Prognostic Value and Changes of Auditory Brain Stem **Response in Children With Bacterial Meningitis in** Luanda, Angola

Mariia Karppinen^{1,2}, Atte Sjövall², Tuula Pelkonen^{1,2,3}, Luis Bernardino³, Irmeli Roine⁴, Anne Pitkäranta^{2,5}, Antti A Aarnisalo^{2,5}, Päivi Nevalainen^{1,2,6} and Leena Lauronen^{1,2,6}

¹Children's Hospital, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland. ²Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ³David Bernardino Children's Hospital, Luanda, Angola. ⁴Faculty of Medicine, University Diego Portales, Santiago, Chile. ⁵Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland. 6Department of Clinical Neurophysiology and HUS medical imaging centre, Helsinki Finland.

Clinical Medicine Insights: Ear. Nose and Throat Volume 11: 1-11 © The Author(s) 2018 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/1179550618758648



ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To assess the role of single and repeated auditory brain stem response (ABR) in predicting mortality and severe neurological injury among children having bacterial meningitis (BM) in Luanda, Angola.

METHODS: The morphology of ABR traces of 221 children (aged 2 months to 12 years) from admission day was analyzed and compared with age-matched normative data. Absence and delay of traces were compared with mortality and mortality or severe neurological injury in subgroup analyses. Outcome was also evaluated with repeated ABR of 166 children based on presence or absence of responses at 80 dB nHL (normal hearing level) stimulation level.

RESULTS: Individually, the absence of typical ABR waveform did not signify poor outcome. At the group level, latencies and interpeak latencies (IPLs) were significantly prolonged among patients with BM in comparison with controls, and the prolongation correlated with higher mortality or severe neurological sequelae.

CONCLUSIONS: We confirmed the effect of BM on neural conduction time in auditory pathway. However, ABR in similar settings seems not useful for individual prognostication, although at the group level, delayed latencies, IPLs, or both associated with poorer outcome.

KEYWORDS: Auditory brain stem response, childhood bacterial meningitis, prognosis, developing country

RECEIVED: October 29, 2017. ACCEPTED: January 19, 2018.

TYPE: Original Research

FUNDING: The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The Päivikki and Sakari Sohlberg, the Sigrid Jusélius, and the Paediatric Research Foundations and the daily newspaper Helsingin Sanomat funded the original clinical trial.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS: The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: T.P. has received research funding (not personal) for another research project from Sanofi Pasteur. The other authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Mariia Karppinen, Children's Hospital, Helsinki University Central Hospital and University of Helsinki, PO Box 281, Helsinki, FI-00029 HUS Finland. Email: mariia.karppinen@helsinki.fi

Introduction

Childhood bacterial meningitis (BM) is a devastating disease that affects children worldwide. The incidence, death rate, and complications are, however, higher in developing countries. Many aspects of the disease, such as outcome prediction, still remain unclear. Any means to predict the outcome would be helpful for clinicians, particularly in resource-poor settings.

Auditory brain stem responses (ABRs) reflect the neural activity along the auditory pathway in the brain stem. Auditory brain stem responses can be used to (1) indirectly evaluate hearing (especially in small children) and (2) evaluate the intactness of the brain stem in patients with, eg, tumors, trauma, or infections involving the brain stem.

Auditory brain stem response recordings often show alterations in conditions that affect brain stem structures, such as acoustic neuroma or other tumors, central pontine myelinolysis, and multiple sclerosis. However, neuroradiological imaging has widely replaced ABRs in otoneurological diagnostics. Auditory brain stem response monitoring is, nonetheless, still useful in posterior fossa surgery and can help distinguish druginduced coma from true central nervous system (CNS) damage.1 In comatose patients, ABRs can serve to assess the prognosis,² although the cause of coma affects the prognostic value of ABR.¹ In adults, the prognostic value is more notable in head trauma than in brain anoxia. In head trauma, normal ABRs have positive predictive values of 90% for awakening and 75% to 80% for good outcome, whereas major alterations (distortion or disappearance of peaks II-V) are associated with death or vegetative state.³ In anoxic coma, normal ABRs have no prognostic value, whereas abnormal ABRs (loss of brain stem components III-V), although rarely seen, are associated with ominous prognosis.³

In hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy, severely abnormal ABRs (distorted or abolished) in newborns older than 35 weeks' gestational age predict ominous outcome2-4 and in older

 Θ

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).



