



Disinfectants in a Hemodialysis Setting: Antifungal Activity Against *Aspergillus* and *Fusarium* Planktonic and Biofilm Cells and the Effect of Commercial Peracetic Acid Residual in Mice

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Jens Staal, Ghent University, Belgium

Reviewed by:

Genki Kimura, Nihon University, Japan Sassan Rezaie, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*Correspondence:

Regina H. Pires regina.pires@unifran.edu.br

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Fungal Pathogenesis, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

Received: 03 February 2021 Accepted: 04 March 2021 Published: 29 April 2021

Citation:

Lopes LG, Csonka LA, Castellane JAS, Oliveira AW, Almeida-Júnior S, Furtado RA, Tararam C, Levy LO, Crivellenti LZ, Moretti ML, Giannini MJSM and Pires RH (2021) Disinfectants in a Hemodialysis Setting: Antifungal Activity Against Aspergillus and Fusarium Planktonic and Biofilm Cells and the Effect of Commercial Peracetic Acid Residual in Mice. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 11:663741. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.663741 Leonardo G. Lopes¹, Larissa A. Csonka¹, Jessica A. Souza Castellane¹, Alef Winter Oliveira², Sílvio de Almeida-Júnior², Ricardo Andrade Furtado², Cibele Tararam³, Larissa Ortolan Levy³, Leandro Zuccolotto Crivellenti⁴, Maria Luiza Moretti³, Maria José S. Mendes Giannini⁵ and Regina H. Pires^{1*}

¹ Postgraduate Program in Health Promotion, University of Franca, Franca, Brazil, ² Postgraduate Program in Animal Sciences, University of Franca, Franca, Brazil, ³ Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Campinas, Campinas, Brazil, ⁴ Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of Uberlandia, Uberlandia, Brazil, ⁵ School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Araraquara, Brazil

Aspergillus and Fusarium cause a broad spectrum of infections in humans, mainly in immunocompromised patients. Among these, patients undergoing hemodialysis are highly susceptible to infections, requiring a constant and adequate environmental disinfection program. Nevertheless, monitoring the residual disinfectants can contribute to the morbidity and mortality reduction in these patients. Here, we evaluated the susceptibility of Aspergillus spp. (n=19) and Fusarium spp. (n=13) environmental isolates against disinfectants (acetic acid, citric acid, peracetic acid, sodium hypochlorite, and sodium metabisulphite) at different concentrations and time exposures. Also, we investigated the in vivo toxicity of the peracetic acid residual concentration in mice. Fusarium isolates were identified by F. equiseti, F. oxysporum and F. solani while Aspergillus presented clinically relevant species (A. fumigatus, A. niger and A. terreus) and environmental ones. Against planktonic cells, only two disinfectants (acetic acid and sodium hypochlorite) showed a fungicidal effect on Fusarium spp., while only one (sodium hypochlorite) was effective against Aspergillus spp. Both fungi formed robust in vitro biofilms with large amounts of the extracellular matrix, as evidenced by electron micrographs. Exposure of fungal biofilms to disinfectants showed sensitivity to three (acetic, citric, and peracetic acids), although the concentrations and times of exposure varied according to the fungal genus. Mice exposure to the residual dose of peracetic acid during 60 weeks showed anatomopathological, hematological, and biochemical changes. The implementation of news control measures and those that already exist can help reduce infections, the second cause of death and morbidity in these

patients, besides providing safety and well-being to them, a priority of any quality health program.

Keywords: Aspergillus, Fusarium, biofilm, disinfectant, mice

INTRODUCTION

Chronic renal patients, due to abnormalities in their immune systems, such as T cell deficiency, accumulation of proinflammatory cytokines, oxidative stress, among others, are more susceptible to infections, which are the second most common cause of morbidity and mortality in these patients (Kato et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2020). Among these, invasive fungal infections present high rates among immunocompromised patients, especially those undergoing hemodialysis (Ghandi et al., 2005).

Fungi can be contaminants in the hemodialysis system over the years (Arvanitidou et al., 2000; Varo et al., 2007; Pires-Gonçalves et al., 2008; Figel et al., 2013; Schiavano et al., 2014; Abbass et al., 2018; Nehmatullah, 2020), although monitoring such pathogens, whether in planktonic or biofilm growth, has not received much attention from regulatory agencies.

Aspergillus spp., Fusarium spp., and Candida spp. have been highlighted as fungi contaminant, mainly from water used in hemodialysis (Arvanitidou et al., 2000; Pires-Gonçalves et al., 2008; Pires et al., 2011). Aspergillus, a filamentous fungus, are saprobic organisms found in the environment and were considered an important opportunistic agent in clinical practice (Hsieh et al., 2017). Factors such as i) reduced conidia size, which facilitates inhalation of conidial forms; ii) temperature found in the upper airways is practically the same as the fungus develops in nature; iii) great adherence capacity and biofilm formation, contribute to the development of pathologies associated with Aspergillus in immunocompromised patients (Müller et al., 2011; Lass-Flörl et al., 2013; Beauvais and Latgé, 2015).

Fusarium has been found in the hospital environment on the water distribution system, and its conidia can be aerosolized by opening taps, showers, or flush toilets (Anaissie et al., 2001; Muhammed et al., 2011; Sautour et al., 2012; Moretti et al., 2018). This fungal adaptation to the hospital aquatic environments has allowed correlating *Fusarium* isolates obtained from the water with isolates obtained from hospitalized patients (O'Donnell et al., 2004; Short et al., 2011; Steinberg et al., 2015). In addition, the *Fusarium* biofilm formation has been reported in contact lens wearers and patients with keratitis (Jureen et al., 2008).

Biofilms are cellular communities attached to the biotic or abiotic solid surface, which produce extracellular polymeric substances forming a gelatinous network that immobilizes and protects inside cells (Abdallah et al., 2014). Biofilm-forming microorganisms have greater resistance to antimicrobial agents and can survive after conventional disinfection procedures (Bridier et al., 2011). The cause of this resistance includes the presence of microorganisms in the biofilm innermost layers with metabolic and growth rates reduced. The extracellular polymeric matrix can act as an adsorbent, reducing the amount of antimicrobial available against the biofilm cells (Gilbert et al., 2002) or acting as an electron donor/receiver that cause the disinfectant inactivation (Bridier et al., 2011).

In medical devices, the disinfectant's incorrect use, for example, in erroneous doses, shorter exposure time or after non-compliance with conservation guidelines, can contribute to the microorganism's persistence that may allow the biofilm formation (Bremer, 2006).

Several disinfectants such as acetic, citric, peracetic acids, sodium hypochlorite, and sodium metabisulfite have been used in the hemodialysis microenvironment for different purposes (Sakuma et al., 2010; Oliveira et al., 2011; Bolasco et al., 2012; Kawanishi et al., 2016). National and international guidelines recommend that aqueous sodium hypochlorite solutions at 0.05%, which corresponds to 500 parts per million (ppm) with 30 minutes exposure period, are used to disinfect hemodialysis machines (API, 2010; Brazil, 2014; Rutala and Weber, 2015). In addition, 0.1% sodium hypochlorite has also been used to disinfect hydraulic pipes and treated water storage tanks monthly (Santos et al., 2007; Rutala and Weber, 2015). Disadvantages of hypochlorites such as corrosiveness to metals, inactivation by organic matter, release of chloramines when mixed with ammonia or acid and relative stability have contributed to the discontinuation of the use of hypochlorite (Rutala and Weber, 2015).

The peracetic acid in mixtures with acetic acid, hydrogen peroxide, a stabilizer, and, sometimes, sulfuric acid has increased its use frequency in the dialysis setting (Brazil, 2014; Rutala and Weber, 2015). In patient shifts, this disinfectant was used to the hemodialysis filters sterilization to allow their reuse and to the hemodialysis machines disinfection (Oliveira et al., 2011). In dialysis facilities that perform reuse, the dialyzer is specific for the patient who can reuse it up to 15 times, on average (Oliveira et al., 2011; Kreepala et al., 2017). Lacson et al. (2011) reported that factors such as exposure to the reused membrane, residual reagents, altered membrane permeability to toxins, or some combination of these alternatives would contribute to the inflammatory reaction and the common nutritional manifestations observed in patients with chronic kidney disease. Thus, the residual peroxide levels must be measured to provide safety to patients (Brazil, 2004).

To our knowledge, published studies reporting residual toxicity of acute or chronically peracetic acid are scarce demanding studies that address this important issue for public health. In this context, this study aimed to monitor the effect of disinfectants used in the dialysis process against *Aspergillus*, and *Fusarium* isolates from a hospital hemodialysis center's water system in planktonic and biofilm form and investigates the

in vivo toxicity of low concentrations of peracetic acid in chronic exposures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Disinfectants

The disinfectants (acetic acid, citric acid, peracetic acid, sodium hypochloride, and sodium metabisulphite) used in this study were chosen to represent those used in the hemodialysis setting for disinfecting surfaces, water lines, water storage tanks, and equipment components. At the time of the tests, these agents were diluted with distilled water in order to provide the use concentration recommended by guidelines or by the manufacturer. The resulting solution was passed through microbiological filters (22 μ m pores) for sterilization.

Fungal Samples

Fusarium (F1 to F14) and *Aspergillus* (A1 to A23) isolates from the Laboratory of Mycology and Environmental Diagnosis, University of Franca, SP, Brazil were tested. The fungal isolates were previously recovered from the water system of a hospital Hemodialysis Center by our group (Varo et al., 2007; Pires-Gonçalves et al., 2008; Montanari et al., 2009). Fungi were grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA, Acumedia[®], Michigan, EUA) during five-seven days at 30 °C.

Molecular Samples Identification DNA Extraction

Fungal samples were transferred to PDA and incubated at 30 °C. Conidia and cells were harvested with buffered saline (PBS - 10 mM potassium phosphate; 0.15 M NaCl, pH 7.0) for cell wall disruption in MagNA Lyser (Roche Life Science, SP, Brazil) at 5,000 × *g* for 5 min, using Magna Lyser Green Beads (Roche Life Science). DNA was extracted from this homogenized mixture using the QiaAmp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

PCR Reactions

PCR was performed using specific primers to amplify TEF1a (translation elongation factor $- 1\alpha$) and rDNA (ribosomal DNA) genes for the identification of species of Fusarium and ß-tubulin and Calmodulin genes for Aspergillus spp. The sequences of the primers used in this study are described in Table 1. PCR was performed using the PCR Master Mix (Promega, Fitchburg, WI, USA). PCR reactions were incubated in a ProFlex PCR System thermocycler (Applied Biosystem, Waltham, MA, USA) under the following conditions: 2 min of initial denaturation at 95°C, 40 cycles of DNA denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, primer annealing temperature varying according to the target gene for 30 s, elongation at 72°C for 1 min and a final elongation step at 72°C for 5 min. The annealing temperatures used for TEF1a and rDNA (PCR using primers ITS5 and NL4) were 55 °C for both, and for ß-tubulin and Calmodulin, were 58 °C and 53°C, respectively. PCR products were verified by electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel, 100 v for 30 min. PCR products were purified with ExoSAP-IT for PCR Product Cleanup (Affymetrix USB, USA) before sequencing analysis.

