

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Check for updates

# The Effect of Convalescent Plasma Therapy on Mortality Among Patients With COVID-19: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Stephen A. Klassen, PhD; Jonathon W. Senefeld, PhD; Patrick W. Johnson, BSc;
Rickey E. Carter, PhD; Chad C. Wiggins, PhD; Shmuel Shoham, MD;
Brenda J. Grossman, MD; Jeffrey P. Henderson, MD, PhD; James Musser, MD, PhD;
Eric Salazar, MD, PhD; William R. Hartman, MD; Nicole M. Bouvier, MD;
Sean T.H. Liu, MD, PhD; Liise-anne Pirofski, MD; Sarah E. Baker, PhD;
Noud van Helmond, MD; R. Scott Wright, MD; DeLisa Fairweather, PhD;
Katelyn A. Bruno, PhD; Zhen Wang, PhD; Nigel S. Paneth, MD;
Arturo Casadevall, MD, PhD; and Michael J. Joyner, MD

#### Abstract

To determine the effect of COVID-19 convalescent plasma on mortality, we aggregated patient outcome data from 10 randomized clinical trials, 20 matched control studies, 2 dose-response studies, and 96 case reports or case series. Studies published between January 1, 2020, and January 16, 2021, were identified through a systematic search of online PubMed and MEDLINE databases. Random effects analyses of randomized clinical trials and matched control data demonstrated that patients with COVID-19 transfused with convalescent plasma exhibited a lower mortality rate compared with patients receiving standard treatments. Additional analyses showed that early transfusion (within 3 days of hospital admission) of higher titer plasma is associated with lower patient mortality. These data provide evidence favoring the efficacy of human convalescent plasma as a therapeutic agent in hospitalized patients with COVID-19.

© 2021 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) ■ Mayo Clin Proc. 2021;96(5):1262-1275

## 0

1262

From the Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine (S.A.K., J.W.S., C.C.W., S.E.B., M.J.J.), Department of Cardiovascular Medicine (R.S.W.), Director, Human Research Protection Program (R.S.W.), and Evidence-Based Practice Center, Robert D. and Patricia E. Kern Center for Science of Health Care Delivery (Z.W.), Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; the Department of Health Sciences Research (P.W.S., R.F.C.) and Department of Cardiovascular Medicine (D.F., K.A.B.), Mayo Clinic,

Affiliations continued at the end of this article.

onvalescent plasma is a century-old passive antibody therapy that has been used to treat outbreaks of novel infectious diseases, including those affecting the respiratory system.<sup>1,2</sup> At the onset of the pandemic, human convalescent plasma was used worldwide as it represented the only antibody-based therapy for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2).<sup>2-5</sup> Despite the emerging availability of monoclonal antibody therapies and vaccines for use in nonhospitalized patients through federal emergency authorization routes, convalescent plasma use has persisted (~100,000 units per month in the United States in early

2021) during subsequent waves of the COVID-19 pandemic because of surging hospitalizations and mortality rates.6-9 However, evidence for the efficacy of therapeutic COVID-19 convalescent plasma still requires definitive support from large randomized clinical trials (RCTs). As a result, there remains a lack of consensus on convalescent plasma use in hospitalized patients with COVID-19.<sup>10,11</sup> Smaller RCTs, matched control studies, and case series studies investigating convalescent plasma therapy for COVID-19 have emerged and provided a positive efficacy signal.<sup>12-18</sup> Most of these studies, however, lacked appropriate statistical power or were terminated early. Also, many studies have transfused patients only

Mayo Clin Proc. ■ May 2021;96(5):1262-1275 ■ https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2021.02.008

www.mayoclinicproceedings.org 
© 2021 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article

under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

after clinical progression to severe COVID-19 respiratory distress, which opposes historical data highlighting the efficacy of early convalescent plasma transfusion and overlooks viral neutralization as the fundamental mechanism for convalescent plasma therapy.<sup>1,2</sup>

There is an urgent need to determine the efficacy of potential treatments amid the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. Although a "living" systematic review has summarized a broad-ranging clinical experience with convalescent plasma,<sup>10,11</sup> this approach may be limited because it employed stringent inclusion criteria for aggregating patient outcomes, which prevented a preliminary assessment of convalescent plasma efficacy. Given the insufficient patient outcome data available from RCTs, we used a pragmatic approach for study selection to aggregate COVID-19 clinical outcomes, focusing solely on mortality data from RCTs, matched control studies, dose-response investigations, and case series or case reports in real time. Our primary objective was to derive an aggregate estimate of the mortality rates from transfused and nontransfused cohorts of contemporaneous COVID-19 studies. As an exploratory objective, we assessed whether the time from hospital admission to convalescent plasma transfusion was associated with mortality of patients.

### METHODS

#### Eligibility

We included RCTs, matched control trials, dose-response studies, and case series or case reports published on preprint servers or peerreviewed journals that investigated the impact of human convalescent plasma therapy on mortality of patients with COVID-19.

#### Literature Search and Data Extraction

We performed a systematic search of the online PubMed and MEDLINE databases from January 1, 2020, through January 16, 2021. Keywords used in the search included ((*convalescent plasma*) OR (*convalescent serum*)) AND *COVID-19* (and medical

#### ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

- There remains a lack of consensus on convalescent plasma use in hospitalized patients with COVID-19.
- Meta-analyses of randomized clinical trials and matched control data demonstrated that patients with COVID-19 transfused with convalescent plasma exhibited a lower mortality rate compared with patients receiving standard treatments.
- Additional analyses showed that early transfusion (within 3 days of hospital admission) of high-titer plasma is associated with lower mortality.
- These data provide evidence favoring the efficacy of human convalescent plasma as a therapeutic agent in hospitalized patients with COVID-19.

subject headings) using the following limits: Humans. No language restrictions were imposed. The references of all eligible studies were reviewed to identify other potentially eligible studies. To be considered eligible for inclusion, studies must have included patients with confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19, used convalescent plasma treatment, and reported mortality. Randomized clinical trials, matched control studies, dose-response studies, case series, and case reports were included. Two reviewers (S.A.K. and J.W.S.) independently screened the titles and abstracts of all studies identified by the search to determine eligibility. Studies that were deemed potentially eligible had their full text reviewed (S.A.K. and J.W.S.) to determine whether they met the criteria for inclusion in the review. Disagreement was resolved by consensus. Two reviewers (S.A.K. and J.W.S.) extracted study and patient characteristics as well as clinical information (additional information for each study is available in in Supplemental Tables 1-6, available online at http://www. mayoclinicproceedings.org).

Two reviewers (S.A.K. and J.W.S.) independently assessed the risk of bias for mortality data of each included study using the Cochrane risk of bias criteria (for RCTs; Supplemental Table 1, available online at http://www.mayoclinicproceedings.org) and the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (for matched control studies; Supplemental Table 2, available online at http://www. mayoclinicproceedings.org).<sup>19-21</sup> Doseresponse studies were evaluated with the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. The criteria developed by the Mayo Clinic Evidence-Based Practice Research Program informed our assessment of bias in the mortality data reported by case series and case reports.<sup>22</sup>

#### **Data Synthesis**

For RCTs and matched control studies, we recorded the number of survivors and nonsurvivors in transfused and nontransfused cohorts to calculate odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs. For dose-response studies, we recorded the number of survivors and nonsurvivors among patients who were transfused with higher titer and lower titer convalescent plasma units to calculate ORs with 95% CIs. Aggregate mortality rates were calculated for transfused and, if applicable, nontransfused patients at the longest reported vital status for each study.

Using the DerSimonian-Laird random effects method,<sup>23</sup> we computed aggregate ORs with 95% CIs separately for RCTs and matched control studies. We also computed aggregate ORs with 95% CIs for RCTs and matched control studies combined. Simple random effects meta-regression analyses evaluated the moderator variables (ie, cohort age, proportion of cohort receiving mechanical ventilation, and duration of study follow-up) on mortality for all clinical studies. The  $I^2$  statistic was used to quantify heterogeneity. On the basis of historical data,<sup>1</sup> we performed an exploratory subgroup analysis to assess the impact of early transfusion (within 3 days of hospital admission) compared with late transfusion (>3)days after hospital admission) on mortality of patients with COVID-19. All analyses were performed with Comprehensive Metaanalysis software (Biostat, version 3.3.070). Tests were 2 tailed, and  $\alpha$  was .05. Figures were made with R software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing). The number needed to treat was calculated using aggregate data from controlled studies.<sup>24</sup> Dose-response studies, case series, and case reports were not included in the metaanalysis but were described in a narrative.

