



CORRESPONDENCE

REVISED High Frequency Haplotypes are Expected Events, not Historical Figures [version 2; referees: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations]

Elsa G. Guillot^{1,2}, Murray P. Cox¹

¹Statistics and Bioinformatics Group, Institute of Fundamental Sciences, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

²Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

v2 First published: 04 Sep 2015, 4:666 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.7023.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7023.1))
 Latest published: 09 Feb 2016, 4:666 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.7023.2](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7023.2))

Abstract

Cultural transmission of reproductive success states that successful men have more children and pass this raised fecundity to their offspring. Balaresque and colleagues found high frequency haplotypes in a Central Asian Y chromosome dataset, which they attribute to cultural transmission of reproductive success by prominent historical men, including Genghis Khan. Using coalescent simulation, we show that these high frequency haplotypes are consistent with a neutral model, where they commonly appear simply by chance. Hence, explanations invoking cultural transmission of reproductive success are statistically unnecessary.

Open Peer Review

Referee Status:

Invited Referees

1 2 3

REVISED

version 2

published
09 Feb 2016

version 1

published
04 Sep 2015



report



report



report

- 1 **Sohini Ramachandran**, Brown University USA
- 2 **Heather Norton**, University of Cincinnati USA
- 3 **Nick Patterson**, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard USA

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Murray P. Cox (m.p.cox@massey.ac.nz)

How to cite this article: Guillot EG and Cox MP. **High Frequency Haplotypes are Expected Events, not Historical Figures [version 2; referees: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations]** *F1000Research* 2016, 4:666 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.7023.2](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7023.2))

Copyright: © 2016 Guillot EG and Cox MP. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

First published: 04 Sep 2015, 4:666 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.7023.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7023.1))

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

This version of the manuscript has changes in three main areas. First, additional references have been added showing the role of cultural transmission of reproductive success in other settings, including from non-genetic data. Second, the concluding paragraph has been rephrased to clarify the main points. Third, intermediate files from the analysis pipeline have been added to the online resources.

See referee reports

Cultural transmission of reproductive success states that successful men have more children and pass this increased fecundity on to their offspring. Observed in modern human populations from genealogies and surname studies¹, cultural transmission of reproductive success in a genetic setting should cause particular male lines to dominate on the Y chromosome. Identified from historical records in Quebec², cultural transmission of reproductive success has previously been measured using pedigree data, as well as being detected in the genetic record³. Balaesque and colleagues⁴ examined a Y chromosome dataset from Central Asia to determine whether they could reconstruct historic instances of this behavior. Screening 8 microsatellites on the Y chromosome in 5,321 Central Asian men (distribution in [Figure 1](#)), they identified 15 haplotypes that are

carried by more than 20 men (grey bars). The authors described these haplotypes as ‘unusually frequent,’ but did not provide any statistical support for this statement. These lineages were subsequently connected by the authors to prominent historical figures, including Genghis Khan and Giocangga.

However, in any given haplotype frequency distribution, a number of haplotypes are expected to occur at high frequency simply by chance. In neutrally evolving systems, haplotype frequency distributions follow a Zipfian power law⁵: most lineages are carried by only a few men ([Figure 1](#), left side), while a small number of lineages are carried by many men ([Figure 1](#), right side). The Y chromosome distribution observed by Balaesque and colleagues closely follows such a power law, thus providing strong preliminary evidence that their Y chromosome dataset may just be selectively neutral.

To more explicitly test whether the observed high frequency haplotypes are actually unusually frequent, we simulated genetic data under the standard coalescent, a neutral model that does not include cultural transmission of reproductive success. We modeled the evolution of 5,321 Y chromosomes, each carrying 8 fully linked microsatellites, to match the observed data. The code for these simulations, including full details of parameter values, is available online (<http://elzaguillot.github.io/Allele-Frequency-Spectrum-simulations>).




Figure 1. Microsatellite haplotype frequency distribution. The distribution (black and grey bars) is identical to Figure 2 of Balaesque *et al.*⁴. Grey bars indicate the 15 haplotypes that Balaesque and colleagues describe as ‘unusually frequent.’ Red shading indicates the 95% confidence intervals of haplotype frequencies from one million simulations under a fitted neutral model. All of the high frequency haplotypes (grey bars) fall within these 95% confidence bounds.

Simulations were first run across a sweep of θ values to find the best match with the power law distribution observed in the Central Asian Y chromosome dataset. The least squares fit between observed and simulated distributions was minimized at $\theta = 131$. In one million simulations run at this value, we found that 27.2% of the simulations contained at least 15 haplotypes carried by more than 20 men, thus illustrating that high frequency haplotypes like those observed among Central Asian Y chromosomes are relatively common, even when cultural transmission of reproductive success is not acting. The Y chromosome haplotype frequency distribution observed by Balaesque and colleagues falls within the 95% confidence intervals of our simulations (Figure 1, red shading) and is therefore indistinguishable from our simulated neutral data.

