

The perception of Swachh Bharat Abhiyaan and attitude towards cleanliness among dental students of Kanpur city: A cross-sectional study

Rahul Srivastava¹, Devina Pradhan², Lokesh Sharma³, Omveer Singh⁴,
Shruti Gupta⁵, Shekhar Mukherjee¹

¹Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, ²Public Health Dentistry, ³Periodontology, Rama Dental College, Hospital and Research Centre, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, ⁴Dental Surgeon and Consultant, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, ⁵Department of Public Health Dentistry, Career Dental College, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

Background: The Swachh Bharat Abhiyan (SBA) is the most significant cleanliness campaign by the Government of India. Youth are the strong pillars of the development of any country. There is a need to bring a big change to the youth about the cleanliness drive. This study aims to assess the perception of SBA and attitude towards cleanliness among dental students of Kanpur city. **Materials and Methods:** This study is a descriptive, cross-sectional survey, which comprised of 390 undergraduate and postgraduate students. A pretested, self-administered, close-ended questionnaire consisting of 11 questions were included to evaluate the perceptions and attitudes regarding SBA among the dental students. Data were analyzed using IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21 (IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Categorical data were compared using the Chi-square test. All values were considered statistically significant for a value of $P \leq 0.05$. **Results:** The results of the study revealed that there is a positive attitude among study participants towards cleanliness, but efforts are still required to emphasize the importance of cleanliness among the many inhabitants. To implement SBA effectively, it is important to highlight the urgent need for public awareness. **Conclusion:** This study found that the majority of the participants was having positive attitude and perception towards SBA, yet initiatives and approaches are still needed to help bring positive actions among those who are reluctant to follow proper cleanliness, sanitation, and hygiene practices.

Keywords: Attitudes, Bharat, cleanliness, swachh

Introduction

Mahatma Gandhi said, "Sanitation is more important than Independence." He was aware of Indian rural people's wretched situation at the time and dreamed of a clean India where he stressed cleanliness and sanitation as an integral part of life.^[1]

Address for correspondence: Dr. Rahul Srivastava, Reader, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Rama Dental College, Hospital and Research Centre, Kanpur - 208 024, Uttar Pradesh, India.
E-mail: drrahul_osmf@yahoo.com

Received: 16-01-2020

Revised: 12-03-2020

Accepted: 30-03-2020

Published: 30-04-2020

India is an ancient civilization. It is considered to be a pious nation; its people are very religious. India consists of people of different faiths; Hindus, Muslims, Christians, Sikhs, Parsis, Jains, etc., and they very devotedly follow their faiths. But our country's sad reality is that all cleanliness and modesty are limited exclusively to religious activities and cooking. Holding our environment clean and sanitize is not in our behavior. At most, we keep our own homes clean and caring for sidewalks, roads, parks, or other public places is not our concern.^[2] The Swachh Bharat Abhiyan (SBA) is the most significant cleanliness campaign by the Government of India. The Abhiyan was

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Srivastava R, Pradhan D, Sharma L, Singh O, Gupta S, Mukherjee S. The perception of Swachh Bharat Abhiyaan and attitude towards cleanliness among dental students of Kanpur city: A cross-sectional study. J Family Med Prim Care 2020;9:1904-9.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:
www.jfmpc.com

DOI:
10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_93_20

launched by the Honourable Prime Minister Narendra Modi on the 2nd of October, 2014 at Rajghat, New Delhi to make India clean.^[1,3] Although the scenario has improved, in terms of hygiene and sanitation, much more needs to be done. Unsafe drinking water, poor access to adequate sanitation facilities, and inadequate handwashing are a major cause of global childhood disease and death.^[4] The campaign has well-defined guidelines and two sub-missions — the Swachh Bharat (Gramin/Rural) and the Swachh Bharat (Urban) project.^[2] The objectives of urban SBA are the elimination of open defecation; eradication of manual scavenging; modern and scientific municipal solid waste management; bringing positive behavioral changes regarding healthy sanitation practices; generating awareness about sanitation and its linkage with public health and capacity augmentation for urban local bodies (ULBs) to create an enabling environment for private sector participation in capital expenditure (CapEx) and operation and maintenance expenditure (opex).^[5]

