IGF-IR Promotes Prostate Cancer Growth by Stabilizing $\alpha_5\beta_1$ Integrin Protein Levels

Aejaz Sayeed, Carmine Fedele, Marco Trerotola[¤], Kirat K. Ganguly, Lucia R. Languino*

Department of Cancer Biology, Prostate Cancer Discovery and Development Program, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

Abstract

Dynamic crosstalk between growth factor receptors, cell adhesion molecules and extracellular matrix is essential for cancer cell migration and invasion. Integrins are transmembrane receptors that bind extracellular matrix proteins and enable cell adhesion and cytoskeletal organization. They also mediate signal transduction to regulate cell proliferation and survival. The type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGF-IR) mediates tumor cell growth, adhesion and inhibition of apoptosis in several types of cancer. We have previously demonstrated that β_1 integrins regulate anchorage-independent growth of prostate cancer (PrCa) cells by regulating IGF-IR expression and androgen receptor-mediated transcriptional functions. Furthermore, we have recently reported that IGF-IR regulates the expression of β_1 integrins in PrCa cells. We have dissected the mechanism through which IGF-IR regulates β_1 integrin expression in PrCa. Here we report that IGF-IR is crucial for PrCa cell growth and that β_1 integrins contribute to the regulation of proliferation by IGF-IR. We demonstrate that β_1 integrin regulation by IGF-IR does not occur at the mRNA level. Exogenous expression of a CD4 - β_1 integrin cytoplasmic domain chimera does not interfere with such regulation and fails to stabilize β_1 integrin expression in the absence of IGF-IR. This appears to be due to the lack of interaction between the β_1 cytoplasmic domain and IGF-IR. We demonstrate that IGF-IR stabilizes the β_1 subunit by protecting it from proteasomal degradation. The α_5 subunit, one of the binding partners of β_1 , is also downregulated along with β_1 upon IGF-IR knockdown while no change is observed in the expression of the α_2 , α_3 , α_4 , α_6 and α_7 subunits. Our results reveal a crucial mechanistic role for the $\alpha_5\beta_1$ integrin, downstream of IGF-IR, in regulating cancer growth.

Citation: Sayeed A, Fedele C, Trerotola M, Ganguly KK, Languino LR (2013) IGF-IR Promotes Prostate Cancer Growth by Stabilizing $\alpha_5\beta_1$ Integrin Protein Levels. PLoS ONE 8(10): e76513. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0076513

Editor: Natasha Kyprianou, University of Kentucky College of Medicine, United States of America

Received July 3, 2013; Accepted August 23, 2013; Published October 9, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Sayeed et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: Contract grant sponsor: NIH; Contract grant number: R01 CA-89720 and CA-109874 (to LRL), P01 CA-140043 (to LRL); American Cancer Society (ACS)-IRG-08-060-04 (to AS); Contract grant sponsor: Pennsylvania Department of Health. This project is also funded, in part, under a Commonwealth University Research Enhancement Program grant with the Pennsylvania Department of Health (H.R.). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: Dr. Languino, the corresponding author, is an editorial board member for PLOS ONE. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

* E-mail: lucia.languino@jefferson.edu

¤ Current address: Ce.S.I. - University of Chieti-Pescara, via colle dell'Ara, Chieti Scalo, Italy

Introduction

Adhesion of cells to extracellular matrix (ECM) is primarily mediated by integrins and is crucial for cell growth and survival. Integrins are heterodimeric transmembrane receptors, consisting of α and β subunits, that are non-covalently associated; they physically link the ECM to the intracellular actin cytoskeleton but are also able to transduce signals bidirectionally across the plasma membrane [1]. By binding to ECM ligands, integrins are activated and able to regulate cellular functions by initiating intracellular cascades of signaling. So far, 24 integrin heterodimers, 18 α and 8 β subunits and five β_1 variantsubunits $\beta_1A\beta_1B\beta_1C\beta_1C-2$ and β_1D , generated by alternative splicing, have been described [2][3]. Integrins are critical regulators of growth, differentiation, survival, migration and invasion [4,5]. It has been reported that progression of prostate cancer (PrCa) to advanced stages is associated with changes in integrin expression profiles [6,7,8].

The pathways of integrin and growth factor signaling are thought to be mechanistically linked because cell adhesion to ECM is crucial for cells to respond to certain growth factors [9]. Growth factor signaling can disrupt focal adhesions, the presumed sites of integrin-mediated signaling [9] and consequently modulate integrin-mediated cell adhesion and motility. Physical and functional interactions between integrins and components of growth factor signaling pathways, including insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) or its downstream signaling proteins [10,11], have been reported. Our laboratory has demonstrated that β_1 integrins selectively modulate type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGF-IR)-mediated signaling and functions in PrCa [10,12]. IGF-1 has also been reported to induce adhesion and migration in human multiple myeloma cells partly via activation of β_1 integrins [13]. Furthermore, constitutively active β_1 integrins promote malignant phenotype in PrCa cells and targeting them was reported to inhibit PrCa metastasis [14].

IGF-1 is a single chain polypeptide that in addition to its more classical endocrine role, mediates autocrine or paracrine growth and thus acts as a potent growth and survival factor. IGF-1 elicits its actions on cells by binding to its receptor, IGF-IR. The IGF-IR is a heterotetrameric transmembrane glycoprotein with tyrosine kinase activity [15]. The insulin receptor substrate (IRS) proteins function as specific docking proteins for IGF-IR and insulin receptor (IR) [16]. IRS1 and IRS2 do not contain intrinsic kinase

activity but rather function by recruiting proteins to surface receptors, where they assemble signaling complexes. Signaling from the IRS proteins results in the activation of pathways including phosphatidyl inositol-3 kinase (PI3K) and mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK) [17,18]. Interestingly, both pathways are also known to be activated by integrin engagement [19,20]. The association between integrins and IRS1 has been suggested as a possible mechanism for synergistic action of growth factor and extracellular matrix receptors [21]. IGF-1 signaling has been reported to be regulated by a negative feedback mechanism via ubiquitin/proteasome mediated degradation of IRS2, whereby the magnitude and duration of the response to insulin or IGF-1 is regulated [22]. Our laboratory has recently demonstrated that β_1 integrins regulate IGF-IR expression and are critical for IGF-1mediated enhancement of androgen receptor (AR) activity [23]. We have also reported that IGF-IR tightly regulates β_1 integrin expression in PrCa cells [24] but the mechanism underlying this regulation is not yet characterized.

