# Readmissions, post-discharge mortality and sustained recovery among patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19

Kasper S. Moestrup, MD, 1; Joanne Reekie, MSc, PhD, 1; Adrian G. Zucco, MSc, 1; Tomas Ø. Jensen, MD, 4 5 1,2; Jens-Ulrik S. Jensen, MD, PhD, 3,6; Lothar Wiese, M, PhD, 4; Sisse R. Ostrowski, MD, PhD, DMSc, 6 5,6; Carsten U Niemann, MD, PhD, 6,7; Cameron MacPherson, MSc, PhD, 1; Jens Lundgren, MD, PhD, 7 DMSc, 1,6; Marie Helleberg, MD, PhD, DMSc, 1 8 1) Rigshospitalet, CHIP, Centre of Excellence for Health, Immunity and Infections 9 Blegdamsvej 9, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark 10 2) Nordsjællands Hospital, Department of Infectious Diseases Dyrehavevej 29, 3400 Hillerød, Denmark 11 3) Herlev and Gentofte Hospital, Department of Respiratory Diseases 12 Gentofte Hospitalsvej 1, 2900 Hellerup, Denmark 13 4) Zealand University Hospital, Department of Infectious Diseases 14 15 Sygehusvej 10, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark 5) Rigshospitalet, Department of Immunology 16 Blegdamsvej 9, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark 17 6) Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of 18 19 Copenhagen, DK-2200 Copenhagen, Denmark 20 7) Rigshospitalet. Department of Hematology Blegdamsvej 9, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark 21

Corresponding author:	Alternate corresponding author:	22
Kasper S. Moestrup, MD, 1	Marie Helleberg, MD, PhD, DMSc, 1	22
kasper sommerlund moestrun@regionh dk	marie helleberg@regionh dk	23

24

#### 1 Abstract

#### 2 Background

3 Many interventional in-patient COVID-19 trials assess primary outcomes through day 28 post-

4 randomization. Since a proportion of patients experience protracted disease or relapse, such follow-

5 up period may not fully capture the course of the disease, even when randomization occurs a few

6 days after hospitalization.

#### 7 Methods

Among adults hospitalized with COVID-19 in Eastern Denmark from March 18, 2020 - January 12,
2021 we assessed: all-cause mortality, recovery and sustained recovery 90 days after admission, and
readmission and all-cause mortality 90 days after discharge. Recovery was defined as hospital
discharge and sustained recovery as recovery and alive without readmissions for 14 consecutive
days.

12 uays.

#### 13 **Results**

Among 3,386 patients included in the study 2,796 (82.6%) reached recovery and 2,600 (77.0%) achieved sustained recovery. Of those discharged from hospital, 556 (19.9%) were readmitted, and 289 (10.3%) died. Overall, the median time to recovery was 6 days (Interquartile range (IQR), 3-10), and 19 days (IQR, 11-33) among patients in intensive care in the first two days of admission.

#### 18 **Conclusions**

Post-discharge readmission and mortality rates were substantial. Therefore, sustained recovery
should be favored to recovery outcomes in clinical COVID-19 trials. A 28-day follow-up period
may be too short the critically ill.

22 Keywords: COVID-19; sustained recovery; readmission; post-discharge mortality; SARS-CoV-2.

# 1 Introduction

2 Previous studies have indicated that some patients with COVID-19 have protracted disease and that rates of post-discharge mortality and readmissions can be substantial.[1, 2] This could potentially 3 have affected the validity of results of clinical trials, since many interventional clinical trials of 4 COVID-19 treatments evaluated outcomes at day 28 after randomization. [3-9] Reported estimates 5 on length of hospital stay for COVID-19 patients with critical illness vary, but exceeds 28 days 6 7 since admission for a notable proportion of the critical ill patients in some studies.[10, 11] For such patients, a primary outcome assessed during a 28-day follow-up period may not capture the full 8 course of the disease, and also may cover little or none of the post-discharge time period after initial 9 recovery. Further, if rates of readmissions and post-discharge deaths are substantial, a significant 10 number of patients classified as recovered, may not truly have recovered from COVID-19. The 11 Accelerating COVID-19 therapeutic interventions and vaccines 3 (ACTIV-3): Therapeutics for 12 Inpatients With COVID-19 (TICO) trial, a large multisite trial of multiple treatments for 13 hospitalized COVID-19 patients, introduced the outcome sustained recovery. Sustained recovery 14 was defined as discharge from hospital and remaining alive and discharged for 14 days, thereby 15 accounting for post-discharge readmissions and deaths in treatment effect estimates.[12, 13] This 16 definition was developed in July 2020, and was based on preliminary findings from cohort studies 17 including the one described here. 18 To evaluate sustained recovery as an outcome and to assess the optimal time point to estimate rates 19

of sustained recovery, we examined longer-term all-cause mortality, post-discharge mortality,
readmissions, recovery and sustained recovery among patients hospitalized with COVID-19. In
secondary analyses, we compared these outcomes 1) before and after dexamethasone and
remdesivir were introduced as standard of care and 2) between subgroups defined by disease

