

Research Paper



Overexpression of Epcam and CD133 Correlates with Poor Prognosis in Dual-phenotype Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Jie Zhang^{1*}, Ya-Peng Qi^{1*}, Ning Ma², Fei Lu¹, Weng-Feng Gong¹, Bin Chen¹, Liang Ma¹, Jian-Hong Zhong^{1⊠}, Bang-De Xiang^{1⊠}, Le-Qun Li¹

1. Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital, Guangxi, China

2. Graduate School of Health Science, Suzuka University of Medical Science, Suzuka, Japan

*Jie Zhang and Ya-Peng Qi contributed equally to this work.

🖂 Corresponding authors: Bang-De Xiang and Jian-Hong Zhong, Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital, #71, Hedi Rd, Nanning 530021, China. xiangbangde@gxmu.edu.cn (B-D.X.); zhongjianhong@gxmu.edu.cn (J-H.Z.)

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2019.10.11; Accepted: 2020.02.02; Published: 2020.03.05

Abstract

Background: Dual-phenotype hepatocellular carcinoma (DPHCC) is associated with high rate of post-operative recurrence and low rate of survival, which may reflect the post-operative persistence of cancer stem cells (CSCs). Here we explored the potential correlation between DPHCC and expression of CSCs markers.

Methods: In this retrospective study, we included 19 patients with DPHCC and 61 patients with non-DPHCC treated in 2015 by liver resection. Paraffin-embedded tumor tissue specimens were analyzed using immunohistochemistry as well as immunofluorescence double-staining. Rates of recurrence-free survival and overall survival were compared between the two groups using the Kaplan-Meier method, and expression of the CSC markers CD133, CD90, and EpCAM were compared using real-time quantitative PCR and western blotting.

Results: Overall survival rates were significantly lower for patients with DPHCC than patients with non-DPHCC at 1 year (78.9% vs 93.4%), 2 years (52.6% vs 72.1%), and 3 years (42.1% vs 67.2%) (P = 0.019). Multivariate Cox proportional hazard modeling identified CK19 positivity (P = 0.016) and multiple nodules (P = 0.023) as independent predictors of poor recurrence-free survival. Independent predictors of poor overall survival were CK19 positivity (P = 0.032), Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer stage C (P = 0.025) and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) >37 ng/ml (P = 0.016). Expression of CD133 and EpCAM mRNA and protein were significantly higher in DPHCC tissue than non-DPHCC tissue, while CD90 expression was similar between the groups.

Conclusions: These results suggest that DPHCC is associated with significantly lower overall survival than non-DPHCC, and that the poor prognosis among DPHCC patients may be related to the presence of CSCs expressing CD133 and EpCAM.

Key words: dual-phenotype hepatocellular carcinoma, prognosis, Cancer stem cells, CD133, EpCAM

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most common malignancies in the world and is the third most frequent cause of cancer mortality¹. Surgical resection is the most effective way to treat HCC^{2,3}. However, the 5-year recurrence rate is up to 70% after hepatectomy, indicating the need for further work to

understand this cancer better ⁴. HCC occurs as various pathological subtypes, which may differ in prognosis^{5,6}. Dual-phenotype HCC (DPHCC) is a newly described HCC subtype characterized by the expression of biomarkers for HCC and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. DPHCC accounts for approximately 10% of all cases of HCC⁷, and it shows greater malignancy and invasiveness than non-DPHCC subtypes⁸. The mechanisms behind DPHCC onset are poorly understood.

Positive expression of cytokeratin 19 (CK19) is one of the typical characteristics of DPHCC. CK19 is believed to be a a marker of cancer stem cells (CSCs)^{9,10}, which show strong proliferation and self-renewal in tumor tissues and can differentiate along several pathways. Moreover, CSCs appear to contribute greatly to tumor formation, development and maintenance¹¹. CSCs have been identified in liver cancer tissues and cell lines¹²⁻¹⁴, raising the question of how such cells contribute to initial HCC and recurrence. The particularly poor prognosis associated with DPHCC may indicate that, even after resection, sufficient CSCs remain to cause recurrence.

