Sex Steroids Affect Triglyceride Handling, Glucose-Dependent Insulinotropic Polypeptide, and Insulin Sensitivity

A 1-week randomized clinical trial in healthy young men

Bruno Lapauw, md¹ Margriet Ouwens, phd³ Leen M. 't Hart, phd⁴ Birgitte Wuyts, phd² Jens J. Holst, phd⁵ Guy T'Sjoen, md¹ Jean-Marc Kaufman, md¹ Johannes B. Ruige, md¹

OBJECTIVE — To evaluate metabolic effects of sex steroids in nonfasting and fasting conditions, independent from changes in body composition.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — A randomized clinical trial was performed to create contrasting sex steroid levels in healthy young men: by letrozole (aromatase inhibitor) to lower estradiol (E_2) and increase testosterone (group T, n = 10) versus letrozole plus E_2 patches to lower T and raise E_2 (group E, n = 10). Mixed meals and hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps were performed before and after a 1-week treatment period.

RESULTS — Following intervention, the postprandial triglyceride response displayed a diverging response with a decline in group T and an increase in group E; the postprandial glucosedependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) response increased in group T. Insulin sensitivity increased in group T but remained unaltered in group E.

CONCLUSIONS — In healthy young men, short-term changes in sex steroids affect postprandial triglyceride and GIP response and insulin sensitivity.

ow testosterone has been shown to be a strong predictor of metabolic syndrome in men aged 20-40 years, although underlying biological mechanisms are poorly understood (1,2). Typically, low testosterone in the presence of disturbed glucose metabolism is combined with high estradiol (E₂) (3). Modifying steroid levels into low E₂ and high testosterone, by an aromatase inhibitor (AI) reducing conversion of testosterone into E₂ through aromatase (P450a), results in decreased fasting glucose and insulin levels in young men (4). Yet, nonfasting conditions might be relevant

Diabetes Care 33:1831-1833, 2010

as well since humans spend the majority of time in this state, which might better predict cardiovascular risk (5). In the present study, short-term sex steroid effects were explored in both fasting and nonfasting conditions; men were randomly treated with an AI to lower E_2 and increase testosterone (group T) or an AI and E_2 patches to lower testosterone and raise E_2 (group E). E_2 patches in men cause downregulation of testosterone secretion through the feedback mechanism of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis. A week is supposed to be sufficient to reach a steady state.

From the ¹Department of Endocrinology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium; the ²Laboratory for Metabolic Diseases, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium; the ³Deutsches Diabetes Zentrum, Institut für Klinische Biochemie und Pathobiochemie, Düsseldorf, Germany; the ⁴Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; and the ⁵Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, the Panum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark. Corresponding author: Johannes Ruige, johannes.ruige@ugent.be.

Received 19 March 2010 and accepted 4 May 2010. Published ahead of print at http://care.diabetesjournals.

org on 18 May 2010. DOI: 10.2337/dc10-0515. Clinical Trial reg. no. NCT00740194, clinicaltrials.gov. © 2010 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly

cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/ for details.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND

METHODS — Twenty healthy young men (aged 20–40 years) were randomized to receive either 2.5 mg letrozole (Femara; Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) (group T, n = 10) or letrozole plus Dermestril, exemption 75 µg/day (Besins, Brussels, Belgium) (group E, n = 10). All subjects gave written informed consent and completed the trial, which was approved by the ethical review board of the Ghent University Hospital, conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and registered at clinicaltrials.gov (NCT00740194).