#### Certainty of Evidence Assessment

We used the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation approach to assess the certainty of evidence regarding the impact of convalescent plasma on mortality of patients with COVID-19.<sup>25</sup> The risk of bias assessments for RCT and matched control data informed our certainty of evidence assessment.

#### RESULTS

#### Search Results

The literature search yielded 780 studies, of which 128 studies met the eligibility criteria and were included in the systematic review (Supplemental Figure 1, available online http://www.mayoclinicproceedings.org). at The analyses included a total of 10 RCTs,<sup>13,17,18,26-31</sup> 20 matched control studies,<sup>14,16,32-50</sup> 2 dose-response studies,<sup>51,52</sup> and 96 case series or case reports.<sup>3,14,15,43,53-143</sup> Overall, these studies reported outcomes from 35,055 patients with COVID-19 in 31 countries (Tables 1 and 2; Supplemental Table 3, available online at http://www.mayoclinicproceedings. org). The age of patients enrolled in these studies ranged from 4 to 100 years, with a greater proportion of men than of women in most studies (proportion of women, 0%-100%; Supplemental Tables 4-6). All studies included patients with diagnosed COVID-19, with most studies including hospitalized patients with severe or life-threatening COVID-19. At the time of plasma transfusion, the proportion of patients on mechanical ventilation varied by study from 0% to 100%. The duration of follow-up ranged from 2 to 118 days (Supplemental Tables 4-6). In most studies, patients were eligible to receive concomitant and experimental therapies, such as antivirals, steroids, and chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine.

		(	Convalescent	olasma		Control			Statisti	CS
Study	Location	Survivor	Nonsurvivor	Mortality (%)	Survivor	Nonsurvivor	Mortality (%)	OR	Р	95% CI
Randomized clinical trials										
Avendano-Sola et al <sup>18</sup>	Spain	38	0	0	39	4	9	0.11	.15	0.01-2.19
Rasheed et al <sup>17</sup>	Iraq	20	1	5	20	8	29	0.13	.06	0.01-1.09
Gharbharan et al <sup>13</sup>	The Netherlands	37	6	14	32	11	26	0.47	.18	0.16-1.42
AlQahtani et al <sup>26</sup>	Bahrain	19	I	5	18	2	10	0.47	.56	0.04-5.69
Libster et al <sup>27</sup>	Argentina	78	2	3	76	4	5	0.49	.41	0.09-2.74
Li et al <sup>12</sup>	China	43	8	16	38	12	24	0.59	.30	0.22-1.59
Ray et al <sup>28</sup>	India	30	10	25	26	14	35	0.62	.33	0.24-1.63
Simonovich et al <sup>29</sup>	Argentina	197	25	11	93	12	11	0.98	.96	0.47-2.04
Agarwal et al <sup>30</sup>	India	201	34	14	198	31	14	1.08	.77	0.64-1.8
Bajpai et al <sup>31</sup>	India	11	3	21	14	I	7	3.82	.27	0.35-41.9
Random effects model		674	90	12	554	99	15	0.76	.14	0.54-1.09
Random effects model exclud	ling Agarwal et al	473	56	11	356	68	16	0.65	.04	0.43-0.9
Matched control studies										
Duan et al <sup>32</sup>	China	10	0	0	7	3	30	0.10	.15	0.01-2.2
Perotti et al <sup>42</sup>	Italy	43	3	7	16	7	30	0.16	.01	0.04-0.6
Omrani et al <sup>44</sup>	Qatar	39	1	3	35	5	13	0.18	.13	0.02-1.6
Hegerova et al <sup>45</sup>	Washington	18	2	10	14	6	30	0.26	.13	0.05-1.4
Salazar et al <sup>,33</sup>	Texas	146	6	4	235	34	13	0.28	.01	0.12-0.6
Alsharidah et al <sup>46</sup>	Kuwait	111	24	18	143	90	39	0.34	<.001	0.21-0.5
Zeng et al <sup>47</sup>	China	I	5	83	1	14	93	0.36	.50	0.02-6.8
Donato et al <sup>48</sup>	New York	36	11	23	775	565	42	0.42	.01	0.21-0.8
Salazar et al <sup>49</sup>	Argentina	647	221	25	1288	1010	44	0.44	<.001	0.37-0.5
Liu et al <sup>50</sup>	New York	34	5	13	118	38	24	0.46	.13	0.17-1.2
Xia et al <sup>34</sup>	China	135	3	2	1371	59	4	0.52	.27	0.16-1.6
Abolghasemi et al <sup>16</sup>	Iran	98	17	15	56	18	24	0.54	.10	0.26-1.13
AlShehry et al <sup>35</sup>	Saudi Arabia	30	10	25	78	46	37	0.57	.16	0.25-1.2
Budhiraja et al <sup>36</sup>	India	248	85	26	241	120	33	0.69	.03	0.50-0.9
ah Yoon et al <sup>37</sup>	New York	50	23	32	45	28	38	0.74	.39	0.37-1.4
Rogers et al <sup>38</sup>	Rhode Island	56	8	13	149	28	16	0.76	.52	0.33-1.7
Altuntas et al <sup>39</sup>	Turkey	669	219	25	642	246	28	0.85	.15	0.69-1.0
Klapholz et al <sup>40</sup>	New Jersey	37	10	21	38	9	19	1.14	.80	0.42-3.13
Klein et al <sup>41</sup>	Maryland	25	9	26	26	8	24	1.17	.78	0.39-3.5
Moniuszko-Malinowska et al <sup>43</sup>	Poland	49	6	H	672	43	6	1.91	.16	0.78-4.72
Random effects model		2482	668	21	5950	2377	29	0.57	<.001	0.45-0.72
Overall random effects model	b	2955	724	20	6306	2445	28			0.47-0.7

<sup>a</sup>OR, odds ratio.

<sup>b</sup>Random effects model excludes trial by Agarwal et al.

#### Meta-analysis

Randomized Clinical Trials. When data from the 10 RCTs were aggregated, there was no association between convalescent plasma therapy and mortality (OR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.54 to 1.09; P=.14;  $I^2=7\%$ ; Table 1;

Figure). Although the heterogeneity was low, 1 RCT (Agarwal et al<sup>30</sup>) demonstrated a directionally different effect, had a large statistical weight (34.2), and represented the primary source of heterogeneity ( $\Delta I^2 = 7\%$ ). In addition, in the context of COVID-19,

TABLE 2. Mortality Rates Among COVID-19 Patients: Dose-Response Studies								
		Convalescent plasma higher titer Convalesc				cent plasma lower titer		
Study	Location	Survivor	Nonsurvivor	Mortality (%)	Survivor	Nonsurvivor	Mortality (%)	
Dose-response studies								
Joyner et al <sup>51</sup>	Minnesota	400	115	22	395	166	30	
Maor et al <sup>52</sup>	Israel	17	2	11	23	7	23	
Dose-response total		417	117	22	418	173	29	

neutralizing antibodies are hypothesized to represent the primary active agent in convalescent plasma and the marker of plasma potency.<sup>144,145</sup> In this regard, as mentioned later, 2 studies reported a doseresponse relationship between convalescent plasma antibody level and mortality, suggesting the need for a sufficient amount of antibody for therapeutic success.<sup>144,145</sup> The trial of Agarwal et al<sup>30</sup> included a large proportion of patients (~70%) in the convalescent plasma arm who received plasma with low levels of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies less than 1:80, with approximately 30% receiving plasma with no detectable antibodies.<sup>30</sup> Thus, there were strong analytical and biologic rationales to exclude this study from statistical models.

When analyses were performed on data from 9 RCTs excluding the study of Agarwal et al,<sup>30</sup> patients transfused with convalescent plasma exhibited a lower mortality rate compared with nontransfused patients with COVID-19 (11% vs 16% mortality; OR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.98; P=.04;  $I^2=0\%$ ; Table 1; Figure). The aggregate OR (0.65) indicates that convalescent plasma was associated with a 35% reduction in the odds of mortality among patients with COVID-19.