Figure 1. Flow of patients diagnosed with bacterial meningitis included in the study.

children can provide predictive value of survival versus death.⁵ In posttraumatic coma, ABRs together with somatosensory evoked potentials can also indicate prognostic value, with absent evoked potentials predicting death.⁶ Studies of children with coma due to different causes found that patients with ABR abnormalities had a greater probability of dying than patients with a normal ABR.^{7,8}

Alterations in ABR patterns have also been described in CNS infections.⁹⁻¹² In a study of 50 children diagnosed with BM, overall abnormalities were detected in 64% of patients, including prolonged peak latencies and interpeak latencies (IPLs), unilateral, and bilateral absent responses.⁹ Studies including 24 to 50 children with tuberculous meningitis also reported lengthening of latencies and IPLs, absence of waves, and reduction in I/V ratio.¹³⁻¹⁵

As the mortality and neurological injuries remain high for BM, and the disease might affect the brain stem structures via several mechanisms, we aimed to evaluate the role of ABRs in predicting the outcome after BM in a post hoc analysis of a large prospective pediatric cohort in Luanda, Angola. More specifically, we hypothesized that (1) missing or delayed ABRs would signify unfavorable outcome and that (2) BM affects the conduction properties of the brain stem structures.

Methods

Our study forms a part of a large (N=723) randomized treatment trial on childhood BM (ISRCTN62824827) aiming to improve treatment outcome with a slow initial β -lactam infusion and paracetamol in children 2 months to 13 years in the Hospital Pediátrico David Bernardino, Luanda, Angola, between 2005 and 2008 (described in detail in the original publication).¹⁶ The ethics committee of the local hospital approved the study including the ABR protocol. Trained physicians explained the study to the child's parent or guardian, who provided written consent prior to enrollment. In case of illiteracy, the consent was signed with a fingerprint.

Bacterial meningitis was diagnosed with a positive culture or polymerase chain reaction from cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or with BM-compatible symptoms and signs and either a positive blood culture or at least 2 of the following: high CSF leukocyte concentration (>100 × 10⁶/L, predominantly polymorphs), positive for Gram staining, positive for latex agglutination test, or serum C-reactive protein concentration higher than 381 nmol/L (40 mg/L). All patients received cefotaxime treatment, an antimicrobial not classified as ototoxic.

A total of 357 ABR traces recorded on admission day (within 24 hours) were available. After excluding patients with abnormal or unknown ear status on pneumatic otoscopy (T.P.), we included



Figure 2. Auditory brain stem response recorded from a child having bacterial meningitis on admission day to hospital. The trace is recorded from left side with 80-dB nHL stimulation level.

ABR traces from 221 children (age range: 2 months to 12 years) and 166 corresponding follow-up traces after 7 days (±1 day). Figure 1 presents the flow of patients included in the study.

ABR protocol and characterization

Before initiating the trial, study nurses received detailed training to perform 1-channel ABR recording. An ENT specialist (A.P.) programmed the appliance settings and protocol for the ABR procedure. Study doctor (T.P.) performed pneumatic otoscopy and the study nurses recorded the ABR responses with MADSEN Octavus BERA (Otometrics, Taastrup, Denmark) at predetermined time points.

The recording was performed bedside in natural sleep or in a comfortable position, with child usually held on his or her parent's lap. The electrodes were positioned on the mastoids and the high forehead for 1-channel ABR recording. The auditory stimuli (a broadband click) with rarefaction polarity were delivered using headphones to both ears separately with intensities of 80, 60, and 40 dB nHL (normal hearing level) at a rate of 20 Hz, whereas the contralateral side received masking with 20-dB lower stimuli. In total, 2000 sweeps were averaged. The potential differences between the ipsilateral mastoid and the vertex electrode (Cz) were recorded, whereas the mastoid electrode at the nonstimulated ear served as a neutral electrode. Analysis window was of 10.5 ms. A band-pass filter of 300 to 1000 Hz was used for analysis. The project manager (T.P.) monitored the measuring procedures throughout the study.

The authors of the study (A.S. and M.K.), both trained for ABR evaluation, evaluated the responses by visual analysis. The study authors were blinded to the outcome of each patient. An expert audiologist (A.A.A.) was consulted if necessary. In Figure 2, one normal ABR trace is shown as an example.

We measured the peak latencies of waves I, III, and V when they were identifiable from the traces to 80-dB nHL clicks and calculated the IPLs I to III, III to V, and I to V in those 114 subjects in whom all the 3 responses were identifiable. The better ear was chosen for analysis with asymmetrical cases. If no V wave was noted from either side, the recording was considered bilaterally absent.

The ABR latency and IPL parameters were compared with age-matched control values obtained from 101 children (63 men and 38 women; age range from 1 month to 13 years), outpatients, or their siblings of the same hospital. The upper limit of normal was defined as mean +2 SD of control. Table 1 presents the characteristics of the control population. Table 2 shows the normative values for age groups derived from control children.

We compared the characterized ABR type (bilateral absent or delay of latency parameters) with (1) fatal outcome alone and (2) death combined with severe neurological sequelae in all patients and separately in those with altered level of consciousness (Glasgow Coma Scale [GCS] < 15) and coma (GCS < 7) and also for infants (<1 year) and children \geq 1 year of age.

Auditory brain stem responses were dichotomized as follows. If ABRs were bilaterally or unilaterally present, they were considered as present. If the responses were absent from both sides, the ABRs were considered as absent. Paired traces were available from 166 children. These children were divided into 4 groups based on the repeated ABR recordings. In group 1, the responses were absent in both recordings, and in group 2, they shifted from present to absent. In group 3, the responses were present in both recordings, and in group 4, the initially absent traces turned present in the control recording.

