

# Shrimp- and mite sensitization in a Swedish study: Influence on allergic disorders and lung function

#### To the Editor,

Shrimp and house dust mite are common causes of allergic disease. Shrimp allergy represents one of the most prevalent food allergies and allergic reactions in response to intake can generate a variety of clinical manifestations, from mild symptoms in the oral mucosa, urticaria to severe anaphylaxis.<sup>1</sup> The major shrimp allergen is the muscle protein tropomyosin (Pen a 1). Food allergy is associated with severe asthma and increased risk of asthma exacerbations.<sup>2</sup> In a Swedish study on young asthmatics, 15% were sensitized to shrimp, but only 8% reported shrimp hypersensitivity.<sup>3</sup>

The indoor allergen house dust mite is a major cause of allergic conditions, including rhinitis and asthma, and one of the most common allergens to which asthmatic patients are sensitized.<sup>4</sup> In addition to several house dust-mite specific allergens, tropomyosin has been identified as an allergen in house dust mites.<sup>5</sup> The muscle protein tropomyosin is not only expressed in shrimp and house dust mite, but homologous forms are also found in insects and molluscs. Therefore, it is likely that patients sensitized to shrimp and house dust mites might be at risk for cross-reactions to allergens from other species. In addition, other panallergens than tropomyosin have been associated with cross allergies.<sup>1</sup> Allergic reactions mediated by cross-reactive IgE can occur in individuals primarily sensitized to airborne allergens, who also show sensitivity to proteins present in food. Broekman et al. have shown that IgE from shrimp allergic patients recognized proteins from insect extracts.<sup>6</sup>

In this study, we aimed to assess the prevalence of shrimp and house dust mite sensitization in a large population-based study and to compare IgE sensitization in relation to allergic disorders and respiratory symptoms. Chi-squared test and ANOVA was used to detect group differences in the univariate analyses, while logistic and linear regression was used in adjusted analyses.

A total of 4593 randomly selected adult subjects aged 50-64 years from the Swedish CArdioPulmonary bioImage Study (SCA-PIS), Uppsala cohort, took part in an extensive questionnaire, blood sampling, physical examinations, lung function tests and imaging.<sup>7,8</sup> IgE sensitization was defined as having specific IgE  $\geq$ 0.35 kU<sub>A</sub>/L, measured by ImmunoCAP (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV<sub>1</sub>) and forced vital capacity after bronchodilation was measured. Chronic airflow limitation (CAL) was defined as having an FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC ratio below 0.70.

Of all participants, 253 (5.5%) were IgE sensitized to shrimp ( $\geq 0.35 \text{ kU}_{\text{A}}/\text{L}$ ), 191 (4.2%) were mite-sensitized, and 104 (2.3%) were sensitized to both allergens. Of the shrimp sensitized patients, 41% were sensitized to mite, whereas 54% of the mite sensitized patients were sensitized to shrimp. There was no significant association between the specific IgE titer against mite and being sensitized to shrimp in those that were mite sensitized (p = 0.37).

Participants were divided into four groups based on their sensitization to shrimp, house dust mite, shrimp and house dust mite or neither of them. The characteristics of these groups are presented in Table 1. Significant group differences were found regarding the prevalence of asthma, allergic rhinitis, urticaria, angioedema, wheeze, and CAL.

Being sensitized to both shrimp and mite was independently associated with having angioedema, wheeze, and chronic airflow limitation after adjusting for age, sex, birch, and cat sensitization. Being sensitized to mite alone was associated with having urticaria (Table 1). There was also an independent association between lower  $FEV_1$  (% of predicted) and being sensitization to both shrimp and mite: -3.5 (-6.5, -0.5)% of predicted units.

The main finding of this study is that approximately half of those with IgE sensitization to shrimp were also sensitized to mite and vice versa. We also found that participants that were sensitized to both shrimp and mite were more likely to have respiratory symptoms, angioedema and airflow limitation.

Our results suggest that patients presenting symptoms of shrimp or mite allergy should be examined for sensitization and allergy to both allergens. Our results are also relevant when new food products such as insects are introduced in our society because insects express similar proteins, for example, the muscle protein tropomyosin, which is similar to tropomyosin allergens found in shrimp and mites.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>© 2022</sup> The Authors. Clinical and Translational Allergy published by John Wiley and Sons Ltd on behalf of European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of study groups defined by sensitization to shrimp and/or house dust mite (% and mean  $\pm$  SD) and logistic regression adjusted for age, sex, and sensitization to birch and cat (odds ratio [95% confidence interval])

