

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *JACC Adv.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 June 24.

Published in final edited form as:

JACC Adv. 2023 December ; 2(10): . doi:10.1016/j.jacadv.2023.100714.

Pregnancy After Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection: Counseling Patients Who Intend Future Pregnancy

Marysia S. Tweet, MD, MS^a, Sharonne N. Hayes, MD^a, Anna Beatrice G. Grimaldo, BS^b, Carl H. Rose, MD^c

^aDepartment of Cardiovascular Medicine, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota, USA;

^bMayo Clinic Alix School of Medicine, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota, USA;

^cDivision of Maternal Fetal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota, USA.

Keywords

acute coronary syndrome; fibromuscular dysplasia; myocardial infarction; pregnancy; spontaneous coronary artery dissection; women

BACKGROUND

Spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) is an uncommon but increasingly recognized etiology of acute coronary syndrome and cardiac death in the premenopausal female population.

Female sex hormones likely influence SCAD, although the exact pathophysiologic mechanisms are not presently understood.^{1,2} Specifically, most SCAD patients are women, SCAD events are associated with both the pregnant and postpartum states, and a subgroup of SCAD patients experience angina that correlates with menses.^{1,2} Consequently, after a diagnosis of SCAD, women of childbearing age are routinely counseled to avoid future pregnancy, recognizing that this can be a devastating recommendation for younger women who intend to have children or conceive unintentionally.

RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION TO AVOID PREGNANCY

Based on historical epidemiological data, our clinical practice has generally been to discourage subsequent pregnancy, consistent with the 2018 American Heart Association

THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY-NC-ND LICENSE (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr Marysia S. Tweet, Department of Cardiovascular Diseases, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, 200 First Street SW, Rochester, Minnesota 55905, USA. tweet.marysia@mayo.edu.

The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the Author Center.

Tweet et al.

this includes a higher prevalence of multivessel disease, left main involvement, and cardiac failure.^{5–7} Concurrent fibromuscular dysplasia, common among SCAD patients, may confer additional obstetrical risks of gestational hypertension (25%), pre-eclampsia (7.5%), and preterm delivery (20%).⁸

However, the data supporting the recommendation to avoid pregnancy—which is based on level III evidence—remain limited. Three studies in the published literature describe a total of 78 pregnancies in 59 patients after SCAD (Table 1)^{6,7,9}; many of these mothers were older (median age 38 years), with normal or near-normal left ventricular function, and 36% had a prior pregnancy-associated SCAD. From a cardiovascular perspective, most subsequent pregnancies were uncomplicated; however, 5 of the 59 women (8%; 6% of 78 pregnancies) experienced recurrent SCAD myocardial infarction within a year postpartum. Collectively, these findings suggest that while the majority of women may tolerate pregnancy after SCAD, it is not without a degree of risk. Furthermore, generalizability of the existing published series to the entire spectrum of SCAD patients who are of reproductive age is uncertain.

OUR APPROACH TO WOMEN WHO BECOME PREGNANT AFTER SCAD

Some women who have recovered from SCAD express a desire for continued childbearing. In these situations, we have suggested the option of adoption to obviate potential maternal and fetal risks. However, not all patients find this an acceptable alternative. For patients referred to our practice expressing an interest in pregnancy or already pregnant, we engage a multidisciplinary Pregnancy Heart Team to comprehensively evaluate their current status to determine candidacy for pregnancy and counsel accordingly; ideally, this occurs prior to conception.

During these visits, details of the patient's cardiac and obstetric history, imaging assessment of arteriopathy elsewhere in the circulatory system, and contemporary echocardiographic cardiac function are carefully assessed. Patients are advised to wait at least 1 year after myocardial infarction prior to proceeding with a pregnancy and ideally have recovered ventricular systolic function without residual cardiopulmonary symptoms. Exercise stress studies with concurrent cardiac and/or coronary imaging are administered to patients with reduced ventricular function or persistent cardiopulmonary symptoms to refine individual risk profiles. Results are collectively interpreted in the context of anticipated physiologic circulatory demands a pregnancy would impose, at times objectively adding further reason to avoid future pregnancy. The medication list is also reviewed with a preference to continue beta-blockers and medication modification if necessary if the patient is hypertensive. Medications are adjusted as necessary to avoid teratogenic exposures. Minimal data exist Tweet et al.

If a woman elects to conceive, routine prenatal care is initiated during the first trimester including monitoring blood pressure, with early ultrasound to determine fetal viability, number, and establish gestational age. Baseline maternal echocardiography is performed at 8 to 12 weeks gestation. Fetal anatomic survey ultrasound is performed at 18 to 20 weeks, with empiric imaging every 4 weeks thereafter to monitor fetal growth. Repeat maternal echocardiography with cardiology and anesthesiology consultations are obtained at 30 to 32 weeks, followed by multidisciplinary review by the Pregnancy Heart Team (cardiology, anesthesiology, obstetrics) at 32 to 34 weeks to review timing and method of delivery, in conjunction with ancillary resource contingencies. Depending on maternal status, delivery is usually recommended at 39 to 40 weeks, ideally at a level III/IV maternal care center¹⁰ with immediate access to a catheterization laboratory. The method of delivery is typically dictated by standard obstetrical indications, with preference for planned vaginal delivery.

During labor, we have recommended early administration of neuraxial anesthesia to reduce the catecholamine-mediated tachycardia in response to pain, with permissive descent (delayed Valsalva) in the second stage of labor. Obstetrical management is otherwise straightforward, with avoidance of terbutaline and methylergonovine to reduce provoking tachycardia and coronary arterial vasospasm, respectively. Presuming uncomplicated antepartum and intrapartum courses, patients are transferred to the routine postpartum floor, with prompt evaluation should any cardiopulmonary symptoms occur. Lactation appears to be permissible, except in the subset of patients in whom this precipitates anginal symptomatology. Following discharge, return evaluations are scheduled with both obstetrics and cardiology to review clinical status, exam, and monitor blood pressure, with medication adjustment as needed.

