Review Article Pluripotency Factors on Their Lineage Move

Clair E. Weidgang,^{1,2} Thomas Seufferlein,¹ Alexander Kleger,¹ and Martin Mueller¹

¹Department of Internal Medicine I, Ulm University Hospital, 89069 Ulm, Germany ²Department of Anesthesiology, Ulm University Hospital, 89069 Ulm, Germany

Correspondence should be addressed to Clair E. Weidgang; clair.weidgang@uni-ulm.de and Alexander Kleger; alexander.kleger@uniklinik-ulm.de

Received 14 May 2015; Revised 30 July 2015; Accepted 3 August 2015

Academic Editor: Kodandaramireddy Nalapareddy

Copyright © 2016 Clair E. Weidgang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Pluripotent stem cells are characterised by continuous self-renewal while maintaining the potential to differentiate into cells of all three germ layers. Regulatory networks of maintaining pluripotency have been described in great detail and, similarly, there is great knowledge on key players that regulate their differentiation. Interestingly, pluripotency has various shades with distinct developmental potential, an observation that coined the term of a ground state of pluripotency. A precise interplay of signalling axes regulates ground state conditions and acts in concert with a combination of key transcription factors. The balance between these transcription factors greatly influences the integrity of the pluripotency network and latest research suggests that minute changes in their expression can strengthen but also collapse the network. Moreover, recent studies reveal different facets of these core factors in balancing a controlled and directed exit from pluripotency. Thereby, subsets of pluripotency-maintaining factors have been shown to adopt new roles during lineage specification and have been globally defined towards neuroectodermal and mesendodermal sets of embryonic stem cell genes. However, detailed underlying insights into how these transcription factors orchestrate cell fate decisions remain largely elusive. Our group and others unravelled complex interactions in the regulation of this controlled exit. Herein, we summarise recent findings and discuss the potential mechanisms involved.

1. Introduction

Pluripotency represents three essential features: first the capacity of indefinite self-renewal, second the ability of giving rise to differentiated progeny of nearly all lineages of the mature organism, and last the generation of chimeric embryos upon injection into the inner cell mass (ICM) of a blastocyst [1]. The complexity of the regulatory networks, which maintain pluripotency, has previously been described [2]. Complex interactions between signalling axes precisely regulate various states of pluripotency, such as the ground and the primed state, and act in concert with a combination of key transcription factors (TFs). Vice versa, we have gained a great body of knowledge on key players guiding pluripotent stem cells (PSCs) towards differentiation [3, 4]. In line, regulatory networks both in PSCs and in the developing organism are tightly balanced as small changes can break down the entire pluripotency network leading to differentiation [3]. In recent

years, studies have revealed novel facets of these core factors balancing a controlled exit of pluripotency and guiding early steps of differentiation [5]. Herein, subsets of pluripotencymaintaining factors have been shown to adopt new roles during lineage specification and have been grouped into neuroectodermal and mesendodermal sets of embryonic stem cell genes [5]. Accordingly, for example, Nanog, Tbx3, Klf5, and Oct3/4 regulate the exit towards mesendoderm while Sox2 regulates differentiation towards a neuroectodermal fate [5]. However, detailed underlying mechanisms of how these TFs orchestrate cell fate decisions remain largely elusive. Aiming to close this gap of knowledge, we have recently studied the mechanism of Tbx3 to regulate mesendodermal fate. Briefly, we have defined novel facets of Tbx3 which directly activates core regulators of the mesendodermal lineage and indirectly induces differentiation via a paracrine Nodal signalling loop [6]. Thus, our data illustrate the dual complexity of pluripotency TFs to gate fate determination.

In turn, the current review will at first give a brief insight into essential signalling pathways and TFs maintaining the self-renewal state. Secondly, we will summarise recent findings of pluripotency-associated factors that have an important impact on early lineage specification processes.

2. ESC Pluripotency and Its Signalling Pathways

Most of the knowledge on pluripotency has been obtained using mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) as a research tool [8-11]. In vitro pluripotency has various shades mirroring distinct in vivo counterparts, an observation which has led to the definition of two pluripotency states: naïve and primed [12–14]. Herein, the early ICM of the blastocyst requires a maturation step to obtain clonal pluripotent colonies, while E4 and E4.5 preimplantation epiblast cells from the blastocyst robustly give rise to naïve pluripotent cells capable of singlecell culture [15]. To capture naïve pluripotency in vitro, cells isolated from the ICM are cultured serum-free in the presence of leukaemia inhibitory factor (LIF) and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) or under LIF/2i-culture conditions (outlined below) [16, 17]. In contrast, cells derived from the postimplantation epiblast are not any longer single-cell culture permissive and require distinct signalling input such as FGF- (fibroblast growth factor-) supplementation to remain within the pluripotent state. These cells are in vitro referred to as epiblast stem cells (EpiSCs). They are characterised by primed pluripotency and exhibit a slightly reduced differential potential, thus surrogating a more advanced pregastrulation stage [18, 19]. A large body of knowledge has been gained in the past years, deciphering signalling axes with an impact on the pluripotent state. Basically, the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling pathway and glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3) signalling pathway negatively influence pluripotency, while LIF/STAT3 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 3) and BMP/SMAD (mothers against decapentaplegic) signalling are complementary beneficial [16, 17].

2.1. LIF/STAT3 Signalling. mESCs are commonly retained in the pluripotent state by culturing them on a feeder layer composed of mouse inactivated fibroblasts (MEFs) in the presence of the cytokine LIF [20-22]. LIF functions via a complex signalling axis and finally activates core TFs, such as octamer binding transcription factor 3/4 (Oct3/4), sex determining region Y- (SRY-) box 2 (Sox2), and Nanog, which orchestrate the self-renewal state [23, 24]. In vivo, their expression levels overlap both in the ICM and in the epiblast [24], hence being able to interact and activate pluripotency-associated genes, while repressing lineage specific differentiation programmes. LIF signals via three different pathways. However, its key effect in sustaining the pluripotent state is implemented by activating the TF STAT3 via phosphorylation [25]. STAT3, the crucial downstream target of LIF, directly binds the distal enhancers of Oct3/4 and Nanog [26] and further pluripotency TFs [27] such as Krüppel-like factor 4 (as Klf4) [28]. Thereby it modulates their expression levels for propagation of the murine pluripotent phenotype. In the absence of LIF signalling, mESCs differentiate to the mesodermal and endodermal lineage [29]. Therefore, LIF limits mESC differentiation towards mesendoderm in favour of maintaining the pluripotent state in a closely regulated balance with BMP signalling [16]. Despite its essential role in self-renewal in vivo and in vitro, LIF also participates in regulating a differentiation programme driven by the extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (ERK). The ERK signalling cascade promotes early differentiation in vitro and in vivo [30, 31]. Thus, LIF seems to modulate stem cell fate between self-renewal and lineage specification by regulating expression levels of STAT3 and ERK [32]. In summary, LIF represents a critical component in maintaining the self-renewal state in mESC culture by activating pluripotency-associated TFs. Of note, LIF-dependence can be overcome at least temporarily by chemical inhibition of MAPK and GSK3 signalling ("2i") but still remains beneficial, an observation establishing LIF-2i as the gold standard of serum-free mESC culture (Figure 1) [15, 33, 34].

2.2. BMP Signalling. In a serum-free cell culture LIF is solely not able to maintain mESC pluripotency [16]. BMP4 is a secreted signalling molecule belonging to the transforming growth factor beta (TGF β) family and represents an attested ectoderm-antagonist [16, 35, 36]. BMP4 (and BMP2) successfully replaces serum requirements resulting in propagation of pluripotency and inhibition of multilineage differentiation in the presence of LIF. In the absence of LIF, BMP promotes mesodermal differentiation [37] at the expense of the neural lineage [38] (Figure 1). Further, the BMP pathway also has the potential to promote differentiation of mESCs into the trophoblast lineage via caudal type homeobox 2 (Cdx2) when cultured under defined conditions [39].

