

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

One Health



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/onehlt

The host-specific resistome in environmental feces of Eurasian otters (*Lutra lutra*) and leopard cats (*Prionailurus bengalensis*) revealed by metagenomic sequencing

Priyanka Kumari ^{a,b}, Binu Mani Tripathi ^c, Ke Dong ^d, Kyung Yeon Eo ^{e,*}, Woo-Shin Lee ^f, Junpei Kimura ^g, Naomichi Yamamoto ^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Graduate School of Public Health, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea

^b Institute of Health and Environment, Graduate School of Public Health, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea

^c Korea Polar Research Institute, Incheon 21990, Republic of Korea

^d Major of Life Science, College of Natural Sciences, Kyonggi University, Suwon 16227, Republic of Korea

e Department of Animal Health and Welfare, College of Healthcare and Biotechnology, Semyung University, Jecheon 27136, Republic of Korea

^f Department of Forest Sciences, College of Agriculture and Life Science, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea

^g College of Veterinary Medicine, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Metagenomics Antibiotic resistance genes Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) Resistome Wildlife Animal microbiome

ABSTRACT

Investigation of feces of wildlife, which is considered as reservoirs, melting pots, vectors and secondary sources of antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs), provides insights into the risks and ecology of ARGs in the environment. Here, we investigated microbiomes, virulence factor genes (VFGs) of bacterial pathogens, and resistomes in environmental feces of Eurasian otters (*Lutra lutra*) and leopard cats (*Prionailurus bengalensis*) using shotgun metagenome sequencing. As expected, the taxonomic compositions of bacteria were significantly different between the animals. Importantly, we found that the compositions of ARGs were also significantly different between the animals. We detected ARGs including *iri*, *tet*A(P), *tet*B(P), *floR*, *sulII*, *strA*, *strB*, *tetW* and *tetY*. Some of them were significantly more abundant in either of the host animals, such as *strA*, *strB* and *tetY* in Eurasian otters, and *tetA*(P), *tetB*(P), *tetB*(P) to *Clostridium*, and *iri* to *Mycobacterium*. We also found that there were positive correlations between *Acinetobacter* and ARGs of multiple antimicrobial classes. The host-specific resistomes and VFGs-related bacteria may be due to differences in the host's gut microbiome, diet and/or habitat, but further investigation is needed. Overall, this study provided important baseline information about the resistomes of the wildlife in Korea, which may help the conservation of these endangered species and assessment of human health risks posed by ARGs and bacterial pathogens from wildlife.

1. Introduction

The emergence of antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs) is a global public health concern, and the gut microbiome is a prime reservoir of ARGs [1]. The resident bacterial community in the intestine does not only exchange ARGs among themselves but also transfer to transient bacteria (passing through the colon). Similarly, virulence factor genes (VFGs) that enable microorganisms to cause disease can also spread among bacteria, and can convert non-pathogenic bacteria into potential pathogens. Recently, wildlife has attracted attention as reservoirs, melting pots, vectors, and secondary sources of ARGs [2]. Additionally, wildlife has long been known as a source of zoonotic pathogens [3]. Several studies have confirmed that wildlife could act as bio-sentinels of ARGs and VFGs and may therefore be useful in identifying potential sources of ARGs and VFGs that can spread into the environment [4–7].

The gastrointestinal tracts of animals harbor diverse microbial communities that play key roles in many vital processes of host animal species, such as digestion, development, energy metabolism, immune response, and protection from pathogens. Despite the importance of the gut microbiome in the health and disease of host animals, our understanding is still limited about the animal gut microbiome. There are some studies, however, which have been performed on captive animals

* Corresponding authors. E-mail addresses: vetinseoul@semyung.ac.kr (K.Y. Eo), nyamamoto@snu.ac.kr (N. Yamamoto).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2022.100385

Received 24 December 2021; Received in revised form 29 March 2022; Accepted 29 March 2022 Available online 1 April 2022

2352-7714/© 2022 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

[8,9]. The fecal microbiome in wildlife has received less focus due to the challenges associated with sample collection and metadata gathering.

The diversity, structure, and function of the animal gut microbiome are mainly shaped by the host's genetic (e.g., evolutionary history) and ecological factors (e.g., diet, geography, and behavior) [10,11]. A growing number of animal phylogenetic studies showed that closely related animal species harbor more similar gut microbiome than distant relatives [12]. Thus, the intestinal microbiomes of animals are known to be host-specific. However, the host specificity of the intestinal resistome between animals has not been well known. We hypothesize that the intestinal resistomes are also host-specific due to differences in their diet and behavioral patterns and the gut microbiomes.