Despite the limited consensus regarding the levels of IGF-IR expression in benign and malignant prostate epithelium, several clinical trials targeting the IGF-IR in different tumors, including PrCa, are underway. Identifying and understanding the downstream effectors of IGF-IR would help in better defining the functional role of the IGF-1 axis in PrCa. Given the reported evidence of strong physical and functional interaction between β_1 integrins and IGF-IR, this study investigated the mechanism through which IGF-IR regulates β_1 integrins. We report a novel pathway of crosstalk between IGF-IR and β_1 integrins, which promotes cancer cell proliferation, and demonstrate that IGF-IR stabilizes $\alpha_5\beta_1$ integrin by protecting it from proteasomal degradation.

Materials and Methods

Reagents and antibodies

The following reagents were used. Opti-Mem and oligofectamine (all from Invitrogen, CA), synthetic androgen R1881 (Perkin-Elmer, CA), proteinase inhibitors (Sigma, MO), recombinant IGF-1 (R&D Systems, MN), MG132 and epoxomicin (Sigma, MO). The following murine monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) were used: to β_1 integrins (BD Transduction Laboratories, CA), to IGF-IR for flow cytometry (α IR-3, EMD, NJ); to α_2 integrin (Abcam, Cambridge, UK); to α_7 integrin (8G2, EMD, NJ). Rat mAb to CD4 was purchased from Santa Cruz, CA. The following rabbit polyclonal antibodies (pAbs) were used: to IGF-IR (IGF-IR-β sc713), to AKT and to ERK1/2 (from Santa Cruz, CA); to survivin (Novus Biologicals, CO). Rabbit pAbs to α_3 , α_4 , and α_5 specific for the C-terminus domain of each subunit, were a kind gift from Dr. E. Ruoslahti, University of California Santa Barbara, Sanford-Burnham Medical Research Institute, CA. The α_6 Ab (AA6A) specific for the C-terminal domain of human α_6 integrin was a kind gift from Dr. Anne Cress, University of Arizona, AZ. SiRNA oligonucleotides used in this report have been described before [24].

Cells

LNCaP and C4-2B cells were purchased from ATCC. Cells were grown at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 5% FBS and 1% each of sodium pyruvate, HEPES and nonessential amino acids. To evaluate the effect of agonists, after transfection cells were starved with 2% charcoal-stripped serum (CSS) containing medium for 24 h followed by ligand stimulation for additional 24 h. PC3 cells were grown at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS. PC3-Ch1, PC3-Ch2 and PC3-Ch β_1 C cells used for inducible expression of chimeric constructs have been described earlier [25,26]. Cells were serumstarved for 24 h and treated with 75 μ M ZnSO₄ for 6 h. The Ch1 chimeric construct contains the extracellular domain of murine CD4 and the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains of the β_1 A integrin; Ch β_1 C construct (used as a control) is same as Ch1 except that β_1 A integrin-coding region is replaced by β_1 C coding region. Ch2 construct represents another control and carries the extracellular domain of murine CD4 joined to the transmembrane domain of the β_1 integrin subunit. All the constructs are expressed under the control of the mouse metallothionein-1 promoter and the expression of chimeric variants is induced upon addition of ZnSO₄ to the growth medium.

Transient siRNA transfection

Transient transfection of cells with siRNA oligonucleotides was performed as described [23]. Inverted-IGF-IR siRNA having an inverse target sequence of IGF-IR siRNA served as control.

Proliferation assay

LNCaP and C4-2B cells were transiently transfected with control or IGF-IR siRNA. Twenty four h post-transfection, cells were trypsinized and analyzed for the efficiency of IGF-IR and β_1 integrin downregulation. Transfected cells were counted and replated in triplicates in 6-well plates at 3×10^4 cells per well in 2% CSS-containing medium in presence of 1 nM R1881. Live cells were counted for next three consecutive days by haemocytometer. Pictures of live cells were taken on day 2 and 3 before being harvested for counting.

Anchorage-independent growth assay

LNCaP cells were plated and transfected with control or IGF-IR siRNA in combination with either vector alone, pBJ1, or a pBJ1- β_1 construct [27]. Twenty four h later, cells were trypsinized and plated in soft-agar in 6-well dishes at 5,000 cells/well. The cells were allowed to grow for two weeks and colonies counted. The colony size was measured by using an eyepiece equipped with a measuring reticle and colonies with size of 0.1 mm were counted in different samples. The colonies were fixed and stained with crystal violet and images of colonies were captured by stereo microscope.

Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting

Immunoprecipitation of PC3 cells was carried out as described earlier [28]. Cell lysates were used for immunoblotting as described [12]. To analyze PC3 cell lysates transfected with chimeric constructs, PC3-Ch1 and PC3-Ch2 cells were transfected with control or IGF-IR siRNA and 24 h later, cells were grown in serum-free medium for 24 h followed by treatment with 75 μ M ZnSO₄ for 6 h and then, harvested for immunoblotting. The intensity of each band was evaluated by ImageJ analysis and normalized with loading control.

FACS analysis

PC3-Ch1 and PC3-Ch2 cells were treated as above and harvested for FACS analysis. The cells were stained with 1 μ g/ml Ab to CD4 or rat IgG as negative control, followed by staining with FITC-conjugated secondary Ab. Expression profiles were acquired using FACS Calibur instrument (BD) and data were analyzed by Flowjo software (Tree Star Inc., OR).

