## Prognostic Value of Myocardial Blush Grade in ST-elevation MI: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

#### Patrick Vera Cruz **(**)<sup>1,2</sup> Patricio Palmes **(**)<sup>2</sup> and Nadine Bacalangco **(**)<sup>2</sup>

1. HB Calleja Heart and Vascular Institute, St Luke's Medical Center, Quezon City, Manila, the Philippines; 2. Department of Internal Medicine, West Visayas State University Medical Center, Iloilo Ciy, Iloilo, the Philippines

#### Abstract

**Background:** Ineffective myocardial perfusion despite angiographic success after angioplasty occurs frequently and is associated with an increased risk of mortality. Hence, this study determined whether myocardial perfusion measured by myocardial blush grade (MBG) identifies ST-elevation MI (STEMI) patients at high risk for poor outcomes after successful angioplasty. **Methods:** The search employed strategies designed for research databases. An article was eligible if it included adults who underwent coronary angioplasty for STEMI, post-angioplasty MBG was assessed, and mortality or major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) were determined. Risk for bias was assessed using the Quality In Prognosis Studies tool and forest plots in a Mantel-Haenszel fixed effects model were created using RevMan5.4. **Results/discussion:** Eight observational studies with an overall low risk of bias were included, involving 8,044 patients. MBG 0/1 with no to poor myocardial perfusion had a negative prognostic value for mortality (OR 2.68; 95% CI [2.22–3.23]) and MACE (OR 1.20; 95% CI [1.01–1.41]). Furthermore, MBG 2 with moderate myocardial perfusion and MBG 3 with normal myocardial perfusion were associated with increased survival with a logHR of 0.47 (95% CI [0.43–0.52]) and 0.20 percutaneous coronary intervention (95% CI [0.18–0.23]). These results imply MBG is a useful prognostic marker for STEMI patients. **Conclusion:** MBG 0/1 after primary angioplasty is a strong negative prognostic marker for long-term all-cause mortality and MACE among STEMI patients, and a post-primary angioplasty MBG of 2 or 3 is a robust prognostic marker for long-term survival.

#### Keywords

Myocardial blush grade, angioplasty, percutaneous coronary intervention, acute coronary syndrome, MI, no-reflow, myocardial reperfusion

Disclosure: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge the West Visayas State University Medical Center and St Luke's Medical Center for providing the necessary tools for this research to be completed.

Data Availability: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Authors' Contributions: Conceptualisation: PVC, PP, NB; data curation: PVC, PP, NB; formal analysis: PVC, PP, NB; funding acquisition: none; investigation: PVC, PP, NB; methodology: PVC, PP, NB; project administration: PVC, PP, NB; resources: PVC, PP, NB; software: PVC, PP, NB; supervision: PP; validation: PVC, PP, NB; visualisation: PV

Ethics: Since data used in this study are from previously published studies in which informed consent was obtained by primary investigations, no ethics approval was needed for the conduct of this systematic review and meta-analysis.

Consent: All patients have given written informed consent.

Review Registration and Protocol: This review has not been registered at the time of submission and no protocol was published prior to submission. Received: 12 January 2022 Accepted: 20 March 2022 Citation: Interventional Cardiology 2022;17:e10. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15420/icr.2022.01 Correspondence: Patrick Vera Cruz, St Luke's Medical Center, E Rodriguez Ave, Quezon City, Manila, 1112, the Philippines. E: patvc.098@gmail.com

**Open Access:** This work is open access under the CC-BY-NC 4.0 License which allows users to copy, redistribute and make derivative works for non-commercial purposes, provided the original work is cited correctly.

Acute coronary syndrome (ACS) is the most common form of coronary heart disease and is a leading cause of mortality worldwide.<sup>1–3</sup> Although successful angioplasty has significantly increased survival among ACS patients, the 'no-reflow' phenomenon, where myocardial perfusion is ineffective despite angiographic success occurs frequently and is related to an increased risk of mortality.<sup>4,5</sup>

Angiographic success is defined as a thrombolysis in MI (TIMI) flow grade of 3, and a minimum stenosis reduction of at least 50% for balloon dilatation or at least 10% reduction for coronary stenting.<sup>6–10</sup> However, studies show that, although epicardial TIMI 3 flow grade is restored in 80–90% of patients after angioplasty, adequate myocardial perfusion is achieved less frequently and detrimentally impacts patient survival.<sup>11–14</sup>

In a cohort study by Stone et al., normal epicardial flow was restored in 94.2% of patients but only 29.4% with TIMI 3 flow grade had normal myocardial perfusion.  $^{12}$ 

