

# Detecting circulating microbial cell-free DNA by next-generation sequencing in patients with *Mycobacterium avium* complex-lung disease: A pilot study

Yen-Han Tseng<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Sheng-Wei Pan<sup>a,b\*</sup>, Jia-Yih Feng<sup>a,b\*</sup>, Wei-Juin Su<sup>d</sup>, Chi-Ying F Huang<sup>c,e</sup>, Yuh-Min Chen<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chest Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, <sup>b</sup>School of Medicine, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei, Taiwan, Program in Molecular Medicine, School of Life Sciences, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University. Taipei, Taiwan, dDivision of Chest Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, China Medical University Hospital, Taipei Branch, Taipei, Taiwan, eInstitute of Biopharmaceutical Sciences, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei, Taiwan

Submission	:08-Aug-2023
Revision	: 22-Aug-2023
Acceptance	: 20-Sep-2023
Web Publication	: 07-Nov-2023

### INTRODUCTION

he global prevalence of non-Tuberculous mycobacterium (NTM)-lung disease (LD) has been increasing in the past three decades [1,2]. Among different NTM species, Mycobacterium avium complex (MAC) is one of the most common pathogens that cause LD [3]. MAC is an environmental microorganism that is found in soil and water sources [4]. Preexisting structural LDs and immunocompromised conditions are known risk factors for developing MAC-LD [5]. Currently, the diagnosis criteria for NTM-LD include compatible clinical presentations, radiographical findings, and microbiological evidence [6,7]. However, it remains difficult to diagnose NTM-LD in patients whose sputum culture is positive for NTM because

Supplementary material available online		
Access this article online		
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.tcmjmed.com	
	DOI: 10.4103/tcmj.tcmj_191_23	

### Abstract

Objectives: Determining a diagnosis for non-Tuberculous mycobacterium (NTM)-lung disease (LD) remains difficult. The value of circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) secreted from microbes has been established in the detection of pathogens in septic patients. However, it is unknown whether NTM-derived cfDNA is detectable in plasma from patients with NTM-LD and whether this is associated with the disease status of NTM-LD, especially in patients with Mycobacterium avium complex (MAC)-LD. Materials and Methods: In this pilot study, from 2018 to 2019, we enrolled adult patients with MAC-LD at Taipei Veterans General Hospital in Taiwan for the detection of circulating cfDNA. We performed cfDNA extraction from plasma, next-generation sequencing (NGS) for nonhuman cfDNA, and sequence matching to a microbial database and then assessed the association between pathogen cfDNA and MAC-LD. Results: Two (40%) plasma samples from MAC-LD patients had detectable MAC-specific cfDNA, namely one instance of DNA polymerase III alpha subunit and one instance of ATP-binding cassette transporters permease. The plasma samples from the three other MAC-LD cases and the one tuberculosis control were negative for either NTM-derived cfDNA or tuberculosis-related cfDNA. In addition to MAC-specific cfDNA, Ralstonia solanacearum, Staphylococcus aureus, and Pasteurella multocida were the most observed bacteria in our patients. The two patients with MAC-cfDNA positivity vielded higher radiographic scores (P = 0.076) and presented a higher number of nonhuman reads than those without MAC-cfDNA positivity (P = 0.083). Conclusion: Using NGS method, we demonstrated MAC-cfDNA was detectable in patients with MAC-LD. Further large-scale research is warranted to assess the clinical value of detecting MAC-specific cfDNA in MAC-LD patients.

**KEYWORDS:** Circulating cell-free DNA, Microbial circulating cell-free DNA, Mycobacterium avium complex-lung disease, Next-generation sequencing

NTM pulmonary colonization does not occur among patients exhibiting these risk factors [8]. Since the criteria of radiographic findings and clinical presentations are relatively nonspecific [6], exploring serologic biomarkers that could assist in timely diagnoses of NTM-LD is warranted, especially for patients with MAC infection who are at risk of disease progression [9].

\*Address for correspondence: Dr. Sheng-Wei Pan, Department of Chest Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, 201, Section 2, Shih-Pai Road, Taipei, Taiwan. E-mail: sanweipan@gmail.com Dr. Jia-Yih Feng, Department of Chest Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, 201, Section 2, Shih-Pai Road, Taipei, Taiwan. E-mail: peterofeng@gmail.com

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow\_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Tseng YH, Pan SW, Feng JY, Su WJ, Huang CY, Chen YM. Detecting circulating microbial cell-free DNA by next-generation sequencing in patients with *Mycobacterium avium* complex-lung disease: A pilot study. Tzu Chi Med J 2024;36(1):67-75.

Circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) is fragmented genes in blood that is around 150 base pairs in length and is proposed to come from dead cells in human [10]. Although most circulating DNA fragments are derived from host genes, there is evidence bacterial genes can be present in the blood of healthy people [11]. Regarding short gene fragments, it has recently been reported cfDNA from inhabited microbes can also be detected in human plasma [12]. Interestingly, the level of bacteria-derived cfDNA is higher in patients with cardiovascular disease than in healthy persons, meaning microbial cfDNA is impacted even in noninfectious settings [13]. Importantly, recent studies have demonstrated specific cfDNA targets can serve as a tool for the diagnosis of certain infectious diseases, such as tuberculosis (TB) [14,15]. Despite this, the value of detecting pathogen-derived cfDNA in patients NTM-LD has rarely been studied or reported.