Swachh Bharat may have started on a high note, but cleaning up the country is a serious business. Apart from investment, it calls for behavioral changes, expertise, and scientific knowledge. SBA is an ambitious project and has succeeded in making a difference at the level of facilities and services, but all the goals of this plan can only be accomplished when people are aware of this mission and have a good attitude towards basic sanitation and waste management.^[6] Thus, primary healthcare physicians play an important role in achieving this as they are the primary level providers and can help people with counseling and motivation.

There is a lack of studies assessing undergraduate and postgraduate student's attitude towards cleanliness and sanitation activities. This study aims to assess the perception of SBA and attitude towards cleanliness among dental students of Kanpur city.

Materials and Methods

Study design and study population

This study is a descriptive, cross-sectional survey, which comprised of 390 undergraduate and postgraduate students. A pretested, self-administered, close-ended questionnaire consisting of 11 questions were included to evaluate the perceptions and attitudes regarding SBA among the dental students.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria were that all the students who agreed to participate in the study were included. The students absent on the day of the study, students who did not fill the questionnaire, and students who did not give written informed consent to participate were excluded from the study.

Pilot study and pretesting of the questionnaire

Pilot study was conducted among 40 students to determine the feasibility of the study. These students were excluded from the final sample. Cronbach's coefficient was found to be 0.78, which

signifies acceptable internal reliability of the questionnaire. For testing the validity, the content validity ratio was also calculated by using item-rated content validity indices. This was achieved by taking the responses on the dichotomous scales where the academician indicated whether an item is favorable (score of + 1) or unfavorable (score of 0). The content validity ratio was found to be 0.86 by the panel of four academicians. In addition, there were no changes required in the questionnaire as a result of the pretest.

Ethical approval and informed consent

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee (Dated: 30th March, 2020) and ethical approval was granted for the same. A written informed consent form was also obtained from all the participants.

Sample size estimation

Sample size was calculated using the following formula:

$$N = \frac{4pq}{L^2}$$

where N = is the sample size, P = Prevalence (60%), q = (1- p), L = is the permissible error in the estimation of P = 0.05

$$N = 4 * 0.60 * 0.4 / 0.05 * 0.05$$

The estimated sample size was 384, which was rounded off to a sample of 400 to accommodate dropouts. There were 10 dropouts as the participants were absent on the days of study. Therefore, the final sample size was 390. The study participants were recruited by random sampling method. The response rate was 95%.

Data collection and analysis

The questionnaire was distributed by the investigator. The students were told to approach the investigator immediately in case of any doubts regarding any of the questions in the questionnaire. The study was conducted between the timeperiod of April 2019 to June 2019.

Data were analyzed using IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21 (IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) Descriptive statistics included calculation of percentages, mean, and standard deviation. Data distribution was assessed for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Categorical data were compared using the Chi-square test. All values were considered statistically significant for a value of $P \leq 0.05$.

Results

Three hundred ninety study participants including both undergraduate as well as postgraduate students were enrolled in the study. Participants were categorized by age into young

adults (18-35 years), middle-aged adults (36–55 years). Table 1 shows the age distribution of the study participants, out of 390 subjects, 384 (98.46%) were young adults and 6 (1.54%) respondents were middle-aged adults. Table 2 shows the gender distribution of the study participants, out of 390 subjects, 140 (35.90%) were males and 250 (64.10%) were females. Table 3 shows the year of study of the participants, out of 390 subjects, 66 (16.92%) subjects were from the first year, 81 (20.77%) subjects were from the second year, 78 (20%) subjects were from the third year, 51 (13.08%) subjects were from final year, 43 (11.02%) subjects were interns, and 71 (18.20%) subjects were postgraduate students.