Here, we characterized the environmental fecal microbiome, including VFGs, and resistome of the Eurasian otter (*Lutra lutra*) and leopard cat (*Prionailurus bengalensis*) using metagenomic sequencing. In the IUCN Red List, the Eurasian otter is listed as 'Near Threatened' [13] and leopard cat as 'Least Concern' [14]. The metagenomic sequencing provides information that could be useful in the conservation of these endangered animals. Moreover, it will provide insights into the human health risks posed by ARGs and VFGs from wildlife. Understanding the risks posed by wildlife is important as rapid urbanization in Korea increases the proximity of humans to wildlife.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Fecal samples

We analyzed 18 fecal samples of Eurasian otters (N = 7) and leopard cats (N = 11). The samples in this study have been studied in our previous studies for analyses of diet [15] and zoonotic parasites [16]. The location of fecal sampling is shown in Fig. 1. The samples' metadata are



also listed in Supplementary Table 1. The samples were stored at $-80\ ^\circ\text{C}$ until analysis.

2.2. DNA extraction and sequencing

DNA was extracted from fecal samples using the DNeasy PowerSoil Pro-Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with modifications suggested earlier [9,15]. Extracted DNA was sent to Integrated Microbiome Resource (Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada) for shotgun metagenome sequencing. Metagenomic sequencing libraries were constructed using the Nextera Flex kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA), and sequenced on Illumina NextSeq system with 150-bp paired-end chemistry. Raw sequence reads were uploaded to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database under the Bio-Project accession number PRJNA772888.

2.3. Taxonomic and functional annotations

The low-quality reads and adapter sequences were removed using Trimmomatic v0.39 with default settings [17]. Then, paired-end reads were merged using FLASH v1.2.11 [18]. DIAMOND v2.0.4.142 was used to align merged reads against NCBI non-redundant protein database (downloaded in January 2020) using BLASTX with the default setting [19]. The taxonomic binning of BLASTX results were performed using the naïve lowest common ancestor algorithm of MEGAN v6.5.7 [20] with default parameters. For functional annotation, BLASTX results were mapped to the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes mapping files (July 2020) in MEGAN.

Additionally, 16S rRNA gene fragments were extracted using Sort-MeRNA v2.1b [21] and aligned against the SILVA database (release 138) in the mothur [22]. Sequences with read length < 100 bp and base-call ambiguity were removed. The taxonomic annotation of high-quality 16S rRNA gene sequences was performed against the EzBiocloud database (May 2018) [23] using the Naïve Bayesian Classifier with a confidence threshold of 80% in mothur.

2.4. ARGs and VFGs analysis

The raw metagenomic reads were processed with BBmap [24]: residual adapters, phiX contaminants, and low-quality ends were trimmed and removed with bbduk.sh set to the following parameters: k = 25, ktrim = r, mink = 11, trimq = 10. To quantify the relative abundance of the ARGs, we used the Short Read Sequence Typing program [25] to map the quality-filtered sequence reads and cluster similar sequences against the antibiotic resistance gene database (ARG-ANNOT Nt V3) containing all known ARGs sequences [26]. For the VFGs analysis, the clean sequence reads were aligned to the Virulence Factors of Bacterial Pathogens database (November 2020) [27] using Bowtie2 v2.4.1 [28]. The relative abundances of ARGs and VFGs were calculated using the reads per kilobase of genome equivalents (RPKG) metric, using the MicrobeCensus package [29].

2.5. Statistical analysis

R version 4.0.0 was used. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot was used to visualize the pairwise Bray-Curtis dissimilarities of taxonomic and functional profiles. A permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA, 'adonis' function in vegan R package) was carried out to test the effect of the host animals on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities. We used Wilcoxon rank-sum tests to assess the effect of the hosts on the relative abundance of bacterial taxa, ARGs, and VFGs associated with pathogens. Furthermore, we have computed Spearman rank correlations between VFGs grouped according to taxonomy and ARGs.

3. Results

3.1. Sequencing statistics

The shotgun metagenome sequencing yielded \sim 776 million raw reads from 18 fecal samples with an average of \sim 43 million reads per sample with coverage ranging from \sim 25 to 57 million reads per sample (Supplementary Table 2). A total of \sim 277 million merged sequences were obtained with an average of \sim 15 million sequences per sample and with coverage ranging from \sim 9 to 20 million sequences per sample.

3.2. Taxonomic and functional compositions

The most dominant phylum, based on the extracted 16S rRNA gene sequences, across all fecal samples were *Firmicutes* (&65%) (Fig. 2a). The most abundant genus was *Clostridium* (~11%) (Fig. 2b). Some genera were differentially abundant between the hosts, of these, *Psychrobacter*, *Marinobacter*, *Jeotgalibaca, Epulopiscium*, and *Jeotgalicoccus* were abundant in Eurasian otters, and *Lactobacillus, Pseudomonas, Peptostreptococcus, Blautia, Collinsella,* and *Clostridioides* in leopard cats. In addition, in Supplementary Table 3, the relative abundance of the 50 most dominant bacterial genera is shown, which include *Acinetobacter* and *Mycobacterium*. NMDS ordinations based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarity matrices showed that both taxonomic (PERMANOVA: Pseudo-*F* = 5.5, $R^2 = 0.26$, P = 0.001) and functional (PERMANOVA: Pseudo-*F* = 4.6, $R^2 = 0.22$, P = 0.005) profiles were clustered according to the host animals (Fig. 3). The results of functional profiles are summarized in Supplementary material and Supplementary Fig. 1.