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) is a technology that could rapidly sequence the whole genome. Grumaz *et al.* have found that analysis of the cfDNA by NGS could identify the infectious microorganism within 30 h [16]. Notably, the results of NGS analysis are highly correlated with the results of blood cultures of the pathogens. Using NGS to analyze cfDNA in plasma, Hong *et al.* demonstrated fungal cfDNA is detectable in patients experiencing invasive fungal infection [17]. In this study, using NGS, we conducted a pilot research to detect circulating pathogen-derived cfDNA in plasma and evaluate its association with the disease status of MAC-LD.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### Study design and follow-up

This is a prospective cohort study that was conducted from August 2018 to April 2019 at Taipei Veterans General Hospital, a tertiary referral medical center in northern Taiwan. We enrolled adult patients aged  $\geq 20$  years with MAC-LD who met the diagnostic criteria [18]. We selected patients with MAC-LD if the patient met these criteria: (1) chest high-resolution computed tomography scan showing compatible findings for NTM-LD, (2) sputum culture positive for MAC without mixed infection with other NTM species, and (3) exclusion of other disorders, such as TB. For a control case, we included a patient with TB whose sputum culture was positive for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.

We recorded general data, including age, sex, smoking, radiographic score [19], results of sputum acid-fast stain, and underlying diseases. We observed all patients for at least 1 year to discern whether there was the progression of MAC-LD, determined by either new radiographic changes during follow-up before treatment or clinical decline requiring antibiotic therapy [9]. All participants provided written informed consent (Institutional Review Boards of Taipei Veterans General Hospital, No. 2017-12-001C).

### Blood sampling and next-generation sequencing

After obtaining informed consent from each patient, peripheral blood samples were collected. We used K2-EDTA vacutainer tubes (BD) to collect blood (8–10 mL) for plasma preparation. The blood was centrifuged for 10 min at

1500 rpm (430 g) to obtain the plasma samples within 2 h. QIAamp Blood DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) was used to extract cfDNA from 400  $\mu$ L of each plasma sample. The eluted samples were stored in microcentrifuge tubes at -80°C [15]. cfDNA was used for NGS library construction by performing end-repairing, a-overhang adding, and adaptor ligation [20]. This library preparation was performed using QIAseq Ultralow Input Library Kit (Qiagen), and the library was then pooled equally for sequencing (Illumina MiSeq sequencer, 2 × 150 bp with more than 5M reads). Sequences were trimmed based on quality values (Q30), and the trimmed reads were used in the ensuing analysis. In accordance with the protocol established in prior studies, the relative cfDNA level of each microbial was reported in MCRPM (Microbial cfDNA Reads Per Million quality PE reads) [21].

### Taxonomic classification analysis

Kraken 2 is the newest version of the taxonomic classification system. By using exact k-mer matches, accuracy is higher and classification speeds increase [22]. This classifier matches each k-mer within a query sequence to the lowest common ancestor of all genomes containing the given k-mer. The k-mer assignments inform the classification algorithm. We updated the Kraken database with human reference genome (version GRCh38), 18,832 bacterial genomes, 9332 viral reference genomes, 360 archaea genomes, and 58 fungi genomes. Furthermore, the NCBI BLAST program was installed locally for checking the reads, which were unmapped in the human reference genome. For all BLAST analyses, each read with 100% identity was summarized as described in a previous study [21].

### Mycobacterium avium complex subspecies identification

To correlate the results of plasma NGS and subspecies of MAC isolates in patients, we extracted the bacterial DNA from MAC isolates in sputum cultures and performed a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify the target DNAs, namely the RNA polymerase subunit beta gene and the 16S-23S ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer region [23-25]. We used the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool and the National Centre for Biotechnology Information database to determine the sequence of MAC subspecies, including *M. avium, Mycobacterium intracellulare* or *Mycobacterium chimaera*, and others [9,25].

### Statistics

All data are presented as either a number, percentage, or mean  $\pm$  standard deviation based on what was deemed to be appropriate. Categorical variables were analyzed using Fisher's exact tests. Two-sided *t*-tests or Mann–Whitney *U*-tests were conducted for continuous variables when comparing two groups. The chemotherapy response rate was compared between the two groups. P < 0.05 were statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 19.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

### RESULTS

## Patients and next-generation sequencing mapping summary

We enrolled five patients with MAC-LD into an NGS survey targeting plasma cfDNA of nonhuman pathogens and included one pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) case as a reference control [Table 1]. The mean age of patients with MAC-LD was  $75.8 \pm 12$  years. One patient with MAC-LD was male, as was the one PTB control case. Three of five patients with MAC-LD were sputum smear positive for Acid-fast bacilli. Regarding radiographic findings, one patient with MAC-LD and the PTB patient had cavitary lung lesions. Each patient with MAC-LD had a severe and progressive disease requiring antibiotic treatment.