Table 4 shows the response of participants regarding the perception of SBA. Majority of the participants (151, 38.72%) agreed that the contribution at an individual level is important in SBA while 123 (31.54%) participants did not agree with this. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant ($P < 0.001$). One hundred eighty two (46.67%) participants felt that SBA is not able to communicate the importance of cleanliness while 147 (37.6%) agreed with this. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant ($P < 0.001$). One hundred seventy-nine (45.90%) participants had a thought that SBA has no contribution towards clean India while 129 (33.08%) subjects agreed that SBA has contributed towards clean India. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant ($P < 0.050$). One hundred eighty-five (47.43%) participants agreed with the importance of their participation in SBA activities, while 89 (22.82%) participants did not agree with the importance of their participation in SBA activities.

Table 5 shows the response of participants regarding the attitudes of SBA. Two hundred nineteen (56.15%) participants throw waste into the garbage bin, 112 (56.15%) participants throw waste into the garbage bin all the times, and 59 (15.13%) participants sometimes throw waste into the garbage bin. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant ($P < 0.001$). Two hundred six (52.82%) participants never sort their waste before disposing it off, 123 (31.54%) participants sometimes sort their waste before disposing it off while 61 (15.64%) participants most of the time sort their waste before disposing it off. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant ($P < 0.002$). One hundred sixty-seven (42.82%) participants collect and burn their waste most of the time while 151 (38.72%) participants collect and

burn their waste all the time. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant ($P < 0.003$). One hundred nine (27.95%) participants use to dispose their waste at authorized place most of the time, 124 (31.79%) participants use to dispose their waste at authorized place all the time while 134 (34.36%) participants sometimes use to dispose their waste at authorized place. One hundred seventy-two (44.10%) participants provide their own trash bin for use all the times, 168 (43.08%) participants provide their own trash bin for use most of the times while 48 (12.31%) participants sometimes provide their own trash bin for use. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant ($P < 0.001$). One hundred ninety-four (49.74%) participants sometimes report to authorities when they notice litter surrounding their community while 152 (38.97%) participants most of the time report to authorities when they notice litter surrounding their community. One hundred ninety-three (49.48%) participants sometimes give a piece of advice to people who litter, 129 (33.08%) participants most of the times give a piece of advice to people who litter while 68 (17.43%) participants never give a piece of advice to people who litter. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant ($P < 0.001$).

Discussion

One of the best and the most important initiatives taken by the government of India, SBA aims at a clean and hygienic country. Youth are the strong pillars of the development of any country. There is a need to bring a big change to the youth about the cleanliness drive.^[7,8]

In this study, most of the participants (98.46%) were young adults (18–35 years age group) with the mean age of around 26 years and most of the participants were females (64.10%).

Utpat *et al.* conducted the study at Bharati Vidyapeeth University Medical College and evaluated the attitude of participants towards SBA. He found that 91.30% of students agreed with that their participation is necessary for SBA.^[9] This study showed that the majority of the participants agreed that the contribution at an individual level is important in SBA.

Pradhan P evaluated the impact of the SBA campaign on the public attitude towards cleanliness. He stated that 76.08% of respondents were satisfied that SBA is helping in the development of the country. But, 15.21% of respondents are

Table 1: Age distribution of the study participants

Groups	Total (n=390)	Young Adults n (%)	Middle Aged Adults n (%)	Chi square value ¹	P
Group 1	65	64 (98.5)	1 (1.5)	8.125	0.149
Group 2	65	62 (95.4)	3 (4.6)		
Group 3	65	65 (100)	0 (0)		
Group 4	65	65 (100)	0 (0)		
Group 5	65	63 (96.9)	2 (3.1)		
Group 6	65	65 (100)	0 (0)		