- 1 severity during index admission.
- 2

# 3 Methods

#### 4 Study setting

- 5 This study was a multicenter cohort study in Eastern Denmark, a region with 2.7 million
- 6 inhabitants. All patients with COVID-19 in need of in-patient care treated at public hospitals were
- 7 eligible for this study. A total of 10 hospitals contributed with data to this study. All hospitals used
- 8 an identical software, *Sundhedsplatformen*, for electronic health records (EHR), by EPIC,
- 9 Wisconsin[14]. During surges of COVID-19 related hospital admissions it was necessary to
- 10 postpone elective surgery and reallocate hospital resources, but the capacity for hospital admissions
- 11 and intensive care was not saturated at any point in time. In June 2020, remdesivir and
- 12 dexamethasone were introduced as standard of care in treatment of COVID-19 patients in Denmark.
- 13 Community transmission of SARS-CoV-2 was low in June 2020 and this timepoint separated the

14 first and second wave of COVID-19 related hospital admissions.

15

#### 16 Data sources

- 17 Data were obtained from EHR and merged with data on vital status from the Danish civil
- registration system, using the unique civil registration number that is assigned to all Danish citizens,
- 19 ensuring near-complete ascertainment of mortality. Data freeze was May 26<sup>th</sup>, 2021.
- 20

# 21 Study population

22 We included adult patients, aged  $\geq 18$  years, admitted to hospital with an international classification

of diseases, 10th revision (ICD-10) diagnosis code of COVID-19 between March 18<sup>th</sup>, 2020 and Jan

12<sup>th</sup>, 2021, and fulfilling the following criteria: a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR between 30 days 1 before and 7 days after the date of admission, duration of hospital admission more than 48 hours 2 and at least one measurement of vital signs during this admission. The first admission fulfilling 3 these criteria was defined as the *index admission*. The inclusion criteria of both a measurement of 4 vital signs and at least a 48-hour in-patient stay was introduced, since out-patients that visited 5 6 hospitals for COVID-19 tests, were included on in-patient EHR lists in some hospitals during March 2020. Further, the 48-hour criterium was introduced to include only patients who had 7 moderate/severe COVID-19 and to be comparable to patients included in clinical trials of patients 8 hospitalized with COVID-19, and who are often randomized a few days after admission. 9

10

#### 11 **Definitions**

Baseline was defined as time of index admission. A subsequent admission with a duration of more than 48 hours and within 90 days after discharge the index admission was categorized as a readmission. If a hospital admission occurred less than 48 hours after discharge from a previous admission, the two admissions were regarded as one admission. Patients with index admissions of more than 90 days were excluded from analysis of post-discharge outcomes. Discharge was defined as the date of discharge from hospital as we did not have information on whether patients were discharged to home, elderly homes, rehabilitation etc.

Disease severity was assessed by the maximum levels of respiratory support given until different
timepoints of the index admission and categorized for each patient on an ordinal scale grouped as 05 L O<sub>2</sub>/min, >5-15 L O<sub>2</sub>/min, 'more than 15L O<sub>2</sub>/min without ICU admission' or ICU admission.
Comorbidities at baseline were determined using ICD-10 codes from hospital records prior to the
index admission and categorized in meta categories from the Charlson comorbidity index and the

Elixhauser index.[15, 16] The complete ICD-10 categorization used is available in supplemental
 material (see Supplemental Material Table S1).

3

#### 4 **Outcomes**

The outcomes were 'death within 90 days from index admission', 'death within 90 days from 5 discharge from index admission', 'readmission within 90 days from discharge from index 6 7 admission', and a combined endpoint of 'readmission or death (whichever came first) within 90 days from discharge from index admission', 'recovery within 90 days from index admission' and 8 9 'sustained recovery within 90 days from index admission'. Recovery was defined as discharge from index admission. Sustained recovery was defined as discharge from the index admission, and being 10 alive without readmissions for 14 consecutive days. If a patient was readmitted before reaching 11 sustained recovery, the 14 consecutive days event free period could be achieved after discharge 12 from a readmission. 13

14

#### 15 Statistical analyses

All patients were included from 48 hours after the index admission to outcome of interest or '90 16 days after index admission' or '90 days after discharge from index admission', as specified in the 17 outcomes or freeze date the 26<sup>th</sup> of May 2021. Patient characteristics were presented overall, 18 stratified by wave, and stratified by the maximum disease severity in the first 14 days of index 19 admission. Kaplan Meier method was used to estimate the risk of 'death within 90 days after index 20 admission', 'death within 90 days after discharge from index admission' and 'readmission or death 21 within 90 days after discharge from index admission'. 'Recovery with 90 days after index 22 admission', 'sustained recovery with 90 days after index admission' and 'readmission within 90 23 days after discharge from index admission' were illustrated by cumulative incidence curves. In 24

analysis stratified for disease severity, we stratified by the maximum level of respiratory support in 1 2 the first 2 and 14 days of index admission. Recovery could first occur 2 days after index admission due to the inclusion criteria. Sustained recovery could first occur from day 16 since index 3 admission, due to the outcome definition and inclusion criteria. Multivariable Cox regression 4 analysis assessed the factors associated with 'death', and 'readmission or death' by comparing 5 6 cause-specific hazards. Multivariable Fine-Gray models compared the sub distribution hazard for 'recovery', 'sustained recovery' and 'readmission'. Variables of interest included wave, age, sex, 7 and individual comorbidities of cardiovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, chronic 8 pulmonary disease, renal disease, malignancy, neurological disease and moderate to severe liver 9 disease defined from the comorbidities meta categories. The statistical software R and the packages 10 11 survival, mstate, cmprisk and tidyverse were used for data analyses.

