

Intravesical BCG in patients with nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer induces trained immunity and decreases respiratory infections

Jelmer H van Puffelen ^(b), ^{1,2} Boris Novakovic, ³ Liesbeth van Emst, ¹ Denise Kooper, ⁴ Tahlita C M Zuiverloon, ⁴ Ursula T H Oldenhof, ² J Alfred Witjes ^(b), ⁵ Tessel E Galesloot, ² Alina Vrieling, ² Katja K H Aben, ^{2,6} Lambertus A L M Kiemeney, ² Egbert Oosterwijk, ⁵ Mihai G Netea, ^{1,7} Joost L Boormans, ⁴ Antoine G van der Heijden, ⁵ Leo A B Joosten, ^{1,8} Sita H Vermeulen²

ABSTRACT

Background BCG is recommended as intravesical immunotherapy to reduce the risk of tumor recurrence in patients with non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC). Currently, it is unknown whether intravesical BCG application induces trained immunity.

Methods The aim of this research was to determine whether BCG immunotherapy induces trained immunity in NMIBC patients. We conducted a prospective observational cohort study in 17 NMIBC patients scheduled for BCG therapy and measured trained immunity parameters at 9 time points before and during a 1-year BCG maintenance regimen. Ex vivo cytokine production by peripheral blood mononuclear cells, epigenetic modifications, and changes in the monocyte transcriptome were measured. The frequency of respiratory infections was investigated in two larger cohorts of BCG-treated and non-BCG treated NMIBC patients as a surrogate measurement of trained immunity. Gene-based association analysis of genetic variants in candidate trained immunity genes and their association with recurrence-free survival and progression-free survival after BCG therapy was performed to investigate the hypothesized link between trained immunity and clinical response.

Results We found that intravesical BCG does induce trained immunity based on an increased production of TNF and IL-1 β after heterologous ex vivo stimulation of circulating monocytes 6–12 weeks after intravesical BCG treatment; and a 37% decreased risk (OR 0.63 (95% Cl 0.40 to 1.01)) for respiratory infections in BCG-treated versus non-BCG-treated NMIBC patients. An epigenomics approach combining chromatin immuno precipitation-sequencing and RNA-sequencing with in vitro trained immunity experiments identified enhanced inflammasome activity in BCG-treated individuals. Finally, germline variation in genes that affect trained immunity was associated with recurrence and progression after BCG therapy in NMIBC.

Conclusion We conclude that BCG immunotherapy induces trained immunity in NMIBC patients and this may account for the protective effects against respiratory infections. The data of our gene-based association

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ It is unknown whether BCG immunotherapy for bladder cancer induces trained immunity in humans and how relevant trained immunity is for antitumor immune responses.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ In our study, we show that BCG immunotherapy induces trained immunity and decreases the risk for respiratory infections in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) patients. The production of TNF and IL-1 β by peripheral blood mononuclear cells was increased up to 3 months after BCG instillations, which indicate a long-term trained immunity phenotype.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ Future studies should further investigate how trained immunity affects the antitumor immune responses in BCG-treated NMIBC patients.

analysis suggest that a link between trained immunity and oncological outcome may exist. Future studies should further investigate how trained immunity affects the antitumor immune responses in BCG-treated NMIBC patients

INTRODUCTION

Intravesical instillations with BCG is the recommended adjuvant therapy in patients with high-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (HR-NMIBC). HR-NMIBC is associated with a high risk of tumor recurrence and progression to muscle-invasive bladder cancer. BCG immunotherapy generally consists of an induction course with 6-weekly instillations, followed by 3-weekly maintenance courses at months 3 and 6 and then every 6 months for up to 3 years.¹ Although BCG is more effective in preventing tumor

Novakovic B, van Emst L, *et al.* Intravesical BCG in patients with non-muscle invasive bladder cancer induces trained immunity and decreases respiratory infections. *Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* 2023;**11**:e005518. doi:10.1136/ jitc-2022-005518

To cite: van Puffelen JH,

Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10. 1136/jitc-2022-005518).

LABJ and SHV are joint senior authors.

Accepted 29 December 2022



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Sita H Vermeulen; Sita.Vermeulen@radboudumc.nl recurrences than intravesical chemotherapy,^{2 3} nonresponsiveness to BCG is observed in more than 25% of HR-NMIBC patients within 5 years.^{4 5} The exact immunological mechanisms through which BCG mediates antitumor immunity in bladder cancer are still unclear. Initiation of a T helper 1 (T_h1) cell immune response is important to achieve a good clinical response,⁶⁷ and cellmediated antitumor activity is achieved via immune cells such as CD8+ T cells^{8,9} NK cells¹⁰¹¹ and macrophages.¹²⁻¹⁴

It is known that activated myeloid cells can further increase T_b1 immune responses by producing TNF, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, and IL-18.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ These cytokines are produced after stimulation of Toll-like receptors (TLR) and NOD-like receptors (NLR) by BCG.^{20–22} TLR2 and TLR4 are especially important for the production of IL-1 β by monocytes after BCG stimulation.²³ Additionally, BCG vaccination and mechanistic studies have demonstrated that following BCG stimulation, myeloid cells can develop trained immunity (TI),²⁴ which is characterized by long-term functional and epigenetic reprogramming of myeloid cells, with enhancement of their function.² This TI phenotype allows myeloid cells to respond with an increased cytokine response on encountering a secondary stimulus or challenge.^{24 25} It contributes to the increased protection against non-tuberculosis infections, including respiratory infections, after BCG vaccination.²⁶⁻²⁸ Mechanistic studies found that autophagy,²⁹ IL-1β signaling,^{26 30 31} IL-32 signaling,^{32 33} NOD2-RIPK2 signaling,³⁴ epigenetic enzymes,^{35 36} metabolic reprogramming,³⁷ and reprogramming of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) in the bone marrow,^{38 39} are important for the induction of TI by BCG.

Whether repeated BCG instillations in NMIBC also induce TI, and whether this is relevant for clinical responses, has not been systematically studied. There are no data on epigenetic modifications and metabolic changes in myeloid cells of NMIBC patients during BCG therapy. Reports of increased urinary TNF, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, IFNy, M-CSF, and GM-CSF after BCG instillations only provide indirect evidence for (systemic) TI.6 40-43 Ex vivo lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation experiments with blood monocytes found that TNF, IL-1β and IL-6 production was generally increased during a BCG induction cycle,^{29 44-46} indicating induction of TI, but these experiments lacked relevant long-term data. Here, we extensively investigate and describe induction of TI by BCG instillations in NMIBC by (1) analyzing long-term induction of systemic TI in blood monocytes of BCG-treated NMIBC patients, (2) evaluating whether BCG instillations result in reduced incidence of respiratory infections, and (3) exploring the relation between BCG-induced TI and oncological NMIBC outcome via genetic studies.

METHODS

Study design and patient population of the tribute study

We set up a prospective observational study in high-risk NMIBC patients (ie, Ta high grade or T1 with or without concomitant carcinoma in situ (CIS)) that were scheduled to start BCG therapy at the urology departments of two Dutch academic hospitals (Radboud university medical center Nijmegen and Erasmus MC Rotterdam). Inclusion for this study, named Tribute ('TRained Immunity induced by BCG in UroThElial carcinoma'), was initiated in June 2018 and ended in April 2021. Eligibility criteria are given in online supplemental table 1. In short, all patients were BCG naive and had primary or recurrent HR-NMIBC, with or without CIS. All patients were free of visible papillary tumor at the start of BCG therapy as determined via re-TURT or negative cystoscopy and/ or cytology at most 6 weeks before start of BCG therapy. Patients with tumor stage>cN0 or cM1 were excluded from participation, as well as those with upper urinary tract tumors or another malignancy other than basal cell carcinoma of the skin or prostate cancer under active surveillance. The BCG treatment schedule in this observational study was up to the treating urologist and was based on a standard regimen of a 6-week induction course followed by 3-weekly maintenance courses. Clinical data and follow-up were collected from the medical files of the participating patients. A baseline questionnaire was used to extract patient information on, for example, smoking and BCG vaccination status and a diary was used to collect data on smoking and co-medication during BCG therapy.

Blood sample collection

Blood for the Tribute study was collected (40–50 mL in EDTA tubes) at nine time points during the first year of BCG therapy and was drawn at the outpatient clinic, either directly before the BCG bladder instillation or 5–20 min after the instillation. Blood was drawn directly before the BCG bladder instillation at study visits: pre-BCG1, pre-BCG7, pre-BCG10, and pre-BCG13. Blood was drawn 5–20 min after applying the BCG instillation at study visits: BCG2, BCG6, BCG9, BCG12, and BCG15 (figure 1A).

Whole blood cell counts

Complete blood leukocyte counts were determined in $100\,\mu$ L EDTA whole blood using a Sysmex XN-450 analyzer (Sysmex) within 5 hours after blood draw.

Peripheral blood mononuclear cell isolation

Isolation of peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMCs) and purification of monocytes was performed as described in previously published articles by our group.^{2634 47} Briefly, PBMCs were isolated by density centrifugation of Ficoll-Paque (GE healthcare, UK) within 5 hours after blood draw. Cells were washed twice in PBS and resuspended in RPMI culture medium (Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium; Invitrogen, California, USA) supplemented with 50 mg/mL gentamicin, 2 mM Glutamax (GIBCO), and



Figure 1 Cytokine production by PBMCs on ex vivo stimulation with heterologous stimuli is increased after BCG instillations. (A) Study schedule of the Tribute study. Blood was collected and PBMCs were isolated at three time points during the BCG induction cycle: pre-BCG1, BCG2 and BCG6; and two time points during each subsequent BCG maintenance cycle: pre-BCG7, BCG9, pre-BCG10, BCG12, pre-BCG13 and BCG15. Some patients discontinued with BCG (see online supplemental table 2). Light blue arrow indicates pre-BCG1 time point which is used to calculate fold change in cytokine production. Purple arrows indicate important time points for TI, as patients did not receive BCG for weeks to months and thus represent the best 'innate immune memory' time points. (B) TNF production by PBMCs after 24 hour stimulation with LPS at eight time points during BCG therapy compared with pre-BCG1. (C) IL-1 β production by PBMCs after 24 hour stimulation with LPS at eight time points during BCG therapy compared with pre-BCG1. (D) TNF production by PBMCs after 24 hour stimulation with Pam₂Cys (P3C) at eight time points during BCG therapy compared with pre-BCG1. (E) IL-1ß production by PBMCs after 24 hour stimulation with P3C at eight time points during BCG therapy compared with pre-BCG1. Fold change values for each individual patient are displayed as gray dots. Group values for each time point are displayed as median±range in fold change compared with pre-BCG1. Two-tailed matched-pairs Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to determine statistical significance in cytokine production between time points. Statistical significance was accepted at p<0.05 and indicated as follows: *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. Number of data points per time point for b, c, e: pre-BCG1: 17, BCG2: 17, BCG6: 16, pre-BCG7: 14, BCG9: 13, pre-BCG10: 8, BCG12: 7, pre-BCG13: 3, BCG15: 3. Number of data points per time point for d: pre-BCG1: 15, BCG2: 15, BCG6: 13, pre-BCG7: 12, BCG9: 11, pre-BCG10: 7, BCG12: 6, pre-BCG13: 2, BCG15: 2. LPS, lipopolysaccharide; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell.

1 mM pyruvate (GIBCO) and diluted to a concentration of 5×10^6 /mL for in vitro PBMC stimulation experiments.

After PBMC isolation, purification of monocytes was performed using a hyperosmotic density gradient medium Percoll (Sigma-Aldrich). The PBMC suspension was adjusted to a concentration of $50-60\times10^6$ cells/mL and subsequently applied on top of the hyper osmotic Percoll

solution, after which the cells were centrifuged at 580 g for 15 min at room temperature, with no brake and slow acceleration (Sorvall Legend RT). The interphase layer was collected with a Pasteur pipette and the cells were washed with cold PBS once. The cells were diluted in 2–3 mL RPMI and this monocyte-enriched cell suspension was further used for epigenetics analyses, and RNA isolation.

