



# **Deciphering the Role of the rs2651899, rs10166942, and rs11172113 Polymorphisms in Migraine: A Meta-Analysis**

Vasileios Siokas <sup>1,†</sup>, Ioannis Liampas <sup>1,†</sup>, Athina-Maria Aloizou <sup>1</sup>, Maria Papasavva <sup>2</sup>, Christos Bakirtzis <sup>3</sup>, Eleftherios Lavdas <sup>4,5</sup>, Panagiotis Liakos <sup>6</sup>, Nikolaos Drakoulis <sup>2</sup>, Dimitrios P. Bogdanos <sup>7</sup>, and Efthimios Dardiotis <sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Neurogenetics, Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Larissa, Faculty of Medicine, School of Health Sciences, University of Thessaly, 41100 Larissa, Greece; vsiokas@med.uth.gr (V.S.); liampasioannes@gmail.com (I.L.); aaloizou@med.uth.gr (A.-M.A.)
- <sup>2</sup> Research Group of Clinical Pharmacology and Pharmacogenomics, Faculty of Pharmacy, School of Health Sciences, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis Zografou, 15771 Athens, Greece; mariapapa@pharm.uoa.gr (M.P.); drakoulis@pharm.uoa.gr (N.D.)
- <sup>3</sup> B' Department of Neurology, AHEPA University Hospital, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54636 Thessaloniki, Greece; bakirtzischristos@yahoo.gr
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of West Attica, 12243 Athens, Greece; llavdas@uniwa.gr
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Medical Imaging, Animus Kyanoys Larisas Hospital, 41222 Larissa, Greece
- <sup>6</sup> Laboratory of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Thessaly, 41100 Larissa, Greece; pliakos@med.uth.gr
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Rheumatology and clinical Immunology, University General Hospital of Larissa, Faculty of Medicine, School of Health Sciences, University of Thessaly, Viopolis, 40500 Larissa, Greece; bogdanos@uth.gr
- Correspondence: edar@med.uth.gr; Tel.: +30-241-350-1137
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: The genetic basis of migraine is rather complex. The rs2651899 in the PR/SET domain 16 (PRDM16) gene, the rs10166942 near the transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily M member 8 (TRPM8) gene, and the rs11172113 in the LDL receptor-related protein 1 (LRP1) gene, have been associated with migraine in a genome-wide association study (GWAS). However, data from subsequent studies examining the role of these variants and their relationship with migraine remain inconclusive. The aim of the present study was to meta-analyze the published data assessing the role of these polymorphisms in migraine, migraine with aura (MA), and migraine without aura (MO). We performed a search in the PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Public Health Genomics and Precision Health Knowledge Base (v7.7) databases. In total, eight, six, and six studies were included in the quantitative analysis, for the rs2651899, rs10166942, and rs11172113, respectively. Cochran's Q and I2 tests were used to calculate the heterogeneity. The random effects (RE) model was applied when high heterogeneity was observed; otherwise, the fixed effects (FE) model was applied. The odds ratios (ORs) and the respective 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to estimate the effect of each variant on migraine. Funnel plots were created to graphically assess publication bias. A significant association was revealed for the CC genotype of the rs2651899, with the overall migraine group (RE model OR: 1.32; 95% CI: 1.02–1.73; *p*-value = 0.04) and the MA subgroup (FE model OR: 1.40; 95% CI: 1.12–1.74; *p*-value = 0.003). The rs10166942 CT genotype was associated with increased migraine risk (FE model OR: 1.36; 95% CI: 1.18–1.57; p-value < 0.0001) and increased MO risk (FE model OR: 1.41; 95% CI: 1.17–1.69; *p*-value = 0.0003). No association was detected for the rs11172113. The rs2651899 and the rs10166942 have an effect on migraine. Larger studies are needed to dissect the role of these variants in migraine.

**Keywords:** migraine; headache; rs2651899; rs10166942; rs11172113; genetics; polymorphism; PRDM16; TRPM8; LPR1



Citation: Siokas, V.; Liampas, I.; Aloizou, A.-M.; Papasavva, M.; Bakirtzis, C.; Lavdas, E.; Liakos, P.; Drakoulis, N.; Bogdanos, D.P.; Dardiotis, E. Deciphering the Role of the rs2651899, rs10166942, and rs11172113 Polymorphisms in Migraine: A Meta-Analysis. *Medicina* **2022**, *58*, 491. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/medicina58040491

Academic Editor: Vida Demarin

Received: 16 February 2022 Accepted: 24 March 2022 Published: 29 March 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

Migraine is a complex disorder of the brain, with great variety in its pathogenesis, clinical presentation, genetic make-up, and therapeutic approach [1]. It is the second most common cephalalgia, after the tension-type headache [2]. Moreover, it is considered to be among the commonest neurological disorders globally, while it confers greater disability compared with other neurological diseases [3].

Phenotypically, migraine manifests with recurrent episodes characterized by pulsating intense pain in the head unilaterally [4]. Additionally, symptoms such as photophobia, vomiting, phonophobia, and nausea usually accompany migraine attacks [5]. Migraine with aura (MA) and migraine without aura (MO) are considered to be the major migraine subtypes, which are mainly differentiated by the presence of focal neurological symptoms that can either precede or accompany headache in patients with MA [6].

From a pathophysiological perspective, several theories including various molecular mechanisms have been connected to migraine risk, such as the release of vasoactive neuropeptides vascular dysfunction, vasodilation, defective function of brain networks, plasma protein extravasation, cortical spreading depression (CSD), and "neurogenic inflammation" [7,8]. Moreover, increased glucose uptake has been reported in patients, with migraine especially in the posterior white matter of the cerebrum and cerebellum [9]. Additionally, glucose levels and metabolism may influence the frequency of CSD, and as such, migraine development [10,11].

While the pathophysiological pathways via which the previously referred mechanisms can lead to the migraine are not fully understood [12], there are multiple lines of evidence that genetic, environmental, and epigenetic factors all contribute, to some extent, to migraine's susceptibility [13,14]. Among the environmental factors, body mass index (BMI), smoking, dietary habits, nutrients, physical activity, and socioeconomic status (to name a few) have been incriminated in altering migraine risk or as precipitating factors for migraine attacks [15–21].

The genetic architecture of migraine is complex, as migraine is considered a polygenic disease, where a few genetic factors are implicated in its appearance and phenotypic traits [22–24]. The complexity of the genetic influence on migraine is also evident considering that triggers and factors (genetic and environmental) heavily vary amongst the affected patients [25]. Nevertheless, there are a few known mutations in single genes that can cause the entity known as the familial hemiplegic migraine (FHM) [26]. As such, mutations in the Calcium Voltage-Gated Channel Subunit Alpha1 A (CACNA1A), encoding the α1 subunit of the brain specific P/Q- type calcium channel, in ATPase  $Na^+/K^+$  Transporting Subunit Alpha 2 (ATP1A2), encoding the sodium-potassium- transporting ATPase, in Sodium Voltage-Gated Channel Alpha Subunit 1 (SCN1A) encoding a voltage- gated sodium channel subunit, can all lead to FHM [26,27]. Additionally, other monogenetic migraine with aura syndromes such as cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy (CADASIL), retinal vasculopathy with cerebral leukoencephalopathy and systemic manifestations (RVCL-S), and familial advanced sleep phase syndrome (FASPS), also exists [23]. However, phenotypic appearance may exhibit great variance (with the migraine not being a prominent feature), and there are also cases where novel mutations have been identified [27].

Apart from FHM and monogenic migraine with aura syndromes, there are polymorphisms (e.g., the MTHFR C677T, and BDNF rs6265 gene polymorphisms) that have been further found to be associated with migraine [28–32] and other headaches [33,34]. In 2011, in a genome-wide association study (GWAS), three genetic loci emerged as genetic risk factors for migraine [35]. These genetic loci are the rs2651899 in the PR/SET domain 16 (PRDM16) gene, the rs10166942 near the transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily M member 8 (TRPM8) gene, and the rs11172113 in the LDL receptor-related protein 1 (LRP1) gene [35]. These results for the rs10166942 and the rs11172113 have been replicated by a further GWAS [36]. However, results from subsequent studies examining the role of the aforementioned variants and their relationship with migraine remain inconclusive.

While the PRDM16 rs2651899 has been reported to associate with migraine and MA and/or MO subtypes in an earlier meta-analysis [37], studies that followed revealed no association with migraine [38], while both the alleles of the polymorphism have been associated with increased migraine risk [39,40]. In the same manner, studies for the rs10166942 near the TRPM8 gene and the LRP1 rs11172113 have yielded inconsistent results [41].

In view of the former considerations, the aim of the present study was to retrieve, review, and meta-analyze the available published data assessing the role of the PRDM16 rs2651899, the rs10166942 near the TRPM8 gene, and the LPR1 rs11172113 polymorphisms on migraine. Additionally, we attempted to assess the role of these variants on the risk of the main migraine endophenotypes, namely the MA and the MO.

# 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. General Information

The Preferred Reporting items Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Supplementary File S1) were applied for the current meta-analysis [42], while this study was not registered in any database. Two authors (V.S. and I.L.), independently performed the processes, while any divergences were unraveled by a third author (E.D.).

# 2.2. Literature Search Strategy

We searched through the PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Public Health Genomics and Precision Health Knowledge Base (v7.7) databases for eligible articles examining the relationship between migraine and the PRDM16 rs2651899, the rs10166942 near the TRPM8 gene, and the LPR1 rs11172113 polymorphisms (the last search was performed on 18 March 2022). The search for each variant was performed separately. We used the term "migraine" in combination with either "rs2651899", or "rs10166942", or "rs11172113", as free words. The PubMed algorithm of the literature search for the present meta-analysis is presented at Supplementary File S2.

