BMJ open

Soft drink intake and progression of radiographic knee osteoarthritis: data from the osteoarthritis initiative

Bing Lu,[1] Oneeb Ahmad,[2] Fang-Fang Zhang,[3] Jeffrey B Driban,[4] Jeffrey Duryea,[1] Kate L Lapane,[5] Timothy McAlindon,[4] Charles B Eaton[2,6]

[1]Brigham & Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
[2]Center for Primary Care and Prevention, Memorial Hospital of Rhode Island, Pawtucket, Rhode Island, USA
[3]Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Policy, Tuft University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
[4]Tufts Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
[5]University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts, USA
[6]Departments of Family Medicine and Epidemiology, The Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

Correspondence to
Dr Bing Lu;
blu1@partners.org

ABSTRACT

Objectives: We examine the prospective association of soft drink consumption with radiographic progression of knee osteoarthritis (OA).

Design: Prospective cohort study.

Setting: This study used data from the osteoarthritis initiative (OAI).

Participants: In OAI, 2149 participants with radiographic knee OA and having dietary data at baseline were followed up to 12, 24, 36 and 48 months.

Measures: The soft drink consumption was assessed with a Block Brief Food Frequency Questionnaire completed at baseline. To evaluate knee OA progression, we used quantitative medial tibiofemoral joint space width (JSW) based on plain radiographs. The multivariate linear models for repeated measures were used to test the independent association between soft drink intake and the change in JSW over time, while adjusting for body mass index and other potential confounding factors.

Results: In stratified analyses by gender, we observed a significant dose–response relationship between baseline soft drink intake and adjusted mean change of JSW in men. With increasing levels of soft drink intake (none, ≤1, 2–4 and ≥5 times/week), the mean decreases of JSW were 0.31, 0.39, 0.34 and 0.60 mm, respectively. When we further stratified by obesity, a stronger dose–response relationship was found in non-obese men. In obese men, only the highest soft drink level (≥5 times/week) was associated with increased change in JSW compared with no use. In women, no significant association was observed.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that frequent consumption of soft drinks may be associated with increased OA progression in men. Replication of these novel findings in other studies demonstrating the reduction in soft drink consumption leads to delay in OA progression is needed.

INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA), a slowly progressing disease characterised by pain, deformity and loss of function, is the major cause of physical

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus
- To examine the prospective association of soft drink consumption with radiographic progression of knee osteoarthritis (OA).
- To examine gender differences in effect of soft drink on OA progression.

Key messages
- There is a significant dose–response relationship between soft drink intake and radiographic knee OA progression in men, but not in women.
- A stronger relationship was found in non-obese men.

Strengths and limitations of this study
- The prospective design, large number of patients with knee OA and the quantitative measures of structural change from sophisticated image processing technology.
- Consistent findings using semiquantitative as well as quantitative measures of OA progression.
- Residual confounding may exist for this observational study.
- Soft drink consumption was based on self-report.

disability in older people.[1] Nearly 27 million have clinical OA in the USA.[2] With the aging of the population, the healthcare burden from OA is expected to increase dramatically during the next few decades.[3] However, little is known about the course of OA progression over time in patients with OA. It is, therefore, of great importance to identify modifiable risk factors for OA progression. Over the past few decades, many observational studies have examined risk factors for the incidence and progression of knee OA. Several risk factors (ie, obesity, joint injury and certain sports) have been found to be strongly associated with an increased risk for incident knee OA.[4 5] However, findings on risk factors for OA progression have been inconclusive. Except for the level of serum hyaluronic acid and generalised OA, no other risk factor has

been consistently associated with the risk of OA progression.[6] [7]

Soft drink consumption has increased rapidly across the globe in recent decades.[8] Sugar sweetened beverages intake is a significant contributor to weight gain and has been associated with increased risk of obesity, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease and poor bone health.[9–11] Soft drinks may displace essential nutrients and contribute to overall poorer diets,[12] while low consumption of vitamin D and antioxidant micronutrients may increase the risk for progression of knee OA.[13] [14] To our knowledge, no study has linked soft drink consumption to OA progression. We examined the prospective association between consumption of soft drinks and progression of knee OA using data from the osteoarthritis initiative (OAI).