This study compared the prognosis of patients with DPHCC or non-DPHCC after curative hepatectomy. We also examined whether expression of CSCs markers correlated with poor prognosis of DPHCC patients.

Methods

The study protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Review Board of the Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital. Prior to hepatic resection, all patients gave written informed consent for their anonymized data to be analyzed and published for research purposes.

Patients and tissue samples

This retrospective study analyzed medical records of patients with HCC, all of whom underwent curative hepatic resection as initial treatment at the Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital between January 2015 and November 2015. Tumor stage was determined according to the guidelines of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases(AASLD)¹⁵. Patients were included if they met the following criteria: (1) HCC was diagnosed by standardized pathological parameters; (2) Child-Pugh functional liver status was A or B; and (3) initial treatment was curative resection, which was defined as removal of all tumor lesions based on macroscopic inspection and negative histology resection margin, absence of residual tumor or portal tumor thromboses postoperative imaging, and decrease in of alpha-fetoprotein levels to normal within 2 months after surgery. Patients were excluded if they had any other malignancies, distant metastasis, lymph node involvement, or macrovascular invasion. They were also excluded if no paraffin-embedded tissues from them had been archived. A total of 80 patients met the inclusion criteria.

Either paraffin-embedded or frozen tissues were available for 47 of these 80 patients (13 with DPHCC, 34 with non-DPHCC) for western blotting and PCR analyses as described below. The specimens had been archived in the Biobank of the Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital.

Follow-up

All patients were followed up at 1 month after surgery, then every 3 months for the rest of the first year, and every 6 months thereafter. Follow-up visits included physical examination, liver function tests, serum alpha-fetoprotein measurement, abdominal ultrasonography and computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging. Recurrence-free survival and overall survival were calculated as the time from the date of surgery until detection of recurrent tumors or until the date of the last follow-up. Follow-up was conducted until April 2019.

Diagnosis of DPHCC

In this study, DPHCC was diagnosed when all the following pathology criteria were satisfied: (1) more than 15% of tumor cells were strongly positive for at least one hepatocyte marker, such as hepatocyte-specific antigen (Hep Par 1), which exhibited mainly a diffuse distribution; (2) more than 15% of tumor cells were strongly positive simultaneously for at least one hepatocyte marker and at least one cholangiocyte marker, such as CK19. A patient was not diagnosed with DPHCC if his or her tissue contained HCC and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma elements, regardless of whether there were transitional zones between the elements, or if his or her tissue showed non-overlapping expression of hepatocyte and cholangiocyte markers.

Immunohistochemistry

Serial sections (4 µm thick) were prepared from 10% formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor tissue blocks. Sections were rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline, treated with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide for 15 min, and blocked with 10% goat serum for 1 h. Primary antibodies against Hep Par-1 (ab190706, Abcam, UK) or CK19 (ab52625, Abcam) were incubated overnight at 4 °C. Sections were washed again with phosphate-buffered saline and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C with goat anti-rabbit or -mouse secondary antibody (SP-9002; ZSGB-BIO, China). Sections were incubated at room temperature for 15 min with horseradish peroxidase TMB solution (SP-9002, ZSGB-BIO, China), followed by 3,3-diaminobenzidine for 5 min. Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin (G1080, Solarbio, China) for 8 min and dehydrated through a graded alcohol series. Sections were sealed with PermountTM Mounting Medium before microscopy observation.

Immunofluorescence double-staining

Serial sections (4 µm thick) were cut from 10% formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor tissue blocks followed by deparaffinization, rehydration and heat-induced epitope retrieval. Sections were simultaneously incubated with primary antibodies against Hep Par-1 and CK19. Then, sections were stained for 1 h at 37 °C in the dark with TRITC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (111-026-045; Jackson ImmunoResearch, USA) and FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (115-096-003; Jackson ImmunoResearch). Sections were counterstained for 2 min with 4',6-diamindino-2-phenylindole (C0065; Solarbio, China) to label nuclei, and analyzed under a fluorescence microscope (BX51, Olympus, Japan).

Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)

Total RNA was extracted from tumor tissues using Trizol (Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China). Complementary DNA was generated using the PrimeScriptTM reverse transcription kit (Vazyme, China) and PCR amplification was performed with the SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ kit (Vazyme) according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR reactions using the qTOWER2.2 System analyzed were (Analytik Jena, Germany). All primers were synthesized by Shanghai Biological Engineering Co. (Shanghai, China) (Table 1).

Table 1. Real-time quantitative PCR primers

Gene	Forward primer (5' to 3')	Reverse primer (3' to 5')
β-actin	GTCTTCCCCTCCATCGTG	AGGTGTGGTGCCAGATTTTC
CD90 (THY1)	AGAGACTTGGATGAGGAG	CTGAGAATGCTGGAGATG
EPCAM	AGAACCTACTGGATCATCAT TGAACTAA	CGCGTTGTGATCTCCTTCTG
CD133	AACCTACAGCATATTCTTCA	AACGAACAGCATTTCTCTCT CAAGA

Abbreviations: β -actin, beta-actin; CD90, cluster of differentiation 90; EPCAM, Epithelial cell adhesion molecule; CD133, cluster of differentiation 133.

Western blotting

Total protein was isolated from tumor tissues using RIPA (R0010; Solarbio, China), and protein concentration was measured using the BCA protein assay kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). Samples were electrophoresed using 10% SDS-PAGE, then proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Membranes were blocked in skim milk and incubated overnight at 4 °C with rabbit primary antibodies against CD90, CD133 or epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM, 1:3000; Abcam). Next, membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG antibodies (1:2000; SE134, Solarbio, China) at room temperature for 2 h. Immunoreactive bands were visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence and quantified using Image Pro Plus version 6. β -tubulin was used as an internal protein reference.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS 22.0 (IBM, USA). Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05. Inter-group differences in categorical data were assessed for significance using the chi-squared test (2-sided) or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate recurrence-free survival and overall survival rates, and inter-group differences in survival curves were assessed using the log-rank test. Multivariate analysis based on Cox proportional hazard regression modeling was used to identify independent predictors of recurrence-free survival and overall survival rates in HCC patients after liver resection.

Results

During the study period, 298 patients underwent curative liver resection for HCC. However, 218 patients (73.2%) were excluded because CK19 expression in histopathology sections was unknown or no paraffin tissue specimens were available (n = 152, 51.0%); because other procedures, such as local ablation therapy, ethanol injection or transarterial chemoembolization, had been applied prior to liver resection (n = 12, 4.0%); or because patients were lost to follow-up (n = 54, 18.1%). In the end, 80 patients were included in the study.

Clinicopathological characteristics

Clinicopathological characteristics of the included patients are summarized in Table 2. Although there were three times as many patients in the non-DPHCC group as in the DPHCC group (61 vs. 19), the two groups showed no significant differences in sociodemographic or clinical parameters.

Risk factors for poor prognosis in HCC

Several risk factors significantly influenced HCC recurrence (Table 3), including CK19 positivity (P = 0.007), multiple nodules (P=0.005), hepatitis B surface antigen positivity (P=0.033) and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) >37ng/ml (P=0.015). Independent risk factors of HCC recurrence were CK19 positivity (HR 1.867, 95%CI 1.124-3.102, P = 0.016) and multiple nodules (HR 1.868, 95%CI 1.089-3.205, P = 0.023).

Postoperative mortality of patients with HCC was influenced by the following risk factors (Table 3): DPHCC (P = 0.023), CK19 positivity (P = 0.006), Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage C (P =

0.027), multiple nodules (P = 0.024), serum albumin <35 g/L (P = 0.046) and CA19-9 >37 ng/ml (P = 0.017). Further analysis identified the following independent predictors of postoperative morbidity: CK19 positivity (HR 2.213, 95%CI 1.070-4.580, P = 0.032), BCLC stage C (HR 2.061, 95%CI 1.093-3.888, P = 0.025) and CA199 >37 ng/ml (HR 2.222, 95%CI 1.164-4.242, P = 0.016).

