weeks) to be superior to methylaminolevulinate-photodynamic therapy (MAL-PDT) with the proportion of patients with successful disease clearance at 3 and 12 months to be 72.8% for MAL-PDT as compared with 83.4% for imiquimod⁹⁷. Imiquimod is considered a useful treatment modality for predominantly superficial BCC in patients who are poor candidates for surgical or destructive modalities.

5-Fluorouracil. 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) is a topical pyrimidine analog which functions as an antimetabolite, interfering with DNA synthesis. 5-FU is FDA-approved for treatment of superficial BCC. A double-blind randomized trial of 13 patients showed a 90% cure rate in lesions treated with 5% 5-FU twice daily for two weeks⁹⁸, and another study of 5% 5-FU twice daily for up to 12 weeks showed a similar histologic cure rate of 90%. The efficacy of Efudex 5% was reported to be comparable to that of MAL-PDT and to have a clearance rate of 80.1% in a single-blind, randomized controlled trial⁹⁷. Erythema, erosions, and ulceration are the most common side effects with use of 5-FU.

Photodynamic therapy. PDT uses photosensitizing agents aminolevulinic acid (ALA) or MAL—to create a photochemical reaction by producing activated oxygen species that destroy cancer cells when exposed to oxygen and light⁹⁹. Photosensitizing agents act through intracellular protoporphyrin IX, which preferentially accumulates in tumor tissues. In a randomized prospective study of excision versus MAL-PDT for nodular BCC at five years, the complete response rates were 76% for MAL-PDT and 96% for excisional surgery, and recurrence rates were 14% with MAL-PDT and 4% for those who underwent excision¹⁰⁰. A recent randomized controlled trial comparing effectiveness of ALA-PDT with surgical excision found fiveyear recurrence rates of 30.7% for ALA-PDT and 2.3% for surgical excision¹⁰¹. While recurrence rates are higher for PDTtreated BCCs, cosmesis was found to be superior to surgical excision^{100,102}. For superficial BCC, complete response rates ranged between 73% and 92%, and some studies suggest improved efficacy with repeated PDT cycles97,103-105. Although PDT represents an important treatment modality for those who are not good surgical candidates and have a large burden of superficial disease, surgical interventions should remain the standard of care for invasive disease given high recurrence rates with PDT.

Radiation therapy

Radiotherapy treatment for BCC can be divided into three main categories: conventional external radiotherapy, superficial x-ray therapy, and brachytherapy. A newer irradiation technique is volumetric modulated arc therapy. This modality allows for complex dose distributions to tumor tissue while minimizing involvement of healthy tissue¹⁰⁶. A detailed examination of these modalities is beyond the scope of this review, but radiation is a valuable treatment for non-surgical candidates and patients who decline invasive treatments. Major disadvantages of radiation include multiple visits, lack of confirmation of histologic clearance, development of aggressive phenotypes in some recurrent tumors, poor long-term cosmesis with conventional radiotherapy, and high cost as compared with surgical treatments¹⁰⁷. In a randomized trial comparing surgical excision with 2-mm margins versus radiation with brachytherapy, superficial x-ray therapy or conventional radiotherapy showed four-year recurrence rates of 0.7% in patients who underwent surgery versus 7.5% in those who underwent radiotherapy¹⁰⁸. Rates of BCC recurrence following superficial x-ray have been reported to be 2% at two years and 4.2% at five years¹⁰⁹. Brachytherapy used as treatment for NMSC has been reported to have good cosmesis and recurrence rates of less than 1% to 1.3% after median follow-up times between 4 and 16.1 months¹¹⁰. It is likely that the follow-up interval is not sufficient to determine the true recurrence rates with this modality as compared with more established forms of treatment. External electron beam therapy has shown efficacy rates of 92% at five years in the treatment of NMSC¹¹¹.