3.3. Virulence factor genes (VFGs)

The relative abundance of sequences related to VFGs grouped based on the genus-level taxonomy varied greatly among the samples, with *Clostridium* being the most dominant (Fig. 4). The relative abundance of sequences related to the selected VFGs based on RPKG is listed in Supplementary Table 4. The abundant VFGs associated with *Clostridium* include CPE2281, *pilD*, *pilB2*, *pilC2*, and *pilB* (Supplementary Table 4). These VFGs are known to be associated with *Clostridium perfringens* [27]. The VFGs that are known to be associated with *Acinetobacter baumannii*, an opportunistic human pathogen, such as *bfmR*, ABK1_0097, ABZJ_00085, and ABZJ_00086 [27] were also detected (Supplementary Table 4).

3.4. Antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs)

A total of 14 ARG classes were identified (Fig. 5a). The relative abundance of the selected ARGs is shown in Supplementary Table 5. The aminoglycosides resistance genes were most abundant across all samples. The abundant ARGs in each class include *strA*, *strB* and *aph(3')-Ic* for the aminoglycoside (AGly) class, *sulII* for the sulfonamide (Sul) class, *floR* for the phenicols (Phe) class, *iri* for the rifampin (Rif) class, and *tetA* (P), *tetB*(P), *tetW* and *tetY* for the tetracyclines (Tet) class (Fig. 5b). The ARGs of the AGly class tend to be more abundant in Eurasian otters, with statistical significance observed in *strA*, *strB*, *aph(6)-Id*, *sat-2A*, *aphA-2*, *catA8*, *aph-stph* and *vanRc* (Fig. 5b). Conversely, the ARGs of the Tet class tend to be more abundant in leopard cats, with statistical significance observed in *tetA*(P), *tetW*, *tet*(40), and *tet*(44). However, *tetY* was significantly more abundant in Eurasian otters (Fig. 5b).

3.5. Correlation between ARGs and VFGs

Fig. 6 shows the Spearman's rank correlation coefficients (ρ) of the relative abundance between VFGs grouped according to the genus-level taxonomy and ARGs. The correlations were found between ARGs and the VFGs-related bacteria in some combinations. For instance, ARGs positively correlated with *Clostridium* include *tetA*(P) ($\rho = 0.74$) and *tetB* (P) ($\rho = 0.76$) (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 6). The ARGs that were positively correlated with *Mycobacterium* include *iri* ($\rho = 0.81$). The ARGs that were positively correlated with *Acinetobacter* include *strA* ($\rho = 0.75$), *strB* ($\rho = 0.83$), *aph*(3')-Ic ($\rho = 0.79$), *sulII* ($\rho = 0.75$), *tetY* ($\rho = 0.63$), *msrE* ($\rho = 0.53$), *vanRc* ($\rho = 0.52$), *CARB-8_OU* ($\rho = 0.56$), *CARB-5* ($\rho = 0.59$) and *CARB-10* ($\rho = 0.59$).



Fig. 2. (a) Stacked barplot showing the relative abundance of bacterial phyla in fecal samples of Eurasian otters and leopard cats based on extracted 16S rRNA gene sequences. (b) Heat-map showing the relative abundance of 20 most dominant bacterial genera in fecal samples of Eurasian otter and leopard cat. Asterisks on taxon names denote significant differences between hosts (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001; Wilcoxon rank-sum). The genera in red or blue letters represent those that were significantly more abundant in the leopard cat or Eurasian otter, respectively. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 3. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plots based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarities of (a) taxonomic and (b) functional genes profiles. The results are based on total sequence reads obtained by metagenomic sequencing, not based on extracted 16S rRNA gene sequences.



Fig. 4. (a) Chord diagram showing the distribution of the relative abundance based on the reads per kilobase of genome equivalents (RPKG) of virulence factor genes (VFGs) grouped according to taxonomy (at genus level) in fecal samples of Eurasian otters and leopard cats.

4. Discussion

Similar to a previous study that investigated ARGs in feces of güiña (*Leopardus guigna*), a feline species, in Chile [7], our study revealed that ARGs were present in the feces of the leopard cat (*Prionailurus bengalensis*) in Korea. Moreover, we found that ARGs were present in the feces

of the Eurasian otter (*Lutra lutra*), a semi-aquatic musteline species. The leopard cats' scats were collected in inland areas, while the otters' spraints were collected in a coastal area (Fig. 1). These suggest that ARGs are widespread regardless of the type of wildlife habitat. However, habitat type may have some influence on differential distribution of certain ARGs discussed below.