 Table 2. Clinicopathological data of hepatocellular carcinoma

 patients with DPHCC or non-DPHCC subtypes

Variable	DPHCC	non-DPHCC	Р
	N=19	N=61	-
Age, yr			0.966
≤55	16	49	
>55	3	12	
Gender			0.797
Male	17	51	
Female	2	10	
Liver cirrhosis			0.058
Yes	3	24	
No	16	37	
Tumor size, cm			0.655
≤5.0	7	26	
>5.0	12	35	
No. of tumors			0.083
<2	10	45	
≥2	9	16	
Capsule of tumor			0.309
Incomplete	9	21	
Complete	10	40	
Tumor thrombi			0.981
Yes	4	13	
No	15	48	
HBsAg			0.280
Positive	19	54	
Negative	0	7	
Albumin level, g/L			>0.999
≤35	3	10	
>35	16	51	
AFP level, ng/ml			0.890
≤400	9	30	
>400	10	31	
CA19-9 level, ng/ml			0.994
≤37	15	46	
>37	4	15	
Child-Pugh grade			>0.999
А	17	56	

Variable	DPHCC	non-DPHCC	Р
	N=19	N=61	
В	2	5	
Edmondson grade			0.847
I-II	12	40	
III-IV	7	21	
BCLC stage			0.187
A-B	11	45	
С	8	16	

^aValues are n, unless otherwise indicated.

Abbreviations: AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; DPHCC, dual-phenotype hepatocellular carcinoma; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma.

Correlation between DPHCC and CSC marker expression

Levels of CD90 (THY1), CD133 and EpCAM mRNA were measured in tumor tissues from 13 patients with DPHCC and 34 patients with non-DPHCC subtypes (Figure 2). DPHCC was associated with significantly higher levels of CD133 mRNA (P = 0.013) and EpCAM mRNA (P = 0.006). In contrast, the two patient groups showed similar levels of CD90 mRNA (P = 0.441). These mRNA results were mirrored at the protein level.

Comparison of recurrence-free and overall survival between DPHCC and HCC patients

Median recurrence-free survival was 7 months among patients with DPHCC and 16 months among patients with non-DPHCC subtypes. The DPHCC group showed significantly lower rates of recurrence-free survival at 1 year (42.1% vs 57.4%), 2 years (15.8% vs 36.1%), and 3 years (10.5% vs 34.4%) after surgery (P = 0.058, Fig. 1A).

Median overall survival was 35 months among DPHCC patients and 46 months among non-DPHCC patients. Follow-up indicated significantly lower overall survival rates in the DPHCC group at 1 year (78.9% vs 93.4%), 2 years (52.6% vs 72.1%), and 3 years (42.1% vs 63.9%) (P = 0.019, Fig. 1B).



Figure 1. Postoperative survival rates. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate (A) recurrence-free survival (log rank, P = 0.058) and (B) overall survival curves between patients with DPHCC and non-DPHCC (log rank, P = 0.029). Abbreviations: DPHCC, dual-phenotype hepatocellular carcinoma.



Figure 2. Expression of cancer stem cell markers. (A) Immunofluorescence double-staining of hepatic tumor tissues from patients with DPHCC or non-DPHCC for the cholangiocytic marker CK19 (red) and hepatocyte marker Hep Par-1 (green). Patients with non-DPHCC were subdivided into those negative or positive for CK19 expression. The cells in the white dotted box are dual-phenotype cells. (B) Immunohistochemical staining of DPHCC tumor tissue for Hep Par 1 and CK19 from patients with HCC. Panels a and c are consecutive slices shown at ×40 magnification, while b and d are zoomed-in views (×200 magnification) of the boxed areas. Cells indicated by red arrows fulfill the DPHCC diagnostic criteria. The cells indicated by the red arrow are dual-phenotype cells. (C) Western blot showing protein levels of CD90, CD133 and EpCAM. (D) Relative levels of mRNAs encoding CD133, EpCAM and CD90 (THY1) as detected by real-time quantitative PCR. Relative expression of mRNA was determined using the log (fold change). Positive values (relative expression of mRNA > 0) were taken to indicate up-regulation.