DNA Sequencing for Identification

A partial portion of TEF1 α was sequenced with the BigDye Terminator reagent kit (Applied Biosystems) in a SeqStudio Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) using HS392, HS393, EF11, and EF21 primers (O'Donnell et al., 1998; Scheel et al., 2013; Muraosa et al., 2014; Moretti et al., 2018). The portion rDNA was sequenced using the primers ITS4, ITS5, NL1, and NL4 (Table 1). DNA sequences were edited and assembled by Sequencher version 5.2.4 (Gene Codes, USA). For identification, a homology search for the sequences of TEF1 α and rDNA genes was done using the BLAST tool of the NCBI database (GenBank), the database FUSARIUM-ID (https://isolate. fusariumdb.orgl/), and the Fusarium MLST (http://www.cbs. knaw.nl/fusarium). ß-tubulin and Calmodulin genes were sequenced and used for the pairwise alignment in the NCBI database (GenBank) for the identification of species of Aspergillus (Glass and Donaldson, 1995; Hong et al., 2005). The sequence of primers used are described in Table 1.

TABLE 1 | The sequence of primers used for sequencing environmental Fusarium and Aspergillus isolates.

Species	Gene	Protein		Primer	Reference
			Name	Sequence (5´-3') ^a	_
Fusarium spp.	TEF1α	Translation elongation factor 1	HS392	TCAAAATGGGTAAGGA(A/G)GACAAGAC	O'Donnell et al., 1998; Muraosa et al., 2014
		alpha	HS393	GCCTGGGA(A/G)GTACCAGT(C/G) ATCATGTT	O'Donnell et al., 1998; Muraosa et al., 2014
			EF11	GTGGGGCATTTACCCCGCC	O'Donnell et al., 1998
			EF21	GAGTGGCGGGGTAAATGCC	O'Donnell et al., 1998
	rDNA	Ribosomal DNA	ITS4	TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC	Scheel et al., 2013
			ITS5	GGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGG	O'Donnell et al., 2009
			NL1	GCATATCAATAAGCGGAGGAAAAG	Scheel et al., 2013
			NL4	GGTCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG	O'Donnell et al., 2009
Aspergillus	ß-tubulin	ß-tubulin	2A	GGTAACCAAATCGGTGCTGCTTTC	Glass and Donaldson, 1995
spp.			2B	ACCCTCAGTGTAGTGACCCTTGGC	Glass and Donaldson, 1995
	Calmodulin	Calmodulin	CMD5	CCGAGTACAAGGAGGCCTTC	Hong et al., 2005
			CMD6	CCGATAGAGGTCATAACGTGG	Hong et al., 2005

^aY: C or T; W: A or T; R: A or G; K: G or T; S: G or C; D: A, G or T; B: G, T or C; H: A, C or T.

Susceptibility Test of Planktonic Cells to Disinfectants

All fungal samples [Aspergillus spp. (n=19) and Fusarium spp. (n=13)] were grown on PDA for 4-5 days at 30 °C for conidia production. After that time, 5 ml of sterile PBS containing 0.025% (v/v) of Tween 20 (Santos et al., 2007; Pierce et al., 2008) was added and manually stirred. The resulting conidial suspension was filtered through filter paper to remove debris. The broth microdilution method was performed according to the protocol M38-A2 from the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI, 2008), with modifications adapted to disinfectants. An aliquot (100 µl) of the fungal inoculum with turbidity equivalent to the standard 0.5 McFarland was diluted 1:50 in RPMI-1640 medium (Memorial Roswell Park Institute -Sigma Chemical Co., Steinheim, Germany), buffered at pH 7.0 with MOPS (3-morpholin-4-yl-propane-1-sulfonic acid, Sigma), added with 0.2% glucose and inoculated into 96-well microtiter plates. Follow, 100 µl of the diluted disinfectant were added to the wells at pre-determined concentration according to the guidelines or the manufacturer. The microplates were incubated at 30°C for a specific time for each disinfectant or concentration tested (Mattei et al., 2013; Groote et al., 2014). A fungal inoculum control well without the disinfectant and a well with only the disinfectant and culture medium were prepared for each disinfectant/concentration tested. After the incubation time, the total content of each well was transferred to 10 ml of buffered saline (PBS), allowing an initial dilution of 1:50. Decimal dilutions were performed in PBS and the antifungal activity of each disinfectant was assessed by plating 100 µl in SDA, incubated at 30° C for up to seven days. The number of Colony Forming Units (CFU) per milliliter (ml) was calculated from the number of colonies formed multiplied by the dilution factor (Wilson et al., 2017). A chemical disinfecting agent is expected to be capable of a 3 Log₁₀ reduction of the infectious bacteria from the initial inoculum (Rutala, 1995). As values for fungi are not established, we adopt the same criterion. Each fungal isolated was tested individually and the arithmetic mean of the CFU/ml obtained was used for comparative analysis. The experiments were carried out in triplicate and on three different occasions.

Biofilm Assays

Biofilm Formation

Biofilms were formed in 24 well-microtiter plates which contained 13mm diameter circular glass coverslips. A 1 ml aliquot of the standardized inoculum at 10^5 cells/ml in RPMI medium was added to each well and incubated for 72 hours at 37° C (Pierce et al., 2008; Seidler et al., 2008). The biofilms were then washed with PBS (3x) and fixed with 0.1M potassium phosphate buffer solution added with 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 4% paraformaldehyde overnight. This was followed by washing the biofilms with phosphate buffer and post-fixation treatment with osmium tetroxide 1% for 16h. After new washes, the biofilms were dehydrated with absolute ethyl alcohol from a gradient of 30% to 100% for 30 minutes each. Finally, the biofilms were dried in a critical point chamber (MS 850, Electron Microscopy Sciences), mounted on aluminum, metallized with gold (Denton Vacuum Desk II coater) and observed under a scanning electron microscope (JSM 5410: JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

Susceptibility Test of Sessile Cells (Biofilms) to Disinfectants

At the time of testing, all conidial suspensions from Aspergillus and Fusarium strains were adjusted to a cell concentration of 10^5 cells/ml (Pierce et al., 2008), in standardized culture medium (RPMI 1640). Then, 200 µl of each suspension was dispensed in 96-well microtiter plates (TPP, BIOGEN, Europe) and incubated at 37°C for 72 h (Pierce et al., 2008; Seidler et al., 2008). Wells with only culture medium were included in the assay as a control of reaction sterility as well as wells with inoculum in RPMI as growth controls (100% cell viability). Then, the supernatant from the wells containing the biofilms was carefully aspirated and washed with PBS three times to remove non-adherent cells. Dilutions of disinfectant were freshly prepared and 100 µl were added to the preformed biofilms, incubating again for a specific time for each disinfectant/concentration at 30 °C (lknur et al., 2012). After the incubation time, the biofilms were subjected to cell viability tests. Each fungal sample was tested individually in triplicate on three different occasions.

Evaluation of Biofilms Cell Viability

After supernatant aspiration and washing the biofilms, they were subjected to the cell viability quantification by the reducing the tetrazolium salt 3'- [1- (phenylaminocarbonyl] - 3,4-tetrazolium] -bis (4-methoxy-6 -nitro) hydrated benzene sulfonic acid (XTT) methodology (Pierce et al., 2008). Briefly, XTT (Sigma, St.Louis, Mo., USA) was prepared at a concentration of 0.5 g/l in PBS and filtered (0.22 micrometers in size). At the time of the test, menadione (Sigma) was added to the 1 μ M final concentration. The plates were incubated in the dark for 4 h at 37°C and the colorimetric change measured in a plate reader at 492 nm. Wells containing culture medium/biofilms/XTT/menadione (positive control) and wells containing culture medium/XTT/menadione (negative control) were included. No standardization for assessing the disinfectants sensitivity against fungal biofilms was found. Thus, the criterion of 80% metabolic activity inhibition when compared to control (without disinfectant) was adopted, as previously published by Van Dijck et al. (2018). Each fungal sample was tested individually and the arithmetic mean of the optical densities obtained was used for comparative analysis. The experiments were carried out in triplicate on three different occasions.

Chronic Toxicity of Peracetic Acid in Mice

Among all disinfectants used in the hemodialysis environment, the peracetic acid-based disinfectants has been widely used both for the disinfection of machines between patient shifts and for the conditioning of dialyzers (reuse) between dialysis sessions (Oliveira et al., 2011; Szewczyk et al., 2013; Rutala and Weber, 2015). Factors such as: decomposition into non-toxic and nonmutagenic products; efficiency even in the presence of organic residues; effectiveness in low doses and against a wide spectrum of antimicrobial agents have contributed to the choice of peracetic acid to replace sodium hypochlorite (Rutala and Weber, 2015). In addition, the detection of its bioproduct acetic acid, at the level of 1 ppm must be measured in the fluids of the hemodialysis machine prior to the patient's connection to it (Oliveira et al., 2011). Thus, we chose peracetic acid 1 ppm to perform the chronic exposure tests in mice.

Animals Maintenance

The experiments were conducted according to ethical recommendations and after approval by the Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals in research at the University of Franca, under the protocol number (8017291118 (ID 000718). Healthy, adult female mice of the BALB/c lineage, weighing between 20-24 grams (8-9 weeks of age) were used. The animals were accommodated in cages and submitted to environment with controlled temperature (22+/-2°C), humidity (40-50%), a dark/ light cycle with artificial light for a 12-hour period a day, ad libidum water supply and a diet composed of rodent food. The experiments were carried out between 10:00 and 12:00 hours to avoid circadian variation. The animals were randomly divided into three groups: a) Group 1 (Control group - CT): consisting of five animals, which were not subjected to any intervention; b) Group 2 (Saline group- SS): consisting of 10 animals that were inoculated, each, in the peritoneal cavity between the abdominal organs, 20 µl of physiological saline solution (NaCl 0.9%, w/v); c) Group 3 (Disinfectant group - DSF): consisting of 10 animals, which were injected, intraperitoneally, 20 µl of 1 ppm peracetic acid solution. All treatments were performed three times a week (same periodicity as the dialysis treatment), in groups SS and DSF during 60 weeks, using 25x5mm needles. The animals were monitored daily, observing the parameters of behavior, locomotion, breathing, skin/fur changes, eyes, tremors, salivation, lethargy and survival. One week after the last treatment, the animals were weighed, anesthetized with sodium thiopental 0,84 g/kg, intraperitoneally, right lower lateral quadrant, and blood samples were collected to determine hematological and biochemical parameters.