Open access

PBMC stimulation experiments

PBMC stimulation experiments were performed within 6 hours after drawing of blood. PBMC stimulation experiments were performed on round bottom 96 wells plates (Greiner). In each well, $100 \,\mu$ L of PBMC cell suspension (5×10^6 /mL) was seeded. Subsequently, $100 \,\mu$ L of stimulus was added. The final cell culture concentrations of the used stimuli were as follows: $10 \,\text{ng/mL}$ *Escherichia coli* LPS (serotype 055:B5, Sigma-Aldrich), $10 \,\mu$ g/mL Pam-3-Cys (EMC microcollection). The experiments were performed in duplicate. PBMCs were stimulated for 24 hours in an incubator at 37°C and 5% CO2. After 24 hours the plates were spun for 8 min at 1400 RPM (Rotina 380R Hettich) and supernatants were collected and stored at -80° C until analysis.

Cytokine measurements in PBMC supernatants

Cytokine concentrations in PBMC supernatants were measured to determine whether cytokine production after non-specific stimulation for 24 hours was altered throughout BCG instillation therapy. These measurements were performed for both duplicates and the mean value of both duplicates was used for data analysis. IL-1 β , IL-6, TNF, and IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra) were measured in thawed supernatants using commercial ELISA kits (R&D Systems). IL-1Ra was measured because it inhibits the binding and bioactivity of IL-1 β ,⁴⁸ thus, we expect IL-1Ra to inhibit TI responses.

Chromatin immuno precipitation for histone 3 lysine 4 trimethylation

Isolated monocyte-enriched suspensions were fixed with 1% formaldehyde (Sigma). Fixed cell suspensions were sonicated using a Bioruptor Pico (Diagenode) for seven cvcles (30s on; 30s off). For each Chromatin immuno precipitation (ChIP), chromatin of 0.5×10⁶ cells was incubated with 254µL dilution buffer, 12µL protease inhibitor cocktail (25×), and 1µg of H3K4me3 antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers USA) and incubated overnight at 4C with rotation. Protein A/G magnetic beads were washed in dilution buffer with 0.15% SDS and 0.1%BSA, added to the chromatin/antibody mix and rotated for 60 min at 4C. Beads were washed with 500 mL buffer for 5 min at 4C with five rounds of washes. After washing, chromatin was eluted using elution buffer for 20 min. Supernatant was collected, 8 µL 5M NaCl, 2 µL proteinase K were added and samples were incubated on a shaking heat-block for 4 hours at 1000 rpm, 65C. This elution procedure was also repeated for chromatin input samples, where 0.5×10^6 cells were used for input as well. As final step, the DNA was isolated using QIAGEN MinElute PCR purification Kit and eluted in 20 µL elution buffer.

ChIP-qPCR

We included the first seven Tribute patients for ChIPqPCR to have access to chromatin from long-term time points (ie, pre-BCG10). Immunoprecipitated chromatin was used for qPCR analysis. For qPCR analysis the input samples were diluted 25 times and the ChIP samples were diluted 3 times. Primers used in the reaction are listed in online supplemental table 13. Samples were analyzed with a comparative Ct method on the StepOne PLUS qPCR machine (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using SYBR green (Invitrogen) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Chromatin immuno precipitation sequencing

ChIP-seq was performed for the first seven patients that were included in Tribute. Illumina library preparation was performed using the KAPA HyperPrep kit (KAPA Biosystems). Library concentration was measured using the KAPA Library Quantification Kit (KAPA Biosystems); library size was determined using the BioAnalyzer High Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent). Sequencing was performed using an Illumina NextSeq500, and 42-bp paired-end reads were generated.

Processing of genome-wide histone modification data

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation and sequencing (ChIP-seq) reads were aligned to human genome assembly hg38 (NCBI V.38) using bwa.⁴⁹ BAM files were first filtered to remove the reads with mapping quality less than 15, followed by fragment size modeling. MACS2 was used to call the peaks. Peaks from all samples were merged into a single 'H3K4me3 peaks' bed file and reads per peak were counted using bedtools coverage.⁵⁰ Data (reads/peak) were normalized using the R package DESeq2 and then pairwise comparisons were performed.

RNA isolation and RNA-sequencing

After isolation, $1-5 \times 10^6$ cells of the monocyte-enriched cell suspension were used for RNA extraction. The cells were spun down at 500g for 5 min. Supernatant was removed and the cell pellet was resuspended with 500 µL RNAlater solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and kept at room temperature for 20 min. The resuspended solution was stored at -80°C until RNA isolation. The cell pellets which were frozen in RNAlater solution were thawed to RT and centrifuged for 5 min at 300g. The cell pellets were then dissolved in RLT buffer (Qiagen) for 20 min. RNA was isolated using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's protocol, and including DNAse treatment.

RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) was performed by BGI Genomics (Denmark) for six patients (all included in ChIP-seq). The DNBseq RNA transcriptome PE101 pipeline was used with 30 million reads per sample. Read length was 100 bp. After sequencing, the raw reads were filtered. Data filtering included removing adaptor sequences, contamination and low-quality reads from raw reads. To infer gene expression levels, RNA-seq reads were aligned to hg19 human transcriptome using Bowtie.⁵¹ Quantification of gene expression levels as Reads Per Kilobase Million (RPKM) was performed using MMSEQ, a software package for statistical analysis of RNA-seq data.⁵² Reads/ transcripts were normalized using DEseq2 and pairwise comparisons were performed.

Statistical analysis for ChIP-seq and RNA-seq datasets

Differentially expressed genes were identified using DEseq2 with fold change >1.33 and p<0.05, with a mean RPKM>1. For longitudinal comparisons, the outputs of all pairwise comparisons (eg, pre-BCG1 vs BCG6, pre-BCG1 vs pre-BCG7) were combined and separated into groups using k-means clustering in MeV.⁵³ Dynamic H3K4me3 peaks were identified using DEseq2 with change in signal determined as fold change >1.5 and unadjusted p<0.05, with a mean reads/peak>25.⁵⁴ The Benjamini-Hochberg method was used to correct for multiple testing in DEseq2 and the adjusted p values are shown in online supplemental tables. Genes of interest were downloaded from Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.⁵⁵ For gene ontology, H3K4me3 peaks were assigned to the nearest transcription start site (TSS) within 1 Mb using the GREAT tool.⁵⁶ Promoter H3K4me3 peaks were identified as those within 5kb from a TSS using bedtools. Motif analysis was performed on gene promoters using HOMER findMotifs.⁵⁷ Motif enrichment was calculated using the hypergeometric distributions, comparing the input promoter list to a background list of promoters. In addition to the p value, we used a fold change cut-off of >2 to designate a motif as significantly enriched.

Analysis of genes of interest in ex vivo BCG RNA sequencing data

In vitro validation of in vivo findings is a useful tool to confirm TI associated molecular signatures in monocytes.²⁶⁵⁸ The in vitro TI model has been extensively used for this purpose.^{54 59} We recently published transcriptome (RNA sequencing) data in monocytes from five healthy volunteers that were exposed to BCG ex vivo for 24 hours and RNA was collected at baseline, 4 hour, 24 hours, day 6 (5 days after removal of stimulus), and day 6+4 hours of LPS stimulation⁶⁰ (GSE168468). Two different strains of the BCG vaccine (Denmark and Bulgaria) were used in the experiments and showed a strong concordance in monocyte transcriptional response. Differential gene expression was determined using DESeq2, with p-adjusted<0.05, fold change>2, RPKM>1. Time-course RNA expression data for GBP1, -2, -4, -5 and AIM2 was extracted from the differentially expressed gene table, and gene expression was plotted as RPKM over time.

Measurement of IFN γ in blood plasma

Measurement of IFN γ in blood plasma was performed for the following number of patients and time points: pre-BCG1: 17, BCG2: 17, BCG6: 16, pre-BCG7: 13, BCG9: 13, pre-BCG10: 8, BCG12: 7, pre-BCG13: 3, BCG15: 3. Measurement of circulating IFN γ in blood plasma was performed using the commercially available Olink Proteomics AB (Uppsala Sweden) inflammation panel (92 inflammatory proteins). Data values are depicted as Normalized Protein eXpression (NPX), which is Olink's arbitrary unit and is in Log2 scale.

Statistical analysis of cytokine levels in supernatants and blood plasma

Descriptive statistics are presented as median±range, as indicated in the legend of each figure, unless otherwise stated. The statistical significance of the differences between study visits was evaluated using matched pair two-tailed Wilcoxon signed-rank test. In figures, asterisks denote statistical significance (*, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ****, p<0.001). Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad PRISM 8.

Study into respiratory infections in NMIBC patients from the BIaZIB and UroLife studies

NMIBC patients with date of diagnosis between May 2014 and April 2020 who are participating in BlaZIB⁶¹ or UroLife,⁶² two ongoing observational studies (METC Region Arnhem-Nijmegen file numbers 2017-3240 and 2013-494, respectively), were invited in May 2020 to participate. We only invited those BlaZIB and UroLife patients who had given prior informed consent to be approached for additional studies, and for whom an email address was available. Patients were invited via email to fill out a digital questionnaire. A total of 1230 patients were invited of which 678 consented and filled out the questionnaire.

The questionnaire contained questions on bladder instillations, disease history, smoking, vaccinations for influenza and tuberculosis (BCG), respiratory infections (ie, pneumonia, bronchitis, laryngitis, influenza, and common cold) between November 2018 and May 2020, and questions on COVID-19 symptoms. Errors in recall and self-report of RI's in this patient population are expected to be small for pneumonia and influenza but may affect measurement of bronchitis, laryngitis, and cold. Do note however that any errors are unlikely to be different for BCG-treated versus non-BCG-treated patients and hence will unlikely lead to false positive results.

Clinical and treatment characteristics for the patients were extracted from the Netherlands Cancer Registry (NCR) in the second half of 2020, but follow-up on treatment was incomplete for some patients. Data from both the questionnaires and the NCR were used to define the (timing of) BCG exposure for the patients. Given the period of outcome assessment of November 2018 to May 2020, we defined a subgroup of BCG-treated NMIBC patients that we considered as exposed to BCG during the whole outcome assessment period, that is, first BCG instillation prior to October 15 2018 and final BCG instillation after April 2019. Here, we assumed that a TI phenotype is fully induced 2weeks after the first instillation and will be present up to 1 year after the last BCG instillation. The other BCG-treated patients were either partially exposed during the outcome assessment period or exposed prior to the outcome assessment period.

Statistical analyses entailed the description of characteristics in cross tables for comparison of groups that differed in their exposure to BCG. Univariable logistic regression analysis was performed to generate ORs and 95% CIs. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to allow for adjustment of factors that are known to affect the incidence of respiratory infections and may be associated with BCG exposure or NMIBC patient status. More specifically, we considered older age, male sex, lack of influenza vaccination (in the year 2018 and/or 2019), smoking cigarettes, and history of chronic lung disease (ie, asthma, COPD, chronic bronchitis, lung emphysema) as potentially relevant respiratory infectionrisk increasing covariables.

Genotyping and analysis of exome DNA variation in BCGtreated NMIBC patients

BCG-treated NMIBC patients were included from the previously described Nijmegen Bladder Cancer Study (NBCS).⁶³ NBCS patients were genotyped using the Illumina HumanExome BeadChip ('exome chip') containing over 240000 exonic markers of which ~90% with minor allele frequency (MAF) <5%. Variants were called and cleaned according to⁶⁴ and as an additional quality check, cluster plots of variants that showed a difference of >5%in MAF compared with European samples from the 1000 Genomes project were visually checked and adjusted, where appropriate. Additionally, standard quality control was performed using PLINK V.1.9 which included removal of samples based on call rate, gender mismatch, relatedness, heterozygosity and population outliers, and removal of variants with call rate <98%, that were monomorphic, not in HWE, or should be treated with caution (https:// genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/Exome_Chip_Design). Also, duplicate and triallelic variants were removed. After QC, 92364 exome chip variants were available for analysis in a total of 215 NMIBC patients that had received at least 5 BCG induction instillations (see online supplemental table 11 for patient characteristics). Given the known clinical benefit of BCG maintenance cycles, we also performed analyses in the subset of patients that received at least one maintenance cycle (defined as at least seven instillations). Gene-based analyses of candidate TI genes were performed using the coxKM R-package⁶⁵ (linear kernel and default settings). Five of the 34 candidate genes could not be analyzed (IL1B and KDM4E: no exome chip variants; ASC and ATG5: only monomorphic DNA variants in study population; LAMP5: X chromosome, not analyzed). Multiple testing-adjusted p value was set at 4.3×10^{-4} (29 genes and 4 outcome/treatment combinations). Single-variant analyses were performed using univariable Cox proportional hazards regression analysis and an additive genotype model. Recurrence was defined as a new, histologically confirmed bladder tumor following at least one tumor-negative urethrocystoscopy or following two surgical resection attempts for the primary bladder tumor. Disease progression was defined as a shift to a higher tumor stage and/or grade.