METHODS
Subjects
OAI was launched in 2002 by the National Institute of Health to develop resources for the identification of new biomarkers and treatment targets for knee OA. The objective of the OAI was to pool public and private scientific expertise and funding to collect, analyse and make widely available the largest research resource to date of clinical data, radiological information and biospecimens from those at risk for or with knee OA. The OAI began enrolling people aged 45 through 79 years in 2004 and followed them annually for the development or progression of OA. The clinical sites involved were located in Baltimore, Maryland; Columbus, Ohio; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and Pawtucket, Rhode Island. OAI has been a longitudinal study of 4796 participants with either established knee OA or significant risk factors for the development of knee OA followed over an 8-year period.[15] The follow-up rate was >90% over the first 48 months. The detailed OAI protocol can be found elsewhere.[16]

For the current study, we included individuals with medial radiographic knee OA in at least one knee at baseline. We excluded knees with severe radiographic OA defined as the baseline Kellgren and Lawrence (KL) grade of 4 or those with primarily lateral joint space narrowing (JSN), and knees in which the difference of rim distance (from tibial plateau to tibial rim closest to femoral condyle) between follow-up and baseline visits were ≥2 mm to minimise possible measurement error of radiographic data. The 2149 participants (3066 knees) with KL grade of 2 or 3 and having dietary data at baseline constituted the study sample. Follow-up at 12, 24, 36 and 48 months were included in this analysis. The overall loss to follow-up rate was 16.8% over the study period.

Radiographic progression of OA
In OAI, current radiographic assessment techniques on plain radiographs involved semiquantitative as well as quantitative assessment of JSN. For the semiquantitative

approach, the Osteoarthritis Research Society International grade (OARSI grade: 0=no JSN, 1=definite JSN to 3=severe JSN) has been widely used to measure progression of OA.[17] For these analyses, we used the publicly available semiquantitative JSN readings (kXR_SQ_BU, V.11/07/2011, http://oai.epi-ucsf.org). Recently, a quantitative approach has been used to provide a precise measure of joint space width (JSW) in millimetres between the adjacent bones of the knee.[18] [19] Multiple JSWs were measured at fixed locations along the joint in medial compartment, denoted as JSW(x), at 0.025 intervals for x=0.15–0.30. The reproducibility of this technique and the responsiveness to change have been documented elsewhere,[18] [20] including one study using OAI data which demonstrated a responsiveness that compared favourably with MRI.[20] We used medial JSW at x=0.25 with the best responsiveness of change to quantify the progression of OA.[20] We define the repeated measures of the decreases of JSW from baseline to 12, 24, 36 and 48 months as the outcome variable.

Assessment of soft drink consumption
Usual dietary intakes of foods and nutrients including soft drink consumption were assessed at baseline with a Block Brief Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) including 60 food items in OAI.[21] The participants were asked how often they had consumed regular soft drinks/bottled drinks (not diet drinks) in the past 12 months (coded as: never, a few times/year, once/month, 2–3 times/month, once/week, twice/week, 3–4 times/week, 5–6 times/week and every day; variable name: V00FFQ69, http://oai.epi-ucsf.org). Based on previous studies[9–11] we grouped these into four categories: none, ≤1, 2–4 and ≥5 times/week. Similar questionnaires were used to collect the frequencies of other beverages intake, such as, milk, juice, tea and coffee, etc. This brief FFQ has been validated against three 4-day records in a group of middle-aged women, and against two 7-day records in a group of older men. The absolute value of macronutrients estimated by the reduced questionnaire was a slightly lower than food-record estimates, but most micronutrients were not underestimated.[21] [22]