Chemoprevention

Nicotinamide. Nicotinamide, otherwise known as vitamin B_3 , has emerged as an oral therapy with potential for skin cancer prevention. In one study, nicotinamide was found to reduce UV-induced immunosuppression¹¹². A recent randomized double-blind controlled trial reported a reduction of 20% in new BCCs at 12 months in patients on treatment with 500 mg nicotinamide twice daily¹¹³. There are no significant adverse events associated with nicotinamide. While studies have demonstrated a decreased risk of developing NMSC, further investigation is needed to determine the long-term benefits of this treatment¹¹⁴.

Chemotherapy and immune checkpoint inhibitors

Targeted SMO inhibitors have largely replaced other forms of chemotherapy for advanced or metastatic BCC. Cituximab showed efficacy in certain cases of metastatic BCC or nevoid BCC syndrome^{115,116}. Newer immunotherapies have emerged that target programmed death 1 (PD-1) immune checkpoint receptors and ligands. A recent case report of a patient with metastatic BCC who failed therapy with vismodegib showed a partial response to an anti–PD-1 monoclonal antibody¹¹⁷. In another recent case report, a 58-year-old male with metastatic BCC who failed therapy with vismodegib, paclitaxel, and cisplatin was placed on the anti–PD-1 antibody, nivolumab, at 240 mg intravenously every 2 weeks. The patient demonstrated near complete resolution of metastatic disease within 4 months of treatment¹¹⁸.

Conclusions

MMS and surgical excision remain the standard of care for treating BCC. However, new targeted molecular therapies now provide effective treatment options for patients with locally advanced or metastatic BCC. Topical therapies may be effective in treating superficial disease in many cases, although careful surveillance is needed to confirm tumor clearance. Further randomized prospective studies are needed to examine the long-term effectiveness of these alternative modalities and their ability to decrease overall morbidity.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information

146(3): 283-7.

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

- Stern RS: Prevalence of a history of skin cancer in 2007: results of an incidence-based model. Arch Dermatol. 2010; 146(3): 279–82.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Rogers HW, Weinstock MA, Harris AR, et al.: Incidence estimate of nonmelanoma skin cancer in the United States, 2006. Arch Dermatol. 2010;



- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Lomas A, Leonardi-Bee J, Bath-Hextall F: A systematic review of worldwide incidence of nonmelanoma skin cancer. Br J Dermatol. 2012; 166(5): 1069–80. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Bath-Hextall F, Leonardi-Bee J, Smith C, et al.: Trends in incidence of skin basal cell carcinoma. Additional evidence from a UK primary care database study. Int J Cancer. 2007; 121(9): 2105–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Birch-Johansen F, Jensen A, Mortensen L, et al.: Trends in the incidence of nonmelanoma skin cancer in Denmark 1978–2007: Rapid incidence increase among young Danish women. Int J Cancer. 2010; 127(9): 2190–8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Christenson LJ, Borrowman TA, Vachon CM, *et al.*: Incidence of basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas in a population younger than 40 years. *JAMA*. 2005; 294(6): 681–90.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Miller DL, Weinstock MA: Nonmelanoma skin cancer in the United States: incidence. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1994; 30(5 Pt 1): 774–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Weinstock MA: Epidemiologic investigation of nonmelanoma skin cancer mortality: the Rhode Island Follow-Back Study. J Invest Dermatol. 1994; 102(6): 6S-9.
 PubMed Abstract