Recurrence-free survival (RFS) and progression-free survival (PFS) were defined as the time period between the initial transurethral resection of the tumor and the first event (recurrence or progression, respectively), date of censoring or date of 5-year follow-up, whichever came first, as described before.⁶⁶

Data availability

Raw data files for RNA-sequencing and ChIP-sequencing are deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus under the reference series number GSE190530.

RESULTS

BCG instillations induce long-term systemic TI responses as indicated by increased cytokine production before BCG maintenance cycles

To determine whether BCG instillations induced TI in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) we performed a prospective cohort study ('Tribute') and isolated PBMCs collected before BCG therapy and at eight time points during BCG therapy (figure 1A). A total of 17 BCG-naïve HR-NMIBC patients were included (see online supplemental table 1 for inclusion and exclusion criteria), with a median age at inclusion of 67 years and 16 male patients (94%). Twelve patients received a complete induction regimen of six cycles of full-dose BCG. Four patients discontinued BCG therapy due to side effects, two patients due to disease progression, one patient because of BCG shortage, and for two patients the last BCG maintenance regimen was not performed (see online supplemental table 2). Six patients received onethird dose BCG or a reduced number of BCG instillations (or both) due to BCG shortage.

Immediately after PBMC isolation, we performed ex vivo stimulation experiments for 24 hours and measured production of four innate cytokines, that is, IL-1 β , IL-6, TNF, and IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra) (see the Methods section). The fold change in cytokine production between pre-BCG1 (baseline, BCG naïve) and time points during BCG therapy was used as indicator of the TI response, in line with methods used by BCG vaccination studies.⁶⁷ We focused on pre-BCG7, pre-BCG10 and pre-BCG13 (ie, pre-BCG maintenance time points). At these time points, the patients had not received a BCG instillation for multiple weeks or months and hence are most informative for TI.⁶⁸

Before the start of the first 3-weekly BCG maintenance cycle (pre-BCG7, \pm 6 weeks after BCG6, figure 1A), the LPS-induced production of TNF and IL-1 β was elevated compared with pre-BCG1 (median fold change (MFC) TNF: 1.70 (p=0.035); IL-1 β : 1.50 (p=0.020)) (figure 1B,C). The TNF and IL-1 β production after P3C stimulation was also increased, but not at the level of nominal statistical significance (MFC TNF: 1.26 (p=0.110); IL-1 β : 1.05 (p=0.194)) (figure 1D,E). Similar findings were observed for IL-6 (LPS: MFC 1.21 (p=0.080); P3C: MFC 1.55 (p=0.058)) and IL-1Ra (LPS MFC 1.12 (p=0.020); P3C MFC 1.16 (p=0.058)) (online supplemental figure 1).

At the start of the second BCG maintenance cycle (pre-BCG10), approximately 3 months after BCG9, TNF and IL-1 β production after stimulation was still increased compared with pre-BCG1 (figure 1). TNF was increased with a MFC of 1.62 and 1.87 for LPS and P3C stimulation, respectively (p=0.008 and p=0.016), and the MFC for IL-1 β was 1.48 and 1.41 (p=0.016 and p=0.055). Production of IL-6 and IL-1Ra was not increased compared with pre-BCG1 (online supplemental figure 1). Between pre-BCG7 and pre-BCG10, there was no further increase in TNF production after LPS or P3C stimulation. The three patients from whom PBMCs were isolated at the pre-BCG13 time point did not show an increased production of TNF, IL-1 β , IL-6 or IL-1Ra compared with pre-BCG1, but small numbers prevent meaningful conclusions.

Thus, for the first time, we showed that BCG instillations induce long-lasting increased innate cytokine production by circulating monocyte-enriched PBMCs and we confirmed the presence of a persistent TI phenotype before the first and second BCG maintenance cycle.

We also assessed the short-term effects of BCG on innate immune responses during the BCG induction regimen and found that 1 week after the first BCG instillation (at BCG2) the total number of white blood cells in the circulation was increased (p<0.01, online supplemental table 3) compared with pre-BCG1. More specifically, the neutrophil (p<0.01) and monocyte (p<0.01) cell populations were increased. The increase in neutrophil count after a single BCG instillation is in line with a study that found increased peripheral blood neutrophil counts in human newborns 3 days after vaccination with BCG.⁶⁹ For other time points, changes in innate immune populations were either less strong or absent (online supplemental table 3). At BCG2, we also observed increased TNF, IL-1 β and IL-1Ra production by PBMCs after ex vivo stimulation with LPS or P3C. IL-6 production was not increased (figure 1 and online supplemental figure 1). IL-1β production after LPS and P3C stimulation remained increased at BCG6 compared with pre-BCG1, whereas on a group level, TNF, IL-6 and IL-1Ra production was not increased (figure 1 and online supplemental figure 1).

Epigenetic changes at cytokine-gene promoters in monocytes isolated after BCG instillations

Epigenetic modifications at the gene promoters of *IL6*, *TNF*, and *IL1B* are hallmarks of TI.^{26 70} Using ChIP-qPCR we assessed histone 3 lysine 4 trimethylation (H3K4me3) modifications, an activating epigenetic modification linked to BCG-induced TI,⁷⁰ at these promoters in a subset of seven patients (those seven that were included first in Tribute). Again, we focused on the pre-BCG1, pre-BCG7 and pre-BCG10 time points to assess innate immune memory. Surprisingly, in contrast to observations for cytokine production, we did not find an increase on group level in H3K4me3 modifications at pre-BCG7 or pre-BCG10 compared with pre-BCG1 (figure 2). An

increased H3K4me3 signal was only observed in 2–4 out of 7 patients for the various cytokine gene loci. Importantly though, these 2–4 patients all had an increased TNF and IL-1 β production after LPS stimulation. Furthermore, three out of the four patients with an increase in H3K4me3 at region 1 of the *IL6* promoter showed a strong increase in IL-6 production after LPS stimulation (MFC of 2 or higher). However, there were also patients with increased IL-6, TNF or IL-1 β production on LPS or P3C stimulation that showed decreased H3K4me3 signal.

The analysis of H3K4me3 modifications via ChIP-PCR only covered specific parts of the promoter regions of TNF, IL6 and IL1B. To exclude the possibility of missing out on modification signals in other parts of the promoter due to the selection of specific primers only, we investigated changes in H3K4me3 signal in other parts of these promoters as well via analysis of genomewide ChIP-sequencing data of these seven patients. This more in-depth analysis revealed that, on a group level, there were no changes in H3K4me3 signal at either the gene promoter or gene body of IL6, TNF or IL1B. This result was consistent for all comparisons between pre-BCG1 and post-BCG time points. Notably, both patients without (figure 3A) and with (figure 3B) recurrence of urothelial carcinoma after BCG therapy showed increases in H3K4me3 signal at the TNF promoter indicating no deterministic association with clinical outcome.

BCG instillations induce epigenetic modifications in genes involved with inflammasome signaling and chromatin remodeling

We further analyzed the ChIP-seq data to evaluate genomic regions that changed in H3K4me3 signal on a genome-wide scale. In total, there were 481 dynamic peaks (increasing or decreasing in H3K4me3 signal) that showed unadjusted p<0.05 between pre-BCG1 and any of the measured post-BCG time points (ie, BCG6, pre-BCG7, BCG9, pre-BCG10) of which 375 were unique (online supplemental table 4). Seventeen peaks showed an adjusted p<0.05. The strongest statistical evidence for an increase in H3K4me3 signal was found for pre-BCG10 and a region near CIDEC, coding for Cell-death-inducing-DFF45-like-effector-C and important for LPS-induced IL-1 β production by epithelial cells⁷¹ (figure 3C; at pre-BCG10); the signal was increased at BCG6 and BCG9 as well (online supplemental table 4). CIDEC is also involved in the formation of proinflammatory macrophage foam cells⁷² and controls inflammasome activation in mouse adipocytes.⁷³ In contrast, H3K4me3 near ATF7IP, a binding partner and regulator of SETDB1,^{74 75} which is a histone 3-lysine 9 (H3K9) methyltransferase, was decreased (figure 3C and online supplemental table 4). We specifically assessed changes in H3K4me3 signal in 34 candidate TI genes (online supplemental table 5), which are genes related to IL-1 β /inflammasome signaling,²⁶ autophagy,²⁹ epigenetic enzymes,^{35 36} myelopoiesis³⁹ and glycolysis.³⁷ Among the candidate genes, three regions showed a significant unadjusted p value. IL32 increased



Figure 2 BCG instillations induce H3K4me3 modifications in monocytes. Results of the ChIP-PCR analysis for H3K4me3 modifications. H3K4me3 signal was calculated as %input and the fold change (of %input) is shown for pre-BCG7 and pre-BCG10 compared with pre-BCG1. The fold change is shown for six genomic regions in the promoter of *TNF*, *IL1B* and *IL6* (the primers are displayed in online supplemental table 13). (A) *TNF* region 1, (B) *TNF* region 2, (C) *IL1B* region 1, (D) *IL1B* region 2, (E) *IL6* region 1, (F) *IL6* region 2. Two-tailed matched-pairs Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to determine statistical significance in between time points. Statistical significance was accepted at p<0.05 and indicated as follows: *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ****p<0.001 ****p<0.0001. ChIP, chromatin immuno precipitation.

in H3K4me3 signal at BCG9 and pre-BCG10, *ATG16L2* and *KDM4E* were increased at pre-BCG10, and H3K4me3 near *TLR4*, the receptor for LPS, was decreased at BCG9. IL-32 plays a crucial role in the induction of TI by BCG.^{32 33} *ATG16L2* is involved in autophagy and KDM4E is an epigenetic enzyme which demethylates H3K9 and regulates TI responses.³⁶ KDM4E and ATF7IP (indirectly) affect the methylation status of H3K9 which is interesting

because the methylation status of H3K9 affects BCGinduced TI responses.³⁵ Overall, the ChIP-seq results suggested that H3K4me3 modifications at the promoter regions of *TNF*, *IL6*, and *IL1B* can be induced by BCG instillations but not in all patients and without a clear link to clinical response in our small patient series. Analysis of the top hits and candidate genes suggested that genes involved with IL32, autophagy and H3K9-remodeling



Figure 3 BCG instillations induce epigenetic modifications in genes involved in autophagy, IL-1β, and epigenetic enzymes. Results of the ChIP-sequencing analysis. (A) UCSC genome browser tracks of H3K4me3 signal found around the *TNF* gene locus of a NMIBC patient with good clinical response to BCG. (B) H3K4me3 signal near the TNF gene locus of a patient who had a recurrence after BCG therapy. H3K4me3 signal is displayed for pre-BCG1, BCG6, pre-BCG7, BCG9 and pre-BCG10. (C) Volcano plot of ChIP-sequencing data. The x-axis displays the fold change (in log2) in H3K4me3 signal between pre-BCG1 and any of the post-BCG time points (BCG6, pre-BCG7, BCG9 and pre-BCG10; see online supplemental table 4). The y-axis displays the p value adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. The red line indicates an adjusted p value of 0.05. ChiP, chromatin immuno precipitation; NMIBC, non-muscle invasive bladder cancer; UCSC, University of California Santa Cruz.

epigenetic enzymes, important for TI responses, may be epigenetically modified.