Proteasomal inhibition assay

LNCaP cells were transfected with control or IGF-IR siRNA and 24 h later, cells were starved in 2% CSS-containing medium for 24 h. Cells were treated with 1 nM R1881 with or without 10 μM MG132 for 6 h. PC3-2 cells were transfected in the same manner as LNCaP cells and 24 h after transfection treated with 10 μM MG132 for either 6 or 24 h and analyzed by immunoblotting. For specific inhibition of the proteasome function using epoxomicin, LNCaP cells were transfected as above, starved with 2% CSS-containing medium for 24 h, followed by treatment with 1 nM R1881 together with 0, 100, 250 or 500 nM epoxomicin for 18 h and harvested. Lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting. Relative band intensities of β_1 integrin subunits

Quantitative real time PCR

Real time PCR analysis was performed as described earlier [23]. Each reaction was carried out, at least in triplicate; standard deviations and significance were calculated using Excel (Microsoft) software. The sequences of oligos used are as follows: β_1 integrin, (sense: CTCAAGCCAGAGGATATTAC, antisense: TCATT-GAGTAAGACAGGTCC), IGF-IR, sense: AATGAGTGCTGC-CACCCCGA, antisense: ACACAGCGCCAGCCCTCAAA), GAPDH, (sense:GGGAAGGTGAAGGTGAAGGTCGGAGT, antisense: GTTCTCAGCCTTGACGGTGC), β -actin, (sense: TCCAT CATGAAGTGTGACGT, antisense: GGAGGAGCAATGATCT TGAT).

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance (P value and t-test) between datasets was calculated using Excel (Microsoft) software. A two-sided P value of ≤ 0.02 was considered statistically significant. The results were plotted on a graph using DeltaGraph 4.5 (RockWare) software.

Results

Loss of IGF-IR and β_1 integrins inhibits proliferation of PrCa cells

We have previously demonstrated that β_1 integrins are crucial for IGF-IR-mediated c ancer cell proliferation [10]. Since IGF-IR tightly regulates β_1 integrin expression, we evaluated the direct effect of IGF-IR depletion on cell proliferation. LNCaP and C4-2B cells were transiently depleted of IGF-IR and re-plated in 2% CSS-containing medium in the presence of 1 nM synthetic androgen (R1881). Loss of IGF-IR strikingly inhibits cell proliferation in both cell lines (*P<0.02) (Fig. 1A, top panels). Reduced expression of IGF-IR and β_1 integrin subunits for both cell lines was confirmed by immunoblotting (Fig. 1A, lower panels). R1881 was used to enhance the expression levels of IGF-IR and β_1 and to augment the effects of these receptors on proliferation. Significant effects on cell proliferation were also observed in the absence of R1881 in LNCaP and C4-2B cells after IGF-IR depletion (data not shown). Reduced cell density in culture conditions is clearly observed upon analysis of C4-2B cells with reduced IGF-IR and β_1 levels compared to cells with endogenous expression of both receptors (Fig. 1B). Representative cell density images of day 2 and day 3 proliferation assays are shown. These data show that IGF-IR and β_1 integrins are essential for proliferation of PrCa cells.

Exogenous expression of the β_1 integrin subunit restores the impaired anchorage-independent growth of PrCa cells upon IGF-IR downregulation

The findings that IGF-IR regulates β_1 integrin expression and that abrogation of IGF- IR compromised the growth of cancer cells, prompted us to investigate whether β_1 integrins play a role in IGF-IR-mediated growth regulation. In order to determine if β_1



Figure 1. Loss of IGF-IR and β_1 integrins inhibits proliferation of PrCa cells. (A) LNCaP and C4-2B cells were transfected with either control siRNA or IGF-IR siRNA and 24 h later, cells were trypsinized and counted. Cells were replated in triplicates at 3×10^5 cells per well in 6-well plates with 2% CSS-containing medium in the presence of 1 nM R1881, harvested and counted at day 1, 2 and 3 after re-plating. Each experimental assay was carried out in triplicates and error bars represent standard deviation from three independent values (^{*}P<0.01), relative to respective control siRNA treatments. A parallel set of LNCaP and C4-2B cell lysates was analyzed for efficiency of IGF-IR and β_1 integrin subunit downregulation by immunoblotting (lower panels). (B) Representative images of relative C4-2B cell densities on day 2 and day 3 are shown.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0076513.g001

integrin expression would reverse the inhibition of anchorageindependent growth induced by IGF-IR depletion, LNCaP cells were transfected with IGF-IR siRNA, with or without β_1 integrin cDNA, and allowed to grow and form colonies in soft-agar for two weeks. IGF-IR depletion significantly reduces the growth of colonies in soft-agar (**P<0.01) (Fig. 2). Exogenous expression of the β_1 subunit however, partially alleviates the growth suppression induced by IGF-IR knockdown as measured by the number of colonies with size $\geq 100 \ \mu m$ (*P<0.02). Representative images of live colonies were captured on an inverted microscope and are shown in the lower panel (Fig. 2). These data underscore the role of β_1 integrins in IGF-IR-mediated regulation of growth in PrCa cells.

IGF-IR regulation of β_1 integrin expression does not occur at the mRNA level

Cooperative effect of these receptors on growth of cancer cells led us to investigate the mechanism by which IGF-IR regulates β_1 expression. We have demonstrated earlier that IGF-IR regulates the expression of β_1 integrin subunits in PrCa cells [24]. This regulation may occur at the transcriptional, post-transcriptional, translational or post-translational levels. mRNA regulation of β_1 integrins by IGF-IR was analyzed in LNCaP cells by reducing the expression of IGF-IR by RNA interference, followed by treatment with R1881 and/or IGF-1. Real time analyses of mRNA transcripts indicate that IGF-IR mRNA is induced 8-fold upon R1881 and 2.5-fold upon IGF-1 treatment (Fig. 3). However, no significant changes in β_1 integrin mRNA levels are detected upon both treatments. Depletion of IGF-IR expression results in fourfold reduction of IGF-IR mRNA after ligand treatments. The data indicate that β_1 integrin transcript levels do not change significantly upon IGF-IR knockdown. Analysis of GAPDH expression profile in this experiment served as an additional reference control. The results clearly indicate that IGF-IR does not regulate β_1 integrin subunits at the mRNA level.