For group comparisons of latencies and IPLs between patients and controls, the subjects were divided into 2 age groups, less than and more than 24 months, as after 24 months the latencies do not shorten markedly.¹⁷

Statistical analyses

Dichotomous categorical variables were compared using χ^2 test and continuous variables using nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test, as the data did not follow normal distribution. P < .05

Table 1.	Characteris	stics of	control	population	from	Luanda
----------	-------------	----------	---------	------------	------	--------

	MEDIAN, N	IQR, %		
Control patients, all	101	100		
Age, mo	47	62		
Male sex	63	62		
	ABR RESPONSE		NO ABR RESPONSE	
	MEDIAN, N	IQR, %	MEDIAN, N	IQR, %
All	65	64	36	36
Age, mo	47	62.5	40.5	63.5
Male sex	39	60	24	67
Reason for visiting hospital				
General pediatrics, control/consultation	19	30	11	31
Surgical, control/consultation	40	63	24	67
Accompanying family member	4	6	1	3
Diagnosed with acute infection	28	44	9	25
Respiratory infection	25	40	8	22
Gastroenteritis	2	3	0	0
Other	1	2	1	3
Excluded, otorrhea	1	2	0	0

Abbreviations: ABR, auditory brain stem response; IQR, interquartile range.

was considered statistically significant. A logistic regression model was used to examine the predictive value of repeated ABR.

Results

The children were overall very ill and often came to hospital after several days of illness with altered level of consciousness. Of the 221 patients (age range: 2 months to 12 years) included in the study, 22 were diagnosed with severe neurological sequelae determined as blindness, quadriplegia, severe psychomotor retardation, or hydrocephalus at discharge. Of patients, 42 died before and 13 patients after the follow-up recording on the seventh day of hospitalization. Mortality rate was lower in infants (<1 year of age, 19%) than in older children (\geq 1 year of age, 28%). Figure 3 presents different types of ABR traces from the study.

In the successfully recorded 221 patients, the absence (N=107, 48%) of typical ABR waveform (ie, absent ABR, Figure 3C) on the admission day did not signify fatal outcome (80% survived the follow-up period) or poor outcome combining death and severe neurological sequelae. In the subgroup analyses including only children with altered consciousness or coma, there was a correlation neither between absent ABR and death nor between absent ABR and death or severe neurological sequelae. In the age-specific analyses, performed separately for age groups <1 year and \geq 1 year of age, absent ABR did not indicate a statistical relationship with mortality or mortality combined with severe neurological injury at hospital (Table 3).

We further examined a possible association between abnormal ABR latency parameters and poor outcome in those children with ABRs present. Delayed latencies of waves III or V or IPLs correlated with a higher rate of mortality or severe neurological sequelae (P=.024). The same was true in the subgroup analysis, which included only patients with altered level of consciousness (P=.043). However, in the age group analyses, the correlation was significant only in children \geq 1 year of age (P=.008). Table 4 presents the characteristics and outcome of patients with abnormal latency and IPL parameters.

We then evaluated the value of repeating the ABR assessment for outcome prediction. Table 5 presents the results of the paired ABR recordings in the 4 groups. Initially absent ABR remained absent in 63 children and appeared present in 16 children. Of these children, 5 (8%) and 0 (0%) died, respectively. Of the initially present ABRs, 11 shifted to absent in the control measurement; 3 (27%) of these patients died. Of the 76 patients whose responses remained present, only 5 (7%) died. In logistic regression analyses, belonging to a certain group did not associate with death or severe neurological sequelae significantly.

We also performed group-level comparisons of the latency parameters between the patients with BM and the controls as

Table 2. Age-related ABR latency and IPL values calculated from 64 control children.

AGE GROUP	LATENCY/IPL	MEAN	SD	+2 SD UPPER LIMIT
≤6mo				
N=7	I	1.66	0.30	2.25
	III	4.26	0.35	4.96
	V	6.13	0.56	7.25
	1-111	2.61	0.29	3.19
	III-V	1.86	0.34	2.54
	I-V	4.47	0.57	5.61
7–12mo				
N=8	I	2.01	0.26	2.52
	III	4.28	0.32	4.93
	V	6.05	0.32	6.69
	I–III	2.27	0.22	2.72
	III–V	1.78	0.07	1.91
	I–V	4.05	0.21	4.46
13–24 mo				
N=13	I	1.71	0.20	2.12
	III	4.10	0.37	4.85
	V	5.73	0.36	6.46
	I–III	2.39	0.34	3.08
	III–V	1.63	0.33	2.28
	I–V	4.02	0.33	4.68
>24mo				
N=39	I	1.68	0.33	2.34
	III	3.81	0.32	4.44
	V	5.55	0.44	6.43
	I–III	2.13	0.38	2.88
	III–V	1.74	0.24	2.23
	I–V	3.87	0.41	4.69

Abbreviations: ABR, auditory brain stem response; IPL, interpeak latency.

well as between survived and deceased patients with BM. Table 6 shows the age group–specific ABR characteristics in the 114 patients with BM (with all responses present) compared with the control population (n = 64). There was a significant difference between the BM children and the control population in the latency of wave V (P=.001) and IPLs of III to V (P=.005) and I to V (P=.003) in infants and small children <24 months and in children older than 24 months in waves I (P=.041), III (P=.003), and V (P=.001) and IPLs of I to III (P=.04) and I to V (P=.019). In patients, these latencies were delayed. No

significant difference was detected between survived and deceased patients.