Fig. 5. (a) Chord diagram showing the distribution of the relative abundance based on the reads per kilobase of genome equivalents (RPKG) of antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs) classes in fecal samples of Eurasian otters and leopard cats. (b) Heatmap showing the relative abundance based on RPKG of the selected 30 ARGs. The ARGs shown are selected from the 100 most abundant ARGs. Among them, 30 ARGs that are differentially abundant between the hosts and/or the most abundant are selected. Asterisk (*) represents statistically significantly different (P < 0.05; Wilcoxon rank-sum) between the hosts. The genes in red or blue letters represent those that were significantly more abundant in the leopard cat or Eurasian otter, respectively. Abbreviations: AGly, aminoglycosides; Bla, beta-lactamases; Gly, glycopeptides; Fcd, fusidic acid; MLS, macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin; Fcyn, fosfomycin; Phe, phenicols; Flq, fluoroquinolones; Rif, rifampin; Sul, sulfonamides; Oxzin oxazolidinones; Tet, tetracyclines; and Tmt, trimethoprim. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

The most abundant ARG class was aminoglycosides (AGly) (Fig. 5a). The AGly-class ARGs have been reported to be abundantly detected from feces of chickens, pigs, and humans in China [30]. The most common ARGs in this class were strA and strB (Supplementary Table 5). These two genes are linked and encode enzymes that inactivate aminoglycosides including streptomycin [31]. In the sulfonamides (Sul) class, sulII was most abundant (Supplementary Table 5). The co-occurrence of strA-strB and sulII is reasonable because they are often linked on the bacterial genomes [31]. Several studies reported the detection of these genes from domesticated animals [32-35]. Antimicrobials such as sulfonamide and spectinomycin, an aminoglycoside antimicrobial, are frequently used in pig farms to prevent and treat bacterial infections [35]. It is speculated that the use of antimicrobials and the discharge of resistant bacteria and contaminated water from animal farms may be one cause of the spread of ARGs in wildlife. Additionally, natural factors may also contribute since some bacteria are known to naturally produce aminoglycosides [36].

The AGly-class ARGs tended to be more abundant in the Eurasian otter (Fig. 5a). Therefore, there may be some factors that contribute to the spread of AGly resistance genes in this semi-aquatic species. Interestingly, it is reported that a plasmid-encoded AGly resistance gene (*aph* (3')-*Ic*) was identified in the fish pathogen *Pasteurella piscicida* [37]. Our study also detected a large amount of *aph*(3')-*Ic* particularly from the feces of Eurasian otters (Fig. 5b and Supplementary Table 5). Therefore, it may be possible to hypothesize that the AGly resistance genes derived from fish-related bacteria (e.g., fish pathogens) may also contribute to the prevalence of AGly resistance genes particularly in the Eurasian otter that is known to feed mainly on fishes [15].

The strA, strB, and aph(3')-Ic genes in the AGly class and the sulII gene

in the Sul class were positively correlated with *Acinetobacter* (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 6). Moreover, several ARGs in other classes such as *tetY* (Tet), *CARB-8_OU*, *CARB-5* and *CARB-10* (Bla), *msrE* (MLS), and *vanRc* (Gly) were also positively correlated with *Acinetobacter* (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 6). The VFGs (e.g., *bfmR*) related to *Acinetobacter baumannii* [27], an opportunistic human pathogen [38], were also detected (Supplementary Table 4). The co-occurrence of ARGs in multiple antimicrobial classes and *A. baumannii*-related VFGs indicates the possible presence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) *A. baumannii*. MDR *A. baumannii* in particular poses a serious global public health threat [38]. MDR *A. baumannii* used to be prevalent only in hospitals, and rarely isolated from the community [39]. However, our result indicates that it may have now spread to wildlife populations, too.

The second most abundant ARG class was tetracyclines (Tet) (Fig. 5a). Tetracyclines were consumed most widely in Korea during 2003–2012 [40] as therapeutic drugs for humans and animals, and growth promoters for livestock, poultry, and aquaculture [35]. Of the Tet-class ARGs, tetA(P), and tetB(P) were the most abundant (Supplementary Table 5). The tetA(P) and tetB(P) genes are found in Clostridium spp. especially Clostridium perfringens [41], a zoonotic pathogen that causes infections in poultry, pigs, cows, sheep, and horses [42]. The detection of *tetA*(P) and *tetB*(P) indicates the presence of *Clostridium* spp. including C. perfringens. In fact, we found that the abundance of Clostridium was correlated with the abundance of VFGs associated with *C. perfringens*, with $\rho = 0.74$ and 0.76 for *tetA*(P) and *tetB*(P), respectively (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 6). The resistance of C. perfringens to tetracyclines is a serious public health problem. For instance, the majority of C. perfringens isolates from chicken and human patients in the U. S. were found to be resistant to tetracycline, and tetA(P) and tetB(P) were