**Matched Control Studies**. When we aggregated mortality data from the 20 matched control studies, patients transfused with convalescent plasma exhibited a lower mortality rate compared with nontransfused patients (21% vs 29% mortality; OR, 0.57; 95% CI, 0.45 to 0.72; P<.001;  $I^2$ =61%; Table 1; Figure).

Randomized Clinical Trials and Matched Control Studies. Aggregation of mortality data from all controlled studies including RCTs and matched control studies indicated that patients transfused with convalescent plasma exhibited a 42% reduction in mortality rate compared with patients receiving standard treatments (20% vs 28% mortality; OR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.47 to 0.71; P<.001;  $I^2$ =53%; Table 1; Figure). Simple random effects meta-regression analyses indicated that cohort age (P=.23), proportion of cohort receiving mechanical ventilation (P=.51), and duration of study follow-up (P=.29) did not affect the aggregate OR computed for all controlled studies.

Subgroup Analysis: Effect of Days Between Hospital Admission and Plasma Transfusion. Sixteen studies (n=6 RCTs, n=10matched control studies) reported the number of days between hospital admission and convalescent plasma transfusion (Supplemental Table 4). Exploratory analysis revealed that the mortality reduction associated with convalescent plasma transfusion was greater in studies that transfused patients within 3 days of hospital admission (OR, 0.44; 95% CI, 0.32-0.61) compared with studies that transfused patients more than 3 days after hospital admission (OR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.62 to 0.98; random effects test of heterogeneity between subgroups, P=.005). However, this analysis was strongly influenced by the study by Altuntas et al,<sup>39</sup> which transfused patients more than 3 days after admission (relative weight, 73%). On removal of the study by Altuntas et al,<sup>39</sup> the number of days from hospital admission to transfusion no longer affected the mortality reduction associated with convalescent plasma transfusion (transfusion within 3 days of hospitalization, 0.44 [0.32-0.60]; transfusion >3

		Favors	Favors	
Study	Weight	convalescent plasma	alternative treatment	OR (95% CI)
Randomized clinical trials			1 1	
Avendano-Sola et al.	2.0%	H•	4	0.11 (0.01, 2.19)
Rasheed et al.	3.7%	H •		0.13 (0.01, 1.09)
Gharbharan et al.	14.3%	• • · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.47 (0.16, 1.42)
AlQahtani et al.	2.8%	• • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.47 (0.04, 5.69)
Libster et al.	5.8%		<u>↓</u>	0.49 (0.09, 2.74)
Li et al.	17.5%		I	0.59 (0.22, 1.59)
Ray et al.	18.5%			0.62 (0.24, 1.63)
Simonovich et al.	32.4%	•	I	0.98 (0.47, 2.04)
Agarwal et al.			<u> </u>	1.08 (0.64, 1.83)
Bajpai et al.	3.0%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.82 (0.35, 41.96)
Random effects model			1	0.76 (0.54, 1.09)
Random effects model <sup>a</sup>		<b>⊢</b> −●−−−−	1	0.65 (0.43, 0.98)
			1	
Matched-control studies			1	
Duan et al.	0.6%	H.	l	0.10 (0.01, 2.28)
Perotti et al.	2.2%	H <b>e</b>	1	0.16 (0.04, 0.69)
Omrani et al.	1.1%	<b>•</b>	1	0.18 (0.02, 1.61)
Hegerova et al.	1.7%	<b>⊢</b> ●		0.26 (0.05, 1.49)
Salazar E. et al.	4.6%	<b>⊢</b> ●−−−−1	1	0.28 (0.12, 0.69)
Alsharidah et al.	8.0%	<b>⊢</b> ●−−−1	1	0.34 (0.21, 0.57)
Zeng Q. et al.	0.6%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.36 (0.02, 6.85)
Donato et al.	6.3%		1	0.42 (0.21, 0.83)
Salazar M. et al.	11.8%	H <b>H</b> -1	1	0.44 (0.37, 0.52)
Liu et al.	3.9%	<b>⊢</b>		0.46 (0.17, 1.25)
Xia et al.	3.2%	•	1	0.52 (0.16, 1.67)
Abolghasemi et al.	5.8%	<b>⊢</b>	•	0.54 (0.26, 1.13)
AlShehry et al.	5.3%			0.57 (0.25, 1.26)
Budhiraja et al.	10.2%	·	r F	0.69 (0.50, 0.96)
ah Yoon et al.	6.3%		1	0.74 (0.37, 1.46)
Rogers et al.	5.0%			0.76 (0.33, 1.77)
Altuntas et al.	11.5%			0.85 (0.69, 1.06)
Klapholz et al.	3.9%			1.14 (0.42, 3.13)
Klein et al.	3.5%			1.17 (0.39, 3.51)
Moniuszko-Malinowska et al.	4.6%	· · ·		1.91 (0.78, 4.72)
Random effects model	1.0/0	<b>⊢●</b> →		0.57 (0.45, 0.72)
Overall random effects model <sup>a</sup>			I	0.58 (0.47, 0.71)
Over air raildonn enects model			1 1 1	0.00 (0.47, 0.71)

**FIGURE**. The effect of human convalescent plasma therapy on mortality of patients with COVID-19. Forest plot illustrating odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs computed for each study and aggregated for each study type (DerSimonian-Laird random effects model). Data are separated by study type; randomized clinical trials are presented in blue, and matched control studies are presented in orange. The overall OR pooled across all controlled studies is presented in green. Relative study weights are provided. The  $I^2$  values were 0 (randomized clinical trial model), 61 (matched control study model), and 53 (overall model combining randomized clinical trial and matched control studies). <sup>a</sup>Random effects model excludes trial by Agarwal et al.

days after hospitalization, 0.61 [0.36-0.68]; random effects test of heterogeneity between subgroups, P=.23).

#### Additional Evidence

**Dose-Response Studies**. Two studies investigated the association between convalescent plasma antibody levels and the risk of mortality from COVID-19.<sup>3,52</sup> Although different criteria were used to categorize convalescent plasma units as higher and lower antibody level, both studies found a dose-response association between antibody level and COVID-19 mortality, such that patient mortality was lower in the subgroups transfused with higher titer plasma. The aggregate mortality rate of patients with COVID-19 transfused with higher titer convalescent plasma was less than that of patients transfused with lower titer plasma (22% vs 29% mortality; Table 2).

**Case Series and Case Reports.** The aggregate mortality rate among patients with COVID-19 transfused with convalescent plasma reported in uncontrolled studies was 13% (range, 0%-100%), which is comparable to the mortality rates exhibited by transfused cohorts from clinical trials and matched control studies (Supplemental Table 3). Case series and case report data included diverse cohorts of patients with varying inherent risk for COVID-19 complications. Several studies explored immunosuppressed patients with suppressed antibody production due to hematologic malignant neoplasms, cancer-directed therapy, or X-linked agammaglobulinemia and provided an important "experiment of nature" to evaluate convalescent plasma efficacy for COVID-19.<sup>84,92,124,146</sup> For example, Jin et al<sup>92</sup> highlighted a series of 3 patients with X-linked agammaglobulinemia with severe COVID-19 who failed to respond to other supportive treatments but demonstrated strong improvements in oxygen requirements and viral clearance within days of receiving convalescent plasma transfusions.

#### **Risk of Bias**

Overall, we deemed the risk of bias for mortality data to be low to moderate for RCTs and low to moderate for matched control studies. We present the full judgment for each study in Supplemental Tables 1 and 2. The risk of bias for uncontrolled studies is inherently high. Visual inspection of the funnel plot to assess publication bias shows that 1 study falls below the 95% CI and 2 studies fall above the 95% CI (Supplemental Figure 2, available online at http://www. mayoclinicproceedings.org). The funnel plot shows symmetry in the effect sizes among studies with low standard error and asymmetry among studies with greater standard error, suggesting that smaller studies with larger standard error may be more likely to report an effect of convalescent plasma. However, the Egger regression test suggests that there is no significant asymmetry of the plot (intercept, -0.17; P=.67).