# 2.3. Identification of Eligible Articles

We initially checked titles and abstracts of identified articles for relevance. From the articles that passed the initial screening, full texts were retrieved. Additionally, the reference lists of the identified articles were scanned for supplementary eligible studies.

# 2.4. Eligibility Criteria

Studies that met the following criteria were included: (1) written in the English language, (2) publication before the 18 March 2022, and (3) the absolute genotype numbers for the examined variants were available for controls and patients with migraine. Data from GWASs and studies containing irrelevant data were not included.

# 2.5. Data Extraction

The following data from each eligible study were extracted when possible: (1) author, (2) year of publication, (3) ethnicity/location of the examined population, (4) numbers (n) of cases with migraine and controls, (5) age at onset of migraine, (6) mean age of the participants during examination, (7) number of males and females in patients with migraine and controls, (8) criteria applied to the assessment of the diagnosis of migraine, (9) test of the Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) principle, (10) applied method for correction for multiple comparisons, (11) genotype absolute numbers, and (12) main results.

#### 2.6. Statistical Analysis

2.6.1. Calculation of the Effect Size

Statistical analyses were performed with Review Manager (RevMan) Version 5.4 (https://training.cochrane.org/online-learning/core-software-cochrane-reviews/revman/revm an-5-download, accessed on 16 December 2021). The odds ratios (ORs) and the respective 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated in order for the effect of each variant on

migraine to be estimated. The following effects were calculated: (a) homozygosity for the variant allele genotype, (b) heterozygosity, and (c) homozygosity for the wild-type allele. Three phenotypic traits were considered as outcomes: (a) migraine, (b) MA, and (c) MO. Statistically significant values were considered those with values lower than 0.05 (p < 0.05).

#### 2.6.2. Heterogeneity and Assessment of Publication Bias

Cochran's Q and I2 tests were used to calculate the heterogeneity. The random effects (RE) model was applied when high heterogeneity was observed ( $P_Q < 0.10$  and/or I<sup>2</sup> > 75%) [43]; otherwise, the fixed effects (FE) model was applied [44]. Funnel plots were created to graphically assess the publication bias.

#### 3. Results

# 3.1. Study Selection and Study Characteristics

#### 3.1.1. PRDM16 rs2651899

The search of the databases (after the removal of duplicate records) yielded 14 articles, published between 2011 and 2021. After the initial evaluation of titles and abstracts, three articles were excluded as review articles. Consequently 11 full texts were examined for eligibility. Four articles [35,38,45,46] were excluded (GWAS or no available genotypic data). One additional eligible study was identified via the manual screening of the reference lists [47]. Thus, eight studies were finally included in the quantitative meta-analysis [39–41,47–51], consisting of 2320 patients with migraine and 2615 controls. The flowchart with the selection procedure of eligible studies for the PRDM16 rs2651899 is presented in Supplementary File S3. The baseline characteristics of the studies that fulfilled the eligibility criteria are presented in Table 1.

# 3.1.2. rs10166942 near TRPM8 Gene

The search of the databases (after the remove of duplicate records) yielded 14 articles, published between 2011 and 2021. After the initial evaluation of titles and abstracts, three articles were excluded (no genetic studies or review articles). Consequently 11 full texts were examined for eligibility. Five articles [35,45,46,52,53] were excluded (GWAS or no available genotypic data, no examination of this polymorphism). As such, six studies were finally included in the quantitative meta-analysis [39–41,48,50,51], consisting of 1633 patients with migraine and 1514 controls. The flowchart with the selection procedure of eligible studies for the rs10166942 is presented in Supplementary File S4. The baseline characteristics of the studies that fulfilled the eligibility criteria are depicted in Table 1.

# 3.1.3. LPR1 rs11172113

The search of the databases (after the remove of duplicate records) yielded 18 articles, published between 2011 and 2022. After the initial evaluation of titles and abstracts, four articles were excluded (no genetic studies or review articles). Consequently 14 full texts were examined for eligibility. Eight articles [35,38,45,46,54–57] were excluded (GWAS or no available genotypic data). Accordingly, six studies were finally included in the quantitative meta-analysis [41,47,48,50,51,58], consisting of 1462 patients with migraine and 1659 controls. The flowchart with the selection procedure of eligible studies for the LPR1 rs11172113 is presented in Supplementary File S5. The baseline characteristics of the studies that fulfilled the eligibility criteria are depicted in Table 1.

					Cases			Co	ntrols		
Author (Year) [Ref]	Population or Location	Gene (Polymorphism)	HWE Test/Multiple Test Correction	Diagnosis Assessment	Mean Age $\pm$ SD/Age of Onset $\pm$ SD	n	Male/Female	Mean Age $\pm$ SD	n	Male/Female	Main Results and Comments
An et al. (2013) [48]	Han-Chinese	PRDM16 (rs2651899); TRPM8 (rs10166942); and LPR1 (rs11172113)	Yes (cases and controls)/-	International Classification of Headache Disorders, 2nd edition (ICHDII)	$36.0\pm10.9$ years/-	207	37/170	35.8 ± 11.5 years	205	49/156	The rs2651899 G allele was associated with migraine and MO in allelic mode. No association for the TRPM8 rs10166942 and the LPR1 rs11172113.
Gosh et al. (2013) [50]	India	PRDM16 (rs2651899); TRPM8 (rs10166942); and LPR1 (rs11172113)	Yes (controls)/Yes (Benjamini– Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) test)	International Classification of Headache Disorders, 2nd edition (ICHDII)	-/<50 years	340	-	matched	200	matched	Protective effect of the rs2651899 (T) on migraine and MO susceptibility (genotypic, dominant, allelic models). Protective effect of the LPR1 rs11172113 C allele on migraine MA and MO in various models. No association for the TRPM8 rs10166942.
Fan et al. (2014) [51]	Han-Chinese	PRDM16 (rs2651899); TRPM8 (rs10166942); and LPR1 (rs11172113)	Yes (controls)/Yes (Bonferroni)	International Classification of Headache Disorders, 2nd edition (ICHDII)	$40.65 \pm 12.18$ years/24.03 $\pm 11.13$ years	304	53/251	matched	304	matched	The rs2651899 minor allele (C) was associated with migraine and MO. No association for the TRPM8 rs10166942 and the LPR1 rs11172113.
Sintas et al. (2015) [40]	Spanish	PRDM16 (rs2651899); TRPM8 (rs10166942); and LPR1 (rs11172113)	Yes (cases and controls)/10,000 permutations and Bonferroni's correction	International Classification of Headache Disorders, 2nd edition (ICHDII)	-/13.5 ± 12 years	512	78.13% female	matched	535	78.83% female	The rs2651899 minor allele (C) was nominally associated with migraine and MA. The TRPM8 rs10166942 (T) allele nominally associated with migraine. No significance remained after multiple comparison correction.
An et al. (2017) [47]	Chinese	PRDM16 (rs2651899); and LPR1 (rs11172113)	Yes (controls)/Yes (Benjamini– Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) test and Bonferroni)	International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD-III beta)	-/35.4 $\pm$ 10.2 years	581	61/520	$34.8\pm8.9$ years	533	57/476	The rs2651899 C allele was associated MO and migraine with family history subgroup. No association for the LPR1 rs11172113.

Table 1. The baseline characteristics of the studies included in the current meta-analysis.

Table 1. Cont.

					Cases	Cases			ntrols		
Author (Year) [Ref]	Population or Location	Gene (Polymorphism)	HWE Test/Multiple Test Correction	Diagnosis Assessment	Mean Age $\pm$ SD/Age of Onset $\pm$ SD	n	Male/Female	Mean Age $\pm$ SD	n	Male/Female	Main Results and Comments
Ran et al. (2018) [49]	Swedish	PRDM16 (rs2651899);	Yes/-	International Classification of Headache Disorders, 2nd edition (ICHDII)	-	100	-	-	581	56.3% male	No association.
Kaur et al. (2019) [39]	North Indian	PRDM16 (rs2651899) and TRPM8 (rs1016694)	Yes (controls)/-	International Classification of Headache disorders, 3rd edition	35.28 ± 6.6 years/	150	40/110	no statistical difference in terms of age as $p = 0.35$	150	60% females	The rs2651899 T allele was associated with migraine in genotypic, allelic, and dominant model. Association was found for the variant with the MO and the female migraineurs. The TRPM8 rs1016694 was associated with MA and in males.
Kaur et al. (2019) [58]	India	LPR1 (rs11172113)	Yes/-	International Classification of Headache disorders, 3rd edition	MA:35.13 $\pm$ 6.0 years/- MO: 36.40 $\pm$ 5.2 years/-	100	28/72	$34.45\pm7.6\ years$	100	38/62	No association
Zafar et al. (2021) [41]	Pakistan	PRDM16 (rs2651899); TRPM8 (rs10166942); and LPR1 (rs11172113	Yes (controls)/-	International Classification of Headache Disorders, 2nd edition (ICHDII)	$25.79\pm5.19$ years/-	127	31/96	$26.26 \pm 5.57$ years	120	38/82	The rs2651899 G allele was associated with migraine, MO, and MA. The TRPM8 rs10166942 and the LPR1 rs11172113 were associated with migraine and MO.

PRDM16, PR/SET Domain 16; TRPM8, Transient Receptor Potential Cation Channel Subfamily M Member 8; LRP1, LDL receptor-related protein 1; MA, migraine with aura; MO, migraine without aura; CH, cluster headache.