Histological Analysis

Lung, liver, spleen, heart and brain were removed from five animals of each group for histological evaluation (n=15). After necropsy, the organs were weighed and their characteristics were noted. Samples (10 mm long x 1.5 mm thick) were collected from these organs and fixed in 10% buffered formaldehyde for histopathological analysis. The tissue cuts were dehydrated by means of an increasing degree of ethanol (70-100%), diaphanized in xylol, impregnated and included in paraffin. The blocks were cut in a microtome adjusted to 3 µm. The cuts obtained were placed on slides smeared with albumin and drying at 37 °C for 24 hours. The sections were subjected to Masson's trichrome staining technique and analyzed under a light microscope, under fixed focus and field clarity, with a final magnification of 100X and 400X. Descriptive analysis of the results was performed. Aiming to reduce the margin of error in histological analysis, the samples were evaluated and classified separately, blindly, by three pathologists (AWO., SAJr., LCZ),

with a later discussion of the cases with disagreement. The agreement between at least two pathologists defined the sample final classification (Lee et al., 2018).

Biochemical and Hematological Parameters

To determine the biochemical profile, 200 μ l of blood was collected from each animal in 1.5 ml eppendorfs, previously identified and centrifuged at 4,750 g for 10 minutes at room temperature to obtain the serum. Samples that showed hemolysis were discarded. Serum levels of albumin, alanine amino transferase (ALT), and aspartate amino transferase (AST) were determined. For biochemical tests, commercial reagents were used (Labtest[®], Labtest Diagnóstica S. A., Lagoa Santa, MG, Brazil), performed on a spectrophotometer (Mindray BS-200, Shenzhen, China). The reference values considered were: ALT: 94.0 – 188.0 U/l; AST: 89.0 – 172.0 U/l (Araújo, 2012).

From the total volume of blood collected from each animal, approximately 50µl were removed and packed in 1.5ml eppendorfs, previously identified, containing 5 µl of EDTA (Ethylene diamine tetraacetic) as an anticoagulant. The determinations were performed in a semi-automatic hematological cell conter (ABX Micros ABC Vet), suitable for measuring veterinary hematological parameters. To check the equipment calibration, ABX Minotrol 16 high (H), normal (N) and low (L) control blood was used prior to the start of each experiment. Red blood cells (RBC), hematocrit (HCT) and hemoglobin (Hb), white blood cells (WBC) and platelets (PLT) were also quantified. The reference values considered were: RBC: 7.2 - 11.2x10⁶/mm³; HCT: 33.1 - 52.0%; Hb: 10.3 - 16.6 g/dl; WBC: 1.0 - 5.5x10³/mm³; PLT: 439.0 - 957.0x10³/mm³ (Araújo, 2012).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the software GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software Incp., San Diego, CA). The data were analyzed for normality (Kolmogorov–Smirnov), and the one-way ANOVA test will be used followed by the Tukey test as a post-test. To compare data between animals groups, the Student t test (parametric) was used. For values of p <0.05, the data will be considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Fungal Identification

DNA sequencing of 13 strains of *Fusarium* was identified as FOSC - *Fusarium oxysporum* species complex (46,1%), FSSC -*Fusarium solani* species complex (46,1%) and FIESC - *Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* species complex (7,7%). Regarding the 21 strains of *Aspergillus* (**Figure 1**), 10 were identified as *Nigri* section (47,6%), five as *Flavi* (23,8%), two as *Fumigati* (9,5%), two as *Versicolores* (9,5%), one as *Terrei* (4,7%), and one as *Circumdati* (4,7%). Two strains were identified as *Penicillum* spp., probably due to contamination (data not shown). Molecular identification of the strains at the species level within each complex or section was possible after sequencing



using more than one gene as described in **Table 2**. To identify *Fusarium*, TEF1 α gene and rDNA region were used, but more genomic loci as RPB1 (largest subunit of RNA polymerase) and RPB2 (second largest subunit of RNA polymerase) can be used for phylogenetic analysis and obtaining a more precise classification. Although specific databases were used to identify *Fusarium*, the species was shown only in the NCBI gene bank. For *Aspergillus* spp., the species were identified after Calmodulin gene sequencing, searching only in the NCBI database.

Disinfectant Activity on Planktonic Cells

The results of the tests performed with *Fusarium* fungal cells in free or suspended form, called planktonic cells, are shown in **Table 3**. Both 1% acetic acid and 2.5% sodium hypochlorite exerted a fungicidal action, since they inhibited fungal growth at 4.2 \log_{10} cells/ml and 4.24 \log_{10} cells/ml, respectively. The other disinfectants inhibited fungal growth, on average, 1.22 \log_{10} cells/ml. In the case of *Aspergillus* (**Table 4**), 2.5% sodium hypochlorite enabled the inactivation of 4.38 \log_{10} cells/ml, followed by 0.1% peracetic acid that reduced 1.76 \log_{10} cells/ml. The other disinfectants tested inhibited fungal growth by approximately 1.09 \log_{10} cells/ml. Thus, 2.5% sodium hypochlorite was the only fungicidal agent for *Aspergillus* in planktonic growth.

TABLE 2 | Molecular identification of species of Fusarium and Aspergillus.

F1Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF3Fusarium solaniFSSCF4Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF5Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF6Fusarium solaniFSSCF7Fusarium solaniFSSCF8Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF9Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF11Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF12Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF13Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF14Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF13Fusarium solaniFSSCF14Fusarium solaniFSSCA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus furgiatusFumigatiA4Aspergillus nigerNigriA5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA8Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNi	ID	Molecular Identification	Complex/Section
F3Fusarium solaniFSSCF4Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF5Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF6Fusarium solaniFSSCF7Fusarium solaniFSSCF8Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF9Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF11Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF12Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF13Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF14Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF13Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF14Fusarium solaniFSSCA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA4Aspergillus fugerNigriA5Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA9Aspergillus solerotiorumCircumdatiA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergil	F1	Fusarium oxysporum	FOSC
F4Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF5Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF6Fusarium solaniFSSCF7Fusarium solaniFSSCF8Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF9Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF10Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF11Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF12Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF13Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF14Fusarium solaniFSSCA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA4Aspergillus nigerNigriA5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA8Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNi	F3	Fusarium solani	FSSC
F5Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF6Fusarium solaniFSSCF7Fusarium solaniFSSCF8Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF9Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF10Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF11Fusarium equisetiFIESCF12Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF13Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF14Fusarium solaniFSSCF14Fusarium solaniFSSCA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus fumigatusFurnigatiA4Aspergillus nigerNigriA5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA8Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigri<	F4	Fusarium oxysporum	FOSC
F6Fusarium solaniFSSCF7Fusarium solaniFSSCF8Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF9Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF10Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF11Fusarium equisetiFIESCF12Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF13Fusarium solaniFSSCF14Fusarium solaniFSSCA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus fumigatusFurnigatiA4Aspergillus nigerNigriA5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA8Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus tamarii	F5	Fusarium oxysporum	FOSC
F7Fusarium solaniFSSCF8Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF9Fusarium solaniFSSCF10Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF11Fusarium equisetiFESCF12Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF13Fusarium solaniFSSCF14Fusarium solaniFSSCA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA4Aspergillus terreusTerreiA5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA7Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA10Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus tumigatusFumigatiA21Aspergillus nigerNigriA22<	F6	Fusarium solani	FSSC
F8Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF9Fusarium solaniFSSCF10Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF11Fusarium equisetiFIESCF12Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF13Fusarium solaniFSSCF14Fusarium solaniFSSCA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus fumigatusFurnigatiA4Aspergillus terreusTerreiA5Aspergillus tigerNigriA6Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA8Aspergillus stamariiFlaviA9Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA20Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA21Aspergillus nigerNigriA22Asp	F7	Fusarium solani	FSSC
F9Fusarium solaniFSSCF10Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF11Fusarium equisetiFIESCF12Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF13Fusarium solaniFSSCF14Fusarium solaniFSSCA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus fumigatusFurnigatiA4Aspergillus terreusTerreiA5Aspergillus terreusNigriA6Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA7Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA8Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA9Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA5Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA22Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA23Aspergillus nigerNigri	F8	Fusarium oxysporum	FOSC
F10Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF11Fusarium equisetiFIESCF12Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF13Fusarium solaniFSSCF14Fusarium solaniFSSCA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus terreusTerreiA5Aspergillus terreusTerreiA6Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA7Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA10Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA17Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA18Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA21Aspergillus nigerNigriA22Aspergillus nigerNigri <td>F9</td> <td>Fusarium solani</td> <td>FSSC</td>	F9	Fusarium solani	FSSC
F11Fusarium equisetiFIESCF12Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF13Fusarium solaniFSSCF14Fusarium solaniFSSCA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus fumigatusFurnigatiA4Aspergillus terreusTerreiA5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA8Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA10Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus nigerNigriA8Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15 <td>F10</td> <td>Fusarium oxysporum</td> <td>FOSC</td>	F10	Fusarium oxysporum	FOSC
F12Fusarium oxysporumFOSCF13Fusarium solaniFSSCF14Fusarium solaniFSSCA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA4Aspergillus terreusTerreiA5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus tarnariiFlaviA8Aspergillus scherotiorumCircumdatiA10Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus scherotiorumCircumdatiA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigri<	F11	Fusarium equiseti	FIESC
F13Fusarium solaniFSSCF14Fusarium solaniFSSCA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA4Aspergillus terreusTerreiA5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA9Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA20Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA21Aspergillus nigerNigriA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus nigerNigri	F12	Fusarium oxysporum	FOSC
F14Fusarium solaniFSSCA1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA4Aspergillus terreusTerreiA5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA8Aspergillus sclerotorumCircumdatiA10Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA20Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA21Aspergillus nigerVersicoloresA21Aspergillus nigerNigriA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus nigerNigri	F13	Fusarium solani	FSSC
A1Aspergillus nigerNigriA3Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA4Aspergillus terreusTerreiA5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA8Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA9Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA20Aspergillus nigerVersicolores <t< td=""><td>F14</td><td>Fusarium solani</td><td>FSSC</td></t<>	F14	Fusarium solani	FSSC
A3Aspergillus furnigatusFurnigatiA4Aspergillus terreusTerreiA5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA8Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA9Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA10Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA20Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus nigerNigriA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus nigerNigri	A1	Aspergillus niger	Nigri
A4Aspergillus terreusTerreiA5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA8Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA9Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA10Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA20Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA21Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus tamariiFlavi	A3	Aspergillus fumigatus	Fumigati
A5Aspergillus nigerNigriA6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA8Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA9Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA10Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA20Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA21Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus tamariiFlavi	A4	Aspergillus terreus	Terrei
A6Aspergillus nigerNigriA7Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA8Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA9Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA10Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus nigerNigriA15Aspergillus nigerNigriA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA20Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA21Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus nigerNigri	A5	Aspergillus niger	Nigri
A7Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA8Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA9Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA10Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA18Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA21Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus nigerNigri	A6	Aspergillus niger	Nigri
A8Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA9Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA10Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus furnigatusFurnigatiA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus tamariiFlavi	A7	Aspergillus tamarii	Flavi
A9Aspergillus sclerotiorumCircumdatiA10Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA16Aspergillus nigerNigriA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus tamariiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus nigerNigri	A8	Aspergillus tamarii	Flavi
A10Aspergillus nigerNigriA11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA16Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus sydowiiFlaviA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus tamariiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus sydowiiFumigatiA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus tamariiFlavi	A9	Aspergillus sclerotiorum	Circumdati
A11Aspergillus nigerNigriA12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA16Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus tamariiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus nigerNigri	A10	Aspergillus niger	Nigri
A12Aspergillus nigerNigriA13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA16Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA22Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA23Aspergillus tamariiFlavi	A11	Aspergillus niger	Nigri
A13Aspergillus nigerNigriA14Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA16Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus nigerFumigati	A12	Aspergillus niger	Nigri
A14Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA16Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus tamariiFlavi	A13	Aspergillus niger	Nigri
A16Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus tamariiFlavi	A14	Aspergillus tamarii	Flavi
A17Aspergillus nigerNigriA18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus tamariiFlavi	A16	Aspergillus sydowii	Versicolores
A18Aspergillus nigerNigriA19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus tamariiFlavi	A17	Aspergillus niger	Nigri
A19Aspergillus tamariiFlaviA20Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus tamariiFlavi	A18	Aspergillus niger	Nigri
A20Aspergillus sydowiiVersicoloresA21Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus tamariiFlavi	A19	Aspergillus tamarii	Flavi
A21Aspergillus fumigatusFumigatiA22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus tamariiFlavi	A20	Aspergillus sydowii	Versicolores
A22Aspergillus nigerNigriA23Aspergillus tamariiFlavi	A21	Aspergillus fumigatus	Fumigati
A23 Aspergillus tamarii Flavi	A22	Aspergillus niger	Nigri
	A23	Aspergillus tamarii	Flavi

FOSC, Fusarium oxysporum species complex; FSSC, Fusarium solani species complex; FISC, Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti species complex.