According to the results of cfDNA sequencing and mapping (MAC-1, MAC-2, MAC-3, MAC-4, MAC-5, and TB-1), the count of reads ranged from nearly one million to 35 million [Table 2]. Specifically, the human classified reads, Homo sapiens, accounted for 99.66%–99.97% among the patients, whereas the nonhuman classified reads accounted for 0.01%–0.02%, and the nonhuman unclassified reads accounted for 0.02%–0.32%. Of note, the nonhuman classified reads (identified microbial cfDNA) were higher than 1000 in MAC-2 and MAC-3 but were lower in MAC-1, MAC-4, MAC-5, and TB-1.

## Nonhuman reads and *Mycobacterium avium* complex-specific cell-free DNA

The nonhuman reads were summed and normalized to reflect the relative levels of cfDNA fragments in the plasma [Table 3]. To minimize the influence of nonspecific species, we excluded bacterial species whose MCRMP <0.1 [21]. The most common bacteria among MAC-LD patients and PTB patients were *Ralstonia solanacearum, Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Pasteurella multocida*. The pie charts of two patients with MAC-LD (MAC-2 and MAC-3) are illustrated in Figure 1. The number of bacterial species determined by NGS for plasma cfDNA was higher in patients with MAC-LD than in patient with PTB.

Of note, the cfDNA derived from *Mycobacterium spp*. were revealed in patients with MAC-LD (MAC-2, MAC-3), however, the MCRMP was relatively low. The two detectable MAC-specific cfDNAs in the two MAC-LD patients were DNA polymerase III alpha subunit and ATP-binding cassette transporters permease. The sizes of the two cfDNA were 151 bp and 152 bp, respectively [Supplement File]. The plasma from the three other MAC-LD and the one PTB control were negative for any NTM-derived cfDNA or MTB-derived cfDNA. Thus, 40% (2/5) of the MAC-LD patients tested positive for MAC-cfDNA. The subspecies identification of MAC in both patients (MAC-2, MAC-3) was *M. chimaera*, which harbors the sequences of DNA polymerase III alpha subunit and ATP-binding cassette transporters permease.

### Features of patients with detectable *Mycobacterium avium* complex-cell-free DNA

As listed in Table 4, patients with or without detectable MAC-cfDNA were similar in age, sex, BMI, underlying diseases, and cavity lesions. However, patients with detectable MAC-cfDNA were more likely to have a smear-positive sputum and a higher radiographic score, although there was no statistical significance due to the limited number of cases (P = 0.400 and P = 0.076). Interestingly, the two patients with MAC-cfDNA positivity presented a higher number of nonhuman reads than did patients without cfDNA positivity (P = 0.083).

#### Table 1: General data

	MAC-LD ( <i>n</i> =5), <i>n</i> (%)	PTB (n=1), n (%)
Age (year)	75.8±12	77
Sex (%)	20 male	0 male
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	19.20±2.27	24.59
Underlying disease		
DM	40	0
Malignancy	0	0
CKD	0	0
Bronchiectasis	3 (60)	0
Interstitial lung disease	1 (20)	0
Sputum smear positivity	3 (60)	0
Sputum smear grade	$1.2 \pm 1.3$	0
Cavitary lung lesion	20	100

BMI: Body mass index, DM: Diabetes mellitus, CKD: Chronic kidney disease, MAC-LD: *Mycobacterium avium* complex-lung disease, PTB: Pulmonary tuberculosis

Table 2: Mapping summary		
Species	Count of reads	Percentage
MAC-1		
Homo sapiens	10,335,278	99.97
Nonhuman classified	590	0.01
Nonhuman unclassified	2194	0.02
MAC-2		
Homo sapiens	18,303,338	99.94
Nonhuman classified	1320	0.008
Nonhuman unclassified	8798	0.05
MAC-3		
Homo sapiens	35,056,279	99.84
Nonhuman classified	4268	0.02
Nonhuman unclassified	50,250	0.14
MAC-4		
Homo sapiens	12,274,815	99.95
Nonhuman classified	700	< 0.01
Nonhuman unclassified	5846	0.05
MAC-5		
Homo sapiens	1,483,017	99.66
Nonhuman classified	266	0.02
Nonhuman unclassified	4741	0.32
PTB-1		
Homo sapiens	5,024,817	99.88
Nonhuman classified	287	0.01
Nonhuman unclassified	5577	0.11

PTB: Pulmonary tuberculosis, *M. avium: Mycobacterium avium*, MAC: *M. avium* complex

### DISCUSSION

This is the first study to assess the detectability of pathogen-derived cfDNA in patients with MAC-LD. This pilot study reports 40% of patients with MAC-LD had MAC-specific cfDNA as detected by NGS tests. Specifically, the two cfDNA with MAC-compatible sequences were DNA polymerase III alpha subunit and ATP-binding cassette transporters permease.