The most parsimonious explanation is therefore that the high frequency haplotypes observed by Balaesque and colleagues in Central Asia are simply expected chance events. While we strongly encourage further research into cultural transmission of reproductive success, no statistical evidence has yet been presented to show that this process has acted on this particular dataset of Central Asian Y chromosomes. As no additional evidence is presented to support the proposed links to famous historical men, these haplotypes instead most likely reflect the chance proliferation of random male lines, probably from historically unrecorded, but biologically lucky Central Asian men.

Software availability

Latest source code for allele frequency spectrum simulations

<http://elzaguillot.github.io/Allele-Frequency-Spectrum-simulations>

Archived source code as at the time of publication

<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.452546>

License

Lesser GNU Public License 3.0 <https://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl.html>

Author contributions

EGG conceived the study and carried out the research. EGG and MPC designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. Both authors were involved in the revision of the draft manuscript and have agreed to the final content.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

1. Kolk M: **Multigenerational transmission of family size in contemporary Sweden.** *Popul Stud (Camb)*. 2014; **68**(1): 111–129. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Austerlitz F, Heyer E: **Social transmission of reproductive behavior increases frequency of inherited disorders in a young-expanding population.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1998; **95**(25): 15140–15144. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
3. Heyer E, Sibert A, Austerlitz F: **Cultural transmission of fitness: genes take the fast lane.** *Trends Genet*. 2005; **21**(4): 234–239. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Balaesque P, Poulet N, Cussat-Blanc S, *et al.*: **Y-chromosome descent clusters and male differential reproductive success: young lineage expansions dominate Asian pastoral nomadic populations.** *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2015; **23**(10): 1413–1422. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
5. Berestycki J, Berestycki N, Limic V: **Asymptotic sampling formulae for λ -coalescents.** *Ann I H Poincaré-Pr*. 2014; **50**(3): 715–731. [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Guillot EG, Cox MP: **Allele Frequency Spectrum simulations: AFS2.0.** *Zenodo*. 2016. [Data Source](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:



Version 1

Referee Report 18 January 2016

doi:10.5256/f1000research.7561.r11952



Nick Patterson

Medical and Population Genetics Program, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA

This short note considers the recent paper by Balaesque *et al.*¹ on the distribution of Y-chromosome haplotypes in Central Asia. Through simulation they show that the haplotype frequency distribution is not very surprising and suggest that the results of¹ are most likely due to chance.

But there is more to the analysis of¹ than just the haplotype frequency. Their analysis groups haplotypes into 'descent clusters', estimates the time to the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) and looks into the spatial distribution of the haplotypes. None of this was simulated. There is no formal test applied in¹, but visually the results look to this reviewer very surprising under a scenario where to quote Guillot and Cox the results are *chance proliferation of random male lines... from culturally undistinguished but biologically lucky...men* In autosomal analysis of admixture events², overwhelming genetic evidence was found for the Mongol expansion across Eurasia. This by no means shows that the Y-chromosome signal was, at least partially, driven by high status Mongols, but to this reviewer this still seems more likely than not.

References

1. Balaesque P, Poulet N, Cussat-Blanc S, Gerard P, Quintana-Murci L, Heyer E, Jobling MA: Y-chromosome descent clusters and male differential reproductive success: young lineage expansions dominate Asian pastoral nomadic populations. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2015; **23** (10): 1413-22 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Hellenthal G, Busby GB, Band G, Wilson JF, Capelli C, Falush D, Myers S: A genetic atlas of human admixture history. *Science.* 2014; **343** (6172): 747-51 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reader Comment 28 Jan 2016

Murray Cox,

We thank Nick Patterson for his careful review and address his comments below.

We did not focus on population structure for two reasons. First, our primary aim was simply to raise awareness that the original paper did not perform any statistical testing to show that its high frequency lineages were actually 'unusually frequent.' Under a simple neutral model, we show that they are not. Second, and perhaps more importantly, the population structure of the study system was not described in sufficient detail for us to implement a formal structured demographic model. Such testing is an obvious next step and we would be very interested to see the results, but we also suggest that these tests should really be run by the original authors.

However, the reviewer notes two particular features of population structure that require more detailed discussion: the spatial clustering of the high frequency haplotypes, and their young ages.

Imagine that we can perfectly track the history of a neutral, but high frequency, haplotype through time. Initially, the haplotype arises by mutation within a single population. Over time, the haplotype increases in frequency, and via migration, spreads to neighboring demes (whether defined geographically or culturally). Even in a neutral setting, high frequency haplotypes will therefore appear to be clustered.

Assuming a high frequency haplotype is not lost (or fixed) through drift, it will also experience mutation over time, which will change instances of the high frequency haplotype into new derived forms. Eventually, the original haplotype will be lost entirely in this way, replaced by derived descendants. Consequently, when high frequency haplotypes are observed in a neutral setting, they are likely to be disproportionately young. High frequency neutral lineages can only persist for a short time before their frequency is reduced again through mutation or drift (unless, of course, they become fixed).

The high frequency haplotypes identified by Balaesque and colleagues are spatially structured and young, but neither pattern is diagnostic of cultural transmission of reproductive success. As shown by the thought experiment above, these two features can equally be expected under a purely neutral scenario.