Fig. 6. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients of the relative abundance based on the reads per kilobase of genome equivalents (RPKG) between virulence factor genes (VFGs) grouped according to taxonomy (at genus level) and antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs). The colour represents the correlation coefficient, and the area of each circle represents the absolute value of the correlation coefficient. The values of the correlation coefficient and statistical results are shown in Supplementary Table 6.

frequently detected from them [43]. In China, *tetA*(P) and *tetB*(P) are reported to have been frequently detected from *C. perfringens* isolated from chickens and pigs in farms [44].

Among the Tet class ARGs, tetW and tetY were also frequently detected (Supplementary Table 5). The *tetW* gene was abundant in the feces of leopard cats (Fig. 5b). The *tetW* gene, first identified in rumen bacteria isolated from bovine and sheep [45], has a wide host range and spreads widely in environments, e.g., animal farms [46]. One hypothesis why tetW was abundant in the feces of leopard cats may be due to dietary differences from Eurasian otters. The leopard cat feeds on mammals, which may include ruminants such as deer. In fact, we detected sequences assigned to Ruminantia, a group of ruminants, only in the feces of leopard cats (Supplementary Table 7 and Supplementary Data 1), indicating ruminants as their dietary item. Since tetW was first identified from ruminant bacteria, it may be possible to hypothesize that tetW is abundant in ruminants (e.g., deer) and can be more likely transmitted to predatory animals on them (e.g., leopard cat). Conversely, tetY was abundant in the feces of Eurasian otters (Fig. 5b). Interestingly, tetY is reported to have been detected from bacteria isolated from fishes in fish farms in which tetracycline is permitted to be added to their feed [47]. The authors of this study argued that farmed fish may contribute to the transmission of tetY to humans [47]. We speculate that a similar transmission mechanism may be possible for Eurasian otters due to their piscivorous character.

As discussed, some ARGs were detected differentially by the host animal, e.g., *iri* from leopard cats (Fig. 5b). The *iri* gene is known to

confer resistance to rifampin [48], which is used to treat Mycobacterium infections such as tuberculosis. We also found that Mycobacterium was more abundant in the feces of leopard cats (Fig. 4) though it was not statistically significant. Therefore, we speculated that iri was associated with *Mycobacterium*, and in fact, a positive correlation was observed (ρ = 0.81) (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 6). It is well known that domestic cats can be infected with Mycobacterium, such as Mycobacterium bovis [49]. Our study revealed that Mycobacterium spp. are also present in the wild leopard cats (Fig. 4) and correlated well with the iri gene (Fig. 6). The source of the iri gene selectively found in leopard cats is unclear. One hypothesis is that it may be related to their scavenging behavior. The leopard cats scavenge carcasses [50]. Interestingly, a study indicates the behavior of scavenging carcasses died of bovine tuberculosis as a route of infection of carnivorous animals to M. bovis [51]. It is thought that leopard cats are more likely to be exposed to Mycobacterium and therefore to the co-existing iri gene since they are more likely to scavenge carcasses than Eurasian otters.

One of the limitations of our study is that we cannot exclude the possibility of environmental contamination of some fecal samples because of our sampling procedure in which the time from defecation to fecal sampling is unknown. It is inevitable since it is almost impossible to collect the feces of these elusive animals immediately after defecation. Therefore, the microbiome and resistome may have changed in some fecal samples due to postdefecation microbial succession [52]. However, the overall trend was likely conserved since the trends in bacterial composition observed were consistent with those observed in the fresh

feces of captive animals by our previous study [9]. Specifically, we observed the enrichment of *Jeotgalicoccus* in the otter feces and *Blautia* and *Collinsella* in the leopard cat feces (Fig. 2b), which is in congruence with our previous finding in the rearing environment [9]. Furthermore, environmental contamination is unlikely to explain our results because the number of contaminating cells would be very small compared to mammalian feces, which contains 1000 times the number of microbial cells than in a typical environmental sample (e.g., soil) [53,54]. The results suggest that the small numbers of contaminating reads are not disproportionately contributing to the ARGs and VFGs patterns observed in our data. Moreover, from a public health perspective, it is not unreasonable to assess ARGs and VFGs in feces collected sometime after defecation. It is possible that human exposure to ARGs and pathogens sourced from environmental feces occurs long after defecation.