Using microbial cfDNA as a tool to detect pathogens that cause infectious diseases is a novel approach that is increasing in popularity. A few studies have demonstrated



Figure 1: Pie chart of bacterium among MAC-LD (MAC-2 and MAC-3). MAC-LD: Mycobacterium avium complex-lung disease

the effectiveness of this approach for detecting mycobacterial infections using PCR-based methods to detect *M. tuberculosis* (MTB)-derived cfDNA in patients

with tuberculosis. However, the methodologies in some of these studies do not seem suitable for cfDNA isolation and detection [26]. In addition, the results of sensitivity and

Table 3: Classification of non-human reads		Table 3: Contd			
Count	MCRPM	Annotation	Count	MCRPM	Annotation
MAC-1			MAC-3		
252	48.75	S. aureus	6	0.342857	B. vesicularis
119	23.02	R. solanacearum	6	0.342857	R. gummiphilus
99	19.15	P. multocida	6	0.342857	Torque teno
27	5.22	Hvdrogenophaga sp.	3	0.171429	B. naejangsanensis
27	5.22	N. gonorrhoeae	3	0.171429	C. aquatic
13	2.51	A. baumannii	3	0.171429	D. radiodurans
8	1.55	K. pneumoniae	3	0.171429	D. tsuruhatensis
7	1.35	B. multivorans	3	0.171429	D. soudanensis
5	0.97	C acnes	3	0.171429	Formosa sp.
MAC-2	0.57		3	0 171429	Hepatitis B
508	55 52	R solanacearum	3	0.171429	L. lactis
356	38.91	Human endogenous retrovirus K 113	3	0 171429	Melaminivora sp
336	36.72	S aureus	3	0 171429	M radiotolerans
204	22.30	P. multocida	3	0.171429	M. terrae
79	8 63	R nickettii	3	0.171429	M. chimaera
57	6.23	Hydrogenonhaga sp NH-16	3	0.171429	M. central M. veatsii
41	0.25	N gonorrhogga	3	0.171429	Pseudomonas sp
30	3.28	Proteus phage VB ProiS Isfahan	3	0.171429	P anythropolis
30	2.92	V moumoniae	3	0.171429	R. eryinropolis
33 27	5.85	K. pneumonide	3	0.171429	S. enterica
5/	4.04		3	0.171429	Simble sime
18	1.97	A. baumannu	3	0.171429	Simbu virus
14	1.53	M. restricta	3	0.171429	S. natans
13	1.42	C. acnes	3	0.171429	S. macrogoliabiaa
1	0.11	M. chimaera	3	0.171429	S. thermophiles
	0.11	M. yongonense	3	0.1/1429	Y. lipolytica
MAC-3	1464		MAC-4	22.75	c.
2562	146.4	R. solanacearum	273	22.75	S. aureus
2238	127.8857	S. aureus	259	21.58	R. solanacearum
1797	102.6857	Human endogenous	229	19.08	Human endogenous retrovirus
1239	70.8	P. multocida	143	11.92	P. multocida
609	34.8	Proteus phage	34	2.83	N. gonorrhoeae
372	21.25714	K. pneumoniae	32	2.67	<i>Hydrogenophaga</i> sp.
327	18.68571	N. gonorrhoeae	25	2.08	K. pneumoniae
312	17.82857	Hydrogenophaga sp.	14	1.17	C. dublinensis
186	10.62857	B. thuringiensis	12	1.00	C. acnes
93	5.314286	R. pickettii	12	1.00	A. baumannii
84	4.8	M. restricta	10	0.83	Clostridioides difficile
69	3.942857	A. baumannii	8	0.67	Alcanivorax sp.
54	3.085714	BeAn 58058	6	0.50	B. pseudomallei
51	2.914286	B. cereus	6	0.50	F. verticillioides
48	2.742857	S. paucimobilis	5	0.42	Proteus phage
36	2.057143	E. coli	4	0.33	P. mutanolyticus
30	1.714286	S. haemolyticus	4	0.33	Roseomonas sp.
27	1.542857	B. pseudomallei	3	0.25	F. fujikuroi
27	1.542857	Clostridioides difficile	3	0.25	A. fumigatus
27	1.542857	P. mutanolyticus	2	0.17	N. castellii
18	1.028571	Alcanivorax sp.	2	0.17	P. sihuiensis
15	0.857143	T. phaffii	2	0.17	P. chlamydosporia
12	0.685714	C. acnes	2	0.17	D. tsuruhatensis
12	0.685714	F. oxysporum	2	0.17	Rhizobium sp.
12	0.685714	M. osloensis	2	0.17	R. mucosa
12	0.685714	P. melaninogenica	2	0.17	Chryseobacterium sp.
12	0.685714	P. putida	2	0.17	F. oxysporum
9	0.514286	C. higginsianum	2	0.17	C. segmentosum
9	0.514286	G. vaginalis	2	0.17	E. coli
9	0.514286	M. aquaticum	2	0.17	L. mirabilis

Contd...

Contd...