PubMed Abstract

- F Verkouteren JAC, Ramdas KHR, Wakkee M, et al.: Epidemiology of basal cell carcinoma: scholarly review. Br J Dermatol. 2017; 177(2): 359–72. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 10. F Chen JT, Kempton SJ, Rao VK: The Economics of Skin Cancer: An Analysis of Medicare Payment Data. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open. 2016; 4(9): e868. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 11. Guy GP Jr, Holman DM, Watson M: The Important Role of Schools in the Prevention of Skin Cancer. JAMA Dermatol. 2016; 152(10): 1083–4. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Bauer A, Diepgen TL, Schmitt J: Is occupational solar ultraviolet irradiation a relevant risk factor for basal cell carcinoma? A systematic review and metaanalysis of the epidemiological literature. Br J Dermatol. 2011; 165(3): 612–25. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Gailani MR, Leffell DJ, Ziegler A, et al.: Relationship between sunlight exposure and a key genetic alteration in basal cell carcinoma. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1996; 88(6): 349–54.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Situm M, Buljan M, Bulat V, et al.: The role of UV radiation in the development of basal cell carcinoma. Coll Antropol. 2008; 32 Suppl 2: 167–70. PubMed Abstract
- Narayanan DL, Saladi RN, Fox JL: Ultraviolet radiation and skin cancer. Int J Dermatol. 2010; 49(9): 978–86.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Gallagher RP, Hill GB, Bajdik CD, *et al.*: Sunlight exposure, pigmentary factors, and risk of nonmelanocytic skin cancer. I. Basal cell carcinoma. *Arch Dermatol.* 1995; 131(2): 157–63.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Iannacone MR, Wang W, Stockwell HG, et al.: Patterns and timing of sunlight exposure and risk of basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas of the skin--a case-control study. BMC Cancer. 2012; 12: 417.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Rosso S, Zanetti R, Martinez C, et al.: The multicentre south European study 'Helios'. II: Different sun exposure patterns in the aetiology of basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas of the skin. Br J Cancer. 1996; 73(11): 1447–54. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kricker A, Armstrong BK, English DR, et al.: Does intermittent sun exposure cause basal cell carcinoma? a case-control study in Western Australia. Int J Cancer. 1995; 60(4): 489–94.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Colantonio S, Bracken MB, Beecker J: The association of indoor tanning and melanoma in adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014; 70(5): 847–57.e1-18.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Cust AE, Armstrong BK, Goumas C, et al.: Sunbed use during adolescence and early adulthood is associated with increased risk of early-onset melanoma. Int J Cancer. 2011; 128(10): 2425–35.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Ferrucci LM, Cartmel B, Molinaro AM, et al.: Indoor tanning and risk of early-onset basal cell carcinoma. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2012; 67(4): 552–62. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- E Lazovich D, Vogel RI, Berwick M, et al.: Indoor tanning and risk of melanoma: a case-control study in a highly exposed population. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2010; 19(6): 1557–68.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 24. Wehner MR, Shive ML, Chren M, *et al.*: Indoor tanning and non-melanoma skin cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2012; 345: e5909. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Box NF, Duffy DL, Irving RE, et al.: Melanocortin-1 receptor genotype is a risk factor for basal and squamous cell carcinoma. J Invest Dermatol. 2001; 116(2): 224–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 26. Cust AE, Goumas C, Vuong K, et al.: MC1R genotype as a predictor of early-onset melanoma, compared with self-reported and physician-measured