Information on covariates
Baseline demographic and socioeconomic factors include race/ethnicity, age, sex, marital status, education level, employment status, annual income and social support. Individuals were classified as African-American, white or other racial/ethnic group based on self-report. Education level was categorised as high school or less, college and above college. General clinical parameters include current smoking, alcohol consumption, history of traumatic knee injury and knee surgery, self-reported gout, body mass index (BMI), physical activity, weight change, milk and juice intake, total energy intake and baseline disease severity (KL grade). Physical activity was assessed by using the Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE), an established questionnaire for

measuring physical activity in older individuals that has also been validated in younger participants.[23] [24] Alcohol consumption (pure alcohol in g/day) was assessed at baseline including separate items for beer, wine and liquor in OAI. In addition, we also adjusted for changes of the beam angles and rim distances (from tibial plateau to tibial rim closest to femoral condyle between follow-up visits and baseline) which indicate knee-positioning consistency for X-ray examination.

Statistical analysis

First we performed exploratory analyses of all variables of interest including the exposure (frequency of soft drink intake), radiographic structural measures (KL grade, JSN score and JSW) and potential confounders described above. Descriptive statistics such as the minimum, maximum, median and mean for each continuous variable and frequency table for each categorical variable was used to summarise the data as well as detect outliers, data entry mistakes and missing values.

The primary analysis was to assess the influence of soft drink consumption on the change in JSW over the study period. The primary outcomes were repeated measures of the JSW decreases from baseline to 12, 24, 36 and 48 months, respectively. The initial analyses were unadjusted comparisons of the changes of JSW over time among levels of soft drink intake using analysis of variance and multivariate analysis of variance. Then separate multivariate models for repeated measures by men and women were used to test the independent association between soft drink intake and the decrease in JSW over time, while adjusting for BMI, physical activity, baseline disease severity and potential confounding factors described above. Owing to the hierarchical structure of the data (each participant has two knees over multiple time points), we used general linear mixed models to account for within participant correlation. The final covariance models were evaluated using Akaike's information criterion and Bayesian information criterion. BMI has been an important factor related to soft drink intake as well as OA progression.[9] [25] To examine the possible effect modifications, we further performed stratified analyses by obesity (BMI$\geq$30 kg/m^2) and also adjusted BMI within each category to reduce the possible residual confounding bias. In addition, the association of soft drink consumption with JSW change may also be mediated through BMI. The indirect effect of BMI was evaluated using Sobel test[26]

In addition, we also used the first increase of the OARSI JSN grade as the endpoint. We developed a Cox proportional hazards model to assess independent association between soft drink intake and the JSN score change after controlling for other covariates. For each participant, the time of follow-up was calculated from the baseline date to the date of the first increase of JSN grade, death or end of the study, whichever came first. The discrete likelihood method was used for ties of the failure times in the models. We used a robust sandwich covariance estimate to account for the intraclass dependence within individual patients.[27] Participants who indicated no soft drink consumption in the past year were chosen as the reference group for all analyses. Adjusted HRs with 95% CIs were used to evaluate the strength of the associations. The proportional hazard assumption was tested based on the smoothed plots of the scaled Schoenfeld residuals. Data analyses were performed using SAS V.9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

RESULTS

In this study, we studied 2149 participants from OAI having a total of 3066 eligible knees. All categories of soft drink intake were represented in participants at baseline (none, n=687; $\leq$1 times/week, n=976; 2–4 times/week, n=285; $\geq$5 times/week, n=201). Baseline characteristics of participants are shown in table 1 according to levels of soft drink intake. Compared with no soft drink use, high soft drink users were more likely to be men, between 45 and 54 years, not married, not employed, current smokers and have lower education and household income and higher BMI.