Measurements before and after a 1-week treatment period were performed in similar conditions, starting at 0800 h after overnight fasting and a 10-min bed rest at the hospital. Hyperinsulinemiceuglycemic clamps were initiated by a primed-continuous insulin infusion and fixed at 40 mIU/m²_{body surface area}/min throughout the 120-min clamp to completely suppress endogenous glucose production (6). The insulin infusion rate was similar in both groups. Variable infusion of glucose, adjusted every 5 min, was used to maintain euglycemia (5 mmol/l). Venous blood was arterialized through retrograde cannulation of a wrist vein while heating the hand at 60–70°C using a custom-made heating box. The glucose disposal rate (insulin sensitivity; *M* value) was measured during the last 30 min and corrected for lean body mass (dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry). Thirty minutes after the clamp, a standard mixed meal (bread, margarine, cheese, and milk), providing a caloric content of 1,000 kcal (45% fat, 36% carbohydrates, and 19% proteins), was served and blood samples were taken before and 10, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, and 300 min after ingestion. Triglycerides, glucose, and Cpeptide serum concentrations were determined using standard laboratory assays (modular immunoassay; Roche Diagnostics, Penzberg, Germany). Intra- and interassay coefficients of variation for all parameters were <3 and 6%, respec-

Table 1—A 1-week hormonal intervention ar	d effects on	postprandial ar	nd fasting metabolic	parameters in healthy young mer
---	--------------	-----------------	----------------------	---------------------------------

	Group T (letrozole only) ($n = 10$)			Group E (letrozole + E_2 patches) ($n = 10$)			
Sex steroids	Before	After	P value*	Before	After	P value*	
Testosterone (ng/dl)	495 ± 138	988 ± 137	< 0.001	425 ± 137	246 ± 127	< 0.001	
Free testosterone (ng/dl)	8.8 ± 2.0	21.5 ± 4.9	< 0.001	9.5 ± 2.2	5.3 ± 2.7	< 0.001	
Sex hormone–binding globulin (nmol/l)	41 ± 18	39 ± 16	0.11	32 (22-40)	32 (26–43)	0.33	
Estradiol (pg/ml)†	20.5 (16.8–23.0)	8.9 (8.5–9.4)	0.005	16.3 (15.1–19.8)	19.4 (15.9–41.3)	0.059	
Free E_2 (pg/ml)†	0.37 (0.30-0.42)	0.18 (0.17-0.19)	0.005	0.30 (0.28–0.38)	0.36 (0.28–0.76)	0.074	
Postprandial response							
Glucose response (mg/dl/min)‡	1.20	1.25	0.11	1.20	1.19	0.65	
C-peptide response (ng/ml/min)‡	0.070	0.069	0.71	0.070	0.069	0.62	
Glucagon-like peptide 1 response							
(pM/min)‡	0.57	0.59	0.55	0.57	0.60	0.37	
GIP response (pM/min)‡	1.19	1.24	0.047	1.19	1.17	0.37	
Triglyceride response (mg/dl/min)‡	0.50	0.44	0.036	0.50	0.54	0.010	
Fasting measurements							
Glucose (mg/dl)	0.88 ± 0.15	0.84 ± 0.08	0.40	0.84 ± 0.06	0.86 ± 0.12	0.56	
Insulin (IU/l)†	5.1 (3.2-8.7)	4.3 (2.5-7.1)	0.17	5.0 (3.7-8.4)	5.8 (4.5–7.2)	0.58	
Triglycerides (mg/dl)†	79 (61–139)	75 (56–92)	0.12	82 (56-140)	81(70-145)	0.68	
Euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp							
Mean glycemic level (mmol/l)	5.1 ± 0.27	4.9 ± 0.15	0.11	4.9 ± 0.27	4.9 ± 0.15	0.70	
GIR (ml/h)	167 ± 65	199 ± 65	0.051	194 ± 53	194 ± 49	0.99	
M value _{LBM} (μ mol/min/kg _{LBM})	51.3 ± 21.5	61.3 ± 21.9	0.042	60.4 ± 16.4	60.3 ± 14.5	0.99	

Data are means \pm SD or median (1st–3rd quartile) in case of non-Gaussian distribution. *According to paired Student *t* test. †According to Wilcoxon signed-rank test. ‡Longitudinal mixed-effects modeling.