Figure 2. Exogenous expression of β_1 integrins rescues the impaired anchorage-independent growth in absence of IGF-IR. LNCaP cells were co-transfected with either control or IGF-IR siRNA and with either the pBJ1- β_1 construct or the control vector pBJ1. Cells were plated in soft-agar and allowed to grow for 2 weeks. The size of the colonies was measured using an inverted microscope equipped with an eyepiece containing a 25 mm reticle and total colonies with the size $\geq 100 \ \mu m$ were counted. The numbers shown in the graph represent the average counts from three independent samples (^{*}P<0.02; ^{**}P<0.001). Representative images of live colonies reflecting variation in colony size with or without exogenous β_1 are shown in the lower panels. The measuring bars represent a size of 100 $\ \mu m$. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0076513.g002



Figure 3. IGF-IR-mediated regulation of β_1 integrin expression does not occur at the mRNA level. LNCaP cells were transfected with either control or IGF-IR siRNA. Twenty four h later, cells were grown in medium containing 2% CSS for additional 24 h and treated with vehicle, 1 nM R1881 or 100 ng/ml IGF-1 for additional 24 h. RNA isolated from these cells was evaluated for transcript levels of IGF-IR, β_1 integrin and GAPDH using quantitative real time PCR. Expression values were normalized over transcript levels of β -actin and the data are presented as relative expression. Each reaction was run in triplicate and error bars represent standard deviation (*P<0.01) relative to untreated samples.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0076513.g003

Inducible expression of CD4- β_{1A} integrin cytoplasmic domain chimera does not protect the endogenous β_1 integrin subunit from degradation induced by IGF-IR depletion

To explore whether the exogenous expression of the cytoplasmic domain of β_1A alters IGF-IR-mediated regulation of endogenous β_1 integrin subunits, chimeric constructs composed of transmembrane and cytoplasmic domain of $\beta_1 A$ integrin with CD4 extracellular domain (Ch1) were used. The cytoplasmic domain of the β_1 subunit exists in five different spliced forms; the most widely expressed form in cancer, $\beta_1 A$, regulates β_1 localization, cell proliferation and migration [2]. We speculated that binding of the cytoplasmic domain of β_1 integrins to the IGF-IR would lead to some competition for binding of IGF-IR to different forms of β_1 and result in a β_1 protective effect under depleted IGF-IR conditions. Expression of the Ch1 chimera (Ch1 cells), or Ch2 chimera, which corresponds to the transmembrane domain of β_1 plus the CD4 extracellular domain (Ch2 cells), in PC3 cells was induced by ZnSO₄ treatment. IGF-IR depletion in stably transfected cells was confirmed by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 4A, top left panel). Induction of the cytoplasmic β_1 variant was confirmed by FACS (Fig. 4A, lower panels). Upon induction, IGF-IR would be expected to redistribute and bind to both the endogenous β_1 and exogenous cytoplasmic variant. Exogenous induction of the β_1 cytoplasmic domain, however, does not alter the IGF-IR-mediated regulation of endogenous β_1 integrin levels (Fig 4A, top right panel). In order to investigate if the $\beta_1 A$ cytoplasmic variant physically interacts with the IGF-IR, immunoprecipitation of CD4 in PC3-Ch1 and PC3-Ch β_1 C cells (stably transfected with cytoplasmic domain of β_1 C integrin plus the CD4 extracellular domain [29]) was carried out after incubating the cells with ZnSO₄. The

Enhanced proteasomal degradation of β_1 integrin subunits in the absence of IGF-IR

After demonstrating that IGF-IR does not regulate β_1 integrin transcripts, we sought to determine whether IGF-IR-mediated regulation of β_1 integrin levels would occur at post-translational level. Transient depletion of IGF-IR in LNCaP cells was followed by R1881 treatment alone or in combination with a proteasome inhibitor, MG132, for 6 h. R1881 was used to enhance the basal expression levels of IGF-IR and β_1 integrin subunit as reported by our group earlier [23] and cell lysates were analyzed. The reduction of β_1 integrin levels induced by IGF-IR depletion was abolished upon cell treatment with this proteasome inhibitor (Fig. 5A). The results of this experiment were confirmed in PC3 cells after transfection with either control siRNA or IGF-IR siRNA followed by treatment with MG132 for either 6 or 24 h (Fig. 5B). We additionally corroborated these results by using different doses of epoxomicin, another highly specific proteasome inhibitor [30]. LNCaP cells were transfected with either control or IGF-IR siRNA as above and treated with increasing concentrations of epoxomicin. β_1 integrin subunits are present in either precursor or



Figure 4. Exogenous CD4 - β_1 integrin cytoplasmic domain chimera does not influence the IGF-IR-mediated regulation of the endogenous β_1 . (A) PC3-Ch1 (expressing extracellular domain of murine CD4 and the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains of β_1) and control PC3-Ch2 cells (expressing the extracellular domain of murine CD4 joined to the transmembrane domain of the β_1) were transfected with either control or IGF-IR siRNA. Twenty-four h post transfection, cells were harvested to evaluate the efficiency of IGF-IR knockdown (top left panels). PC3-Ch1 and PC3-Ch2 cells were starved in serum-free medium for 24 h and where indicated, induced with 75 μ M ZnSO₄ for additional 6 h to promote the expression of chimeric proteins. Cells were harvested for analysis of β_1 subunit expression (top right panels). A parallel set of samples was processed to confirm the inducible expression of the chimeras by FACS analysis using an Ab to CD4 (lower panels). 10,000 cells in each sample were acquired and data are shown in histograms with the x-axis representing mean relative CD4 expression and the y-axis representing the number of cells. (B) PC3-Ch1 and PC3-Ch β_1 (control cells expressing extracellular domain of murine CD4 and the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains of the β_1 C) incubated with 75 μ M ZnSO₄ to induce the expression of the cytoplasmic domain of β_1 A or β_1 C integrins, respectively. Lysates were immunoprecipitated with either control IgG or Ab against chimeric CD4 domains. Immunocomplexes were analyzed for IGF-IR expression by informal. Jpone.0076513.q004

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

mature forms (110 and 130 kD respectively) and both are downregulated upon IGF-IR loss. The data show that epoxomicin blocks the degradation of the mature form of the β_1 integrin subunit (Fig. 5C). The mature β_1 receptor alone appears to follow proteasomal degradation after internalization. The precursor form of β_1 (110 kD) which needs further post-translational modifications to undergo maturation is not recovered by proteasomal inhibition. The data show that IGF-IR stabilizes β_1 integrin subunit expression by inhibiting its proteasomal degradation.