Results of multivariable analyses are shown in table 2 in men and women. We observed a significant dose–response relationship in men between soft drink intake and adjusted mean decreases of JSW in men (p trend <0.001) after controlling for age, race, education, marital status, household income, employment, BMI, physical activity, follow-up time, knee injury and knee surgery, smoking, milk and juice intake, total energy intake, baseline KL grade, weight change, the changes of rim distance and beam angle. With increasing levels of soft drink intake (none, $\leq$1, 2–4, and $\geq$5 times/ week), the mean decreases of JSW were 0.31, 0.39, 0.34 and 0.60 mm, respectively. When we stratified by obesity, a stronger dose–response relationship was found (decreases of JSW were 0.24, 0.38, 0.32, 0.62, respectively) in non-obese men. In obese men, only the highest soft drink level ($\geq$5 times/week) was associated with increased JSW change compared with no use. The effect of soft drink consumption was different between men and women (p for interaction=0.003). No significant association was observed in women. Table 3 shows the multivariable adjusted HR of OA progression evaluated by time to the first increase of semiquantitative OARSI score. Consistent with JSW analyses, we found that the increasing soft drink intake was associated with the increasing rate of OA progression in men but not in women. Compared with no soft drink intake, the HR for $\leq$1, 2–4 and $\geq$5 times/week were 1.56 (95% CI 1.13 to 2.16), 1.55 (95% CI 1.02 to 2.35) and 2.05 (95% CI 1.32 to 3.19), respectively, in men (p trend=0.002). When we stratified by obesity, a dose–response relationship was observed in non-obese as well as obese men. The HRs were 2.30 (95% CI 1.22 to 4.34) and 1.90 (95% CI 1.03 to 3.52), respectively, with the soft drink intake $\geq$5

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of participants with radiographic knee osteoarthritis according to levels of soft drink intake

Variables	Total N=2149	Soft drink intake (times/week)				p Value
		None, n=687	≤1, n=976	2–4, n=285	≥5, n=201	
Age (years), mean (SD)	62.4 (9.0)	63.9 (8.9)	62.7 (8.8)	61.6 (9.1)	58.0 (8.0)	<0.001
Men (%)	41.32	29.40	44.98	49.12	53.23	<0.001
Race/ethnicity (%)						
Non-hispanic White	76.04	83.70	77.36	62.46	62.69	
Non-hispanic Black	20.75	12.95	19.36	34.74	34.33	
Others	3.21	3.35	3.28	2.81	2.99	<0.001
Education (%)						
≤High school	18.44	14.12	17.21	27.72	26.00	
College	45.90	46.00	46.31	44.56	45.50	
Above college	35.66	39.88	36.48	27.72	28.50	<0.001
Household income (%)						
<25k	15.17	11.51	15.04	18.42	23.56	
25k–49k	27.85	27.06	27.77	31.20	26.18	
50k–99k	36.00	37.17	36.99	34.96	28.80	
100k+	20.98	24.26	20.20	15.41	21.47	<0.001
Married (%)	65.02	64.77	67.90	59.65	59.50	0.075
Employed (%)	58.21	53.31	57.89	58.60	69.15	0.002
Smoke (%)						
Never	54.07	51.53	53.79	59.65	56.22	
Current	6.38	4.51	5.43	7.02	16.42	
Past	39.55	43.96	40.78	33.33	27.36	<0.001
Alcohol (g/day)						
0–<5	66.08	63.75	66.19	68.77	69.65	
5–<10	10.80	11.21	10.45	12.98	7.96	
10+	23.12	25.04	23.36	18.25	22.39	0.056
PASE, mean (SD)	157 (82)	147 (77)	161 (82)	165 (90)	163 (83)	0.001
BMI (kg/m^2) (%)						
<25	15.68	20.23	16.09	9.82	6.47	
25–29	38.39	36.83	39.34	40.70	35.82	
30+	45.93	42.94	44,57	49.47	57.71	<0.001
Gout (%)	3.63	2.77	4.00	5.26	2.49	0.447
KL grade (index knee) (%)						
2	63.47	65.07	63.52	57.89	65.67	
3	36.53	34.93	36.48	42.11	34.33	<0.001
Milk (times/week)						
None	17.19	22.27	12.62	17.31	21.89	
≤1	30.52	28.09	32.41	29.33	31.34	
2–4	21.39	20.52	22.87	20.49	18.41	
≥5	30.89	29.11	32.10	32.86	28.36	0.657