12

## 13 **Results**

#### 14 **Study population**

We included 3,386 adult patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 in the study period: 1,137 15 16 and 2,249 in the first and second waves, respectively (see Supplemental Material Figure 1). The median age was 74 years [IQR 61 - 82] and 54.7% were male. The duration of the index admission 17 was median 6.8 days [IQR 4.1 - 11.7] and 590 died during index admission (Table 1). The baseline 18 characteristics were similar between patients admitted during the first and the second wave. 19 Baseline characteristics of the total cohort and survivors of the index admission are summarized in 20 Table 1. Characteristics stratified by disease severity during the index admission are summarized in 21 see Supplemental Material Table S2 and for survivors of the index admission in see Supplemental 22

23 Material Table S3. Data on treatment with remdesivir and dexamethasone in the first and second

1 wave are displayed in see Supplemental Material Table S4.

2

#### **3 Overall outcomes**

- 4 In the total cohort, 2796 (82.6%) were discharged within 90 days after admission (Table 2), and
- 5 thereby reached the outcome "recovery"; 861 patients 25.4% [95% CI 24.0% 26.9%] died within
- 6 90 days from index admission (Figure 1A)..

#### 7 Readmissions and post-discharge mortality

Of the 2796 who recovered, 19.9% had been readmitted 90 days after initial recovery (Figure 1C) with 10.9% [95% CI 9.8% -12.1%] readmitted after 14 days. In total, 10.3% [95% 9.2, 11.5] died after recovery, 5.4% [95% CI 4.6% - 6.2%] died within the first 14 days post-discharge (Figure 1B). In the combined outcome, 25.8% [95% CI 24.1, 27.4] were readmitted or died with in the 90 days following discharge from index admission (Figure 1D). The rates of readmission and postdischarge death were highest in the first 14 days after discharge and then leveled off to a more constant rate (Figure 1B-1D, Table 2).

#### 15 Recovery and Sustained recovery

At 90 days after index admission, significantly more patients had reached the outcome recovery 16 than sustained recovery (Table 2, Figure 2A). The cumulative incidence of recovery was 78.6% 17 [95% CI 77·2-80/0] at day 28 and 82·6% [95% CI 81·3-83·9] at day 90. The cumulative incidence 18 19 of sustained recovery was 71.1% [95% CI: 69.6 - 72.7] at day 42 and 76.8% [95% CI 75.4 - 78.2] at day 90 (Fig. 2A). The median time to recovery was 6 days (IQR: 3-10) and median time to 20 sustained recovery was 20 days (IQR: 18 - 26). Risk factors for failure to reach sustained recovery 21 22 was older age, male sex, and cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, chronic pulmonary disease, 23 renal disease, malignancies, and neurological disease. (Table 3)

#### 1 Outcomes by wave

2 The all-cause mortality rate was lower in the second wave than the first wave (Supplemental

3 Material Fig. S6, Table 2). From the first to the second wave readmission rates increased but post-

4 discharge mortality rates did not change significantly (Table 2, Supplemental S6 1B-1D). Rates of

5 both recovery and sustained recovery were higher in the second wave compared to the first, hazard

6 ratio 1.39 [1.29, 1.50] and 1.28 [95% CI 1.19 - 1.39], respectively (Table 2, Supplemental Material

7 Figure S4 and S7).

8

#### 9 Outcomes by COVID-19 disease severity

The post-discharge mortality was substantial across subgroups defined by disease severity during
the admission, except in the ICU subgroup (Table 2, Supplemental Material Figure S3). In a
sensitivity analysis, patients were binned by index admission duration of 14, 28, 60 and 90 days and
the results were similar (Data not shown).

14

Rates of sustained recovery decreased with increasing levels of respiratory support (Table 2, Figure 2B). The subgroup which received >15L of oxygen had a lower cumulative incidence of sustained recovery than the ICU subgroup. We did not have access to information on clinical decisions to abstain from ICU treatment. In a sensitivity analysis we included only patients below 70 years of age. Exclusion of patients aged 70+ years resulted in a significant increase in the estimates of cumulative incidence of sustained recovery among patients receiving oxygen supplementation 5-15 L/min or >15 L/min (see Supplemental Material Figure S2).

Patients treated in the ICU had prolonged time to sustained recovery, median 36 days [IQR 28 - 49].