Table 3: Co	ntd	
Count	MCRPM	Annotation
MAC-4		
2	0.17	B. velezensis
2	0.17	B. thuringiensis
2	0.17	B. thuringiensis
2	0.17	C. taklimakanense
2	0.17	BeAn 58058 virus
MAC-5		
56	37.76	Coliphage phi-X174
34	22.93	S. aureus
25	16.86	Human endogenous
21	14.16	C. dublinensis
18	12.14	R. solanacearum
16	10.79	K. pneumoniae
16	10.79	E. hormaechei
16	10.79	C. taiwanensis
11	7.42	P. multocida
11	7.42	K. pneumoniae
10	6.74	C. perfringens
10	6.74	B. hansenii
9	6.07	A. hadrus
8	5.39	A. hallii
8	5.39	L. mucosae
8	5 39	Enterobacter sp
8	5.39	Proteus phage
8	5 39	Blautia sp
7	4 72	S equinus
7	4 72	S enterica
, 7	4 72	B multivorans
, 7	4 72	B. dorej
7	4.72	C malonaticus
6	4.05	E prausnitzi
5	4.03	P. adolescentis
5	3.37	E rectale
1	2 70	B. conacia
4	2.70	D. cepuciu
4	2.70	A. baumannii N. maningitidag
4	2.70	N. meningulues
4	2.70	K. pickettit
4	2.70	Hydrogenopnaga sp.
3 2	2.02	Musuaria sp.
∠ 2	1.33	n. gonorrnoede
2	1.35	
2	1.35	Clostriaioides difficile
2	1.35	B. bifidum
2	1.35	K. gelatinosus
2	1.35	S. multivorans
2	1.35	Alcanivorax sp.
1	0.67	M. abscessus
1	0.67	BeAn 58058
1	0.67	E. cylindroides
PTB-1		
141	28.2	S. aureus
84	16.8	Human endogenous retrovirus
65	13	R. solanacearum
49	9.8	P. multocida
33	6.6	Hydrogenophaga sp.
4	0.8	N. gonorrhoeae
4	0.8	M restricta

Contd...

Table 3: Contd			
Count	MCRPM	Annotation	
PTB-1			
2	0.4	C. acnes	
2	0.4	Alcanivorax sp.	
2	0.4	M. hominis	
2	0.4	Pseudomonas sp.	
2	0.4	C. segmentosum	
2	0.4	A. baumannii	
2	0.4	Clostridioides difficile	
2	0.4	BeAn 58058	
2	0.4	K. pneumoniae	
2	0.4	P. sputorum	
1	0.2	Pseudomonas sp.	
1	0.2	Pseudomonas sp.	
1	0.2	B. cereus	

cfDNA: Cell-free DNA, MCPRM: Microbial cfDNA reads per million, M. avium: Mycobacterium avium, MAC: M. avium complex, S. aureus: Staphylococcus aureus, R. solanacearum: Ralstonia solanacearum, P. multocida: Pasteurella multocida, N. gonorrhoeae: Neisseria gonorrhoeae, A. baumannii: Acinetobacter baumannii, K. pneumoniae: Klebsiella pneumonia, B. multivorans: Burkholderia multivorans, C. acnes: Cutibacterium acnes, R. pickettii: Ralstonia pickettii, M. restricta: Malassezia restricta, M. chimaera: Mycobacterium chimaera, M. yongonense: Mycobacterium yongonense, B. thuringiensis: Bacillus thuringiensis, B. cereus: Bacillus cereus, S. paucimobilis: Sphingomonas paucimobilis, E. coli: Escherichia coli, S. haemolyticus: Staphylococcus haemolyticus, B. pseudomallei: Burkholderia pseudomallei, P. mutanolyticus: Paracoccus mutanolyticus. T. phaffii: Tetrapisispora phaffii, F. oxysporum: Fusarium oxysporum, M. osloensis: Moraxella osloensis, P. melaninogenica: Prevotella melaninogenica, P. putida: Pseudomonas putida, C. higginsianum: Colletotrichum higginsianum, G. vaginalis: Gardnerella vaginalis, M. aquaticum: Methylobacterium aquaticum, B. vesicularis: Brevundimonas vesicularis, R. gummiphilus: Rhizobacter gummiphilus, B. naejangsanensis: Brevundimonas naejangsanensis, C. aquatic: Comamonas aquatic, D. radiodurans: Deinococcus radiodurans, D. tsuruhatensis: Delftia tsuruhatensis, D. soudanensis: Desulfuromonas soudanensis, L. lactis: Lactococcus lactis, M. radiotolerans: Methylobacterium radiotolerans, M. terrae: Methylobacterium terrae, M. yeatsii: Mycoplasma yeatsii, R. erythropolis: Rhodococcus erythropolis, S. enterica: Salmonella enterica, S. natans: Sphaerotilus natans, S. macrogoltabida: Sphingopyxis macrogoltabida, S. thermophiles: Streptococcus thermophiles, Y. lipolytica: Yarrowia lipolytica, C. dublinensis: Cronobacter dublinensis, F. verticillioides: Fusarium verticillioides, F. fujikuroi: Fusarium fujikuroi, A. fumigatus: Aspergillus fumigatus, N. castellii: Naumovozyma castellii, P. sihuiensis: Pseudomonas sihuiensis, P. chlamydosporia: Pochonia chlamydosporia, R. mucosa: Roseomonas mucosa, C. segmentosum: Corynebacterium segmentosum, L. mirabilis: Lautropia mirabilis, B. velezensis: Bacillus velezensis, C. taklimakanense: Chryseobacterium taklimakanense, E. hormaechei: Enterobacter hormaechei, C. taiwanensis: Cupriavidus taiwanensis, C. perfringens: Clostridium perfringens, B. hansenii: Blautia hansenii, A. hadrus: Anaerostipes hadrus, A. hallii: Anaerobutyricum hallii, L. mucosae: Lactobacillus mucosae, S. equinus: Streptococcus equinus, B. dorei: Bacteroides dorei, C. malonaticus: Cronobacter malonaticus, F. prausnitzii: Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, B. adolescentis: Bifidobacterium adolescentis, E. rectale: Eubacterium rectale, B. cepacia: Burkholderia cepacia, N. meningitides: Neisseria meningitides, B. bifidum: Bifidobacterium bifidum, R. gelatinosus: Rubrivivax gelatinosus, S. multivorans: Sulfurospirillum multivorans, M. abscessus: Mycobacteroides abscessus, E. cylindroides: Eubacterium cylindroides, M. hominis: Mycoplasma hominis, P. sputorum: Pandoraea sputorum, C. difficile: Clostridioides difficile