#### Certainty of Evidence

The certainty in the estimate of the effect of convalescent plasma on mortality is moderate to high.<sup>147</sup> This judgment was based on the consistency of the results between RCTs and matched control studies and the corroborating evidence from dose-response studies and other uncontrolled case data. In aggregating data from all controlled studies, the meta-analyses provided precise estimates, did not demonstrate substantial heterogeneity, and demonstrated no strong evidence of publication bias. The inherent limitations of the included studies rendered the certainty of evidence judgment to be moderate to high.

#### Number Needed to Treat

Based on the aggregate OR (0.58; 95% CI, 0.47 to 0.71) computed for all controlled studies and the aggregate mortality rate (28%) expressed by nontransfused cohorts among the controlled studies, to avoid 1 death, the number needed to be transfused with convalescent plasma rather than only to receive the standard of care is 11 (range, 8-16).

#### DISCUSSION

This analysis represents the most current aggregation of mortality data from contemporaneous COVID-19 convalescent plasma studies. The aggregate mortality rate of transfused patients with COVID-19 was lower than that of nontransfused patients with COVID-19. Additional analyses demonstrated that early transfusion of high-titer plasma reduces mortality among patients with COVID-19. These results favor the efficacy of convalescent plasma as a COVID-19 therapeutic agent. The primary biologic hypothesis for the efficacy of convalescent plasma is antibody-mediated SARS-CoV-2 viral neutralization and interference with viral replication, although other biologic mechanisms may also contribute to the mitigation of symptoms.<sup>2</sup> The mortality reduction associated with convalescent plasma aligns with similar analyses of historical data from convalescent plasma trials for viral diseases, such as the 1918 influenza epidemic,<sup>1</sup> severe acute respiratory syn-drome,<sup>148</sup> and H1N1 influenza.<sup>149</sup> Our findings are discordant with those of a previous review,<sup>10,11</sup> living systematic which concluded that there is insufficient evidence to determine the impact of convalescent plasma on all-cause mortality based on only 2 RCTs, including 1 prematurely terminated RCT (Li et al<sup>12</sup>). This discordance reflects differences in the studies included in the analysis. Our approach was pragmatic

and used less stringent study inclusion criteria, allowing the inclusion of 30 controlled studies, of which a majority found a directionally similar effect of convalescent plasma, and our analyses stratified by study design (eg, RCTs and matched control studies) revealed similar aggregate ORs.

Mechanistic and clinical data support the reduction in mortality associated with convalescent plasma administration. Importantly, convalescent plasma contains SARSantibodies.<sup>150,151</sup> CoV-2-neutralizing Convalescent plasma administration increases SARS-CoV-2 clearance in patients with COVID-19,<sup>12,32</sup> including immunocompromised individuals,<sup>84,92,107,118</sup> indiantiviral cating an effect. Viral neutralization is then posited to reduce the inflammatory response and thus to lessen the likelihood that an overexuberant immune response progresses to lung damage, interference with gas exchange, and death. Additional evidence arising from animal studies shows that administration of human convalescent plasma is protective against infection.<sup>152,153</sup> SARS-CoV-2 Antibodymediated interference with viral replication may increase tissue repair and eventually be manifested as reduced mortality. In addition, convalescent plasma transfusion is associated with reductions in inflammatory markers, such as chemokines, cytokines, and C-reactive protein.<sup>124,154</sup> Concomitant reductions in inflammation and improved gas exchange may underlie the reductions in oxygen requirements associated with convalescent plasma, even in critically ill patients. These findings provide mechanistic evidence for the reduction in mortality observed in patients receiving convalescent plasma.

There are several limitations to this analysis, including the aggregation of mortality data across study populations that varied by the nation of data origin, the timing relative to worldwide progression of the pandemic, the clinical diagnostic and treatment algorithms, the plasma antibody titer and administration volume, the latency between COVID-19 diagnosis and transfusion,

and the duration of follow-up after transfusion. Also, we did not consult a librarian when constructing our search terms. However, high-quality evidence from large RCTs remains unavailable. and the continuing global health emergency related to COVID-19 necessitated a practical realtime aggregation of existing mortality data. We note that the reports cited herein include positive results from different countries, suggesting that efficacy is robust across different health care systems. Given the safety of convalescent plasma administered to patients with COVID-19,<sup>3,4</sup> the results of this real-time systematic review and meta-analysis provide encouragement for its continued use as a therapy and may have broad implications for the treatment of COVID-19 and design of RCTs. Importantly, many of the patients enrolled in the studies included in the analyses received convalescent plasma transfusions later in their disease course. In this context, before antibiotics and effective vaccinations, convalescent plasma therapy was widely understood to be most efficacious very early in the course of hospitalizations.<sup>2,155</sup> As a result, our analysis may underestimate the mortality reduction achievable through early administration of high-titer convalescent plasma for COVID-19.

#### CONCLUSION

This real-time systematic review and metaanalysis of contemporaneous studies highlights that the mortality rate of transfused patients with COVID-19 was lower than that of nontransfused patients with COVID-19 and suggests that early transfusion of high-titer plasma represents the optimal use scenario to reduce the risk of mortality among patients with COVID-19. These results favor the efficacy of convalescent plasma as a COVID-19 therapeutic agent.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their gratitude to the convalescent plasma donors.

Drs Klassen, Senefeld, Casadevall, and Joyner contributed equally to this article.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL ONLINE MATERIAL

Supplemental material can be found online at http://www.mayoclinicproceedings.org. Supplemental material attached to journal articles has not been edited, and the authors take responsibility for the accuracy of all data.

Abbreviations and Acronyms: COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized clinical trial; SARS-CoV-2 = severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

Affiliations (Continued from the first page of this article.): Jacksonville, FL; the Division of Infectious Diseases, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD (S.S.); the Department of Pathology and Immunology (B.I.G.), Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine (J.P.H.), and Department of Molecular Microbiology (J.P.H.), Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO; the Department of Pathology and Genomic Medicine, Houston Methodist Hospital (J.M., E.S.), and Center for Molecular and Translational Human Infectious Diseases, Houston Methodist Research Institute (I.M.), Houston, TX; the Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY (J.M., E.S.); the Department of Anesthesiology, University of Wisconsin-Madison School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison (W.R.H.); the Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine (N.M.B., S.T.H.L.), and Department of Microbiology (N.M.B., S.T.H.L.), Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY; the Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Albert Einstein College of Medicine and Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, NY (L.P.); the Department of Anesthesiology, Cooper Medical School of Rowan University, Cooper University Health Care, Camden, NJ (N.H.); the Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics (N.S.P.) and Department of Pediatrics and Human Development, College of Human Medicine (N.S.P.), Michigan State University, East Lansing; and the Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD (A.C.).

**Grant Support:** This work was supported by grants from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (SR35HL139854 to M.J.J.), the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (ST32DK07352 to J.W.S. and C.C.W.), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (PDF-532926-2019 to S.A.K.), the National Institutes of Health (1-F32-HL154320-01 to J.W.S.), the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (R21 AI145356 and R21 AI152318 to D.F.; R01 AI1520789 to A.C.), and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (R01 HL059842 to A.C.). Potential Competing Interests: The authors report no competing interests.

**Data Previously Presented:** A preliminary report of this work was previously published on *medRxiv*, October 29, 2020.

**Correspondence:** Address to Michael J. Joyner, MD, Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St SW, Rochester, MN 55905 (joyner. michael@mayo.edu; Twitter: @DrMJoyner).

#### ORCID

Stephen A. Klassen: (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4560-8728; Jonathon W. Senefeld: (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8116-3538; Patrick W. Johnson: (b) https://orcid.org/ 0000-0001-8365-1375; Rickey E. Carter: (b) https://orcid. org/0000-0002-0818-273X; Zhen Wang: (b) https://orcid. d.org/0000-0002-9368-6149; Arturo Casadevall: (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9402-9167

#### REFERENCES

- Luke TC, Kilbane EM, Jackson JL, Hoffman SL. Meta-analysis: convalescent blood products for Spanish influenza pneumonia: a future H5N1 treatment? Ann Intern Med. 2006; 145(8):599-609.
- Casadevall A, Pirofski L. The convalescent sera option for containing COVID-19. J Clin Invest. 2020;130(4):1545-1548.
- Joyner MJ, Bruno KA, Klassen SA, et al. Safety update: COVID-19 convalescent plasma in 20,000 hospitalized patients. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2020;95(9):1888-1897.
- Joyner M, Wright RS, Fairweather D, et al. Early safety indicators of COVID-19 convalescent plasma in 5,000 patients. *J Clin Invest.* 2020;130(9):4791-4797.
- Bloch EM, Shoham S, Casadevall A, et al. Deployment of convalescent plasma for the prevention and treatment of COVID-19. *J Clin Invest*. 2020;130(6):2757-2765.
- American Association of Blood Banks. America's Blood Centers, American Red Cross. Joint statement: blood community encourages individuals to donate blood, convalescent plasma during national blood donor month and beyond. https:// mk0americasbloogf2jd.kinstacdn.com/wp-content/uploads/ 2021/01/NBDM\_Joint-Statement\_Jan-2021-1.15.21\_final.pdf. Accessed January 15, 2021.
- Center for Systems and Engineering at Johns Hopkins University. COVID-19 dashboard. https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map. html. Accessed January 30, 2021.
- US Food & Drug Administration. Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for emergency use of bamlanivimab for the treatment of mild to moderate COVID-19. https://www.fda.gov/ media/143602/download. Accessed January 30, 2021.
- US Food & Drug Administration. Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for emergency use of Moderna COVID-19 vaccine. https://www.fda.gov/media/144636/download. Accessed January 30, 2021.
- Piechotta V, Chai KL, Valk SJ, et al. Convalescent plasma or hyperimmune immunoglobulin for people with COVID-19: a living systematic review. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2020; 7(7):CD013600.
- Chai KL, Valk SJ, Piechotta V, et al. Convalescent plasma or hyperimmune immunoglobulin for people with COVID-19: a living systematic review. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2020; 10:CD013600.
- Li L, Zhang W, Hu Y, et al. Effect of Convalescent Plasma Therapy on Time to Clinical Improvement in Patients with Severe and Life-threatening COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2020;324(5):460-470.

- Gharbharan A, Jordans CCE, GeurtsvanKessel C, et al. Convalescent plasma for COVID-19. A randomized clinical trial. medRxiv. July 30, 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10. 1101/2020.07.01.20139857v1. Accessed Jan 15, 2021.
- Salazar E, Christensen PA, Graviss EA, et al. Treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 patients with convalescent plasma reveals a signal of significantly decreased mortality. *Am J Pathol.* 2020;190(11):2290-2303.
- Hartman W, Hess AS, Connor JP. Hospitalized COVID-19 patients treated with convalescent plasma in a mid-size city in the midwest. *Transl Med Commun.* 2020;5(1):17.
- Abolghasemi H, Eshghi P, Cheraghali AM, et al. Clinical efficacy of convalescent plasma for treatment of COVID-19 infections: results of a multicenter clinical study. *Transfus Apher* Sci. 2020;59(5):102875.
- Rasheed AM, Fatak DF, Hashim HA, et al. The therapeutic effectiveness of convalescent plasma therapy on treating critically-ill COVID-19 patients residing in respiratory care units in hospitals in Baghdad, Iraq. *Infez Med.* 2020;28(3): 357-366.
- Avendano-Sola C, Ramos-Martinez A, Munez-Rubio E, et al. Convalescent plasma for COVID-19: a multicenter, randomized clinical trial. *medRxiv*. September 29, 2020. https://www. medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.08.26.20182444v3. Accessed January 15, 2021.
- Steme JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2019;366:I4898.
- **20.** Higgins JPT, Savović J, Page M, Elbers R, Steme J. Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in a randomized trial. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al., eds. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.1. Cochrane. www.training.cochrane.org/handbook. Accessed January 20, 2021.
- Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analysis. http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical\_ epidemiology/oxford.asp. Accessed January 20, 2021.
- Murad MH, Sultan S, Haffar S, Bazerbachi F. Methodological quality and synthesis of case series and case reports. *BMJ Evid Based Med.* 2018;23(2):60-63.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986;7(3):177-188.
- 24. Schunemann H, Vist G, Higgins JPT, et al. Chapter 15: Interpretting results and drawing conclusions. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al., eds. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.1. Cochrane; 2020. https:// training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-15#section-15-4. Accessed January 20, 2021.
- 25. Schunemann H, Higgins J, Vist G, et al. Chapter 14: Completing 'summary of findings' tables and grading the certainty of the evidence. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al., eds. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.1. Cochrane. www.training.cochrane.org/ handbook. Accessed January 20, 2021.
- 26. AlQahtani M, Abdulrahman A, AlMadani A, et al. Randomized controlled trial of convalescent plasma therapy against standard therapy in patients with severe COVID-19 disease. *medRxiv.* November 4, 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/ content/10.1101/2020.11.02.20224303v1. Accessed January 15, 2021.
- Libster R, Pérez Marc G, Wappner D, et al. Early high-titer plasma therapy to prevent severe Covid-19 in older adults. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(7):610-618.
- Ray Y, Paul SR, Bandopadhyay P, et al. Clinical and immunological benefits of convalescent plasma therapy in severe COVID-19: insights from a single center open label randomised control trial. *medRxiv*. November 29, 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/ content/10.1101/2020.11.25.20237883v1.full. Accessed January 15, 2021.

- Simonovich VA, Burgos Pratx LD, Scibona P, et al. A randomized trial of convalescent plasma in Covid-19 severe pneumonia. N Engl | Med. 2021;384(7):619-629.
- 30. Agarwal A, Mukherjee A, Kumar G, Chatterjee P, Bhatnagar T, Malhotra P. Convalescent plasma in the management of moderate covid-19 in adults in India: open label phase II multicentre randomised controlled trial (PLACID Trial) [erratum appears in *BMJ*. 2020;371:m4232]. *BMJ*. 2020;371:m3939.
- Bajpai M, Maheshwari A, Chhabra K, et al. Efficacy of convalescent plasma therapy compared to fresh frozen plasma in severely ill COVID-19 patients: a pilot randomized controlled trial. medRxiv. October 27, 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/ content/10.1101/2020.10.25.20219337v1. Accessed January 15, 2021.
- Duan K, Liu B, Li C, et al. Effectiveness of convalescent plasma therapy in severe COVID-19 patients. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S* A. 2020;117(17):9490-9496.
- 33. Salazar E, Christensen PA, Graviss EA, et al. Significantly decreased mortality in a large cohort of COVID-19 patients transfused early with convalescent plasma containing hightiter anti-severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) spike protein IgG. Am J Pathol. 2021;191(1): 90-107.
- Xia X, Li K, Wu L, et al. Improved clinical symptoms and mortality on severe/critical COVID-19 patients utilizing convalescent plasma transfusion. *Blood.* 2020;136(6):755-759.
- 35. AlShehry N, Zaidi SZA, AlAskar A, et al. Safety and efficacy of convalescent plasma for severe COVID-19: interim report of a multicenter phase II study from Saudi Arabia. Saudi J Med Med Sci. 2021;9(1):16-23.
- Budhiraja S, Dewan A, Aggarwal R, et al. Effectiveness of convalescent plasma therapy in Indian patients with COVID-19. https://papers.ssm.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_ id=3726179. Accessed January 15, 2021.
- ah Yoon H, Bartash R, Gendlina I, et al. Treatment of severe COVID-19 with convalescent plasma in the Bronx, NYC. JCI Insight. 2021;6(4):e142270.
- Rogers R, Shehadeh F, Mylona E, et al. Convalescent plasma for patients with severe COVID-19: a matched cohort study. *medRxiv*. August 21, 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/ 10.1101/2020.08.18.20177402v1. Accessed January 15, 2021.
- Altuntas F, Ata N, Yigenoglu TN, et al. Convalescent plasma therapy in patients with COVID-19. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2020 Sep 19:102955 [Online ahead of print].
- 40. Klapholz M, Pentakota SR, Zertuche J-P, et al. Matched cohort study of convalescent COVID-19 plasma (CCP) treatment in severely or life threateningly ill COVID-19 patients. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2021;8(2):ofab001.
- Klein MN, Wang EW, Zimand P, et al. Kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses pre-and post—COVID-19 convalescent plasma transfusion in patients with severe respiratory failure: an observational case-control study. *medRxiv*. December 11, 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.12.10. 20247007v1. Accessed January 20, 2021.
- Perotti C, Baldanti F, Bruno R, et al. Covid-19 Plasma Task Force. Mortality reduction in 46 severe Covid-19 patients treated with hyperimmune plasma. A proof of concept single arm multicenter trial. *Haematologica*. 2020;105(12):2834-2840.
- Moniuszko-Malinowska A, Czupryna P, Zarebska-Michaluk D, et al. Convalescent plasma transfusion for <sup>1</sup>the treatment of COVID-19—experience from Poland: a multicenter study. *J Clin Med.* 2021;10(1):28.
- 44. Omrani AS, Zaqout A, Baiou A, et al. Convalescent plasma for the treatment of patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019: a preliminary report. J Med Virol. 2021;93(3): 1678-1686.

- Hegerova L, Gooley TA, Sweerus KA, et al. Use of convalescent plasma in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: case series. *Blood*. 2020;136(6):759-762.
- 46. Alsharidah S, Ayed M, Ameen RM, et al. COVID-19 convalescent plasma treatment of moderate and severe cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter interventional study. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2021;103:439-446.
- Zeng QL, Yu ZJ, Gou JJ, et al. Effect of convalescent plasma therapy on viral shedding and survival in patients with coronavirus disease 2019. J Infect Dis. 2020;222(1):38-43.
- Donato M, Park S, Baker M, et al. Clinical and laboratory evaluation of patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia treated with high-titer convalescent plasma: a prospective study. *medRxiv*. August 4, 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/ 2020.07.20.20156398v3. Accessed Janary 15, 2021.
- 49. Salazar MR, Gonzalez SE, Regairaz L, et al. Effect of convalescent plasma on mortality in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. medRxiv. October 9, 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/ content/10.1101/2020.10.08.20202606v1. Accessed January 15, 2021.
- Liu STH, Lin HM, Baine I, et al. Convalescent plasma treatment of severe COVID-19: a propensity score—matched control study. Nat Med. 2020;26(11):1708-1713.
- Joyner MJ, Carter RE, Senefeld JW, et al. Convalescent plasma antibody levels and the risk of death from Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021 Jan 13. NEJMoa2031893. [Online ahead of print].
- Maor Y, Cohen D, Paran N, et al. Compassionate use of convalescent plasma for treatment of moderate and severe pneumonia in COVID-19 patients and association with IgG antibody levels in donated plasma. *EclinicalMedicine*. 2020; 26:100525.
- Baang JH, Smith C, Mirabelli C, et al. Prolonged severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 replication in an immunocompromised patient. J Infect Dis. 2021;223(1):23-27.
- Balashov D, Trakhtman P, Livshits A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 convalescent plasma therapy in pediatric patient after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2020 Nov 1:102983 [Online ahead of print].
- Bao Y, Lin SY, Cheng ZH, et al. Clinical features of COVID-19 in a young man with massive cerebral hemorrhage—case report. SN Compr Clin Med. 2020 May 23:1-7 [Online ahead of print].
- 56. Betrains A, Godinas L, Woei-A-Jin FJSH, et al. Convalescent plasma treatment of persistent severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection in patients with lymphoma with impaired humoral immunity and lack of neutralising antibodies. *Br J Haematol.* 2020 Dec 13 [Online ahead of print].
- Bhumbra S, Malin S, Kirkpatrick L, et al. Clinical features of critical coronavirus disease 2019 in children. *Pediatr Crit Care* Med. 2020;21(10):e948-e953.
- 58. Ilona B, László G, Marienn R, et al. Successful administration of convalescent plasma in critically ill COVID-19 patients in Hungary: the first two cases. Orv Hetil. 2020;161(27):1111-1121.
- 59. Bradfute SB, Hurwitz I, Yingling AV, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 neutralizing antibody titers in convalescent plasma and recipients in New Mexico: an open treatment study in patients with coronavirus disease 2019. J Infect Dis. 2020;222(10):1620-1628.
- Choudhury A, Reddy GS, Venishetty S, et al. COVID-19 in liver transplant recipients—a series with successful recovery. J Clin Transl Hepatol. 2020;8(4):467-473.
- Christensen J, Kumar D, Moinuddin I, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 viremia, serologies, and clinical course in a case series of transplant recipients. *Transplant Proc.* 2020;52(9):2637-2641.
- 62. Çinar OE, Sayınalp B, Karakulak EA, et al. Convalescent (immune) plasma treatment in a myelodysplastic COVID-19 patient with disseminated tuberculosis. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2020; 59(5):102821.

- 63. Clark E, Guilpain P, Filip IL, et al. Convalescent plasma for persisting COVID-19 following therapeutic lymphocyte depletion: a report of rapid recovery. Br J Haematol. 2020;190(3): e154-e156.
- Diorio C, Anderson EM, McNerney KO, et al. Convalescent plasma for pediatric patients with SARS-CoV-2-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2020;67(11):e28693.
- 65. Donzelli M, Ippolito M, Catalisano G, et al. Prone positioning and convalescent plasma therapy in a critically ill pregnant woman with COVID-19. *Clin Case Rep.* 2020;8(12):3352-3358.
- Dulipsingh L, Ibrahim D, Schaefer EJ, et al. SARS-CoV-2 serology and virology trends in donors and recipients of convalescent plasma. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2020;59(6): 102922.
- Easterlin MC, De Beritto T, Yeh AM, Wertheimer FB, Ramanathan R. Extremely preterm infant born to a mother with severe COVID-19 pneumonia. *J Investig Med High Impact Case Rep.* 2020;8. 2324709620946621.
- Einollahi B, Cegolon L, Abolghasemi H, et al. A patient affected by critical COVID-19 pneumonia, successfully treated with convalescent plasma. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2020;59(6): 102995.
- Erkurt MA, Sarici A, Berber İ, Kuku İ, Kaya E, Özgül M. Lifesaving effect of convalescent plasma treatment in Covid-19 disease: clinical trial from eastern Anatolia. *Transfus Apher* Sci. 2020;59(5):102867.
- 70. Ferrari S, Caprioli C, Weber A, Rambaldi A, Lussana F. Convalescent hyperimmune plasma for chemo-immunotherapy induced immunodeficiency in COVID-19 patients with hematological malignancies. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2021 Jan 18:1-9 [Online ahead of print].
- Figlerowicz M, Mania A, Lubarski K, et al. First case of convalescent plasma transfusion in a child with COVID-19–associated severe aplastic anemia. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2020;59(5):102866.
- 72. Fisher DL, Pavel A, Malnick S. Rapid recovery of taste and smell in a patient with SARS-CoV-2 following convalescent plasma therapy. Q/M. 2021 Jan 8:hcaa341 [Online ahead of print].
- Fung M, Nambiar A, Pandey S, et al. Treatment of immunocompromised COVID-19 patients with convalescent plasma. *Transpl Infect Dis.* 2020 Sep 29:e13477 [Online ahead of print].
- 74. Gazitúa R, Briones JL, Selman C, et al. Convalescent plasma in COVID-19. Mortality-safety first results of the prospective multicenter FALP 001-2020 trial. *medRxiv*. December 2, 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.11.30. 20218560v1.full. Accessed January 30, 2021.
- Gemici A, Bilgen H, Erdoğan C, et al. A single center cohort of 40 severe COVID-19 patients who were treated with convalescent plasma. *Turk J Med Sci.* 2020; 50(8):1781-1785.
- González SE, Regairaz L, Ferrando NS, González Martínez VV, Salazar MR, Estenssoro E. [Convalescent plasma therapy in COVID-19 patients, in the Province of Buenos Aires]. *Medicina (B Aires)*. 2020;80(5):417-424.
- Hahn M, Condori MEH, Totland A, Kristoffersen EK, Hervig TA. [Patient with severe covid-19 treated with convalescent plasma]. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen*. 2020;140(12).
- Hartman WR, Hess AS, Connor J. Use of COVID-19 convalescent plasma as prophylaxis in a patient with new onset ALL. *Clin Oncol Case Rep.* 2020;4(1).
- Hatzl S, Eisner F, Schilcher G, et al. Response to "COVID-19 in persons with haematological cancers. *Leukemia*. 2020;34(8): 2265-2270.
- Hovey JG, Tolbert D, Howell D. Burton's agammaglobulinemia and COVID-19. *Cureus*. 2020;12(11):e11701.

- Hu X, Hu C, Jiang D, et al. Effectiveness of convalescent plasma therapy for COVID-19 patients in Hunan, China. Dose-Response. 2020;18(4). 1559325820979921.
- 82. Huang S, Shen C, Xia C, Huang X, Fu Y, Tian L. A retrospective study on the effects of convalescent plasma therapy in 24 patients diagnosed with COVID-19 pneumonia in February and March 2020 at 2 centers in Wuhan, China. *Med Sci Monit.* 2020;26:e928755.
- Abid MB, Chhabra S, Buchan B, et al. Bronchoalveolar lavage-based COVID-19 testing in patients with cancer. *Hematol Oncol Stem Cell Ther.* 2020 Oct 8;S1658-3876(20). 30149-7. [Online ahead of print].
- Hueso T, Pouderoux C, Péré H, et al. Convalescent plasma therapy for B-cell depleted patients with protracted COVID-19 disease. *Blood*. 2020;136(20):2290-2295.
- 85. Im JH, Nahm CH, Baek JH, Kwon HY, Lee JS. Convalescent plasma therapy in coronavirus disease 2019: a case report and suggestions to overcome obstacles. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2020;35(26):e239.
- 86. Jaiswal V, Nasa P, Raouf M, et al. Therapeutic plasma exchange followed by convalescent plasma transfusion in critical COVID-19—an exploratory study. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;102: 332-334.
- 87. Jamir I, Lohia P, Pande RK, Setia R, Singhal AK, Chaudhary A. Convalescent plasma therapy and remdesivir duo successfully salvaged an early liver transplant recipient with severe COVID-19 pneumonia. Ann Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg. 2020;24(4):526-532.
- Banous F, Meyer N, Buus D, et al. Critical illness due to Covid-19: a description of the surge in a single center in Sioux Falls. S D Med. 2020;73(7):312-317.
- Ji F, Liu W, Hao D, et al. Use of convalescent plasma therapy in eight mild COVID-19 patients. New Microbes New Infect. 2021;39:100814.
- 90. Jiang J, Miao Y, Zhao Y, et al. Convalescent plasma therapy: helpful treatment of COVID-19 in a kidney transplant recipient presenting with severe clinical manifestations and complex complications. *Clin Transplant*. 2020;34(9):e14025.
- 91. Jin C, Gu J, Yuan Y, et al. Treatment of 6 COVID-19 patients with convalescent plasma. *medRxiv*. May 26, 2020. https:// www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.21.20109512v1. full. Accessed January 15, 2021.
- Jin H, Reed JC, Liu STH, et al. Three patients with X-linked agammaglobulinemia hospitalized for COVID-19 improved with convalescent plasma. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2020; 8(10):3594-3596.e3.
- Karataş A, İnkaya AÇ, Demiroğlu H, et al. Prolonged viral shedding in a lymphoma patient with COVID-19 infection receiving convalescent plasma. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2020; 59(5):102871.
- Ahn JY, Sohn Y, Lee SH, et al. Use of convalescent plasma therapy in two covid-19 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome in Korea. J Korean Med Sci. 2020;35(14):e149.
- Katz-Greenberg G, Yadav A, Gupta M, et al. Outcomes of COVID-19-positive kidney transplant recipients: a singlecenter experience. *Clin Nephrol.* 2020;94(6):318-321.
- Kong Y, Cai C, Ling L, et al. Successful treatment of a centenarian with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) using convalescent plasma. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2020;59(5): 102820.
- Lancman G, Mascarenhas J, Bar-Natan M. Severe COVID-19 virus reactivation following treatment for B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Hematol Oncol. 2020;13(1):131.
- Lima B, Gibson GT, Vullaganti S, et al. COVID-19 in recent heart transplant recipients: clinicopathologic features and early outcomes. *Transpl Infect Dis.* 2020;22(5):e13382.
- Luetkens T, Metcalf R, Planelles V, et al. Successful transfer of anti–SARS-CoV-2 immunity using convalescent plasma in an MM patient with hypogammaglobulinemia and COVID-19. *Blood Adv.* 2020;4(19):4864-4868.

- 100. London J, Boutboul D, Lacombe K, et al. Severe COVID-19 in patients with B cell alymphocytosis and response to convalescent plasma therapy. / Clin Immunol. 2021;41(2):356-361.
- 101. Lubnow M, Schmidt B, Fleck M, et al. Secondary hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis and severe liver injury induced by hepatic SARS-CoV-2 infection unmasking Wilson's disease: balancing immunosuppression. Int J Infect Dis. 2021; 103:624-627.
- 102. Malsy J, Veletzky L, Heide J, et al. Sustained response after remdesivir and convalescent plasma therapy in a B-cell depleted patient with protracted COVID-19. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020 Oct 26:ciaa1637 [Online ahead of print].
- 103. Martinez-Resendez MF, Castilleja-Leal F, Torres-Quintanilla A, et al. Initial experience in Mexico with convalescent plasma in COVID-19 patients with severe respiratory failure, a retrospective case series. *medRxiv*. July 20, 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.07. 14.20144469v1. Accessed January 18, 2021.
- 104. Mehta SA, Rana MM, Motter JD, et al. Incidence and outcomes of COVID-19 in kidney and liver transplant recipients with HIV: report from the National HOPE in Action Consortium. *Transplantation*. 2021;105(1):216-224.
- 105. Anderson J, Schauer J, Bryant S, Graves CR. The use of convalescent plasma therapy and remdesivir in the successful management of a critically ill obstetric patient with novel coronavirus 2019 infection: a case report. Case Rep Womens Health. 2020;27:e00221.
- 106. Milošević I, Jovanović J, Stevanovic O. Atypical course of COVID-19 in patient with Bruton agammaglobulinemia. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2020;14(11):1248-1251.
- 107. Mira E, Yarce OA, Ortega C, et al. Rapid recovery of a SARS-CoV-2—infected X-linked agammaglobulinemia patient after infusion of COVID-19 convalescent plasma. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2020;8(8):2793-2795.
- 108. Moore JL, Ganapathiraju PV, Kurtz CP, Wainscoat B. A 63year-old woman with a history of non-Hodgkin lymphoma with persistent SARS-CoV-2 infection who was seronegative and treated with convalescent plasma. *Am J Case Rep.* 2020; 21:e927812.
- 109. Naeem S, Gohh R, Bayliss G, et al. Successful recovery from COVID-19 in three kidney transplant recipients who received convalescent plasma therapy. *Transpl Infect Dis.* 2020 Aug 19: e13451 [Online ahead of print].
- 110. Niu A, McDougal A, Ning B, et al. COVID-19 in allogeneic stem cell transplant: high false-negative probability and role of CRISPR and convalescent plasma. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2020;55(12):2354-2356.
- 111. Olivares-Gazca JC, Priesca-Marín JM, Ojeda-Laguna M, et al. Infusion of convalescent plasma is associated with clinical improvement in critically ill patients with COVID-19: a pilot study. Rev Invest Clin. 2020;72(3):159-164.
- 112. Pal P, Ibrahim M, Niu A, et al. Safety and efficacy of COVID-19 convalescent plasma in severe pulmonary disease: a report of 17 patients. *Transfus Med.* 2020 Oct 19 [Online ahead of print].
- Peng F, Tu L, Yang Y, et al. Management and treatment of COVID-19: the Chinese experience. *Can J Cardiol.* 2020; 36(6):915-930.
- 114. Ragab D, Salah-Eldin H, Afify M, Soliman W, Badr MH. A case of COVID-19, with cytokine storm, treated by consecutive use of therapeutic plasma exchange followed by convalescent plasma transfusion: a case report. J Med Virol. 2021;93(4): 1854-1856.
- Rahman MH, Akter R, Behl T, et al. COVID-19 outbreak and emerging management through pharmaceutical therapeutic strategy. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2020;26(41):5224-5240.
- 116. Antony SJ, Singh J, de Jesus M, Lance J. Early use of tocilizumab in respiratory failure associated with acute COVID-19 pneumonia in recipients with solid organ transplantation. *IDCases.* 2020;21:e00888.