In a later study by Constantino et al., 81% of patients who achieved a TIMI flow grade of 3 after angioplasty had reduced myocardial perfusion; these patients had significantly reduced survival, with 1-year mortality rates of 4.1% for patients with reduced perfusion and 6.2% for those with absent perfusion compared to the 1.4% mortality rate for patients with normal perfusion (p=0.01).<sup>14</sup>

Myocardial perfusion can be assessed in many ways but the most studied of these is myocardial blush grade (MBG). First described by

van' t Hof et al. in 1998, MBG is determined on the angiograms made immediately after primary coronary angioplasty using the best projection angles to assess the myocardial region of the infarct-related coronary artery.<sup>11</sup> Unlike TIMI flow grade, which evaluates blood flow along the main epicardial artery, MBG evaluates the microvascular patency of the distal capillaries perfusing the myocardium.<sup>15</sup>

MBG is defined as follows: 0 - either no myocardial blush or persistent myocardial blush 'staining'; <math>1 - minimal myocardial blush or contrast density; <math>2 - moderate myocardial blush or contrast density, but less than that obtained during angiography of a contralateral or ipsilateral non-infarct-related coronary artery; and <math>3 - normal myocardial blush or contrast density that is comparable with that obtained during angiography of a contralateral or ipsilateral non-infarct-related coronary artery (*Supplementary Material Table 1*).<sup>11,12</sup>

Several studies have reported a good correlation between MBG and markers of post-infarction myocardial function such as contrast-enhanced cardiac MRI, contrast echocardiography and post-angioplasty QRS duration change.<sup>16-18</sup> Some studies have also reported MBG to correlate well with clinical outcomes.<sup>14,19</sup>

Therefore, this study aims to synthesise the evidence on the role of MBG as a predictor of all-cause mortality and major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) among acute coronary syndrome patients who underwent primary angioplasty.

## **Objectives**

The study aimed to evaluate the impact of post-angioplasty MBG on the mortality of patients with ST-elevation MI (STEMI).

## **Methods**

This systematic review and meta-analysis used the PROGRESS (PROGnosis RESearch Strategy) framework and is reported in line with the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guide. Eligibility criteria were formed using the PICOTS (Patient, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome, Time and Setting) framework and data extraction was done using CHARMS-PF (CHecklist for critical Appraisal and data extraction for systematic Reviews and prediction Modelling studies for Prognostic Factors).<sup>20</sup>

## Eligibility

- All applicable studies based on the PICOTS of this study were included:
- population adults who underwent primary coronary angioplasty for STEMI;
- index/intervention MBG;
- comparison groups MBG 0/1 versus MBG 2/3, MBG 2 versus MBG 0/1 and MBG 3 versus MBG 0/1;
- outcome all-cause mortality/MACE at follow-up;
- timing follow-up of at least 12 months; and
- setting observational studies and randomised trials in single centre or multicentre settings.

Studies were excluded for the following reasons:

- the full article was not available;
- narrative review or editorial;
- MBG was not obtained immediately after the primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) or at the end of the procedure; or
- the study did not measure mortality or MACE.

### Search

The search used topic-based strategies designed for each database. There were no language or geographic restrictions. The publication search was limited to systematic reviews, meta-analyses, observational studies and randomised controlled trials published from 1998 to 2021. The reference lists of included articles were hand searched to identify additional studies.

The PubMed, ScienceDirect and Cochrane research databases were used, as was the Google Scholar search engine.

The following keywords and corresponding MeSH terms, derived from a scoping search and expertise in the subject field, were used for the systematic search: ["myocardial blush grade" AND ("acute coronary syndrome" OR "acute myocardial infarction")] were indexed to the research databases (*Supplementary Material Table 2*).

Articles for screening were stored into a computer document folder and data collected were encoded into an Excel file.

## Article Selection, Data Collection and Assessments

Two researchers (PVC and NB) independently performed the database search, assessed studies for for applicability and eligibility, collected data, assessed risk of bias and decided which studies should be included. If there were inconsistencies, a third researcher (PPP) was consulted to make a decision.

Each included article was assessed for relevance of reported data. A study was determined to be relevant if the population being studied included patients who underwent PCI due to STEMI, data on postangioplasty MBG, data on the event rates of all-cause mortality and MACE (defined as a composite of death, MI, heart failure and ischaemia-driven revascularisation after a follow-up of at least 12 months) were reported.

Data on methods to minimise or determine inter- and intra-observer disagreement were also collected.