specificity testing in these studies were highly variable [26]. Pan *et al.*, used MTB-cfDNA to identify PTB in participants and reported a sensitivity of 54.2%, and the sensitivity

	MAC cfDNA	MAC cfDNA	Р
	positive (n=2),	negative ( <i>n</i> =3),	
	n (%)	n (%)	
Age (years)	79.5±10.6	73.33±14.47	0.564
Sex (male)	1 (50)	0	0.400
BMI	17.62±1.72	20.25±2.17	0.248
Cavitary lesion	0	1 (33.3)	1.000
Underlying disease			
DM	1 (50)	1 (33.3)	1.000
Malignancy	0	0	
CKD	0	0	
Bronchiectasis	1 (50)	2 (66.7)	1.000
Interstitial lung disease	1 (50)	0	0.400
Sputum smear positive	2 (100)	1 (33.3)	0.400
Sputum smear grade	1.50±0.71	1.0±1.73	0.554
Radiographic score	10.0±0	7.33±1.53	0.076
Nonhuman read	2794.0±2084.0	518.7±225.6	0.083

 Table 4: Clinical characteristics in enrolled patients with and without detectable Mycobacterium avium complex-cell-free

 DNA in next-generation sequencing analysis

BMI: Body mass index, DM: Diabetes mellitus, CKD: Chronic kidney disease, *M. avium: Mycobacterium avium*, MAC: *M. avium* complex, cfDNA: Cell-free DNA

increased to 79.2% after incorporating a blood monocyte to lymphocyte ratio  $\geq 0.42$  into the analysis [15]. According to Amy Oreskovic *et al.*'s study that yielded a higher cfDNA detection rate for active tuberculosis, MTB-cfDNA would more likely be detected in patients with a smear-positive disease or a disease with greater lung involvement [14]. However, whether NTM-derived cfDNA can be detected in patients with active NTM-LD remains unclear.

In this study, we confirmed NTM-derived cfDNA can be detected in patients with NTM-LD. The DNA fragments were DNA polymerase III alpha subunit and ATP-binding cassette transporters permease, which were found to have similar sequences to those of standard strains of MAC. The alpha subunit is a component of DNA polymerase III, and its functions include catalytic polymerase activity and DNA synthesis. The alpha subunit is encoded by the DNAE gene [27]. DNA polymerase III has been reported to be related to DNA replication in MTB [28]. ATP-binding cassette transporters are a transport system unique to bacteria, and the transport system is known to influence the lengthy survival of MTB within a host [29]. Although the MCRPM of 0.11 and 0.67 for MAC spp. were relatively low compared with the MCRPM for other bacteria in this study, this result is in line with a study of patients with early breast cancer that had MCRPMs ranging from 0.12 to 0.38 for Mycobacterium spp. [21]. Although MCRPM results of microbial cfDNA are reliable [30], additional studies with a larger number of NTM patients are warranted to evaluate definitive cutoff values. To improve the sensitivity of using the microbial cfDNA method to identify patients with MAC-LD, in the future, it would be worthwhile to design a more specific quantitative PCR/ droplet digital PCR primer/probe for MAC-cfDNA.

Using an NGS-based method to detect microbial cfDNA, the most common bacteria in our MAC and PTB

patients were R. solanacearum, S. aureus, and P. multocida. *R. solanacearum* is a Gram-negative bacillus. It is a soil-borne microbe and is known as a plant pathogenic bacteremia [31]. It has been reported to be associated with ventilator use, as the risk of Ralstonia spp. colonization and infection increases for patients receiving mechanical ventilation [32]. S. aureus is a Gram-positive coccus. Nearly 30% of healthy people are colonized by S. aureus without exhibiting any symptoms. S. aureus can cause a variety of diseases, such as cellulitis, pneumonia, and infectious endocarditis. It is worth noting the resistance of S. aureus to oxacillin is a health threat [33]. P. multocida is a Gram-negative bacillus that causes a wide range of diseases in a variety of hosts such as cattle, pigs, sheep, and fowl, and human infections have also been reported [34]. Each of these referenced studies has demonstrated these bacteria can exist in humans without causing an infectious disease. In our study, none of the patients received a blood culture at that time, which indicated that there is no infection sign clinically. Since the origins of these pathogen-derived cfDNA are unknown, more data are needed to evaluate the clinical significance of the bacterial cfDNA detected in MAC and TB patients.