Demonstration of Biofilms Using Scanning Electron Microscopy

Representative electron micrographs showed robust *Aspergillus* biofilms (**Figures 2A** - A. *niger*, 2B - A. *terreus*), with intertwined hyphae, forming overlapping layers (2A; 2B). Conidial heads were observed in all *Aspergillus* biofilms (2A1; 2B1). Dense matrix covering the conidia (2A2) or the hyphae (2B2) were also observed. *F. oxysporum* biofilms (C) showed the extensive formation of interlaced hyphae (C1) covered by matrix (C2).

Disinfectant Activity on Sessile (Biofilm) Cells

Quantification of biofilms was performed by colorimetric XTT reduction assay and viability was expressed in terms of percentage metabolic activity. Against *Fusarium* spp. biofilms, the disinfectants citric acid (50%, 15min), peracetic acid (0.1%, 30 min) and sodium hypochlorite (2.5%, 30 min) were effective (**Table 5**). Besides, acetic acid (1%, 30 min), citric acid (50%, 15 min), peracetic acid (0.2%, 10 min), and sodium hypochlorite (2.5%, 30 min) showed effectiveness against *Aspergillus* spp. biofilms (**Table 5**).

TABLE 3	Disinfectants activities	against Fusarium	planctonic cells.
---------	--------------------------	------------------	-------------------

Disinfectant	Origin	Final concentration tested	Exposure time	Control (CFU/ml ± SD)	Control (Log ₁₀)	Treated (CFU/ml ± SD)	Treated (Log ₁₀)
Glacial acetic acid	Synth, SP, Brazil	1% (v/v)	30 min	$1.59 \text{x} 10^4 \pm 2.5 \text{x} 10^2$	4.2	0	0
Citric acid	Bell Citric 50 [®] , Presidente Prudente, SP, Brazil	1% (v/v) 21% (v/v) 50% (v/v)	120 min 40 min 15 min	$\begin{array}{l} 1.56 {x10}^{4} \pm 2.1 {x10}^{2} \\ 1.96 {x10}^{4} \pm 1.94 {x10}^{2} \\ 1.80 {x10}^{4} \pm 2.3 {x10}^{2} \end{array}$	4.19 4.29 4.25	$\begin{array}{l} 6.15 \text{x} 10^2 \pm 1.42 \text{x} 10 \\ 7.18 \text{x} 10^2 \pm 1.34 \text{x} 10 \\ 3.96 \text{x} 10^2 \pm 1.10 \text{x} 10 \end{array}$	2.78 2.85 2.59
Peracetic acid	Puristeril 340 [®] , Fresenius Medical Care, Jaguariuna, SP, Brazil	0.1% (v/v) 0.2% (v/v)	30 min 10 min	1.88x10 ⁴ ± 2.2x10 ² 1.96x10 ⁴ ± 1.8x10 ²	4.27 4.29	$\begin{array}{c} 1.10 x 10^3 \pm 2.10 x 10^2 \\ 2.08 x 10^3 \pm 3.04 x 10^2 \end{array}$	3.03 3.31
Sodium hypochlorite	12%, Solynt, Guarulhos, SP, Brazil	0.05% (v/v) 0.1% (v/v) 2.5% (v/v)	30 min 10 min 30 min	$\begin{array}{c} 1.76 \text{x} 10^4 \pm 2.4 \text{x} 10^2 \\ 1.82 \text{x} 10^4 \pm 2.1 \text{x} 10^2 \\ 1.76 \text{x} 10^4 \pm 2.3 \text{x} 10^2 \end{array}$	4.24 4.26 4.24	$\begin{array}{c} 1.18 \times 10^3 \pm 4.20 \times 10^2 \\ 1.18 \times 10^3 \pm 3.84 \times 10^2 \\ \textbf{0} \end{array}$	3.07 3.07 0
Sodium metabisulphite	Synth, SP, Brazil	0.1% (w/v)	120 min	1.64x10 ⁴ ± 1.9x10 ²	4.21	$2.92 \times 10^3 \pm 4.14 \times 10^2$	3.46

CFU/ml, Colony Forming Unit per milliliter. Bold numbers denote disinfectant activity.

TABLE 4 | Disinfectants activities against Aspergillus plactonic cells.

Disinfectant	Origin	Final concentration tested	Exposure time	Control (CFU/ml ± SD)	Control (Log ₁₀)	Treated (CFU/ml ± SD)	Treated (Log ₁₀)
Glacial acetic acid	Synth, SP, Brazil	1% (v/v)	30 min	$2.5 \times 10^4 \pm 2.1 \times 10^3$	4.39	$2.44 \times 10^3 \pm 2.46 \times 10^2$	3.43
Citric acid	Bell Citric 50 [®] , Presidente Prudente, SP, Brazil	1% (v/v) 21% (v/v) 50% (v/v)	120 min 40 min 15 min	$\begin{array}{l} 2.4 x 10^4 \pm 2.6 x 10^3 \\ 2.6 x 10^4 \pm 2.5 x 10^3 \\ 2.5 x 10^4 \pm 1.7 x 10^3 \end{array}$	4.38 4.41 4.39	$\begin{array}{l} 1.89 \text{x} 10^3 \pm 2.08 \text{x} 10^2 \\ 1.70 \text{x} 10^3 \pm 3.21 \text{x} 10^2 \\ 1.08 \text{x} 10^3 \pm 1.53 \text{x} 10^2 \end{array}$	3.27 3.22 3.03
Peracetic acid	Puristeril 340 [®] , Fresenius Medical Care, Jaguariuna, SP, Brazil	0.1% (v/v) 0.2% (v/v)	30 min 10 min	$2.4x10^4 \pm 2.2x10^3 2.5x10^4 \pm 1.7x10^3$	4.38 4.39	4.17x10² ± 1.81x10² 1.48x10 ³ ± 2.24x10 ²	2.62 3.17
Sodium hypochlorite	12%, Solynt, Guarulhos, SP, Brazil	0.05% (v/v) 0.1% (v/v) 2.5% (v/v)	30 min 10 min 30 min	$2.5 \times 10^4 \pm 1.6 \times 10^3$ $2.5 \times 10^4 \pm 1.6 \times 10^3$ $2.4 \times 10^4 \pm 1.5 \times 10^3$	4.39 4.39 4.38	$\begin{array}{c} 4.36 \text{x} 10^3 \pm 3.51 \text{x} 10^2 \\ 3.28 \text{x} 10^3 \pm 3.70 \text{x} 10^2 \\ \textbf{0} \end{array}$	3.63 3.51 0
Sodium metabisulphite	Synth, SP, Brazil	0.1% (w/v)	120 min	$2.4 \text{x} 10^4 \pm 1.5 \text{x} 10^3$	4.38	$1.23 \times 10^3 \pm 2.44 \times 10^2$	3.09

CFU/ml, Colony Forming Unit per milliliter; Bold numbers denote disinfectant activity.

Histological Analysis of Murine Organs

The BALB/c mice were weighed before and after the experiments. In the experimental period (60 weeks) there was no significant difference in the animals' weight gain. The weight variation obtained was 6.8 ± 2.1 g; 7.4 ± 1.4 g and 6.2 ± 0.5 g for the CT, SS and DSF groups, respectively. At the necropsy, there were no changes in the area of body coverage with fur, spots, and erythema. Mucous membranes had a normal appearance and the lymph nodes, which did not show changes in volume or color, and ocular region with no changes and no secretion in the nasal area. There were no statistically significant changes in the weight of lung, brain, kidney, heart, and spleen in the animal groups (p> 0.05). However, concerning the liver, the group treated with paracetic acid had organ-to-body weight ratios of 1.068,

significantly different from group CT that presents a 1.206 ratio (p=0.0002). The comparative histopathological data between the organs are described in **Table 6**, with slight changes in liver, lung, spleen, and pancreas architecture.

Determination of Biochemical and Hematological Parameters

The biochemical analysis of BALB/c female mice are presented in **Figure 3**. ALT liver enzyme had higher-level values than the other groups ($p \le 0.05$), denoting liver injury. The hematological values determined for the animal groups are shown in **Figure 4**. The comparison between the CT and SS group or DSF was performed using the Student t-test. There was a significant difference between the RBC (p<0.05) and HCT (p <0.05) values



FIGURE 2 | Representative micrographs of the Aspergillus (A – A.niger; B – A. terreus) and Fusarium oxysporum (C) biofilms.