Table 3. Uni- and multivariate analysis to identify predictors of poor recurrence-free survival and overall survival in HCC patients after hepatectomy (n=80).

Variable	Recurrence-free survival			Overall survival				
	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	HR (95%CI)	Р	HR (95%CI)	Р	HR (95%CI)	Р	HR (95%CI)	Р
DPHCC (yes)	1.682 (0.961-2.947)	0.069			2.048 (1.104-3.801)	0.023	1.205 (0.467-2.252)	0.951
CK19 (positive)	1.992 (1.204-3.296)	0.007	1.867 (1.124-3.102)	0.016	2.264 (1.263-4.056)	0.006	2.213 (1.070-4.580)	0.032
Age (>55 years)	0.771 (0.401-1.479)	0.443			0.660 (0.295-1.476)	0.312		
Liver cirrhosis (yes)	1.134 (0.675-1.906)	0.635			1.298 (0.720-2.338)	0.385		
BCLC stage (C)	1.631 (0.963-2.762)	0.069			1.947 (1.078-3.514)	0.027	2.061 (1.093-3.888)	0.025
Tumor size (>5 cm)	1.353 (0.816-2.242)	0.242			1.723 (0.942-3.153)	0.078		
Multiple nodules	2.158 (1.266-3.767)	0.005	1.868 (1.089-3.205)	0.023	1.961 (1.095-3.511)	0.024	1.395 (0.734-2.650)	0.310
Capsule (incomplete)	1.001 (0.598-1.671)	0.999			1.332 (0.743-2.388)	0.336		
Tumor thrombi (yes)	1.115 (0.615-2.022)	0.719			1.283 (0.653-2.520)	0.470		
HBsAg (positive)	4.638 (1.130-19.026)	0.033	3.628 (0.875-15.040)	0.076	5.872 (0.809-42.600)	0.080		
Albumin level (<35g/L)	1.842 (0.286-3.497)	0.063			2.053 (1.013-1.160)	0.046	1.376 (0.628-3.012)	0.425
AFP level (>400 ng/ml)	1.373 (0.836-2.254)	0.210			1.385 (0.779-2.462)	0.268		
CA19-9 level (>37 ng/ml)	1.982 (1.142-3.441)	0.015	1.655 (0.946-2.896)	0.078	2.101 (1.145-3.855)	0.017	2.222 (1.164-4.242)	0.016
Edmondson grade (III-IV)	1.637 (0.985-2.721)	0.057			1.395 (0.779-2.499)	0.263		
Child-Pugh grade (B)	1.186 (0.511-2.756)	0.691			1.080 (0.388-3.012)	0.882		

Abbreviations: AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; DPHCC, dual-phenotype hepatocellular carcinoma; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HR, hazard ratio

Discussion

The World Health Organization recognizes three types of primary liver cancer: HCC, intrahepatic

cholangiocarcinoma, and a combination of hepatocellular carcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma¹⁶. Few studies have been performed on the combination form, DPHCC, and this research gap is important to address because of the relatively high recurrence rate associated with this subtype.

Our study confirms work by others showing that positive expression of CK19, considered a CSCs marker in HCC^{17, 18}, is an independent risk factor for decreased overall survival in HCC patients following curative resection¹⁹⁻²². We further showed here that CK19 can predict poor recurrence-free survival after resection. DPHCC exhibits high invasiveness, which might be closely correlated with the expression of CK19, which mediates transforming growth factor beta (TGF β)/Smad signaling²³.