BCG instillations upregulate genes involved in inflammasome activation

To determine how BCG instillations affect gene expression in monocytes, we performed RNA-sequencing on circulating Percoll-isolated monocytes isolated from six (out of seven ChIP-seq) patients for time points pre-BCG1, BCG6 and pre-BCG7 (figure 4A). Note that the monocytes were not stimulated ex vivo before RNA-seq analysis. We did not find statistically significant changes in gene expression of *TNF*, *IL6*, *IL1B* or *IL1RN* at BCG6 or pre-BCG7 compared with pre-BCG1 (online supplemental tables 6 and 7 and online supplemental figure 2). This is in line with BCG vaccination results described by Arts *et al.*²⁶ Among the top hits for BCG6 versus pre-BCG1, *FANCA* (log2 FC: 0.41; p_{adj} =0.0199) and *APOL2* (log2 FC: 0.39; p_{adj} =0.0303) showed upregulation. The FANCA protein is involved in DNA damage



Figure 4 Upregulation of GBPs and AIM2 in vivo and during induction of trained immunity in vitro. (A) Protocol for transcriptome analysis of monocyte-enriched cell suspensions before and during intravesical BCG therapy. Note that the cells were not stimulated ex vivo before RNA-sequencing. (B) Gene expression of GBP1, GBP2, GBP4, GBP5 and AIM2 at pre-BCG1, BCG6 and pre-BCG7. Each color indicates an individual patient. The bar represents the mean gene expression of all 6 patients for each time point. For the changes in mean gene expression between pre-BCG1 and BCG6: GBP1 p=0.0001, $p_{adjust} = 0.053$; *GBP2* p=0.0002, $p_{adjust} = 0.114$; *GBP4* p=0.0070, $p_{adjust} = 1$; *GBP5* p=0.0016, $p_{adjust} = 0.5051$; *AIM2* p=0.0027, $p_{adjust} = 0.7203$. And between pre-BCG1 and pre-BCG7 only *GBP1* and *AIM2* were significant: *GBP1* p=0.0084, $p_{adjust} = 1$; *AIM2* p=0.0427, p_{arliust}=1. (C) The in vitro trained immunity protocol as described by Domínguez-Andrés et al.⁵⁹ Monocytes are isolated from the blood, seeded on a cell culture plate and subsequently incubated with a trained immunity-inducing stimulus (BCG), and a control condition (RPMI), for the first 24 hours. After 24 hours, the training stimulus is washed away and the culture medium is refreshed. On day 6, the restimulation stimulus (LPS), or a negative control restimulation (RPMI) is added. After 24 hours of restimulation, the supernatant is collected for cytokine measurements. For this particular experiment, cells were harvested at baseline (d0), After the first 4 hours (4 hour), 24 hours (24 hours), at day 6 before restimulation (d6), and at day 6, 4 hours after restimulation with LPS (d6+4 hour LPS), and RNA was isolated and gene expression was measured. (D) Changes in gene expression for GBP1, GBP2, GBP4, GBP5 and AIM2 are displayed as median (solid line), and 25th and 75th guartiles (shaded) for three conditions: BCG Bulgaria (green), BCG Denmark (blue), and RPMI (gray, negative control). The expression of all genes was significantly increased in D6-BCG-trained macrophages compared with RPMI macrophages (GBP1 $p=0.0001, p_{adjust}=0.03; GBP2 p=7.78^{-5}, p_{adjust}=0.02; GBP4 p=2.79^{-6}, p_{adjust}=0.0013; GBP5 p=7.40^{-8}, p_{adjust}=8.10^{-5}; AIM2 p=0.00015, AIM2 p=0.000015, AIM2 p=0.000015,$ p_{adjust}=0.034). All adjusted p values in this figure were calculated using the Benjamini-Hochberg method.

repair during hematopoiesis.⁷⁶ Increased *APOL2* expression is associated with polarization of macrophages to the M1 (proinflammatory phenotype).⁷⁷ Furthermore, two defined TI candidate genes, *GBP1* and *GBP2*, involved in inflammasome activation,^{78–81} showed strong but borderline nonsignificant evidence for increased gene expression (log2 FC: 0.83; p_{adj} =0.052 and log2 FC: 0.54; p_{adj} =0.114). Among the candidate genes that did not reach statistical significance after multiple testing, we found that in addition to *GBP1* and *GBP2*, also *GBP4* and *GBP5* were upregulated (figure 4B) Interferon regulatory factor 1 (*IRF1*), an upstream transcription factor that regulates *GBP* gene expression, and the cytosolic DNA sensing receptor *AIM2*, which is activated by GBPs and IRF1,^{78 82} were upregulated as well (at $p_{unadj} < 0.05$). Interestingly, *IL8*, a major chemokine for neutrophil recruitment, was downregulated (online supplemental table 6). Motif enrichment analysis revealed that 17% of the scanned promoters from the differentially expressed genes at BCG6 are enriched for an interferon-sensitive response element (ISRE), an IRF responsive motif, compared with just 1.3% of



Figure 5 Increased activity of IRF transcription factors and higher IFN γ plasma concentration may drive upregulation of genes after BCG instillations. (A) Motif enrichment analysis at the promoters of the upregulated genes between pre-BCG1 and BCG6 reveals that Interferon-Sensitive Response elements (ISRE) and IRFs are significantly more present at the promoter regions of upregulated genes. (B) Gene expression of *IRF1* and *IRF9* is increased at BCG6 (*IRF1* p=0.0146, p_{adjust}=1; *IRF9* p=0.0004, p_{adjust}=0.1763) (C) Concentration of IFN γ in blood plasma of Tribute patients at each time-point. Data are presented in NPX values, which is a log2 scale. Individual patient values are displayed as gray dots. Group values are displayed as median±range. Two tailed matched-pair Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to determine statistical significance between time points. Statistical significance was accepted at p<0.05 and indicated as follows: *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p≤0.001, ****p≤0.0001. Number of data points per time point: pre-BCG1: 17, BCG2: 17, BCG6: 16, pre-BCG7: 14, BCG9: 13, pre-BCG10: 8, BCG12: 7, pre-BCG13: 3, BCG15: 3. IRFs, Interferon Regulatory Factors.

all genes (figure 5A). These results suggest that IRFs, which are also transcription factors that control M1 macrophage differentiation,^{83 84} are important mediators between BCG exposure and gene induction,³⁸ also when BCG is instilled in the bladder. In line with this observation, we found upregulated expression of *IRF1* and *IRF9* at BCG6 (figure 5B) and increased IFN γ concentration in blood plasma (figure 5C).

At the start of BCG maintenance (pre-BCG7), 6weeks after BCG induction, there were no genes upregulated that reached the level of statistical significance after multiple testing (online supplemental table 7).

A total of 26 genes remained upregulated at p_{unadj} <0.05 from BCG6 to pre-BCG7 and 27 genes remained downregulated (online supplemental table 8). Among those that were upregulated, we identified four genes involved in inflammasome activation: *GBP1*, *AIM2*, *CASP5* and *KCNMA1*,^{85 86} and one gene involved in positive regulation of autophagy: *RUFY4*.^{87–89} Interestingly, three genes coding for hemoglobin subunits (*HBA1*, *HBA2*, *HBB*) remained downregulated.

GBPs regulate intracellular innate immune responses and activate the inflammasome for IL-1 β production,⁷⁸⁻⁸² also after LPS stimulation.^{78 80 90} GBPs also mediate the release of DNA from vacuoles into the cytosol for activation of DNA-sensing receptors such as CGAS and AIM2.⁹¹ Thus, our RNA-seq data suggested that GBP-inflammasome signaling and DNA-sensing by AIM2 may be increased.

In vitro TI experiments with BCG are characterized by increased GBP-AIM2 inflammasome gene expression

The increased IL-1 β production by PBMCs and the upregulation of AIM2 and GBPs suggests that inflammasome activity is enhanced and may be an important mechanism of TI during BCG therapy. To determine whether GBP and AIM2 gene expression is also upregulated in monocytes trained by direct exposure to BCG vaccine, we performed in vitro experiments with monocytes from five healthy donors using a standard TI protocol³⁷⁵⁹ (figure 4C), and measured gene expression at baseline, after 4 hours, 24 hours, 6 days, and 6 days plus 4 hours after LPS restimulation. In line with the RNA-seq data from the BCG-treated bladder cancer patients, we found that in vitro induction of TI by two strains of BCG increased the expression of GBP1, GBP2, GBP4, GBP5 and AIM2 (figure 4D) compared with the non-BCG trained control condition, especially after LPS restimulation. These results suggested that BCG-trained monocytes, compared with the non-trained monocytes, had a primed AIM2-GBP inflammasome prior to restimulation which is then enhanced even more on LPS restimulation. BCG may thus increase AIM2-mediated DNA-sensing and subsequently lead to increased inflammasome activation and IL-1 β production.

BCG bladder instillations decrease the risk of respiratory infections in NMIBC patients

BCG vaccination is associated with a reduced risk of pneumonia and influenza due to TI.^{27 92–94} If BCG instillations also induce a TI phenotype, we would expect to see a reduced frequency of respiratory infections. We studied this association by comparing self-reported data on respiratory infections experienced in the 18 months prior to reporting (ie, between November 2018 and May 2020) from 407 BCG-treated NMIBC patients and 250 non-BCG treated NMIBC patients. Out of the 407 BCG-treated patients, 109 were considered BCG-exposed during the whole respiratory infection outcome assessment period; the remaining 298 BCG-treated patients were exposed during a part of this period or prior to this period (partially exposed) (see the Methods section and online supplemental table 9 for patient characteristics). Results of the univariable regression analysis showed a borderline statistically significant 37% decreased risk of respiratory infections for the BCG-exposed versus BCG-unexposed group (OR 0.63 (95% CI 0.40 to 1.01)), and a non-significant 17% reduced risk for the partially BCG exposed versus BCG unexposed (OR 0.83 (95% CI 0.58 to 1.18)) (table 1). The strongest evidence for a risk reduction in BCG-treated patients was seen for pneumonia and common cold. Comparison of risk estimates for fully and partially BCG-exposed NMIBC patients for those RIs with a substantial number of events showed a dose-response relation with the lowest risks for those who are fully exposed. Multivariable analyses that included potential confounders showed very similar results (online supplemental table 10). These findings suggest that BCG instillations induce heterologous protective effects against infectious diseases, in line with the induction of a systemic TI phenotype.

Germline DNA variants in genes that affect TI induction are associated with recurrence and progression in BCG-treated NMIBC patients

We previously described an association between singlenucleotide polymorphism rs3759601 in ATG2B, that influenced both the in vitro and in vivo training effect of BCG, and response to BCG in NMIBC patients from the NBCS.^{29 63} Here, we extended our genetic research and assessed whether rare to common DNA variants in the 34 TI candidate genes (online supplemental table 5) affect clinical outcome of BCG-treated patients. We analyzed available exome chip data of 215 BCG-treated NMIBC patients from the NBCS (see online supplemental table 11 for patient characteristics). Gene-based association analysis could be performed for 29 of the 34 genes and revealed no multiple testing-adjusted statistically significant finding $(\langle 4.3 \times 10^{-4} \rangle)$ for any of the candidate genes (online supplemental table 12). However, a borderline significant result was found for EHMT2 (p=0.0005 for progression-free survival after BCG induction and maintenance). Unadjusted p values between 0.008 and 0.058 were found for candidates ATG2B, ATG7, ATG16L1, and HNF1B, with either recurrence or progression after BCG induction or BCG induction and maintenance (table 2). These results suggest that genetic variation in genes known to affect TI induction by BCG, that is, autophagy genes,²⁹ a gene controlling myelopoiesis,³⁹ and a mediator of H3K9 methylation,³⁵ affects the clinical response after BCG therapy. Given the lack of statistical significance, however, validation in other cohorts is required.