Discussion

We studied the prognostic value of ABRs in childhood BM in a developing country setting. Despite the relatively large sample size, our findings contradict our first hypothesis that absent ABRs at hospitalization would show prognostic value in these very ill children under these circumstances. However, in the patients in whom the responses were successfully recorded, the



Figure 3. Auditory brain stem responses (ABRs) recorded from 3 children having bacterial meningitis with normal pneumatic otoscopy on admission day to hospital. (A) ABR with normal latencies and interpeak latencies (IPLs), elevated hearing threshold. (B) Delayed latency of wave V and IPLs of I to V and III to V, right ear, obtained from a 48-month-old child who died. (C) Bilateral absent response.

	Ν	FATAL OUTCOME	SURVIVED	<i>P</i> VALUE	DEATH OR SEVERE NEUROLOGICAL SEQUELAE	SURVIVED WITHOUT SEVERE NEUROLOGICAL SEQUELAE	<i>P</i> VALUE
		NO. (%)			NO. (%)		
Bilateral at	osent resp	onse					
All	107	23 (43)	84 (50)	NS	35 (46)	72 (50)	NS
<gcs< td=""><td>68</td><td>22 (46)</td><td>46 (50)</td><td>NS</td><td>33 (49)</td><td>35 (49)</td><td>NS</td></gcs<>	68	22 (46)	46 (50)	NS	33 (49)	35 (49)	NS
Delayed la	tency of w	aves III, V, or IPL					
All	33	12 (40)	21 (26)	NS	17 (43)	16 (22)	.024
<gcs< td=""><td>23</td><td>10 (40)</td><td>13 (28)</td><td>NS</td><td>15 (44)</td><td>8 (22)</td><td>.043</td></gcs<>	23	10 (40)	13 (28)	NS	15 (44)	8 (22)	.043
<1 y	17	3 (60)	14 (38)	NS	6 (50)	11 (37)	NS
≥1 y	16	9 (36)	7 (16)	NS (.051)	11 (39)	5 (12)	.008

 Table 3. Fatal outcome in crosstabulatios in day 1 ABR traces of children having bacterial meningitis.

Abbreviations: ABR, auditory brain stem response; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; IPL, interpeak latency; NS, nonsignificant.

