CASE REPORT

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

Gadolinium-based coronary angiography in a patient with prior known anaphylaxis to iodine-based dye

Nirmal Guragai^a, Sherif Roman^b, Rahul Vasudev^a, Upamanyu Rampal^c, Preet Randhawa^d, Fayez Shamoon^a, Hartaj Virk^a, Mahesh Bikkina^a, JW Moses^e and Apurva Motivala^f

^aDepartment of Cardiology, St Joseph's University Medical Center, Paterson, NJ, USA; ^bDepartment of Internal Medicine, St Joseph's University Medical Center, Paterson, NJ, USA; ^cDepartment of Cardiology, St. Joseph's Hospital and Medical Center, Phoenix, AZ, USA; ^dDepartment of Cardiology, St. Michael's Medical Center, Newark, NJ, USA; ^eDepartment of Cardiology New York-Presbyterian Hospital/ Columbia University Medical Center, NY, USA; ^fDepartment of Cardiology, Englewood Hospital and Medical Center, Englewood, NJ, USA

ABSTRACT

Gadodiamide is a gadolinium-based chemical element that is considered safe and well tolerated in patients without renal dysfunction and is therefore routinely used as a contrast agent in magnetic resonance imaging. Although radio-opaque, it is not frequently used for coronary angiography due to its less than optimal image quality and prohibitive cost. Our center's previous experience was less than satisfactory but the addition of a power injection system yielded good quality diagnostic images. We report a case of 63 years old male with a known history of severe, life-threatening anaphylactic reaction to previous iodinated dye presenting with persistent angina despite optimal medical therapy. Coronary and bypass graft angiography was performed using 24 cc of undiluted Gadodiamide (OMNISCAN) with a power injector (ACIST[®]) without any incidents or premedication with an interpretable angiogram.

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 7 October 2020

Accepted 10 February 2021

KEYWORDS

Angiography; complication; gadolinium use; iodine contrast allergy; PCI complication; percutaneous coronary intervention; stenting; ventricular fibrillation

1. Introduction

Conventional X-ray coronary angiography using iodinated contrast media is the gold standard technique for the diagnosis of coronary artery disease and for the determination of therapeutic strategies including percutaneous coronary intervention. However, an anaphylactic reaction to the iodinated contrast media can be life-threatening. Gadolinium-based contrast media have been developed to improve the quality of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and are considered safer and less nephrotoxic than the iodinated agents. Although radio-opaque, it is not frequently used for coronary angiography due to its less than optimal image quality, limited allowance per patient per study, minimal risk of ventricular arrhythmias, and prohibitive cost. There are only a few studies of using gadolinium for coronary angiography have been reported. Here we report another case of prior history of anaphylactic reaction to iodinated contrast using gadolinium for evaluation of coronaries during coronary angiography.

2. Case presentation

We present the case of a 63-year-old female with prior percutaneous revascularizations after a single vein bypass graft to the left anterior descending artery, presented with Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS)

Angina Grade III. Physical examination and laboratory were unremarkable. She had a history of known, severe, life-threatening anaphylactic reactions to previous iodinated dye usage leading to respiratory arrest requiring intubation (despite adequate prophylaxis with steroids and antihistamines). Given her prior history of anaphylactic reaction to iodinated contrast, progressive escalation of medical therapy without repeat angiography was recommended. However, the patient continued to experience a progressive decline in quality of life despite maximal medical therapy. Therefore, coronary and bypass graft angiography was recommended. Coronary and bypass graft angiography was performed using 24 cc of undiluted Gadodiamide (OMNISCAN) with a power injector (ACIST®) without any incidents or premedication. The patient's coronary artery disease was essentially unchanged from her prior angiogram (Figure 1) and further intensification of anti-anginal therapy was recommended. Patient was monitored for 24 hours for arrhythmia and had repeat renal function in 24 hours and one-week post procedure, were within the normal limits.