In the preimplantation embryo, BMP4 becomes induced in the ICM of the early blastocyst while its expression peaks in the epiblast of the E4.5 blastocyst and decreases afterwards. This decrease of BMP signalling in the postimplantation epiblast coincides with the upregulation of Nodal/Activin signalling and subsequent target gene expression such as Lefty1 and Lefty2 pointing at opposing roles of Nodal and BMP signals at various developmental stages [15, 40-42]. This in vivo observation matches the requirement of low-dose Nodal/Activin signalling to maintain the primed state of pluripotency [43]. The opposing effects of Nodal and BMP signalling are obviously relevant not only for lineage commitment but also for fine tuning BMP signalling in the naïve state involving an intracellular mechanism via SMAD7 to modulate SMAD1/SMAD5 levels [4, 40, 44]. In summary, LIF is dependent on BMP signalling in a serum-free mESC culture, while both signalling axes are strongly dependent on a precise dosage regulation as any imbalance between both pathways drives exit from pluripotency to various fates. In the absence of LIF, BMP signalling directs mESCs towards the mesendoderm and trophoblast lineage [45, 46].

2.3. Small Molecules Capture Ground State Pluripotency. Previously, the Smith Laboratory identified two small molecules, PD0325901 (PD03) and CHIR99021 (CHIR), which can substitute for LIF and BMP under defined culture conditions to facilitate ground state pluripotency in mESC (2i conditions)





JAK-(P)

STAT3-(P

(SHP

Raf

↓ MEK

J

FIGURE 1: Signalling pathways regulating pluripotency in mESCs. A schematic representation of the main extrinsic pathways (LIF, BMP, and WNT) regulating pluripotency in mESCs. Upon LIF binding to its receptor, Gp130 phosphorylates JAK, which in turn phosphorylates STAT3. Phosphorylated STAT3 operates as a transcription factor which sustains the pluripotency state in mESCs and further inhibits endodermal and mesodermal differentiation. LIF further inhibits GSK3 via PI3K. GSK3 stabilises the self-renewal state by reducing the ubiquitin dependent degradation of β -catenin. WNT proteins bind the Frizzled receptor which in turn forms a complex with LRP5/6 protein and signal downstream via Dsh and β -catenin. β -catenin accumulates in the cytoplasm and nucleus resulting in STAT3 transcription. STAT3 is activated through JAK phosphorylation. Upon BMP4-receptor complex formation, BMP RI phosphorylates SMAD1 and SMAD5 which then interacts with SMAD4 resulting in Id gene transcription and maintenance of the pluripotency state. Image modified after Hao [7]. Dsh: dishevelled, ERK: extracellular receptor kinase, Id: inhibitor of differentiation, JAK: Janus kinase, and mESCs: murine embryoid stem cells. LIF: leukaemia inhibitory factor, MAPK: mitogen-activated protein kinase, Oct3/4: octamer binding transcription factor 3/4, PI3K: phosphatidylinositide 3'-kinase, SMAD1/4/5: mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 1/4/5, STAT3: signal transducers and activators of transcription 3, RI: receptor type I, RII: receptor type II, and WNT: wingless-related MMTV integration site family.

[17]. PD03 inhibits MEK, a downstream target of FGF signalling, important for trophectodermal lineage differentiation in early embryos [47]. Further, mESC pluripotency and particularly viability is promoted by increased WNT (wingless-type MMTV integration site family) signalling via CHIR, a specific GSK3 inhibitor [17, 48]. Nuclear expression level of β -catenin, the core effector of WNT signalling [49], is regulated by a multiprotein destruction complex [50]. Herein, GSK3 inhibition stabilises the self-renewal state by diminishing ubiquitin dependent degradation of β -catenin and repressing Tcf3, a known transcriptional repressor of target genes [34]. Tcf3 cooccupies and represses Oct3/4, Sox2, Nanog, and estrogen-related receptor β (Esrrb) [51–54], suggesting its role as a crucial regulator of the transcriptional control of pluripotency in mESCs. Regarding WNT signalling and its impact on mESC pluripotency, β -catenin

interacts with Oct3/4 [34, 55] and Sox2 [56, 57] and is activated by Nanog via Dickkopf-related protein 1 (Dkk1) repression [58] to reinforce the self-renewal state. Despite its role in maintaining pluripotency, canonical WNT/ β -catenin signalling participates in body axis patterning, primitive streak and extraembryonic lineage formation, and mesoderm specification through Brachyury [59–63]. In summary, inhibition of MAPK and GSK3 signalling together with LIF-supplementation under serum-free culture conditions is capable of capturing naïve pluripotency robustly from various preimplantation stages and can preserve ground state conditions with erased lineage fates [15, 33].

3. Lineage Specific Classification of Pluripotency Transcription Factors

Despite acting during mESC self-renewal, pluripotencyassociated factors also connect the transition from pluripotency towards lineage specification [4, 5]. The pluripotent state is maintained by a unique network of directly interacting TFs (including Oct3/4, Sox2, Nanog, Tbx3, and Klf4/5). These TFs either inhibit target gene expression levels required for lineage differentiation or sustain the expression of one another [64-66]. However, upon differentiation, controlled mesendodermal and neuroectodermal commitment requires the reorganisation of the circuit to allow onset of lineage specific programmes. Herein, extracellular clues, such as WNT, BMP, and TGF β , further impact and regulate cell fate choice [67]. Interestingly, certain pluripotency factors are not simply downregulated but instead their expression is either sustained or even upregulated for a short time window during pluripotency exit [4, 5]. This does not occur randomly but instead is lineage and factor specific: Basically, we can distinguish three groups: mesendoderm-class genes (e.g., Kf4/5, Nanog, Oct3/4, and Tbx3), neuroectoderm-class genes (e.g., Sox2), and extraembryonic-class genes (e.g., spaltlike transcription factor 4 (Sall4)) [5]. Mechanistically, TFs bind asymmetrically in regulatory regions on the one hand promoting the respective lineage but on the other hand repressing the other, thus ensuring tightly regulated cell fate choices. In the following sections, we aim to discuss pluripotency factors, which have recently been shown to have such a dual function, namely, pluripotency and early cell fate choice [68].

However, before continuing we want to briefly summarise the earliest embryonic cell fate decisions for better comprehension (Figure 2). The zygote represents the earliest stage of the early mammalian embryo. From here, the cells undergo several series of cleavage divisions producing the morula [69]. Subsequently, the first cell decision occurs during the transition from morula to blastocyst by differentiating into two distinct lineages. The outer cell layer of the blastocyst forms the trophectoderm, whereas the inner cells develop to the ICM [70]. The trophectoderm proliferates further into the extraembryonic ectoderm and trophoblast, later giving rise to the placenta. Prior to implantation the ICM undergoes the second cell fate decision by differentiating into either the epiblast (later forming the primitive ectoderm and subsequently giving rise to the three germ layers among others) or the primitive endoderm [69]. The primitive endoderm cells form both visceral and parietal endoderm and finally give rise to the yolk sac [71]. Prior to mouse gastrulation, the initially symmetrical embryo is prepatterned by regional distinctions in gene expression profiles and levels of signalling pathways along the embryonic axes [4]. The formation of the primitive streak as the first obvious sign of germ layer formation is driven by gradients of growth factor signalling such as Nodal and canonical WNT at the posterior pole of the embryo, accompanied by the expression of early differentiation marker genes [4, 40]. The regulatory events that define the timing and site of gastrulation initiation still remain rather unclear. The formation of a transient precursor cell population located in the region of the anterior primitive streak reflects one of the earliest events during gastrulation. Definitive endoderm and anterior mesoderm derivatives, including cardiovascular and head mesenchyme progenitors, originate from these precursors. Notably, this cell population, referred to as mesendoderm, is marked by the expression of marker genes such as Eomesodermin (Eomes), Forkhead-Box-Protein A2 (Foxa2), Chordin (Chrd), Goosecoid (Gsc), and LIM-homeobox 1 (Lhx1) [72].