CONCLUSIONS

Emerging experiential data regarding a subgroup of women with a history of SCAD who have tolerated subsequent pregnancy without recurrence is cautiously encouraging; however, we do not yet have reliable factors to predict SCAD recurrence. Our current practice remains to counsel all women with a history of SCAD who are of childbearing age to avoid future pregnancies, cognizant this advice is based on observations of severe pregnancy-associated SCAD and SCAD recurrence.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge Drs Sahar Naderi and David Adlam for sharing additional details regarding the Chen et al^6 and Chan et al^7 cohorts, respectively, for the Table 1.

FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

Dr Tweet is supported by the NIH NHLBI K23HL155506 Award. The authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

REFERENCES

- Hayes SN, Kim ESH, Saw J, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: current state of the science: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2018;137(19):e523–e557. [PubMed: 29472380]
- 2. Tweet MS, Miller VM, Hayes SN. The evidence on estrogen, progesterone, and spontaneous coronary artery dissection. JAMA Cardiol. 2019;4(5): 403–404. [PubMed: 30969320]
- Saw J, Starovoytov A, Aymong E, et al. Canadian spontaneous coronary artery dissection cohort study: 3-year outcomes. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022;80(17):1585–1597. [PubMed: 36265953]
- Persu A, Adlam D, Olin JW. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: lower than expected recurrence rate in a large prospective Canadian cohort. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022;80(17):1598–1600. [PubMed: 36265954]
- Tweet MS, Hayes SN, Codsi E, Gulati R, Rose CH, Best PJM. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection associated with pregnancy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;70(4):426–435. [PubMed: 28728686]
- Chen S, Merchant M, Mahrer KN, Ambrosy AP, Lundstrom RJ, Naderi S. Pregnancy-associated spontaneous coronary artery dissection: clinical characteristics, outcomes, and risk during subsequent pregnancy. J Invasive Cardiol. 2021;33(6): E457–E466. [PubMed: 34001675]
- Chan N, Premawardhana D, Al-Hussaini A, et al. Pregnancy and spontaneous coronary artery dissection: lessons from survivors and nonsurvivors. Circulation. 2022;146(1):69–72. [PubMed: 35656808]
- 8. Pappaccogli M, Prejbisz A, Ciuric S, et al. Pregnancy-related complications in patients with fibromuscular dysplasia. Hypertension. 2020;76(2): 545–553. [PubMed: 32639884]
- Tweet MS, Young KA, Best PJM, et al. Association of pregnancy with recurrence of spontaneous coronary artery dissection among women with prior coronary artery dissection. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(9):e2018170. [PubMed: 32965500]
- Kilpatrick SJ, Menard MK, Zahn CM, et al. Obstetric care consensus #9: levels of maternal care: (replaces obstetric care consensus number 2, February 2015). Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2019;134: e41–e55.

TABLE 1

Summary of Published Series of Women With Pregnancy After SCAD

	Chen et al, 2021	1 weet et al, 2020	Chan et al, 2022	Cumulative
Total women with pregnancy after SCAD	8	23	28	59
Total pregnancies	6	32	37	78
Maternal age, y	37 (35–42)	38 (34–40)	NR^{d}	38 (35-41)
History of P-SCAD	2/8 (25)	7/23 (30)	12/28 (43)	21/59 (36)
FMD	2/8 (25)	6/23 (26)	4/28~(14)b	12/59 (20)
LVEF	Not reduced	54%	$\operatorname{NR}^{\mathcal{C}}$	
Time in months to conception	24 (30)	18 (11)	30 (25.5)	
Median gestational age at delivery, wk	37.7 <i>d</i>	NR^d	39	
Miscarriage	(0) 6/0	11/32 (34)	7/37 (19)	18/78 (23)
Termination	2/9 (22)	1/32 (3)	3/37 (8)	6/78 (8)
Vaginal delivery	6/7 (86)	12/20 (60)	16/27 (59)	34/54 (63)
C-section	1/7 (14)	8/20 (40)	11/27 (41)	20/54 (37)
Breast feeding	6/7 (86)	15/20 (75)	16/27 (59) ^e	37/54 (69)
Recurrent SCAD ACS within 12 mo of pregnancy	1/9 (11)	1/32 (3)	3/37 (8)	5/78 (6)
Time to follow-up after pregnancy, y	$6(1.9–8.13)^f$	4.3 (2.4–8.9)	NR	
MACE	2/8 (25)	2/23 (9)	3/28 (11)	7/59 (12)
Death	0	0	0	0

JACC Adv. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 June 24.

 a Median (IQR) age at SCAD diagnosis in this cohort was 36 (6) years.

 \boldsymbol{b} Prevalence of extracoronary arteriopathy; data are missing for 1 patient.

cAmong 24 patients (31/37 pregnancies), the left ventricular ejection fraction for 2 patients was below 50% at the time of conception (43% and 46%).

 $d_{\rm All}$ but 1 patient in each cohort was to term at the time of delivery.

 e Data missing for 2 patients.

fFollow-up not reported for 2 patients.

Author Manuscript

ACS = acute coronary syndrome; FMD = fibromuscular dysplasia; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; MACE = major adverse cardiovascular events; NR = not reported; P-SCAD = pregnancy-associated SCAD; SCAD = spontaneous coronary artery dissection.