Display Control Contro <thcontrol< th=""> <thcontro< th=""> <thcon< th=""><th>ABNORMAL ABR PARAMETER(S)</th><th>AGE, MO</th><th>GCS ON ADMISSION</th><th>GENERAL CONDITION</th><th>NEUROLOGICAL FOCAL SIGNS</th><th>OUTCOME AT DISCHARGE</th><th>HEARING LOSS AT CONTROL(S)</th><th>NEUROLOGICAL SEQUELAE AT CONTROL</th></thcon<></thcontro<></thcontrol<>	ABNORMAL ABR PARAMETER(S)	AGE, MO	GCS ON ADMISSION	GENERAL CONDITION	NEUROLOGICAL FOCAL SIGNS	OUTCOME AT DISCHARGE	HEARING LOSS AT CONTROL(S)	NEUROLOGICAL SEQUELAE AT CONTROL
II 34 10 70 200 monotone and 300 200 monotone and 3000 2000 monotone and 30000 2000 monotone and 30000 2000 monotone and 30000 2000 monotone and 30000 20000 monotone and 300000 200000000 $2000000000000000000000000000000000000$	≥2.0 SD							
III Z2 11 For Non-posession III 2 1 2	≡	34	10	Poor	Strabismus, abducens paresis	Severe neurologi- cal sequelae	Yes, hears 60 dB	Quadriplegic, blind, ataxic
II511PortMonomesiaSevenencedeNoMonomesiaII2113NoNoNoDedMonomesiaII2515NoNoNoDed </td <td>≡</td> <td>27</td> <td>11</td> <td>Poor</td> <td>No</td> <td>Died</td> <td></td> <td></td>	≡	27	11	Poor	No	Died		
III 31 Nature Mathematical Ded III 35 5 Nature Submension Ded III 45 5 Nature Submension Ded III 45 9 Port Submension Ded IV 16 5 Port Submension Ded IV 16 5 Nation Submension Ded IV 16 5 Nation Submension Ded IV 16 16 Nation Nation Nation III 16 16 Nation Nation Nation III 16 16 Nation Nation Nation III 16 16 Nation	≡	Ŋ	1	Poor	Monoparesis	Severe neurologi- cal sequelae	No	Monoparesis, blind, ataxic
II3515National statematical	≡	31	13	Not poor	No	Died		
II459Poor tobletespacesStateknue, tobletespacesDedV16599NotportNotportNotport11151010NotportNotportNotportNotport111101010NotportNotportNotport1111010NotportNotportNotportNotport11110NotportNotportNotportNotportNotport1110NotportNotportNotportNotportNotport11010NotportNotportNotportNotportNotport11010NotportNotportNotportNotportNotport11010NotportNotportNotportNotportNotport11NotportNotportNotportNotportNotport11NotportNotportNotportNotportNotport11NotportNotportNotportNotportNotport11NotportNotportNotportNotportNotport11NotportNotportNotportNotportNotport11NotportNotportNotportNotportNotport11NotportNotportNotportNotportNotport11NotportNotportNo	≡	35	15	Not poor	Strabismus, abducens paresis	Died		
V165PoorNotwedNoNotwedNo1/12415NotporNoSurvedNoNo1/1510PoorStabissusiaDidNo1/1510PoorStabissusiaDidNo1/1110NotporNotporNoNo1/210NotporNotporNoNo1/210NotporStabissusiaStabissusiaStabissusia1/210NotporNotporNoNo1/210NotporNoNoNo1/210NotporNoNoNo1/210NotporNoNoNo1/210NoNoNoNo1/210NoNoNoNo1/210NoNoNoNo1/210NoNoNoNo1/210NoNoNoNo1/210NoNoNoNo1/210NoNoNoNo1/210NoNoNoNo1/210NoNoNoNo1/210NoNoNoNo1/210NoNoNoNo1/210NoNoNoNo1/210NoNoNo1/210NoNo<	≡	45	o	Poor	Strabismus, abducens paresis	Died		
V4415NetporNoNorweiNo101510PorStatistus, BuducensparesisDedStatistus, BuducensparesisDed101115NoporNoporNoNoNo101110NoporNotportNoNoNo101110NotportNotportNotportNoNo10115NotportNotportNoNoNoNo10115NotportNoNoNoNoNo10215NotportNoNoNoNoNo10315NoNoNoNoNoNo10413PortNoNoNoNoNo10413NoNoNoNoNoNo10513NoNoNoNoNoNo10513NoNoNoNoNoNo10513NoNoNoNoNoNo10413NoNoNoNoNoNo10513NoNoNoNoNoNo10513NoNoNoNoNoNo10513NoNoNoNoNoNo10513NoNoNoNoNoNo10513NoNoNoNo	>	16	Q	Poor	No	Survived	No	
III510Poor additioner budients pareisis budients pareisisDedIII415NotporNotporNoNoIII-U710NotporStrabitionis, additionerSeveneurologiNoIII-U1315NotporSubucens pareis additionerSeveneurologiNoIII-U1315NotporNotporSurvivedNoIII-U1315NotporNotporSurvivedNoIII-U1315NotporSurvivedNoIII-U1316NotporSurvivedNoIII-U1316NotporSurvivedNoIII-U1316NoSurvivedNoIII-U15NotporNoSurvivedNoIII-U16NoNoSurvivedNoIII-U16NoNoSurvivedNoIII-U16NoNoSurvivedNoIII-U10NoNoSurvivedNoIII-U10NoNoNoNoIII-U10NoNoNoNoIII-U10NoNoNoIII-U10NoNoNoIII-U10NoNoNoIII-U10NoNoNoIII-U10NoNoNoIII-U10NoNoNoIII-U <td< td=""><td>I, V</td><td>44</td><td>15</td><td>Not poor</td><td>No</td><td>Survived</td><td>No</td><td></td></td<>	I, V	44	15	Not poor	No	Survived	No	
I-II415NatpoorNotpoorNotNotII-V710NatpoorStabisnus, abducenspatesisStabisnus, abducenspatesisStabisnus, abducenspatesisStabisnus, abducenspatesisStabisnus, abducenspatesisNotII-V815NatpoorNatpoorSurvivedNoNotII-V1315NatpoorNot wordNot wordNot wordNot wordII-V1315NatpoorNot wordNot wordNot wordNot wordII-V1415Not wordNot wordNot wordNot wordNot wordII-V1516Not wordNot wordNot wordNot wordII-V1610Not wordNot wordNot wordNot wordII-V16Not wordNot wordNot wordII-V16<	I, III	ъ	10	Poor	Strabismus, abducens paresis	Died		
II-V710NotporErabistus, Eaberbardes, Eaberba	-	4	15	Not poor	No	No	No	
II-V815NotporNoNoNoII-V1315NotporNoSurviedNoII-V912NotporNoSurviedNoII-V912PoorNoSurviedNoII-V543PoorNoDiedNonoresis.taxicII-V543PoorNoNoNonoresis.taxicII-V58PoorNoNoNonoresis.taxicII-V58PoorNoNoNonoresis.taxicII-V15NotporNoNoNonoresis.taxicII-V15NotporNoNoNoII-V16NoNoNoNoII-V16NoNoNoNoII-V13NotporNoNoNoII-V13NotporNoNoNo	N-III	7	10	Not poor	Strabismus, abducens paresis	Severe neurologi- cal sequelae	Yes, hears 80 dB	Blind, ataxic
II-V1315NotporNoNotivedNoII-V912PoorFixed gazeModrateModrateModrateII-V543PoorNoDedPoorNoII-V543PoorNoDedNoNoII-V543PoorNoDedNoII-V58PoorNoNoNoII-V58PoorNoNoNoII-V715NotporNoSurvived (sidedNoII-V811PoorNoNoNoI-V1613NotporNoNoNo	N-III	8	15	Not poor	No	Survived	No	
II-V912PoorFixed gaze equelaeModerate bequelaeDeat 6 fromMonoresis taxicII-V543PoorNoDied <t< td=""><td>N-III</td><td>13</td><td>15</td><td>Not poor</td><td>No</td><td>Survived</td><td>No</td><td></td></t<>	N-III	13	15	Not poor	No	Survived	No	
II-V543PoorNoDidII-V58PoorNoMild neurologicalNoAtaxicII-V715Not poorNoSurvived (diedNoNoII-V811PoorNoSurvived (diedNoNoII-V1613Not poorNoDiedNo	∧–III	ຉ	12	Poor	Fixed gaze	Moderate neurological sequelae	Deaf at 6 mo	Monoparesis, ataxic
II-V58PoorNoMid neurological sequelaeNoMaxicII-V715Not poorNoSurvived (died later)NoII-V811PoorNoDiedNoI-V1613Not poorNoDiedNo	N-III	54	в	Poor	No	Died		
III-V 7 15 Not poor No Survived (died No III-V 8 11 Poor No Died Di	N-III	ß	8	Poor	No	Mild neurological sequelae	No	Ataxic
III-V 8 11 Poor No Died I-V 16 13 Not poor No Died No	N-III	7	15	Not poor	No	Survived (died later)	No	
I–V 16 13 Not poor No Died No	N-III	8	11	Poor	No	Died		
	∧ <u>−</u>	16	13	Not poor	No	Died	No	