 Table 1
 Results of univariable association analysis for respiratory infections (RIs) and BCG exposure status among NMIBC patients participating in the BlaZIB and UroLife studies

	NMIBC BC	G		NMIBC no BCG	Unadjusted OR (9	5% CI)	
	Total	Exposed	Partially exposed		BCG exposed versus no BCG	Partially BCG exposed versus no BCG	BCG versus no BCG
Total	407	109	298	250	-	-	-
Pneumonia (%)	23 (5.7)	4 (3.7)	19 (6.4)	22 (8.8)	0.40 (0.13 to 1.17)	0.71 (0.37 to 1.34)	0.62 (0.34 to 1.14)
Bronchitis (%)	11 (2.7)	5 (4.6)	6 (2.0)	10 (4.0)	1.15 (0.39 to 3.46)	0.49 (0.18 to 1.38)	0.67 (0.28 to 1.59)
Laryngitis (%)	20 (4.9)	6 (5.5)	14 (4.7)	16 (6.4)	0.85 (0.32 to 2.24)	0.72 (0.35 to 1.51)	0.76 (0.38 to 1.49)
Influenza (%)	72 (17.7)	19 (17.4)	53 (17.8)	50 (20.0)	0.84 (0.47 to 1.51)	0.87 (0.56 to 1.33)	0.86 (0.58 to 1.28)
Cold (%)	223 (54.8)	53 (48.6)	170 (57.0)	155 (62.0)	0.58 (0.37 to 0.91)	0.81 (0.58 to 1.15)	0.74 (0.54 to 1.02)
All above RIs (%)	254 (62.4)	63 (57.8)	191 (64.1)	171 (68.4)	0.63 (0.40 to 1.01)	0.83 (0.58 to 1.18)	0.77 (0.55 to 1.07)

Comparisons were made between NMIBC patients that were considered BCG exposed, partially BCG exposed, and unexposed to BCG (see Methods).

NMIBC, non-muscle invasive bladder cancer.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we showed for the first time that intravesical BCG treatment induces TI at a systemic level, reflected by the increased cytokine production by PBMCs isolated from peripheral blood and increased protection against respiratory infections. Also, we found that germline DNA variants in genes important for the induction of TI influence the clinical oncological outcome after BCG instillations in NMIBC.

Previous research assessing the effects of intravesical BCG application on TI responses is scarce and provides incomplete evidence. Conti et al showed that after 18 hours of ex vivo LPS stimulation, monocytes from three BCG-treated NMIBC patients had a significantly higher production of TNF and IL-1 α compared with monocytes from three non-BCG treated NMIBC patients or three healthy age-matched controls.⁴⁵ Furthermore, Buffen et *al* found an increased production of TNF, IL-1 β and IL-6 after the sixth BCG instillation compared with before the first BCG instillation.²⁹ Kim et al observed that TNF production increased with consecutive BCG instillations of an induction cycle and peaked after the fourth BCG instillation, but for three out of seven patients the TNF production declined between the fourth and sixth instillation.⁴⁶ Graham et al described variable post-BCG versus pre-BCG ratios of cytokines released by monocytes following LPS stimulation in 33 NMIBC patients during BCG induction, with approximately half of the patients showing an increase in ratios.⁹⁵ A limitation of all these studies is that they only assessed the cytokine production during a BCG induction cycle which may be influenced by the immunological processes of priming or tolerance.⁶⁸

We now showed that repeated intravesical BCG instillations induce systemic TI and added new long-term data that showed that the TI phenotype is present at the start of BCG maintenance cycle 1 and 2, despite a time interval of 6–12weeks without BCG instillations. TI may already be induced after a single BCG instillation given the observed increased cytokine production 1 week after the first BCG instillation by us and others.⁴⁶ Importantly, some patients showed a decreased cytokine production capacity at the sixth BCG instillation, as also observed by Kim *et al*,⁴⁶ which could indicate a phenotype of immune tolerance.⁶⁸ From in vitro TI experiments it is known that the induction of TI and induction of immune tolerance is dose dependent, where TI-inducing stimuli induce immune tolerance at a high dose and TI at a low or moderate dose.⁹⁶ The number of colony forming units in a single dose used during a BCG instillation is approximately 500-fold higher compared with the dose of a BCG vaccination.^{97 98} This dose and the repetitive instillations in NMIBC may explain why at BCG6, BCG9 and BCG12, shortly after multiple BCG instillations, the cytokine responses were decreased compared with pre-BCG7 and pre-BCG10.

The TI phenotype is complex and training capacity in the form of TI-associated cytokine production on ex vivo stimulation or any of the other TI markers will vary among patients. This interindividual variation in TI responses has been described both after BCG vaccination and after BCG-induced TI in vitro.⁹⁹ Our Tribute patient series was too small to assess associations between patient, tumor, BCG treatment (frequency and dosage), and clinical characteristics and magnitude of TI responses but novel investigations are increasing insight into relevant factors for variation after BCG vaccination.¹⁰⁰ We did find interpatient variation in in vitro cytokine production which may explain the non-statistically significant increases for some cytokines and time points. Surprisingly, increase in H3K4me3 signal at promoters of hallmark TI genes was only observed for less than half of the patients. We have no definitive explanation for this finding. One explanation for the lack of increase in H3K4me3 signal in multiple patients could be the use of Percoll for monocyte isolation,

ArG76L1 NMIBC outcome trait and treatment unadjusted for pvalue trait and treatment unadjusted for pratients group Permutation and treatment unadjusted for pratients ArG76L1 RFS and ≥5 BCG 0.1454 215 ArG76L1 RFS and ≥5 BCG 0.0552 215 ArG76L1 RFS and ≥5 BCG 0.0550 215 ArG7 RFS and ≥5 BCG 0.0550 215 instillations 0.0550 0.0550 215 ArG7 RFS and ≥5 BCG 0.0560 215 instillations 0.0550 0.0560 215 ArG7 RFS and ≥5 BCG 0.0560 215 ArG76L1 RFS and ≥7 BCG 0.0580 137 ArG76L1 RFS and ≥7 BCG 0.0521 137 ArG76L2 RFS and ≥7 BCG 0.0521 137 ArG76L1 RFS and ≥7 BCG 0.0521 137 ArG76L1 RFS and ≥7 BCG 0.0521 137 ArG76 RFS and ≥7 BCG 0.0521 137 ArG76L1 RFS and ≥7 BCG 0.0521 137 <tr< th=""><th>Table 2 Res Study (NBCS)</th><th>ults of the associatio</th><th>on analysis of exome</th><th>e chip variant</th><th>s in trained immu</th><th>nity candidate ge</th><th>nes and clinical o</th><th>utcome in patie</th><th>ents of the Nijm</th><th>iegen Bladder Cancer</th></tr<>	Table 2 Res Study (NBCS)	ults of the associatio	on analysis of exome	e chip variant	s in trained immu	nity candidate ge	nes and clinical o	utcome in patie	ents of the Nijm	iegen Bladder Cancer
ATG16L1RFS and \geq BCG0.1454215ATG2BRFS and \geq BCG0.0552215ATG2RFS and \geq BCG0.0518215ATG7RFS and \geq 5BCG0.0518215RFNRFS and \geq 5BCG0.0518215HNF1BRFS and \geq 5BCG0.3863215Instillations0.3863215HNF1BRFS and \geq 5BCG0.3863215ATG7BRFS and \geq 7BCG0.3863215ATG7BRFS and \geq 7BCG0.0580137Instillations0.1165137ATG7RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0580137RTG2BRFS and \geq 7BCG0.0511137ATG7RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0511137ATG7RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0521137ATG7RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0427137ATG7RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0427137ATG7RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0427137ATG16L1PFS and \geq 5BCG0.0580137ATG7PFS and \geq 5BCG0.0690215ATG7PFS and \geq 5BCG0.0691215HNF1BPFS and \geq 5BCG0.0633215HNF1BPFS and \geq 5BCG0.0633215HNF1BPFS and \geq 5BCG0.4879215HNF1BPFS and \geq 5BCG0.4879215HNF1BPFS and \geq 5BCG0.4879215HNF1BPFS and \geq 5BCG0.4879215HNF1BPFS and \geq 5BCG0.4879215	Gene name	NMIBC outcome trait and treatment group	Permutation p value t unadjusted for multiple testing	n patients	n SNVs in gene including monomorphic	n SNVs included in gene-based test	SNV(s) with p<0.10 (effect allele) for asociated genes	SNV effect allele frequency	Effect allele direction of risk	Gene-based association with NMIBC outcome in BCG- and non- BCG treated NMIBC NBCS patients (n=1306)
ATG2BRFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0552215ATG7RFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0518215 $ATG7$ RFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0518215 $HNF1B$ RFS and \geq 5 BCG0.9200215 $HNF1B$ RFS and \geq 5 BCG0.3863215 $HNF1B$ RFS and \geq 5 BCG0.3863215 $HNF1B$ RFS and \geq 5 BCG0.3863215 $HNF1B$ RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0580137 $ATG2B$ RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.1165137 $ATG2B$ RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0521137 $HNT1B$ RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.6743137 $HNT1B$ RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.6743137 $HNT1B$ RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0521137 $ATG7$ RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.6743137 $HNT1B$ RFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0521137 $ATG7$ RFS and \geq 5 BCG0.2317215 $ATG7$ RFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0050215 $ATG7$ PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0050215 $ATG7$ PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0050215 $ATG7$ PFS and \succeq 5 BCG0.005	ATG16L1	RFS and ≥5 BCG instillations	0.1454	215	4	e				
ATG7RFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0518215 <i>EHMT2</i> RFS and \geq 5 BCG0.9200215 <i>EHMT2</i> RFS and \geq 5 BCG0.9200215 <i>HNF1B</i> RFS and \geq 5 BCG0.3863215 <i>HNF1B</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.363215 <i>ATG36L1</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0550137 <i>ATG2B</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.1165137 <i>ATG2B</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0541137 <i>ATG1</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0621137 <i>ATG2B</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0621137 <i>ATG1</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0621137 <i>ATG1</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0621137 <i>ATG161</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.06743137 <i>ATG161</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0743137 <i>ATG161</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0317215 <i>ATG161</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4691215 <i>ATG2B</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4691215 <i>ATG7</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4691215 <i>ATG7</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4691215 <i>ATG7</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4691215 <i>HNT2</i> PFS and \succeq 5 BCG0.4691215 <i>HNT2</i> PFS and t 5 BCG0.4691215 <i>HNT2</i> PFS and t 5 BCG	ATG2B	RFS and ≥5 BCG instillations	0.0552	215	19	0	rs3759601 (C), rs201204616 (G)	0.42, 0.002	Increase, Increase	ПО
EHMT2RFS and \geq BCG0.9200215HNF1BRFS and \geq BCG0.3863215HNF1BRFS and \geq 7BCG0.3863215ATG76L1RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0580137ATG2BRFS and \geq 7BCG0.1165137ATG7BRFS and \geq 7BCG0.0521137ATG7RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0521137ATG7RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0521137ATG7RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0521137HNF1BRFS and \geq 7BCG0.0427137ATG761RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0427137HNF1BRFS and \geq 7BCG0.0427215ATG7PFS and \geq 5BCG0.0680215ATG7PFS and \geq 5BCG0.0631215ATG7PFS and \geq 5BCG0.0631215HNF1BPFS and \geq 5BCG0.0631215HNF1B <td>ATG7</td> <td>RFS and ≥5 BCG instillations</td> <td>0.0518</td> <td>215</td> <td>Q</td> <td>ო</td> <td>rs2606736 (G)</td> <td>0.37</td> <td>Decrease</td> <td>Ю</td>	ATG7	RFS and ≥5 BCG instillations	0.0518	215	Q	ო	rs2606736 (G)	0.37	Decrease	Ю
HNFTBRFS and \geq 5BCG0.3863215ATG16L1RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0580137ATG2BRFS and \geq 7BCG0.1165137ATG7RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0521137ATG7RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0521137ATG7RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0573137ATG7RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0743137HNT2RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0427137HNF1BRFS and \geq 7BCG0.0427137ATG16L1PFS and \geq 7BCG0.0427137ATG76PFS and \geq 5BCG0.0427215ATG7PFS and \geq 5BCG0.4691215ATG7PFS and \geq 5BCG0.4691215ATG7PFS and \geq 5BCG0.0053215HNF1BPFS and \geq 5BCG0.053215HNF1BPFS AND0.053<	EHMT2	RFS and ≥5 BCG instillations	0.9200	215	Q	4				
ATG76L1RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0580137ATG2BRFS and \geq 7 BCG0.1165137ATG7RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0521137ATG7RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0521137BHMT2RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.6743137Instillations0.0427137EHMT2RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.6743137BHMT2RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.6743137Instillations0.0521137ATG76Instillations0.0427137ATG716L1PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.2317215ATG78PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4691215ATG7PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4691215InstillationsDFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0653215HNF18PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0653215HNF18PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4879215Instillations0.46910.4691215HNF18PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.053215Instillations0.0530.4879215Instillations0.48790.4879215Instillations0.48790.4879215InstillationsPFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4879215InstillationsInstillations0.4879215InstillationsInstillations0.4879215InstillationsInstillations0.4879215InstillationsInstillations0.4879215InstillationsInstillations0.4879215Instilla	HNF1B	RFS and ≥5 BCG instillations	0.3863	215	e	e				
ATG2BRFS and \geq 7BCG0.1165137ATG7instillations0.0521137HNT3RFS and \geq 7BCG0.0521137EHMT2RFS and \geq 7BCG0.6743137instillations0.0427137HNF1BRFS and \geq 7BCG0.0427137MTG16L1PFS and \geq 7BCG0.0427137ATG16L1PFS and \geq 7BCG0.0427137MTG16L1PFS and \geq 5BCG0.0427215ATG2BPFS and \geq 5BCG0.0680215MTG7PFS and \geq 5BCG0.0653215HNF1BPFS and \geq 5BCG0.0553215HNF1BPFS and \geq 5BCG0.0553 </td <td>ATG16L1</td> <td>RFS and ≥7 BCG instillations</td> <td>0.0580</td> <td>137</td> <td>4</td> <td>e</td> <td>rs3828309 (C), rs2241880 (G), rs3792109 (T)</td> <td>0.49, 0.49, 0.50</td> <td>Increase, Increase, Increase</td> <td>P</td>	ATG16L1	RFS and ≥7 BCG instillations	0.0580	137	4	e	rs3828309 (C), rs2241880 (G), rs3792109 (T)	0.49, 0.49, 0.50	Increase, Increase, Increase	P
ATG7RFS and \geq 7 BCG 0.0521 137 <i>EHMT2</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG 0.6743 137 <i>HNF1B</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG 0.6743 137 <i>HNF1B</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG 0.0427 137 <i>ATG16L1</i> PFS and \geq 7 BCG 0.0427 137 <i>ATG16L1</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.2317 215 <i>ATG2B</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.0080 215 <i>ATG2B</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.4691 215 <i>ATG7</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.4691 215 <i>ATG7</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.4691 215 <i>ATG7</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.0053 215 <i>ATG7</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.4691 215 <i>HNF1B</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.0053 215 <i>HNF1B</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.0053 215 <i>HNF1B</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.4879 215	ATG2B	RFS and ≥7 BCG instillations	0.1165	137	19	ω				
<i>EHMT2</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.6743137 <i>HNF1B</i> Instillations0.427137 <i>HNF1B</i> RFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0427137 <i>ATG16L1</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.2317215 <i>ATG2B</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0080215 <i>ATG2B</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0080215 <i>ATG2B</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0600215 <i>ATG7</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4691215 <i>ATG7</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4691215 <i>ATG7</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0653215 <i>HNF1B</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4879215 <i>HNF1B</i> PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4879215	ATG7	RFS and ≥7 BCG instillations	0.0521	137	Q	N	rs2606736 (G)	0.38	Decrease	no
HNF1BRFS and \geq 7 BCG0.0427137 $ATG16L1$ PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.2317 215 $ATG2B$ PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.2080 215 $ATG2B$ PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.0080 215 $ATG7$ PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.4691 215 $ATG7$ PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.4691 215 $HM72$ PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.4691 215 $HNF1B$ PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.0053 215 $HNF1B$ PFS and \geq 5 BCG 0.0053 215	EHMT2	RFS and ≥7 BCG instillations	0.6743	137	Q	4				
ATG 16L 1PES and \geq 5 BCG0.2317215 ATG2B instillations0.0080215 ATG2B PES and \geq 5 BCG0.0680215ATG7PES and \geq 5 BCG0.4691215instillations0.0053215161 EHMT2 PES and \geq 5 BCG0.0053215instillations0.00530.167215HNF1BPES and \geq 5 BCG0.4879215instillations0.053215instillations0.4879215	HNF1B	RFS and ≥7 BCG instillations	0.0427	137	ę	e	rs7501939 (T), rs4430796 (A)	0.46, 0.49	Increase, Decrease	no
ATG2BPFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0080215instillationsinstillations215ATG7PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4691215instillations0.0053215EHM72PFS and \geq 5 BCG0.0053215HNF1BPFS and \geq 5 BCG0.4879215instillations0.4879215	ATG 16L 1	PFS and ≥5 BCG instillations	0.2317	215	4	ε				
ATG7PFS and ≥5 BCG0.4691215instillations0.0053215EHMT2PFS and ≥5 BCG0.0053215instillations0.48790.4879215HNF1BPFS and ≥5 BCG0.4879215	ATG2B	PFS and ≥5 BCG instillations	0.0080	215	19	S	rs3759601 (C)	0.42	Increase	no
EHMT2PFS and ≥5 BCG0.0053215instillations111HNF1BPFS and ≥5 BCG0.4879215instillations111	ATG7	PFS and ≥5 BCG instillations	0.4691	215	9	e				
<i>HNF1B</i> PFS and ≥5 BCG 0.4879 215 instillations	EHMT2	PFS and ≥5 BCG instillations	0.0053	215	5	4	rs486416 (C)	0.33	Decrease	no
	HNF1B	PFS and ≥5 BCG instillations	0.4879	215	n	ი				