¹- Chi-square test* S-Statistically significant, $P \leq 0.05$

not satisfied.^[10] Results obtained from this study showed that 46.67% of participants felt that SBA is not able to communicate the importance of cleanliness. It means these respondents think that there are limited channels/medium of information dissemination. Print media is consumed only by a limited number of people who are literate and are in the distribution radius. Studies also indicate that although penetration of radio is higher in India, it is used mostly to listen to entertainment programs. Mass media can inform only generic messages, whereas people need the core message. On the other hand, the life of banners, posters, and leaflets are limited. According to Pradhan P in his

study, 47.81% of respondents feel that cleanliness in their area has not improved after the launch of SBA.^[10] Results obtained from this study showed that 179 (45.90%) participants had a thought that SBA has no contribution towards clean India. This may be due to corruption in ground implementation is again hampering the SBA.

As per an independent Research report published by ABC Live Haryana, disbursement of incentives under Swachh Bharat Mission (Urban) in the state of Haryana has been made arbitrarily by ignoring the guidelines of Swachh Bharat Mission (Urban). It could be happening in many other states too. The garbage disposal plan, sewage treatment plan especially in big cities need to be well devised, otherwise, it will be difficult to make the mission a success.^[11]

Kishore YJ *et al.* conducted to assess knowledge, perception, and practices regarding SBA among rural people. He revealed that 77.45% of the study subjects thought that all people must actively participate in SBA activities.^[12] In a similar study, Utpat *et al.* found that 91.30% of the subjects wanted to participate in SBA.^[9] Results of this study showed that majority of the participants agreed with the importance of their participation in SBA activities

Table 2: Gender distribution of the study participants

Groups	Total (n=390)	Gender		Chi square value ¹	P
		Males n (%)	Females n (%)		
Group 1	65	25 (38.5)	40 (61.5)	7.176	0.208
Group 2	65	19 (29.2)	46 (70.8)		
Group 3	65	27 (41.5)	38 (58.5)		
Group 4	65	17 (26.2)	48 (73.8)		
Group 5	65	23 (35.6)	42 (64.6)		
Group 6	65	29 (44.6)	36 (55.4)		

1- Chi-square test*S-Statistically significant, P≤0.05

Table 3: Year of study of the study participants

Year of study	Total (n=390)	Grp 1 n (%)	Grp 2 n (%)	Grp 3 n (%)	Grp 4 n (%)	Grp 5 n (%)	Grp 6 n (%)	Chi square value ¹	P
First year	65	13 (20)	11 (16.9)	4 (6.2)	12 (18.5)	13 (20)	13 (20)	42.480	<0.001*
Second year	65	15 (23.1)	12 (18.5)	9 (13.8)	17 (26.2)	14 (21.5)	14 (21.5)		
Third year	65	11 (16.9)	17 (26.2)	11 (16.9)	15 (23.1)	12 (18.5)	12 (18.5)		
Fourth year	65	10 (15.4)	11 (16.9)	13 (20)	11 (16.9)	3 (4.6)	3 (4.6)		
Interns	65	9 (13.8)	5 (7.7)	12 (18.5)	5 (7.7)	6 (9.2)	6 (9.2)		
Postgraduate	65	7 (10.8)	9 (13.8)	16 (24.5)	5 (7.7)	17 (26.2)	17 (26.2)		

1- Chi-square test*S-Statistically significant, P ≤ 0.05

Table 4: Distribution of responses of the participants regarding the perception of Swachh Bharat Abhiyan