TABLE 5	Disinfectants	activities	against	Aspergillus	and	Fusarium	biofilm ce	lls.
---------	---------------	------------	---------	-------------	-----	----------	------------	------

Disinfectant	Origin	Final	Exposure	Fusa	arium	Aspe	rgillus
		concentration tested	time	Control (OD 492nm)	Treated (OD 492nm)	Control (OD 492nm)	Treated (OD 492nm)
Glacial acetic acid	Synth, SP, Brazil	1% (v/v)	30 min	1.45 ± 0.08	0.40 ± 0.06	1.82 ± 0.07	0.22 ± 0.01
Citric acid	Bell Citric 50 [®] , Presidente Prudente, SP, Brazil	1% (v/v) 21% (v/v) 50% (v/v)	120 min 40 min 15 min	1.42 ± 0.11 1.44 ± 0.08 1.42 ± 0.09	0.70 ± 0.06 0.53 ± 0.13 0.10 ± 0.04	1.80 ± 0.03 1.84 ± 0.16 1.82 ± 0.08	0.87 ± 0.01 0.72 ± 0.10 0.31 ± 0.05
Peracetic acid	Puristeril 340 [®] , Fresenius Medical Care, Jaguariuna, SP, Brazil	0.1% (v/v) 0.2% (v/v)	30 min 10 min	1.41 ± 0.13 1.41 ± 0.09	0.37 ± 0.11 0.16 ± 0.04	1.82 ± 0.21 1.81 ± 0.17	0.43 ± 0.11 0.35 ± 0.11
Sodium hypochlorite	12%, Solynt, Guarulhos, SP, Brazil	0.05% (v/v) 0.1% (v/v) 2.5% (v/v)	30 min 10 min 30 min	1.46 ± 0.14 1.40 ± 0.20 1.45 ± 0.14	0.77 ± 0.09 0.73 ± 0.02 0.03 ± 0.05	1.84 ± 0.26 1.81 ± 0.23 1.81 ± 0.19	0.97 ± 0.10 0.77 ± 0.15 0.06 ± 0.04
Sodium metabisulphite	Synth, SP, Brazil	0.1% (w/v)	120 min	1.45 ± 0.24	0.69 ± 0.18	1.82 ± 0.13	0.50 ± 0.10

Bold numbers denote 80% reduction or more when compared to control.

when comparing the CT group and DSF group (**Figure 4**). When the DSF group was compared to the CT group, no significant difference was found for hemoglobin and platelets, although lower values were observed for the first group (**Figure 4**).

DISCUSSION

The *Fusarium* and *Aspergillus* strains identified in this study belong to relevant species associated with the pathogenicity and

Organ		Ar	chitecture	llufi	lammatic	u		Necr	osis	Ť	emorrhag	je		Congestio	ç	Ŧ	lyperemia	
	СТ	SS	DSF	с	SS	DSF	СТ	SS	DSF	СТ	SS	DSF	СТ	SS	DSF	с	SS	DSF
Liver	Normal	Normal	Discrete degeneration hydropic centrolobular	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Discreet and multifocal	Absent	Absent	Absent
Brain	Normal	Normal	Normal	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
Heart	Normal	Normal	Normal	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
Lung	Normal	Normal	Emphysema areas in	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Discreet and	Discreet and	Absent	Absent	Discreet
			fragment edges											diffuse	multifocal			
Kidney	Normal	Normal	Normal	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Discreet	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
									tubular necrosis									
Spleen	Normal	Normal	Lymphoid hyperplasia	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Discreet and	Discreet and	Absent	Absent	Discreet
			follicular, with expansion											diffuse	diffuse			
			the germinal center and															
			marginal zone, for															

TABLE 6 | Comparative summary of histopathological analysis between the different organs of the animals groups studied (n = 15).

Absent

times with coalescence

Follicular hyperplasia

Normal

Pancreas Normal

CT, Control; SS, Saline Solution; DSF, Disinfectan

Groups:



frequency in human infections. F. solani (FSSC) and the F. oxysporum species complex (FOSC) are considered the most clinically relevant pathogens, associated with high levels of resistance to systemic azoles, echinocandins, and polyenes (Sav et al., 2018). Concerning the Aspergillus strains, the species as A. flavus, A. fumigatus, A niger and A. terreus have a higher incidence in human infections (Paulussen et al., 2017). Various factors, including the production of asexual conidia (which rapidly propagate through the air and are highly tolerant to stress), sexual ascospores (environmentally persistent), mycotoxins, the ability to germinate at the lowest water activity, to generate cell-available energy, to produce a large number of proteases and enzymes, to penetrate host defenses and colonize/damage the host contribute to make Aspergillus the most potent opportunistic fungal pathogens of mammalian hosts (Kamei and Watanabe, 2005; Kwon-Chung and Sugui, 2013; Lackner and Lass-Flörl, 2013; Paulussen et al., 2017). Overall, infections by Aspergillus or Fusarim present considerable challenges due to the complexity of pathogenic mechanisms involving environmental and host-related factors.

Furthermore, the microbial contamination of the hemodialysis system can result in biofilm formation, which is recognized as an essential virulence factor for Aspergillus and Fusarim species (Peigian et al., 2014; Beauvais and Latgé, 2015; Sav et al., 2018; Bamford et al., 2020). These biofilms may contribute to drains blockage, reduced flow of fluids, and the sensor's accuracy. In addition, inadequate decontamination and washing of surfaces exposed to bicarbonate as a storage tank, delivery loop, and jars can quickly lead to biofilm formation (Desai, 2015).

The use of disinfectants in appropriate concentrations and exposure times is essential to reduce the spread of infections. Sodium hypochlorite at 0.05% (=500 ppm) and 0.1%



FIGURE 4 | Hematological values obtained for the groups of mice studied (CT = control group; SS = treated with sterile saline and DSF = group treated with 1 ppm peracetic acid). The results are expressed as mean and standard deviation. *p < 0.05.

concentrations, with 30 and 10 minutes exposure, respectively, has been recommended by regulatory agencies (Brazil, 2004; Brazil, 2014). Our results showed that sodium hypochlorite concentrations were not effective against planktonic or sessile cells of the tested fungi. It should be noted that the current standards are designed for bacteria that are comparatively more sensitive to disinfection processes than fungi (Hageskal et al., 2012). In addition, previous research has shown that fungal biofilms formed by organisms recovered from a chlorinated drinking water system, including *Fusarium* spp., did not have their development affected by 0.4–0.5 mg/l chlorine concentrations (Fish and Boxall, 2018; Fish et al., 2018). The same authors also used genomic tools (quantitative PCR -qPCR and sequencing) and did not identify changes in the biofilm genes exposed to these chlorine concentrations. Fungal tolerance

to chlorine disinfection was attributed to its complex cell morphology and spore production (Fish et al., 2018b). Therefore, to control the fungal development and persistence, especially in biofilms, the disinfection protocols and the intervention frequency need to be reviewed.

Here, we show that 2.5% sodium hypochlorite was effective against both growth forms of *Aspergillus* spp. and *Fusarium* spp. This concentration is recommended for disinfecting surfaces (Brazil, 2004; Brazil, 2014). A study conducted by Hotchkiss et al. (2007) developed a probabilistic model to estimate the transmissibility of the pathogen in the dialysis environment. The same authors reported that simple environmental decontamination (primarily from the chair where the patient sits to receive hemodialysis treatment) coupled with temporal segregation (schedule of patients with suspected infections with high transmission power) for dialysis shifts at the end of the day would significantly mitigate the pathogens spread. Low-cost and straightforward measures could contribute to substantial decreases in infection rates in chronic renal patients, which reached 2.5 million people in 2015 and is expected to reach 5.4 million by 2030 (Liyanage et al., 2015).

In addition, hemodialysis machines represent one of the most used devices in modern medicine, since hemodialysis is the therapeutic form globally applied to more than 90% of patients undergoing renal replacement therapy (Som et al., 2017; Masakane et al., 2018; Thomé et al., 2019; Elshahat et al., 2020). 1% acetic acid is used to wash these machines twice a week to prevent the formation and deposition of calcium carbonate inside the equipment, preventing the adhesion and the biofilm formation (Kawanishi et al., 2016). Although it is an efficient procedure, few hemodialysis facilities use it. Our study points out that 1% acetic acid inhibits the Fusarium spp. planktonic growth and reduces 80% of Aspergillus spp. biofilms. The microbicidal property of 1% acetic acid was described in 1916, when it was used to treat warwounds caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Bjarnsholt et al., 2015). Currently, in a medical setting, its use has been restricted to topical use (2.5%) in dermatology (Halstead et al., 2015) and in urology (2-5%) as an urethral catheter irrigation solution (Doles et al., 2015). Anti-biofilm activity of acetic acid at 0.5% or 1% concentrations has been described to inactivate P. aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus after 24h of exposure (Bjarnsholt et al., 2015), and against Candida orthopsilosis after 1-minute exposure (Pires et al., 2013).

Citric acid at 1%, 21%, and 50% concentrations with exposure for 120, 40, and 15 minutes, respectively, has also been used in hemodialysis to dissolve carbonates. Its descaling action is superior to that of acetic acid. The combination of thermal disinfection with citric acid solution increases the antibacterial effect and removes proteins (Sakuma et al., 2010). Against planktonic fungal cells, little impact of the cited disinfectant was observed, since it was reduced by approximately 2 Log₁₀ cells/ml and 1 Log₁₀ cells/ml in the Fusarium spp. and Aspergillus spp. counts, respectively. Citric acid at a concentration of 50% showed an anti-biofilm effect for the tested fungi. There are reports that citrate has advantages over heparin for hemodialysis central venous catheters since it has a lower cost and more significant antibacterial activity. Such activity seems to be related to calcium and magnesium ions' chelation, leading to the degradation of bacterial cell membranes, reducing bacterial cell integrity (Weijmer et al., 2002). The bactericidal and sporicidal activity has been reported for citrate concentrations at 4% and 23%, respectively (Ash et al., 2000; Winnett et al., 2008) and the prevention of biofilm formation, with no evidence of citrate resistance (Shanks et al., 2006). However, a fatal outcome was associated with citrate administration at 46.7%, causing restrictions on its use in the USA and Canada (Grudzinski et al., 2015).

Safe and effective treated water is the most critical topic for hemodialysis patients, since globally, it is provided almost exclusively by single-pass dialysis systems paired with a reverse osmosis water filtration system (Agar, 2015). Sodium bisulfite has been used to remove chlorine from the feed stream of reverse osmosis systems that operate with polyamide membranes. Our results did not show antifungal activity by the aforementioned chemical agent.

Nowadays, peracetic acid-based disinfectants due to the broad spectrum of microbicidal activity at low concentrations and short exposure times has been used on a large scale (Szewczyk et al., 2013). Peracetic acid breaks down into acetic acid and oxygen, rendering products non-toxic and environmentally safe (Coulliette and Arduino, 2013). Against the *Fusarium* spp. planktonic cells, our results did not show the effectiveness of peracetic acid at 0.1% or 0.2% concentrations, with exposure of 30 and 10 minutes, respectively. In the case of *Aspergillus* spp., there was a reduction of approximately 2 Log_{10} cells/ml after exposure of planktonic cells to 0.1% peracetic acid.

In contrast, Katara et al. (2016) reported the efficiency of peracetic acid (0.1%, 30 min exposure) against *Aspergillus niger* since it reduced the inoculum of 10^8 cells/ml more than 5Log. A possible explanation for the fact could be the source of the strains tested. While we used strains previously recovered from a hemodialysis facility, probably already adapted to disinfection conditions, Katara et al. (2016) used wild isolates.