3. Discussion

Coronary angiography with iodinated contrast is the gold standard for the diagnosis of coronary artery disease. However, the use of iodinated contrast may result in

CONTACT Sherif Roman 🛛 roman.sherif@hotmail.com 🗈 Department of Internal Medicine, St Joseph's University Medical Center, NJ

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group on behalf of Greater Baltimore Medical Center.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1. Coronary angiography was performed using 24 cc of undiluted Gadodiamide with a power injector. The patient's coronary artery disease was essentially unchanged from her prior angiogram.

complications such as allergic reactions and renal function impairment. Allergic reactions from iodinated contrast are major complications and they have a wide spectrum which ranges from mild discomforts, such as nausea, vomiting, or itching to life-threatening emergencies, such as shock or cardiopulmonary arrest [1]. These reactions can also be either anaphylactoid reactions or chemotoxic reactions. The pathophysiology of the anaphylactoid reaction is attributed to the activation of the kinin system and the activation of the basophils and mast cells either directly by the contrast agent or indirectly by nonspecifically activated complements as anaphylatoxin (C3a, C5a). On the other side, a chemotoxic reaction could be caused by the hydrophobicity and hyperosmoticity of the contrast media [2].

The current modalities to evaluate patients' coronaries anatomy with typical angina symptoms include either cardiac catheterization or Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography (CCTA), which unfortunately use iodine contrast. In such scenario, we need an alternative imaging modality for evaluation. Gadoliniumbased contrast media have been developed to improve the quality of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and are considered safer and less nephrotoxic than the iodinated agents. There are only a few studies about using gadolinium for coronary angiography have been reported.

We reviewed the literature about the use of gadolinium in patients with contrast allergy or renal failure and we found nine studies that included a total of 129 patients [1-9] [Table 1]. In 23 (17.8%) of these cases, the indications to use gadolinium were either history of severe allergic reaction or anaphylaxis to iodinated contrast [1,2,5]. In the rest of the cases, the indications were for renal failure or iodine-induced hyperthyroidism. In most of these cases, undiluted gadolinium was used. Two of these studies reported the use of power injectors which showed improvement of image quality [2,5]. Diluted gadolinium did not yield better quality images compared to undiluted gadolinium, so we chose the undiluted one for our patient while using fluoroscopy as an imaging modality. It was also noted that a total of 5 cases (3.8%) developed ventricular arrhythmia (V tac vs V-fib) [2,5] and none of the studies reported death or deterioration of renal function as compared to baseline.

In a study by Kälsch et al. gadolinium was diluted 1:1 with sodium chloride (6-French) and identical contrast medium power injection (7 mL in the left coronary artery, 6 mL in the right coronary artery). This study revealed reduced picture contrast, but it was acceptable [2]. In another study, a 2 to 1 mixture of gadolinium and nonionic contrast was administered to improve the image quality without having to use the total required dose of contrast. Excellent quality angiograms were obtained while using only 1/3 of the quantity of contrast usually required [8].

Based on the previous studies, gadolinium is considered to be safer and less nephrotoxic than iodinated agents. It is best used for selective angiography in smallto medium-sized vessels [1]. However, the use of gadolinium is also associated with adverse reactions including potentially life-threatening cardiac arrhythmias after intracoronary gadolinium injection. Gadolinium also can cause acute renal insufficiency in high doses. Another disadvantage of gadolinium is the high cost, which is 5 times that of iodinated nonionic contrast agents. Also, the limited maximum recommended total carries a significant limitation [2].

In general, coronary angiography with gadolinium is feasible; however, certain technical consideration needs to be taken including dilution and injection rate while