5. Conclusion

We found common features in most of the ARGs and VFGs profiles in environmental feces of Eurasian otters and leopard cats in Korea. However, certain ARGs and VFGs were differentially more abundant between either of the host animals possibly due to differences in the host's gut microbiome, diet, and/or habitat, but further investigation is needed. Wildlife is thought to serve as reservoirs, melting pots, vectors, and secondary sources of ARGs, and their importance as sentinels to monitor their risk has been also recognized [2]. This study provided several important baseline information about the resistomes of the wildlife, which could be useful in assessing the ecological and human health risk posed by ARGs. However, knowledge is still lacking about how ARGs are transmitted from wildlife to human and vice versa. Future research should include studies of wildlife and environmental reservoirs in order to identify sources of ARGs and elucidate their routes to (or from) wildlife from the perspective of One Health.

Funding

This work was supported by the Midcareer Researcher Program of the National Research Foundation of Korea (2020R1A2C1004903) (NY).

Declaration of Competing Interest

None to declare.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2022.100385.

References

- J. Zeng, Y. Pan, J. Yang, M. Hou, Z. Zeng, W. Xiong, Metagenomic insights into the distribution of antibiotic resistome between the gut-associated environments and the pristine environments, Environ. Int. 126 (2019) 346–354.
- [2] M. Dolejska, I. Literak, Wildlife is overlooked in the epidemiology of medically important antibiotic-resistant bacteria, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 63 (2019) e01167-19.
- [3] H. Kruse, A.-M. Kirkemo, K. Handeland, Wildlife as source of zoonotic infections, Emerg. Infect. Dis. 10 (2004) 2067–2072.
- [4] M. Oliveira, N.M. Pedroso, T. Sales-Luís, M. Santos-Reis, L. Tavares, C.L. Vilela, Antimicrobial-resistant Salmonella isolated from Eurasian otters (*Lutra lutra Linnaeus*, 1758) in Portugal, J. Wildl. Dis. 46 (2010) 1257–1261.
- [5] T. Semedo-Lemsaddek, N.M. Pedroso, D. Freire, T. Nunes, L. Tavares, L. M. Verdade, M. Oliveira, Otter fecal enterococci as general indicators of antimicrobial resistance dissemination in aquatic environments, Ecol. Indic. 85 (2018) 1113–1120.
- [6] T. Semedo-Lemsaddek, C.S. Nóbrega, T. Ribeiro, N.M. Pedroso, T. Sales-Luís, A. Lemsaddek, R. Tenreiro, L. Tavares, C.L. Vilela, M. Oliveira, Virulence traits and antibiotic resistance among enterococci isolated from Eurasian otter (*Lutra lutra*), Vet. Microbiol. 163 (2013) 378–382.
- [7] I. Sacristán, F. Esperón, F. Acuña, E. Aguilar, S. García, M.J. López, A. Cevidanes, E. Neves, J. Cabello, E. Hidalgo-Hermoso, Antibiotic resistance genes as landscape

anthropization indicators: using a wild felid as sentinel in Chile, Sci. Total Environ. 703 (2020), 134900.