# 3.2. Tests of Heterogeneity, Effect Size, and Publication Bias3.2.1. PRDM16 rs2651899Overall Migraine Group

A significant association was revealed between the PRDM16 rs2651899 and the overall migraine group for the CC genotype (RE model OR: 1.32; 95% CI: 1.02–1.73; *p*-value = 0.04). The forest plots can be accessed in Figure 1. Analysis for publication bias suggested that Zafar et al. [41] overestimated the risk conferring effect of the CT model and oversized the protective impact of the TT model (Supplementary File S6).

# PRDM16 rs2651899

# СС

	Migrai	ine	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
An et al. 2013	42	207	34	205	11.7%	1.28 [0.78, 2.11]	
Ghosh et al. 2013	108	339	41	200	13.5%	1.81 [1.20, 2.74]	
Fan et al. 2014	65	304	43	304	13.3%	1.65 [1.08, 2.52]	
Sintas et al. 2015	140	512	110	535	16.3%	1.45 [1.09, 1.94]	
An et al. 2017	121	581	84	531	15.9%	1.40 [1.03, 1.90]	
Ran et al. 2018	17	100	98	570	10.5%	0.99 [0.56, 1.74]	
Kaur et al. 2019	32	150	52	150	11.4%	0.51 [0.31, 0.86]	
Zafar et al. 2021	24	127	10	120	7.3%	2.56 [1.17, 5.62]	
Total (95% CI)		2320		2615	100.0%	1.32 [1.02, 1.73]	<b>◆</b>
Total events	549		472				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.09; Ch	i <sup>z</sup> = 20.9					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.08	(P = 0.0	Control Migraine				

СТ

	Migrai	ine	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV	, Random, 95% Cl	
An et al. 2013	108	207	94	205	12.2%	1.29 [0.87, 1.90]			
Ghosh et al. 2013	149	339	106	200	12.8%	0.70 [0.49, 0.99]			
Fan et al. 2014	154	304	150	304	13.4%	1.05 [0.77, 1.45]		- <b>-</b> -	
Sintas et al. 2015	248	512	267	535	14.5%	0.94 [0.74, 1.20]		-	
An et al. 2017	292	581	272	531	14.6%	0.96 [0.76, 1.22]		+	
Ran et al. 2018	36	100	283	570	11.4%	0.57 [0.37, 0.89]			
Kaur et al. 2019	90	150	78	150	11.1%	1.38 [0.88, 2.19]		+	
Zafar et al. 2021	69	127	32	120	9.9%	3.27 [1.92, 5.58]			
Total (05% CI)		2220		2646	100.0%	4 07 10 02 4 401			
Total (95% CI)		2320		2015	100.0%	1.07 [0.82, 1.40]		<b>—</b>	
Total events	1146		1282						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.11; Chi	<b>r</b> = 33.1	0.05 0.2						
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.49 (	(P = 0.6	0.05 0.2	Control Migraine	20				

ΤT

	Migra	ine	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl			
An et al. 2013	57	207	77	205	12.5%	0.63 [0.42, 0.96]				
Ghosh et al. 2013	82	339	53	200	12.7%	0.88 [0.59, 1.32]				
Fan et al. 2014	85	304	111	304	13.3%	0.67 [0.48, 0.95]				
Sintas et al. 2015	124	512	158	535	13.9%	0.76 [0.58, 1.00]				
An et al. 2017	168	581	175	531	14.1%	0.83 [0.64, 1.07]				
Ran et al. 2018	47	100	189	570	12.3%	1.79 [1.16, 2.75]				
Kaur et al. 2019	28	150	20	150	10.1%	1.49 [0.80, 2.79]	<b>+-</b>			
Zafar et al. 2021	34	127	78	120	11.0%	0.20 [0.11, 0.34]	_ <b>-</b> _			
Total (95% CI)		2320		2615	100.0%	0.77 [0.55, 1.09]	•			
Total events	625		861							
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.20; Ch	i <sup>2</sup> = 45.								
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.48	(P = 0.1	Control Migraine							

**Figure 1.** The forest plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs2651899 and overall migraine group.

# MA Group

A significant association revealed between the PRDM16 rs2651899 and the MA subgroup group for the CC genotype (FE model OR: 1.40; 95% CI: 1.12–1.74; *p*-value = 0.003) and a marginal trend for a protective effect of the AA genotype (FE model OR: 0.81; 95% CI: 0.66–1.00; *p*-value = 0.05). The forest plots can be accessed in Figure 2. Funnel plots were not indicative for publication bias (Supplementary File S7).

# PRDM16 rs2651899

### СС

	Mimgraine with	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed	I, 95% CI	
An et al. 2013	6	31	34	205	5.2%	1.21 [0.46, 3.17]		•	
Ghosh et al. 2013	27	108	41	200	15.7%	1.29 [0.74, 2.25]	-	•	
Fan et al. 2014	10	41	43	304	7.9%	1.96 [0.90, 4.28]			
Sintas et al. 2015	140	512	110	535	59.1%	1.45 [1.09, 1.94]		-	
Kaur et al. 2019	15	43	52	150	9.5%	1.01 [0.50, 2.06]			
Zafar et al. 2021	3	26	10	120	2.6%	1.43 [0.37, 5.63]		×	
Total (95% CI)		761		1514	100.0%	1.40 [1.12, 1.74]		•	
Total events	201		290						
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	1.76, df = 5 (P = 0	l.88); l² =	:0%				0.05 0.2		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.98 (P = 0.00	)3)					Control	Migraine with Aura	

#### СТ

	Mimgraine with	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl		
An et al. 2013	16	31	94	205	6.0%	1.26 [0.59, 2.68]			
Ghosh et al. 2013	51	108	106	200	15.7%	0.79 [0.50, 1.27]			
Fan et al. 2014	16	41	150	304	7.7%	0.66 [0.34, 1.28]			
Sintas et al. 2015	248	512	267	535	58.6%	0.94 [0.74, 1.20]			
Kaur et al. 2019	20	43	78	150	7.5%	0.80 [0.41, 1.58]			
Zafar et al. 2021	13	26	32	120	4.6%	2.75 [1.15, 6.56]			
Total (95% CI)		761		1514	100.0%	0.94 [0.78, 1.13]	•		
Total events	364		727						
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	8.26, df = 5 (P = 0	1.14); I <sup>2</sup> =	: 39%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.63 (P = 0.53	3)					Control Migraine with Aura		

ΤT

	Mimgraine with Aura		Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl		
An et al. 2013	9	31	77	205	6.4%	0.68 [0.30, 1.55]			
Ghosh et al. 2013	30	108	53	200	15.7%	1.07 [0.63, 1.80]			
Fan et al. 2014	15	41	111	304	9.5%	1.00 [0.51, 1.97]	<b>_</b>		
Sintas et al. 2015	124	512	158	535	57.5%	0.76 [0.58, 1.00]			
Kaur et al. 2019	8	43	20	150	5.3%	1.49 [0.60, 3.66]			
Zafar et al. 2021	10	26	78	120	5.7%	0.34 [0.14, 0.81]			
Total (95% CI)		761		1514	100.0%	0.81 [0.66, 1.00]	◆		
Total events	196		497						
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	7.41, df = 5 (P = 1	0.19); I <sup>z</sup> =	: 33%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.98 (P = 0.0		Control Migraine with Aura						

**Figure 2.** The forest plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs2651899 and migraine with aura group.

# MO Group

No association was revealed for the PRDM16 rs2651899 and the MO subgroup. The forest plots can be accessed in Figure 3. Analysis for publication bias suggested that smaller

studies tended to exaggerate the risk conferring association of the CT model (OR probably lies closer to 1.00 than estimated) (Supplementary File S8).

#### PRDM16 rs2651899

#### CC

	Mimgraine without Aura		Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl		
An et al. 2013	36	176	34	205	20.6%	1.29 [0.77, 2.17]			
Ghosh et al. 2013	81	231	41	200	21.5%	2.09 [1.35, 3.24]			
Fan et al. 2014	55	263	43	304	21.5%	1.60 [1.04, 2.49]			
Kaur et al. 2019	17	107	52	150	19.4%	0.36 [0.19, 0.66]			
Zafar et al. 2021	21	101	10	120	17.0%	2.89 [1.29, 6.47]			
Total (95% CI)		878		979	<b>100.0</b> %	1.34 [0.73, 2.47]	-		
Total events	210		180						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.40; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 25.69, d	f=4 (P	< 0.0001)	; <b>I</b> ² = 84	4%			_	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.94 (P = 0.35)						Control Migraine without Aura		

#### СТ

	Mimgraine without	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
An et al. 2013	92	176	94	205	20.6%	1.29 [0.86, 1.94]	+ <b>-</b> -	
Ghosh et al. 2013	98	231	106	200	20.9%	0.65 [0.45, 0.96]		
Fan et al. 2014	138	263	150	304	21.6%	1.13 [0.81, 1.58]		
Kaur et al. 2019	70	107	78	150	18.9%	1.75 [1.05, 2.91]		
Zafar et al. 2021	56	101	32	120	18.0%	3.42 [1.95, 6.01]		
Total (95% CI)		878		979	100.0%	1.37 [0.85, 2.22]	•	
Total events	454		460					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.25; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 25.34, d							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.31 (P = 0.19)						Control Migraine without Aura	

#### ΤT

	Mimgraine without	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
An et al. 2013	48	176	77	205	20.9%	0.62 [0.40, 0.96]		
Ghosh et al. 2013	52	231	53	200	20.8%	0.81 [0.52, 1.25]		
Fan et al. 2014	70	263	111	304	21.8%	0.63 [0.44, 0.90]		
Kaur et al. 2019	20	107	20	150	17.7%	1.49 [0.76, 2.94]		
Zafar et al. 2021	24	101	78	120	18.8%	0.17 [0.09, 0.30]		
Total (95% CI)		878		979	100.0%	0.60 [0.35, 1.04]	•	
Total events	214		339					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.33; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 26.55, d							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.81 (P = 0.07)	Control Migraine without Aura						

**Figure 3.** The forest plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs2651899 and migraine without aura group.