Karppinen et al

Table 4. (Continued)

r poor poor	5 Poo 12 Not 15 Not 10 Not 15 Not
	15 Not poor 15 Not poor 14 Not poor
11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

GROUP	1	2	3	4	ALL
GROUP DEFINITION [®]	ABSENT; ABSENT	PRESENT; ABSENT	PRESENT; PRESENT	ABSENT; PRESENT	
	NO. (%)				
	N=63 (38)	N=11 (7)	N=76 (46)	N=16 (10)	N=166 (100)
Death at discharge	5 (8)	3 (27) ^b	5 (7)	0 (0)	13 (8)
Severe neurological sequelae at discharge	8 (13)	1 (9)	9 (12)	2 (13)	20 (12)
Any neurological sequelae at discharge	35 (56)	7(64)	31 (41)	11 (69)	84 (51)
Profound hearing loss at control (0-3mo)	0 (0)	1 (9)	3 (4)	1 (6)	5 (3)

Table 5. Repeated auditory brain stem response traces recorded on days 0 and 7, divided into 4 groups.

^aObtainable (present) recording from at least one side or unobtainable (absent) bilateral recording at 80 dB nHL stimuli. ^bIn addition, 3 deaths after discharge.

Table 6. ABR latencies and IPLs from patients and controls with normal ear status on pneumatic otoscopy.

	PATIENTS	CONTROLS	P VALUE
All	N=114	N=64	
Age <24mo	N=61	N=25	
	Mean/median (SD/IQR)		
Median age	7 (8)	11(11.5)	NS
I latency	1.85 (0.26)	1.78 (0.29)	NS
III latency	4.34 (0.45)	4.20 (0.36)	NS
V latency	6.30 (0.44)	5.93 (0.46)	.001
IPL I–III	2.48 (0.37)	2.43 (0.33)	NS
IPL III–V	1.93 (0.30)	1.80 (0.20)	.005
IPL I–V	4.45 (0.40)	4.15 (0.43)	.003
Age ≥24mo	N=53	N=39	
	Mean/median (SD/IQR)		
Median age	54 (43.5)	71 (49)	NS
I latency	1.80 (0.29)	1.66 (0.22)	.041
III latency	4.05 (0.40)	3.80 (0.32)	.003
V latency	5.83 (0.51)	5.55 (0.44)	.001
IPL I–III	2.30 (0.34)	2.12 (0.38)	.04
IPL III–V	1.78 (0.27)	1.75 (0.24)	NS
IPL I–V	3.97 (0.44)	3.81 (0.42)	.019

Abbreviations: ABR, auditory brain stem response; IPL, interpeak latency; IQR, interquartile range; NS, nonsignificant.

mortality rate combined with severe neurological sequelae was significantly higher with delayed response in all patients and in children ≥ 1 year of age, and the mortality rate alone was higher in children ≥ 1 year of age.