- [8] P. Barko, M. McMichael, K.S. Swanson, D.A. Williams, The gastrointestinal microbiome: a review, J. Vet. Intern. Med. 32 (2018) 9–25.
- [9] C. An, Y. Okamoto, S. Xu, K.Y. Eo, J. Kimura, N. Yamamoto, Comparison of fecal microbiota of three captive carnivore species inhabiting Korea, J. Vet. Med. Sci. 79 (2016) 542–546.
- [10] K.R. Amato, J.G. Sanders, S.J. Song, M. Nute, J.L. Metcalf, L.R. Thompson, J. T. Morton, A. Amir, V.J. McKenzie, G. Humphrey, Evolutionary trends in host physiology outweigh dietary niche in structuring primate gut microbiomes, ISME J. 13 (2019) 576–587.
- [11] A.C. Perofsky, R.J. Lewis, L.A. Meyers, Terrestriality and bacterial transfer: a comparative study of gut microbiomes in sympatric Malagasy mammals, ISME J. 13 (2019) 50–63.
- [12] S.J. Lim, S.R. Bordenstein, An introduction to phylosymbiosis, Proc. R. Soc. B 287 (2020) 20192900.
- [13] A. Roos, A. Loy, M. Savage, A. Kranz, Lutra lutra, in: The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2021, 2021 e.T12419A164578163.
- [14] J. Ross, J. Brodie, S. Cheyne, A. Hearn, M. Izawa, B. Loken, A. Lynam, J. McCarthy, S. Mukherjee, C. Phan, A. Rasphone, A. Wilting, Prionailurus bengalensis, in: The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015, 2015 e.T18146A50661611.
- [15] P. Kumari, K. Dong, K.Y. Eo, W.-S. Lee, J. Kimura, N. Yamamoto, DNA metabarcoding-based diet survey for the Eurasian otter (*Lutra lutra*): development of a Eurasian otter-specific blocking oligonucleotide for 12S rRNA gene sequencing for vertebrates, PLoS One 14 (2019), e0226253.
- [16] P. Kumari, K.Y. Eo, W.-S. Lee, J. Kimura, N. Yamamoto, DNA-based detection of Leptospira wolffii, Giardia intestinalis and Toxoplasma gondii in environmental feces of wild animals in Korea, J. Vet. Med. Sci. 83 (2021) 850–854.
- [17] A.M. Bolger, M. Lohse, B. Usadel, Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data, Bioinformatics 30 (2014) 2114–2120.
- [18] T. Magoč, S.L. Salzberg, FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies, Bioinformatics 27 (2011) 2957–2963.
- [19] B. Buchfink, C. Xie, D.H. Huson, Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND, Nat. Methods 12 (2014) 59–60.
- [20] D.H. Huson, A.F. Auch, J. Qi, S.C. Schuster, MEGAN analysis of metagenomic data, Genome Res. 17 (2007) 377–386.
- [21] E. Kopylova, L. Noé, H. Touzet, SortMeRNA: fast and accurate filtering of ribosomal RNAs in metatranscriptomic data, Bioinformatics 28 (2012) 3211–3217.
- [22] P.D. Schloss, S.L. Westcott, T. Ryabin, J.R. Hall, M. Hartmann, E.B. Hollister, R. A. Lesniewski, B.B. Oakley, D.H. Parks, C.J. Robinson, J.W. Sahl, B. Stres, G. G. Thallinger, D.J. Van Horn, C.F. Weber, Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75 (2009) 7537–7541.
- [23] S.-H. Yoon, S.-M. Ha, S. Kwon, J. Lim, Y. Kim, H. Seo, J. Chun, Introducing EzBioCloud: a taxonomically united database of 16S rRNA gene sequences and whole-genome assemblies, Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 67 (2017) 1613–1617.
- [24] B. Bushnell, BBMap: A Fast, Accurate, Splice-Aware Aligner, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab. (LBNL), Berkeley, CA, USA, 2014.
- [25] M. Inouye, H. Dashnow, L.-A. Raven, M.B. Schultz, B.J. Pope, T. Tomita, J. Zobel, K.E. Holt, SRST2: rapid genomic surveillance for public health and hospital microbiology labs, Genome Med. 6 (2014) 90.
- [26] S.K. Gupta, B.R. Padmanabhan, S.M. Diene, R. Lopez-Rojas, M. Kempf, L. Landraud, J.-M. Rolain, ARG-ANNOT, a new bioinformatic tool to discover antibiotic resistance genes in bacterial genomes, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 58 (2014) 212–220.
- [27] B. Liu, D. Zheng, Q. Jin, L. Chen, J. Yang, VFDB 2019: a comparative pathogenomic platform with an interactive web interface, Nucleic Acids Res. 47 (2019) D687–D692.
- [28] B. Langmead, S.L. Salzberg, Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2, Nat. Methods 9 (2012) 357–359.
- [29] S. Nayfach, K.S. Pollard, Average genome size estimation improves comparative metagenomics and sheds light on the functional ecology of the human microbiome, Genome Biol. 16 (2015) 1–18.
- [30] L. Ma, Y. Xia, B. Li, Y. Yang, L.-G. Li, J.M. Tiedje, T. Zhang, Metagenomic assembly reveals hosts of antibiotic resistance genes and the shared resistome in pig, chicken, and human feces, Environ. Sci. Technol. 50 (2016) 420–427.
- [31] G.W. Sundin, C.L. Bender, Dissemination of the *strA-strB* streptomycin-resistance genes among commensal and pathogenic bacteria from humans, animals, and plants, Mol. Ecol. 5 (1996) 133–143.
- [32] R. Lanz, P. Kuhnert, P. Boerlin, Antimicrobial resistance and resistance gene determinants in clinical *Escherichia coli* from different animal species in Switzerland, Vet. Microbiol. 91 (2003) 73–84.
- [33] P. Boerlin, R. Travis, C.L. Gyles, R. Reid-Smith, N.J.H. Lim, V. Nicholson, S. A. McEwen, R. Friendship, M. Archambault, Antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes of *Escherichia coli* isolates from swine in Ontario, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71 (2005) 6753–6761.
- [34] L.B. Rosengren, C.L. Waldner, R.J. Reid-Smith, Associations between antimicrobial resistance phenotypes, antimicrobial resistance genes, and virulence genes of fecal *Escherichia coli* isolates from healthy grow-finish pigs, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75 (2009) 1373–1380.
- [35] S.-J. Park, H. Ham, Distribution of antimicrobial resistance genes in enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from diarrheic pigs, Kor. J. Microbiol. 56 (2020) 269–276.