The tested concentrations of peracetic acid were effective in reducing 80% of *Fusarium* spp. biofilms cell viability, but only the concentration of 0.2% with a 10-minutes exposure produced the same effect against *Aspergillus* spp. biofilms. Low concentrations of peracetic acid and short exposure times are effective against *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm (0.5%, 30 seconds of exposure) but not against *Listeria monocytogenes* under the same conditions (Lee et al., 2016). Furthermore, Ilknur et al. (2012) reported that peracetic acid (0.2%) was not completely effective against *Candida* species biofilms (*C. albicans, C. glabrata, C. parapsilosis, C. krusei* and *C. tropicalis*) in different times of biofilm formation (6h, 12h, 24h and 48h). Our group previously demonstrated that peracetic acid (3%, 5 min exposure) inhibited the biofilm of *Candida parapsilosis* stricto sensu and *C. orthopsilosis* (Pires et al., 2013).

Together, our results showed that only acetic acid (1%, 30 min) and sodium hypochlorite (2.5%, 30 min) showed fungicidal action against the fungal cells tested. For biofilm cells, in addition to the aforementioned chemical disinfectants, citric acid (50%, 15 min) and peracetic acid (0.1%, 30 min and 0.2%, 10 min) were also effective. This could be explained by the adopted criteria: 99.9% reduction for planktonic cells (Rutala, 1995) and 80% reduction for sessile cells (Van Dijck et al., 2018).

Peracetic acid is mainly used to disinfect dialysis machines during patients' intervention and dialyzers to allow their reuse (Oliveira et al., 2011). The clinical staff recognized the efficiency of the disinfectant for such purposes. However, a potential toxicity risk is also identified, and residue tests are performed to detect the disinfectant's presence at the level of ppm (1 ppm). However, two factors have contributed to this monitoring carelessness: the increase in costs for the acquisition of commercial detection systems and the acquisition of more modern dialysis machines with self-disinfection system, especially in the South and Southeast of Brazil. Notably, and unfortunately, when self-disinfecting devices are available, the rinsing time of the machine has been neglected.

In this study, the residual toxicity of peracetic acid was assessed using the histopathological analysis of mice organs exposed to disinfectant and by dosing biochemical and hematological parameters in the same animals. No similar study was found in the literature that would allow us to compare it with the abnormalities found in histology and hematological/biochemical parameters. The few studies with animals (rats and mice) found in the literature, refer to the effects of exposure to vapors and aerosols from lethal and non-lethal doses of commercial preparations of peracetic acid (NRC, 2010).

Merka and Urban (1978) exposed ten mice in a dynamic chamber to aerosols of laboratory peracetic acid in concentrations of 70 to 140 mg/m³ for 60 min, three times/week for four weeks, and observed for another two weeks. The animals exposed to peracetic acid showed retarded weight gain compared with controls. The histological examination of the animals revealed lesions in the lungs, which were more accentuated as the disinfectant concentration increased. No injuries were detected in the heart, liver, spleen, or kidneys. In another study (Bock et al., 1975), 30 female ICR Swiss mice (55 to 69 days old) received topical applications of peracetic acid (0.2 ml of 0, 0.3, 1.0, or 3.0% diluted in water) for 5 days/week during 66 weeks. It was reported that 3% of the mice that received 1.0% peracetic acid and 17% of the mice that received 3.0% peracetic acid developed skin cancer. Koch et al. (1989) injected male ICR mice with 0.1% or 0.05% peracetic acid-based disinfectant for 5 consecutive days, reporting abnormalities in the sperm, indicating potential mutagenicity, 36 days after the first injection. Finally, Paldy et al. (1984) showed an increase in mutated chromosomes (17% vs. 3% for controls) in injected mice (intraperitoneal) once daily for 5 days with 1.6 mg of peracetic acid/kg/day.

Similarities such as delayed weight gain, erythrocyte count and decreased hemoglobin concentration when compared to the control group, and lesions in the lungs, kidneys, and liver were observed in animals (rats, mice, calves and pigs) exposed to commercial preparations of peracetic acid, when studied by us or other authors (Benes et al., 1966; Merka and Urban, 1978; Heinze et al., 1979).

CONCLUSION

The results showed that despite the variety of disinfectants used in the hemodialysis setting, few of them were shown to be effective against the microorganisms and life forms studied. Against planktonic cells of *Fusarium* spp., fungicidal activity was obtained with 2.5% sodium hypochlorite (30 min exposure) and 1% acetic acid (30 min exposure). However, anti-biofilm activity (80% reduction) was observed for citric acid 50% (15 min exposure), peracetic acid at 0.1% and 0.2% (30 min and 10 min exposure). Against *Aspergillus* spp. planktonic cells, 2.5% sodium hypochlorite (30 min exposure) showed fungicidal action whilst 0.1% peracetic acid (30 min exposure) showed fungistatic activity. For *Aspergillus* spp. biofilm cells, effectiveness was achieved with acetic acid 1% (30 min exposure), citric acid 50% (15 min exposure), peracetic acid 0.2% (10 min exposure), and 2.5% sodium hypochlorite (30 min exposure). In residual toxicity assays, mice exposed to 1 ppm peracetic acid for 60 weeks resulted in liver atrophy. Anatomopathological analyzes of internal murine organs showed changes in the architecture of the liver, lungs, spleen, and pancreas and minor necrotic and congestive changes in the lungs and spleen. Biochemical determinations revealed normal ALT, AST, and albumin values, although compared to the control group, the animals exposed to the disinfectant showed significantly higher ALT values. The hematological parameters included quantifying red blood cells, hemoglobin, hematocrit, white blood cells, and platelets, which remained normal. However, the comparison with the control group showed significant differences with the group exposed to the disinfectant in terms of red cells and hematocrit, which were lower. Platelets were also lower than the control group, but not significantly. Adjusting the guidelines regarding the organisms' identification and microbial control can offer more safety to patients dependent on hemodialysis treatment, providing more remarkable survival and better quality of life.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals in research at the University of Franca.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LGL, LAC, JC, CT, and LOL contributed to the investigation, the methodology, and the writing of the original draft. RF, AO, SA-J, and LZC performed the animal analysis. RP, MM, and LGL contributed to the partial funding acquisition. RP, MG, and MM contributed to the conceptualization, the methodology, the scientific advice, the project administration, and the review and editing of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was partially funded by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), grants 2015/19090-5, and Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel – Brazil (CAPES) Finance Code 001. Lopes et al.

REFERENCES

j.ajme.2018.04.006

Agar, J. W. (2015). Reusing and recycling dialysis reverse osmosis system reject water. *Kidney Int.* 88 (4), 653–657. doi: 10.1038/ki.2015.213

Abbass, A. A., El-Koraie, A. F., Hazzah, W. A., Omran, E. A., and Mahgoub, M. A.

Abdallah, M., Benoliel, C., Drider, D., Dhulster, P., and Chihib, N. E. (2014). Biofilm formation and persistence on abiotic surfaces in the context of food and

(2018). Microbiological monitoring of ultrapure dialysis fluid in a hemodialysis

center in Alexandria, Egypt. Alex. J. Med. 54 (4), 523-527. doi: 10.1016/

medical environments. Arch. Microbiol. 196 (7), 453-472. doi: 10.1007/s00203-

- Anaissie, E. J., Kuchar, R. T., Rex, J. H., Francesconi, A., Kasai, M., Müller, F. M., et al. (2001). Fusariosis associated with pathogenic *Fusarium* species colonization of a hospital water system: a new paradigm for the epidemiology of opportunistic mold infections. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 33 (11), 1871–1878. doi: 10.1086/324501
- Araújo, F. T. M. (2012). Establishment of reference values for hematological and biochemical parameters and evaluation of the immunological profile of strains of mice produced in the animal René Rachou Research Center/Fiocruz - MG and the Animal Breeding Center Laboratory/Fiocruz. [dissertation] (Belo Horizonte-MG, Brazil: Oswaldo Cruz Foundation).
- Arvanitidou, M., Spaia, S., Velegraki, A., Pazarloglou, M., Kanetidis, D., Pangidis, P., et al. (2000). High level of recovery of fungi from water and dialysate in haemodialysis units. J. Hosp. Infect. 45, 225–230. doi: 10.1053/jhin.2000.0763
- Ash, S. R., Mankus, R. A., Sutton, J. M., Smeltzer, B., and Ing, T. (2000). Concentrated citrate (23%) for catheter lock solution. ASAIO J. 46, 222. doi: 10.1097/00002480-200003000-00286
- Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology (API). (2010). Guide to the Elimination of Infections in Hemodialysis (Washington, DC). Available at: https://apic.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/APIC-Hemodialysis.pdf.
- Bamford, N. C., Le Mauff, F., Van Loon, J. C., Ostapska, H., Snarr, B. D., Zhang, Y., et al. (2020). Structural and biochemical characterization of the exopolysaccharide deacetylase Agd3 required for Aspergillus fumigatus biofilm formation. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 2450. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16144-5
- Beauvais, A., and Latgé, J. P. (2015). Aspergillus biofilm in vitro and in vivo. Microbiol. Spectr 3 (4), MB-0017-2015. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.MB-0017-2015
- Benes, V., Tichacek, B., and Veger, J. (1966). "Toxizizat der Peressigsaure," in Peressigsäure und die Moglichkeit ihrer Verwertung in der Desinfektion. Ed. B. Tichacek (Prag: Staatsverlag für Gesundheitswesen der CSSR; (as cited in Heinze et al. 1979), 114–124.
- Bjarnsholt, T., Alhede, M., Jensen, P.Ø., Nielsen, A. K., Johansen, H. K., Homøe, P., et al. (2015). Antibiofilm properties of acetic acid. Adv. Wound Care 4 (7), 363–372. doi: 10.1089/wound.2014.0554
- Bock, F. G., Meyers, H. K., and Fox, H. W. (1975). Cocarcinogenic activity of peroxy compounds. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 55 (6), 1359–1361. doi: 10.1093/jnci/ 55.6.1359
- Bolasco, P., Contu, A., Meloni, P., Vacca, D., and Murtas, S. (2012). The evolution of technological strategies in the prevention of dialysis water pollution: sixteen years' experience. *Blood Purif.* 34 (3-4), 238–245. doi: 10.1159/000343127
- Brazil. Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency (2014).Resolution RDC n° 11, 13th March 2014. Provides the good practice requirements for dialysis services and gives other measures. Available at: http://www.anvisa.gov.br (Accessed Nov 2020).
- Brazil. Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency (2004). Resolution RDC n°154, 15th June 2004. Available at: http://www.saude.mt.gov.br/upload/controleinfeccoes/pasta9/resolucao_rdc_n154_2004_regulamento_servicos_dialise.pdf (Accessed Nov 2020).
- Bremer, P. (2006). Disinfection of dental unit water lines. N. Z. Dent. J. 102 (1), 18–19.
- Bridier, A., Briandet, R., Thomas, V., and Dubois-Brissonnet, F. (2011). Resistance of bacterial biofilms to disinfectants: a review. *Biofouling* 27, 9, 1017–1032. doi: 10.1080/08927014.2011.626899
- Chang, C. H., Fan, P. C., Kuo, G., Lin, Y. S., Tsai, T.-Y., Chang, S.-W., et al. (2020). Infection in advanced chronic kidney disease and subsequent adverse outcomes after dialysis initiation: a nationwide cohort study. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 2938. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-59794-7

- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2008). "Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Filamentous Fungi; Approved Standard-Second Edition," in *CLSI document M38-A2* (Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute).
- Coulliette, A. D., and Arduino, M. J. (2013). Hemodialysis and water quality. Semin. Dial. 26 (4), 427-438. doi: 10.1111/sdi.12113
- Desai, N. (2015). Basics of base in hemodialysis solution: Dialysate buffer production, delivery and decontamination. *Indian J. Nephrol.* 25 (4), 189– 193. doi: 10.4103/0971-4065.147369
- Doles, W., Wilkerson, G., Morrison, S., and Richmond, R. G. (2015). Glacial acetic acid adverse events: case reports and review of the literature. *Hosp. Pharm.* 50 (4), 304–309. doi: 10.1310/hpj5004-304
- Elshahat, S., Cockwell, P., Maxwell, A. P., Griffin, M., O'Brien, T., and O'Neill, C. (2020). The impact of chronic kidney disease on developed countries from a health economics perspective: A systematic scoping review. *PloS One* 15 (3), e0230512. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0230512
- Figel, I. C., Marangoni, P. R., Tralamazza, S. M., Vicente, V. A., do Rocio Dalzoto, P., do Nascimento, M. M., et al. (2013). Black yeasts-like fungi isolated from dialysis water in hemodialysis units. *Mycopathologia* 175 (5-6), 413–420. doi: 10.1007/s11046-013-9633-4
- Fish, K. E., and Boxall, J. B. (2018). Biofilm microbiome (re)growth dynamics in drinking water distribution systems are impacted by chlorine concentration. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 2519. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02519
- Fish, K. E., Husband, S. P., and Boxall, J. B. (2018). The impact of chlorine concentration on the discolouration response of biofilms in drinking water distribution systems. In: *1st International WDSA/CCWI 2018 Joint Conference* (Kingston, ON, Canada). Available at: https://ojs.library.queensu.ca/index. php/wdsa-ccw/article/view/12123 (Accessed Dec 2020).
- Gandhi, B. V., Bahadur, M. M., Dodeja, H., Aggrwal, V., Thamba, A., and Mali, M. (2005). Systemic fungal infections in renal diseases. *J. Postgrad. Med.* 51 (Suppl 1), S30–S36.
- Gilbert, P., Allison, D. G., and McBain, A. J. (2002). Biofilms in vitro and in vivo: do singular mechanisms imply cross-resistance? *Symp. Ser. Soc Appl. Microbiol.* 31), 98S–110S. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2672.92.5s1.5.x
- Glass, N. L., and Donaldson, G. C. (1995). Development of primer sets designed for use with the PCR to amplify conserved genes from filamentous ascomycetes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 61 (4), 1323–1330. doi: 10.1128/ AEM.61.4.1323-1330.1995
- Groote, M. A., Gibbs, S., de Moura, V. C., Burgess, W., Richardson, K., Kasperbauer, S., et al. (2014). Analysis of a panel of rapidly growing Mycobacteria for resistance to aldehyde-based disinfectants. Am. J. Infect. Control. 42 (8), 932–934. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2014.05.014
- Grudzinski, A., Agarwal, A., Bhatnagar, N., and Nesrallah, G. (2015). Benefits and harms of citrate locking solutions for hemodialysis catheters: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Can. J. Kidney Health Dis.* 2, 13. doi: 10.1186/ s40697-015-0040-2
- Hageskal, G., Tryland, I., Liltved, H., and Skaar, I. (2012). No simple solution to waterborne fungi: various responses to water disinfection methods. *Water Sci. Technol.* 12, 220. doi: 10.2166/ws.2012.131
- Halstead, F. D., Rauf, M., Moiemen, N. S., Bamford, A., Wearn, C. M., Fraise, A. P., et al. (2015). The antibacterial activity of acetic acid against biofilm producing pathogens of relevance to burns patients. *PloS One* 10, e0136190. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0136190
- Heinze, W., Werner, E., von Krüger, S., and Wilsdorf, G. (1979). Zur Tierverträglichkeit von Peressigsäureaerosolen unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Beeinträchtigung der Abwehrleistung. *Mh Vet.-Med.* 34, 212–217.
- Hong, S.-B., Go, S.-J., Shin, H.-D., Frisvad, J. C., and Samson, R. A. (2005). Polyphasic taxonomy of Aspergillus fumigatus and related species. *Mycologia* 97 (6), 1316–1329. doi: 10.3852/mycologia.97.6.1316
- Hotchkiss, J. R., Holley, P., and Crooke, P. S. (2007). Analyzing pathogen transmission in the dialysis unit: time for a (schedule) change? *Clin. J. Am. Soc Nephrol.* 2 (6), 1176–1185. doi: 10.2215/CJN.00130107
- Hsieh, S., Kurzai, O., and Brock, M. (2017). Persistence within dendritic cells marks an antifungal evasion and dissemination strategy of Aspergillus terreus. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 10590. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-10914-w
- Ilknur, D., Yasemin, O., and Nuri, K. (2012). Effect of disinfectants on biofilm development by five species of Candida. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* 6 (10), 2380– 2386. doi: 10.5897/AJMR11.1427

- Jureen, R., Koh, T. H., Wang, G., Chai, L. Y. A., Tan, A. L., Chaiet, T., et al. (2008). Use of multiple methods for genotyping Fusarium during an outbreak of contact lens associated fungal keratitis in Singapore. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 8, 92. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-8-92
- Kamei, K., and Watanabe, A. (2005). Aspergillus mycotoxins and their effect on the host. *Med. Mycol.* 43 (1), S95–S99. doi: 10.1080/13693780500051547
- Katara, G., Hemvani, N., Chitnis, S., Chitnis, V., and Chitnis, D. S. (2016). Efficacy studies on peracetic acid against pathogenic microorganisms. J. Patient Saf. Infect. Control. 4 (1), 17–21. doi: 10.4103/2214-207X.203545
- Kato, S., Chmielewski, M., Honda, H., Pecoits-Filho, R., Matsuo, S., Yuzawa, Y., et al. (2008). Aspects of immune dysfunction in end-stage renal disease. *Clin. J. Am. Soc Nephrol.* 3 (5), 1526–1533. doi: 10.2215/CJN.00950208
- Kawanishi, H., Moriishi, \M., Takahashi, N., and Tsuchiya, S. (2016). The central dialysis fluid delivery system (CDDS): is it specialty in Japan? *Ren. Replacement Ther.* 2, 1–8. doi: 10.1186/s41100-016-0016-4
- Koch, S., Kramer, A., Stein, J., Adrian, V., and Weuffen, W. (1989). Mutagenicity testing in sperm-head test/mouse and mutagenic potency of 2 disinfectants on the basis of peracetic acid and phenolics respectively [in German]. Zentralbl. Hyg. Umweltmed. 188 (5), 391–403.
- Kreepala, C., Sangpanicha, A., Boonchoo, P., and Rungsrithananon, W. (2017). Measurement accuracy of total cell volume by automated dialyzer reprocessing: A prospective cohort study. *Ann. Med. Surg.* 18, 16–23. doi: 10.1016/ j.amsu.2017.04.019
- Kwon-Chung, K. J., and Sugui, J. A. (2013). Aspergillus fumigatus what makes the species a ubiquitous human fungal pathogen? *PloS Pathog.* 9 (12), e1003743. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003743
- Lackner, M., and Lass-Flörl, C. (2013). Up-date on diagnostic strategies of invasive aspergillosis. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 19 (20), 3595–3614. doi: 10.2174/13816128113199990323
- Lacson, E., Wang, W., Mooney, A., Ofsthun, N., Lazarus, J. M., and Hakim, R. M. (2011). Abandoning peracetic acid-based dialyzer reuse is associated with improved survival. *Clin. J. Am. Soc Nephrol.* 6, 297–302. doi: 10.2215/ CJN.03160410
- Lass-Flörl, C., Roilides, E., Loffler, J., Wilflingseder, D., and Romani, L. (2013). Minireview: host defence in invasive aspergillosis. *Mycoses* 56 (4), 403–413. doi: 10.1111/myc.12052
- Lee, S. H. I., Cappato, L. P., Corassin, C. H., Cruz, A. G., and Oliveira, C. A. F. (2016). Effect of peracetic acid on biofilms formed by Staphylococcus aureus and Listeria monocytogenes isolated from dairy plants. *Int. J. Dairy Sci.* 99 (3), 2384–2390. doi: 10.3168/jds.2015-10007
- Lee, J. J., Jedrych, J., Pantanowitz, L., and Ho, J. (2018). Validation of digital pathology for primary histopathological diagnosis of routine, inflammatory dermatopathology cases. Am. J. Dermatopathol. 40 (1), 17–23. doi: 10.1097/ DAD.00000000000888
- Liyanage, T., Ninomiya, T., Jha, V., Neal, B., Patrice, H. M., Okpechi, I., et al. (2015). Worldwide access to treatment for end-stage kidney disease: a systematic review. *Lancet* 385 (9981), 1975–1982. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736 (14)61601-9
- Masakane, I., Taniguchi, M., Nakai, S., Tsuchida, K., Wada, A., Ogata, S., et al. (2018). Annual Dialysis Data Report 2016, JSDT Renal Data Registry. *Ren. Replace Ther.* 4, 45. doi: 10.1186/s41100-018-0183-6
- Mattei, A. S., Madrid, I. M., Santin, R., Schuch, L. F., and Meireles, M. C. (2013). In vitro activity of disinfectants against Aspergillus spp. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 44 (2), 481–484. doi: 10.1590/S1517-83822013000200024
- Merka, V., and Urban, R. (1978). Study of inhalation toxicity of performic, peracetic and perpropionic acid in mice. J. Hyg. Epidemiol. Microbiol. Immunol. 20 (1), 54–60.
- Montanari, L. B., Sartori, F. G., Cardoso, M. J., Varo, S. D., Pires, R. H., Leite, C. Q., et al. (2009). Microbiological contamination of a hemodialysis center water distribution system. *Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. São Paulo* 51 (1), 37–43. doi: 10.1590/ S0036-46652009000100007
- Moretti, M. L., Busso-Lopes, A. F., Tararam, C. A., Moraes, R., Muraosa, Y., Mikami, Y., et al. (2018). Airborne transmission of invasive fusariosis in patients with hematologic malignancies. *PloS One* 13 (4), e0196426. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0196426
- Muhammed, M., Carneiro, H., Coleman, J., and Mylonakis, E. (2011). The challenge of managing fusariosis. *Virulence* 2 (2), 91–96. doi: 10.4161/ viru.2.2.15015

- Müller, F. M., Seidler, M., and Beauvais, A. (2011). Aspergillus fumigatus biofilms in the clinical setting. *Med. Mycol.* 49 (Suppl 1), S96–S100. doi: 10.3109/ 13693786.2010.502190
- Muraosa, Y., Schreiber, A. Z., Trabasso, P., Matsuzawa, T., Taguchi, H., Moretti, M. L., et al. (2014). Development of cycling probe-based real-time PCR system to detect Fusarium species and Fusarium solani species complex (FSSC). *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 304 (3–4), 505–511. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2014.03.001
- Nehmatullah, V. B. (2020). Assessment of fungal growth at hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis unit in Hawler Teaching Hospital. J. Ed. Sci. 29:126676.1049 (2). doi: 10.33899/edusj.2020.126676.1049
- NRC. National Research Council (US) (2010). Peracetic Acid Acute Exposure Guideline Levels. In: Committee on Acute Exposure Guideline Levels. Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Selected Airborne Chemicals (Washington (DC: National Academies Press (US). Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ books/NBK220001/ (Accessed Acessed on Feb 26, 2021).
- Oliveira, M., Dalboni, M. A., Iizuka, I. J., Manfredi, S. R., Guimarães, N. K., Andreoli, M. C. C., et al. (2011). A new spectrophotometric method to detect residual amounts of peroxide after reprocessing hemodialysis filters. *Einstein* 9 (1 Pt 1), 70–74. doi: 10.1590/s1679-45082011gs1945
- O'Donnell, K., Kistler, H. C., Cigelnik, E., and Ploetz, R. C. (1998). Multiple evolutionary origins of the fungus causing Panama disease of banana: concordant evidence from nuclear and mitochondrial gene genealogies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1998* 95 (5), 2044–2049. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.95.5.2044
- O'Donnell, K., Sutton, D. A., Rinaldi, M. G., Magnon, K. C., Cox, P. A., Revankar, S. G., et al. (2004). Genetic diversity of human pathogenic members of the Fusarium oxysporum complex inferred from multilocus DNA sequence data and amplified fragment length polymorphism analyses: evidence for the recent dispersion of a geographically widespread clonal lineage and nosocomial origin. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42 (11), 5109–5120. doi: 10.1128/JCM.42.11.5109-5120.2004
- O'Donnell, K., Sutton, D. A., Rinaldi, M. G., Gueidan, C., Crous, P. W., and Geiser, D. M. (2009). Novel multilocus sequence typing scheme reveals high genetic diversity of human pathogenic members of the Fusarium incarnatum-F. equiseti and F. chlamydosporum species complexes within the United States. J. Clin. Microbiol. 47 (12), 3851–3861. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01616-09
- Paldy, A., Berensci, G., Kramer, A., Weuffen, W., and Spiegelberger, E. (1984). "Mutagens potency of Wofasteril, Wofasept, formaldehyde, chlorhexidine, and Bronopol in the bone marrow of mice," in *Aspects of prevention and control of hospital infections. Microbial environment and antimicrobial measures.* Eds. A. Kramer, H. Wigert and B. Kemter (Leipzig: Barth), 349–352.
- Paulussen, C., Hallsworth, J. E., Álvarez-Pérez, S., Nierman, W. C., Hamill, P. G., Blain, D., et al. (2017). Ecology of aspergillosis: insights into the pathogenic potency of Aspergillus fumigatus and some other Aspergillus species. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 10 (2), 296–322. doi: 10.1111/1751-7915.12367
- Peiqian, L., Xiaoming, P., Huifang, S., Jingxin, Z., Ning, H., and Birun, L. (2014). Biofilm formation by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cucumerinum and susceptibility to environmental stress. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 350 (2), 138– 145. doi: 10.1111/1574-6968.12310
- Pierce, C. G., Uppuluri, P., Tristan, A. R., Wormley, F. L. Jr, Mowat, E., Ramage, G., et al. (2008). A simple and reproducible 96-well plate-based method for the formation of fungal biofilms and its application to antifungal susceptibility testing. *Nat. Protocol.* 3 (9), 1494–1500. doi: 10.1038/nport.2008.141
- Pires, R. H., Santos, J. M., Zaia, J. E., Martins, C. H. G., and Mendes-Giannini, M. J. S. (2011). Candida parapsilosis complex water isolates from a haemodialysis unit: biofilm production and in vitro evaluation of the use of clinical antifungals. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz.* 106 (6), 646–654. doi: 10.1590/S0074-02762011000600002
- Pires, R. H., da Silva, J., de, F., Martins, C. H., Fusco Almeida, A. M., Pienna Soares, C., et al. (2013). Effectiveness of disinfectants used in hemodialysis against both Candida orthopsilosis and C. parapsilosis sensu stricto biofilms. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 57 (5), 2417–2421. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01308-12
- Pires-Gonçalves, R. H., Sartori, F. G., Montanari, L. B., Zaia, J. E., Melhem, M. S., Mendes-Giannini, M. J., et al. (2008). Occurrence of fungi in water used at a haemodialysis centre. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 46 (5), 542–527. doi: 10.1111/ j.1472-765X.2008.02349.x
- Rutala, W. A., and Weber, D. J. (2015). "Disinfection, sterilization, and control of hospital waste," in *Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of*

Infectious Diseases, 8th ed. Eds. J. E. Bennett, R. Dolin and M. J. Blaser (Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier/Saunders), 3294–3309.

- Rutala, W. A. (1995). APIC Guideline for selection and use of disinfectants. Am. J. Infect. Control. 23 (30), 35A–65A. doi: 10.1016/S0196-6553(96)90066-8
- Sakuma, K., Uchiumi, N., Sato, S., Aida, N., Ishimatsu, T., Igoshi, T., et al. (2010). Experience of using heat citric acid disinfection method in central dialysis fluid delivery system. J. Artif. Organs. 13 (3), 145–150. doi: 10.1007/s10047-010-0505-0
- Santos, F., Biernat, J. C., Santos, A. M. G., Souza, M. E. L. S., Raubach, A. A. S., and Demin, M. S. S. (2007). Disinfection of hemodialysis machines with Ozone. *J. Bras. Nefrol.* 29 (1), 14–18.
- Sautour, M., Edel-Hermann, V., Steinberg, C., Sixt, N., Laurent, J., Dalle, F., et al. (2012). Fusarium species recovered from the water distribution system of a French university hospital. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 215 (3), 286–292. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2011.11.003
- Sav, H., Rafati, H., Öz, Y., Dalyan-Cilo, B., Ener, B., Mohammadi, F., et al. (2018). Biofilm formation and resistance to fungicides in clinically relevant members of the fungal genus Fusarium. *J. Fungi (Basel)* 4 (1), 16. doi: 10.3390/jof4010016
- Scheel, C. M., Hurst, S. F., Barreiros, G., Akiti, T., Nucci, M., and Balajee, S. A. (2013). Molecular analyses of Fusarium isolates recovered from a cluster of invasive mold infections in a Brazilian hospital. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 13, 49. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-13-49
- Schiavano, G. F., Parlani, L., Sisti, M., Sebastianelli, G., and Brandi, G. (2014). Occurrence of fungi in dialysis water and dialysate from eight haemodialysis units in central Italy. *J. Hosp. Infect.* 86 (3), 194–200. doi: 10.1016/ j.jhin.2013.11.010
- Seidler, M. J., Salvenmoser, S., and Müller, F. M. (2008). Aspergillus fumigatus forms biofilms with reduced antifungal drug susceptibility on bronchial epithelial cells. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 52 (11), 4130–4136. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00234-08
- Shanks, R. M., Sargent, J. L., Martinez, R. M., Graber, M. L., and O'Toole, G. A. (2006). Catheter lock solutions influence staphylococcal biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces. *Nephrol. Dial. Transpl* 21 (8), 2247–2255. doi: 10.1093/ndt/ gf1170
- Short, D. P., O'Donnell, K., Zhang, N., Juba, J. H., and Geiser, D. M. (2011). Widespread occurrence of diverse human pathogenic types of the fungus Fusarium detected in plumbing drains. J. Clin. Microbiol. 49 (12), 4264–4272. doi: 10.1128/JCM.05468-11
- Som, A., Groenendyk, J., An, T., Patel, K., Peters, R., Polites, G., et al. (2017). Improving dialysis adherence for high risk patients using automated messaging: Proof of concept. *Sci. Rep.* 7 (1), 4177. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-03184-z

- Steinberg, C., Laurent, J., Edel-Hermann, V., Barbezant, M., Sixt, N., Dalle, F., et al. (2015). Adaptation of Fusarium oxysporum and Fusarium dimerum to the specific aquatic environment provided by the water systems of hospitals. *Water Res.* 76, 53–65. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2015.02.036
- Szewczyk, M., Grzeszczuk, K., Walski, T., Suder, M., and Komorowska, M. (2013). [Are disinfectant residues remained after cleaning hemodialysis machine procedure safe for patients?]. *Przegl Lek.* 70 (3), 97–101. Polish.
- Thomé, F. S., Sesso, R. C., Lopes, A. A., Lugon, J. R., and Martins, C. T. (2019). [Inquérito Brasileiro de Diálise Crônica 2017]. *Braz. J. Nephrol.* 41 (2), 208– 214. doi: 10.1590/2175-8239-jbn-2018-0178 Portuguese.
- Van Dijck, P., Sjollema, J., Cammue, B. P., Lagrou, K., Berman, J., d'Enfert, C., et al. (2018). Methodologies for in vitro and in vivo evaluation of efficacy of antifungal and antibiofilm agents and surface coatings against fungal biofilms. *Microb. Cell.* 5 (7), 300–326. doi: 10.15698/mic2018.07.638
- Varo, S. D., Martins, C. H. G., Cardoso, M. J. O., Sartori, F. G., Montanari, L. B., and Pires-Gonçalves, R. H. (2007). Isolation of filamentous fungi from water used in a hemodialysis unit. *Rev. Soc Bras. Med. Trop.* 40, 326–331. doi: 10.1590/S0037-86822007000300015
- Weijmer, M. C., Debets-Ossenkopp, Y. J., Van De Vondervoort, F. J., and ter Wee, P. M. (2002). Superior antimicrobial activity of trisodium citrate over heparin for catheter locking. *Nephrol. Dial. Transpl* 17 (12), 2189–2195. doi: 10.1093/ ndt/17.12.2189
- Wilson, C., Lukowicz, R., Merchant, S., Valquier-Flynn, H., Caballero, J., Sandoval, J., et al. (2017). Quantitative and qualitative assessment methods for biofilm growth: a mini-review. *Res. Rev. J. Eng. Technol.* 6 (4).
- Winnett, G., Nolan, J., Miller, M., and Ashman, N. (2008). Trisodium citrate 46.7% selectively and safely reduces staphylococcal catheter-related bacteraemia. *Nephrol. Dial. Transpl* 23 (11), 3592–3598. doi: 10.1093/ndt/ gfn299

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Lopes, Csonka, Castellane, Oliveira, Almeida-Júnior, Furtado, Tararam, Levy, Crivellenti, Moretti, Giannini and Pires. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.