With repeated ABR measurements, the percentage of fatal outcome for those whose ABR remained or turned absent (11%, N = 8/74) was higher than for those whose ABR persisted or turned present (5%, N = 5/92). However, this was not

statistically significant. Furthermore, our findings suggest that meningitis affects the conduction time of neural impulses in the auditory pathway in the brain stem, prolonging the ABR latencies.

Although studies of coma with traumatic origin and stroke showed prognostic value of progressive ABR degradation in adults in bedside recordings,¹⁸ we failed to find similar results in our patients with childhood BM in a developing country setting. The few studies of meningitis, but of different causes, have ended up with the same result. In Japanese encephalitis, ABR abnormalities correlated with brain stem lesions on computed tomographic and magnetic resonance imaging scans, but not with severity of coma or outcome.¹² As in cortical evoked responses from primary somatosensory and auditory cortex in comatose patients,¹⁹ the cause of altered level of consciousness seems to play a major role when the prognostic value of ABR is studied.

As in this study of BM, more subtle ABR pattern changes at the group level, especially the lengthening of absolute and IPLs, have been previously reported in meningitis of varying causes, such as tuberculous^{13,14} and mixed cause.^{13,14}

Our study has some limitations. First of all, hearing loss is a well-known sequelae of childhood BM, which causes elevation in the ABR thresholds or deafness unilaterally or bilaterally. Hearing loss fluctuation is known to occur in the course of the disease^{20,21} and was also observed in the original cohort.²² This might explain some of the variance and lability in our ABR results. Processes causing conductive hearing loss may also prolong the absolute ABR latencies. The IPLs, however, are not markedly affected by the conductive or sensorineural dysfunctions in the outer or inner ear level. In addition, in our study design, the repeated measurement was set for quite late, whereas the occurrence of death usually happened early after the hospitalization. Accordingly, in our setting, we could only measure the late in-hospital mortality of the disease.

Medication may also affect the ABR tracings. Even though the ABR is thought to be affected minimally by sedatives or anesthetics, other medications may have an effect on the inner ear. In our study, we did not use ototoxic antibiotics. However, some of the patients did use antibiotics prior to hospitalization that we were not able to control. Finally, 31% of our patients had positive malaria smear and most of them were given quinine. Although quinine is thought to raise the hearing threshold only to a lesser degree and after the loading dose, its role particularly in the first ABR testing remains unclear.

Finally, the ABR was not performed in quiet laboratory settings, nor could we control the examination room temperature, availability of electricity, or interference in the hospital, which sometimes complicated performing the ABR testing. Thus, ABR was not tested with all children initially or at the preset control time.

The missing brain stem–evoked responses (waves III and V) could be validated if the wave I was present in ABR, thus confirming the activation of the auditory system. However, the

signal-to-noise ratio of the recordings did not permit this analysis, meaning that the absent ABRs may possibly be a result of inadequate stimulation or recording. This may explain the lack of prognostic value of the missing ABR at hospitalization. However, to partly overcome this obstacle in a developing country setting, we analyzed the follow-up recordings to obtain validation from repeated recording. We are aware that our results do not apply to ABR recordings performed in a neurophysiology laboratory. However, because our aim was to test the prognostic value of ABRs particularly in a developing country setting, we believe our data demonstrate that in such settings absent ABRs at hospitalization are unable to provide prognostic information in patients with BM.

Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study assessing the role of ABR in prognosis of childhood BM in a developing country setting. At the group level, we observed that childhood BM affects the neural conduction time in the auditory neural pathway in brain stem. However, at the individual level, we found no clear benefit from a single ABR recording in predicting the outcome. In other words, even absent ABRs in similar settings cannot be taken as an indication for poor outcome. However, delayed latencies of waves III or V or IPLs correlated with a higher rate of mortality or severe neurological sequelae. The role of delayed latency and IPL parameters in individual prognostication deserves further confirmation.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Professor Heikki Peltola, promoter and supervisor of the original study.