3.1. Mesendodermal-Class Genes

3.1.1. Octamer Binding Transcription Factor 3/4 (Oct3/4): Indispensable for Lineage and Pluripotency. Oct3/4 has gained much attention as the key regulator of mESC pluripotency in vitro and in vivo [73]. Oct3/4 belongs to the POU family and, as a master regulator of pluripotency, it functions in a complex, consisting of Nanog, Oct3/4, and Sox2 [74]. Hereby, it crucially balances gene expression levels of the pluripotency circuitry [75]. STAT3 is able to directly bind and regulate Oct3/4 to maintain self-renewal [26]. Also, both have been shown to activate similar target genes [3]. Previous work describes Oct3/4 as a gatekeeper both for maintaining selfrenewal and also in modulating stem cell fate choice in a dose-dependent manner [76]. In this respect, the Chambers Laboratory demonstrated that low Oct3/4 levels, as seen in heterozygous mESCs, were sufficient to maintain mESC pluripotency [77]. This was especially due to elevated promoter binding of Oct3/4 to pluripotency-associated factors (e.g., Esrrb, Klf4, Nanog, and Tbx3) and increased WNT signalling and LIF sensitivity. In contrast, elevated Oct3/4 levels destabilised the pluripotency network resulting in FGF-dependent differentiation [77]. In this sense, alternate partners of Oct3/4 can define the switch between pluripotency and lineage commitment: while in the pluripotent state Oct3/4 sustains Sox2 expression, the switch to activate Sox17 instead marks a critical event during mesendodermal differentiation. This process is triggered not only by a change in target gene expression but in a noncell autonomous manner by the secretion of paracrine factors further favouring mesendodermal differentiation [78, 79]. Moreover, Hogan et al. analysed the impact of Oct3/4 on regulating mESC differentiation based on previous knowledge that mESCs differentiation is the result of an interplay between transcriptional regulation and chromatin organisation [80, 81]. In this regard, exit from pluripotency was facilitated through intermittent



FIGURE 2: Pluripotency factor expression during early embryonic development. Pluripotency factors are expressed throughout the pluripotency state and subsequently govern early lineage decisions in mouse. (a) The early blastocyst consists of the ICM and the outer trophectoderm. Pluripotency factors are expressed in the ICM, however, interacting with trophectodermal genes for proper lineage development. (b) At the late blastocyst stage, the ICM segregates into the epiblast, notably exhibiting slightly different gene expression patterns, and into the extraembryonic endoderm, later giving rise to yolk sac. Further, the trophectoderm has formed the extraembryonic ectoderm lineage which will generate the placenta. (c) After implantation, the primitive ectoderm, which has origins in the epiblast, forms the four embryo-derived stem cell lineages (ectoderm, mesoderm, endoderm, and germ lineage). Notably, pluripotency factors guide early embryonic decision according to their expression profile, respectively. ICM: inner cell mass.

homologous pairing of the Oct3/4 allele. This was mediated by a locus initially described as the Oct/Sox-binding element within the Oct3/4 promoter region. Besides, Oct3/4 is also known to undergo alternative promoter binding at the stage when loss of pluripotency occurs towards differentiation [82].

In the embryo, Oct3/4 maintains the ICM and guides proper trophectoderm segregation upon differentiation. As Cdx2 expression promotes the trophectoderm lineage [83], it is not surprising that Oct3/4 was identified as a negative regulator of Cdx2 [84]. The Oct3/4-Cdx2 complex (possibly together with Sall4 as mentioned below) specifies lineage formation in the early embryos by reciprocal inhibition *in vivo* and *in vitro* [85] (Figure 2). *In vivo*, Oct3/4 guides mesendodermal differentiation and further suppresses neuroectodermal gene expression programmes [5, 86]. Preceding mouse development, Oct3/4 is expressed in the primitive endoderm [87] (Figure 2). Conditional deletion of Oct3/4 in vitro promotes mESCs to commit towards the trophectoderm lineage via Cdx2 and Eomes [3, 73, 84, 88]. Oct3/4-deficient mouse embryos develop to the blastocyst stage but fail to form a consistent pluripotent ICM resulting in embryonic lethality due to differentiation into the extraembryonic trophoblast lineage [73]. Thus, the acquisition of ICM identity is supposed to be dependent on Oct3/4 functions [84]. Indeed, a recent study revealed a transient ICM formation in Oct3/4-deficient embryos, due to elevated Nanog expression levels [89]. Moreover, these embryos were lacking a functional primitive endoderm; however, this was rescued by stage specific supplementation of FGF4 [89]. This study was able to reveal a critical role of Oct3/4 facilitating early lineage decisions in ICM cells towards either epiblast or primitive endoderm by attenuating Nanog expression levels and further promoting primitive endoderm formation in an FGF-dependent manner [89]. However, due to its importance in governing lineage decisions in the early blastocyst, it is not possible to date to isolate mESCs from Oct3/4-deficient embryos [73].

Taken together, Oct3/4 belongs to key pluripotency TFs, which maintains mESC pluripotency. Its tightly regulated expression levels drive proper mESC differentiation towards mesoderm and primitive endoderm [3] by repressing the neuroectodermal lineage fate [90]. Molecularly, this gate-keeper function is exerted by alternate partnering and changes in cobound factors to orchestra cell fate choice by alternating target gene binding.

3.1.2. T-Box Transcription Factor 3 (Tbx3): Bystander in Pluripotency or Just Cell Fate Regulator? Several studies have highlighted Tbx3 to function during mESC self-renewal [2-4, 65]. Briefly, the PI3K-AKT signalling pathway stimulates Tbx3 resulting in upregulated key pluripotency factor expression levels (Oct3/4, Nanog, and Sox2). To balance accurate pluripotency levels, Tbx3 expression is antagonised by the MAPK pathway [2]. It is also able to drive the expression of key pluripotency markers by direct promoter binding through Nanog [65]. In addition, Tbx3 acts as a downstream activator of WNT signalling [91]. WNT sustains the pluripotent state together with LIF, although, in the absence of LIF, WNT promotes cell differentiation towards primitive endoderm via Tbx3 [91, 92]. Hence, Tbx3 has been widely believed to belong to the inner core of the pluripotency circuitry, with loss of Tbx3 leading to differentiation. In marked contrast, we have recently identified fluctuating Tbx3 levels in mESCs: "Tbx3low" cells resemble the gastrulating epiblast in vivo but retain the capacity to switch back to a Tbx3-high state. Moreover, we could show that Tbx3 is dispensable for the induction and maintenance of naïve pluripotency. Taken together, we delineate novel facets of Tbx3 action in pluripotency and show an involvement of Tbx3 in the transition from the naïve embryonic state to the prepatterned epiblast-like state. These purely mESC-derived data are further fostered by the observation of in vivo heterogeneity of Tbx3 in the ICM (Russell, Liebau, Kleger, unpublished data).

However, Tbx3 also fulfills a lineage specifying role, thus being classified as a mesendodermal-class gene [5] (Figure 3). We showed that Tbx3 is dynamically expressed during specification of the mesendoderm lineages in differentiating mESCs in vitro and in developing mouse and Xenopus embryos in vivo [4]. Nodal patterns the preimplantation embryo by interacting with the epiblast and the extraembryonic tissues [93]. Tbx3 overexpression promotes mesendodermal specification by activating crucial lineage specifying factors and by enhancing paracrine Nodal/SMAD2 signalling. Also, Tbx3 expression has also been detected in the visceral endoderm lineage. Therefore we suggest that Tbx3 may promote mesodermal lineage formation by activating Nodal via visceral endodermal cells expressing Tbx3 (Figure 2). Tbx3 is highly enriched in definitive endoderm progenitor cells [94] and it is involved in endoderm patterning together with chromatin-modifying enzymes [95]. The underlying mechanism includes spatial reorganisation of chromatin, leading to sensitisation for definitive endoderm promoting



FIGURE 3: Spatial classifications of pluripotency factors. Upon exit of pluripotency core pluripotency factors get committed to distinct lineages and arising tissues, thus playing a crucial role in directing early lineage decisions in mouse. This image illustrates their spatial classification in the early embryo: neuroectoderm is mainly promoted by Gbx2 and Sox2 and mesendoderm especially by Esrrb, Nanog, Oct3/4, and Tbx3. Further, the extraembryonic tissue is induced by Cdx2, Rex1, Esrrb, Sall4, Oct3/4, and Tbx3. Cdx2: caudal type homeobox 2, Esrrb: estrogen-related receptor β , Gbx2: gastrulation brain homeobox 2, Tbx3: T-box transcription factor 3, Oct3/4: octamer binding transcription factor 3/4, Rex1: Zfp42, Sall4: Sal-like 4, and Sox2: SRY- (sex determining region Y-) box 2.

signals by enabling the histone demethylase Jmjd3 to transiently bind the two T-box factors: Tbx3 and Eomes.

In summary, Tbx3 is embedded in the core pluripotency networks to sustain stemness but also strongly directs early embryonic development by either transcriptional activation of differentiating gene programmes or modification of chromatin structures.