6

Continued

lable 2 Col	ntinued								
Gene name	NMIBC outcome trait and treatment group	Permutation p value : unadjusted for multiple testing	n patients	n SNVs in gene including monomorphic	n SNVs included in gene-based test	SNV(s) with p<0.10 (effect allele) for asociated genes	SNV effect allele frequency	Effect allele direction of risk	Gene-based association with NMIBC outcome in BCG- and non- BCG treated NMIBC NBCS patients (n=1306)
ATG16L1	PFS and ≥7BCG instillations	0.3270	137	4	З				
ATG2B	PFS and ≥7 BCG instillations	0.0325	137	19	8	rs3759601 (C), rs72704878 (T)	0.42, 0.004	Increase, Increase	OL
ATG7	PFS and ≥7 BCG instillations	0.3489	137	9	2				
EHMT2	PFS and ≥7 BCG instillations	0.0005	137	5	4	rs486416 (C)	0.34	Decrease	ou
HNF1B	PFS and ≥7 BCG instillations	0.6077	137	c	က				
Depicted are th indicate statist NMIBC. non-m	ne trained immunity canv ical significance (p<0.05 uscle invasive bladder c	didate genes (online si) without multiple test cancer: PFS, progress	upplemental to ing correction. ion-free surviv	able 5) that showed . Statistical significa al: RFS. recurrence-	an unadjusted p<0 nce after multiple te -free survival: SNV.	.06 for any of the fo esting correction wa single-nucleotide va	ur outcome/treatr is set at p=0.0004 ariant.	nent combinatio 3.	ns. Values in bold

resulting in approximately 60% purity. Furthermore, we were able to assess only one histone mark (H3K4me3), and it may be hypothesized that other epigenetic modifications (such as H3K4me1, H3K27Ac, H3K9me3) could also contribute to the TI effects of BCG instillations. Assessment of genome-wide changes in chromatin architecture using ATAC-sequencing, similarly to Cirovic *et al*, for BCG vaccination,³⁹ at multiple time points could provide more information as to which genomic regions are important for TI responses during treatment with BCG instillations.

Previous research showed that IL-1 β is a key mediator controlling the induction of TI by BCG vaccination and that IL-1 β was highly correlated with a reduction of viremia after BCG vaccination in an experimental model of viral infection in humans.²⁶ Furthermore, IL-1β signaling promotes glycolysis and proliferation of HSPCs in β -glucan trained mice.^{30 31} Functional reprogramming of HSPCs is also a hallmark of BCG-induced TI in humans³⁹ and mice.³⁸ Our data confirmed that IL-1 β is also a key mediator of the TI phenotype induced by BCG instillations in humans. We showed that NMIBC patients treated with BCG have the capacity to produce increased amounts of IL-1ß compared with pre-BCG1, and most importantly, this capacity is still present at the start of BCG maintenance 1 and 2. This indicates that circulating monocytes of BCG-treated NMIBC patients, which have proliferated in the bone marrow, have acquired a longterm functional program for increased IL-1 β production on immunological stimulation. Indeed, the increased expression of GBPs and AIM2 in our RNA-seq data indicates that the BCG-treated patients had an increased capacity to produce IL-1 β , which is controlled by the inflammasome. A limitation of our results is that no genes were significant after adjustment for multiple correction, and therefore replication in a larger number of patients is required. Nevertheless, our results are in line with previous studies which show that GBPs activate the inflammasome on sensing cytosolic LPS, and thereby increase IL-1 β production.^{79 80 101} Furthermore, the increase in GBP5 expression reflects data from Lim et al, who showed increased GBP5 expression in non-tumor urothelial tissue 3 months post-BCG compared with pre-BCG.⁸ Finally, we showed that GBP and AIM2 expression was increased in in vitro TI experiments as well. Thus, BCG seems to increase GBP expression in circulating monocytes (GBP5 also in the bladder⁸) and GBP-enhanced inflammasome activation may control TI responses both in vivo and in vitro.

The GBP-AIM2 inflammasome can also indirectly become activated via the signaling cascade of CGAS-STING-IRF1.^{82 102} CGAS (*MB21D1*) is a DNA-sensing receptor which activates the STING pathway which is important for the production of proinflammatory cyto-kines and type 1 interferon.¹⁰³ Recently, a recombinant BCG overexpressing c-di-AMP (a STING agonist) induced TI and improved antitumor efficacy in animal models of NMIBC.¹⁰⁴ To what extent DNA-sensing by CGAS and AIM2 is involved in TI responses during BCG therapy in

NMIBC patients remains to be elucidated. In our study, we did not stimulate PBMCs with double-stranded DNA, so we cannot confirm whether TI responses to DNA stimulation are also increased. If this is indeed the case, one might hypothesize that (tumor derived and BCG derived) DNA-sensing in the bladder microenvironment may be increased and this may be one of the antitumor immune mechanisms of BCG instillations.

We already previously reported the association of genetic variation in $ATG2B^{29}$ and $IL18^{105}$ with clinical response after BCG therapy. Our exome chip analysis identified associations for additional autophagy genes and other genes that are known to affect TI induction directly at the epigenetic level (ie, $EHMT2^{35}$) and the level of hematopoiesis (ie, $HNFIB^{39}$). These genetic findings suggest a connection between TI and clinical efficacy of BCG in NMIBC. However, the genetic associations have not been replicated in independent NMIBC cohorts. Also note that due to the small study sample and incomplete coverage of DNA variation via the exome chip, negative gene findings have low informative value.

In conclusion, we present comprehensive evidence from in vivo and ex vivo studies that BCG instillations in patients with NMIBC induce TI. Most patients showed an augmented long-term systemic innate immune response, which may very well boost antitumor and antipathogen immune responses. However, the TI responses induced varied widely between patients and this may also be the substrate of variable clinical responses, although this remains to be investigated in future studies.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, The Netherlands ²Department for Health Evidence, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, The Netherlands ³Department of Paediatrics, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁴Department of Urology, Erasmus MC Cancer Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands ⁵Department of Urology, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, The Netherlands ⁶IKNL, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁷Department of Immunology and Metabolism, University of Bonn, Life & Medical Sciences Institute, Bonn, Germany

⁸Department of Medical Genetics, Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Correction notice This article has been corrected since it was first published to indicate that LABJ and SHV are joint senior authors.