## **Effect Measures and Additional Analyses**

To determine the cumulative prognostic value of MBG 0/1, the odds ratios for all-cause mortality and for MACE with MBG 0/1 were obtained from each included study and analysed. Sensitivity analysis for the prognostic value of MBG 0/1 was also performed by removing studies that did not report adjusted ORs as an outcome measure. To determine the prognostic value of MBG 2 and MBG 3, the HRs for mortality were obtained from all included studies and analysed.

WebPlotDigitizer 4.4 was used to construct Kaplan-Meier curves from studies that did not report numerical effect measures to obtain the logarithmic hazard ratios following the methods described by Tierney et al. and Wei et al.<sup>21–23</sup> A prespecified subgroup analysis was also done for studies that included patients in cardiogenic shock or were haemodynamically unstable to reduce heterogeneity.

RevMan 5.4 was used to create figures to display the results of individual studies and forest plots of the meta-analyses in a Mantel-Haenszel fixed effects model. The  $l^2$  test and the  $\tau^2$  (DerSimonian and Laird) methods were used to determine degree of heterogeneity between the individual studies.

The Quality In Prognosis Studies (QUIPS) tool was used to evaluate the risk of bias of each study. To assess for publication bias, a funnel plot was

constructed to identify possible publication bias. The Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation and the Egger's regression intercept were used to examine asymmetry.

#### **Certainty Assessment**

The strength of the overall body of evidence was assessed using the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) framework for systemic reviews and meta-analyses of prognostic factors.<sup>24</sup>

The GRADE approach considers five factors that can decrease confidence in estimates of effects: study design and limitations in study design; inconsistency of results across studies; indirectness of the evidence; imprecision; and publication bias; and three factors that can increase confidence in estimates of effects from observational studies: large estimates of treatment; a dose-response gradient; and plausible confounding that would increase confidence in an estimate.

The starting point for the quality level of the evidence is based on the phase of investigation. The level of certainty is then upgraded or downgraded depending on the GRADE factors.

The results of assessment of certainty were presented in a summary of findings table.

## Results

## Article Search and Selection

A total of 5,014 records were identified from PubMed (n=115), Cochrane (n=209), ScienceDirect (n=530) and Google Scholar (n=4,160; *Figure 1*). No restrictions were made regarding language. After exclusion of narratives, editorials, duplicates and studies where neither the title nor the abstract indicated the parameters for inclusion, 24 records were screened.

Research papers where the full text was not available (n=2), the population included non-STEMI patients (n=2), a different measure of myocardial perfusion was measured (n=2), MBG was not analysed independently (n=1), outcomes did not include mortality or MACE (n=10) and narrative reports (n=2) were excluded.

Authors were contacted via email to request full texts of their research papers and for other relevant information pertaining to their study. Three articles were added after hand-searching the reference list of the seven articles eligible for inclusion, making a total of eight articles that qualified according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria for this meta-analysis.

## **Study Characteristics**

*Supplementary Material Table 3* shows the study characteristics of the included articles in this systematic review. All articles included were prospective observational studies, involving a total of 8,044 STEMI patients. All the studies measured MBG after primary PCI. Outcome measures in all the included studies included all-cause mortality and MACE follow-up time to all studies being 1 year.<sup>11,13,14,18,19,25,26</sup>

## **Risk of Bias Assessment**

The QUIPS tool was used to assess the risk of bias of each study (Hayden et al.).<sup>27</sup> The QUIPS tool uses six domains: study participation; study attrition; prognostic factor measurement; outcome measurement; study confounding; and statistical analysis and reporting. Each domain includes multiple items that are judged separately.

# Figure 1: PRISMA-P Diagram Showing Study Selection Process



CMRI = cardiac MRI; MBG = mycardial blush grade; STEMI = ST-elevation MI; TMP = transmembrane potential.

Based on the rating of the included items, a conclusive judgement of the risk of bias within each domain was made and expressed on a threegrade scale (high, moderate or low risk of bias). All 10 studies included had an overall low risk of bias (*Supplementary Material Table 4*).

#### **Study Participation**

All articles involved STEMI patients only.<sup>11,13,14,18,19,25</sup> They all adequately described the populations, and clinical and demographic factors that were significantly different between the two groups compared were identified. There were also no identified significant deviations from the in-study definitions of STEMI. However, the study by Brener et al. was able to enrol only 71% of the eligible population so was determined to have a moderate/unclear risk of bias.<sup>28</sup>

## **Study Attrition**

Only the study by Kampinga et al. had a <100% follow-up rate, but they declared their follow-up rate was >99% among the 2,118 STEMI patients they enrolled.<sup>19</sup> Hence, all the studies included were determined to have a low risk of attrition bias.