Author Contributions

TP, LB, AP, and AAA conceived and designed the experiments. MK, AS, TP, IR, and AAA analyzed the data. MK and AS wrote the first draft of the manuscript. TP, IR, PN, and LL contributed to the writing of the manuscript. MK, AS, TP, LB, IR, AP, AAA, PN, and LL agree with manuscript results and conclusions. MK, TP, IR, AP, PN, and LL jointly developed the structure and arguments for the paper. TP, LB, IR, AP, AAA, PN, and LL made critical revisions and approved final version. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Disclosures and Ethics

As a requirement of publication, authors have provided to the publisher signed confirmation of compliance with legal and ethical obligations including but not limited to the following: authorship and contributorship, conflicts of interest, privacy and confidentiality, and (where applicable) protection of human and animal research subjects. The authors have read and confirmed their agreement with the ICMJE authorship and conflict of interest criteria. The authors have also confirmed that this article is unique and not under consideration or published in any other publication, and that they have permission from rights holders to reproduce any copyrighted material. The external blind peer reviewers report no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Nuwer MR. Fundamentals of evoked potentials and common clinical applications today. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol*, 1998;106:142–148.
- Guerit JM, Amantini A, Amodio P, et al. Consensus on the use of neurophysiological tests in the intensive care unit (ICU): electroencephalogram (EEG), evoked potentials (EP), and electroneuromyography (ENMG). *Neurophysiol Clin.* 2009;39:71–83. doi:10.1016/j.neucli.2009.03.002.
- Guerit JM. Neurophysiological testing in neurocritical care. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2010;16:98–104. doi:10.1097/MCC.0b013c328337541a.
- Scalais E, Francois-Adant A, Nuttin C, Bachy A, Guerit JM. Multimodality evoked potentials as a prognostic tool in term asphyxiated newborns. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol*. 1998;108:199–207.
- Abend NS, Licht DJ. Predicting outcome in children with hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2008;9:32–39. doi:10.1097/01. PCC.0000288714.61037.56.
- Butinar D, Gostisa A. Brainstem auditory evoked potentials and somatosensory evoked potentials in prediction of posttraumatic coma in children. *Pflugers Arch.* 1996;431:R289–R290.
- Cheliout-Heraut F, Rubinsztajn R, Ioos C, Estournet B. Prognostic value of evoked potentials and sleep recordings in the prolonged comatose state of children preliminary data. *Neurophysiol Clin.* 2001;31:283–292.
- de Sousa LC, Colli BO, Piza MR, da Costa SS, Ferez M, Lavrador M. Auditorybrainstem response: prognostic value in patients with a score of 3 on the Glasgow Coma Scale. Otol Neurotol. 2007;28:426–428. doi:10.1097/MAO.0b013e3180326170.
- Kapoor RK, Kumar R, Misra PK, Sharma B, Shukla R, Dwivedee S. Brainstem auditory evoked response (BAER) in childhood bacterial meningitis. *Indian J Pediatr.* 1996;63:217–225.

- Bao X, Wong V. Brainstem auditory-evoked potential evaluation in children with meningitis. *Pediatr Neurol.* 1998;19:109–112.
- Jiang ZD. Outcome of brain stem auditory electrophysiology in children who survive purulent meningitis. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* 1999;108:429–434. doi:10.1177/000348949910800502.
- Kalita J, Misra UK. Brainstem auditory evoked potential in Japanese encephalitis. J Neurol Sci. 1999;165:24–27.
- Kapoor RK, Makharia A, Shukla R, Misra PK, Sharma B. Brainstem auditory evoked response in tuberculous meningitis. *Indian J Pediatr.* 1997;64:399–407.
- Topcu I, Cureoglu S, Yaramis A, et al. Evaluation of brainstem auditory evoked response audiometry findings in children with tuberculous meningitis at admission. *Auris Nasus Larynx*. 2002;29:11–14.
- Arulprakash S, Verma SP, Bhardwaj VK, Mishra SS, Chansori M. Brain stem auditory evoked responses and visual evoked responses in children with tubercular meningitis. *Indian Pediatr.* 2006;43:631–634.
- Pelkonen T, Roine I, Cruzeiro ML, Pitkaranta A, Kataja M, Peltola H. Slow initial lactam infusion and oral paracetamol to treat childhood bacterial meningitis: a randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2011;11:613–621. doi:10.1016/ S1473-3099(11)70055-X.
- Hecox K, Galambos R. Brain stem auditory evoked responses in human infants and adults. *Arch Otolaryngol.* 1974;99:30–33.
- Garcia-Larrea L, Artru F, Bertrand O, Pernier J, Mauguiere F. The combined monitoring of brain stem auditory evoked potentials and intracranial pressure in coma: a study of 57 patients. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 1992;55:792–798.
 Logi F, Fischer C, Murri L, Mauguiere F. The prognostic value of evoked
- Logi F, Fischer C, Murri L, Mauguiere F. The prognostic value of evoked responses from primary somatosensory and auditory cortex in comatose patients. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2003;114:1615–1627.
- Wellman MB, Sommer DD, McKenna J. Sensorineural hearing loss in postmeningitic children. Otol Neurotol. 2003;24:907–912.
- Vienny H, Despland PA, Lutschg J, Deonna T, Dutoit-Marco ML, Gander C. Early diagnosis and evolution of deafness in childhood bacterial meningitis: a study using brainstem auditory evoked potentials. *Pediatrics*. 1984;73:579–586.
- Roine I, Pelkonen T, Cruzeiro ML, et al. Fluctuation in hearing thresholds during recovery from childhood bacterial meningitis. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2014;33:253–257. doi:10.1097/INF.00000000000218.