In addition, we could also make a diagnosis faster. In routine clinical procedures, the diagnosis of NTM-LD is often delayed due to nonspecific clinical symptoms, image findings, and several times of sputum collection [6]. In routine clinical procedures, TB culture, sputum NTM real-time PCR test, which included M. avium, M. intracellulare, or other pathogens of sputum samples will be prescribed directly for symptomatic patients. However, using the sputum NTM real-time PCR test to confirm whether it is MAC can take up to 3 days from the collection to the issuance of the PCR report. In terms of time series, this experiment is designed to wait for sputum culture positive for MAC without mixed infection with other NTM species for about 30 days, and it takes just 30 h to get the analysis results of many pathogenic bacteria except MAC after receiving the case of blood NGS. Previous studies showed NGS methods could help the diagnosis of tuberculosis [35,36]. However, the data of NGS for MAC-LD is still lacking.

There are some limitations of this study. First, as a pilot study, the sample size is relatively small. Using cfDNA to detect MAC was possible in our trial, but more data from a large-scale study are needed for further confirmation. Second, there were cfDNA from other bacteria found in our study. Whether these bacteria correlate with mycobacterial infection requires additional investigation. Third, in this study, we enrolled neither healthy people nor patients with pulmonary NTM colonization. Finally, due to the limitations of experimental design and case selection, the use of NGS to design this experiment is not superior to the current clinical routine test results. A healthy control group and a nondiseased control group with MAC colonization should be included in the future to assess the specificity of using MAC-cfDNA to detect MAC-LD.

### CONCLUSION

Our study demonstrated 40% of patients with MAC-LD

had MAC-specific cfDNA as detected by NGS tests. Our proof-of-concept study suggests future PCR-based cfDNA studies should consider using MAC-specific cfDNA, including polymerase III alpha subunit and ATP-binding cassette transporters permease, as targets to assist in evaluating MAC-LD patients. Since MAC-LD has become an emergent pulmonary disease, future studies exploring the clinical value of using NGS tests to detect MAC-specific cfDNA in patients are warranted.

### Data availability statement

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

### Acknowledgment

We also would like thank the Medical Science and Technology Building of the Taipei Veterans General Hospital for providing research facilities.

### Financial support and sponsorship

We thank the National Science and Technology Council, Taiwan [110-2314-B-075 -077, 111-2314-B-075 -059], and Taipei Veterans General Hospital [V110C-045, V111C-037, V112C-142] for supporting this study.

### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

### References

- Lee H, Myung W, Koh WJ, Moon SM, Jhun BW. Epidemiology of nontuberculous mycobacterial infection, South Korea, 2007-2016. Emerg Infect Dis 2019;25:569-72.
- Prevots DR, Marras TK. Epidemiology of human pulmonary infection with nontuberculous mycobacteria: A review. Clin Chest Med 2015;36:13-34.
- Jeong BH, Jeon K, Park HY, Kim SY, Lee KS, Huh HJ, et al. Intermittent antibiotic therapy for nodular bronchiectatic *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2015;191:96-103.
- Busatto C, Vianna JS, da Silva LV Junior, Ramis IB, da Silva PE. Mycobacterium avium: An overview. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2019;114:127-34.
- Field SK, Fisher D, Cowie RL. *Mycobacterium avium* complex pulmonary disease in patients without HIV infection. Chest 2004;126:566-81.
- Daley CL, Winthrop KL. *Mycobacterium avium* complex: Addressing gaps in diagnosis and management. J Infect Dis 2020;222:S199-211.
- Daley CL, Iaccarino JM, Lange C, Cambau E, Wallace RJ Jr., Andrejak C, et al. Treatment of nontuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary disease: An official ATS/ERS/ESCMID/IDSA clinical practice guideline. Eur Respir J 2020;56:2000535.
- Haworth CS, Banks J, Capstick T, Fisher AJ, Gorsuch T, Laurenson IF, et al. British Thoracic Society guidelines for the management of non-tuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary disease (NTM-PD). Thorax 2017;72:i1-64.
- Pan SW, Shu CC, Feng JY, Chien JY, Wang JY, Chan YJ, et al. Impact of different subspecies on disease progression in initially untreated patients with *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease. Clin Microbiol Infect 2021;27:467.e9-e14.
- Volik S, Alcaide M, Morin RD, Collins C. Cell-free DNA (cfDNA): Clinical significance and utility in cancer shaped by emerging technologies. Mol Cancer Res 2016;14:898-908.
- 11. McLaughlin RW, Vali H, Lau PC, Palfree RG, De Ciccio A, Sirois M, et al. Are there naturally occurring pleomorphic bacteria in the blood of

healthy humans? J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:4771-5.