#### **Prognostic Factor Measurement**

All studies had similar definitions for MBG as described by Van 't Hof et al.<sup>11</sup> However, the study by Kampinga et al. showed a moderate interoperator agreement with a  $\kappa$  coefficient of 0.47, so the studies were determined to have a moderate/unclear risk of bias.<sup>19</sup>

## **Study Confounding**

All studies took possible confounding into account appropriately by using multivariate analysis and Cox regression statistics for determining effect

## Figure 2: Figures and Forest Plot of the Unadjusted ORs Myocardial Blush Grade 0/1 Versus 2/3 for Mortality

|                                  |   |          |         |       |        |                     |      |  |           | C         | R |             |    |
|----------------------------------|---|----------|---------|-------|--------|---------------------|------|--|-----------|-----------|---|-------------|----|
|                                  | MP  | 2 0/1    | MBC 2/2 |       |        | OP                  |      |  | M-H, fixe | d, 95% Cl |   |             |    |
|                                  | IVID  | 3 0/1    | IVID    | 52/5  |        | OR                  |      |  |           |           |   |             |    |
| Study or subgroup                | Events  | Total    | Events  | Total | Weight | M-H, fixed [95% CI] | Year |  |           |           | _ |             |    |
| van't Hof et al.11               | 23  | 236      | 9       | 541   | 4.1%   | 6.38 [2.91–14.02]   | 1998 |  |           | _         |   |             |    |
| Stone et al. <sup>12</sup>       | 10  | 52       | 13      | 121   | 5.2%   | 1.98 [0.82-4.86]    | 2002 | 1  |           |           |   |             |    |
| Haager et al. <sup>25</sup>      | 39  | 100      | 23      | 153   | 9.1%   | 3.61 [1.99-6.57]    | 2003 |  |           |           |   |             |    |
| Henriques et al.29               | 13  | 101      | 25      | 823   | 3.9%   | 4.72 [1.99–6.57]    | 2003 |  |           |           |   |             |    |
| Constantino et al. <sup>14</sup> | 39  | 633      | 21      | 668   | 15.8%  | 2.02 [1.18-3.48]    | 2004 |  |           |           |   |             |    |
| Kaya et al. <sup>26</sup>        | 12  | 21       | 30      | 110   | 3.4%   | 3.56 [1.36–9.29]    | 2007 |  |           |           |   |             |    |
| Kampinga et al. <sup>19</sup>    | 71  | 543      | 85      | 1,575 | 31.2%  | 2.56 [1.89–3.67]    | 2010 |  |           |           |   |             |    |
| Brener et al.28                  | 44  | 529      | 81      | 1,838 | 27.3%  | 1.97 [1.34–2.88]    | 2013 | 1  |           |           |   |             |    |
|                                  |   |          |         |       |        |                     |      |  |           |           | • |             |    |
| Total [95% CI]                   |   | 2,215    |         | 5,829 | 100.0% | 2.68 [2.22-3.23]    |      |  |           |           |   |             |    |
| Total events                     | 251   |          | 287     |       |        |                     |      | -+   |           |           |   | <del></del> |    |
| Heterogeneity                    | χ <sup>2</sup> = 12.44; d.f. = 7 (p=0.09); l <sup>2</sup> = 44% |          |         |       |        |                     |      | 0.02   | 0.1       |           | 1 | 10          | 50 |
| Test for overall effect          | Z = 10.9 (p<  | 0.00001) |         |       |        |                     |      | Descendence descende like a la succession as successions |           |           |   |             |    |

MBG = myocardial blush grade; M-H = Mantel-Haenszel.