3.1.3. Krüppel-Like Family (Klf): The Transcriptional Lineage Inhibitors. Klfs (Klf2, Klf4, and Klf5) are conserved zincfinger-containing TFs implicated in various biological processes, such as proliferation, differentiation, and development [96]. In the early embryo they are already expressed in the ICM [97] (Figure 2). Klfs are indispensable for self-renewal by forming a tightly regulated molecular circuitry [64, 98]. Klf4 and Klf5 especially transcriptionally regulate expression of Nanog [98], a known inhibitor of differentiation during pluripotency [99, 100]. However, Klf2 is mainly regulated by Oct3/4, whereas Klf4 is regulated by LIF/STAT3 and additionally by Oct3/4. Klf5 is solely activated by LIF/STAT3 leading to ground state mESC pluripotency [101]. Previous reports suggest that LIF/STAT3 regulate Nanog and indirectly the core regulatory complex consisting of Nanog, Oct3/4, and Sox2 through activation of Klf4, Klf5, and Sall4 [29]. Despite their close relationship Klf4 and Klf5, they often exert opposite effects in regulating gene transcription and cellular proliferation in vitro and in vivo [102]. Klf4 is a negative regulator of mESC proliferation, whereas Klf5 acts as an activator. Also, Klf5 and Klf4 abrogate the mutual promoter effects [103]. In

summary, the propagation of the LIF/STAT3 reinforced selfrenewal state by Nanog is mediated by members of the Klf family. Their overexpression reinforces the pluripotent state in the absence of LIF [64, 104, 105], whereas their inactivation in mESCs induces spontaneous differentiation [29]. However, due to structural and functional overlaps, Klfs are able to compensate for each other. This was noticable as a triple knockdown abolished Nanog promoter activity and led to differentiation of mESCs [98], whereas single knockdowns did not exhibit a specific phenotype [98, 106].

However, Klfs do not just ensure the self-renewal state but are also critical components in regulating lineage specification in the early embryo. Recent work showed that Klf4 negatively regulates the visceral lineage (especially via GATA-binding factors 4 and 6 (GATA 4/6)) and definitive endoderm (especially via Sox17), whereas Klf5 primarily suppresses mesodermal differentiation (T, Mixl1 (mix pairedlike homeobox 1)) (Figure 2) [107]. Thus, mESC pluripotency is ensured by additive inhibitory effects of Klf4 and Klf5. When we look at previous findings, Klf levels drop rapidly upon onset of lineage specification, resulting in a diminished lineage inhibition and subsequently in endodermal and mesodermal lineage formation [29]. Thus, mESCs are primed upon the drop of Klfs expression levels and prepared for early lineage differentiation. In vivo, Klf4-null mice survive early developmental stages but die shortly after birth due to tissue abnormalities within the smooth and cardiac muscle and basal membrane formation problems which primarily is due to a defective GATA4 regulation [108, 109]. A lacking gastrulation phenotype in Klf4-deficient mouse embryos suggests compensatory mechanisms by the Klf family. In contrast, Klf5-deficient embryos fail to develop further than the blastocyst stage due to reduced Oct3/4 and Nanog expression levels [64, 110]. Taken together, Klfs exert a great impact in sustaining the self-renewal state by either interacting with core pluripotency activating genes or inhibiting differentiation. However, the inhibition of endodermal structures primarily by Klf4 and mesodermal structures by its counterpart Klf5 does not just safeguard the self-renewal state but balances accurate differentiation onset in the early mouse embryo and in differentiating PSCs (Figure 2).

3.1.4. Nanog: Various Facets during Differentiation and Self-Renewal. Nanog, the homeodomain TF, acts together with Oct3/4 and Sox2 to maintain the pluripotency network through the Oct/Sox-motif [74, 98, 111, 112]. Nanog is also able to sustain self-renewal independently from the LIF/STAT3 pathway [113], albeit at a reduced self-renewal capacity [74]. Most robust self-renewal state is promoted under continuous Nanog overexpression and LIF stimulation [114]. However, while Nanog has previously been shown to be dispensable for mESC culture, it mainly functions in stabilising the pluripotency network [115]. Previous studies hypothesised that a switch from monoallelic Nanog expression, in a LIF/serum setting, to a biallelic manner in 2i conditions results in higher expression levels. Of note, this hypothesis was disproved by recent results illustrating a steady biallelic expression of Nanog [116, 117]. Despite direct transcriptional regulation of pluripotency target genes, Nanog sustains the self-renewal state by inhibiting several differential processes in mESCs. First, a population of early mesoderm-specified progenitors was identified, normally present in mESCs and promoted by the BMP pathway. These primed mESCs express pluripotency-associated TFs such as Oct3/4 and Rex1 but are actually specified to the mesodermal fate. In the presence of LIF, Nanog is able to respecify mesoderm-specified progenitors back to pluripotent mESCs [118]. A second mechanism of blocking the progression of mesoderm is a direct inhibition of SMAD1 via STAT3 activation in a LIF-containing setting [119], which has also been demonstrated for Oct3/4 [120], suggesting their cooperative function to sustain self-renewal.

Similarly, Nanog functions as an important determinant during cell fate decisions at the blastocyst stage in vivo [121]. Here, it is downregulated by Cdx2 in the trophectoderm [85], whereas it is highly expressed in the ICM [122, 123]. The early ICM of the blastocyst gives rise to the epiblast and primitive endoderm progenitors as a first sign of lineage choice occurring in the ICM. In the unrestricted, early ICM of the blastocyst, Nanog expression is dependent on transcriptional binding of Oct3/4, Sox2, and Esrrb [112, 124], while, in the later derived epiblast, Nanog promoter binding changes now being dependent on Activin A signalling via SMAD2 [123, 125, 126]. We know that Nanog is essential for both epiblast and primitive endoderm formation (Figure 2). GATA6 is crucial in the primitive endoderm lineage in vivo and in vitro [113, 127-129] and is transcriptionally repressed by Nanog in epiblast-engaged cells [130]. However, recent studies showed primitive endoderm to require epiblast cells for proper differentiation, whereby Nanog signals through FGF/ERK signalling (FGF4) resulting in upregulated GATA4 and Sox17 expression levels [121]. This observation has been recently fostered by live-time imaging data using a Nanogreporter system in vivo, where Nanog expression marks an irreversible commitment between the epiblast and the primitive endodermal lineage, while rarely unidirectional conversions from primitive endoderm to epiblast occur [131].

Elegant studies from the Vallier Laboratory combined PSCs and developing mouse embryos to uncover the transcriptional network around Nanog during pluripotency and lineage commitment [132]. Herein, SMAD2/3 controls the self-renewal state by interacting with core TFs, such as Oct3/4 and Nanog. Notably, endodermal differential programmes were also shown to be downstream of SMAD2/3, demonstrating an opposing function of SMAD2/3. As SMAD2/3 and Nanog are involved in both processes, SMAD2/3 may be guided by distinct genes like Nanog to achieve tissue specific functions [133]. Further, during primitive streak formation initial pluripotent differentiation inhibitors rapidly decline beginning with Sox2. This enables Nanog to form complexes with SMAD2/3, which promote Eomes expression levels. Eomes further diminishes pluripotent signals thereby ensuring sufficient Nanog expression. Subsequently, Nanog expression levels decline making room for SMAD2/3 and Eomes thereby directing primitive streak cells towards endodermal fate and coincidently inhibiting mesoderm formation [134]. This observation receives further support from another recent study showing that Nanog cooperates with Activin/SMAD in recruiting histone modifiers such as Dpy30, a subunit of the COMPASS methyltransferase complex, thus regulating differentiation-linked genes [132].

In line with these data, Nanog has been classified as a mesendodermal-class gene, like Oct3/4 and Tbx3 [5] (Figure 3). All these genes reveal overlapping gene expression levels and lineage specifying patterns, albeit we are still lacking evidence demonstrating their mutual relationship during early lineage decisions [5, 74]. Inconsistent data has been published regarding the Nanog-lacking phenotype. Initially, Nanog deficiency was reported to result in a failure of epiblast formation and, concomitantly, mESCs lost their pluripotent fate and differentiated into trophectoderm [113]. However, this phenotype was not clearly replicable in recent studies [130]. Instead, Nanog-deficient embryos reveal upregulated GATA6 expression levels and few GATA4-positive cells [130]. Therefore, Nanog mutant ICMs do not undergo apoptosis suggesting a stabilising role of GATA6 in this regard [130]. In summary, Nanog shows multiple facets in regulating mESC pluripotency or by specifying lineage formation in the early mouse embryo.