23 In this subgroup, the cumulative incidence of sustained recovery increased from 38.0% [95% CI

24 33.4% - 42.7%] at day 42 to 60.0% [95% CI 55.4% - 64.7%] at day 90 after index admission

(Table 2, Figure 2B). The relative rate of sustained recovery for the ICU group compared to the 0-5
L O<sub>2</sub>/min subgroup increased significantly from 0.15 [95% CI 0.13 - 0.17] in analyses with 42 days
of follow-up to 0.21 [95% CI 0.19 - 0.23] with 90 days of follow-up (see Table2 and Supplemental
Material Figure S5).
Among patients in intensive care units during the first 2 days of admission, median time to recovery
and sustained recovery was 19 (IRQ, 11-33) and 36 (IQR 26-48) days, respectively.

# 8 Discussion

9 We examined all-cause mortality, post-discharge mortality, readmissions, recovery, and sustained
10 recovery in a large, population-based cohort of patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 in
11 Eastern Denmark. Readmissions and post-discharge mortality rates were substantial and a large
12 proportion of patients with critical illness had protracted time to recovery.

13

In the total cohort, the cumulative incidence curves leveled out around day 28 for the outcome 14 recovery and day 42 for sustained recovery. But among patients who received oxygen 15 supplementation  $>151 \text{ O}_2/\text{min}$  or were admitted to the ICU during the index admission, the 16 cumulative incidence of sustained recovery was substantially higher at day 90 compared to day 42. 17 In adjusted analysis, comparing rates of sustained recovery between patients admitted to the ICU 18 and patients receiving  $<51 \text{ O}_2/\text{min}$  during index admission, the risk ratio changed significantly from 19 day 42 to day 90. These results indicate that a prolonged follow-up of 60 - 90 days is needed in 20 interventional studies to assess treatment effects in critically ill COVID-19 patients. 21 The ACTIV-3:TICO trial, reported similar cumulative incidence rates of sustained recovery as this 22 study, although the time to sustained recovery was shorter.[12] The median age in the ACTIV-23 3:TICO-trial was 12 years lower, which may explain part of the difference. They also reported 24

worse pulmonary status at baseline was associated with lower rates of sustained recovery. Our
results are also in line with a meta-analysis of hospitalized COVID-19 patients, that reported a
median length of stay in hospital of 5 days, but the length of stay increased with disease
severity.[17] Also, in a large cohort study of 4,244 critical ill patients with COVID-19, a notable
proportion of patients had protracted disease course with long hospital admission.[11]

6

7 The estimates of incidence of readmissions in the present study were similar to readmissions rates reported in studies from the UK and US where 23% and 20% of hospitalized COVID-19 patients 8 had been readmitted at day 60.[1, 2] Other studies have reported lower readmission rates. These 9 studies have either reported on cohorts with lower median age, with a shorter follow-up period or 10 less complete rates of follow-up than this study.[18-22] Our estimates of post-discharge mortality ( $\approx$ 11 10%) were similar to studies from US and UK. The incidence of post-discharge mortality in the 12 ICU subgroup was much lower than other subgroups. Those in the ICU subgroup, were younger 13 and the prevalence of comorbidities lower compared to other subgroups. (Supplementary Appendix 14 Table S3). In adjusted analysis comparing the ICU group to those with minimal oxygen supply the 15 hazard ratio of post-discharge mortality was 0.58 but did not reach statistical significance. (Table 16 2). Overall, we found a high incidence of post-discharge events in the first 14 days following 17 discharge from the index admission, indicating that a 14-day post-discharge event-free period for 18 the sustained recovery definition capture the majority of post-discharge COVID-19 associated 19 events. A substantial number of events occurs after the initial 14 days from discharge and the event 20 free period in the sustained recovery definition can be prolonged (see Supplemental Material Table 21 22 S5).

1 From the first to the second wave, we found that rates of in-hospital mortality declined, rates of 2 readmissions in the first two weeks after discharge increased, while rates of post-discharge 3 mortality did not change significantly; resulting in higher rates of recovery and sustained recovery in the second wave than in the first wave. The introduction of remdesivir and dexamethasone as 4 standard of care partly explain the better outcomes, but other improvements in management and 5 6 treatment are also likely to have contributed. The national vaccine program started in late December 7 2020. Therefore, very few participants, if any, in our study would have been vaccinated prior to the index admission, further vaccination was only recommended for patients sick with with COVID-19 8 after they had fully recovered. This the availability of vaccines is not like to explain the difference. 9

10

11 One limitation of the study is that we could not determine if readmissions or deaths were attributable to COVID-19. A large proportion of the study population had co-morbidities and thus it 12 is likely that some of the events, especially in the last part of the follow-up period, were unrelated to 13 COVID-19. Data on comorbidities were obtained using diagnose codes from prior hospital contacts 14 and thus may be underreported. The inclusion criteria of minimum 48 hours admission duration 15 excluded patients that died earlier and could neither achieve recovery nor sustained recovery. 16 Readmissions separated by less than 48 hours were merged, which would not affect sustained 17 recovery, due to the inherent event free period, but may have decreased rates of post-discharge 18 19 outcomes and recovery. Some patients did not have records of oxygen supply and were categorized in the lowest respiratory support subgroup. We cannot exclude that patients may not have had all 20 21 changes in respiratory support recorded in their medical records, but do not believe that this would 22 change the conclusions of this study. Unfortunately, we could not assess outcomes in nursing 23 homes et cetera nor long covid and post-covid conditions.

1 Strengths of the study include the large, population-based study population and the near-complete

2 follow-up due to the high quality of the registry data used for the study. All COVID-19 patients in

3 the region where the study was conducted were treated at public hospitals, with identical EHR

4 software and a common treatment protocol. Data on deaths were collected from the Danish civil

5 registration system, which is up to date and hence fully ascertain survival status.

6 We conclude that rates of adverse outcomes within 14 days after discharge of a hospital admission

7 for COVID-19 are substantial, favoring the use of sustained recovery as outcome as opposed to

8 recovery in clinical COVID studies. A follow-up period of 28 days to assess outcomes in studies of

9 treatments for COVID-19 may be too short for the critical ill.

10

## 11 NOTES

#### 12 **Contributors**

JL, MH and KSM designed the study. AZ and KSM verified the raw data. JR oversaw the statistical
analysis done by KSM. KSM and MH drafted the manuscript. All authors were involved in the
interpretation of results and critically reviewed the first draft and approved the final version of the
manuscript. All authors had full access to all the data.

## 17 Acknowledgments

18 The Capital Region of Denmark, Center for Economy, provided data extracts from the EHR system.

19 This study was approved by the Danish National Ethics Committee.

## 20 Funding

- 21 This work was supported by the Danish Ministry of Higher Education and Science [0238-00006B]:
- and the Danish National Research Foundation [DNRF126].

#### **1 Declaration of Interests**

- 2 CUN: Research support and consultancy fees outside this study from Abbvie AstraZeneca
- 3 (consulting fees paid to author), Janssen (consulting fees paid to author), Takeda consulting fees
- 4 paid to author), CSL Behring (consulting fees paid to author), Beigene (consulting fees paid to
- 5 author), Octapharma (consulting fees paid to author), Nordisk Foundation and Danish Cancer
- 6 Society; from Ministry of Higher Education and Science (0238-00006B) for this study. CUN also
- 7 reports grants or contracts from Novo Nordisk Foundation, Danish Cancer Society, AstraZeneca,
- 8 Abbvie, Janssen, EU (ERAPERMED) (through institution).
- 9 KSM: Lecturing fee outside this study from GSK
- 10 MH: Consultancy, congress, and lecturing fees outside this study from Gilead (paid to author),
- 11 GSK (paid to author), MDS (unpaid), AstraZeneca and Sobi; including fee for online participation
- 12 in congress from Giliead and GSK, participation on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory
- 13 Board for Gilead, GSK, MSD, AstraZeneca, and Sobi (paid to author).
- 14 CM reports Participation on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board for INSIGHT SSC
- 15 (International Network for Strategic Initiatives in Global HIV Trials) (Unpaid participation).
- 16 KSM, JR, AGZ, SRO, CUN, TJ, LW, JUJ, CM, JL, and MH declare no competing interests.
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20

# 1 References

- Ayoubkhani D, Khunti K, Nafilyan V, et al. Post-covid syndrome in individuals admitted to hospital
   with covid-19: retrospective cohort study. BMJ **2021**; 372: n693.
- Donnelly JP, Wang XQ, Iwashyna TJ, Prescott HC. Readmission and Death After Initial Hospital
   Discharge Among Patients With COVID-19 in a Large Multihospital System. JAMA 2021; 325(3): 304 6.
- Abani O, Abbas A, Abbas F, et al. Convalescent plasma in patients admitted to hospital with COVID 19 (RECOVERY): a randomised controlled, open-label, platform trial. The Lancet **2021**; 397(10289):
   2049-59.
- Aman J, Duijvelaar E, Botros L, et al. Imatinib in patients with severe COVID-19: a randomised,
   double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine 2021.
- Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, et al. Remdesivir for the Treatment of Covid-19 Final Report. N
   Engl J Med 2020; 383(19): 1813-26.
- Butler CC, Dorward J, Yu L-M, et al. Azithromycin for community treatment of suspected COVID-19
   in people at increased risk of an adverse clinical course in the UK (PRINCIPLE): a randomised,
   controlled, open-label, adaptive platform trial. The Lancet **2021**; 397(10279): 1063-74.
- Group RC, Horby P, Lim WS, et al. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J
   Med 2021; 384(8): 693-704.
- B. Guimarães PO, Quirk D, Furtado RH, et al. Tofacitinib in Patients Hospitalized with Covid-19
   Pneumonia. New England Journal of Medicine **2021**.
- Lescure F-X, Honda H, Fowler RA, et al. Sarilumab in patients admitted to hospital with severe or critical COVID-19: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. The Lancet
   Respiratory Medicine **2021**; 9(5): 522-32.
- 2410.Rees EM, Nightingale ES, Jafari Y, et al. COVID-19 length of hospital stay: a systematic review and25data synthesis. BMC Medicine **2020**; 18(1): 270.
- Schmidt M, Hajage D, Demoule A, et al. Clinical characteristics and day-90 outcomes of 4244
   critically ill adults with COVID-19: a prospective cohort study. Intensive Care Medicine 2021; 47(1):
   60-73.
- Group A-TL-CS, Lundgren JD, Grund B, et al. A Neutralizing Monoclonal Antibody for Hospitalized
   Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med **2021**; 384(10): 905-14.
- Murray DD, Babiker AG, Baker JV, et al. Design and implementation of an international, multi-arm,
   multi-stage platform master protocol for trials of novel SARS-CoV-2 antiviral agents: Therapeutics
   for Inpatients with COVID-19 (TICO/ACTIV-3). Clin Trials **2022**; 19(1): 52-61.
- 34 14. EPIC. Available at: <u>https://www.epic.com/</u>.
- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity
   in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis **1987**; 40(5): 373-83.
- Elixhauser A, Steiner C, Harris DR, Coffey RM. Comorbidity measures for use with administrative
   data. Med Care 1998; 36(1): 8-27.
- Rees EM, Nightingale ES, Jafari Y, et al. COVID-19 length of hospital stay: a systematic review and data synthesis. BMC Medicine **2020**; 18(1).
- Sixty-Day Outcomes Among Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19. Annals of Internal Medicine
   **2021**; 174(4): 576-8.
- 43 19. Loerinc LB, Scheel AM, Evans ST, Shabto JM, O'Keefe GA, O'Keefe JB. Discharge characteristics and
  44 care transitions of hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Healthcare **2021**; 9(1): 100512.
- 45 20. Lavery AM, Preston LE, Ko JY, et al. Characteristics of Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients Discharged
  46 and Experiencing Same-Hospital Readmission United States, March-August 2020. MMWR
  47 Morbidity and mortality weekly report **2020**; 69(45): 1695-9.

- Ramos-Martínez A, Parra-Ramírez LM, Morrás I, et al. Frequency, risk factors, and outcomes of
   hospital readmissions of COVID-19 patients. Scientific Reports **2021**; 11(1): 13733.
- Verma AA, Hora T, Jung HY, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of hospital admissions for COVID-19
   and influenza in the Toronto area. Canadian Medical Association Journal 2021; 193(12): E410-E8.

# 1 Tables

# 2 Table 1: Patient characteristics

		All patients		Recovery within 90 days from index admission			
Variable	Total cohort	First wave (1)	Second wave (2)	Total cohort	First wave (1)	Second wave (2)	
	n = 3,386	n = 1,137	n = 2,249	n = 2,796	n = 872	n = 1.924	
Patient Age							
18 to 49 years (%)	371 (11.0)	126 (11.1)	245 (10.9)	367 (13.1)	124 (14.2)	243 (12.6)	
50 to 69 years (%)	978 (28.9)	340 (29.9)	638 (28.4)	874 (31.3)	290 (33.3)	584 (30.4)	
70 to 79 years (%)	928 (27.4)	325 (28.6)	603 (26.8)	757 (27.1)	241 (27.6)	516 (26.8)	
80 to 100 years (%)	1,109 (32.8)	346 (30.4)	763 (33.9)	798 (28.5)	217 (24.9)	581 (30.2)	
Male (%)	1,852 (54.7)	622 (54.7)	1,230 (54.7)	1,498 (53.6)	455 (52.2)	1,043 (54.2)	
Comorbidities at baseline							
Cardiovascular disease (%)	1,237 (36.5)	378 (33.2)	859 (38.2)	945 (33.8)	269 (30.8)	676 (35.1)	
Hypertension (%)	815 (24.1)	256 (22.5)	559 (24.9)	639 (22.9)	188 (21.6)	451 (23.4)	
Diabetes Mellitus (%)	567 (16.7)	186 (16.4)	381 (16.9)	438 (15.7)	133 (15.3)	305 (15.9)	
Chronic Pulmonary Disease (%)	481 (14.2)	153 (13.5)	328 (14.6)	382 (13.7)	114 (13.1)	268 (13.9)	
Renal Disease (%)	231 (6.8)	72 (6.3)	159 (7.1)	157 (5.6)	47 (5.4)	110 (5.7)	
Malignancy (%)	443 (13.1)	136 (12.0)	307 (13.7)	343 (12.3)	95 (10.9)	248 (12.9)	
Neurological Disease (%)	412 (12.2)	143 (12.6)	269 (12.0)	301 (10.8)	90 (10.3)	211 (11.0)	
Moderate/Severe Liver Disease (%)	18 (0.5)	6 (0.5)	12 (0.5)	15 (0.5)	4 (0.5)	11 (0.6)	
Time from index admission to PCR test, days, median (IQR)	0.3 (-2.9 - 0.7)	0.4 (-1.5 - 0.6)	0.3 (-3.4 - 0.8)	0.3 (-3.2 - 0.7)	0.4 (-2.5 - 0.6)	0.3 (-3.5 - 0.8)	
Duration of index admission, days, median (IQR)	6.8 (4.1 - 11.7)	7.3 (4.2 - 13.2)	6.4 (4.0 - 10.7)	6.1 (4.0 - 10.6)	7.0 (4.1 - 13.0)	6.0 (4.0 - 9.8)	
Died during index admission (%)	590 (17.4)	265 (23.3)	325 (14.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Maximum level of respiratory support in first 14 days of index admission							
< 5 L O <sub>2</sub> /min (%)	2,111 (62.3)	642 (56.5)	1,469 (65.3)	2,018 (72.2)	610 (70.0)	1,408 (73.2)	
5-15 L O <sub>2</sub> /min (%)	509 (15.0)	181 (15.9)	328 (14.6)	368 (13.2)	120 (13.8)	248 (12.9)	

	> 15 L O <sub>2</sub> /min (%)	348 (10.3) 140 (12.3)	208 (9.2)	150 (5.4)	49 (5.6)	101 (5.2)
	ICU (%)	418 (12.3) 174 (15.3)	244 (10.8)	260 (9.3)	93 (10.7)	167 (8.7)
1						

2

3 Table 2: Outcomes in analyses in the total cohort, stratified by study period and level of respiratory support in

4 first 14 days of index admission

	Total cohort	First wave (1)	Second wave (2)	0-5 l/min	5-15 l/min	>15 l/min	ICU
Mortality (3)							
n	n = 3.386	n = 1,137	n = 2,249	n = 2,111	n = 509	n = 348	n = 418
Median time from admission to, days (IQR)	11 (6 - 22)	10 (6 - 18)	13 (7 - 25)	-	-	-	-
Cumulative incidence day 28	20.7 (22.1, 19.4)	24.8 (22.3, 27.3)	18.7 (17.1, 20.3)	-	-	-	-
Cumulative incidence day 90	25.4 (23.9, 26.9)	29.6 (26.8, 32.2)	23.3 (21.6, 25.1)	-	-	-	-
Hazard ratio day 28	-		0.65 (0.56, 0.75)	-	-	-	-
Hazard ratio day 90	-	Ref	0.67 (0.59, 0.77)	-	-	-	-
Post-discharge mortality							
n	n = 2796	n = 872	n = 1.924	n = 2018	n = 368	n = 150	n = 260
Median time from discharge to, days (IQR)	13 (5 - 33)	14 (6 - 38)	13 (5 - 32)	14 (5 - 35)	10 (4 - 21)	18 (5 - 47)	16 (12 - 18)
Cumulative incidence day 14	5.4 (4.6, 6.2)	4.8 (3.4, 6.2)	5.7 (4.6, 6.7)	5.4 (4.4, 6.3)	9.0 (6.0, 11.8)	4.7 (1.2, 8.0)	1.2 (0.0, 2.4)
Cumulative incidence day 90	10.3 (9.2, 11.5)	9.1 (7.1, 10.9)	10.9 (9.5, 12.3)	10.6 (9.3, 11.9)	13.9 (10.3, 17.3)	10.7 (5.6, 15.5)	3.0 (1.0, 5.2)
Hazard ratio day 14	-	Ref	1.01 (0.70, 1.46)	Ref	0.58 (0.37, 0.92)	0.29 (0.13, 0.66)	0.25 (0.06, 1.04)
Hazard ratio day 90	-	Ref	1.08 (0.84, 1.40)	Ref	1.53 (1.12, 2.08)	1.13 (0.68, 1.88)	0.58 (0.28, 1.19)
Readmission (5)	Total	First wave	Second wave	<5 L O2/min	5-15 L O2/min	>15 L O2/min	ICU
n	n = 2796	n = 872	n = 1.924	n = 2018	n = 368	n = 150	n = 260
Median time from discharge to, days (IQR)	12 (4-39)	21 (5-48.75)	10 (4-36.75)	12 (5-38.25)	11 (4-42)	11 (4.5-32.5)	9 (3.75-32.75)
Cumulative incidence day 14 (5)	10.9 (9.8-12.1)	7.6 (5.8-9.3)	12.5 (11.0-14.0)	11.2 (9.9-12.6)	10.3 (7.2-13.4)	12.0 (6.8-17.2)	8.8 (5.4-12.3)
Cumulative incidence day 90 (5)	19.9 (18.4-21.4)	17.2 (14.7-19.7)	21.1 (19.3-22.9)	20.8 (19.0-22.6)	18.8 (14.8-22.7)	20.7 (14.2-27.1)	13.8 (9.7-18.0)

Risk ratio day 14 (4)		Ref	1.58 (1.2, 2.07)	Ref	0.94 (0.67, 1.32)	1.05 (0.65, 1.71)	1.03 (0.66, 1.6)
Risk ratio day 90 (4)	-	Ref		Ref			
Readmission or post-discharge mortality	-	Ker	1.18 (0.98, 1.43)	Kei	0.90 (0.69, 1.17)	0.97 (0.68, 1.38)	0.87 (0.62, 1.24)
n	n = 2796	n = 872	n = 1.924	n = 2018	n = 368	n = 150	n = 260
Median time from discharge to, days (IQR)	10 (4-33)	14 (4 - 41)	9 (4 - 30)	10 (4 - 33)	7 (3 -32)	11 (4 - 30)	10 (4 - 28)
Cumulative incidence day 14	15.2 (13.9, 16.5)	11.6 (9.4, 13.7)	16.8 (15.2, 18.5)	15.6 (14.0, 17.2)	16.8 (12.9, 20.6)	16.0 (9.9, 21.7)	9.2 (5.6, 12.7)
Cumulative incidence day 90	25.8 (24.1, 27.4)	22.9 (20.1, 25.7)	27.1 (25.1, 29.0)	26.8 (24.9, 28.7)	27.2 (22.5, 31.6)	27.3 (19.8, 34.1)	15.0 (10.5, 19.2)
Hazard ratio day 14	- 7	Ref	0.95 (0.76, 1.20)	Ref	1.22 (0.93, 1.61)	0.91 (0.59, 1.39)	0.89 (0.58, 1.37)
Hazard ratio day 90	)-	Ref	1.12 (0.95, 1.32)	Ref	1.09 (0.88, 1.35)	1.06 (0.77, 1.46)	0.80 (0.58, 1.12)
Recovery (5)							
n	n = 3386	n = 1,137	n = 2,249	n = 2,111	n = 509	n = 348	n = 418
Median time from admission to, days (IQR)	6 (3-10)	7 (4-12)	6 (3-9)	-	-	-	-
Cumulative incidence day 28 (5)	78.6 (77.2-80.0)	71.9 (69.2-74.5)	82.0 (80.4-83.6)	-	-	-	-
Cumulative incidence day 90 (5)	82.6 (81.3-83.9)	76.7 (74.2-79.2)	85.5 (84.1-87.0)	-	-	-	-
Risk ratio day 28 (4)	-	Ref	1.40 (1.29, 1.51)	-	-	-	-
Risk ratio day 90 (4)	-	Ref	1.39 (1.29, 1.50)	-	-	-	_
Sustained recovery (3,5)							
n	n = 3386	n = 1,137	n = 2,249	n = 2,111	n = 509	n = 348	n = 418
Median time from admission to, days (IQR)	20 (18-26)	21 (18-28)	20 (18-25)	19 (17-22)	22 (19-27)	27 (22-35)	36 (28-49)
Cumulative incidence day 42 (3,5)	71.1 (69.6-72.7)	66.6 (63.8-69.3)	73.5 (71.6-75.3)	85.8 (84.3-87.3)	61.5 (57.3-65.7)	35.9 (30.9-41.0)	38.0 (33.4-42.7)
Cumulative incidence day 90 (5)	76.8 (75.4-78.2)	72.5 (69.9-75.1)	79.0 (77.3-80.7)	89.0 (87.7-90.3)	64.8 (60.7-69.0)	40.2 (35.1-45.4)	60.0 (55.4-64.7)
Risk ratio day 42 (4)	-	Ref	1.28 (1.18, 1.39)	Ref	0.47 (0.42, 0.52)	0.22 (0.19, 0.26)	0.15 (0.13, 0.17)
Risk ratio day 90 (4)	-	Ref	1.28 (1.19, 1.39)	Ref	0.46 (0.41, 0.51)	0.22 (0.19, 0.26)	0.21 (0.19, 0.23)

# 2 Table 3: Risk factors for sustained recovery

## **1** Footnotes Table 1:

- 2 1) Patients admitted to index admission before June 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020.
- 2) Patients admitted to index admission after June 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020.

## 4 Footnotes Table 2:

- 5 1) Patients admitted to index admission before June  $15^{\text{th}}$ , 2020.
- 6 2) Patients admitted to index admission after June  $15^{\text{th}}$ , 2020.
- The 42 days since index admission timepoint for sustained recovery and 28 days since index
   admission for mortality were chosen, since patients reaching sustained recovery commenced
   their 14-day event free period 14 days before reaching their outcome. Therefore, day 42 in a
   sustained recovery outcome is timewise comparable to a day 28 mortality outcome.
- 11 4) Multivariate Fine & Grey regression model.
- 12 5) Death accounted for as a competing risk
- 13
- 14

# 15 Figure legends:

Figure 1: A) Cumulative incidence of death after index admission B) Cumulative incidence of
death after discharge from index admission. C) Cumulative incidence of hospital readmission after
first hospital discharge from index admission. (2) D) Cumulative incidence of hospital readmission
or death after first hospital discharge from index admission.

Figure 2: A) Cumulative incidence of recovery and sustained recovery after hospital admission
(2) B) Cumulative incidence of sustained recovery stratified by the maximum level of respiratory
support in the first 14 days of the admission