# 3.2.2. rs10166942 near TRPM8 Gene

#### **Overall Migraine Group**

A significant association for a protective effect was revealed between the rs10166942 and the overall migraine group for the CC genotype (FE model OR: 0.75; 95% CI: 0.62–0.91; *p*-value = 0.003) and for the TT genotype (FE model OR: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.71–0.99; *p*-value = 0.03). On the contrary, the heterozygosity CT was associated with increased migraine risk (FE model OR: 1.36; 95% CI: 1.18–1.57; *p*-value < 0.0001). The forest plots can be accessed in Figure 4. Analysis for publication bias (Supplementary File S9) suggested that smaller, less precise articles appeared to overestimate the risk conferring association of the CT model (the true OR is probably closer to 1.00, i.e., relatively mitigated), as well as the

protective effect of the TT model (the true OR may be even equal to 1.00, i.e., no true effect). Publication bias was not apparent with respect to the CC model.

#### rs10166942 near TRPM8 gene

#### СС



#### СТ

	Migra	ine	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
An et al. 2013	103	207	82	205	14.1%	1.49 [1.01, 2.19]	
Ghosh et al. 2013	175	333	91	200	17.3%	1.33 [0.93, 1.89]	+
Fan et al. 2014	141	304	129	304	20.9%	1.17 [0.85, 1.62]	
Sintas et al. 2015	165	512	148	535	30.5%	1.24 [0.95, 1.62]	+=-
Kaur et al. 2019	76	150	55	150	10.1%	1.77 [1.12, 2.81]	_ <b>_</b>
Zafar et al. 2021	49	127	30	120	7.2%	1.88 [1.09, 3.25]	
Total (95% CI)		1633		1514	100.0%	1.36 [1.18, 1.57]	◆
Total events	709		535				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	4.12, df=	5 (P =	0.53); l² =	= 0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.12	(P < 0.0	0001)				Control Migraine
							Services migranio

ΤT

	Migrai	ine	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
An et al. 2013	24	207	28	205	8.3%	0.83 [0.46, 1.49]	
Ghosh et al. 2013	101	333	65	200	19.8%	0.90 [0.62, 1.32]	
Fan et al. 2014	37	304	38	304	12.0%	0.97 [0.60, 1.57]	-+-
Sintas et al. 2015	336	512	364	535	42.4%	0.90 [0.69, 1.16]	-
Kaur et al. 2019	21	150	27	150	7.3%	0.74 [0.40, 1.38]	
Zafar et al. 2021	70	127	84	120	10.2%	0.53 [0.31, 0.89]	
Total (95% CI)		1633		1514	100.0%	0.84 [0.71, 0.99]	•
Total events	589		606				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	3.95, df =	5 (P =	0.56); I <sup>z</sup> =	= 0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.02 (	(P = 0.0)	)4)				Control Migraine

**Figure 4.** The forest plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs10166942 and overall migraine group.

#### MA Group

No association was detected between the rs10166942 and the MA subgroup. The forest plots can be accessed in Figure 5. The funnel plots are not indicative of a clear direction for a biased publication trend (Supplementary File S10).

#### CC

	Mimgraine with	Aimgraine with Aura Control				Odds Ratio		Odds	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl		IV, Rande	om, 95% Cl	
An et al. 2013	11	31	95	205	17.2%	0.64 [0.29, 1.40]			-	
Ghosh et al. 2013	18	105	44	200	20.0%	0.73 [0.40, 1.35]			+-	
Fan et al. 2014	23	41	137	304	19.2%	1.56 [0.81, 3.00]		-		
Sintas et al. 2015	11	512	23	535	18.0%	0.49 [0.24, 1.01]			-	
Kaur et al. 2019	8	43	68	150	16.4%	0.28 [0.12, 0.63]				
Zafar et al. 2021	3	26	6	120	9.2%	2.48 [0.58, 10.63]				
Total (95% CI)		758		1514	100.0%	0.73 [0.42, 1.26]		-	-	
Total events	74		373							
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.29; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 14.35	5, df = 5	(P = 0.01	); l <sup>2</sup> = 6	5%		L	0.1	1 10	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.12 (P = 0.26	)					0.02	Control	Migraine with Aura	00

#### СТ

	Mimgraine with	Aura	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl			
An et al. 2013	17	31	82	205	16.0%	1.82 [0.85, 3.90]				
Ghosh et al. 2013	57	105	91	200	20.2%	1.42 [0.89, 2.29]				
Fan et al. 2014	13	41	129	304	16.9%	0.63 [0.31, 1.26]				
Sintas et al. 2015	165	512	148	535	22.9%	1.24 [0.95, 1.62]				
Kaur et al. 2019	32	43	55	150	16.0%	5.02 [2.35, 10.76]				
Zafar et al. 2021	2	26	30	120	8.0%	0.25 [0.06, 1.12]				
Total (95% CI)		758		1514	100.0%	1.33 [0.79, 2.24]	•			
Total events	286		535							
Heterogeneity: Tau² =	0.29; Chi² = 21.8	9, df = 5	(P = 0.00	105); I <sup>z</sup> :	= 77%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.08 (P = 0.28	3)					Control Migraine with Aura			

#### ΤT

	Mimgraine with	Aura	Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
An et al. 2013	3	31	28	205	2.9%	0.68 [0.19, 2.38]	
Ghosh et al. 2013	30	105	65	200	17.0%	0.83 [0.50, 1.39]	
Fan et al. 2014	5	41	38	304	4.6%	0.97 [0.36, 2.63]	
Sintas et al. 2015	336	512	364	535	68.5%	0.90 [0.69, 1.16]	
Kaur et al. 2019	3	43	27	150	2.9%	0.34 [0.10, 1.19]	
Zafar et al. 2021	21	26	84	120	4.1%	1.80 [0.63, 5.15]	
Total (95% CI)		758		1514	100.0%	0.88 [0.71, 1.09]	•
Total events	398		606				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	4.27, df = 5 (P = 0	.51); I <sup>z</sup> =	:0%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.16 (P = 0.25	)					Control Migraine with Aura

**Figure 5.** The forest plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs10166942 and migraine with aura group.

# MO Group

A significant association, with a protective effect was revealed between the rs10166942 and the overall migraine group for the CC genotype (FE model OR: 0.78; 95% CI: 0.64–0.96; *p*-value = 0.02) while the heterozygosity CT was associated with increased MO risk (FE model OR: 1.41; 95% CI: 1.17–1.69; *p*-value = 0.0003). A marginal protective effect against MO was found for the TT genotype (FE model OR: 0.80; 95% CI: 0.63–1.01; *p*-value = 0.06). The forest plots can be accessed in Figure 6. Analysis for publication bias (Supplementary File S11) suggested that the smallest, least precise article seemed to mildly downsize the protective effect of the CC model and exaggerate the risk conferring effect of the CT model,

as well as the protective effect of the TT model. Therefore, real associations are probably relatively stronger for the CC model and mitigated for the CT and TT models.

# rs10166942 near TRPM8 gene

# СС

	Mimgraine with	Mimgraine with Aura Control		Odds Ratio			Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl		IV, Random, 95% Cl	
An et al. 2013	11	31	95	205	17.2%	0.64 [0.29, 1.40]			
Ghosh et al. 2013	18	105	44	200	20.0%	0.73 [0.40, 1.35]			
Fan et al. 2014	23	41	137	304	19.2%	1.56 [0.81, 3.00]		+	
Sintas et al. 2015	11	512	23	535	18.0%	0.49 [0.24, 1.01]			
Kaur et al. 2019	8	43	68	150	16.4%	0.28 [0.12, 0.63]			
Zafar et al. 2021	3	26	6	120	9.2%	2.48 [0.58, 10.63]			
Total (95% CI)		758		1514	100.0%	0.73 [0.42, 1.26]		•	
Total events	74		373						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.29; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 14.3;	5, df = 5	(P = 0.01	); l <sup>z</sup> = 6	5%		0.02		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.12 (P = 0.26	i)					0.02	Control Migraine with Aura	

СТ

	Mimgraine with	Aura	Contr	ol	Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
An et al. 2013	17	31	82	205	16.0%	1.82 [0.85, 3.90]		
Ghosh et al. 2013	57	105	91	200	20.2%	1.42 [0.89, 2.29]	+	
Fan et al. 2014	13	41	129	304	16.9%	0.63 [0.31, 1.26]		
Sintas et al. 2015	165	512	148	535	22.9%	1.24 [0.95, 1.62]	+=-	
Kaur et al. 2019	32	43	55	150	16.0%	5.02 [2.35, 10.76]		
Zafar et al. 2021	2	26	30	120	8.0%	0.25 [0.06, 1.12]		
Total (95% CI)		758		1514	100.0%	1.33 [0.79, 2.24]	•	
Total events	286		535					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.29; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 21.8	9, df = 5	(P = 0.00)	105); l² =	= 77%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.08 (P = 0.28	3)					Control Migraine with Aura	

ΤT

	Mimgraine with Aura		Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl		
An et al. 2013	3	31	28	205	2.9%	0.68 [0.19, 2.38]			
Ghosh et al. 2013	30	105	65	200	17.0%	0.83 [0.50, 1.39]			
Fan et al. 2014	5	41	38	304	4.6%	0.97 [0.36, 2.63]			
Sintas et al. 2015	336	512	364	535	68.5%	0.90 [0.69, 1.16]			
Kaur et al. 2019	3	43	27	150	2.9%	0.34 [0.10, 1.19]			
Zafar et al. 2021	21	26	84	120	4.1%	1.80 [0.63, 5.15]			
Total (95% CI)		758		1514	100.0%	0.88 [0.71, 1.09]	•		
Total events	398		606						
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	4.27, df = 5 (P = 1	0.51); I <sup>z</sup> =	:0%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.16 (P = 0.2	5)					Control Migraine with Aura		

**Figure 6.** The forest plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs10166942 and migraine without aura group.