	No of					Adverse reaction
Author/Study	patients	Amount of contrast	Indication	Contrast used	Adverse reaction renal	cardiac
1. Elizabeth Juneman,	-	24 ml	progressive angina and dyspnea on exertion + Severe allergic	undiluted gadolinium	None	Ventricular
2 Ka'lsch	19	32.6 ± 10.9 ml power injection used	teaction 14 stable angina, 5 unstable angina + allergy/anaphylaxis/ thvuorkiosis with indinated contrast media	Undiluted gadolinium	No deterioration in renal function (normal baseline)	cardiac arrhythmias
3 Ose	ŝ	40 ml	Recurrent chest pain, propertive evaluation, graft patency evaluation & allerrux/ananbulaxis with indinated contrast media	Undiluted gadolinum	None	None
4 Reiger	29	0.23–0.44 mmol/kg body weight	Peripheral vascular disease, fistula and shurt disease, renal artery	Gd with (12) or without	No deterioration in renal function in all	None
5 Sayin	26	57.1 \pm 27.2 ml	usease, aonu aneuyani + cinonic rena maunuency Coronary angiography + chronic renal insufficiency	1 Gd: 1 nonionic low	No deterioration in renal function	None
6 Sarkis	15	$0.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ mmol/kg gadolinium} + 0.32 \pm 0.2 \text{ ml/kg omnipaque}$	Coronary angiography + chronic renal insufficiency	2 Gd: 1 Nonionic low- osmolar iodinated	(abnormal baseline) No deterioration in renal function (abnormal baseline)	None
7. Erley	10	0.34–0.9 mmol/kg body weight	Peripheral artery disease, renal artery disease, aortic aneurysm +	(Ionexol) Gadobuterol (10 patients) ichouol (11 anticate)	Similar reduction in GFR to iodinated	None
8. Brigouri	25	0.6 ± 0.3 mmol/kg body weight	cinonic rena insumiciency Coronary angiography + chronic renal insufficiency	3 Gd: 1 nonionic low	Vortuast integra No reduction in CAN from to control (abnormal baseline)	None
9 Matuszczyk, A	-	30 ml	Myocardial infraction + iodine-induced hyperthyroidism	Gadolinium		None

using power injectors. As long as we can consider an appropriate mixture and injection rate, gadolinium can be an attractive alternative for patients who have contraindications of iodine contrast material.

4. Conclusion

Gadolinium could be a reasonable option to be used in patients who have contraindications to iodine-based media because of severe allergy; it provides acceptable imaging quality, with some risk for ventricular arrhythmias when administered in recommended doses. The combination of using gadolinium with a power injector showed to improve the quality of images and reduce the amount of gadolinium administered.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

- Ose K, Doue T, Zen K, et al. 'Gadolinium' as an alternative to iodinated contrast media for X-ray angiography in patients with severe Allergy. Circ J. 2005;69 (4):507–509.
- Kälsch H, Kälsch T, Eggebrecht H, et al. Gadoliniumbased coronary angiography in patients with contraindication for iodinated x-ray contrast medium: a word of caution. J Interv Cardiol. 2008;21 (2):167–174.
- [3] Briguori C, Colombo A, Airoldi F, et al. Gadoliniumbased contrast agents and nephrotoxicity in patients undergoing coronary artery procedures. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2006;67(2):175–180.
- [4] Erley CM, Bader BD, Berger ED, et al. Gadoliniumbased contrast media compared with iodinated media for digital subtraction angiography in azotaemic patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2004;19 (10):2526-2531.
- [5] Juneman E, Saleh L, Thai H, et al. Successful coronary angiography with adequate image acquisition using a combination of gadolinium and a power injector in a patient with severe iodine contrast allergy. Exp Clin Cardiol. 2012;17(1):17–19.
- [6] Matuszczyk A, Hahn S, Böse D, et al. Gadolinium as an alternative contrast agent during cardiac catheterization in patient with iodine-induced hyperthyroidism. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes. 2006;114 (6):336–338.
- [7] Rieger J, Sitter T, Toepfer M, et al. Gadolinium as an alternative contrast agent for diagnostic and interventional angiographic procedures in patients with impaired renal function. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2002 May;17 (5):824-828.
- [8] Sarkis A, Badaoui G, Azar R, et al. Gadolinium-enhanced coronary angiography in patients with impaired renal function. Am J Cardiol. 2003;91(8):974–5, a4.
- [9] Sayin T, Turhan S, Akyürek Ö, et al. Gadolinium:nonionic contrast media (1:1) coronary angiography in patients with impaired renal function. Angiology. 2007;58(5):561–564.