Patients with DPHCC in our cohort showed a lower rate of overall survival than those with non-DPHCC, which is consistent with previous studies^{7, 8} and which confirms that DPHCC is a more malignant HCC subtype, even after curative resection. Our study showed that CA19-9 levels > 37 ng/ml are predictors of overall survival in patients with HCC after resection. CA19-9 levels effectively predicted postoperative survival of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma patients expressing high levels of CK19²⁴. Serum CA19-9 is also a marker of poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer and colorectal carcinoma²⁵⁻²⁷.

Although the difference in recurrence-free survival between DPHCC patients and non-DPHCC patients was not statistically significant, survival tended to be lower in patients with DPHCC (P=0.058). Multiple tumor nodules were an independent risk factor for recurrence-free survival in HCC patients, probably owing to the high incidence of microvascular invasion and intrahepatic metastasis in these patients^{28,29}. Multiple nodules are often accompanied by microsatellite tumors that are invisible to the naked eye; they cannot be accurately removed during surgery, which affects the tumor recurrence rate in HCC patients after hepatectomy.

We found significantly higher levels of EPCAM and CD133 mRNA and protein in DPHCC tissues than in non-DPHCC tissues. Our results are consistent with reports that HCC patients positive for EpCAM or CD133 have poor prognosis, and that EPCAM and CD133 act synergistically in tumors³⁰⁻³². EPCAM participates in a Wnt signaling pathway of tumorigenesis^{33,34}, while CD133+ cancer cells up-regulate interleukin-8 (IL-8) and activate MAP kinase signaling pathways35, 36. One study showed that IL-8 promoted β-catenin phosphorylation in cancer cells and acted via the Wnt/ β -catenin pathway to induce the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and migration³⁷. Therefore we suspect that EPCAM and CD133 act via Wnt-related pathways to influence HCC, and further research should explore how.

Expression of a third CSCs marker, CD90, was similar between DPHCC and non-DPHCC tissues in

our study. CD90 may be involved in HCC only under certain conditions. Although CD90 is a typical marker of CSCs in HCC and high CD90 expression has been linked to higher recurrence rate^{38, 39}, one study reported that CD90+ CSCs-like cells in the liver may participate only in late-stage liver cancer associated with hepatitis B virus infection⁴⁰.

The results of the present study should be interpreted with caution in light of several limitations, such as lack of long-term overall survival data, small sample and short follow-up. Despite these limitations, our data justify further work exploring the use of immunofluorescence double-staining as a reliable method for diagnosing DPHCC. Our data also suggest a link between high expression of two CSCs markers (EpCAM, CD133) and poor prognosis in DPHCC.

Abbreviations

DPHCC: dual-phenotype hepatocellular carcinoma; CSCs: cancer stem cells; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; AASLD: American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; BCLC: Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; CA19-9: carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CK19: cytokeratin 19; Hep Par 1: hepatocyte-specific antigen; HR: hazard ratio; CI: Confidence Interval; OS: overall survival; RFS: recurrence-free survival; IL-8: interleukin-8; CD90: cluster of differentiation 90; EPCAM: Epithelial cell adhesion molecule; CD133: cluster of differentiation 133; AFP: alpha-fetoprotein; HBsAg: hepatitis B surface antigen.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary methods, results, and figure. http://www.jcancer.org/v11p3400s1.pdf

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by National Major Special Science and Technology Project (2017ZX10203 207), National Natural Science Foundation of China (8196100941), Key laboratory of High - Incidence -Tumor Prevention & Treatment (Guangxi Medical University), Ministry of Education (GKE2018-KF02 and GKE2019-ZZ10), The Key Research and Development Project of Guangxi (AA18221001 and AB18050020), Open Foundation of Guangxi Key Laboratory of Biological Targeting Diagnosis and Therapy Research & Collaborative Innovation Center for Targeting Tumor Diagnosis and Therapy (GXSWBX201503), the Graduate Course Construction Project of Guangxi Medical University (YJSA2017014), and 'Guangxi BaGui Scholars' Special Fund. Funding agencies had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: J Zhang, J-H Zhong, B-D Xiang. Performed the experiments: Y-P Qi, J Zhang, N Ma, F Lu, B Chen. Analyzed the data: W-F Gong, Y-P Qi. Contributed data/materials/analysis tools: L-Q Li, L Ma. Wrote the paper: J Zhang, J-H Zhong, B-D Xiang.