traditional risk factors: an Australian case-control-family study. *BMC Cancer.* 2013; **13**: 406. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Dwyer T, Stankovich JM, Blizzard L, et al.: Does the addition of information on genotype improve prediction of the risk of melanoma and nonmelanoma skin cancer beyond that obtained from skin phenotype? Am J Epidemiol. 2004; 159(9): 826–33.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Molinaro AM, Ferrucci LM, Cartmel B, *et al.*: Indoor tanning and the MC1R genotype: risk prediction for basal cell carcinoma risk in young people. Am J Epidemiol. 2015; 181(11): 908–16.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Scherer D, Bermejo JL, Rudnai P, et al.: MC1R variants associated susceptibility to basal cell carcinoma of skin: interaction with host factors and XRCC3 polymorphism. Int J Cancer. 2008; 122(8): 1787–93.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Smith AG, Box NF, Marks LH, et al.: The human melanocortin-1 receptor locus: analysis of transcription unit, locus polymorphism and haplotype evolution. Gene. 2001; 281(1-2): 81-94.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 31. F Asgari MM, Moffet HH, Ray GT, et al.: Trends in Basal Cell Carcinoma Incidence and Identification of High-Risk Subgroups, 1998–2012. JAMA Dermatol. 2015; 151(9): 976–81. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Flohil SC, Seubring I, van Rossum MM, et al.: Trends in Basal cell carcinoma incidence rates: a 37-year Dutch observational study. J Invest Dermatol. 2013; 133(4): 913–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Moriwaki S, Ray S, Tarone RE, et al.: The effect of donor age on the processing of UV-damaged DNA by cultured human cells: reduced DNA repair capacity and increased DNA mutability. Mutat Res. 1996; 364(2): 117–23. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Wei Q, Matanoski GM, Farmer ER, et al.: DNA repair and aging in basal cell carcinoma: a molecular epidemiology study. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1993; 90(4): 1614–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Naldi L, DiLandro A, D'Avanzo B, et al.: Host-related and environmental risk factors for cutaneous basal cell carcinoma: evidence from an Italian casecontrol study. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2000; 42(3): 446–52.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- van Dam RM, Huang Z, Rimm EB, *et al.*: Risk factors for basal cell carcinoma of the skin in men: results from the health professionals follow-up study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1999; 150(5): 459–68.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Zhang Y, Cartmel B, Choy CC, et al.: Body mass index, height and early-onset basal cell carcinoma in a case-control study. Cancer Epidemiol. 2017; 46: 66–72. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Wollina U, Tchernev G: Advanced basal cell carcinoma. Wien Med Wochenschr. 2013; 163(15–16): 347–53.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Wisgerhof HC, Edelbroek JR, de Fijter JW, et al.: Subsequent squamous- and basal-cell carcinomas in kidney-transplant recipients after the first skin cancer: cumulative incidence and risk factors. *Transplantation*. 2010; 89(10): 1231–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Forchetti G, Suppa M, Del Marmol V: Overview on non-melanoma skin cancers in solid organ transplant recipients. *G Ital Dermatol Venereol.* 2014; 149(4): 383–7.
 PubMed Abstract
- Tessari G, Girolomoni G: Nonmelanoma skin cancer in solid organ transplant recipients: update on epidemiology, risk factors, and management. Dermatol Surg. 2012; 38(10): 1622–30.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Euvrard S, Kanitakis J, Claudy A: Skin cancers after organ transplantation. N Engl J Med. 2003; 348(17): 1681–91.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Moloney FJ, Comber H, O'Lorcain P, et al.: A population-based study of skin cancer incidence and prevalence in renal transplant recipients. Br J Dermatol. 2006; 154(3): 498–504.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Berg D, Otley CC: Skin cancer in organ transplant recipients: Epidemiology, pathogenesis, and management. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2002; 47(1): 1–17; quiz 18–20.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Kalinova L, Majek O, Stehlik D, et al.: Skin cancer incidence in renal transplant recipients - a single center study. Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub. 2010; 154(3): 257–60.
 PubMed Abstract
- Zwald FO, Brown M: Skin cancer in solid organ transplant recipients: advances in therapy and management: part I. Epidemiology of skin cancer in solid organ transplant recipients. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2011; 65(2): 253–61; quiz 262. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 47. Hartevelt MM, Bavinck JN, Kootte AM, et al.: Incidence of skin cancer after renal