Analysis of α integrin subunits upon IGF-IR downregulation

Integrins are heterodimers consisting of α and β subunits. There are 24 possible heterodimers with the ability to activate specific signaling pathways [19]. β_1 integrins, among other subunits, are known to heterodimerize with $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 3$, $\alpha 4$, $\alpha 5$, $\alpha 6$ and $\alpha 7$ integrin subunits, which are expressed in LNCaP cells. Since reduction of IGF-IR expression levels leads to the downregulation of the β_1 integrin subunit, we decided to determine which α integrin subunit was affected by IGF-IR downregulation. We demonstrate a significant reduction of the α 5 integrin subunit in conjunction with reduced IGF-IR and β_1 levels (Fig. 6). No change was detected in the expression levels of other α integrin heterodimeric partners (Fig. 6 and data not shown). These results are consistent with our previous observations in PC3 cells where abrogation of β_1 integrins by shRNA led to a significant reduction in the surface expression of $\alpha 5$ integrin subunit [3]. Our data demonstrate that the major complex regulated by IGF-IR is $\alpha 5\beta_1$ integrin.

Discussion

This study describes a novel observation that IGF-IR functions in PrCa cells are partially mediated by β_1 integrins. To dissect the mechanism by which IGF-IR regulates β_1 integrin expression, we demonstrate that IGF-IR enhances β_1 integrin stability by reducing its proteasomal degradation. We also show that the α_5 integrin subunit associated with β_1 is selectively downregulated upon IGF-IR loss.

Substantial epidemiological and preclinical data have identified the IGF-IR pathway as an important regulator of tumor cell biology. The disappointing results, however, from several clinical trials aimed to inhibit IGF-IR, are prompting researchers to develop predictive biomarkers to improve patient selection that would benefit from therapies targeting IGF-IR. Moreover, a clearer understanding of relative proportions of IGF-IR and IR complexes in tumors is necessary. In this regard, tyrosine kinase inhibitors specific for both the IGF-IR and IR could address the concern that increased IR signaling occurs upon IGF-IR inhibition [31]. Interestingly, a combination of IGF-IR and MEK inhibitors was recently reported to result in significant inhibition of K-Ras-mutant lung cancer lines and also improve effectiveness in two mouse models of K-Ras-driven lung cancer [32]. Understanding the functional crosstalk of IGF-IR with integrins will potentially open up novel approaches to block this crucial signaling pathway. Our demonstration of the critical role played by IGF-IR and β_1 in regulating biological responses of PrCa cells, both in anchorage-dependent and -independent growth, is in agreement with previous findings that integrins are crucial for IGF-IR-mediated mitogenic and transforming activities [10,33,34,35]. Similar crosstalk has been observed between IGF-IR and E-cadherin, a complex shown to mediate cell-cell adhesion in human breast cancer cells [36], and among IGF-IR, E-cadherin and α_V integrins, shown to have dynamic interactions under the control of α catenin [37]. The stimulatory, as described here, or

inhibitory, as described for TNF receptor-1, effect of the crosstalk between growth factor receptors and cell-surface integrins is an area which has attracted much interest in recent years [38,39,40,41]. The crosstalk appears to be mediated by a direct interaction between growth factor receptors and cell-surface integrins; we have previously demonstrated that β_1 integrins physically associate with the IGF-IR [12]. In an effort to further characterize this interaction, we exogenously induced a chimeric protein containing the cytoplasmic domain of the β_1 integrin subunit to test if it binds IGF-IR. We do not, however, observe any association of the chimeric cytoplasmic domain of β_1 integrins with IGF-IR; thus, further analysis is necessary to identify the domains that mediate this interaction. It should be stressed that IGF-1 has been reported to directly bind to integrins and induce the formation of a ternary complex containing integrin-IGF1-IGF-IR [42,43]. The authors report that an integrin-binding-defective mutant of IGF-1 (R36E/R37E IGF-1), which still binds IGF-IR, acts as a dominant-negative antagonist of IGF-IR and suppresses tumorigenesis; they also show that IGF-1 binds to $\alpha_6\beta_4$ as well as to β_1 integrins, consistent with our data.

IGF-IR regulation of β_1 integrin expression, is critical in the context of reported alterations in the IGF-1 axis signaling and expression during cancer progression [44], and implies that variations of the levels of one receptor may influence the profile of other receptors. Consistent with this, we have reported concurrent upregulation of β_1 integrins and IGF-IR in prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and well differentiated prostate carcinoma [10]. We now demonstrate that in the absence of IGF-IR, β_1 integrins are subjected to proteasome-mediated degradation suggesting that the interaction between IGF-IR and β_1 integrins not only provides synergistic signaling but enhances the stability of both proteins. β_1 loss by proteasonal degradation has been previously reported in Talin-1 null embryonic stem cells leading to defective integrin-adhesion complex assembly. Since Talin-1 overexpression has been reported to enhance PrCa invasion and disrupting Talin-1 signaling/focal adhesion interactions was proposed to have a therapeutic significance in targeting metastatic PrCa [45,46], we speculate that the IGF-IR/ β_1 integrin pathway may be altered in the absence of Talin-1. IGF-IR mutants with impaired PI3K-AKT signaling were reported to exhibit receptor ubiquitination and were degraded by proteasomes. However, C-terminal truncated IGF-IR failed to undergo ubiquitination and was exclusively degraded through lysosomal pathways [47]. Moreover, our experiments suggesting proteasomal degradation of β_1 upon IGF-IR depletion do not exclude the possibility that β_1 integrins may also be in part processed through lysosomal or recycled through endosomal pathways. Similar to what is known of the ligand-induced ubiquitination of growth factor receptors, $\alpha_5\beta_1$ was recently reported to be ubiquitinated followed by degradation in response to fibronectin binding [48]. Our results suggest that in the absence of IGF-IR, β_1 integrins are ubiquitinated and marked for proteasomal and/or lysosomal degradation. A Sorting Nexin family protein, SNX17 was recently reported to regulate the stability of β_1 and it would be crucial to determine if SNX17 is involved in IGF-IR-mediated regulation of β_1 integrins [49,50]. Furthermore, it is equally important to investigate if β_1 -integrin degradation triggered by IGF-IR loss involves clathrin- or caveolin-dependent endocytosis. It could be speculated that recycling of β_1 from early endosomes back to cell membrane can occur through a rapid recycling route by returning to the cell surface directly from endosomes or through a slow recycling route involving Rab GTPases such as Rab4 and Rab11 [28,51].