BMI, body mass index; KL, Kellgren-Lawrence Scale; PASE, Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly score.

times/week compared with no intake. However, no significant association was found in women. In addition, our mediation analysis indicated a modest (3.2%) indirect effect through BMI (p for Sobel test=0.098). In sensitivity analyses, additional adjustment for alcohol consumption and a history of gout in the above models did not change the results.

DISCUSSION

In this 48-month follow-up study of people with radiographic knee OA, we found a positive association with a significant dose–response relationship between soft drink consumption and structural progression of knee OA measured by semiquantitative as well as quantitative JSN independent of BMI and other potential risk factors in men, but not in women.

Knee OA progression has been thought to involve multiple mechanisms besides cartilage loss including changes in bone composition, shape, as well as proprioception,[28 29] which might be subject to the influences of macro and micronutrients in the diet. McAlindon et al[14] reported that a higher consumption of antioxidant micronutrients, especially vitamin C, might decrease cartilage loss and OA progression, and low vitamin D intake and low serum vitamin D levels might increase the risk for progression of knee OA.[13] However, no study investigated the association of soft drink consumption and progression of OA. Sugar sweetened beverages intake is a significant contributor to weight gain

Table 2 Adjusted mean (SE) decreases of joint space width (JSW) by soft drink intake*

Soft drinks (times/week)	Men				Women			
	N	ΔJSW (mm)*	p Value	p trend	N	ΔJSW (mm)*	p Value	p trend
Overall								
None	202	0.31 (0.03)	Referent		485	0.32 (0.02)	Referent	
≤1	439	0.39 (0.02)	0.055		537	0.33 (0.02)	0.626	
2–4	140	0.34 (0.04)	0.540		145	0.36 (0.04)	0.331	
≥5	107	0.60 (0.05)	<0.001	<0.001	94	0.30 (0.05)	0.751	0.756
Non-obese								
None	113	0.24 (0.04)	Referent		279	0.30 (0.03)	Referent	
≤1	266	0.38 (0.03)	0.010		277	0.30 (0.03)	0.946	
2–4	85	0.32 (0.05)	0.236		60	0.35 (0.06)	0.395	
≥5	53	0.62 (0.07)	<0.001	<0.001	32	0.30 (0.07)	0.955	0.681
Obese								
None	89	0.40 (0.05)	Referent		206	0.34 (0.03)	Referent	
≤1	173	0.41 (0.03)	0.993		260	0.37 (0.03)	0.471	
2–4	55	0.38 (0.06)	0.749		85	0.38 (0.05)	0.518	
≥5	54	0.57 (0.06)	0.032	0.064	62	0.33 (0.06)	0.900	0.829

*Adjusted for age, race, education, marital status, household income, employment, follow-up time, body mass index, knee injury and knee surgery, smoking, milk and juice intake, total energy intake, baseline Kellgren-Lawrence grade and joint space width (JSW), weight change, the changes of rim distance and beam angle.

and has been associated with increased risk of obesity, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease and poor bone health.[10 11 30] Nevertheless, the biological mechanism for soft drinks in the progression of OA remains unclear. One explanation for the observed findings is that soft drinks may substitute for healthy diet. Soft drink consumption may be associated with decreased intakes of protein, milk and dairy products, fruit juice, fruit and a variety of vitamins and nutrients.[12 31] One study reported a negative association between soft drink consumption and an overall healthy eating index.[32] However, in our analysis, the observed effects remained after adjustment for milk and juice intake supports the likelihood that this is not only due to displacement of other healthy beverages in the diet. We considered the extent to which the sugar in soft drinks leads to OA progression. To further evaluate this, we evaluated the relation between fruit juice consumption and OA progression. Fruit juice consumption was not associated with OA progression in our study (results are not shown). It is possible that vitamins, minerals, soluble fibre and phytochemicals in fruit juices may have