3.2. Neuroectoderm-Class Genes

3.2.1. Sex Determining Region Y- (SRY-) Box 2 (Sox2): The Neuroectodermal Embryonic Stem Cell Gene. Another master regulator of mESC pluripotency is Sox2, a member of the HMG (high-mobility group) box proteins. Sox2 maintains stemness by directly interacting with Oct3/4 in a reciprocal fashion. Both share the ability to bind to a unique promoter region, the Oct/Sox-motif [135]. This highly conserved Oct/Sox-element is critical for transcriptional regulation of pluripotency and located on different genes in undifferentiated mESCs, such as Oct3/4, Sox2, and Nanog [112]. The proper modulation of these target genes preserves the self-renewal state [88]. Notably, Niwas group showed that Sox2 was dispensable for activating the Oct/Sox-element [88]. Instead, Sox2 is important in activating pluripotencyassociated genes, which in turn regulate Oct3/4 resulting in stable Oct3/4 expression levels. Both activate FGF4 expression in the ICM and epiblast [136] and in turn FGF4 controls ICM maintenance and subsequently interacts with Cdx2 and Eomes while promoting trophectoderm maturation [85, 137].

During early lineage decisions, Sox2 is broadly expressed in the ICM and the trophectoderm and later even in the epiblast and in the primitive endoderm [138, 139] (Figure 2). Interestingly, Sox2 knockout studies indicated stable or even low Oct3/4 and Nanog expression levels and at the same time surprisingly low trophectoderm gene (Eomes, FGF4) expression levels [139], resulting in embryonic lethality due to defective epiblast development during the peri-implantation stage. Stable Nanog and Oct3/4 levels seem unusual, as Sox2 is a central member of the pluripotency-maintaining protein complex. Nevertheless, this effect could be explained by autoregulatory functions, which Sox2 has in common with Oct3/4, Nanog, Sall4, and Klfs [98]. In vitro, upon reduction of Sox2 levels, mESCs differentiate towards trophectoderm indicating its impact in sustaining the pluripotent state. Thus, trophectoderm differentiation could result from a secondary loss of Oct3/4 levels due to Sox2 reduction [88]. Heterozygous

Sox2 knockout mutations in mouse show severe alterations in brain and neural cells [140], thus gaining insight into the promoted germ layer. Sox2 induces neuroectodermal gene expression [5] by specifically repressing Oct3/4, which vice versa participates in cell fate choice by promoting the mesendodermal lineage [86] (Figure 2). In summary, Sox2 is embedded in the pluripotency network by interacting with key pluripotent genes. However, upon onset of differentiation, Sox2 promotes neuroectodermal lineage allocation by transcriptional repression of Oct3/4 (Figure 3).

3.2.2. Gastrulation Brain Homeobox 2 (Gbx2): Another Ectodermal Player? The TF Gbx2 is a direct downstream target of LIF/STAT3. Its overexpression is able to substitute for LIF in mESCs and to maintain self-renewal in a STAT3 deprived mESC culture [141]. Notably, Gbx2 is able to push primed EpiSCs back into the pluripotent state [141]. Nonetheless, its impact on pluripotency just seems to have a supporting character, as shown by in vitro knockdown studies, where the pluripotent state was not fully impaired [141]. This is in line with in vivo studies, which do not show morphological abnormalities in the blastocyst [141]. In the mouse embryo, Gbx2 is expressed in the ICM and, together with Rex1 (Zfp42), diminishes during primitive ectoderm formation [142] (Figure 2). Notably, at gastrulation stage, Gbx2 is present in all three germ layers [143] but subsequently gets upregulated in the neural ectoderm and underlying mesoderm and finally is limited to the CNS (central nervous system) [144] (Figure 2). In line, Gbx2 knockout mice show abnormalities in hindbrain development, neural crest patterning, and cardiovascular and craniofacial defects and die soon after birth [143, 145].

Taken together, although Gbx2 lies downstream of LIF/STAT3, it seems to be dispensable for mESC culture and ICM integrity. However, during early developmental steps, Gbx2 is committed to the ectodermal lineage fate (Figure 3) [146].

3.3. Extraembryonic-Class Genes

3.3.1. Spalt-Like Transcription Factor 4 (Sall4). The transcriptional network in preimplantation embryos was recently extended by the spalt-like gene family member Sall4. Sall4 directly interacts with Nanog and cooccupies several gene sites, including their own promoters, forming autoregulatory loops. Together, they are suggested to function as a Sall4/Nanog-complex maintaining pluripotency by reciprocal regulation. The physical relationship was suggested to be similar to the Oct3/4-Sox2 interaction encountered on many loci in mESCs [147]. Besides, in the ICM and the epiblast, Sall4 expression occurs simultaneously to Oct3/4 and Sox2 expression [148], revealing another contribution to the transcriptional network. This was confirmed by verifying Sall4 occupation on the Oct/Sox-element in vitro and in vivo [149] and by gene profiling analyses illustrating Sall4 cooccupying the same target genes as Sox2 in mESCs [149]. Independently, Sall4 can modulate Oct3/4 expression levels, the master TF of pluripotency, and vice versa, indicating its critical role in maintaining stemness [150]. In summary, Sall4 contributes to the transcriptional network by direct interaction with the key players, Nanog, Oct3/4, and Sox2, to maintain the self-renewal state. Moreover, Sall4 can also be recruited to the promoters of Klf2 and Klf5, although its role contributing to mESC pluripotency through the Klf family requires further studying. Another possible mechanism supporting Sall4 mediated pluripotency could be the recruitment of the strong transcriptional repressor complex NuRD (nucleosome remodelling and deacetylase) [151]. Both Sall4 and NuRD are expressed in mESCs and are involved in maintaining stemness. As Sall4 associates with the NuRD complex, both genes could act together in sustaining pluripotency. But due to lacking evidence this hypothesis remains an assumption.

At the blastocyst stage, Sall4 regulates the ICM development to the two blastocyst-derived stem cell lineages: epiblast and extraembryonic endoderm (Figure 2). GATA6 is important in defining the extraembryonic endoderm, although GATA6 mutants exhibit lineage specific defects, a short time after blastocyst formation. Thus, GATA6 does not seem to be a core component for primitive endoderm initiation but rather for its maturation [152]. In this regard, studies exhibited Sall4 to regulate extraembryonic endoderm genes, such as GATA4, GATA6, Sox7, and Sox17 [153]. So it can be concluded that Sall4 contributes to the transcriptional network maintaining mESC pluripotency mediated by direct interaction with crucial TFs [154]. In line with this, it is not surprising that Sall4 overexpression leads to primitive endoderm differentiation [154] and further may support Oct3/4 in this matter [87]. Also, similar to Oct3/4, Sall4 knockdown mESCs are becoming alkaline phosphatase (AP) negative and tend to differentiate towards the trophoblast lineage [148, 154]. It is feasible that Sall4 cooperates with Oct3/4 to suppress trophectoderm lineage allocation [155]. Sall4-null mice are lethal during peri-implantation [156, 157], whereas heterozygous mice exhibit anorectal tract abnormalities, heart defects, skeletal defects, and anencephaly [157].

Taken together, Sall4 is broadly connected within the pluripotency network and has a major role in driving early lineage decisions especially towards the extraembryonic lineage (Figure 3).

3.3.2. Estrogen-Related Receptor β (Esrrb). The estrogenrelated receptor beta (Esrrb), a member of the nuclear orphan receptor family, is a core pluripotency member of sustaining naïve pluripotency and is deeply embedded in the selfrenewal network interacting with several pivotal TFs [158]. It transcriptionally interacts with Nanog in a reciprocal manner [51] and apart from overlapping target gene profiles. Esrrb is able to substitute for Nanog in mESCs [159]. Further, Esrrb binds promoters of the master pluripotency factor Oct3/4 [160] and other TFs such as Klf2 [161] and Rex1 [160]. It acts independently of LIF/STAT3 [51]. Despite its expression levels being directly modulated by the transcriptional repressor Tcf3, Esrrb mediates self-renewal effects upon GSK3 inhibition and is also able to stabilise β -catenin levels. Thus, in the presence of a MEK inhibitor, for example, by the small molecule PD03, Esrrb overexpression can replace GSK3 inhibition maintaining self-renewal in mESCs [51, 159]. Indeed, mESCs depleted from Esrrb and cultured in the absence of LIF undergo morphological changes, reduction of AP activity resulting in spontaneous differentiation [51, 162]. *In vivo*, Esrrb deletion leads to embryonic lethality during midgestation due to placenta defects [163]. This is in line with previous studies, which indicated the presence of Esrrb in the trophoblast lineage [164]. Surprisingly these embryos survive throughout gastrulation exhibiting no defects in the ICM and epiblast [163]. Thus, we presume that Esrrb can be compensated by other factors in this complex transcriptional network maintaining pluripotency.