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;60(5):277-300.
- Guo Z, Zhong JH, Jiang JH, et al. Comparison of survival of patients with BCLC stage A hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatic resection or t ransarterial chemoembolization: a propensity score-based analysis. Ann Surg Oncol. 2014;21(9):3069-3076.
- Zhong JH, Rodríguez AC, Ke Y, et al. Hepatic resection as a safe and effective treatment for hepatocellular carcinoma involving a single large tumor, multiple tumors, or macrovascular invasion. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94(3):e396.
- Zhong JH, Ke Y, Gong WF, et al. Hepatic resection associated with good survival for selected patients with intermediate and advanced-stage hepatocellular carcinoma. Ann Surg. 2014;260(2):329-340.
- Feng J, Zhu R, Chang C, et al. CK19 and Glypican 3 Expression Profiling in the Prognostic Indication for Patients with HCC after Surgical Resection. Plos One. 2016;11(3):e151501.
- Wang Y, Yu YN, Song S, et al. JAB1 and phospho-Ser10 p27 expression profile determine human hepatocellular carcinoma prognosis. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2014;140(6):969-978.
- Lu XY, Xi T, Lau WY, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma expressing cholangiocyte phenotype is a novel subtype with highly aggressive behavior. Ann Surg Oncol. 2011;18(8):2210-2217.
- Cong WM, Bu H, Chen J, et al. Practice guidelines for the pathological diagnosis of primary liver cancer: 2015 update. World J Gastroentero. 2016;22(42):9279-9287.
- 9. Mishra L, Banker T, Murray J, et al. Liver stem cells and hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology. 2010;49(1):318-329.
- 10. Chiba T, Kamiya A, Yokosuka O, et al. Cancer stem cells in hepatocellular carcinoma: Recent progress and perspective. Cancer Lett. 2009;286(2):145-153.
- Reya T, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF, et al. Stem cells, cancer, and cancer stem cells. Nature. 2001;414(6859):105-111.
- Clarke MF, Dick JE, Dirks PB, et al. Cancer stem cells--perspectives on current status and future directions: AACR Workshop on cancer stem cells. Cancer Res. 2006;66(19):9339-9344.
- Tobias S, Frank NY, Frank MH. Identification and targeting of cancer stem cells. Bioessays. 2010;31(10):1038-1049.
- Castelli G, Pelosi E, Testa U. Liver Cancer: Molecular Characterization, Clonal Evolution and Cancer Stem Cells. Cancers (Basel). 2017;5(4):e127.
- Bruix J, Sherman M. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma: An update. Hepatology. 2011;53(3):1020-1022.
- 16. Laursen L. A preventable cancer. Nature. 2014;516(7529):S2-3.
- Kawai T, Yasuchika K, Seo S, et al. Identification of keratin19-positive cancer stem cells associating human hepatocellular carcinoma using 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography. Clin Cancer Res. 2016;23(6):1450-1460.
- Kawai T, Yasuchika K, Ishii T, et al. Keratin 19, a cancer stem cell marker in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2015;21(13):3081-3091.
- Durnez A, Verslype C, Nevens F, et al. The clinicopathological and prognostic relevance of cytokeratin 7 and 19 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma. A possible progenitor cell origin. Histopathology. 2010;49(2):138-151.
- Lee CW, Kuo WL, Yu MC, et al. The expression of cytokeratin 19 in lymph nodes was a poor prognostic factor for hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatic resection. World J Surg Oncol. 2013;11(1):136-147.
- Lee JI, Lee JW, Kim JM, et al. Prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma expressing cytokeratin 19: Comparison with other liver cancers. World J Gastroentero. 2012;18(34):4751-4757.
- Uenishi T, Kubo S, Yamamoto T, et al. Cytokeratin 19 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma predicts early postoperative recurrence. Cancer Sci. 2010;94(10):851-857.
- Kawai T, Yasuchika K, Ishii T, et al. Identification of keratin 19-positive cancer stem cells associating human hepatocellular carcinoma using CYFRA 21-1. Cancer Med. 2017;6(11):2531-2540.