# 3.2.3. LPR1 rs11172113

# **Overall Migraine Group**

Only a marginal trend for association was revealed between the LPR1 rs11172113 and migraine for the CT genotype (FE model OR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.74–1.00; *p*-value = 0.05). The forest plots can be accessed in Figure 7. Analysis for publication bias (Supplementary File S12) suggested that smaller, less precise studies tended to overestimate the true effect (OR) of the CC model, which was probably closer to 1.00 than estimated (i.e., no association), and

tended to mildly underestimate the true effect of the recessive model TT. The CT model appears to be less (if at all) affected by publication bias.

#### LPR1 rs11172113

#### СС



СТ

	Migra	ine	Contr	o		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
An et al. 2013	73	207	77	205	13.5%	0.91 [0.61, 1.35]	
Ghosh et al. 2013	89	340	74	200	15.5%	0.60 [0.41, 0.88]	
Fan et al. 2014	106	304	102	304	19.4%	1.06 [0.76, 1.48]	+
An et al. 2017	204	581	204	533	36.6%	0.87 [0.68, 1.11]	
Kaur et al. 2019	30	100	42	100	6.4%	0.59 [0.33, 1.06]	
Zafar et al. 2021	69	127	60	120	8.7%	1.19 [0.72, 1.96]	
Total (95% CI)		1659		1462	100.0%	0.86 [0.74, 1.00]	•
Total events	571		559				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	8.18, df=	: 5 (P =	0.15); l² =	= 39%			
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.97	(P = 0.0)	)5)				Control Migraine
							Sondor Migranio

ΤT

	Migrai	ine	Contr	o		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
An et al. 2013	120	207	113	205	16.8%	1.12 [0.76, 1.66]	- <b>-</b>	
Ghosh et al. 2013	234	340	108	200	17.6%	1.88 [1.31, 2.70]		
Fan et al. 2014	183	304	183	304	18.7%	1.00 [0.72, 1.38]	-+-	
An et al. 2017	340	581	294	533	21.4%	1.15 [0.90, 1.45]		
Kaur et al. 2019	50	100	47	100	12.4%	1.13 [0.65, 1.96]	_ <b>-</b> _	
Zafar et al. 2021	38	127	53	120	13.1%	0.54 [0.32, 0.91]		
Total (95% CI)		1659		1462	100.0%	1.10 [0.84, 1.44]	<b>•</b>	
Total events	965		798					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.08; Chi	i <sup>z</sup> = 15.	87, df = 5	(P = 0.	007); I <sup>z</sup> =	68%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.68 (	(P = 0.5	i0)				Control Migraine	1

**Figure 7.** The forest plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs11172113 and overall migraine group.

# MA Group

No association was detected between the LPR1 rs11172113 and MA subgroup. The forest plots can be accessed in Figure 8. The funnel plots are not indicative of a clear direction for a biased publication trend (Supplementary File S13).

# LPR1 rs11172113

# СС

	Mimgraine with Aura		Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl		
An et al. 2013	1	31	15	205	11.4%	0.42 [0.05, 3.31]			
Ghosh et al. 2013	2	109	18	200	22.1%	0.19 [0.04, 0.83]			
Fan et al. 2014	1	41	19	304	11.6%	0.38 [0.05, 2.88]			
Kaur et al. 2019	4	23	11	100	31.1%	1.70 [0.49, 5.93]			
Zafar et al. 2021	3	26	7	120	23.8%	2.11 [0.51, 8.75]			
Total (95% CI)		230		929	100.0%	0.79 [0.39, 1.58]	-		
Total events	11		70						
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	7.73, df = 4 (P = 0	.10); I <sup>z</sup> =	: 48%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.67 (P = 0.50	)					Control Migraine with Aura		

#### СТ

	Mimgraine with Aura Control				Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl		IV, Random, 95% Cl
An et al. 2013	10	31	77	205	19.1%	0.79 [0.35, 1.77]		
Ghosh et al. 2013	28	109	74	200	26.1%	0.59 [0.35, 0.99]		
Fan et al. 2014	20	41	102	304	22.5%	1.89 [0.98, 3.64]		
Kaur et al. 2019	5	23	42	100	14.2%	0.38 [0.13, 1.12]		
Zafar et al. 2021	11	26	60	120	18.0%	0.73 [0.31, 1.73]		
Total (95% CI)		230		929	<b>100.0</b> %	0.79 [0.47, 1.34]		•
Total events	74		355					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.20; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 9.76	df = 4 (	P = 0.04)	<sup>2</sup> = 59	%		0.05 0	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.87 (P = 0.38	)					0.00 0	Control Migraine with Aura

#### ΤT

	Mimgraine with Aura Control			ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
An et al. 2013	20	31	113	205	18.8%	1.48 [0.67, 3.25]	
Ghosh et al. 2013	79	109	108	200	25.8%	2.24 [1.36, 3.71]	
Fan et al. 2014	20	41	183	304	21.9%	0.63 [0.33, 1.21]	
Kaur et al. 2019	14	23	47	100	16.0%	1.75 [0.70, 4.42]	
Zafar et al. 2021	12	26	53	120	17.4%	1.08 [0.46, 2.54]	
Total (95% CI)		230		929	100.0%	1.33 [0.80, 2.20]	•
Total events	145		504				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.19; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 9.69	, df = 4 (l	P = 0.05)	I <sup>2</sup> = 59	%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.11 (P = 0.2)	9					Control Migraine with Aura

**Figure 8.** The forest plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs11172113 and migraine with aura group.

# MO Group

No association was detected between the LPR1 rs11172113 and MO subgroup. The forest plots can be accessed in Figure 9. Analysis for publication bias (Supplementary File S14) suggested that smaller, less precise studies tended to overestimate the true effect (OR) of the CC model, which was probably closer to 1.00 than estimated (i.e., no association), and mildly underestimated the true effect of the recessive model TT. The CT model appears to be less (if at all) affected by publication bias.

# LPR1 rs11172113

#### CC

	Mimgraine without Aura		Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl		
An et al. 2013	13	176	15	205	20.4%	1.01 [0.47, 2.19]		<b>+</b>	
Ghosh et al. 2013	15	231	18	200	21.6%	0.70 [0.34, 1.43]			
Fan et al. 2014	14	263	19	304	21.7%	0.84 [0.41, 1.72]			
Kaur et al. 2019	16	77	11	100	19.0%	2.12 [0.92, 4.89]			
Zafar et al. 2021	17	101	7	120	17.3%	3.27 [1.30, 8.23]			
Total (95% CI)		848		929	<b>100.0</b> %	1.27 [0.74, 2.18]		<b>•</b>	
Total events	75		70						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.22; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 9.61, df	0.05							
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.85 (P = 0.39)								Control Migraine without Aura	

СТ

	Mimgraine without Aura		Control		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
An et al. 2013	63	176	77	205	22.1%	0.93 [0.61, 1.41]	
Ghosh et al. 2013	61	231	74	200	23.0%	0.61 [0.41, 0.92]	
Fan et al. 2014	86	263	102	304	31.4%	0.96 [0.68, 1.37]	
Kaur et al. 2019	25	77	42	100	10.0%	0.66 [0.36, 1.24]	
Zafar et al. 2021	58	101	60	120	13.6%	1.35 [0.79, 2.30]	+
Total (95% CI)		848		929	100.0%	0.87 [0.71, 1.06]	•
Total events	293		355				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	1%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.42 (P = 0.16)							Control Migraine without Aura

#### ΤT

	Mimgraine without Aura		Control		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl		
An et al. 2013	100	176	113	205	21.3%	1.07 [0.71, 1.61]		- <b>-</b> -	
Ghosh et al. 2013	155	231	108	200	21.7%	1.74 [1.18, 2.57]			
Fan et al. 2014	163	263	183	304	22.9%	1.08 [0.77, 1.51]		- <b>-</b> -	
Kaur et al. 2019	36	77	47	100	16.8%	0.99 [0.55, 1.80]		_ <b>+</b> _	
Zafar et al. 2021	26	101	53	120	17.3%	0.44 [0.25, 0.78]	-		
Total (95% CI)		848		929	100.0%	1.01 [0.68, 1.48]		+	
Total events	480		504						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.14; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 15.28, c	0.05 0.2							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.04$ (P = 0.97)								Control Migraine without Aura	

**Figure 9.** The forest plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs11172113 and migraine without aura group.