Table 3 Soft drink consumption and rate of OA progression measured by the change of medial compartment joint space narrowing score (JSN, OARSI grades 0–3)

Soft drinks (times/week)	Men			Women		
	N	HR (95% CI)	p trend	N	HR (95% CI)	p trend
Overall						
None	202	Referent		485	Referent	
≤1	439	1.56 (1.13 to 2.16)		537	0.80 (0.62 to 1.02)	
2–4	140	1.55 (1.02 to 2.35)		145	1.09 (0.77 to 1.54)	
≥5	107	2.05 (1.32 to 3.19)	0.002	94	0.81 (0.52 to 1.26)	0.539
Non-obese						
None	113	Referent		279	Referent	
≤1	266	1.66 (1.05 to 2.63)		277	0.71 (0.49 to 1.03)	
2–4	85	1.45 (0.80 to 2.60)		60	1.03 (0.59 to 1.80)	
≥5	53	2.30 (1.22 to 4.34)	0.025	32	0.86 (0.41 to 1.84)	0.559
Obese						
None	89	Referent		206	Referent	
≤1	173	1.58 (0.99 to 2.52)		260	0.88 (0.63 to 1.25)	
2–4	55	1.77 (0.96 to 3.28)		85	1.17 (0.74 to 1.84)	
≥5	54	1.90 (1.03 to 3.52)	0.033	62	0.87 (0.50 to 1.52)	0.965

*Adjusted for age, race, education, marital status, household income, employment, follow-up time, BMI, knee injury and knee surgery, smoking, milk and juice intake, total energy intake, baseline KL grade.
BMI, body mass index; KL, Kellgren-Lawrence JSN, joint space narrowing; OA, osteoarthritis; OARSI, Osteoarthritis Research Society International.

beneficial effects counterbalancing potential adverse effects of sugars.

Previous studies demonstrated that weight gain and obesity may increase risk of joint space loss, suggestive of cartilage loss, as visualised on radiographs,[7] [33] [34] though these findings are not universally reported. Nevertheless, our mediation analysis indicated that the indirect effect through BMI was modest and the association between soft drinks and OA progression remained after adjustment for BMI, weight change and total energy intake suggestive of independent effects of soft drinks. Soft drinks may contain phosphoric acid, which was shown to interfere with calcium absorption and to contribute to imbalances that lead to additional loss of calcium.[35] It has also been suggested that the high fructose corn syrup used to sweeten carbonated beverages may negatively affect bone.[36] Long-term effects of soft drinks on OA have not been studied in experimental settings so far, and further research is warranted.

Sex differences have been noted in the prevalence, incidence and severity of OA for many years.[37] Faber et al[38] found cartilage thickness of the distal femur to be less in women than in men. Other evidences suggested a protective effect of exogenous oestrogen on cartilage and bone turnover.[39] However, the gender differences in the relationship of soft drink consumption with OA progression are not understood. We found a stronger association between soft drink consumption and JSW change in non-obese men than in obese men. One possible reason is that the effect of soft drink consumption may not be strong enough to provide additional effect beyond obesity.

The strengths of this study include the prospective design, large number of patients with knee OA and the state-of-the-art quantitative measures of structural change from sophisticated image processing technology. The quantitative software-based assessment provides a more precise measure of JSW in millimetres and permits the assessor to document appreciable change in JSW in the tibiofemoral compartment[18] [19] In contrast, the semiquantitative approach, for example, the KL grading or the OARSI score, has limitations that lead to insensitivity to changes in status.[40] The consistent findings from quantitative as well as semiquantitative measures of OA progression increase the reliability of the study. In addition, we excluded knees in which the difference of rim distance between follow-up and baseline visits ≥ 2 mm and adjusted for changes of rim distance and beam angle in the multivariate models to minimise the possible measurement error of radiographic data.