QUESTIONS	RESPONSES	FREQUENCY (%)						Chi square value ¹	P
		Grp 1	Grp 2	Grp 3	Grp 4	Grp 5	Grp 6		
Q1. Contribution at individual level is important in SBA	Strongly agree	0 (0)	0 (0)	6 (9.2)	0 (0)	11 (16.9)	6 (9.2)	58.860	<0.001*
	Agree	27 (41.5)	23 (35.4)	21 (32.3)	30 (46.2)	24 (36.9)	26 (40)		
	Undecided	9 (13.8)	13 (20)	11 (16.9)	11 (16.9)	9 (13.8)	5 (7.7)		
	Disagree	29 (44.6)	19 (29.2)	17 (26.2)	17 (26.2)	19 (29.2)	22 (33.8)		
	Strongly disagree	0 (0)	10 (15.4)	7 (10.8)	7 (10.8)	2 (3.1)	6 (9.2)		
Q2.SBA is able to communicate the importance of cleanliness	Strongly agree	4 (6.2)	0 (0)	6 (9.2)	0 (0)	3 (4.6)	5 (7.7)	49.078	<0.001*
	Agree	19 (29.2)	23 (35.4)	24 (36.9)	27 (41.5)	27 (41.5)	27 (41.5)		
	Undecided	6 (9.2)	8 (12.3)	3 (4.6)	5 (7.7)	5 (7.7)	3 (4.6)		
	Disagree	27 (41.5)	32 (49.2)	27 (41.5)	33 (50.8)	33 (53.8)	30 (46.2)		
	Strongly disagree	9 (13.8)	2 (3.1)	5 (7.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		
Q3.SBA has contribute towards clean India	Strongly agree	5 (7.7)	6 (9.2)	0 (0)	8 (12.3)	3 (4.6)	11 (16.9)	69.943	0.002*
	Agree	19 (29.2)	26 (40)	24 (36.9)	17 (26.2)	28 (43.1)	15 (23.1)		
	Undecided	10 (15.4)	0 (0)	4 (6.2)	9 (13.8)	0 (0)	7 (10.8)		
	Disagree	27 (41.5)	33 (50.8)	37 (56.9)	26 (40)	34 (52.3)	22 (33.8)		
	Strongly disagree	4 (6.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (7.7)	0 (0)	10 (15.4)		
Q4. Your participation in SBA activities is important	Strongly agree	15 (23.1)	11 (16.9)	9 (13.8)	13 (20)	12 (18.5)	14 (21.5)	19.655	0.186
	Agree	29 (44.6)	25 (38.5)	35 (53.8)	30 (46.2)	31 (47.7)	35 (53.8)		
	Undecided	6 (9.2)	9 (13.8)	11 (16.9)	10 (15.4)	2 (3.1)	4 (6.2)		
	Disagree	15 (16.9)	20 (30.8)	10 (15.4)	12 (18.5)	20 (30.8)	12 (18.5)		
	Strongly disagree	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		

1- Chi-square test, *S-Statistically significant, P≤0.05

Table 5: Distribution of responses of the participants regarding attitudes of Swachh Bharat Abhiyan