Regarding its expression profile in the ICM and trophoblast (Figure 2) and the fact that Esrrb enhances Cdx2 expression levels, it is also fair to assume that Esrrb acts as an important factor in regulating lineage decisions in the early blastocyst. As we know, overexpressed pluripotency factors promote differentiation. This was also shown for Esrrb, as overexpressed mESCs are prone to differentiate towards the endodermal lineage [165, 166]. Further investigations are necessary to clarify molecular mechanisms to date, but regarding its attested role in trophoblast specification we classified Esrrb to be an extraembryonic-class gene (Figure 3).

3.3.3. Rex1 (Zfp42). Several studies have successfully used all-trans retinoic acid (RA) to induce mESC differentiation [167]. The stem cell marker Rex1 reduces RA-induced differentiation thereby sustaining the self-renewal state [168–170]. During mESC pluripotency, Rex1 activity is up- or down-regulated by Oct3/4 depending on its expression levels [171]. Also Sox2, another key pluripotency factor, is able to transactivate Rex1 via Nanog [169]. Nonetheless, Rex1 is dispensable for mESC pluripotency [172].

Endogenously, Rex1 is expressed in the ICM and in trophoblast lineages [173] (Figure 2). Notably, unlike Oct3/4, Rex1 is not present in all cells of the ICM. A previous study illustrated two different subpopulations: both were positive for Oct3/4 but only one of them was Rex1-positive [174]. Notably, they were able to convert into each other upon LIF stimulation. The group double positive (Rex1⁺/Oct3/4⁺) developed into primitive ectoderm and participated in chimera formation, whereas the other group, Rex1⁻/Oct3/4⁺, induced somatic differentiation, indicating the existence of primed subcultures in ICM which are guided by Rex1 to develop into either epiblast cells or primitive endoderm [174].

Overexpression of Rex1 in mESCs surprisingly results in impaired self-renewal [175] and *in vivo* delayed development through early cleavage divisions [176]. However, homozygous Rex1 knockout in mESCs causes spontaneous differentiation into all three primary germ layers [168, 170, 177], indicating that Rex1 can at least reduce RA associated differentiation. To date, there is neither a noticeable phenotype regarding Rex1deficient mESCs nor mice. *In vivo* experiments displayed Rex1-deficient mice to be fertile and viable but their offspring die at late gestation [172]. All in all, as the pluripotency factor Rex1 seems to drive lineage segregation at the early blastocyst stage especially towards the extraembryonic lineage, we decided to position it in this section (Figure 3).

3.4. Epigenetic Modulations

Methyl-Binding Domain Protein 3 (Mbd3). Epigenetically, transcriptional sustainment of mESC pluripotency is modulated by chromatin remodelling including histone modifications and DNA methylation. Pluripotency is maintained by a balance between LIF/STAT3 activation and a repression through NuRD [178]. NuRD mediated silencing in mESCs plays a critical role in early lineage commitment into all three germ layers [179]. Mbd3 is the key subunit of the NuRD repressor complex network [180]. A recent publication demonstrated Mbd3 to be dispensable for maintaining mESC pluripotency. Also, its expression seems to diminish upon fertilisation, therefore being absent during the early preimplantation period, and is upregulated towards the late morula/blastocyst stage [181]. However, according to previous work, Mbd3 exhibits a critical role repressing the trophectoderm lineage in order to ensure mESC propagation and subsequently proper differentiation of the epiblast [182]. Additionally, NuRD mediated mESC self-renewal was shown to function through epigenetic modulation of the WNT pathway [183].

As epigenetic modifications occur during ICM and primary germ layer formation, it is not surprising that Mbd3 deletion in mice leads to early embryonic lethality [184], thus being indispensable for early embryonic development.

4. Conclusion

Naïve pluripotency implies the ability of unlimited selfrenewal and regeneration. Its complex regulatory circuity has been studied extensively. Key players such as Oct3/4, Nanog, and Sox2 are complemented by many TFs forming a tightly regulated network that sustains the self-renewal state by inhibiting genes required for differentiation. Upon withdrawal of stemness-inducing signals, pluripotency factor expression levels decline, giving differentiation factors the opportunity to induce lineage allocation. These cell fate decisions are essential for developmental processes into the primary germ layers.

Interestingly, several members of these pluripotencyassociated factors have been identified to exert dual functions during early embryogenesis, by also governing the transition of pluripotency towards early lineage commitment. Here, TFs initially maintaining pluripotency by activating self-renewal gene programmes undergo a molecular switch resulting in enhanced expression levels of differentiation inducing genes. In this regard, the TFs were classified into mesendoderm-, neuroectoderm-, and extraembryonic-class genes (Figure 3). Notably, mesendoderm-class genes inhibit neuroectoderm and vice versa to promote the appropriate lineage. Although both the pluripotency circuitry and early lineage commitment mechanisms have been studied in great detail, there is still a broad gap of knowledge regarding the distinct regulatory complexes governing these events. By understanding the interplay of factors and signalling pathways involved in the early embryonic development, basic as well as clinical science would be able to profit broadly in terms of developmental steps and pathomechanistical events. Summarising, TFs interact in network in a spatial and temporal manner to exit pluripotency and establish different lineages in the early embryo.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, KL 2544/1-1), Boehringer-Ingelheim BIU (Cl to Alexander Kleger), Else-Kröner-Fresenius-Stiftung (2011_A200; to Alexander Kleger and Stefan Liebau), and BMBF (Systar to Alexander Kleger). Alexander Kleger is indebted to the Baden-Württemberg Stiftung for the financial support of this research project by the Eliteprogramme for Postdocs. Alexander Kleger is an Else-Kröner-Fresenius Memorial Fellow. Clair E. Weidgang was supported by the International Graduate School in Molecular Medicine within the "Promotionsprogramm for Experimental Medicine," Ulm University.

References

- J. Wray, T. Kalkan, and A. G. Smith, "The ground state of pluripotency," *Biochemical Society Transactions*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 1027–1032, 2010.
- [2] H. Niwa, K. Ogawa, D. Shimosato, and K. Adachi, "A parallel circuit of LIF signalling pathways maintains pluripotency of mouse ES cells," *Nature*, vol. 460, no. 7251, pp. 118–122, 2009.
- [3] H. Niwa, J.-I. Miyazaki, and A. G. Smith, "Quantitative expression of Oct-3/4 defines differentiation, dedifferentiation or selfrenewal of ES cells," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 372–376, 2000.
- [4] C. E. Weidgang, R. Russell, P. R. Tata et al., "TBX3 directs cellfate decision toward mesendoderm," *Stem Cell Reports*, vol. 1, pp. 248–265, 2013.
- [5] M. Thomson, S. J. Liu, L.-N. Zou, Z. Smith, A. Meissner, and S. Ramanathan, "Pluripotency factors in embryonic stem cells regulate differentiation into germ layers," *Cell*, vol. 145, no. 6, pp. 875–889, 2011.
- [6] M. Bertolessi, L. Linta, T. Seufferlein, A. Kleger, and S. Liebau, "A fresh look on T-box factor action in early embryogenesis (Tbox factors in early development)," *Stem Cells and Development*, vol. 24, no. 16, pp. 1833–1851, 2015.
- [7] J. Hao, T.-G. Li, X. Qi, D.-F. Zhao, and G.-Q. Zhao, "WNT/βcatenin pathway up-regulates Stat3 and converges on LIF to prevent differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells," *Developmental Biology*, vol. 290, no. 1, pp. 81–91, 2006.
- [8] S. I. Kim, F. Oceguera-Yanez, R. Hirohata et al., "KLF4 N-terminal variance modulates induced reprogramming to pluripotency," *Stem Cell Reports*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 727–743, 2015.
- [9] G. Nagamatsu, S. Saito, K. Takubo, and T. Suda, "Integrative analysis of the acquisition of pluripotency in PGCs reveals the mutually exclusive roles of blimp-1 and AKT signaling," *Stem Cell Reports*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 111–124, 2015.
- [10] A. Waghray, N. Saiz, A. Jayaprakash et al., "Tbx3 controls Dppa3 levels and exit frompluripotency toward mesoderm," *Stem Cell Reports*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 97–110, 2015.
- [11] T. Wu, H. Pinto, Y. Kamikawa, and M. Donohoe, "The BET family member BRD4 interacts with OCT4 and regulates pluripotency gene expression," *Stem Cell Reports*, vol. 4, pp. 390–403, 2015.