tively. Commercial immunoassays were used to determine serum E_2 (Incstar, Stillwater, MN), testosterone, and sex hormone–binding globulin (Orion Diagnostica, Espoo, Finland). Total glucagon-like peptide-1 and intact glucosedependent insulinotropic polypeptide were determined as previously described (7). Apart from standard statistical analyses, longitudinal mixed-effects modeling was used to assess differences in postmeal responses and were performed using the SPSS 12.0 software package (SPSS, Chicago, IL) and SAS 9.1.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

RESULTS — All subjects were Caucasian, and no anthropometric differences were observed between both groups (age 34 ± 7 and 31 ± 5 years; BMI 22.4 \pm 2.4 and 23.4 \pm 2.2 kg/m²; waist-to-hip ratio 0.87 \pm 0.05 and 0.86 \pm 0.04, group T and E, respectively) (Table 1).

Sex steroid changes

Following intervention, E_2 levels decreased by 56% and testosterone levels increased by 114% in group T, whereas in group E, testosterone levels declined by 44% and total E_2 levels increased by 43%. Adverse events or side effects did not occur.

Nonfasting measurements

Following intervention, postprandial responses of glucose, C-peptide, and glucagon-like peptide 1 remained unchanged, though triglycerides displayed a diverging response, declining in group T and increasing in group E, and the glucosedependent insulinotropic polypeptide response increased in group T.

Fasting measurements

Following intervention, no differences in fasting glucose, insulin levels, or triglyceride levels were revealed. Yet, an increase in insulin sensitivity (M value_{LBM}) was observed in group T, whereas no change was observed after intervention in group E. The observation remained similar when adjusting for mean glucose levels during steady state (data not shown; P = 0.018).

CONCLUSIONS — The study shows that short-term changes in sex steroids affect glucose and lipid metabolism in fasting and nonfasting conditions in healthy young men.

Concomitantly increasing testosterone and decreasing E_2 levels has positive effects on both postprandial triglyceride handling and insulin sensitivity. Effects on postprandial triglyceride handling are in line with previous reports (8) and seem

relevant for metabolic risk (9). The improvement in insulin sensitivity explains our previous findings of reduced fasting glucose and insulin levels after 4 weeks of AI in young men (4) and corroborates a former report (10) showing acute reduction in insulin sensitivity 2 weeks after discontinuing testosterone replacement in hypogonadal men. It remains unclear whether these observations result from changes in lipid metabolism or altered postreceptor insulin signaling in muscle (11) and whether improved insulin sensitivity enhances muscle lipid uptake (12). Further, this same intervention increased postprandial glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) response, though future research is needed to establish metabolic consequences. Effects of sex steroids on GIP have not been reported before. Action of GIP is not limited to pancreatic cells and may affect lipid homeostasis (13) and intestinal glucose transport (14).

The hormonally contrasting group with decreased testosterone and relatively high E_2 levels displayed a larger postprandial triglyceride response without effects on insulin sensitivity, though possible effects might have been masked due to variation in transdermal delivery of E_2 . These findings were demonstrated in a limited number of healthy male subjects, necessitating confirmation and extension to populations at risk (those who are obese, those with disturbed glucose metabolism, and the elderly) to evaluate potential clinical implications (e.g., related to lipid handling or buffering of adipocytes for prevention of lipotoxicity) (9,13,15).

In summary, changing sex steroid levels in a largely physiological range influences postprandial triglyceride handling, GIP, and insulin sensitivity in healthy young men. Given the short term of this intervention, these effects can be assumed to occur independently from changes in body composition.

Acknowledgments— This study was supported in part by grant G0066207 of the Flemish Fund for Scientific Research (FWO Vlaanderen).

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

B.L. researched data and wrote the manuscript. M.O. reviewed/edited the manuscript. L.M.H. contributed to discussion. B.W. researched data. J.J.H. researched data and reviewed/edited the manuscript. G.T. contributed to discussion and reviewed/edited the manuscript. J.-M.K. reviewed/edited the manuscript. J.B.R. researched data and reviewed/edited the manuscript.