# 4. Discussion

In this meta-analysis, we investigated the effect of three variants (namely the PRDM16 rs2651899, the rs10166942 near the TRPM8 gene, and the LPR1 rs11172113) on the risk of migraine, as well as on the risk of the main migraine phenotypes, namely the MA and the MO. Our study detected a significant influence of the PRDM16 rs2651899 on the risk of overall migraine and MA. Moreover, we detected a significant association between the rs10166942 (near the TRPM8 gene) CT genotype and increased migraine risk and MO risk, while the homozygosities appear to confer a protective effect. Finally, we did not detect any association between the LRP1 rs11172113 and any of the migraine phenotypes.

The PRDM16 gene encodes a zinc finger transcription factor, which contains an N-terminal PR domain [59,60]. The precise mechanism by which PRDM16 may be involved in migraine remains unknown. There are indications that the PRDM16 may be implicated in a molecular mechanism related to brown extra fat cells and preadipocytes, and as such, it may possibly be related to obesity [61]. This is of great interest, considering that obesity

(total body and abdominal) has been associated with an increased prevalence of migraine and frequency of migraine attacks [62]. Moreover, the PRDM16 is implicated in oxidative stress and neurogenesis [63]. Such mechanisms have also been implicated in migraine pathogenesis [64–66].

The PRDM16 rs2651899 polymorphism is an intronic variant located at chromosome 1:3167148. This variant polymorphism may alter PRDM16 gene splicing or may have an effect on downstream regulatory elements, influencing the expression of PRDM16 mRNA [37]. The variety in the direction of the association between migraine and the rs2651899 (meaning that both the alleles have been reported to be associated with the migraine risk), denotes that the possible biological consequences of the rs2651899 on the PRDM16 polymorphism are far from being fully elucidated. This could possibly explain the fact that we did not detect any association between the PRDM16 rs2651899 and MO, as in a previous meta-analysis [37].

TRPM8 proteins are cold-sensitive channels responding to a great variety of ligands [67]. They are primarily expressed on peripheral sensory neurons and also on sensory afferents of the meninges [68,69]. Exposure to cold temperatures is a known trigger of migraine attacks [70]. While it is not clear whether meningeal TRPM8 protein are sensitive to weather fluctuations [70], it has been observed that activation of meningeal TRPM8 can alter the feeling of pain [67]. TRPM8 has also drawn attention as it is considered as a possible therapeutic target for migraine, neuropathic pain, and non-headache disorders [67,71–73].

The rs10166942 is an upstream gene variant located at Chromosome 2:233916448, near the TRPM8 gene. Interestingly, carriers of the rs10166942 C allele appeared to have decreased TRPM8 expression and reduced sensitivity to cold stimuli [74]. Moreover, carriers of the rs10166942 T allele presented more allodynic symptoms compared with the non-T allele carriers [53].

The third examined polymorphism in our study is the intronic rs11172113 located at Chromosome 12:57133500 of the LRP1 gene. The LRP1 gene is expressed in the brain, vasculature and, many other human tissues [75]. It is implicated in synaptic transmission, neuronal calcium signaling, amyloid precursor protein metabolism, and neuronal and glutamate signaling. [75]. Considering that elevated interictal glutamate levels have been found in the visual cortex of patients with MA, cortical hyperexcitability may be among the pathophysiological mechanisms that connect LRP1 with migraine [76–78]. Notably, our study did not detect any association between migraine and LRP1 rs11172113.

The fact that none of the examined polymorphisms has been associated with both MA and MO subgroups could be attributed to several reasons. Firstly, despite the similarities in genetic architecture between MA and MO, a few differences also exist [23]. Moreover, patients with MA were fewer than participants with MO, and obviously both the MA and MO datasets were smaller compared to the overall migraine group, suggesting that the analysis of the subgroup may lack the statistical power needed to detect a possible association with the tested variants.

Migraine causes severe impairment, influencing the quality of life, and the patients are unable to be productive in their daily activities [79,80]; consequently, migraine has a considerable economic impact on societies [81]. In an attempt to offer effective and personalized treatment, genetic studies are paving the way towards "precision medicine" targeted healthcare strategies, that take into account an individual's genetic make-up and other environmental factors, to offer the optimal therapeutic and preventive options for each case. However, whether or not the variants meta-analyzed in our study could eventually have an impact on the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment response remains elusive, highlighting the necessity for research on migraine, given the high prevalence in patients who suffer from this disease [14,82,83].

#### 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, based on our findings the PRDM16 rs2651899 is associated with migraine and MA, and the rs10166942 (near the TRPM8 gene) CT genotype is associated with increased migraine risk and MO risk, while the homozygosities appear to confer a protective effect. Additionally, we did not detect any association between the LRP1 rs11172113 and any of the migraine phenotypes. In any case, considering that therapeutic approaches for migraine are often ineffective, it will be interesting to observe whether a personalized treatment based on the genetic architecture of each individual could be applied in the future. Future larger collaborative studies are needed, in cohorts with multiethnic backgrounds, for the role of these variants in migraine to be more accurately elucidated.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.action.com/actionals //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/medicina58040491/s1, Supplementary File S1. PRISMA 2009 checklist; Supplementary File S2. The PubMed search algorithm; Supplementary File S3. The flowchart presenting the selection procedure of eligible studies for the rs2651899; Supplementary File S4. The flowchart presenting the selection procedure of eligible studies for the rs10166942; Supplementary File S5. The flowchart presenting the selection procedure of eligible studies for the rs11172113; Supplementary File S6. The funnel plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs2651899 and overall migraine group; Supplementary File S7. The funnel plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs2651899 and migraine with aura group; Supplementary File S8. The funnel plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs2651899 and migraine without aura group; Supplementary File S9. The funnel plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs10166942 and overall migraine group; Supplementary File S10. The funnel plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs10166942 and migraine with aura group; Supplementary File S11. The funnel plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs10166942 and migraine without aura group; Supplementary File S12. The funnel plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs11172113 and overall migraine group; Supplementary File S13. The funnel plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs11172113 and migraine with aura group; Supplementary File S14. The funnel plots presenting the results from meta-analysis of the rs11172113 and migraine without aura group.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, V.S. and E.D.; methodology, V.S., I.L. and A.-M.A.; software, V.S. and I.L.; validation, V.S., I.L., A.-M.A., M.P. and C.B.; formal analysis, V.S. and I.L.; investigation, V.S., I.L., A.-M.A., M.P., C.B., E.L., P.L., N.D., D.P.B. and E.D.; writing—original draft preparation, V.S., I.L. and A.-M.A.; writing—review and editing, V.S., I.L., A.-M.A., M.P., C.B., E.L., P.L., N.D., D.P.B. and E.D.; supervision, E.D.; project administration, E.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Eigenbrodt, A.K.; Ashina, H.; Khan, S.; Diener, H.-C.; Mitsikostas, D.D.; Sinclair, A.J.; Pozo-Rosich, P.; Martelletti, P.; Ducros, A.; Lantéri-Minet, M.; et al. Diagnosis and management of migraine in ten steps. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 2021, 17, 501–514. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Agosti, R. Migraine Burden of Disease: From the Patient's Experience to a Socio-Economic View. *Headache* 2018, 58 (Suppl. 1), 17–32. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Feigin, V.L.; Nichols, E.; Alam, T.; Bannick, M.S.; Beghi, E.; Blake, N.; Culpepper, E.; Dorsey, R.; Elbaz, A.; Richard, G.; et al. Global, regional, and national burden of neurological disorders, 1990–2016: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Neurol.* 2019, 18, 459–480. [CrossRef]
- 4. Robbins, M.S. Diagnosis and Management of Headache: A Review. JAMA 2021, 325, 1874–1885. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Ashina, M. Migraine. N. Engl. J. Med. 2020, 383, 1866–1876. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (beta version). Cephalalgia 2013, 33, 629–808. [CrossRef]
- Akerman, S.; Holland, P.R.; Hoffmann, J. Pearls and pitfalls in experimental in vivo models of migraine: Dural trigeminovascular nociception. *Cephalalgia* 2013, 33, 577–592. [CrossRef]