- [12] Y. Chen, K. Blair, and A. Smith, "Robust self-renewal of rat embryonic stem cells requires fine-tuning of glycogen synthase kinase-3 inhibition," *Stem Cell Reports*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 209–217, 2013.
- [13] H. G. Leitch, J. Nichols, P. Humphreys et al., "Rebuilding pluripotency from primordial germ cells," *Stem Cell Reports*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 66–78, 2013.
- [14] J. Nichols and A. Smith, "Naive and primed pluripotent states," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 487–492, 2009.
- [15] T. Boroviak, R. Loos, P. Bertone, A. Smith, and J. Nichols, "The ability of inner-cell-mass cells to self-renew as embryonic stem cells is acquired following epiblast specification," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 516–528, 2014.
- [16] Q.-L. Ying, J. Nichols, I. Chambers, and A. Smith, "BMP induction of Id proteins suppresses differentiation and sustains embryonic stem cell self-renewal in collaboration with STAT3," *Cell*, vol. 115, no. 3, pp. 281–292, 2003.
- [17] Q.-L. Ying, J. Wray, J. Nichols et al., "The ground state of embryonic stem cell self-renewal," *Nature*, vol. 453, no. 7194, pp. 519– 523, 2008.
- [18] M. Müller, J. Schröer, N. Azoitei et al., "A time frame permissive for Protein Kinase D2 activity to direct angiogenesis in mouse embryonic stem cells," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 5, Article ID 11742, 2015.
- [19] M. Sugimoto, M. Kondo, Y. Koga et al., "A simple and robust method for establishing homogeneous mouse epiblast stem cell lines by wnt inhibition," *Stem Cell Reports*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 744– 757, 2015.
- [20] C. Dani, I. Chambers, S. Johnstone et al., "Paracrine induction of stem cell renewal by LIF-deficient cells: a new ES cell regulatory pathway," *Developmental Biology*, vol. 203, no. 1, pp. 149– 162, 1998.
- [21] C. L. Stewart, P. Kaspar, L. J. Brunet et al., "Blastocyst implantation depends on maternal expression of leukaemia inhibitory factor," *Nature*, vol. 359, no. 6390, pp. 76–79, 1992.
- [22] R. L. Williams, D. J. Hilton, S. Pease et al., "Myeloid leukaemia inhibitory factor maintains the developmental potential of embryonic stem cells," *Nature*, vol. 336, no. 6200, pp. 684–687, 1988.
- [23] I. Chambers and A. Smith, "Self-renewal of teratocarcinoma and embryonic stem cells," *Oncogene*, vol. 23, no. 43, pp. 7150– 7160, 2004.
- [24] H. Niwa, "How is pluripotency determined and maintained?" *Development*, vol. 134, no. 4, pp. 635–646, 2007.
- [25] H. Niwa, T. Burdon, I. Chambers, and A. Smith, "Self-renewal of pluripotent embryonic stem cells is mediated via activation of STAT3," *Genes and Development*, vol. 12, no. 13, pp. 2048–2060, 1998.
- [26] D. V. Do, J. Ueda, D. M. Messerschmidt et al., "A genetic and developmental pathway from STAT₃ to the OCT₄-NANOG circuit is essential for maintenance of ICM lineages in vivo," *Genes and Development*, vol. 27, no. 12, pp. 1378–1390, 2013.
- [27] P. Cartwright, C. McLean, A. Sheppard, D. Rivett, K. Jones, and S. Dalton, "LIF/STAT3 controls ES cell self-renewal and pluripotency by a Myc-dependent mechanism," *Development*, vol. 132, no. 5, pp. 885–896, 2005.
- [28] G. Martello, P. Bertone, and A. Smith, "Identification of the missing pluripotency mediator downstream of leukaemia inhibitory factor," *The EMBO Journal*, vol. 32, no. 19, pp. 2561–2574, 2013.

- [29] P.-Y. Bourillot, I. Aksoy, V. Schreiber et al., "Novel STAT3 target genes exert distinct roles in the inhibition of mesoderm and endoderm differentiation in cooperation with Nanog," *Stem Cells*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1760–1771, 2009.
- [30] T. Kunath, M. K. Saba-El-Leil, M. Almousailleakh, J. Wray, S. Meloche, and A. Smith, "FGF stimulation of the Erkl/2 signalling cascade triggers transition of pluripotent embryonic stem cells from self-renewal to lineage commitment," *Development*, vol. 134, no. 16, pp. 2895–2902, 2007.
- [31] J. Nichols, J. Silva, M. Roode, and A. Smith, "Suppression of Erk signalling promotes ground state pluripotency in the mouse embryo," *Development*, vol. 136, no. 19, pp. 3215–3222, 2009.
- [32] R. J. Chan, S. A. Johnson, Y. Li, M. C. Yoder, and G.-S. Feng, "A definitive role of Shp-2 tyrosine phosphatase in mediating embryonic stem cell differentiation and hematopoiesis," *Blood*, vol. 102, no. 6, pp. 2074–2080, 2003.
- [33] H. Marks, T. Kalkan, R. Menafra et al., "The transcriptional and epigenomic foundations of ground state pluripotency," *Cell*, vol. 149, no. 3, pp. 590–604, 2012.
- [34] J. Wray, T. Kalkan, S. Gomez-Lopez et al., "Inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase-3 alleviates Tcf3 repression of the pluripotency network and increases embryonic stem cell resistance to differentiation," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 13, pp. 838–845, 2011.
- [35] H. Kuroda, L. Fuentealba, A. Ikeda, B. Reversade, and E. M. De Robertis, "Default neural induction: neuralization of dissociated *Xenopus* cells is mediated by Ras/MAPK activation," *Genes and Development*, vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 1022–1027, 2005.
- [36] S. I. Wilson, A. Rydström, T. Trimborn et al., "The status of Wnt signalling regulates neural and epidermal fates in the chick embryo," *Nature*, vol. 411, no. 6835, pp. 325–330, 2001.
- [37] B. M. Johansson and M. V. Wiles, "Evidence for involvement of activin A and bone morphogenetic protein 4 in mammalian mesoderm and hematopoietic development," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 141–151, 1995.
- [38] M. F. A. Finley, S. Devata, and J. E. Huettner, "BMP-4 inhibits neural differentiation of murine embryonic stem cells," *Journal* of Neurobiology, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 271–287, 1999.
- [39] Y. Hayashi, M. K. Furue, S. Tanaka et al., "BMP4 induction of trophoblast from mouse embryonic stem cells in defined culture conditions on laminin," *In Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology—Animal*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 416–430, 2010.
- [40] S. J. Arnold and E. J. Robertson, "Making a commitment: cell lineage allocation and axis patterning in the early mouse embryo," *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 91–103, 2009.
- [41] K. Katsu, D. Tokumori, N. Tatsumi, A. Suzuki, and Y. Yokouchi, "BMP inhibition by DAN in Hensen's node is a critical step for the establishment of left-right asymmetry in the chick embryo," *Developmental Biology*, vol. 363, no. 1, pp. 15–26, 2012.
- [42] Y.-J. Luo and Y.-H. Su, "Opposing nodal and BMP signals regulate left-right asymmetry in the sea urchin larva," *PLoS Biology*, vol. 10, no. 10, Article ID e1001402, 2012.
- [43] S. Frank, M. Zhang, H. R. Schöler, and B. Greber, "Small molecule-assisted, line-independent maintenance of human pluripotent stem cells in defined conditions," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 7, no. 7, Article ID e41958, 2012.
- [44] K. E. Galvin, E. D. Travis, D. Yee, T. Magnuson, and J. L. Vivian, "Nodal signaling regulates the bone morphogenic protein pluripotency pathway in mouse embryonic stem cells," *The Journal* of Biological Chemistry, vol. 285, no. 26, pp. 19747–19756, 2010.