The authors are indebted to Dr. S. Vansteelandt, Ghent University, Belgium, for statistical consulting, as well as to the employees of the Department of Endocrinology, Kaatje Toye, Bea Vervinckt, and Nera Steyaert, for the meticulous realization of the study protocol. We thank Inge Bocquaert and Kathelijne Mertens for performing the immunoassays. We also thank Eric Matthys for using his skills to create the custom-made heating box. We thank all volunteers who participated as study subjects.

References

- Haring R, Völzke H, Felix SB, Schipf S, Dörr M, Rosskopf D, Nauck M, Schöfl C, Wallaschofski H. Prediction of metabolic syndrome by low serum testosterone levels in men: results from the study of health in Pomerania. Diabetes 2009;58:2027–2031
- Khaw KT, Barrett-Connor E. Lower endogenous androgens predict central adiposity in men. Metabolism Ann Epidemiol 1992; 2:675–682
- Colangelo LA, Ouyang P, Liu K, Kopp P, Golden SH, Dobs AS, Szklo M, Vaidya D, Cushman M, Gapstur SM. Association of endogenous sex hormones with diabetes and impaired fasting glucose in men: multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. Diabetes Care 2009;32:1049–1051
- Lapauw B, T'Sjoen G, Mahmoud A, Kaufman JM, Ruige JB. Short-term aromatase inhibition: effects on glucose metabolism and serum leptin levels in young and elderly men. Eur J Endocrinol 2009;160: 397–402
- Lin HJ, Lee BC, Ho YL, Lin YH, Chen CY, Hsu HC, Lin MS, Chien KL, Chen MF. Postprandial glucose improves the risk prediction of cardiovascular death beyond the metabolic syndrome in the nondiabetic population. Diabetes Care 2009; 32:1721–1726
- 6. Ferrannini E, Mari A. How to measure insulin sensitivity. J Hypertens 1998;16: 895–906
- Deacon CF, Holst JJ. Immunoassays for the incretin hormones GIP and GLP-1. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009;23:425–432

- Agledahl I, Skjmrpe PA, Hansen JB, Svartberg J. Low serum testosterone in men is inversely associated with non-fasting serum triglycerides: the Troms^o study. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2008;18:256–262
- 9. Ruige JB, Van Gaal LF. Low fasting triglycerides: hallmark of the healthy large hip? Obesity (Silver Spring) 2009;17: 1621–1626
- Yialamas MA, Dwyer AA, Hanley E, Lee H, Pitteloud N, Hayes FJ. Acute sex steroid withdrawal reduces insulin sensitivity in healthy men with idiopathic hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2007;92:4254–4259
- Belfort R, Mandarino L, Kashyap S, Wirfel K, Pratipanawatr T, Berria R, Defronzo RA, Cusi K. Dose-response effect of elevated plasma free fatty acid on insulin signaling. Diabetes 2005;54:1640–1648
- Ukropcova B, McNeil M, Sereda O, de Jonge L, Xie H, Bray GA, Smith SR. Dynamic changes in fat oxidation in human primary myocytes mirror metabolic characteristics of the donor. J Clin Invest 2005;115:1934–1941
- Song DH, Getty-Kaushik L, Tseng E, Simon J, Corkey BE, Wolfe MM. Glucosedependent insulinotropic polypeptide enhances adipocyte development and glucose uptake in part through Akt activation. Gastroenterology 2007;133: 1796–1805
- 14. Singh SK, Bartoo AC, Krishnan S, Boylan MO, Schwartz JH, Michael Wolfe M. Glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) stimulates transepithelial glucose transport. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2008;16:2412–2416
- Frayn KN. Adipose tissue as a buffer for daily lipid flux. Diabetologia 2002;45: 1201–1210