## Figure 3: Figures and Forest Plot of the Adjusted ORs of Myocardial Blush Grade 0/1 Versus 2/3 for Mortality

|                                  |  |        |        |            |        |                     |     |         |        | OR          |          |             |
|----------------------------------|--|--------|--------|------------|--------|---------------------|-----|---------|--------|-------------|----------|-------------|
|                                  | MB   | G 0/1  | MB     | G 2/3      |        | OR                  |     |         | M-H, f | ixed [95% ( | CI]      |             |
| Study or Subgroup                | Events   | Total  | Events | Total Weig | Weight | M-H, Fixed [95% CI] |     |         |        | +           |          |             |
| Brener et al. <sup>28</sup>      | 153  | 529    | 469    | 1838       | 55.2%  | 1.19 [0.96–1.47]    |     |         | _      |             |          |             |
| Constantino et al. <sup>14</sup> | 120  | 633    | 121    | 668        | 35.4%  | 1.06 [0.80–1.40]    |     |         |        | <b>—</b>    |          |             |
| Henriques et al. <sup>29</sup>   | 33   | 101    | 173    | 823        | 9.4%   | 1.82 [1.16–2.85]    |     |         |        |             |          |             |
| Total [95% CI]                   |  | 1,263  |        | 3,329      | 100.0% | 1.20 [1.02–1.41]    |     |         |        |             |          |             |
| Total events                     | 306  |        | 763    |            |        |                     | +   | +       |        | _           |          | <del></del> |
| Heterogeneity                    | χ <sup>2</sup> = 4.14; d.f. = 2 (p=0.13); l <sup>2</sup> = 52% |        |        |            |        |                     | 0.2 | 0.5     | 5      | 1           | 2        | 5           |
| Test for overall effect          | Z = 2.25 (p  | =0.02) |        |            |        |                     |     | Decreas | ed MA  | CE Increa   | sed MACE |             |

MACE = major adverse cardiovascular events; MBG = myocardial blush grade; M-H = Mantel-Haenszel.

measures and associations. Hence, there was a low risk of bias for possible confounding variables.

## Statistical Analysis and Reporting

Five of the included studies did not report adjusted OR for the association between MBG 0/1 and mortality.<sup>13,14,18,26,28</sup> Hence, these studies were determined to have an moderate/unclear risk of reporting bias.

To explore the effect of this potential bias, sensitivity analysis was done by performing a separate analysis using only the adjusted odds ratios of MBG 0/1 for mortality.

#### Certainty of evidence

As seen in *Supplementary Material Tables 5, 6 and 7* in the supplementary data, this systematic review and meta-analysis has a high overall certainty of evidence using the GRADE framework for the prognostic value of MBG 0/1 and MBG 3.

#### **Publication Bias**

There was no indication of publication bias among the studies after assessment with Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation (Kendall  $\tau$  0.122; p=0.464) and Egger's regression (p=0.285).

## Main Results of the Meta-Analysis

Pooled results of eight observation studies involving a total of 8,044 patients with STEMI were included in this meta-analysis. All included studies had an overall low risk of bias. With a high certainty of evidence, there was significant association between MBG 0/1 and all-cause mortality among patients with STEMI (unadjusted OR 2.68; 95% CI [2.22–3.23];  $\tau^2$  0.05;  $l^2$  44%; *Figure 2*).

After separately analysing only the adjusted OR of MBG 0/1 for mortality in a sensitivity analysis, the cumulative association of MBG with mortality was still significant (adjusted OR 2.29; 95% CI [1.97–2.67];  $\tau^2$  0.00;  $l^2$  0%; *Supplementary Material Figure 1*).

MBG also showed a significant association with MACE, defined as a composite of death, MI and ischaemia-driven revascularisation (OR 1.20; 95% CI [1.02–1.41];  $\tau^2$  0.02;  $l^2$  52%) with a high level of certainty of evidence (*Figure 3*).

An MBG of 2 (moderate myocardial blush) showed a strong association with survival compared to an MBG of 0/1 (HR 0.47; 95% CI [0.43–0.52];  $l^2$  94%) (*Figure 4*).

A stronger association with survival was observed with an MBG of 3 (HR 0.20; 95% CI [0.18–0.23];  $l^2$  89%; *Figure 5*). With a high level of certainty, this association was consistent after subgroup analysis for studies that included haemodynamically unstable patients (HR 0.35; 95% CI [0.28–0.44];  $l^2$  44%) and those that excluded them (HR 0.16; 95% CI [0.14–0.18];  $l^2$  20%) with a p-value for subgroup differences <0.001 at 95% CI.

## Discussion

After a systematic search and review of 5,031 articles, the researchers found no other meta-analysis examining the prognostic value of MBG for post-angioplasty ACS patients.

This study shows that an MBG of 0/1 with minimal to no myocardial perfusion has a robust negative prognostic value for all-cause mortality among patients with STEMI. A similar association was present between MBG 0/1 and MACE. The pathophysiologic mechanism behind poor perfusion despite adequate epicardial blood flow has been discussed in