QUESTIONS	RESPONSES	FREQUENCY (%)						Chi square value ¹	P
		Grp 1	Grp 2	Grp 3	Grp 4	Grp 5	Grp 6		
Q1.I always throw waste into the garbage bin	1. All the time	27 (41.5)	11 (16.9)	21 (32.3)	18 (27.7)	18 (27.7)	17 (26.2)	52.847	<0.001*
	2. Most of the times	32 (49.2)	49 (75.4)	28 (43.1)	47 (72.3)	37 (56.9)	26 (40)		
	3. Sometimes	6 (9.3)	5 (7.7)	16 (24.6)	0 (0)	10 (15.3)	22 (33.8)		
	4. Never	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		
Q2.I sort my waste before disposing it off	All the time	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	27.921	<0.002*
	Most of the times	17 (26.2)	0 (0)	17 (26.2)	9 (13.8)	10 (15.4)	8 (12.3)		
	Sometimes	15 (23.0)	21 (32.3)	22 (33.8)	24 (36.9)	18 (27.7)	23 (35.4)		
Q3.I collect and burn my waste	All the time	24 (36.9)	25 (38.5)	19 (29.2)	34 (52.3)	23 (35.4)	26 (40)	34.601	0.003*
	Most of the times	27 (41.5)	32 (49.2)	25 (38.5)	27 (41.5)	29 (44.6)	27 (41.5)		
	Sometimes	10 (15.4)	8 (12.3)	15 (23.1)	4 (6.2)	13 (20)	12 (18.5)		
Q4. I dispose waste at authorized place.	All the time	17 (26.2)	23 (35.4)	18 (27.7)	24 (36.9)	19 (29.2)	23 (35.4)	31.302	0.008
	Most of the times	24 (41.5)	6 (9.2)	26 (40)	31 (47.7)	17 (26.2)	5 (7.7)		
	Sometimes	20 (30.8)	33 (50.8)	12 (18.5)	10 (15.4)	26 (40)	33 (50.8)		
	Never	4 (6.2)	3 (4.6)	9 (13.8)	0 (0)	3 (4.6)	4 (6.2)		
Q5. I provide my own trash bin for use.	All the time	26 (40)	27 (41.5)	30 (46.2)	34 (52.3)	25 (38.5)	30 (46.2)	36.752	<0.001*
	Most of the times	37 (56.9)	22 (33.8)	27 (41.5)	29 (44.6)	25 (38.5)	28 (43.0)		
	Sometimes	2 (3.1)	16 (24.6)	8 (12.3)	2 (3.1)	13 (20)	7 (10.8)		
	Never	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (3)	0 (0)		
Q6. I report to authorities when I notice litter surrounding my community.	All the time	9 (13.8)	8 (12.3)	5 (7.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	10 (15.4)	21.508	0.121
	Most of the times	27 (41.6)	23 (35.4)	22 (33.8)	29 (44.6)	29 (44.6)	22 (33.8)		
	Sometimes	29 (44.6)	34 (52.3)	36 (55.6)	33 (50.8)	33 (50.8)	29 (44.6)		
	Never	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (6.2)	3 (4.6)	3 (4.6)	4 (6.2)		
Q7. I give a piece of advice to people who litter.	All the time	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	46.985	<0.001*
	Most of the times	21 (32.3)	8 (12.3)	17 (26.2)	36 (55.4)	22 (33.8)	25 (38.4)		
	Sometimes	31 (47.7)	34 (52.4)	32 (49.2)	28 (43.1)	35 (53.8)	33 (50.8)		
	Never	13 (20)	23 (35.4)	16 (24.6)	1 (1.5)	8 (12.4)	7 (10.8)		

1- Chi-square test, *S-Statistically significant, P ≤ 0.05

A study conducted by Kishore YJ *et al.* reported that 34.75% of the subjects were disposing of solid waste in community bins.^[12] Yoda *et al.* in their study reported that 61% of the subjects were utilizing community bins.^[13] The results of this study showed that 56.15% of respondents throw their waste into the garbage bin, which indicates the positive attitude towards cleanliness among study participants. Yoda *et al.* also reported that only 17.3% of subjects separated their waste when storing it, while the remaining 82.7% did not do any kind of waste separation.^[13] In this study, the large proportion of respondents (52.82%) did not sort their waste before disposing it off. This creates an appropriate environment for the breeding of disease vectors, such as mosquitoes and cockroaches, and for the proliferation of rodents, such as rats and mice, which pose a threat to public health.

Waste burning is a significant source of dangerous carcinogens like dioxins and furans, and black carbon, a short-lived climate pollutant that contributes to climate change, increased melting in polar regions due to the deposition of soot and black carbon on snow and ice and numerous human health issues.^[14] In this study, 42.82% of participants collect and burn their waste most of the time while 151 (38.72%) participants collect and burn their waste all the time. This finding indicates that it is the need of the hour to sensitize people for waste management.

In this study, a large proportion of participants did not dispose of their wastes at authorized place and provide their own trash bin for use regularly. In the same way, less proportion (38.97%) of participants report to authorities when they notice litter surrounding their community. The study findings indicate that there is a positive attitude among study participants towards cleanliness, but efforts are still required to emphasize the importance of cleanliness among the many inhabitants.^[15,16] To implement SBA effectively, it is important to highlight the urgent need for public awareness.