- Nikkari S, McLaughlin IJ, Bi W, Dodge DE, Relman DA. Does blood of healthy subjects contain bacterial ribosomal DNA? J Clin Microbiol 2001;39:1956-9.
- Dinakaran V, Rathinavel A, Pushpanathan M, Sivakumar R, Gunasekaran P, Rajendhran J. Elevated levels of circulating DNA in cardiovascular disease patients: Metagenomic profiling of microbiome in the circulation. PLoS One 2014;9:e105221.
- Oreskovic A, Panpradist N, Marangu D, Ngwane MW, Magcaba ZP, Ngcobo S, et al. Diagnosing pulmonary tuberculosis by using sequence-specific purification of urine cell-free DNA. J Clin Microbiol 2021;59:e0007421.
- Pan SW, Su WJ, Chan YJ, Chuang FY, Feng JY, Chen YM. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*-derived circulating cell-free DNA in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis and persons with latent tuberculosis infection. PLoS One 2021;16:e0253879.
- Grumaz S, Stevens P, Grumaz C, Decker SO, Weigand MA, Hofer S, et al. Next-generation sequencing diagnostics of bacteremia in septic patients. Genome Med 2016;8:73.
- Hong DK, Blauwkamp TA, Kertesz M, Bercovici S, Truong C, Banaei N. Liquid biopsy for infectious diseases: Sequencing of cell-free plasma to detect pathogen DNA in patients with invasive fungal disease. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2018;92:210-3.
- Griffith DE, Aksamit T, Brown-Elliott BA, Catanzaro A, Daley C, Gordin F, et al. An official ATS/IDSA statement: Diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of nontuberculous mycobacterial diseases. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2007;175:367-416.
- Snider GL, Doctor L, Demas TA, Shaw AR. Obstructive airway disease in patients with treated pulmonary tuberculosis. Am Rev Respir Dis 1971;103:625-40.
- Harkins KM, Schaefer NK, Troll CJ, Rao V, Kapp J, Naughton C, et al. A novel NGS library preparation method to characterize native termini of fragmented DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 2020;48:e47.
- Huang YF, Chen YJ, Fan TC, Chang NC, Chen YJ, Midha MK, et al. Analysis of microbial sequences in plasma cell-free DNA for early-onset breast cancer patients and healthy females. BMC Med Genomics 2018;11:16.
- 22. Wood DE, Lu J, Langmead B. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. Genome Biol 2019;20:257.
- Moon SM, Kim SY, Jhun BW, Lee H, Park HY, Jeon K, et al. Clinical characteristics and treatment outcomes of pulmonary disease caused by *Mycobacterium chimaera*. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2016;86:382-4.
- Roth A, Fischer M, Hamid ME, Michalke S, Ludwig W, Mauch H. Differentiation of phylogenetically related slowly growing mycobacteria based on 16S-23S rRNA gene internal transcribed spacer sequences. J Clin Microbiol 1998;36:139-47.
- Ben Salah I, Adékambi T, Raoult D, Drancourt M. rpoB sequence-based identification of *Mycobacterium avium* complex species. Microbiology (Reading) 2008;154:3715-23.
- Fernández-Carballo BL, Broger T, Wyss R, Banaei N, Denkinger CM. Toward the development of a circulating free DNA-based *in vitro* diagnostic test for infectious diseases: A review of evidence for tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol 2019;57:e01234-18.
- Reyes-Lamothe R, Sherratt DJ, Leake MC. Stoichiometry and architecture of active DNA replication machinery in *Escherichia coli*. Science 2010;328:498-501.
- Gu S, Li W, Zhang H, Fleming J, Yang W, Wang S, et al. The β2 clamp in the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* DNA polymerase III αβ2ε replicase promotes polymerization and reduces exonuclease activity. Sci Rep 2016;6:18418.
- Soni DK, Dubey SK, Bhatnagar R. ATP-binding cassette (ABC) import systems of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: Target for drug and vaccine development. Emerg Microbes Infect 2020;9:207-20.

- Chiu KP, Yu AL. Application of cell-free DNA sequencing in characterization of bloodborne microbes and the study of microbe-disease interactions. PeerJ 2019;7:e7426.
- Xue H, Lozano-Durán R, Macho AP. Insights into the root invasion by the plant pathogenic bacterium *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Plants (Basel) 2020;9:516.
- 32. Waugh JB, Granger WM, Gaggar A. Incidence, relevance and response for *Ralsfonia* respiratory infections. Clin Lab Sci 2010;23:99-106.
- Zhou K, Li C, Chen D, Pan Y, Tao Y, Qu W, et al. A review on nanosystems as an effective approach against infections of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Int J Nanomedicine 2018;13:7333-47.
- Harper M, Boyce JD, Adler B. *Pasteurella multocida* pathogenesis: 125 years after Pasteur. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2006;265:1-10.
- 35. Smith C, Halse TA, Shea J, Modestil H, Fowler RC, Musser KA, et al. Assessing nanopore sequencing for clinical diagnostics: A comparison of Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) methods for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Clin Microbiol 2020;59:e00583-20.
- Acharya B, Acharya A, Gautam S, Ghimire SP, Mishra G, Parajuli N, et al. Advances in diagnosis of tuberculosis: An update into molecular diagnosis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Mol Biol Rep 2020;47:4065-75.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL