ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Terlipressin effect on hepatorenal syndrome: Updated meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Mohamed M G Mohamed,* ^(D) Abdul Rauf,* Abubakr Adam,[†] Babikir Kheiri,[‡] Alexandre Lacasse* and Hani El-Halawany[§]

*Internal Medicine Department, [§]Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatobiliary and Endoscopy, SSM Health St. Mary's Hospital-St. Louis, St. Louis, [†]Hospital Medicine Department, School of Medicine, University of Missouri, Colombia, Missouri and [‡]Department of Cardiology, Knight Cardiovascular Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA

Key words

albumin, cirrhosis, hepatorenal syndrome, terlipressin.

Accepted for publication 11 June 2021.

Correspondence

*Mohamed M G Mohamed, Internal Medicine Department, SSM Health St. Marys Hospital-St. Louis, 6420 Clayton Rd., Suite 2218, St. Louis, MO, 63117, USA.

Email: mohamed.mohamed@ssmhealth.com, m. maali.gumaa@gmail.com

Declaration of conflict of interest: None.

Abstract

Background and Aim: Hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is a fatal complication of liver cirrhosis with a limited pharmacological option. Terlipressin is a vasoconstrictor that is approved in many countries but not yet in the United States. This is a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to review terlipressin effect on HRS and the safety profile.

Methods: We searched electronic databases for RCTs comparing terlipressin *versus* placebo in addition to albumin in patients with type 1 or 2 HRS. Primary outcome was HRS reversal. Secondary outcomes were change in serum creatinine (Cr), requirement for renal replacement therapy (RRT) at 30 days of randomization, and 90-day survival. Risk ratios (RRs) and mean differences (MD) were calculated with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using a random-effects model.

Results: We identified eight RCTs with a total of 974 patients, and median follow up of 100 days. Mean age was 55 ± 10 years, 61% were males. Alcoholic liver disease represented 56%. Compared with placebo, terlipressin was associated with a significantly higher likelihood of HRS reversal (RR 2.08; 95% CI [1.51, 2.86], P < 0.001), significantly lower serum Cr (MD -0.64; 95% CI (-1.02, -0.27), P < 0.001], and a trend toward less RRT requirements (RR 0.61; 95% CI [0.36, 1.02], P = 0.06). There was no difference in survival at 90 days between groups (RR 1.09; 95% CI (0.84, 1.43), P = 0.52). Major adverse effects (AEs) were gastrointestinal cramps, discomfort, and respiratory distress.

Conclusion: In patients with liver cirrhosis complicated by HRS, terlipressin was associated with significant HRS reversal and decrease in serum Cr. No survival benefit was detected at 90 days.

Introduction

Hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is a functional renal failure (without intrinsic renal disease) common in patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis.¹ It is associated with high morbidity and mortality, necessitating hemodialysis, liver transplant, or leading to death in untreated patients.^{1,2}

Hypothesized pathophysiology of HRS is altered hemodynamics in splanchnic circulation due to cirrhosis. Visceral and systemic vasodilatation, due to excessive nitric oxide (NO) among other mediators, lead to decreased renal perfusion, with vicious activation of renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS).¹ Based on that, vasoconstrictors have been proposed as therapeutic agents.

Terlipressin is a synthetic vasopressin analogue, with vasoconstrictor properties in splanchnic circulation. It reduces portal hypertension, improves mean arterial pressure and peripheral perfusion, with potential beneficial effects on renal function.² Numerous small observational and experimental trials led to

approval of terlipressin in many countries,³ including Europe.⁴ Despite that, terlipressin is not yet approved in the United States, due to inconsistent evidence from large, randomized trials, in addition to safety concerns.

Therefore, we conducted an updated meta-analysis including only randomized controlled trials (RCTs) investigating effects and safety of terlipressin on hepatorenal syndrome in patients with liver cirrhosis.

Methods

This meta-analysis was completed according to preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.

Study selection and eligibility criteria. Literature search and review was performed by two authors (MM, AR), and disagreements were resolved via a consensus. We searched

© 2021 The Authors. JGH Open published by Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Foundation and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the

original work is properly cited.

PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase, and Cochrane databases from inception through March 2021 using the keywords "liver cirrhosis" OR "hepatic cirrhosis" OR "advanced liver disease" OR "advanced hepatic disease" AND "Terlipressin" OR "Vasopressin analogue" AND "hepatorenal syndrome". Search was restricted to English language. The selection of studies followed a screening of titles and abstracts, and a full-text review of potentially eligible studies for final determination.

RCT comparing terlipressin to placebo with or without albumin was eligible. We included both type 1 and type 2 HRS. A study must report at least the primary outcome directly or indirectly to be included.

HRS was defined according to international ascites club (ICA) 1996,⁵ or 2007.⁶ Liver cirrhosis was defined based on histology, radiology, or retrospectively if patients presented with decompensation and consistent clinical picture.

We excluded other types of studies (observation, retrospective, and prospective cohort studies). We also excluded studies comparing terlipressin to active treatment (vasoconstrictors), as our aim is to establish efficacy and minimize heterogeneity. **Outcomes measures.** The primary outcome of interest is reversal of HRS (defined as a drop of creatinine $[Cr] \le 1.5 \text{ mg/dL}$, or $\ge 50\%$ baseline, in two occasions within 48 h). Secondary outcomes are the change in serum Cr. from baseline, requirement of renal replacement therapy (RRT) at 30 days of randomization, and 90-day survival.

We also reported predictors of HRS reversal based on univariate and multivariate regression in all included studies. In addition, we reported terlipressin adverse effects (AEs) and safety features.

Data analysis. We calculated risk ratios (RRs) and mean differences (MD) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for dichotomous and continuous data, respectively. We assessed heterogeneity using I^2 statistic. We assessed publication bias using funnel plots in primary outcome (HRS reversal) (Fig. 1), and one secondary outcome (change in serum Cr from baseline) (Fig. 2).

All statistical analyses were done using RevMan manager v5.3 software using a random-effects model.



Figure 1 Funnel plot of comparison, reversal of hepatorenal syndrome.



Figure 2 Funnel plot of comparison, change in serum creatinine from baseline.

Study	Country	Age/year	Male	Alcoholic cirrhosis <i>n</i> (%)	pressure (mmHg)	Serum Na (mmol/L)	Serum Cr (mg/dL)	bilirubin (mg/dL)	Albumin (g/dL)	INR	MELD score	Child–Pug score
Sample size		M (SD)	n (%)		M (SD))))	ò			
Boyer et al. (2016) T = 97, $C = 99$	North America	55.3 (8.4)	119	103	75.5 (11)	132.1 (6.3)	3.65 (1)	11.65 (11.8)	3.55 (0.7)	2.25 (0.8)	33 (5.9)	10.3 (1.7
Martín-Llahí et al. (2008) T = 23, C = 23	Spain	57(10.6)	29	33	70.5 (11)	126.5 (7.8)	3.85 (2)	14.5 (16)	2.9 (0.65)	×	29 (8.5)	10.5 (2)
Neri et al. (2007) T = 26, $C = 26$	Italy	59.5 (3.5)	21	7	85.5 (4)	126 (4.5)	2.85 (1)	×	2.7 (0.3)	×	×	11.35 (0.9
Sanyal et al. (2008) T = 56, C = 56	Europe and North America	51.75 (11)	80	58	76.4 (12.5)	131.5 (7)	3.9 (1.7)	15.4 (14.3)	2.75 (0.8)	2.3 (1)	33.4 (6.15)	11.5 (1.8
Silawat et al. (2011) T = 30, C = 30	Pakistan	×	×	×	68 (15)	133 (4.27)	3.01 (1.25)	×	2.45 (0.67)	×	×	×
Solanki et al. (2003) T = 12, $C = 12$	India	51.5 (5)	17	×	75 (1)	×	2.6 (0.15)	7.1 (1.2)	3.1 (0.1)	×	×	×
Wong et al. (2020) T = 91, C = 93	North America	55.6 (8.4)	112	86	75.7 (11.4)	132.4 (6)	3.6 (1)	11.4 (11.6)	3.5 (0.7)	2.3 (0.8)	33 (5.76)	10.4 (1.7
Wong et al. (2021) T = 199, C = 101	North America	53.8 (11.5)	179	201	78.3 (11.3)	133 (5.5)	3.5 (1)	13.7 (14)	3.8 (1.6)	×	32.8 (6.5)	10 (1.86
Totals: 974 T = 534, C = 440		55 (10)	540 (61)	500 (56)	76 (11)	132 (6)	3.6 (1.2)	13 (13)	3.4 (1)	2.3 (0.8)	33 (6)	10.4 (1.8

 Table 1
 Baseline characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis

JGH Open: An open access journal of gastroenterology and hepatology ${\bf 5}$ (2021) 896–901

© 2021 The Authors. JGH Open published by Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Foundation and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

	Terlipre	ssin	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Boyer et at (REVERSE)2016	19	97	13	99	14.6%	1.49 [0.78, 2.85]	
Martin-Ilahi et al 2008	9	23	1	23	2.4%	9.00 [1.24, 65.41]	│ ———→
Neri et al 2007	21	26	5	26	10.9%	4.20 [1.87, 9.44]	
Sanyal et al 2008	19	56	7	56	11.4%	2.71 [1.24, 5.94]	
Silawat et al 2011	20	30	10	30	17.1%	2.00 [1.14, 3.52]	
Solanki et al 2003	5	12	0	12	1.3%	11.00 [0.67, 179.29]	
Wong et al (CONFIRM) 2021	63	199	17	101	20.1%	1.88 [1.16, 3.04]	
Wong et al (REVERSE 2) 2019	34	91	25	93	22.1%	1.39 [0.91, 2.13]	+
Total (95% CI)		534		440	100.0%	2.08 [1.51, 2.86]	-
Total events	190		78				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.07; Chi ² =	= 11.21, df	= 7 (P =	= 0.13); P	'= 38%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.49 (P	< 0.00001)					Placebo effect Terlipressin effect

Figure 3 Primary outcome; reversal of hepatorenal syndrome.

Terli	ipress	in	Pla	acebo			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
-1.1	1	97	-0.6	1	99	23.0%	-0.50 [-0.78, -0.22]	
-1.59	0.5	23	-2.1	2.4	23	9.2%	0.51 [-0.49, 1.51]	
-1.54	0.6	26	-0.77	0.8	26	20.7%	-0.77 [-1.15, -0.39]	
-0.7	2	56	0	1	56	16.1%	-0.70 [-1.29, -0.11]	
-1.67	1	30	0.21	2	30	12.1%	-1.88 [-2.68, -1.08]	
-0.5	1.54	91	-0.1	1.67	93	18.9%	-0.40 [-0.86, 0.06]	
		323			327	100.0%	-0.64 [-1.02, -0.27]	
= 16.61, = 0.000	df= 5 8)	(P = 0.0	005); l² =	= 70%				-2 -1 0 1 2 Terlipressin effect Placebo effect
	Terli Mean -1.1 -1.59 -1.54 -0.7 -1.67 -0.5 = 16.61, = 0.000	Terlipress Mean SD -1.1 1 -1.59 0.5 -1.54 0.6 -0.7 2 -1.67 1 -0.5 1.54 = 16.61, df = 5 = 0.0008)	Terlipressin Mean SD Total -1.1 1 97 -1.59 0.5 23 -1.54 0.6 26 -0.7 2 56 -1.67 1 30 -0.5 1.54 91 323 = 16.61, df = 5 (P = 0.1) = 0.0008)	Terlipressin Pland Mean SD Total Mean -1.1 1 97 -0.6 -1.59 0.5 23 -2.1 -1.54 0.6 26 -0.7 -0.7 2 56 0 -1.67 1 30 0.21 -0.5 1.54 91 -0.1 323 e16.61, df = 5 (P = 0.005); I ^a = 0.0008)	Terlipressin Placebo Mean SD Total Mean SD -1.1 1 97 -0.6 1 -1.59 0.5 23 -2.1 2.4 -1.54 0.6 26 -0.7 0.8 -0.7 2 56 0 1 -1.67 1 30 0.21 2 -0.5 1.54 91 -0.1 1.67 323 alloff = 5 (P = 0.005); I ² = 70% e 0.0008)	Terlipressin Placebox Mean SD Total Mean SD Total -1.1 1 97 -0.6 1 99 -1.59 0.5 23 -2.1 2.4 23 -1.54 0.6 26 -0.77 0.8 26 -0.7 2 56 0 1 56 -1.67 1 30 0.21 2 30 -0.5 1.54 91 -0.1 1.67 93 323 327 16.61, df = 5 (P = 0.05); I ^a = 70% = 0.0008)	Terlipressin Placebo Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight -1.1 1 97 -0.6 1 99 23.0% -1.59 0.5 23 -2.1 2.4 23 9.2% -1.54 0.6 26 -0.77 0.8 26 20.7% -0.7 2 56 0 1 56 16.1% -0.5 1.54 91 -0.1 1.67 93 18.9% -0.5 1.54 91 -0.1 1.67 93 18.9% -0.5 1.54 91 -0.1 1.67 93 18.9% -0.5 1.54 91 -0.1 1.67 93 18.9% -16.61, df = 5 (P = 0.005); I ² = 70% - - - - -	Terlipresin Placebo Mean Difference Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight Mean Difference -1.1 1 97 -0.6 1 99 23.0% -0.50 [-0.78, -0.22] -1.59 0.5 23 -2.1 2.4 23 9.2% 0.51 [-0.49, 1.51] -1.54 0.6 26 -0.7 0.8 26 20.7% -0.77 [-1.15, -0.39] -0.7 2 56 0 1 56 16.1% -0.70 [-1.29, -0.11] -1.67 1 30 0.21 2 30 12.1% -1.88 [-2.68, -1.08] -0.5 1.54 91 -0.1 1.67 93 18.9% -0.40 [-0.86, 0.06] = 323 327 100.0% -0.64 [-1.02, -0.27] -0.40 [-0.86, 0.06]

Figure 4 Secondary outcome; change in baseline serum creatinine (mg/dL).

	Terlipre	ssin	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.5.1 30-day RRT							
Boyer et at (REVERSE)2016	34	97	40	99	36.5%	0.87 [0.60, 1.25]	
Wong et al (CONFIRM) 2021	51	199	36	101	36.8%	0.72 [0.51, 1.02]	
Wong et al (REVERSE 2) 2019	9	59	46	89	26.8%	0.30 [0.16, 0.56]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		355		289	100.0%	0.61 [0.36, 1.02]	
Total events	94		122				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.16; Chi ²	= 8.85, df=	= 2 (P =	0.01); l² =	= 77%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.87 (P	= 0.06)						
1.5.2 90-day survival							
Boyer et at (REVERSE)2016	56	97	54	99	35.5%	1.06 [0.83, 1.36]	
Martin-Ilahi et al 2008	6	23	4	23	5.1%	1.50 [0.49, 4.62]	
Neri et al 2007	14	26	5	26	8.0%	2.80 [1.18, 6.65]	
Wong et al (CONFIRM) 2021	98	199	56	101	37.5%	0.89 [0.71, 1.11]	
Wong et al (REVERSE 2) 2019	11	32	18	56	13.9%	1.07 [0.58, 1.97]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		377		305	100.0%	1.09 [0.84, 1.43]	•
Total events	185		137				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Chi ²	= 7.42, df=	= 4 (P =	0.12); I ² =	= 46%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.65 (P	= 0.52)						
							Terlipressin effect Placebo effect

Test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2 = 3.85$, df = 1 (P = 0.05), I² = 74.0%

Figure 5 (a, b) Secondary outcomes; requirement of renal replacement therapy at 30-day from randomization, and 90-day survival.

MMG Mohamed et al.

 Table 2
 Predictors of hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) reversal in regression models

Study	Predictors of HRS reversal
Boyer et al. (2016)	Low MELD (<i>P</i> < 0.1)
	Low total bilirubin ($P = 0.1$)
	Low serum creatinine ($P = 0.05$)
Martin et al. (2008)	Multi: serum creatinine (P < 0.001)
	Urine volume ($P = 0.005$)
	White Blood Cells count ($P = 0.001$)
Neri et al. (2007)	Younger age (<i>P</i> < 0.001)
	Child–Pugh score \leq 12 ($P < 0.05$)
Sanyal et al. (2008)	Serum creatinine ($P = 0.021$)
	MELD score ($P = 0.017$)
Silawat et al. (2011)	NA
Solanki et al. (2003)	NA
Wong et al. (2020)	Non-alcohol hepatitis ($P = 0.04$)
	Lower serum creatinine ($P = 0.0003$)
Wong et al. (2021)	NA

MELD, mean model for end-stage liver disease; NA, not available.

Results

We identified eight RCT (n = 974) with a total of 534 patients randomized to terlipressin and 440 patients randomized to placebo (mean age 55 ± 10 years, 61% males) with a median study duration of 14 days and follow up of 100 days.⁷⁻¹⁴ Terlipressin was administered intravenously in a dose of 0.5–2 mg every 6–12 h. Both groups received albumin.

Alcoholic cirrhosis represented 56% of etiologies. Mean model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) and Child–Pugh scores were 33 ± 6 , 10.4 ± 1.8 , respectively. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was 76 ± 11 mmHg, serum sodium was 132 ± 6 mmol/L, serum Cr was 3.6 ± 1.2 mg/dL, serum albumin was 3.4 ± 1 g/dL, total bilirubin was 13 ± 13 mg/dL, and international normalized ratio was 2.3 ± 0.8 .

Table 1 shows patients' baseline characteristics.

Compared with placebo, terlipressin was associated with a significant higher likelihood of HRS reversal (RR 2.08; 95% CI [1.51, 2.86], P < 0.001] (Fig. 3). Terlipressin was also associated with a significant decrease in baseline serum Cr (MD -0.64; 95% CI [-1.02, -0.27], P < 0.001) (Fig. 4). Terlipressin showed a trend toward decreased requirement for RRT at 30 days but was not statistically significant (RR 0.61; 95% CI [0.36, 1.02], P = 0.06) (Fig. 5a). Terlipressin showed no survival benefit compared with placebo at 90 days (RR 1.09; 95% CI [0.84, 1.43], P = 0.52) (Fig. 3).

Predictors of HRS reversal based on regression models were younger age, low MELD and Child–Pugh scores, low baseline serum Cr., and total bilirubin levels, and non-alcohol hepatitis (Table 2).

Terlipressin was more associated with nausea, abdominal pain, diarrhea, cardiac dysrhythmia, ischemia, and pulmonary edema than placebo.

Discussion

This meta-analysis showed that the use of terlipressin with albumin in patients with liver cirrhosis and HRS is superior to placebo and albumin alone, regarding likelihood of HRS reversal, decrease in baseline serum Cr, with a trend toward less requirement of RRT at 30 days. Terlipressin showed no survival benefit at 90 days.

These findings are consistent with existing literature.^{15,16} Terlipressin, as a splanchnic vasoconstrictor, optimizes hemodynamics and improves renal perfusion in patients with cirrhosis. This translates as a beneficial effect on renal function. Reversal of HRS is an important outcome in patients with HRS, as the prognosis is poor in untreated patients.¹⁷

Systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) also probably plays a role in HRS.¹⁸ Although our study was not designed or powered to report the effect of terlipressin on SIRS directly, it has been postulated that terlipressin, by its vasoconstrictor effect on portal circulation, protects from bacterial translocation, endotoxemia, and subsequent pro-inflammatory cytokines. This effect probably facilitates and augments the response of terlipressin in patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis.¹⁹

A meta-analysis of seven trials (n = 345) showed a significant correlation between decrease in serum Cr with vasoconstrictor treatment and reduction in mortality.²⁰ Notably, various combinations of terlipressin, octreotide, midodrine, dopamine, norepinephrine, and placebo were included. In our analysis, we only compared terlipressin with placebo, allowing albumin in both groups, to establish efficacy and minimize heterogeneity.

Numerous studies reported advantage of terlipressin over other vasoconstrictors. One RCT compared terlipressin *versus* octreotide and showed that terlipressin led to more HRS reversal than octreotide (55% vs 20%, P = 0.01).²¹ Another RCT compared terlipressin *versus* octreotide and midodrine. This study showed that terlipressin and albumin were more effective in reversing renal failure (55.5% vs 4.8%, P < 0.001) and improving renal function in HRS (70.4% vs 28.6%, P = 0.01).²² Another study compared terlipressin *versus* norepinephrine in acute-on-chronic liver failure patients with HRS, it showed that terlipressin was superior to norepinephrine in HRS reversal (40% *vs* 16.7%, P = 0.004).²³

Although terlipressin improved kidney function and lead to significant HRS reversal, there was no survival benefit at 90 days compared with placebo. This is probably due to the high risk of other fatal complications unrelated to HRS, which necessitate liver transplantation.²⁴ Terlipressin only optimizes hemodynamics and subsequently improves renal function but does not eliminate the disturbed milieu in hepatic cirrhosis. Cirrhotic patients have inherently high mortality and suffer from other comorbidities that might culminate in death even after reversal of HRS. In addition, our study population have advanced hepatic disease on enrolment with mean MELD score of 33 \pm 6.

Lower disease stage at presentation (measured by MELD, or Child–Pugh scores), in addition to younger age, lower baseline Cr, and bilirubin were predictors of HRS reversal and better outcome. This was expected, as earlier presentation in younger patients with less comorbid conditions and more preserved hepatic and renal function usually lead to favorable disease course.

Terlipressin is well tolerated, with mostly gastrointestinal AE (nausea, diarrhea, abdominal cramps). Respiratory distress and failure, which were noted more with terlipressin, are probably due to pulmonary edema secondary to increase in preload (venoconstriction) and afterload (arterio-constriction).^{25,26} Cardiac dysrhythmias and ischemic events, as expected, were reported more with terlipressin than placebo group. Therefore,

terlipressin use should be limited to patients with advanced liver disease and should be used with caution in patients with limited cardiopulmonary reserve.

Our study is the most updated study including the recently published RCT by Wong et al.,⁷ we also included only RCT to exclude inherent observational studies biases and weaknesses. On the other hand, our study has some limitations. There is a heterogeneity regarding dosing and escalation protocol of terlipressin between studies. The same applies to albumin. Also, females are underrepresented in this analysis. Moreover, only three RCTs reported RRT outcome.

In conclusion, in patients with liver cirrhosis complicated by HRS, the use of terlipressin with albumin is associated with higher likelihood of HRS reversal, and decrease in serum Cr., than albumin alone. Terlipressin might cause gastrointestinal AE and worsening respiratory distress in certain patients. Terlipressin showed no survival benefit at 90 days.

References

- Salerno F, Gerbes A, Ginès P, Wong F, Arroyo V. Diagnosis, prevention and treatment of hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis. *Gut.* 2007; 56: 1310–18.
- 2 Rajekar H, Chawla Y. Terlipressin in hepatorenal syndrome: evidence for present indications. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2011; 26(Suppl 1): 109–14.
- 3 Papaluca T, Gow P. Terlipressin: Current and emerging indications in chronic liver disease. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2018; 33: 591–8.
- 4 European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of patients with decompensated cirrhosis. J. Hepatol. 2018; 69: 406–60. Epub 2018 Apr 10. Erratum in: J Hepatol. 2018 Nov;69(5):1207. PMID: 29653741. easloffice@ easloffice.eu.
- 5 Arroyo V, Ginès P, Gerbes AL *et al.* Definition and diagnostic criteria of refractory ascites and hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis. *Hepatology*. 1996; 23: 164–76.
- 6 Salerno F, Gerbes A, Ginès P, Wong F, Arroyo V. Diagnosis, prevention and treatment of hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis. *Postgrad. Med. J.* 2008; 84: 662–70.
- 7 Wong F, Pappas SC, Curry MP *et al.* Terlipressin plus albumin for the treatment of type 1 hepatorenal syndrome. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2021; 384: 818–28.
- 8 Wong F, Boyer TD, Sanyal AJ, Pappas SC, Escalante S, Jamil K. Reduction in acute kidney injury stage predicts survival in patients with type-1 hepatorenal syndrome. *Nephrol. Dial. Transpl.* 2020; 35: 1554–61.
- 9 Boyer TD, Sanyal AJ, Wong F *et al.* Terlipressin plus albumin is more effective than albumin alone in improving renal function in patients with cirrhosis and hepatorenal syndrome type 1. *Gastroenterology*. 2016; 150: 1579–1589.e2.