We agree completely with the reviewer that the Mongol expansion heavily impacted the genetic profile of Central Asia, and like him, accept that many of high frequency Y chromosome lineages may trace back to members of the Mongol army. Our main point, though, is that no statistical evidence has yet been presented to show that these lineages reached high frequency due to cultural transmission of reproductive success. Nor is there any evidence that they trace back to historically important men like Genghis Khan, rather than any other random men in his vast Mongol army.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Report 15 January 2016

doi:[10.5256/f1000research.7561.r11823](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.7561.r11823)



Heather Norton

Department of Anthropology, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA

Summary

In this manuscript Guillot and Cox test the claim made by Balaesque *et al.* (2015) that a subset of Y-chromosome haplotypes from Central Asian men occur at “unusually” high frequency, possibly indicating social selection for men carrying these lineages. Using simulations designed to match the data reported by Balaesque *et al.* the authors demonstrate that the reported distribution of Y chromosome haplotypes can be obtained under neutral conditions. This suggests that it is not necessary to invoke a model that includes cultural transmission of reproductive success to explain the observed distribution.

Comment

While the focus of this correspondence article is on the Balaesque data, can the authors briefly comment on other papers that have also investigated cultural transmission of reproductive success—specifically, have there been other studies that report high frequency Y haplotypes in other populations that are **not** consistent with neutrality?

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reader Comment 28 Jan 2016

Murray Cox,

We thank Heather Norton for her careful review and address her comment below.

Cultural transmission of reproductive success has been identified from historic (i.e., non-genetic) records, with at least one instance in Quebec linked to higher prevalence of genetic disorders (Austerlitz F, Heyer E: Social transmission of reproductive behavior increases frequency of inherited disorders in a young-expanding population. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1998; 95:15140-15144). Cultural transmission of reproductive success has also been shown to leave identifiable signals in genetic data, and for haploid loci, these signals seem to be most clear from tree imbalance metrics (Heyer E, Sibert A, Austerlitz F: Cultural transmission of fitness: genes take the fast lane. *Trends Genet*. 2005; 21:234-239. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2005.02.007>). We therefore believe that cultural transmission of reproductive success has likely acted in some human populations. Indeed, we have observed rare deviations from neutral expectations in our own work (Lansing J, Watkins J, Hallmark B et al.: Male dominance rarely skews the frequency distribution of Y chromosome haplotypes in human populations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2008; 105:11645-11650. <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0710158105>).

However, the association commonly made between a high frequency lineage in Central Asia and Genghis Khan is easily the most well known claim for cultural transmission of reproductive success in humans. Our aim here is to show that statistical support for this particular assertion is currently lacking.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Report 01 October 2015

doi:10.5256/f1000research.7561.r10223



Sohini Ramachandran

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

Guillot and Cox present a very interesting criticism of Balaesque *et al.*'s work in press on high frequency haplotypes in Central Asian Y chromosomes, by showing that distributions like those observed by Balaesque and colleagues can be observed using neutral simulations.

I have three comments I would like to see the authors address:

1. The "Genghis Khan reproductive success" hypothesis emerged in Zerjal *et al.*'s work in 2003 and I think it would be helpful for the authors to comment on what analyses in that work support Zerjal *et al.*'s conclusions; can their simulations reproduce what Zerjal *et al.* observed under strictly neutral processes without a high number of mergers in the coalescent process?
2. The phrase "historically unrecorded, culturally undistinguished, but biologically lucky Central Asian men." should be changed to "historically unrecorded but biologically lucky Central Asian men."
3. The authors should provide sample output files for their simulation pipeline for users to analyze, and their code so that the number of simulations run is a user-provided argument. Given that the pipeline can take at least hours and perhaps days to generate the million simulations they studied, a toy example is worth looking at quickly and the authors could allow readers to generate examples more quickly without needing to fiddle with the bash/python/R pipeline on their own.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reader Comment 28 Jan 2016

Murray Cox,

We thank Sohini Ramachandran for her careful review and address her three comments below.

1. As noted by this reviewer, the 2015 paper by Balaesque and colleagues builds on earlier work from 2003 that first identified a Y chromosome lineage at high frequency in Central Asia and putatively linked it to Genghis Khan (Zerjal T, Xue Y, Bertorelle G, et al.: The genetic legacy of the Mongols. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2003; 72:717-721 <http://doi.org/10.1086/367774>). Just as the 2015 paper provided no statistical support for the association reported in that work, none is provided in the 2003 paper either. We were particularly taken by the idea of running the analysis presented here on the original 2003 data set, but unfortunately, the 2003 data were not released either as supplementary material to the original paper or uploaded to a public repository. One of us (EG) contacted the lead author (Tatiana Zerjal, now based at INRA, France), who could not provide the original data set. A re-analysis, along the lines of that presented in our report, is therefore not possible.
2. We agree. We argue that the data are consistent with lineages reaching high frequency simply by chance, but make no claims about the cultural and/or historical roles of these (random) ancestors.

3. We agree. Intermediate files have now been added to the Git repository, which will allow readers to explore our statistical re-analysis without needing to run the entire pipeline. Note, however, that we would strongly encourage researchers who wish to critique this work to repeat our analyses in full.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