- Rizvi S, Danic M, Silver M, LaBond V. Cytosorb filter: an adjunct for survival in the COVID-19 patient in cytokine storm? A case report. *Heart Lung.* 2021;50(1):44-50.
- Rodriguez Z, Shane AL, Verkerke H, et al. COVID-19 convalescent plasma clears SARS-CoV-2 refractory to remdesivir in an infant with congenital heart disease. *Blood Adv.* 2020;4(18): 4278-4281.
- 119. Schwartz SP, Walker TC, Kihlstrom M, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for COVID-19-associated multisystem inflammatory syndrome in a 5-year-old. Am Surg. 2020 Dec 29. 0003134820983198. [Online ahead of print].
- 120. Shankar R, Radhakrishnan N, Dua S, et al. Convalescent plasma to aid in recovery of COVID-19 pneumonia in a child with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Transfus Apher Sci. Published online. 2020 Sep 24:102956 [Online ahead of print].
- Shen C, Wang Z, Zhao F, et al. Treatment of 5 critically ill patients with COVID-19 with convalescent plasma. JAMA. 2020; 323(16):1582-1589.
- 122. Szwebel T-A, Veyer D, Robillard N, et al. Usefulness of plasma SARS-CoV-2 RNA quantification by droplet-based digital PCR to monitor treatment against COVID-19 in a B-cell lymphoma patient. Stern Cell Rev Rep. 2021;17(1):296-299.
- 123. Tan L, Kang X, Zhang B, et al. A special case of COVID-19 with long duration of viral shedding for 49 days. *medRxiv*. *March.* 2020;27. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/ 2020.03.22.20040071v1. Accessed January 15, 2021.
- **124.** Tremblay D, Seah C, Schneider T, et al. Convalescent plasma for the treatment of severe COVID-19 infection in cancer patients. *Cancer Med.* 2020;9(22):8571-8578.
- 125. Trimarchi H, Gianserra R, Lampo M, Monkowski M, Lodolo J. Eculizumab, SARS-CoV-2 and atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Clin Kidney J*. 2020;13(5):739-774.
- 126. Van Damme KFA, Tavernier S, Van Roy N, et al. Case report: convalescent plasma, a targeted therapy for patients with CVID and severe COVID-19. Front Immunol. 2020;11:596761.
- van Oers NSC, Hanners NW, Sue P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection associated with hepatitis in an infant with X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency. *Clin Immunol.* 2021;224:108662.
- 128. Vlachogianni G, Hassapopoulou-Matamis H, Politis C, Fylaki E, Mentis A. A case of COVID-19 convalescent plasma donation in Greece: directed donation for compassionate use in the donor's critically ill father. *Transfus Clin Biol.* 2020;27(4):269-270.
- 129. Wang M, Yang X, Yang F, et al. Convalescent plasma therapy in critically ill coronavirus disease 2019 patients with persistently positive nucleic acid test, case series report. *Medicine* (*Baltimore*). 2020;99(36):e21596.
- 130. Wang B, Van Oekelen O, Mouhieddine T, et al. A tertiary center experience of multiple myeloma patients with COVID-19: lessons learned and the path forward. J Hematol Oncol. 2020; 13(1):94.
- 131. Wei B, Hang X, Xie Y, et al. Long-term positive severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 ribonucleic acid and therapeutic effect of antivirals in patients with coronavirus disease: case reports. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 2020;53:e20200372.
- 132. Wright Z, Bersabe A, Eden R, Cap A. Successful use of COVID-19 convalescent plasma in a patient recently treated for follicular lymphoma. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk*. 2021; 21(1):66-68.
- 133. Xu X, Ong YK, Wang DY. Role of adjunctive treatment strategies in COVID-19 and a review of international and national clinical guidelines. *Mil Med Res.* 2020;7(1):22.
- 134. Yang B, Yang J, Zhou L, et al. Inflammatory cytokine depletion in severe coronavirus disease 2019 infectious pneumonia: a case report. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2020;99(49):e23449.
- 135. Ye M, Fu D, Ren Y, et al. Treatment with convalescent plasma for COVID-19 patients in Wuhan, China. J Med Virol. 2020; 92(10):1890-1901.

- 136. Yi SG, Rogers AW, Saharia A, et al. Early experience with COVID-19 and solid organ transplantation at a US highvolume transplant center. *Transplantation*. 2020;104(11): 2208-2214.
- 137. Avanzato VA, Matson MJ, Seifert SN, et al. Case study: prolonged infectious SARS-CoV-2 shedding from an asymptomatic immunocompromised individual with cancer. *Cell.* 2020; 183(7):1901-1912.e9.
- 138. Yokoyama APH, Wendel S, Bonet-Bub C, et al. Impact of convalescent plasma transfusion (CCP) in patients with previous circulating neutralizing antibodies (nAb) to COVID-19. *medRxiv*. December 11, 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/ content/10.1101/2020.12.08.20246173v1. Accessed January 30, 2021.
- 139. Zeng H, Wang D, Nie J, et al. The efficacy assessment of convalescent plasma therapy for COVID-19 patients: a multi-center case series. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* 2020; 5(1):219.
- 140. Zhang B, Liu S, Tan T, et al. Treatment with convalescent plasma for critically ill patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection. *Chest.* 2020;158(1):e9e13.
- 141. Zhang LL, Liu Y, Guo YG, et al. Convalescent plasma rescued a severe COVID-19 patient with chronic myeloid leukemia blast crisis and myelofibrosis. *Turk J Haematol.* 2020 Sep 29 [Online ahead of print].
- 142. Zhang LB, Pang RR, Qiao QH, et al. Successful recovery of COVID-19—associated recurrent diarrhea and gastrointestinal hemorrhage using convalescent plasma. *Mil Med Res.* 2020; 7(1):45.
- 143. Zhang L, Pang R, Xue X, et al. Anti–SARS-CoV-2 virus antibody levels in convalescent plasma of six donors who have recovered from COVID-19. Aging (Albany NY). 2020;12(8): 6536-6542.
- 144. US Food & Drug Administration. Updated evidence to support the emergency use of COVID-19 convalescent plasma—as of 9/23/2020. https://www.fda.gov/media/ 142386/download. Accessed January 30, 2021.
- 145. Joyner MJ, Senefeld JW, Klassen SA, et al. Effect of convalescent plasma on mortality among hospitalized patients with COVID-19: initial three-month experience. *medRxiv*. August 12, 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.08. 12.20169359v1. Accessed January 15, 2021.
- 146. Senefeld J, Klassen SA, Ford SK, et al. Therapeutic use of convalescent plasma in COVID-19 patients with immunodeficiency: a systematic review. medRxiv. November 10, 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.11.08.20224790v1. Accessed Jan 15, 2021.
- Murad MH. Clinical practice guidelines: a primer on development and dissemination. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2017;92(3):423-433.
- 148. Cheng Y, Wong R, Soo YOY, et al. Use of convalescent plasma therapy in SARS patients in Hong Kong. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2005;24(1):44-46.
- 149. Hung IFN, To KKW, Lee C-K, et al. Convalescent plasma treatment reduced mortality in patients with severe pandemic influenza A (H1N1) 2009 virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2011; 52(4):447-456.
- 150. Robbiani DF, Gaebler C, Muecksch F, Lorenzi JCC, Wang Z, Cho A. Convergent antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in convalescent individuals. *Nature*. 2020;584(7821):437-442.
- 151. Klein SL, Pekosz A, Park HS, et al. Sex, age, and hospitalization drive antibody responses in a COVID-19 convalescent plasma donor population. *J Clin Invest.* 2020;130(11):6141-6150.
- 152. Imai M, Iwatsuki-Horimoto K, Hatta M, et al. Syrian hamsters as a small animal model for SARS-CoV-2 infection and countermeasure development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2020; 117(28):16587-16595.

- 153. Sun J, Zhuang Z, Zheng J, et al. Generation of a broadly useful model for COVID-19 pathogenesis, vaccination, and treatment. *Cell.* 2020;182(3):734-743.e5.
- 154. Bandopadhyay P, Rozario RD, Lahiri A, et al. Nature and dimensions of the systemic hyper-inflammation and its

attenuation by convalescent plasma in severe COVID-19. J Infect Dis. 2021 Jan 12:jiab010 [Online ahead of print].

155. Casadevall A, Scharff MD. Return to the past: the case for antibody-based therapies in infectious diseases. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1995;21(1):150-161.