## Figure 4: Forest Plot of Myocardial Blush Grade 0/1 Versus 2/3 for Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events

|                                |  |                                       |         | НР                 |          |     |               |                 |   |   |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------|--------------------|----------|-----|---------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Study or Subaroup              | Log (HR)                               | SE                                    | Weight  | IV. Fixed [95% CI] |          |     | HR IV, fixe   | d [95% CI]      |   |   |
| Included haemodyna             | amically unstable patier               | ts                                    | mongine |                    |          |     | , -           |                 |   | _ |
| Constantino et al.14           | -0.42                                  | 0.08                                  | 42.2%   | 0.66 [0.56-0.77]   |          |     | _             |                 |   |   |
| Stone et al.12                 | -0.09                                  | 0.25                                  | 4.3%    | 0.91[0.56-1.49]    |          |     |               |                 |   |   |
| Subtotal (95% CI)              |  |                                       | 46.5%   | 0.68 [0.58-0.79]   |          |     |               |                 |   |   |
| Heterogeneity                  | χ <sup>2</sup> = 1.58; d.f. = 1 (p=0.2 | 21); <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> = 37%      |         |                    |          |     | •             |                 |   |   |
| Test for overall<br>effect     | Z = 5.11 (p<0.0001)                    |                                       |         |                    |          |     |               |                 |   |   |
| Excluded haemodyn              | amically unstable patie                | nts                                   |         |                    |          |     |               |                 |   |   |
| Haager et al. <sup>25</sup>    | -0.34                                  | 0.21                                  | 6.1%    | 0.71 [0.47–1.07]   |          |     |               | -               |   |   |
| Henriques et al. <sup>29</sup> | -1.86                                  | 0.18                                  | 8.3%    | 0.16 [0.11–0.22]   |          |     |               |                 |   |   |
| Kampinga et al. <sup>10</sup>  | -0.83                                  | 0.1                                   | 27.0%   | 0.44 [0.36-0.53]   |          |     |               |                 |   |   |
| van't Hof et al. <sup>11</sup> | -1.38                                  | 0.15                                  | 12.0%   | 0.25 [0.19-0.34]   |          |     |               |                 |   |   |
| Subtotal [95% CI]              |  |                                       | 53.5%   | 0.35 [0.30-0.40]   |          |     |               |                 |   |   |
| Heterogeneity                  | χ <sup>2</sup> = 41.35; d.f. = 3 (p<0  | 0.00001); <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> = 93% |         |                    |          |     | •             |                 |   |   |
| Test for overall<br>effect     | Z = 14.89 (p<0.00001)                  |                                       |         |                    |          |     |               |                 |   |   |
| Total [95% CI]                 |  |                                       | 100.0%  | 0.47 [0.43-0.52]   |          |     | •             |                 |   |   |
| Heterogeneity                  | x <sup>2</sup> = 84.10; d.f. = 5 (p<   | 0.00001); <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> = 94% |         |                    | <u> </u> |     |               |                 |   | _ |
| Test for overall<br>effect     | Z = 14.37 (p<0.00001)                  |                                       |         |                    | 0.1      | 0.2 | 0.5 1         | 2               | 5 |   |
| Test for subgroup di           | fferences: $\chi^2 = 41.17$ ; d.f.     | = 1 (p<0.00001); l <sup>2</sup> = 9   | 7.6%    |                    |          |     | Favours MBG 2 | Favours MBG 0/1 |   |   |

IV = instrumental variable; MBG = myocardial blush grade.

## Figure 5: Forest Plot of Myocardial Blush Grade 3 Versus 0/1 for Survival



IV = instrumental variable

several studies as secondary to distal microthromboembolism that prevents myocardial perfusion after angioplasty.<sup>7,29–31</sup> Unfortunately, there is currently no established treatment or prevention for this phenomenon but pharmacological and catheter-based approaches have been proposed.<sup>32,33</sup>

This meta-analysis also shows that an MBG of 2 or 3 is a strong positive prognostic marker for survival at follow-up of at least 12 months. These findings emphasise the need to ascertain myocardial perfusion status after angioplasty and support the relevance of determining MBG as a surrogate marker for predicting long-term survival among STEMI patients after angioplasty. This should be taken into account along with other markers of long-term survival, such as ischaemic time, infarct size and thrombotic burden.

#### Limitations

Since majority of the included articles did not publish numerical data for effect measures, the unadjusted HRs were indirectly obtained from

Kaplan-Meier curves using the methods by Tierney et al.<sup>21</sup> Another limitation of this study is that the search for articles was limited to research databases, so relevant but unpublished articles presented at scientific conferences may have been missed.

## **Clinical Perspective**

- This study shows that myocardial blush grade (MBG) is robustly associated with patient outcomes. Hence, management guidelines may consider MBG as an additional angiographic parameter to define angiographic success.
- By determining MBG, clinicians can also better prognosticate patients and decide on management plans to improve long-term patient outcomes.
- Future studies may consider using MBG to evaluate the efficacy of treatment modalities that focus on the prevention and treatment of poor myocardial reperfusion after primary angioplasty.