- 10 Martín-Llahí M, Pépin MN, Guevara M et al. Terlipressin and albumin vs. albumin in patients with cirrhosis and hepatorenal syndrome: a randomized study. *Gastroenterology*. 2008; **134**: 1352–9.
- 11 Neri S, Pulvirenti D, Malaguarnera M *et al.* Terlipressin and albumin in patients with cirrhosis and type I hepatorenal syndrome. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2008; **53**: 830–5.
- 12 Sanyal AJ, Boyer T, Garcia-Tsao G *et al*. A randomized, prospective, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of terlipressin for type 1 hepatorenal syndrome. *Gastroenterology*. 2008; **134**: 1360–8.
- 13 Silawat FNSM, Lohana RK, Devrajani BR, Devrajani BR, Shah S, Ansari A. Efficacy of terlipressin and albumin in the treatment of hepatorenal syndrome. *World Appl. Sci. J.* 2011; **12**: 1946–59.
- 14 Solanki P, Chawla A, Garg R, Gupta R, Jain M, Sarin SK. Beneficial effects of terlipressin in hepatorenal syndrome: a prospective, randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2003; 18: 152–6.
- 15 Wang H, Liu A, Bo W, Feng X, Hu Y. Terlipressin in the treatment of hepatorenal syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine*. 2018; 97: e0431.
- 16 Allegretti AS, Israelsen M, Krag A *et al*. Terlipressin versus placebo or no intervention for people with cirrhosis and hepatorenal syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2017; 6: CD005162.
- 17 Allegretti AS, Parada XV, Eneanya ND *et al.* Prognosis of patients with cirrhosis and AKI who initiate RRT. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2018; **13**: 16–25.
- 18 Adebayo D, Morabito V, Davenport A, Jalan R. Renal dysfunction in cirrhosis is not just a vasomotor nephropathy. *Kidney Int.* 2015; 87: 509–15.
- 19 Wong F, Pappas SC, Boyer TD *et al.* Terlipressin improves renal function and reverses hepatorenal syndrome in patients with systemic inflammatory response syndrome. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2017; 15: 266–272.e1.
- 20 Belcher JM, Coca SG, Parikh CR. Creatinine change on vasoconstrictors as mortality surrogate in hepatorenal syndrome: systematic review & meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2015; **10**: e0135625.
- 21 Copaci I, Micu L, Chiriac G. Reversal of type I hepato-renal syndrome with terlipressin and octreotide. J. Hepatol. 2016; 64: S660.
- 22 Cavallin M, Kamath PS, Merli M *et al.* Terlipressin plus albumin versus midodrine and octreotide plus albumin in the treatment of hepatorenal syndrome: A randomized trial. *Hepatology*. 2015; **62**: 567–74.
- 23 Arora V, Maiwall R, Rajan V *et al.* Terlipressin is superior to noradrenaline in the management of acute kidney injury in acute on chronic liver failure. *Hepatology*. 2020; 71: 600–10.
- 24 Reiberger T. When should we stop treatment with terlipressin and albumin for patients with hepatorenal syndrome? *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2018; **16**: 1710–11.
- 25 Krag A, Bendtsen F, Mortensen C, Henriksen JH, Møller S. Effects of a single terlipressin administration on cardiac function and perfusion in cirrhosis. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2010; 22: 1085–92.
- 26 Kalambokis GN, Pappas K, Tsianos EV. Terlipressin improves pulmonary pressures in cirrhotic patients with pulmonary hypertension and variceal bleeding or hepatorenal syndrome. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat. Dis. Int.* 2012; **11**: 434–7.