- Gasparini, C.F.; Sutherland, H.G.; Griffiths, L.R. Studies on the pathophysiology and genetic basis of migraine. *Curr. Genom.* 2013, 14, 300–315. [CrossRef]
- Kassab, M.; Bakhtar, O.; Wack, D.; Bednarczyk, E. Resting brain glucose uptake in headache-free migraineurs. *Headache* 2009, 49, 90–97. [CrossRef]
- Grech, O.; Mollan, S.P.; Wakerley, B.R.; Fulton, D.; Lavery, G.G.; Sinclair, A.J. The Role of Metabolism in Migraine Pathophysiology and Susceptibility. *Life* 2021, 11, 415. [CrossRef]
- 11. Hoffmann, U.; Sukhotinsky, I.; Eikermann-Haerter, K.; Ayata, C. Glucose modulation of spreading depression susceptibility. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 2013, 33, 191–195. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yamanaka, G.; Suzuki, S.; Morishita, N.; Takeshita, M.; Kanou, K.; Takamatsu, T.; Suzuki, S.; Morichi, S.; Watanabe, Y.; Ishida, Y.; et al. Role of Neuroinflammation and Blood-Brain Barrier Permutability on Migraine. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 8929. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fila, M.; Chojnacki, C.; Chojnacki, J.; Blasiak, J. Is an "Epigenetic Diet" for Migraines Justified? The Case of Folate and DNA Methylation. Nutrients 2019, 11, 2763. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Koute, V.; Michalopoulou, A.; Siokas, V.; Aloizou, A.M.; Rikos, D.; Bogdanos, D.P.; Kontopoulos, E.; Grivea, I.N.; Syrogiannopoulos, G.A.; Papadimitriou, A.; et al. Val66Met polymorphism is associated with decreased likelihood for pediatric headache and migraine. *Neurol. Res.* 2021, 43, 715–723. [CrossRef]
- Amin, F.M.; Aristeidou, S.; Baraldi, C.; Czapinska-Ciepiela, E.K.; Ariadni, D.D.; Di Lenola, D.; Fenech, C.; Kampouris, K.; Karagiorgis, G.; Braschinsky, M.; et al. The association between migraine and physical exercise. *J. Headache Pain* 2018, 19, 83. [CrossRef]
- 16. López-Mesonero, L.; Márquez, S.; Parra, P.; Gámez-Leyva, G.; Muñoz, P.; Pascual, J. Smoking as a precipitating factor for migraine: A survey in medical students. *J. Headache Pain* **2009**, *10*, 101–103. [CrossRef]
- 17. Huang, Q.; Liang, X.; Wang, S.; Mu, X. Association between Body Mass Index and Migraine: A Survey of Adult Population in China. *Behav. Neurol.* **2018**, 2018, 6585734. [CrossRef]
- Stewart, W.F.; Roy, J.; Lipton, R.B. Migraine prevalence, socioeconomic status, and social causation. *Neurology* 2013, *81*, 948–955. [CrossRef]
- 19. Liampas, I.; Siokas, V.; Brotis, A.; Dardiotis, E. Vitamin D serum levels in patients with migraine: A meta-analysis. *Rev. Neurol.* (*Paris*) **2020**, *176*, 560–570. [CrossRef]
- 20. Liampas, I.N.; Siokas, V.; Aloizou, A.M.; Tsouris, Z.; Dastamani, M.; Aslanidou, P.; Brotis, A.; Dardiotis, E. Pyridoxine, folate and cobalamin for migraine: A systematic review. *Acta Neurol. Scand.* **2020**, *142*, 108–120. [CrossRef]
- Liampas, I.; Siokas, V.; Mentis, A.A.; Aloizou, A.M.; Dastamani, M.; Tsouris, Z.; Aslanidou, P.; Brotis, A.; Dardiotis, E. Serum Homocysteine, Pyridoxine, Folate, and Vitamin B12 Levels in Migraine: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Headache* 2020, 60, 1508–1534. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Sutherland, H.G.; Albury, C.L.; Griffiths, L.R. Advances in genetics of migraine. J. Headache Pain 2019, 20, 72. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. de Boer, I.; Terwindt, G.M.; van den Maagdenberg, A.M.J.M. Genetics of migraine aura: An update. *J. Headache Pain* **2020**, *21*, 64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Mikol, D.D.; Picard, H.; Klatt, J.; Wang, A.; Peng, C.; Stefansson, K. Migraine Polygenic Risk Score Is Associated with Severity of Migraine—Analysis of Genotypic Data from Four Placebo-controlled Trials of Erenumab (1214). *Neurology* **2020**, *94*, 1214.
- 25. May, A.; Schulte, L.H. Chronic migraine: Risk factors, mechanisms and treatment. Nat. Rev. Neurol. 2016, 12, 455–464. [CrossRef]
- Di Stefano, V.; Rispoli, M.G.; Pellegrino, N.; Graziosi, A.; Rotondo, E.; Napoli, C.; Pietrobon, D.; Brighina, F.; Parisi, P. Diagnostic and therapeutic aspects of hemiplegic migraine. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 2020, *91*, 764–771. [CrossRef]
- 27. Bron, C.; Sutherland, H.G.; Griffiths, L.R. Exploring the Hereditary Nature of Migraine. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat.* 2021, 17, 1183–1194. [CrossRef]
- 28. van den Maagdenberg, A.; Nyholt, D.R.; Anttila, V. Novel hypotheses emerging from GWAS in migraine? *J. Headache Pain* **2019**, 20, 5. [CrossRef]
- 29. Anttila, V.; Winsvold, B.S.; Gormley, P.; Kurth, T.; Bettella, F.; McMahon, G.; Kallela, M.; Malik, R.; de Vries, B.; Terwindt, G.; et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies new susceptibility loci for migraine. *Nat. Genet.* **2013**, *45*, 912–917. [CrossRef]
- 30. Gormley, P.; Anttila, V.; Winsvold, B.S.; Palta, P.; Esko, T.; Pers, T.H.; Farh, K.H.; Cuenca-Leon, E.; Muona, M.; Furlotte, N.A.; et al. Meta-analysis of 375,000 individuals identifies 38 susceptibility loci for migraine. *Nat. Genet.* **2016**, *48*, 856–866. [CrossRef]
- Rai, V.; Kumar, P. Relation Between Methylenetetrahydrofolate Reductase Polymorphisms (C677T and A1298C) and Migraine Susceptibility. *Indian J. Clin. Biochem.* 2022, 37, 3–17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Terrazzino, S.; Cargnin, S.; Viana, M.; Sances, G.; Tassorelli, C. Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor Val66Met Gene Polymorphism Impacts on Migraine Susceptibility: A Meta-analysis of Case-Control Studies. *Front. Neurol.* **2017**, *8*, 159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Papasavva, M.; Vikelis, M.; Katsarou, M.S.; Siokas, V.; Dermitzakis, E.; Papademetriou, C.; Karakostis, K.; Lazopoulos, G.; Dardiotis, E.; Drakoulis, N. Evidence That HFE H63D Variant Is a Potential Disease Modifier in Cluster Headache. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 2021, 72, 393–400. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Papasavva, M.; Vikelis, M.; Siokas, V.; Katsarou, M.S.; Dermitzakis, E.; Raptis, A.; Dardiotis, E.; Drakoulis, N. VDR Gene Polymorphisms and Cluster Headache Susceptibility: Case-Control Study in a Southeastern European Caucasian Population. J. Mol. Neurosci. 2021, 72, 382–392. [CrossRef]