Β



Figure 5. Loss of IGF-IR causes proteasome-mediated degradation of β_1 integrins. (A) LNCaP cells were transfected with either control or IGF-IR siRNA. Twenty four h later, cells were grown in medium containing 2% CSS for additional 24 h and treated with vehicle, 1 nM R1881 and/or 10 μ M MG132 for 6 h. Cell lysates were analyzed for expression of β_1 integrin subunit and IGF-IR by immunoblotting. AKT was used as a loading control. The band intensities of the β_1 integrin subunit were quantitated by ImageJ analysis and normalized with those of AKT as a loading control. The relative intensity values are expressed as percent of the control sample transfected with control siRNA alone. (B) PC3 cells were transfected with either control or IGF-IR siRNA. Twenty four h after transfection, cells were treated with 10 μ M MG132 for 6 or 24 h in RPMI medium containing 10% serum. Cell lysates were analyzed for expression of β_1 integrin subunit and IGF-IR by immunoblotting. AKT was used as a loading control. β_1 integrin subunit such at IGF-IR by immunoblotting. AKT was used as a loading control. β_1 integrin subunit and IGF-IR by immunoblotting. AKT was used as a loading control. β_1 integrin subunit and IGF-IR by immunoblotting. AKT was used as a loading control. β_1 are expressed as percent of the control siRNA alone. (C) LNCaP cells were transfected as above and 24 h later cells were cultured in medium containing 2% CSS for additional 24 h followed by treatment with 0, 100, 250 or 500 nM epoxomicin in combination with 1 nM R1881 for 18 h. Cell lysates were analyzed for mature and precursor forms of β_1 integrin subunit and IGF-IR by immunoblotting. AKT serves as a loading control. Relative intensities of mature β_1 integrin of β_1 integrin subunit and IGF-IR by immunoblotting. AKT serves as a loading control. β_1 are expressed as percent of the control sample transfected with control siRNA alone. (C) LNCaP cells were transfected as above and 24 h later cells were cultured in medium containin

Our data show that proteasomal inhibition rescues the degradation of the β_1 integrin subunit upon IGF-IR downregulation. Although we have observed the downregulation of both mature (130 kD) and precursor (110 kD) forms of β_1 integrins upon IGF-IR knockdown, only the mature β_1 form is recognized by the proteasomal machinery and thus preferentially degraded. It is, however, not clear how IGF-IR regulates the immature form of β_1 integrins. Since we did not observe any changes in mRNA levels upon ablation of IGF-IR, other effectors downstream of

IGF-IR might be involved in regulating the immature form of β_1 integrins.

Downregulation of the β_1 integrin subunit has been shown to significantly reduce the surface expression of the associated α_5 subunit in PrCa cell lines [3]. Our novel finding that α_5 integrin subunit is significantly reduced upon IGF-IR downregulation, is consistent with direct causal role of IGF-IR on β_1 expression, as we previously reported [24]. Consistent with this, other laboratories have recently shown that *in vivo* inhibition of α_5 integrin significantly reduces tumor growth [52]. These findings support a



Figure 6. β_1 integrin downregulation upon IGF-IR depletion is associated with reduced α_5 integrin subunit expression. LNCaP cells were transfected with either control or IGF-IR siRNA and treated with 2% CSS-containing medium for 24 h followed by treatment with 1 nM R1881 for 24 h. IGF-IR and β_1 integrin downregulation was evaluated by immunoblots. The lysates were then analyzed for the expression of various α integrin subunits. Specific Abs against α_2 , α_4 , α_5 , α_6 and α_7 integrin subunits were used to identify the α integrin partner of the β_1 integrin subunit, which is downregulated upon IGF-IR depletion.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0076513.g006

crucial role for the α_5 subunit and suggest that inhibitors of α_5 integrin may be useful in blocking tumor progression. In this regard, it is worth mentioning that miR-92a was demonstrated to inhibit peritoneal dissemination of ovarian cancer cells by inhibiting α_5 expression, which was accompanied by the inhibition of cancer cell adhesion, invasion and proliferation [53]. $\alpha_5\beta_1$ was also observed to simultaneously control EGFR-dependent proliferation and Akt-dependent pro-survival signaling in epidermoid carcinoma cells [54]. Furthermore, FGFR2-mediated osteoblast

References

- Hynes RO (2002) Integrins: bidirectional, allosteric signaling machines. Cell 110: 673–687.
- Fornaro M, Languino LR (1997) Alternatively spliced variants: a new view of the integrin cytoplasmic domain. Matrix Biol 16: 185–193.
- 3. Goel HL, Underwood JM, Nickerson JA, Hsieh CC, Languino LR (2010) β_1 integrins mediate cell proliferation in three-dimensional cultures by regulating expression of the sonic hedgehog effector protein, GLI1. J Cell Physiol 224: 210– 217.
- Quaranta V, Plopper GE (1997) Integrins and laminins in tissue remodeling. Kidney Int 51: 1441–1446.
- Ruoslahti E (1999) Fibronectin and its integrin receptors in cancer. Adv Cancer Res 76: 1–20.
- Fornaro M, Manes T, Languino LR (2001) Integrins and prostate cancer metastases. Cancer Metastasis Rev 20: 321–331.
- Murant SJ, Handley J, Stower M, Reid N, Cussenot O, et al. (1997) Coordinated changes in expression of cell adhesion molecules in prostate cancer. Eur J Cancer 33: 263–271.
- Knox JD, Cress AE, Clark V, Manriquez L, Affinito KS, et al. (1994) Differential expression of extracellular matrix molecules and the alpha 6integrins in the normal and neoplastic prostate. Am J Pathol 145: 167–174.
- 9. Juliano RL, Haskill S (1993) Signal transduction from the extracellular matrix. J Biol Chem 120: 577–585.
- 10. Goel HL, Breen M, Zhang J, Das I, Aznavoorian-Cheshire S, et al. (2005) β IA integrin expression is required for type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor mitogenic and transforming activities and localization to focal contacts. Cancer Res 65: 6692–6700.
- Eliceiri BP (2001) Integrin and growth factor receptor crosstalk. Circ Res 89: 1104–1110.
- 12. Goel HL, Fornaro M, Moro L, Teider N, Rhim JS, et al. (2004) Selective modulation of type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor signaling and functions by β 1 integrins. J Cell Biol 166: 407–418.
- Tai YT, Podar K, Catley L, Tseng YH, Akiyama M, et al. (2003) Insulin-like growth factor-1 induces adhesion and migration in human multiple myeloma cells via activation of β1-integrin and phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase/AKT signaling. Cancer Res 63: 5850–5858.
- 14. Lee YC, Jin JK, Cheng CJ, Huang CF, Song JH, et al. (2013) Targeting constitutively activated β l integrins inhibits prostate cancer metastasis. Mol Cancer Res 11: 405–417.
- Baserga R (1995) The insulin-like growth factor I receptor: a key to tumor growth? Cancer Res 55: 249–252.

detachment and apoptosis was reported to be caused by Cbldependent ubiquitination of α_5 integrin [55]. Endosomal accumulation of integrins is prevented by ligand-mediated degradation of the $\alpha_5\beta_1$ integrin, which might otherwise develop nonproductive adhesion sites. Fibroblast migration was reported to be regulated by trafficking of fibronectin and ubiquitinated $\alpha_5\beta_1$ complexes to lysosomes for degradation [48]. It could be speculated that upon loss of IGF-IR, $\alpha_5\beta_1$ integrin is shuttled to proteasomes and lysosomes for degradation instead of being translocated to early endosomes for recycling.

In conclusion, this paper highlights a novel pathway mediated by IGF-IR and $\alpha_5\beta_1$ integrin in PrCa growth and dissects the mechanism by which IGF-IR regulates the expression of $\alpha_5\beta_1$ integrin. We propose that IGF-IR signaling, by controlling the stability of the $\alpha_5\beta_1$ integrin through a proteasomal pathway, tightly regulates pro-survival signaling in PrCa.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Diane E. Merry for insightful suggestions; Dr. Andrea Morrione for reviewing the manuscript; and members of the Languino laboratory, Drs. Huimin Lu and Anindita Dutta, for critical review of the manuscript. We are also very grateful to Tiziana De Angelis and Jessica Davison for reviewing the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AS LRL. Performed the experiments: AS CF MT KG. Analyzed the data: AS CF LRL. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: AS CF MT. Wrote the paper: AS LRL.

- Haruta T, Uno T, Kawahara J, Takano A, Egawa K, et al. (2000) A rapamycinsensitive pathway down-regulates insulin signaling via phosphorylation and proteasomal degradation of insulin receptor substrate-1. Mol Endocrinol 14: 783–794.
- Valentinis B, Baserga R (2001) IGF-I receptor signalling in transformation and differentiation. Mol Pathol 54: 133–137.
- LeRoith D, Roberts CT, Jr. (2003) The insulin-like growth factor system and cancer. Cancer Lett 195: 127–137.
- Alam N, Goel HL, Zarif MJ, Butterfield JE, Perkins HM, et al. (2007) The integrin-growth factor receptor duet. J Cell Physiol 213: 649–653.
- Damsky C (2002) Cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix adhesion receptors. Ann NY Acad Sci 961: 154–155.
- Vuori K, Ruoslahti E (1994) Association of insulin receptor substrate-1 with integrins. Science 266: 1576–1579.
- Rui L, Fisher TL, Thomas J, White MF (2001) Regulation of insulin/insulin-like growth factor-1 signaling by proteasome-mediated degradation of insulin receptor substrate-2. J Biol Chem 276: 40362–40367.
- 23. Sayeed A, Alam N, Trerotola M, Languino LR (2012) Insulin-like growth factor 1 stimulation of androgen receptor activity requires β 1A integrins. J Cell Physiol 227: 751–758.
- Goel H, Sayeed A, Breen M, Zarif MJ, Garlick DS, et al. (2013) β1 integrins mediate resistance to ionizing radiation by inhibiting JNK. J Cell Physiol: Epub – Ahead of Print.
- 25. Lukashev ME, Sheppard D, Pytela R (1994) Disruption of integrin function, and induction of tyrosine phosphorylation, by the autonomously expressed β 1 integrin cytoplasmic domain. J Biol Chem 269: 18311–18314.
- Fornaro M, Manzotti M, Tallini G, Slear AE, Bosari S, et al. (1998) β1C integrin in epithelial cells correlates with a nonproliferative phenotype: forced expression of β1C inhibits prostate epithelial cell proliferation. Am J Pathol 153: 1079–1087.
- Fornaro M, Zheng DQ, Languino LR (1995) The novel structural motif Gln795-Gln802 in the integrin β1C cytoplasmic domain regulates cell proliferation. J Biol Chem 270: 24666–24669.
- 28. Trerotola M, Jernigan DL, Liu Q, Siddiqui J, Fatatis A, et al. (2013) Trop-2 promotes cancer metastasis by modulating β 1 integrin functions. Cancer Res 73: 155–3167.
- Fornaro M, Tallini G, Zheng DQ, Flanagan WM, Manzotti M, et al. (1999) p27(kip1) acts as a downstream effector of and is coexpressed with the β1C integrin in prostatic adenocarcinoma. J Clin Invest 103: 321–329.

- Cheng B, Maffi SK, Martinez AA, Acosta YP, Morales LD, et al. (2011) Insulinlike growth factor-I mediates neuroprotection in proteasome inhibition-induced cytotoxicity in SH-SY5Y cells. Mol Cell Neurosci 47: 181–190.
- Yee D (2012) Insulin-like growth factor receptor inhibitors: baby or the bathwater? J Natl Cancer Inst 104: 975–981.
- Molina-Arcas M, Hancock DC, Sheridan C, Kumar MS, Downward J (2013) Coordinate Direct Input of Both KRAS and IGF1 Receptor to Activation of PI3 kinase in KRAS-Mutant Lung Cancer. Cancer Discov 3: 548–563.
- Liu W, Bloom DA, Cance WG, Kurenova EV, Golubovskaya VM, et al. (2008) FAK and IGF-IR interact to provide survival signals in human pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells. Carcinogenesis 29: 1096–1107.
- Salani B, Briatore L, Contini P, Passalacqua M, Melloni E, et al. (2009) IGF-I induced rapid recruitment of integrin β1 to lipid rafts is Caveolin-1 dependent. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 380: 489–492.
- Marelli MM, Moretti RM, Procacci P, Motta M, Limonta P (2006) Insulin-like growth factor-I promotes migration in human androgen-independent prostate cancer cells via the αvβ3 integrin and PI3-K/Akt signaling. Int J Oncol 28: 723– 730.
- Guvakova MA, Surmacz E (1997) Overexpressed IGF-I receptors reduce estrogen growth requirements, enhance survival, and promote E-cadherinmediated cell-cell adhesion in human breast cancer cells. Exp Cell Res 231: 149– 162.
- Canonici A, Steelant W, Rigot V, Khomitch-Baud A, Boutaghou-Cherid H, et al. (2008) Insulin-like growth factor-I receptor, E-cadherin and αv integrin form a dynamic complex under the control of α-catenin. Int J Cancer 122: 572–582.
- 38. Huang P, Rani MR, Ahluwalia MS, Bae E, Prayson RA, et al. (2012) Endothelial expression of TNF receptor-1 generates a proapoptotic signal inhibited by integrin $\alpha 6\beta 1$ in glioblastoma. Cancer Res 72: 1428–1437.
- Beattie J, McIntosh L, van der Walle CF (2010) Cross-talk between the insulinlike growth factor (IGF) axis and membrane integrins to regulate cell physiology. J Cell Physiol 224: 605–611.
- Wu CM, Li TM, Hsu SF, Su YC, Kao ST, et al. (2011) IGF-I enhances α5β1 integrin expression and cell motility in human chondrosarcoma cells. J Cell Physiol 226: 3270–3277.
- Pfeil K, Eder IE, Putz T, Ramoner R, Culig Z, et al. (2004) Long-term androgen-ablation causes increased resistance to PI3K/Akt pathway inhibition in prostate cancer cells. Prostate 58: 259–268.
- 42. Fujita M, Ieguchi K, Davari P, Yamaji S, Taniguchi Y, et al. (2012) Cross-talk between integrin $\alpha 6\beta 4$ and insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF1R) through direct $\alpha 6\beta 4$ binding to IGF1 and subsequent $\alpha 6\beta 4$ -IGF1-IGF1R ternary complex formation in anchorage-independent conditions. J Biol Chem 287: 12491–12500.

- 43. Fujita M, Ieguchi K, Cedano Prieto D, Fong A, Wilkerson C, et al. (2013) An integrin-binding-defective mutant of insulin-like growth factor-1 (R36E/R37E IGF1) acts as a dominant-negative antagonist of IGF1R and suppresses tumorigenesis, while the mutant still binds to IGF1R. J Biol Chem 288: 19503–19603.
- Arnaldez FI, Helman IJ (2012) Targeting the insulin growth factor receptor 1. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 26: 527–542.
- Sakamoto S, McCann RO, Dhir R, Kyprianou N (2010) Talin1 Promotes Tumor Invasion and Metastasis via Focal Adhesion Signaling and Anoikis Resistance. Cancer Res 70: 1885–1895.
- Liu J, He X, Qi Y, Tian X, Monkley SJ, et al. (2011) Talin1 regulates integrin turnover to promote embryonic epithelial morphogenesis. Mol Cell Biol 31: 3366–3377.
- Schat B, Andersson S, Vasilcanu R, Girnita L, Larsson O (2007) Role of ubiquitination in IGF-1 receptor signaling and degradation. PLoS One 2: e340.
- 48. Lobert VH, Brech A, Pedersen NM, Wesche J, Oppelt A, et al. (2010) Ubiquitination of $\alpha 5\beta 1$ integrin controls fibroblast migration through lysosomal degradation of fibronectin-integrin complexes. Dev Cell 19: 148–159.
- Steinberg F, Heesom KJ, Bass MD, Cullen PJ (2012) SNX17 protects integrins from degradation by sorting between lysosomal and recycling pathways. J Cell Biol 197: 219–230.
- Bottcher RT, Stremmel C, Meves A, Meyer H, Widmaier M, et al. (2012) Sorting nexin 17 prevents lysosomal degradation of β1 integrins by binding to the β1-integrin tail. Nat Cell Biol 14: 584–592.
- Grant BD, Donaldson JG (2009) Pathways and mechanisms of endocytic recycling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 10: 597–608.
- McKenzie JA, Liu T, Jung JY, Jones BB, Ekiz A, et al. (2013) Survivin promotion of melanoma metastasis requires upregulation of α5 integrin. Carcinogenesis: ePub ahead of print.
- Ohyagi-Hara C, Sawada K, Kamiura S, Tomita Y, Isobe A, et al. (2013) miR-92a inhibits peritoneal dissemination of ovarian cancer cells by inhibiting integrin α5 expression. Am J Pathol 182: 1876–1889.
- Morozevich GE, Kozlova NI, Ushakova NA, Preobrazhenskaya ME, Berman AE (2012) Integrin α5β1 simultaneously controls EGFR-dependent proliferation and Akt-dependent pro-survival signaling in epidermoid carcinoma cells. Aging (Albany NY) 4: 368–374.
- Kaabeche K, Guenou H, Bouvard D, Didelot N, Listrat A, et al. (2005) Cblmediated ubiquitination of α5 integrin subunit mediates fibronectin-dependent osteoblast detachment and apoptosis induced by FGFR2 activation. J Cell Sci 118: 1223–1232.