	Non-sensitized (shrimp or mite) (n = 4253)	Only shrimp-sensitized (n = 149)	Only mite-sensitized (n = 87)	Sensitized to both shrimp and mite (n = 104)	p value
Women (%)	52.6	38.3	43.7	43.3	0.001
Age (yrs)	$\textbf{57.7} \pm \textbf{4.4}$	$\textbf{57.9} \pm \textbf{4.2}$	$\textbf{56.9} \pm \textbf{4.8}$	$\textbf{57.3} \pm \textbf{4.6}$	0.27
Asthma (%)	5.7	5.0	17.1	16.5	<0.0001
Allergic rhinitis (%)	19.9	28.3	46.2	34.8	<0.0001
Urticaria (%)	26.5	35.6	44.9	36.4	<0.0001
Angioedema (%)	5.2	9.7	11.4	13.2	<0.0001
Wheeze (%)	6.4	5.0	9.9	16.3	0.001
$FEV_1\%$ predicted (%)	$109 \pm 14$	$109\pm15$	$110 \pm 13$	$105\pm15$	0.02
Chronic airflow limitation (%)	7.4	4.8	6.1	15.2	0.02
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	
Asthma	1	0.60 (0.27-1.34)	1.82 (0.95-3.48)	1.67 (0.88–3.17)	
Allergic rhinitis	1	1.01 (0.65–1.56)	1.64 (0.97–2.80)	0.91 (0.54–1.53)	
Urticaria	1	1.55 (1.05-2.28)	2.19 (1.33-3.60)	1.49 (0.93-2.41)	
Angioedema	1	1.66 (0.88-3.86)	1.83 (0.87-3.86)	2.28 (1.18-4.39)	
Wheeze	1	0.70 (0.32-1.52)	1.36 (0.63-2.92)	2.47 (1.36-4.47)	
Chronic airflow limitation	1	0.57 (0.26-1.24)	0.81 (0.32-2.05)	2.03 (1.11-3.71)	

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The main funding body of the Swedish CArdioPulmonary bioImage Study is the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation (Hjärt-Lungfonden). The study is also funded by the Swedish Research Council Formas. Thermo Fisher Scientific has performed the specific IgE testing within a research collaboration agreement with Uppsala University.

#### FUNDING INFORMATION

Swedish Research Council Formas; 2017-00818. 10.13039/5011000 03793; Hjärt-Lungfonden

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Magnus Molin, Robert Movérare, Jonas Lidholm and Magnus P. Borres are employed by Thermo Fisher Scientific. Ida Waern, Andrei Malinovschi and Christer Janson have no conflicts of interest to declare.

> Ida Waern<sup>1</sup> Magnus Molin<sup>2</sup> Robert Movérare<sup>2,3</sup> Jonas Lidholm<sup>2</sup> Andrei Malinovschi<sup>4</sup> Magnus P. Borres<sup>2,5</sup> Christer Janson<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anatomy, Physiology and Biochemistry, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden <sup>2</sup>Thermo Fisher Scientific, Uppsala, Sweden <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Sciences: Respiratory, Allergy and Sleep Research, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden <sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Sciences: Clinical Physiology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden <sup>5</sup>Department of Maternal and Child Health, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

#### Correspondence

Ida Waern, Department of Anatomy, Physiology and Biochemistry, Swedish University of Agricultural sciences, Ulls väg 26, Box 7011, 75007, Uppsala, Sweden. Email: ida.waern@slu.se

## ORCID

Ida Waern D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6526-4339 Andrei Malinovschi D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4098-7765

#### REFERENCES

- Gelis S, Rueda M, Valero A, Fernández EA, Moran M, Fernández-Caldas E. Shellfish allergy: unmet needs in diagnosis and treatment. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2020;30(6):409-420. https://doi.org/ 10.18176/jiaci.0565
- Friedlander JL, Sheehan WJ, Baxi SN, et al. Food allergy and increased asthma morbidity in a school-based inner-city asthma study. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2013;1(5):479-484. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jaip.2013.06.007
- 3. Johnson J, Borres MP, Nordvall L, et al. Perceived food hypersensitivity relates to poor asthma control and quality of life in young

non-atopic asthmatics. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(4):e0124675. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124675

- Santos AB, Chapman MD, Aalberse RC, et al. Cockroach allergens and asthma in Brazil: identification of tropomyosin as a major allergen with potential cross-reactivity with mite and shrimp allergens. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1999;104(2):329-337. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/s0091-6749(99)70375-1
- Fernández-Caldas E, Puerta L, Caraballo L. Mites and allergy. Chem Immunol Allergy. 2014;100:234-242. https://doi.org/10.1159/000 358860
- Broekman HCHP, Knulst AC, de Jong G, et al. Is mealworm or shrimp allergy indicative for food allergy to insects? *Mol Nutr Food Res.* 2017;61(9):1601061. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201601061

- Bergstrom G, Berglund G, Blomberg A, et al. The Swedish CArdio-Pulmonary BioImage Study: objectives and design. J Intern Med. 2015;278(6):645-659. https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.12384
- Zaigham S, Zhou X, Molin M, et al. Importance of type and degree of IgE sensitization for defining fractional exhaled nitric oxide reference values. *Respir Med.* 2021;188:106621. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.rmed.2021.106621

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.