transplantation in The Netherlands. *Transplantation*. 1990; **49**(3): 506–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Garrett GL, Blanc PD, Boscardin J, et al.: Incidence of and Risk Factors for Skin Cancer in Organ Transplant Recipients in the United States. JAMA Dermatol. 2017; 153(3): 296–303.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, et al.: Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. Nature. 2013; 500(7463): 415–21.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Jayaraman SS, Rayhan DJ, Hazany S, et al.: Mutational landscape of basal cell carcinomas by whole-exome sequencing. J Invest Dermatol. 2014; 134(1): 213–20.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Gailani MR, Bale AE: Developmental genes and cancer: role of patched in basal cell carcinoma of the skin. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1997; 89(15): 1103–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Gailani MR, Bale SJ, Leffell DJ, *et al.*: Developmental defects in Gorlin syndrome related to a putative tumor suppressor gene on chromosome 9. *Cell.* 1992; 69(1): 111–7.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Quinn AG, Campbell C, Healy E, et al.: Chromosome 9 allele loss occurs in both basal and squamous cell carcinomas of the skin. J Invest Dermatol. 1994; 102(3): 300–3.
 PubMed Abstract
- van der Riet P, Karp D, Farmer E, *et al.*: Progression of basal cell carcinoma through loss of chromosome 9q and inactivation of a single p53 allele. *Cancer Res.* 1994; 54(1): 25–7.
 PubMed Abstract
- Reifenberger J, Wolter M, Knobbe CB, et al.: Somatic mutations in the PTCH, SMOH, SUFUH and TP53 genes in sporadic basal cell carcinomas. Br J Dermatol. 2005; 152(1): 43–51.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Kim MY, Park HJ, Baek SC, et al.: Mutations of the p53 and PTCH gene in basal cell carcinomas: UV mutation signature and strand bias. J Dermatol Sci. 2002; 29(1): 1–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Ling G, Ahmadian A, Persson A, et al.: PATCHED and p53 gene alterations in sporadic and hereditary basal cell cancer. Oncogene. 2001; 20(53): 7770–8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Gorlin RJ: Nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome. Dermatol Clin. 1995; 13(1): 113–25.
 PubMed Abstract
- Epstein EH: Basal cell carcinomas: attack of the hedgehog. Nat Rev Cancer. 2008; 8(10): 743–54.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Johnson RL, Rothman AL, Xie J, et al.: Human homolog of patched, a candidate gene for the basal cell nevus syndrome. Science. 1996; 272(5268): 1668–71. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Aszterbaum M, Rothman A, Johnson RL, et al.: Identification of mutations in the human PATCHED gene in sporadic basal cell carcinomas and in patients with the basal cell nevus syndrome. J Invest Dermatol. 1998; 110(6): 885–8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Gailani MR, Ståhle-Bäckdahl M, Leffell DJ, et al.: The role of the human homologue of Drosophila patched in sporadic basal cell carcinomas. Nat Genet. 1996; 14(1): 78–81.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Bieging KT, Mello SS, Attardi LD: Unravelling mechanisms of p53-mediated tumour suppression. Nat Rev Cancer. 2014; 14(5): 359–70.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Rady P, Scinicariello F, Wagner RF Jr, et al.: p53 mutations in basal cell carcinomas. Cancer Res. 1992; 52(13): 3804–6.
 PubMed Abstract
- Ziegler A, Leffell DJ, Kunala S, et al.: Mutation hotspots due to sunlight in the p53 gene of nonmelanoma skin cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1993; 90(9): 4216–20.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kudchadkar R, Lewis K, Gonzalez R: Advances in the treatment of Basal cell carcinoma: Hedgehog inhibitors. Semin Oncol. 2012; 39(2): 139–44.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Von Hoff DD, LoRusso PM, Rudin CM, et al.: Inhibition of the hedgehog pathway in advanced basal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2009; 361(12): 1164–72.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Sekulic A, Migden MR, Oro AE, et al.: Efficacy and safety of vismodegib in advanced basal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2012; 366(23): 2171–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Rudnick EW, Thareja S, Cherpelis B: Oral therapy for nonmelanoma skin cancer in patients with advanced disease and large tumor burden: a review of the literature with focus on a new generation of targeted therapies. Int J Dermatol. 2016; 55(3): 249–58; quiz 256, 258.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 70. Chang AL, Solomon JA, Hainsworth JD, et al.: Expanded access study of patients with advanced basal cell carcinoma treated with the Hedgehog

pathway inhibitor, vismodegib. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014; 70(1): 60–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- 71. F Basset-Seguin N, Hauschild A, Grob JJ, et al.: Vismodegib in patients with advanced basal cell carcinoma (STEVIE): a pre-planned interim analysis of an international, open-label trial. Lancet Oncol. 2015; 16(6): 729–36. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Ally MS, Aasi S, Wysong A, et al.: An investigator-initiated open-label clinical trial of vismodegib as a neoadjuvant to surgery for high-risk basal cell carcinoma. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014; 71(5): 904–911.e1. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 73. F Tang JY, Mackay-Wiggan JM, Aszterbaum M, et al.: Inhibiting the hedgehog pathway in patients with the basal-cell nevus syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2012; 366(23): 2180–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Casey D, Demko S, Shord S, et al.: FDA Approval Summary: Sonidegib for Locally Advanced Basal Cell Carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017; 23(10): 2377–81. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 75. F Migden MR, Guminski A, Gutzmer R, et al.: Treatment with two different doses of sonidegib in patients with locally advanced or metastatic basal cell carcinoma (BOLT): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2015; 16(6): 716–28. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Chen L, Silapunt S, Migden MR: Sonidegib for the treatment of advanced basal cell carcinoma: a comprehensive review of sonidegib and the BOLT trial with 12-month update. Future Oncol. 2016; 12(18): 2095–105. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Dummer R, Guminski A, Gutzmer R, et al.: The 12-month analysis from Basal Cell Carcinoma Outcomes with LDE225 Treatment (BOLT): A phase II, randomized, double-blind study of sonidegib in patients with advanced basal cell carcinoma. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2016; 75(1): 113–125.e5.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lear J, GA, Gutzmer R: A phase 2, randomized, double-blind study of sonidegib (LDE225) in patients with advanced basalcell carcinoma: the BOLT 18-month analysis [abstract]. In: 24th EADV Congress. 2015.
- Brinkhuizen T, Reinders MG, van Geel M, *et al.*: Acquired resistance to the Hedgehog pathway inhibitor vismodegib due to smoothened mutations in treatment of locally advanced basal cell carcinoma. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2014; 71(5): 1005–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Pricl S, Cortelazzi B, Dal Col V, et al.: Smoothened (SMO) receptor mutations dictate resistance to vismodegib in basal cell carcinoma. Mol Oncol. 2015; 9(2): 389–97.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- E Danial C, Sarin KY, Oro AE, et al.: An Investigator-Initiated Open-Label Trial of Sonidegib in Advanced Basal Cell Carcinoma Patients Resistant to Vismodegib. Clin Cancer Res. 2016; 22(6): 1325–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Chen JG, Fleischer AB Jr, Smith ED, *et al.*: Cost of nonmelanoma skin cancer treatment in the United States. *Dermatol Surg.* 2001; 27(12): 1035–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Migden M, Xie J, Wei J, et al.: Burden and treatment patterns of advanced basal cell carcinoma among commercially insured patients in a United States database from 2010 to 2014. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2017; 77(1): 55–62.e3. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Haves AW, Schaffer PR, Carucci JA: The impact of inoperable advanced basal cell carcinoma: the economic, physical, and psychological burden of the disease. J Drugs Dermatol. 2013; 12(10 Suppl): s151–3. PubMed Abstract
- 85. F American Academy of Dermatology, American College of Mohs Surgery, American Society for Dermatologic Surgery Association, et al.: AAD/ACMS/ASDSA/ ASMS 2012 appropriate use criteria for Mohs micrographic surgery: a report of the American Academy of Dermatology, American College of Mohs Surgery, American Society for Dermatologic Surgery Association, and the American Society for Mohs Surgery. Dermatol Surg. 2012; 38(10): 1582–603. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Wolf DJ, Zitelli JA: Surgical margins for basal cell carcinoma. Arch Dermatol. 1987; 123(3): 340–4.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Kimyai-Asadi A, Alam M, Goldberg LH, et al.: Efficacy of narrow-margin excision of well-demarcated primary facial basal cell carcinomas. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2005; 53(3): 464–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Farhi D, Dupin N, Palangié A, et al.: Incomplete excision of basal cell carcinoma: rate and associated factors among 362 consecutive cases. Dermatol Surg. 2007; 33(10): 1207–14.