P. Kumari et al.

- [36] T.P. Campbell, X. Sun, V.H. Patel, C. Sanz, D. Morgan, G. Dantas, The microbiome and resistome of chimpanzees, gorillas, and humans across host lifestyle and geography, ISME J. 14 (2020) 1584–1599.
- [37] E.-h. Kim, T. Aoki, The transposon-like structure of IS26-tetracycllne, and kanamycin resistance determinant derived from transferable R plasmid of fish pathogen, *Pasteurella piscicida*, Microbiol. Immunol. 38 (1994) 31–38.
- [38] F. Perez, A.M. Hujer, K.M. Hujer, B.K. Decker, P.N. Rather, R.A. Bonomo, Global challenge of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 51 (2007) 3471–3484.
- [39] C. Zeana, E. Larson, J. Sahni, S.J. Bayuga, F. Wu, P. Della-Latta, The epidemiology of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* does the community represent a reservoir? Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 24 (2003) 275–279.
- [40] S.-K. Lim, J.-E. Lee, H.-S. Lee, H.-M. Nam, D. Moon, G.-C. Jang, Y.-J. Park, Y.-G. Jung, S.-C. Jung, S.-H. Wee, Trends in antimicrobial sales for livestock and fisheries in Korea during 2003–2012, Kor. J. Vet. Res. 54 (2014) 81–86.
- [41] J. Sloan, L.M. McMurry, D. Lyras, S.B. Levy, J.I. Rood, The *Clostridium perfringens* Tet P determinant comprises two overlapping genes: *tetA*(P), which mediates active tetracycline efflux, and *tetB*(P), which is related to the ribosomal protection family of tetracycline-resistance determinants, Mol. Microbiol. 11 (1994) 403–415.
- [42] F.V. Immerseel, J.D. Buck, F. Pasmans, G. Huyghebaert, F. Haesebrouck, R. Ducatelle, *Clostridium perfringens* in poultry: an emerging threat for animal and public health, Avian Pathol. 33 (2004) 537–549.
- [43] M. Park, A.P. Rooney, D.W. Hecht, J. Li, B.A. McClane, R. Nayak, D.D. Paine, F. Rafii, Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of tetracycline and minocycline resistance in *Clostridium perfringens*, Arch. Microbiol. 192 (2010) 803–810.
- [44] J. Li, Y. Zhou, D. Yang, S. Zhang, Z. Sun, Y. Wang, S. Wang, C. Wu, Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Clostridium perfringens* in chickens and pigs from Beijing and Shanxi, China, Vet. Microbiol. 252 (2021), 108932.
- [45] T.M. Barbosa, K.P. Scott, H.J. Flint, Evidence for recent intergeneric transfer of a new tetracycline resistance gene, *tet*(W), isolated from *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens*, and the occurrence of *tet*(O) in ruminal bacteria, Environ. Microbiol. 1 (1999) 53–64.

- [46] K. Nogrado, T. Unno, H.-G. Hur, J.-H. Lee, Tetracycline-resistant bacteria and ribosomal protection protein genes in soils from selected agricultural fields and livestock farms, Appl. Biol. Chem. 64 (2021) 42.
- [47] M. Furushita, T. Shiba, T. Maeda, M. Yahata, A. Kaneoka, Y. Takahashi, K. Torii, T. Hasegawa, M. Ohta, Similarity of tetracycline resistance genes isolated from fish farm bacteria to those from clinical isolates, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69 (2003) 5336–5342.
- [48] S.J. Andersen, S. Quan, B. Gowan, E.R. Dabbs, Monooxygenase-like sequence of a *Rhodococcus equi* gene conferring increased resistance to rifampin by inactivating this antibiotic, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 41 (1997) 218–221.
- [49] L.M. O'Reilly, C.J. Daborn, The epidemiology of *Mycobacterium bovis* infections in animals and man: a review, Tuber. Lung Dis. 76 (1995) 1–46.
- [50] A. Rabinowitz, Notes on the behavior and movements of leopard cats, *Felis bengalensis*, in a dry tropical forest mosaic in Thailand, Biotropica 22 (1990) 397–403.
- [51] J.R. Ragg, C.G. Mackintosh, H. Moller, The scavenging behaviour of ferrets (*Mustela furo*), feral cats (*Felis domesticus*), possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*), hedgehogs (*Erinaceus europaeus*) and harrier hawks (*Circus approximans*) on pastoral farmland in New Zealand: implications for bovine tuberculosis transmission, N. Z. Vet. J. 48 (2000) 166–175.
- [52] S. Menke, M. Meier, S. Sommer, Shifts in the gut microbiome observed in wildlife faecal samples exposed to natural weather conditions: lessons from time-series analyses using next-generation sequencing for application in field studies, Methods Ecol. Evol. 6 (2015) 1080–1087.
- [53] R. Sender, S. Fuchs, R. Milo, Revised estimates for the number of human and bacteria cells in the body, PLoS Biol. 14 (2016), e1002533.
- [54] X. Raynaud, N. Nunan, Spatial ecology of bacteria at the microscale in soil, PLoS One 9 (2014), e87217.