Conclusions

Although the SBA lays a lot of emphasis on collecting waste in cities, it does not seem to have given adequate attention to waste management. Undergraduate students in colleges can take up the main role in their respective localities to organize school going students in their locality. Students will graduate to be working professionals. Hence, it is important that they pass on their responsibilities to younger ones by sharing their experiences on SBA. This study found that the majority of the participants was having positive attitude and perception towards SBA, yet initiatives and approaches are still needed to help bring positive actions among those who are reluctant to follow proper cleanliness, sanitation, and hygiene practices.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patient (s) has/have given his/her/their consent for his/her/their images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Phukan RS Swachh Bharat Abhiyan: Making India Clean and More. Available from: <https://www.mapsofindia.com/my-india/society/swachh-bharat-abhiyan-making-india-clean-more>.
2. Swachh Bharat Abhiyan. Available from: <https://www.indiacelebrating.com/government/swachh-bharat-abhiyan/>.
3. Major Initiatives, Swachh Bharat Abhiyan. Available from: https://www.pmindia.gov.in/en/major_initiatives/swachh-bharat-abhiyan/.
4. Swachh Bharat Abhiyan for a Clean and Green India. Available from: <https://www.savethechildren.in/resource-centre/articles/swachh-bharat-abhiyan-for-a-clean-and-green-india>.
5. Guidelines for Swachh Bharat Mission-Urban. Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, Government of India; 2017. p. 9.
6. Pulakkat H. Swachh Bharat Abhiyaan: Why cleaning up India is serious business. Available from: <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/politics-and-nation/swachh-bharat-abhiyaan-why-cleaning-up-india-is-serious-business/articleshow/46438264.cms?from=mdr>.
7. Nandini. How Can Youth Contribute to Swachh Bharat Mission? Available from: file:///E:/SBA/How%20can%20Youth%20contribute%20to%20Swachh%20Bharat%20Mission_.html.
8. Role of the youth of nation in Swachh Bharat Abhiyan. Available from: <file:///E:/SBA/Role%20of%20the%20Youth%20of%20Nation%20in%20Swachh%20Bharat%20Abhiyan.html>.
9. Utpat NJ, Bogam RR. Effect of „SMART health education model“ on knowledge and attitudes of school students in rural area about „Swachh Bharat Abhiyan of India“ and sanitation practices. *Int J Community Med Public Health* 2017;4:582-7.
10. Pradhan P. Swachh Bharat Abhiyan and the Indian Media. *J Content Community Commun* 2017;5:43-51.
11. Swachh Bharat Mission: Success is still at Large. Available from: <https://www.mbauniverse.com/group-discussion/topic/social-issues/swachh-bharat-mission>.
12. Yadav K, Naidu NK, Sreeharshika D, Harikrishna B, Malhotra V. Study to assess knowledge, perception and practices regarding Swachh Bharat Abhiyan among rural people of Nalgonda district in Telangana state. *Int J Community Med Public Health* 2018; 5:3399-405.
13. Yoda RM, Chirawurah D, Adongo PB. Domestic waste disposal practice and perceptions of private sector waste management in urban Accra. *BMC Public Health* 2014;14:697-707.
14. Open waste burning prevention. Available from: <https://www.ccacoalition.org/en/activity/open-waste-burning-prevention>.
15. Suthar P, Joshi NK, Joshi V. Study on the perception of Swachh Bharat Abhiyan and attitude towards cleanliness among the residents of urban Jodhpur. *J Family Med Prim Care* 2019;8:3136-9.
16. Spandana B, Pratap KR, Madhavi Padma T, Shiva Kalyan V, Srikanth P. A study to assess knowledge, perception and practices regarding clean india mission among dental students. *Int J Res Eng Sci Manag* 2019;2:272-3.