Acknowledgements We thank all participants of Tribute, UroLife, BlaZIB and NBCS for their participation. We thank H. Dijkstra and H. Lemmens for support with ELISA analysis. We thank M.A.B. Rosmalen, H. van de Pol, J.C.M. Smits-van de Camp, J.M. Jansen-Jansen and V.E. de Kleijnen for their help with blood and urine collection for Tribute and J.S.F. Maurits for help with data collection for the respiratory infection study. The authors thank the registration team of the Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organization (IKNL) for the collection of data for the Netherlands Cancer Registry as well as IKNL staff for scientific advice.

Contributors Study conception and design: AGvdH, EO, JHvP, JLB, LABJ, MGN and SHV. Provision of study material or patients: AGvdH, AV, BN, DK, JAW, JHvP, JLB, KKHA, LALMK, SHV and TCMZ. Acquisition of data: AGvdH, AV, BN, DK, JAW, JHvP, KKHA, LALMK, LvE, SHV and UTHO. Analysis and interpretation of data: BN, JHvP, LABJ, SHV and TEG. Writing of the manuscript: AGvdH, BN, EO, JHvP, JLB, LABJ, MGN and SHV. Review of the manuscript: All authors. Revision of the manuscript: BN, JHvP, LABJ and SHV.

Funding MGN was supported by an ERC Advanced Grant (#833247) and a Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research Spinoza Grant (NWO SPI 94-212). LABJ was supported by a Competitiveness Operational Programme grant of the Romanian Ministry of European Funds (P_37_762, MySMIS 103587). SHV is supported by a grant from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO Vidi 91717334). BN is supported by an NHMRC (Australia) Investigator Grant (APP1173314) and Project Grant (APP1157556). The BlaZIB study was financially supported by the Dutch Cancer Society (IKNL 2015-7914). The UroLife study was financially supported by Alpe d'HuZes/Dutch Cancer Society (KUN 2013-5926) and Dutch Cancer Society (2017-2/11179). Funding for the exome chip study was provided by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research under award number 184021007 and made available as a Rainbow Project of the Biobanking and Biomolecular Research Infrastructure Netherlands (BBMRI-NL). Genetic analyses were carried out on the Dutch national e-infrastructure with the support of SURF Cooperative.

Competing interests LABJ and MGN declare that they are scientific founders of Trained Therapeutics Discovery and are the owners of two patents related to trained immunity. JLB discloses consultancy for MSD, Roche, Ambu, Eight Medical, and Janssen and research collaborations with Janssen, Merck, Vitroscan. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The Tribute study received ethical approval from the medical research ethics committee Arnhem-Nijmegen (METC number: NL60341.091.17). The BlaZib and Urolife studies were approved by the medical research ethics committee Arnhem-Nijmegen (METC numbers 2017-3240 and 2013-494, respectively). Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available on reasonable request. Raw data files for RNA-sequencing and ChIP-sequencing are deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus under the reference series number GSE190530.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Jelmer H van Puffelen http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3079-027X J Alfred Witjes http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0059-3857

REFERENCES

- 1 Babjuk M, Burger M, Capoun O, *et al*. European association of urology guidelines on non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (TA, T1, and carcinoma in situ). *Eur Urol* 2022;81:75–94.
- 2 Shelley MD, Wilt TJ, Court J, *et al*. Intravesical Bacillus Calmette-Guérin is superior to mitomycin C in reducing tumour recurrence in high-risk superficial bladder cancer: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *BJU Int* 2004;93:485–90.
- 3 Böhle A, Jocham D, Bock PR. Intravesical Bacillus Calmette-Guerin versus mitomycin C for superficial bladder cancer: a formal metaanalysis of comparative studies on recurrence and toxicity. *J Urol* 2003;169:90–5.
- 4 Matulay JT, Li R, Hensley PJ, *et al*. Contemporary outcomes of patients with nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer treated with Bacillus Calmette-Guérin: implications for clinical trial design. *J Urol* 2021.
- 5 Oddens JR, Sylvester RJ, Brausi MA, *et al.* The effect of age on the efficacy of maintenance bacillus Calmette-Guérin relative to

maintenance epirubicin in patients with stage TA T1 urothelial bladder cancer: results from EORTC genito-urinary group study 30911. *Eur Urol* 2014;66:694–701.

- 6 Watanabe E, Matsuyama H, Matsuda K, *et al.* Urinary interleukin-2 may predict clinical outcome of intravesical Bacillus Calmette-Guérin immunotherapy for carcinoma in situ of the bladder. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 2003;52:481–6.
- 7 Ratliff TL, Ritchey JK, Yuan JJ, *et al.* T-cell subsets required for intravesical BCG immunotherapy for bladder cancer. *J Urol* 1993;150:1018–23.
- 8 Lim CJ, Nguyen PHD, Wasser M, et al. Immunological hallmarks for clinical response to BCG in bladder cancer. *Front Immunol* 2020;11:615091.
- 9 Pryor K, Goddard J, Goldstein D, et al. Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) enhances monocyte- and lymphocyte-mediated bladder tumour cell killing. *Br J Cancer* 1995;71:801–7.
- 10 Brandau S, Riemensberger J, Jacobsen M, et al. NK cells are essential for effective BCG immunotherapy. Int J Cancer 2001;92:697–702.
- 11 García-Cuesta EM, López-Cobo S, Álvarez-Maestro M, et al. NKG2D is a key receptor for recognition of bladder cancer cells by IL-2-Activated NK cells and BCG promotes NK cell activation. Front Immunol 2015;6:284.
- 12 Suriano F, Santini D, Perrone G, et al. Tumor associated macrophages polarization dictates the efficacy of BCG instillation in non-muscle invasive urothelial bladder cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2013;32:87
- 13 Lima L, Oliveira D, Tavares A, et al. The predominance of M2polarized macrophages in the stroma of low-hypoxic bladder tumors is associated with BCG immunotherapy failure. Urol Oncol 2014;32:449–57.
- 14 Luo Y, Yamada H, Evanoff DP, et al. Role of Th1-stimulating cytokines in bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG)-induced macrophage cytotoxicity against mouse bladder cancer MBT-2 cells. Clin Exp Immunol 2006;146:181–8.
- 15 Min Y, Xu W, Liu D, et al. Autophagy promotes BCG-induced maturation of human dendritic cells. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin 2010;42:177–82.
- 16 Szabo SJ, Sullivan BM, Peng SL, et al. Molecular mechanisms regulating Th1 immune responses. Annu Rev Immunol 2003;21:713–58.
- 17 Muraille E, Leo O, Moser M. Th1/Th2 paradigm extended: macrophage polarization as an unappreciated pathogen-driven escape mechanism? *Front Immunol* 2014;5:603.
- 18 Deets KA, Vance RE. Inflammasomes and adaptive immune responses. *Nat Immunol* 2021;22:412–22.
- 19 Croft M. The role of TNF superfamily members in T-cell function and diseases. Nat Rev Immunol 2009;9:271–85.
- 20 Brooks MN, Rajaram MVS, Azad AK, et al. NOD2 controls the nature of the inflammatory response and subsequent fate of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and M. bovis BCG in human macrophages. Cell Microbiol 2011;13:402–18.
- 21 Kumar P, Tyagi R, Das G, et al. Mycobacterium indicus pranii and Mycobacterium bovis BCG lead to differential macrophage activation in Toll-like receptor-dependent manner. *Immunology* 2014;143:258–68.
- 22 Uehori J, Matsumoto M, Tsuji S, et al. Simultaneous blocking of human toll-like receptors 2 and 4 suppresses myeloid dendritic cell activation induced by Mycobacterium bovis Bacillus Calmette-Guérin peptidoglycan. Infect Immun 2003;71:4238–49.
- 23 Bisiaux A, Boussier J, Duffy D, et al. Deconvolution of the response to Bacillus Calmette-Guérin reveals NF-κB-Induced cytokines as autocrine mediators of innate immunity. Front Immunol 2017;8:796.
- 24 Netea MG, Domínguez-Andrés J, Barreiro LB, et al. Defining trained immunity and its role in health and disease. Nat Rev Immunol 2020;20:375–88.
- 25 Netea MG, Joosten LAB, Latz E, *et al.* Trained immunity: a program of innate immune memory in health and disease. *Science* 2016;352:aaf1098.
- 26 Arts RJW, Moorlag SJCFM, Novakovic B, et al. BCG vaccination protects against experimental viral infection in humans through the induction of cytokines associated with trained immunity. *Cell Host Microbe* 2018;23:e105:89–100.
- 27 Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Tsilika M, Moorlag S, et al. Activate: randomized clinical trial of BCG vaccination against infection in the elderly. *Cell* 2020;183:e319:315–23.
- 28 Parmar K, Siddiqui A, Nugent K. Bacillus Calmette-Guerin vaccine and nonspecific immunity. *Am J Med Sci* 2021;361:683–9.
- 29 Buffen K, Oosting M, Quintin J, et al. Autophagy controls BCGinduced trained immunity and the response to intravesical BCG therapy for bladder cancer. *PLoS Pathog* 2014;10:e1004485.

Open access

- 30 Moorlag SJCFM, Khan N, Novakovic B, et al. β-Glucan Induces Protective Trained Immunity against Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection: A Key Role for IL-1. Cell Rep 2020;31:107634.
- 31 Mitroulis I, Ruppova K, Wang B, et al. Modulation of myelopoiesis progenitors is an integral component of trained immunity. Cell 2018;172:e112:147–61.
- 32 Silva MVT, Dos Santos JC, Figueiredo AMBde, *et al.* The role of IL-32 in Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG)-induced trained immunity in infections caused by different Leishmania spp. *Microb Pathog* 2021;158:105088.
- 33 Dos Santos JC, Barroso de Figueiredo AM, Teodoro Silva MV, et al. β-Glucan-induced trained immunity protects against Leishmania braziliensis infection: a crucial role for IL-32. Cell Rep 2019;28:e2656:2659–72.
- 34 Kleinnijenhuis J, Quintin J, Preijers F, *et al.* Bacille Calmette-Guerin induces NOD2-dependent nonspecific protection from reinfection via epigenetic reprogramming of monocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012;109:17537–42.
- 35 Mourits VP, van Puffelen JH, Novakovic B, et al. Lysine methyltransferase G9a is an important modulator of trained immunity. *Clin Transl Immunology* 2021;10:e1253.
- 36 Moorlag SJCFM, Matzaraki V, van Puffelen JH, et al. An integrative genomics approach identifies KDM4 as a modulator of trained immunity. Eur J Immunol 2022;52:431–46.
- 37 Arts RJW, Carvalho A, La Rocca C, et al. Immunometabolic pathways in BCG-induced trained immunity. Cell Rep 2016;17:2562–71.
- 38 Kaufmann E, Sanz J, Dunn JL, et al. BCG educates hematopoietic stem cells to generate protective innate immunity against tuberculosis. Cell 2018;172:e119:176–90.
- 39 Cirovic B, de Bree LCJ, Groh L, *et al.* BCG vaccination in humans elicits trained immunity via the hematopoietic progenitor compartment. *Cell Host Microbe* 2020;28:e325:322–34.
- 40 Shintani Y, Sawada Y, Inagaki T, *et al.* Intravesical instillation therapy with Bacillus Calmette-Guérin for superficial bladder cancer: study of the mechanism of Bacillus Calmette-Guérin immunotherapy. *Int J Urol* 2007;14:140–6.
- 41 Taniguchi K, Koga S, Nishikido M, et al. Systemic immune response after intravesical instillation of Bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG) for superficial bladder cancer. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1999;115:131–5.
- 42 Kamat AM, Briggman J, Urbauer DL, et al. Cytokine panel for response to intravesical therapy (CyPRIT): nomogram of changes in urinary cytokine levels predicts patient response to Bacillus Calmette-Guérin. *Eur Urol* 2016;69:197–200.
- 43 de Reijke TM, de Boer EC, Kurth KH, et al. Urinary cytokines during intravesical Bacillus Calmette-Guerin therapy for superficial bladder cancer: processing, stability and prognostic value. J Urol 1996;155:477–82.
- 44 van Puffelen JH, Keating ST, Oosterwijk E, et al. Trained immunity as a molecular mechanism for BCG immunotherapy in bladder cancer. Nat Rev Urol 2020;17:513–25.
- 45 Conti P, Reale M, Nicolai M, et al. Bacillus Calmette-Guerin potentiates monocyte responses to lipopolysaccharide-induced tumor necrosis factor and interleukin-1, but not interleukin-6 in bladder cancer patients. *Cancer Immunology Immunotherapy* 1994;38:365–71.
- 46 Kim CI, Shin JS, Kim HI, et al. Production of tumor necrosis factor by intravesical administration of Bacillus Calmette Guérin in patients with superficial bladder cancer. Yonsei Med J 1993;34:356–64.
- 47 Kleinnijenhuis J, Quintin J, Preijers F, et al. Long-lasting effects of BCG vaccination on both heterologous Th1/Th17 responses and innate trained immunity. *J Innate Immun* 2014;6:152–8.
- 48 McIntyre KW, Stepan GJ, Kolinsky KD, et al. Inhibition of interleukin 1 (IL-1) binding and bioactivity in vitro and modulation of acute inflammation in vivo by IL-1 receptor antagonist and anti-IL-1 receptor monoclonal antibody. J Exp Med 1991;173:931–9.
- 49 Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 2009;25:1754–60.
- 50 Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 2010;26:841–2.
- 51 Langmead B. Aligning short sequencing reads with Bowtie. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* 2010;Chapter 11:Unit 11.7.
- 52 Turro E, Su S-Y, Gonçalves Ângela, *et al.* Haplotype and isoform specific expression estimation using multi-mapping RNA-seq reads. *Genome Biol* 2011;12:R13.
- 53 Howe EA, Sinha R, Schlauch D, et al. RNA-Seq analysis in MeV. *Bioinformatics* 2011;27:3209–10.
- 54 Novakovic B, Habibi E, Wang S-Y, et al. β-Glucan reverses the epigenetic state of LPS-induced immunological tolerance. Cell 2016;167:e1314:1354–68.