 PubMed Abstract I F1000 Recommendation
- Eeibovitch I, Huilgol SC, Selva D, *et al.*: Basal cell carcinoma treated with Mohs surgery in Australia II. Outcome at 5-year follow-up. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2005; 53(3): 452–7.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- F Mosterd K, Krekels GA, Nieman FH, et al.: Surgical excision versus Mohs' micrographic surgery for primary and recurrent basal-cell carcinoma of the face: a prospective randomised controlled trial with 5-years' follow-up. Lancet Oncol. 2008; 9(12): 1149–56.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Smeets NW, Kuijpers DI, Nelemans P, *et al.*: Mohs' micrographic surgery for treatment of basal cell carcinoma of the face-results of a retrospective study and review of the literature. Br J Dermatol. 2004; 151(1): 141–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 92. F Bozan A, Gode S, Kaya I, et al.: Long-term Follow-up of Positive Surgical Margins in Basal Cell Carcinoma of the Face. Dermatol Surg. 2015; 41(7): 761–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Kopf AW, Bart RS, Schrager D, et al.: Curettage-electrodesiccation treatment of basal cell carcinomas. Arch Dermatol. 1977; 113(4): 439–43.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 94. F Williams HC, Bath-Hextall F, Ozolins M, et al.: Surgery Versus 5% Imiquimod for Nodular and Superficial Basal Cell Carcinoma: 5-Year Results of the SINS Randomized Controlled Trial. J Invest Dermatol. 2017; 137(3): 614–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 95. F Geisse J, Caro I, Lindholm J, et al.: Imiquimod 5% cream for the treatment of superficial basal cell carcinoma: results from two phase III, randomized, vehicle-controlled studies. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2004; 50(5): 722–33. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 96. F Geisse JK, Rich P, Pandya A, et al.: Imiquimod 5% cream for the treatment of superficial basal cell carcinoma: a double-blind, randomized, vehiclecontrolled study. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2002; 47(3): 390–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 97. F Arits H, Mosterd K, Essers BA, et al.: Photodynamic therapy versus topical imiquimod versus topical fluorouracil for treatment of superficial basal-cell carcinoma: a single blind, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2013; 14(7): 647–54.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Romagosa R, Saap L, Givens M, et al.: A pilot study to evaluate the treatment of basal cell carcinoma with 5-fluorouracil using phosphatidyl choline as a transepidermal carrier. Dermatol Surg. 2000; 26(4): 338–40.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Zeitouni NC, Oseroff AR, Shieh S: Photodynamic therapy for nonmelanoma skin cancers. Current review and update. *Mol Immunol.* 2003; 39(17–18): 1133–6.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Findes LE, de Rie MA, Leifsdottir R, et al.: Five-year follow-up of a randomized, prospective trial of topical methyl aminolevulinate photodynamic therapy vs surgery for nodular basal cell carcinoma. Arch Dermatol. 2007; 143(9): 1131–6.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 101. F Roozeboom MH, Aardoom MA, Nelemans PJ, et al.: Fractionated 5-aminolevulinic acid photodynamic therapy after partial debulking versus surgical exclsion for nodular basal cell carcinoma: a randomized controlled trial with at least 5-year follow-up. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2013; 69(2): 280–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 102. F Bath-Hextall FJ, Perkins W, Bong J, et al.: Interventions for basal cell carcinoma of the skin. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007; (1): CD003412. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Basset-Seguin N, Ibbotson SH, Emtestam L, et al.: Topical methyl aminolaevulinate photodynamic therapy versus cryotherapy for superficial basal cell carcinoma: a 5 year randomized trial. Eur J Dermatol. 2008; 18(5): 547–53.
 - PubMed Abstract