Owing to the observational nature of the study, patients were not randomly assigned to soft drink groups. We cannot prove that the observed associations are causal because residual confounding could theoretically affect the observed associations. We controlled for potential confounding by most known risk factors that are plausibly associated with soft drink consumption and changes in these variables over time. However, adjustment for baseline covariates may not completely remove the confounding influence. For example, effect of BMI may be lagged, and the cumulative exposure to overweight/obesity may not be perfectly correlated with baseline BMI. Imprecise dietary measurement could potentially have influenced our observed associations. However, random errors in dietary assessment measures might have accounted for a lack of association but not the reverse. Regarding physical activity, PASE can potentially capture all types of activities and allow grading by intensity for elderly. However, questionnaires have obvious weaknesses considering recall and reporting bias. Also PASE may not be sufficient for assessing PA levels and intensity in younger patients with OA.[41]

In conclusion, our study suggested that frequent consumption of sweetened soft drinks may be associated with increased OA progression in men. Replication of these novel findings in other prospective studies demonstrating the reduction in soft drink consumption leads to delay in OA progression are needed to test this hypothesis.

REFERENCES

1. Dunlop DD, Manheim LM, Yelin EH, et al. The costs of arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;49:101–13.
2. Lawrence RC, Felson DT, Helmick CG, et al. Estimates of the prevalence of arthritis and other rheumatic conditions in the United States. Part II. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;58:26–35.
3. Reginster JY. The prevalence and burden of arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2002;41(Supp 1):3–6.
4. Felson DT. An update on the pathogenesis and epidemiology of osteoarthritis. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2004;42:1–9, v.
5. Garstang SV, Stitik TP. Osteoarthritis: epidemiology, risk factors, and pathophysiology. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2006;85(Suppl 11):S2–11; quiz S12–14.

6. Zhang Y, Niu J, Felson DT, *et al*. Methodologic challenges in studying risk factors for progression of knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2010;62:1527–32.

7. Felson DT, Goggins J, Niu J, *et al*. The effect of body weight on progression of knee osteoarthritis is dependent on alignment. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:3904–9.

8. Nielsen SJ, Popkin BM. Changes in beverage intake between 1977 and 2001. *Am J Prev Med* 2004;27:205–10.

9. Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, *et al*. Sugar-sweetened beverages, obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular disease risk. *Circulation* 2010;121:1356–64.

10. Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, *et al*. Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:2477–83.

11. Wyshak G. Teenaged girls, carbonated beverage consumption, and bone fractures. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2000;154:610–13.

12. Vartanian LR, Schwartz MB, Brownell KD. Effects of soft drink consumption on nutrition and health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Public Health* 2007;97:667–75.

13. McAlindon TE, Felson DT, Zhang Y, *et al*. Relation of dietary intake and serum levels of vitamin D to progression of osteoarthritis of the knee among participants in the Framingham Study. *Ann Intern Med* 1996;125:353–9.

14. McAlindon TE, Jacques P, Zhang Y, *et al*. Do antioxidant micronutrients protect against the development and progression of knee osteoarthritis? *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39:648–56.

15. Simard JF, Karlson EW, Costenbader KH, *et al*. Perinatal factors and adult-onset lupus. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;59:1155–61.

16. The osteoarthritis initiative protocol for the cohort study. http://oai. epi-.csf.org/datarelease/docs/StudyDesignProtocol.pdf (accessed 25 Apr 2012).

17. Guermazi A, Hunter DJ, Roemer FW. Plain radiography and magnetic resonance imaging diagnostics in osteoarthritis: validated staging and scoring. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2009;91(Suppl 1):54–62.