Lastly, MBG is a surrogate marker for survival and various other factors also contribute to a patient's long-term outcomes, such as prolonged ischaemia time, infarct size and thrombotic burden.

#### **Recommendations**

We recommend further studies to determine the usefulness of MBG assessment for unstable angina/non-STEMI patients since the data gathered in this study was not enough to draw a definite conclusion. Furthermore, modifications of MBG to make the assessment of myocardial perfusion more quantitative have also been suggested. Hence, studies

- WHO. Global health estimates: life expectancy and leading causes of death and disability. WHO, 2020. https://www. who.int/data/gho/data/themes/mortality-and-global-healthestimates (accessed 6 June 2022).
- Nowbar AN, Gitto M, Howard JP, et al. Mortality from ischemic heart disease: analysis of data from the World Health Organization and coronary artery disease risk factors from NCD risk factor collaboration. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2019;12:e005375. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCOUTCOMES.118.005375; PMID: 31163980.
- Jayaraj JC, Davatyan K, Subramanian SS, Priya J. Epidemiology of myocardial infarction. In: Pamukçu B. Myocardial Infarction. IntechOpen, 2018. https://doi. org/10.5772/intechopen.74768.
- Brogan RA, Alabas O, Almudarra S, et al. Relative survival and excess mortality following primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2019;8:68–77. https://doi. org/10.1177/2048872617710790; PMID: 28691534.
- Yang LMD, Cong HMD, Lu YMS, et al. Prediction of no-reflow phenomenon in patients treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Medicine 2020;99:e20152. https://doi.org/10.1097/ MD.0000000000020152: PMID: 32590726.
- Van 't Hof AWJ, Zijlstra F. The success of primary angioplasty: beyond TIMI flow. Summary of a presentation held at acute cardiac care meeting in Paris, October 2008. *Acute Card Care* 2009;11:66–8. https://doi.
- org/10.1080/17482940902913399; PMID: 19452340.
  Topol EJ, Yadav JS. Recognition of the importance of embolization in atherosclerotic vascular disease. *Circulation* 2000;101:570–80. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.101.5.570; PMID: 10662756.
- Yamada DM, Topol EJ. Importance of microembolization and inflammation in atherosclerotic heart disease. *Am Heart J* 2000;140(Suppl):S90–102. https://doi.org/10.1067/ mhj.2000.111611; PMID: 11100003.
- Mukherjee D, Moliterno DJ. Achieving tissue-level perfusion in the setting of acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 2000;85:39c–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9149(00)00818-3; PMID: 10793179.
- Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/ SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. *Circulation* 2011;124:2574–609. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIR.0b013e31823a5596; PMID: 22064598.
- van 't Hof AW, Liem A, Suryapranata H, et al. Angiographic assessment of myocardial reperfusion in patients treated with primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction: myocardial blush grade. Zwolle Myocardial Infarction Study Group. *Circulation* 1998;97:2302–6. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.

cir.97.23.2302; PMID: 9639373.

- Stone GW, Peterson MA, Lansky AJ, et al. Impact of normalized myocardial perfusion after successful angioplasty in acute myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;39:591–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0735-1097(01)01779-x; PMID: 11849856.
- Henriques JPS, Zijlstra F, van' t Hof AWJ, et al. Angiographic assessment of reperfusion in acute myocardial infarction by myocardial blush grade. *Circulation* 2003;107:2115–9. https:// doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.0000065221.06430.ED; PMID: 12695301.
- Constantino CO, Stone GW, Mehran R, et al. Frequency, correlates, and clinical implications of myocardial perfusion after primary angioplasty and stenting, with and without glycoprotein IIb/Illa inhibition, in acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;44:305–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jacc.2004.03.058; PMID: 15261923.
- Porto I, Burzotta F, Brancati M, et al. Relation of myocardial blush grade to microvascular perfusion and myocardial infarct size after primary or rescue percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am J Cardiol* 2007;99:1671–3. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2007.01.045; PMID: 17560873.
- Marra MP, Corbetti F, Cacciavillani L, et al. Relationship between myocardial blush grades, staining, and severe microvascular damage after primary percutaneous coronary interventio:n a study performed with contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance in a large consecutive series of patients. *Am Heart J* 2010;159:1124–32. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ahj.2010.03.007; PMID: 20569729.
- Rasoul S, Dambrink JH, Breeman A, et al. The relation between myocardial blush grade and myocardial contrast echocardiography: which one is a better predictor of myocardial damage? *Neth Heart J* 2010;18:25–30; PMID: 20111640.
- Yusuf J, Das D, Mukhopadhyay S, Tyagi S. Correlation of QRS duration with myocardial blush grade as a marker of myocardial reperfusion in primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Indian Heart J* 2018;70(Suppl3):S359–64. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ihi.2018.10.412; PMID: 30595289.
- Kampinga MA, Nijsten MW, Gu YL, et al. Is the myocardial blush grade scored by the operator during primary percutaneous coronary intervention of prognostic value in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction in routine clinical practice? *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2010;3:216–23. https:// doi.org/10.1161/circinterventions.109.916247. PMID: 2044/2359.
- Riley RD, Moons KGM, Snell KIE, et al. A guide to systematic review and meta-analysis of prognostic factor studies. *BMJ* 2019;364:k4597. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k4597; PMID: 30700442
- Tierney JF, Stewart LA, Ghersi D, et al. Practical methods for incorporating summary time-to-event data into metaanalysis. *Trials* 2007;8:16. https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6215-8-16; PMID: 17555582.

comparing the reproducibility using these methods would also be prudent. We also recommend that interventional studies addressing no reflow after angioplasty to target a MBG of 3 or its equivalent are carried out, since this is strongly associated with increased long-term survival.

## Conclusion

This systematic review and meta-analysis shows that a post-angioplasty MBG of 0/1 is a strong negative prognostic marker for mortality and MACE and, on the other side of the spectrum, an MBG of 2 or 3 is strong positive prognostic marker for survival among STEMI patients.

- Liu Z, Rich B, Hanley JA. Recovering the raw data behind a non-parametric survival curve. *Syst Rev* 2014;3:151. https:// doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-3-151; PMID: 25551437.
- Wei Y, Royston P. Reconstructing time-to-event data from published Kaplan-Meier curves. STATA J 2017;17:786–802; PMID: 29398980.
- Huguet A, Hayden JA, Stinson J, et al. Judging the quality of evidence in reviews of prognostic factor research: adapting the GRADE framework. *Syst Rev* 2013;2:71. https://doi. org/10.1186/2046-4053-2-71; PMID: 24007720.
- Haager PK, Christott P, Heussen N, et al. Prediction of clinical outcome after mechanical revascularization in acute myocardial infarction by markers of myocardial reperfusion. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:532–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0735-1097(02)02870-x; PMID: 12598061.
- Kaya MG, Arslan F, Abaci A, et al. Myocardial blush grade: a predictor for major adverse cardiac events after primary PTCA with stent implantation for acute myocardial infarction. *Acta Cardiol* 2007;62:445–51. https://doi.org/10.2143/ AC.62.5.2023406; PMID: 17982964.
- Hayden JA, van der Windt DA, Cartwright JL, et al. Assessing bias in studies of prognostic factors. Ann Intern Med 2013;158:280–6. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-158-4-201302190-00009; PMID: 23420236.
- Brener SJ, Dizon JM, Mehran R, et al. Complementary prognostic utility of myocardial blush grade and ST-segment resolution after primary percutaneous coronary intervention: analysis from the HORIZONS-AMI trial. *Am Heart J* 2013;166:676–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2013.07.025; PMID: 24093847.
- Henriques JP, Zijlstra F, Ottervanger JP, et al. Incidence and clinical significance of distal embolization during primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J* 2002;23:1112–7. https://doi.org/10.1053/euhj.2001.3035; PMID: 12090749.
- Kotani J, Nanto S, Mintz GS, et al. Plaque gruel of atheromatous coronary lesion may contribute to the no-reflow phenomenon in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Circulation* 2002;106:1672–7. https://doi. org/10.1161/01.circ.0000030189.27175.4e; PMID: 12270861.
- Frink RJ, Rooney PA Jr, Trowbridge JO, Rose JP. Coronary thrombosis and platelet/fibrin microemboli in death associated with acute myocardial infarction. *Br Heart J* 1988;59:196–200. https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.59.2.196; PMID: 3342160.
- De Caterina AR, Porto I, Luigi De Maria G, Banning AP. Prevention and treatment of coronary distal embolization in the setting of acute myocardial infarction: pharmacologic approach. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 2012;10:463–7. https://doi. org/10.2174/157016112800812809; PMID: 22339253.
- Porto I, De Maria GL, Pieroni M, et al. Mechanisms, prevention and treatment of distal embolization. *Curr Pharm Des* 2013;19:4576–85. https://doi.org/10.2174/13816128113192 50006; PMID: 23270552.