- 55 Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2000;28:27–30.
- 56 McLean CY, Bristor D, Hiller M, et al. Great improves functional interpretation of cis-regulatory regions. *Nat Biotechnol* 2010;28:495–501.
- 57 Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, et al. Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Mol Cell* 2010;38:576–89.
- 58 Bekkering S, Arts RJW, Novakovic B, et al. Metabolic induction of trained immunity through the mevalonate pathway. Cell 2018;172:e139:135–46.
- 59 Domínguez-Andrés J, Arts RJW, Bekkering S, et al. In vitro induction of trained immunity in adherent human monocytes. STAR Protoc 2021;2:100365.
- 60 Bannister S, Kim B, Domínguez-Andrés J, et al. Neonatal BCG vaccination is associated with a long-term DNA methylation signature in circulating monocytes. Sci Adv 2022;8:eabn4002.
- 61 Ripping TM, Kiemeney LA, van Hoogstraten LMC, et al. Insight into bladder cancer care: study protocol of a large nationwide prospective cohort study (BlaZIB). BMC Cancer 2020;20:455.
- 62 de Goeij L, Westhoff E, Witjes JA, *et al.* The UroLife study: protocol for a Dutch prospective cohort on lifestyle habits in relation to non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer prognosis and health-related quality of life. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e030396.
- 63 Rafnar T, Vermeulen SH, Sulem P, et al. European genome-wide association study identifies SLC14A1 as a new urinary bladder cancer susceptibility gene. *Hum Mol Genet* 2011;20:4268–81.
- 64 Guo Y, He J, Zhao S, et al. Illumina human exome genotyping array clustering and quality control. Nat Protoc 2014;9:2643–62.
- 65 Lin X, Cai T, Wu MC, et al. Kernel machine SNP-set analysis for censored survival outcomes in genome-wide association studies. Genet Epidemiol 2011;35:620–31.
- 66 Grotenhuis AJ, Dudek AM, Verhaegh GW, et al. Prognostic relevance of urinary bladder cancer susceptibility loci. PLoS One 2014;9:e89164.
- 67 de Bree LCJ, Mourits VP, Koeken VA, *et al.* Circadian rhythm influences induction of trained immunity by BCG vaccination. *J Clin Invest* 2020;130:5603–17.
- 68 Divangahi M, Aaby P, Khader SA, et al. Trained immunity, tolerance, priming and differentiation: distinct immunological processes. *Nat Immunol* 2021;22:2–6.
- 69 Brook B, Harbeson DJ, Shannon CP, et al. BCG vaccinationinduced emergency granulopoiesis provides rapid protection from neonatal sepsis. *Sci Transl Med* 2020;12. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed. aax4517. [Epub ahead of print: 06 May 2020].
- 70 Fanucchi S, Mhlanga MM. Lnc-ing trained immunity to chromatin architecture. Front Cell Dev Biol 2019;7:2.
- 71 He J, Zhang B, Gan H. Cidec is involved in LPS-induced inflammation and apoptosis in renal tubular epithelial cells. *Inflammation* 2018;41:1912–21.
- 72 Li H, Song Y, Li F, et al. Identification of lipid droplet-associated proteins in the formation of macrophage-derived foam cells using microarrays. Int J Mol Med 2010;26:231–9.
- 73 Zhou L, Park S-Y, Xu L, et al. Insulin resistance and white adipose tissue inflammation are uncoupled in energetically challenged Fsp27-deficient mice. Nat Commun 2015;6:5949.
- 74 Timms RT, Tchasovnikarova IA, Antrobus R, et al. ATF7IP-mediated stabilization of the histone methyltransferase SETDB1 is essential for heterochromatin formation by the HUSH complex. *Cell Rep* 2016;17:653–9.
- 75 Tsusaka T, Shimura C, Shinkai Y. ATF7IP regulates SETDB1 nuclear localization and increases its ubiquitination. *EMBO Rep* 2019;20:e48297.
- 76 Abdul-Sater Z, Cerabona D, Potchanant ES, et al. Fanca safeguards interphase and mitosis during hematopoiesis in vivo. Exp Hematol 2015;43:e1012:1031–46.
- 77 Galindo-Moreno J, Iurlaro R, El Mjiyad N, et al. Apolipoprotein L2 contains a BH3-like domain but it does not behave as a BH3-only protein. *Cell Death Dis* 2014;5:e1275.
- 78 Meunier E, Wallet P, Dreier RF, et al. Guanylate-binding proteins promote activation of the AIM2 inflammasome during infection with Francisella novicida. *Nat Immunol* 2015;16:476–84.
- 79 Santos JC, Boucher D, Schneider LK, et al. Human GBP1 binds LPS to initiate assembly of a caspase-4 activating platform on cytosolic bacteria. Nat Commun 2020;11:3276.
- 80 Santos JC, Dick MS, Lagrange B, et al. LPS targets host guanylatebinding proteins to the bacterial outer membrane for non-canonical inflammasome activation. *Embo J* 2018;37.
- 81 Man SM, Place DE, Kuriakose T, *et al.* Interferon-inducible guanylate-binding proteins at the interface of cell-autonomous

immunity and inflammasome activation. *J Leukoc Biol* 2017;101:143–50.

- 82 Man SM, Karki R, Malireddi RKS, *et al.* The transcription factor IRF1 and guanylate-binding proteins target activation of the AIM2 inflammasome by Francisella infection. *Nat Immunol* 2015;16:467–75.
- 83 Chistiakov DA, Myasoedova VA, Revin VV, et al. The impact of interferon-regulatory factors to macrophage differentiation and polarization into M1 and M2. *Immunobiology* 2018;223:101–11.
- 84 Xie C, Liu C, Wu B, et al. Effects of IRF1 and IFN-β interaction on the M1 polarization of macrophages and its antitumor function. Int J Mol Med 2016;38:148–60.
- 85 Papavlassopoulos M, Stamme C, Thon L, et al. Maxik blockade selectively inhibits the lipopolysaccharide-induced I kappa B-alpha /NF-kappa B signaling pathway in macrophages. J Immunol 2006;177:4086–93.
- 86 Ren J-D, Fan L, Tian F-Z, et al. Involvement of a membrane potassium channel in heparan sulphate-induced activation of macrophages. *Immunology* 2014;141:345–52.
- 87 Valečka J, Camosseto V, McEwan DG, *et al.* RUFY4 exists as two translationally regulated isoforms, that localize to the mitochondrion in activated macrophages. *R Soc Open Sci* 2021;8:202333.
- 88 Terawaki S, Camosseto V, Pierre P, et al. RUFY4: immunity piggybacking on autophagy? *Autophagy* 2016;12:598–600.
- 89 Terawaki S, Camosseto V, Prete F, et al. Run and FYVE domaincontaining protein 4 enhances autophagy and lysosome tethering in response to interleukin-4. J Cell Biol 2015;210:1133–52.
- 90 Sharma BR, Karki R, Kanneganti T-D. Role of AIM2 inflammasome in inflammatory diseases, cancer and infection. *Eur J Immunol* 2019;49:1998–2011.
- 91 Liu BC, Sarhan J, Panda A, et al. Constitutive interferon maintains GBP expression required for release of bacterial components upstream of pyroptosis and anti-DNA responses. *Cell Rep* 2018;24:e155:155–68.
- 92 de Castro MJ, Pardo-Seco J, Martinón-Torres F. Nonspecific (heterologous) protection of neonatal BCG vaccination against hospitalization due to respiratory infection and sepsis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015;60:1611–9.
- 93 Leentjens J, Kox M, Stokman R, et al. BCG vaccination enhances the immunogenicity of subsequent influenza vaccination in healthy volunteers: a randomized, placebo-controlled pilot study. J Infect Dis 2015;212:1930–8.

- 94 Moorlag SJCFM, Arts RJW, van Crevel R, et al. Non-specific effects of BCG vaccine on viral infections. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2019;25:1473–8.
- 95 Graham CH, Paré J-F, Cotechini T, et al. Innate immune memory is associated with increased disease-free survival in bladder cancer patients treated with Bacillus Calmette-Guérin. *Can Urol Assoc J* 2021;15:E412–7.
- 96 Ifrim DC, Quintin J, Joosten LAB, et al. Trained immunity or tolerance: opposing functional programs induced in human monocytes after engagement of various pattern recognition receptors. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 2014;21:534–45.
- 97 Lobo N, Brooks NA, Zlotta AR, et al. 100 years of Bacillus Calmette-Guérin immunotherapy: from cattle to COVID-19. Nat Rev Urol 2021;18:611–22.
- 98 Brooks NA, Narayan V, Hegarty PK, et al. The role of the urologist, BCG vaccine administration, and SARS-CoV-2: an overview. BJUI Compass 2020;1:87–92.
- 99 Koeken VA, de Bree LCJ, Mourits VP, *et al.* BCG vaccination in humans inhibits systemic inflammation in a sex-dependent manner. *J Clin Invest* 2020;130:5591–602.
- 100 Koeken VACM, Qi C, Mourits VP, et al. Plasma metabolome predicts trained immunity responses after antituberculosis BCG vaccination. PLoS Biol 2022;20:e3001765.
- 101 Shenoy AR, Wellington DA, Kumar P, et al. GBP5 promotes NLRP3 inflammasome assembly and immunity in mammals. Science 2012;336:481–5.
- 102 Swanson KV, Junkins RD, Kurkjian CJ, et al. A noncanonical function of cGAMP in inflammasome priming and activation. J Exp Med 2017;214:3611–26.
- 103 Hopfner K-P, Hornung V. Molecular mechanisms and cellular functions of cGAS-STING signalling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2020;21:501–21.
- 104 Singh AK, Praharaj M, Lombardo KA, et al. Re-engineered BCG overexpressing cyclic di-AMP augments trained immunity and exhibits improved efficacy against bladder cancer. Nat Commun 2022;13:878.
- 105 Grotenhuis AJ, Dudek AM, Verhaegh GW, et al. Independent replication of published germline polymorphisms associated with urinary bladder cancer prognosis and treatment response. *Bladder Cancer* 2016;2:77–89.