18. Duryea J, Zaim S, Genant HK. New radiographic-based surrogate outcome measures for osteoarthritis of the knee. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2003;11:102–10.

19. Sharp JT, Angwin J, Boers M, *et al*. Computer based methods for measurement of joint space width: update of an ongoing OMERACT project. *J Rheumatol* 2007;34:874–83.

20. Duryea J, Neumann G, Niu J, *et al*. Comparison of radiographic joint space width with magnetic resonance imaging cartilage morphometry: analysis of longitudinal data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2010;62:932–7.

21. Block G, Hartman AM, Naughton D. A reduced dietary questionnaire: development and validation. *Epidemiology* 1990;1:58–64.

22. Block G, Hartman AM, Dresser CM, *et al*. A data-based approach to diet questionnaire design and testing. *Am J Epidemiol* 1986;124:453–69.

23. Washburn RA, Smith KW, Jette AM, *et al*. The Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE): development and evaluation. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1993;46:153–62.

24. Johansen KL, Painter P, Kent-Braun JA, *et al*. Validation of questionnaires to estimate physical activity and functioning in end-stage renal disease. *Kidney Int* 2001;59:1121–7.

25. Issa SN, Sharma L. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis: an update. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2006;8:7–15.

26. Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1986;51:1173–82.

27. Lin DY. Cox regression analysis of multivariate failure time data: the marginal approach. *Stat Med* 1994;13:2233–47.

28. Yusuf E, Kortekaas MC, Watt I, *et al*. Do knee abnormalities visualised on MRI explain knee pain in knee osteoarthritis? A systematic review. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:60–7.

29. Driban JB, Lo GH, Lee JY, *et al*. Quantitative bone marrow lesion size in osteoarthritic knees correlates with cartilage damage and predicts longitudinal cartilage loss. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2011;12:217.

30. Tucker KL. Dietary intake and bone status with aging. *Curr Pharm Des* 2003;9:2687–704.

31. Marshall TA, Eichenberger Gilmore JM, Broffitt B, *et al*. Diet quality in young children is influenced by beverage consumption. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2005;24:65–75.

32. Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Garcia EL, Gorgojo L, *et al*. Consumption of bakery products, sweetened soft drinks and yoghurt among children aged 6–7 years: association with nutrient intake and overall diet quality. *Br J Nutr* 2003;89:419–29.

33. Wolfe F, Lane NE. The long term outcome of osteoarthritis: rates and predictors of joint space narrowing in symptomatic patients with knee osteoarthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2002;29:139–46.

34. Dougados M, Gueguen A, Nguyen M, *et al*. Longitudinal radiologic evaluation of osteoarthritis of the knee. *J Rheumatol* 1992;19:378–84.

35. Amato D, Maravilla A, Montoya C, *et al*. Acute effects of soft drink intake on calcium and phosphate metabolism in immature and adult rats. *Rev Invest Clin* 1998;50:185–9.

36. Milne DB, Nielsen FH. The interaction between dietary fructose and magnesium adversely affects macromineral homeostasis in men. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2000;19:31–7.

37. Srikanth VK, Fryer JL, Zhai G, *et al*. A meta-analysis of sex differences prevalence, incidence and severity of osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2005;13:769–81.

38. Faber SC, Eckstein F, Lukasz S, *et al*. Gender differences in knee joint cartilage thickness, volume and articular surface areas: assessment with quantitative three-dimensional MR imaging. *Skeletal Radiol* 2001;30:144–50.

39. Linn S, Murtaugh B, Casey E. Role of sex hormones in the development of osteoarthritis. *Pm R* 2012;4(Suppl 5):S169–173.

40. Altman RD, Gold GE. Atlas of individual radiographic features in osteoarthritis, revised. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2007;15(Suppl A):A1–56.

41. Ida Svege I, Kolle E, Risberg MA. Reliability and validity of the Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE) in patients with hip osteoarthritis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2012;13:26.