- Zheng BH, Yang LX, Sun QM, et al. A New Preoperative Prognostic System Combining CRP and CA199 For Patients with Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. Clin Transl Gastroenterol. 2017;8(10):e118.
- Yang YH, Liu JB, Gui Y, et al. Relationship between autophagy and perineural invasion, clinicopathological features, and prognosis in pancreatic cancer. World J Gastroentero. 2017;23(40):7232-7241.
- Wang DS, Wang ZQ, Zhang L, et al. Are risk factors associated with outcomes in pancreatic cancer? Plos One. 2012;7(7):e41984.
- Sun ZQ, Ma S, Zhou QB, et al. Prognostic value of lymph node metastasis in patients with T1-stage colorectal cancer from multiple centers in China. World J Gastroentero. 2017;23(48):8582-8590.
- Grąt M, Stypułkowski J, Patkowski W, et al. Limitations of predicting microvascular invasion in patients with hepatocellular cancer prior to liver transplantation. Sci Rep. 2017;7:39881.
- 29. Okamura Y, Sugiura T, Ito T, et al. The tumor diameter cut-off for predicting microscopic intrahepatic metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma patients without treatment history differs from that of hepatocellular carcinoma patients with a treatment history. Clin Transl Oncol. 2019;[Epub ahead of print].
- Chen YL, Lin PY, Ming YZ, et al. The effects of the location of cancer stem cell marker CD133 on the prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma patients. BMC Cancer. 2017;17(1):474-483.
- Dai XM, Huang T, Yang SL, et al. Peritumoral EpCAM Is an Independent Prognostic Marker after Curative Resection of HBV-Related Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Dis Markers. 2017;2017(13):8495326.
- Yi C, Dongke Y, Hao Z, et al. CD133(+)EpCAM(+) phenotype possesses more characteristics of tumor initiating cells in hepatocellular carcinoma Huh7 cells. Int J Biol Sci. 2012;8(7):992-1004.
- Matsumoto T, Takai A, Eso Y, et al. Proliferating EpCAM-Positive Ductal Cells in the Inflamed Liver Give Rise to Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cancer Res. 2017;77(22):6131-6143.
- Yamashita T, Budhu A, Forgues M, et al. Activation of hepatic stem cell marker EpCAM by Wnt-beta-catenin signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Res. 2007;67(22):10831-10839.
- Tang KH1, Ma S, Lee TK, et al. CD133(+) liver tumor-initiating cells promote tumor angiogenesis, growth, and self-renewal through neurotensin/interleukin-8/CXCL1 signaling. Hepatology. 2012;55(3):807-820.
- Guo Z, Li LQ, Jiang JH, et al. Cancer stem cell markers correlate with early recurrence and survival in hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Gastroentero. 2014;8(20):2098-2106.
- Qu J, Cheng T, Liu L, et al. Mast cells induce epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and migration in non-small cell lung cancer through IL-8/Wnt/β-catenin pathway. J Cancer. 2019;16(10):3830-3841.
- Zhao RC, Zhou J, Chen KF, et al. The prognostic value of combination of CD90 and OCT4 for hepatocellular carcinoma after curative resection. Neoplasma. 2015;63(2):288-298.
- Zhang X, Jiang P, Shuai L, et al. miR-589-5p inhibits MAP3K8 and suppresses CD90 + cancer stem cells in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Exp Clin Canc Res. 2016;35(1):176-188.
- Yamashita T, Honda M, Nakamoto Y, et al. Discrete nature of EpCAM+ and CD90+ cancer stem cells in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology. 2013;57(4):1484-1497.