- Chasman, D.I.; Schürks, M.; Anttila, V.; de Vries, B.; Schminke, U.; Launer, L.J.; Terwindt, G.M.; van den Maagdenberg, A.M.J.M.; Fendrich, K.; Völzke, H.; et al. Genome-wide association study reveals three susceptibility loci for common migraine in the general population. *Nat. Genet.* 2011, 43, 695–698. [CrossRef]
- Freilinger, T.; Anttila, V.; de Vries, B.; Malik, R.; Kallela, M.; Terwindt, G.M.; Pozo-Rosich, P.; Winsvold, B.; Nyholt, D.R.; van Oosterhout, W.P.; et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies susceptibility loci for migraine without aura. *Nat. Genet.* 2012, 44, 777–782. [CrossRef]
- 37. Lee, H.H.; Chen, C.C.; Ong, J.R.; Lin, Y.F.; Lee, F.P.; Hu, C.J.; Wang, Y.H. Association of rs2651899 Polymorphism in the Positive Regulatory Domain 16 and Common Migraine Subtypes: A Meta-Analysis. *Headache* **2020**, *60*, 71–80. [CrossRef]
- Fu, X.; Yang, J.; Wu, X.; Lin, Q.; Zeng, Y.; Xia, Q.; Cao, L.; Huang, B.; Huang, G. Association between PRDM16, MEF2D, TRPM8, LRP1 gene polymorphisms and migraine susceptibility in the She ethnic population in China. *Clin. Invest. Med.* 2019, 42, E21–E30. [CrossRef]
- 39. Kaur, S.; Ali, A.; Ahmad, U.; Pandey, A.K.; Singh, B. rs2651899 variant is associated with risk for migraine without aura from North Indian population. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 2019, *46*, 1247–1255. [CrossRef]
- Sintas, C.; Fernández-Morales, J.; Vila-Pueyo, M.; Narberhaus, B.; Arenas, C.; Pozo-Rosich, P.; Macaya, A.; Cormand, B. Replication study of previous migraine genome-wide association study findings in a Spanish sample of migraine with aura. *Cephalalgia* 2015, 35, 776–782. [CrossRef]
- 41. Zafar, R.; Saleem, T.; Sheikh, N.; Maqbool, H.; Mukhtar, M.; Abbasi, M.H. PRDM16, LRP1 and TRPM8 genetic polymorphisms are risk factor for Pakistani migraine patients. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 2021, *28*, 5793–5799. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Liberati, A.; Altman, D.G.; Tetzlaff, J.; Mulrow, C.; Gøtzsche, P.C.; Ioannidis, J.P.; Clarke, M.; Devereaux, P.J.; Kleijnen, J.; Moher, D. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *BMJ* 2009, 339, b2700. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. DerSimonian, R.; Laird, N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control. Clin. Trials 1986, 7, 177–188. [CrossRef]
- 44. Mantel, N.; Haenszel, W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **1959**, 22, 719–748. [PubMed]
- 45. Ran, C.; Graae, L.; Magnusson, P.K.; Pedersen, N.L.; Olson, L.; Belin, A.C. A replication study of GWAS findings in migraine identifies association in a Swedish case-control sample. *BMC Med. Genet.* **2014**, *15*, 38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Esserlind, A.L.; Christensen, A.F.; Le, H.; Kirchmann, M.; Hauge, A.W.; Toyserkani, N.M.; Hansen, T.; Grarup, N.; Werge, T.; Steinberg, S.; et al. Replication and meta-analysis of common variants identifies a genome-wide significant locus in migraine. *Eur.* J. Neurol. 2013, 20, 765–772. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- An, X.K.; Fang, J.; Yu, Z.Z.; Lin, Q.; Lu, C.X.; Qu, H.L.; Ma, Q.L. Multilocus analysis reveals three candidate genes for Chinese migraine susceptibility. *Clin. Genet.* 2017, 92, 143–149. [CrossRef]
- An, X.K.; Ma, Q.L.; Lin, Q.; Zhang, X.R.; Lu, C.X.; Qu, H.L. PRDM16 rs2651899 variant is a risk factor for Chinese common migraine patients. *Headache* 2013, 53, 1595–1601. [CrossRef]
- 49. Ran, C.; Fourier, C.; Zinnegger, M.; Steinberg, A.; Sjöstrand, C.; Waldenlind, E.; Belin, A.C. Implications for the migraine SNP rs1835740 in a Swedish cluster headache population. *J. Headache Pain* **2018**, *19*, 100. [CrossRef]
- 50. Ghosh, J.; Pradhan, S.; Mittal, B. Multilocus analysis of hormonal, neurotransmitter, inflammatory pathways and genome-wide associated variants in migraine susceptibility. *Eur. J. Neurol.* **2014**, *21*, 1011–1020. [CrossRef]
- 51. Fan, X.; Wang, J.; Fan, W.; Chen, L.; Gui, B.; Tan, G.; Zhou, J. Replication of migraine GWAS susceptibility loci in Chinese Han population. *Headache* **2014**, *54*, 709–715. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, S.P.; Fuh, J.L.; Chung, M.Y.; Lin, Y.C.; Liao, Y.C.; Wang, Y.F.; Hsu, C.L.; Yang, U.C.; Lin, M.W.; Chiou, J.J.; et al. Genome-wide association study identifies novel susceptibility loci for migraine in Han Chinese resided in Taiwan. *Cephalalgia* 2018, 38, 466–475. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Ling, Y.H.; Chen, S.P.; Fann, C.S.; Wang, S.J.; Wang, Y.F. TRPM8 genetic variant is associated with chronic migraine and allodynia. *J. Headache Pain* **2019**, *20*, 115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Chasman, D.I.; Anttila, V.; Buring, J.E.; Ridker, P.M.; Schürks, M.; Kurth, T. Selectivity in genetic association with sub-classified migraine in women. *PLoS Genet.* 2014, *10*, e1004366. [CrossRef]
- 55. Meng, W.; Adams, M.J.; Hebert, H.L.; Deary, I.J.; McIntosh, A.M.; Smith, B.H. A Genome-Wide Association Study Finds Genetic Associations with Broadly-Defined Headache in UK Biobank (N = 223,773). *EBioMedicine* **2018**, *28*, 180–186. [CrossRef]
- Daghals, I.; Sargurupremraj, M.; Danning, R.; Gormley, P.; Malik, R.; Amouyel, P.; Metso, T.; Pezzini, A.; Kurth, T.; Debette, S.; et al. Migraine, Stroke, and Cervical Arterial Dissection: Shared Genetics for a Triad of Brain Disorders With Vascular Involvement. *Neurol. Genet.* 2022, *8*, e653. [CrossRef]
- 57. Shoba, U.S.; Srinivasan, G.; Gundlapally, J.; Kuppamuthu, K. Association of Single Nucleotide Polymorphism rs11172113 of LRP1 Gene with Migraine in South Indian Population–A Study. *Helix-Sci. Explor. Peer Rev. Bimon. Int. J.* **2020**, *10*, 7–11.
- 58. Kaur, S.; Ali, A.; Siahbalaei, Y.; Ahmad, U.; Pandey, A.K.; Singh, B. Could rs4379368 be a genetic marker for North Indian migraine patients with aura?: Preliminary evidence by a replication study. *Neurosci. Lett.* **2019**, *712*, 134482. [CrossRef]
- 59. Casamassimi, A.; Rienzo, M.; Di Zazzo, E.; Sorrentino, A.; Fiore, D.; Proto, M.C.; Moncharmont, B.; Gazzerro, P.; Bifulco, M.; Abbondanza, C. Multifaceted Role of PRDM Proteins in Human Cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 2648. [CrossRef]
- 60. Di Zazzo, E.; De Rosa, C.; Abbondanza, C.; Moncharmont, B. PRDM Proteins: Molecular Mechanisms in Signal Transduction and Transcriptional Regulation. *Biology* **2013**, *2*, 107–141. [CrossRef]

- 61. Seale, P.; Kajimura, S.; Yang, W.; Chin, S.; Rohas, L.M.; Uldry, M.; Tavernier, G.; Langin, D.; Spiegelman, B.M. Transcriptional control of brown fat determination by PRDM16. *Cell Metab.* **2007**, *6*, 38–54. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Kristoffersen, E.S.; Børte, S.; Hagen, K.; Zwart, J.A.; Winsvold, B.S. Migraine, obesity and body fat distribution—A populationbased study. *J. Headache Pain* **2020**, *21*, 97. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Shimada, I.S.; Acar, M.; Burgess, R.J.; Zhao, Z.; Morrison, S.J. Prdm16 is required for the maintenance of neural stem cells in the postnatal forebrain and their differentiation into ependymal cells. *Genes Dev.* **2017**, *31*, 1134–1146. [CrossRef]
- 64. Geyik, S.; Altunisik, E.; Neyal, A.M.; Taysi, S. Oxidative stress and DNA damage in patients with migraine. *J. Headache Pain* **2016**, 17, 10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Gross, E.C.; Putananickal, N.; Orsini, A.-L.; Vogt, D.R.; Sandor, P.S.; Schoenen, J.; Fischer, D. Mitochondrial function and oxidative stress markers in higher-frequency episodic migraine. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 4543. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hoffmann, J.; Baca, S.M.; Akerman, S. Neurovascular mechanisms of migraine and cluster headache. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 2019, 39, 573–594. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Dussor, G.; Cao, Y.Q. TRPM8 and Migraine. Headache 2016, 56, 1406–1417. [CrossRef]
- Silverman, H.A.; Chen, A.; Kravatz, N.L.; Chavan, S.S.; Chang, E.H. Involvement of Neural Transient Receptor Potential Channels in Peripheral Inflammation. *Front. Immunol.* 2020, 11, 2742. [CrossRef]
- 69. Burgos-Vega, C.C.; Ahn, D.D.; Bischoff, C.; Wang, W.; Horne, D.; Wang, J.; Gavva, N.; Dussor, G. Meningeal transient receptor potential channel M8 activation causes cutaneous facial and hindpaw allodynia in a preclinical rodent model of headache. *Cephalalgia* **2016**, *36*, 185–193. [CrossRef]
- Prince, P.B.; Rapoport, A.M.; Sheftell, F.D.; Tepper, S.J.; Bigal, M.E. The effect of weather on headache. *Headache* 2004, 44, 596–602. [CrossRef]
- González-Muñiz, R.; Bonache, M.A.; Martín-Escura, C.; Gómez-Monterrey, I. Recent Progress in TRPM8 Modulation: An Update. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2019, 20, 2618. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Knowlton, W.M.; Daniels, R.L.; Palkar, R.; McCoy, D.D.; McKemy, D.D. Pharmacological blockade of TRPM8 ion channels alters cold and cold pain responses in mice. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6, e25894. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Weyer, A.D.; Lehto, S.G. Development of TRPM8 Antagonists to Treat Chronic Pain and Migraine. *Pharmaceuticals* 2017, 10, 37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Gavva, N.R.; Sandrock, R.; Arnold, G.E.; Davis, M.; Lamas, E.; Lindvay, C.; Li, C.-M.; Smith, B.; Backonja, M.; Gabriel, K.; et al. Reduced TRPM8 expression underpins reduced migraine risk and attenuated cold pain sensation in humans. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, 19655. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Actis Dato, V.; Chiabrando, G.A. The Role of Low-Density Lipoprotein Receptor-Related Protein 1 in Lipid Metabolism, Glucose Homeostasis and Inflammation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 1780. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ferrari, M.D.; Odink, J.; Bos, K.D.; Malessy, M.J.; Bruyn, G.W. Neuroexcitatory plasma amino acids are elevated in migraine. *Neurology* 1990, 40, 1582–1586. [CrossRef]
- 77. Harder, A.V.E.; Vijfhuizen, L.S.; Henneman, P.; Willems van Dijk, K.; van Duijn, C.M.; Terwindt, G.M.; van den Maagdenberg, A. Metabolic profile changes in serum of migraine patients detected using (1)H-NMR spectroscopy. *J. Headache Pain* 2021, 22, 142. [CrossRef]
- 78. Haigh, S.; Karanovic, O.; Wilkinson, F.; Wilkins, A. Cortical hyperexcitability in migraine and aversion to patterns. *Cephalalgia Int. J. Headache* **2012**, *32*, 236–240. [CrossRef]
- 79. Salazar, A.; Berrocal, L.; Failde, I. Prevalence of Migraine in General Spanish Population; Factors Related and Use of Health Resources. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 1145. [CrossRef]
- Fuh, J.L.; Wang, S.J.; Lu, S.R.; Liao, Y.C.; Chen, S.P.; Yang, C.Y. Headache disability among adolescents: A student population-based study. *Headache* 2010, 50, 210–218. [CrossRef]
- Yucel, A.; Thach, A.; Kumar, S.; Loden, C.; Bensink, M.; Goldfarb, N. Estimating the economic burden of migraine on US employers. *Am. J. Manag. Care* 2020, 26, e403–e408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abu-Arafeh, I.; Razak, S.; Sivaraman, B.; Graham, C. Prevalence of headache and migraine in children and adolescents: A systematic review of population-based studies. *Dev. Med. Child. Neurol.* 2010, 52, 1088–1097. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Wöber-Bingöl, C. Epidemiology of migraine and headache in children and adolescents. *Curr. Pain Headache Rep.* **2013**, *17*, 341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]