- 104. F Roozeboom MH, Arits AH, Nelemans PJ, et al.: Overall treatment success after treatment of primary superficial basal cell carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized and nonrandomized trials. Br J Dermatol. 2012; 167(4): 733–56. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 105. F Szeimies RM, Ibbotson S, Murrell DF, et al.: A clinical study comparing methyl aminolevulinate photodynamic therapy and surgery in small superficial basal cell carcinoma (8-20 mm), with a 12-month follow-up. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2008; 22(11): 1302–11. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 106. Wollina U, Schreiber A, Merla K, et al.: Combined cetuximab and volumetric modulated arc-radiotherapy in advanced recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the scalp. Dermatol Reports. 2011; 3(3): e57. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 107. Smith V, Walton S: Treatment of facial Basal cell carcinoma: a review. J Skin Cancer. 2011; 2011: 380371. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Avril MF, Auperin A, Margulis A, et al.: Basal cell carcinoma of the face: surgery or radiotherapy? Results of a randomized study. Br J Cancer. 1997; 76(1): 100-6.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 109. Cognetta AB, Howard BM, Heaton HP, et al.: Superficial x-ray in the treatment of basal and squamous cell carcinomas: a viable option in select patients. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2012; 67(6): 1235–41. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 110. F Paravati AJ, Hawkins PG, Martin AN, et al.: Clinical and cosmetic outcomes in patients treated with high-dose-rate electronic brachytherapy for nonmelanoma skin cancer. Pract Radiat Oncol. 2015; 5(6): e659–64. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 111. Locke J, Karimpour S, Young G, et al.: Radiotherapy for epithelial skin cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2001; 51(3): 748–55. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 112. Yiasemides E, Sivapirabu G, Halliday GM, et al.: Oral nicotinamide protects against ultraviolet radiation-induced immunosuppression in humans. *Carcinogenesis*. 2009; 30(1): 101–5. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 113. F Chen AC, Martin AJ, Choy B, et al.: A Phase 3 Randomized Trial of Nicotinamide for Skin-Cancer Chemoprevention. N Engl J Med. 2015; 373(17): 1618–26.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 114. F Park SM, Li T, Wu S, et al.: Niacin intake and risk of skin cancer in US women and men. Int J Cancer. 2017; 140(9): 2023–31. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 115. Kalapurakal SJ, Malone J, Robbins KT, *et al.*: Cetuximab in refractory skin cancer treatment. J Cancer. 2012; 3: 257–61. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Wollina U: Update of cetuximab for non-melanoma skin cancer. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2014; 14(2): 271–6.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 117. Falchook GS, Leidner R, Stankevich E, et al.: Responses of metastatic basal cell and cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas to anti-PD1 monoclonal antibody REGN2810. J Immunother Cancer. 2016; 4: 70. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 118. F Ikeda S, Goodman AM, Cohen PR, et al.: Metastatic basal cell carcinoma with amplification of PD-L1: exceptional response to anti-PD1 therapy. NPJ Genom Med. 2016; 1: pii: 16037. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty and are edited as a service to readers. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, the referees provide input before publication and only the final, revised version is published. The referees who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations but without their reports on earlier versions (any comments will already have been addressed in the published version).

The referees who approved this article are:

Version 1

1 Uwe Wollina Department of Dermatology & Allergology, Academic Teaching Hospital of the Technical University of Dresden, Dresden, Germany

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

1 **Desiree Ratner** Department of Dermatology, Mount Sinai Beth Israel Cancer Center West, New York, NY, USA

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research