- [45] B. Lichtner, P. Knaus, H. Lehrach, and J. Adjaye, "BMP10 as a potent inducer of trophoblast differentiation in human embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells," *Biomaterials*, vol. 34, no. 38, pp. 9789–9802, 2013.
- [46] M. C. Nostro, X. Cheng, G. M. Keller, and P. Gadue, "Wnt, activin, and BMP signaling regulate distinct stages in the developmental pathway from embryonic stem cells to blood," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 60–71, 2008.
- [47] A. Leunda-Casi, R. de Hertogh, and S. Pampfer, "Control of trophectoderm differentiation by inner cell mass-derived fibroblast growth factor-4 in mouse blastocysts and corrective effect of FGF-4 on high glucose-induced trophoblast disruption," *Molecular Reproduction and Development*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 38– 46, 2001.
- [48] N. Sato, L. Meijer, L. Skaltsounis, P. Greengard, and A. H. Brivanlou, "Maintenance of pluripotency in human and mouse embryonic stem cells through activation of Wnt signaling by a pharmacological GSK-3-specific inhibitor," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 55–63, 2004.
- [49] R. A. Cavallo, R. T. Cox, M. M. Moline et al., "Drosophila Tcf and Groucho interact to repress wingless signalling activity," Nature, vol. 395, no. 6702, pp. 604–608, 1998.
- [50] J. L. Stamos and W. I. Weis, "The β-catenin destruction complex," *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, vol. 5, 2013.
- [51] G. Martello, T. Sugimoto, E. Diamanti et al., "Esrrb is a pivotal target of the Gsk3/Tcf3 axis regulating embryonic stem cell selfrenewal," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 491–504, 2012.
- [52] L. Pereira, F. Yi, and B. J. Merrill, "Repression of Nanog gene transcription by Tcf3 limits embryonic stem cell self-renewal," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 26, no. 20, pp. 7479–7491, 2006.
- [53] H. Xu, C. Baroukh, R. Dannenfelser et al., "ESCAPE: database for integrating high-content published data collected from human and mouse embryonic stem cells," *Database*, vol. 2013, Article ID bat045, 2013.
- [54] F. Yi, L. Pereira, J. A. Hoffman et al., "Opposing effects of Tcf3 and Tcf1 control Wnt stimulation of embryonic stem cell selfrenewal," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 762–770, 2011.
- [55] K. F. Kelly, D. Y. Ng, G. Jayakumaran, G. A. Wood, H. Koide, and B. W. Doble, "Beta-catenin enhances Oct-4 activity and reinforces pluripotency through a TCF-independent mechanism," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 214–227, 2011.
- [56] E. Seo, U. Basu-Roy, J. Zavadil, C. Basilico, and A. Mansukhani, "Distinct functions of Sox2 control self-renewal and differentiation in the osteoblast lineage," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 31, no. 22, pp. 4593–4608, 2011.
- [57] X. Ye, F. Wu, C. Wu et al., "β-catenin, a Sox2 binding partner, regulates the DNA binding and transcriptional activity of Sox2 in breast cancer cells," *Cellular Signalling*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 492– 501, 2014.
- [58] L. Marucci, E. Pedone, U. Di Vicino, B. Sanuy-Escribano, M. Isalan, and M. P. Cosma, "β-Catenin Fluctuates in mouse ESCs and is essential for Nanog-mediated reprogramming of somatic cells to pluripotency," *Cell Reports*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1686–1696, 2014.
- [59] S. J. Arnold, J. Stappert, A. Bauer, A. Kispert, B. G. Herrmann, and R. Kemler, "Brachyury is a target gene of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway," *Mechanisms of Development*, vol. 91, no. 1-2, pp. 249–258, 2000.
- [60] H. Haegel, L. Larue, M. Ohsugi, L. Fedorov, K. Herrenknecht, and R. Kemler, "Lack of β-catenin affects mouse development at gastrulation," *Development*, vol. 121, no. 11, pp. 3529–3537, 1995.

- [61] S. He, D. Pant, A. Schiffmacher, A. Meece, and C. L. Keefer, "Lymphoid enhancer factor 1-mediated Wnt signaling promotes the initiation of trophoblast lineage differentiation in mouse embryonic stem cells," *Stem Cells*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 842–849, 2008.
- [62] J. Huelsken, R. Vogel, V. Brinkmann, B. Erdmann, C. Birchmeier, and W. Birchmeier, "Requirement for β-catenin in anterior-posterior axis formation in mice," *Journal of Cell Biology*, vol. 148, no. 3, pp. 567–578, 2000.
- [63] O. G. Kelly, K. I. Pinson, and W. C. Skarnes, "The Wnt coreceptors Lrp5 and Lrp6 are essential for gastrulation in mice," *Development*, vol. 131, no. 12, pp. 2803–2815, 2004.
- [64] M. Ema, D. Mori, H. Niwa et al., "Krüppel-like factor 5 is essential for blastocyst development and the normal self-renewal of mouse ESCs," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 555–567, 2008.
- [65] J. Han, P. Yuan, H. Yang et al., "Tbx3 improves the germ-line competency of induced pluripotent stem cells," *Nature*, vol. 463, no. 7284, pp. 1096–1100, 2010.
- [66] B. Schuettengruber and G. Cavalli, "Recruitment of polycomb group complexes and their role in the dynamic regulation of cell fate choice," *Development*, vol. 136, no. 21, pp. 3531–3542, 2009.
- [67] K. Takahashi and S. Yamanaka, "Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors," *Cell*, vol. 126, no. 4, pp. 663–676, 2006.
- [68] S.-J. Dunn, G. Martello, B. Yordanov, S. Emmott, and A. G. Smith, "Defining an essential transcription factor program for naïve pluripotency," *Science*, vol. 344, no. 6188, pp. 1156–1160, 2014.
- [69] M. Zernicka-Goetz, "Patterning of the embryo: the first spatial decisions in the life of a mouse," *Development*, vol. 129, no. 4, pp. 815–829, 2002.
- [70] A. J. Copp, "Interaction between inner cell mass and trophectoderm of the mouse blastocyst. II. The fate of the polar trophectoderm," *Journal of Embryology and Experimental Morphology*, vol. 51, pp. 109–120, 1979.
- [71] P. Murray and D. Edgar, "Regulation of the differentiation and behaviour of extra-embryonic endodermal cells by basement membranes," *Journal of Cell Science*, vol. 114, no. 5, pp. 931–939, 2001.
- [72] S. Tada, T. Era, C. Furusawa et al., "Characterization of mesendoderm: a diverging point of the definitive endoderm and mesoderm in embryonic stem cell differentiation culture," *Development*, vol. 132, no. 19, pp. 4363–4374, 2005.
- [73] J. Nichols, B. Zevnik, K. Anastassiadis et al., "Formation of pluripotent stem cells in the mammalian embryo depends on the POU transcription factor Oct4," *Cell*, vol. 95, no. 3, pp. 379– 391, 1998.
- [74] D. J. Rodda, J.-L. Chew, L.-H. Lim et al., "Transcriptional regulation of nanog by OCT4 and SOX2," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 280, no. 26, pp. 24731–24737, 2005.
- [75] M. Pesce and H. R. Schöler, "Oct-4: gatekeeper in the beginnings of mammalian development," *Stem Cells*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 271–278, 2001.
- [76] A. Radzisheuskaya, G. Le Bin Chia, R. L. dos Santos et al., "A defined Oct4 level governs cell state transitions of pluripotency entry and differentiation into all embryonic lineages," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 579–590, 2013.
- [77] V. Karwacki-Neisius, J. Göke, R. Osorno et al., "Reduced Oct4 expression directs a robust pluripotent state with distinct signaling activity and increased enhancer occupancy by Oct4 and Nanog," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 531–545, 2013.

- [78] I. Aksoy, R. Jauch, J. Chen et al., "Oct4 switches partnering from Sox2 to Sox17 to reinterpret the enhancer code and specify endoderm," *The EMBO Journal*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 938–953, 2013.
- [79] S. Stefanovic, N. Abboud, S. Désilets, D. Nury, C. Cowan, and M. Pucéat, "Interplay of Oct4 with Sox2 and Sox17: a molecular switch from stem cell pluripotency to specifying a cardiac fate," *Journal of Cell Biology*, vol. 186, no. 5, pp. 665–673, 2009.
- [80] M. Hogan, D. Parfitt, C. Zepeda-Mendoza, M. Shen, and D. Spector, "Transient pairing of homologous Oct4 alleles accompanies the onset of embryonic stem cell differentiation," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 275–288, 2015.
- [81] M. R. Hübner, M. A. Eckersley-Maslin, and D. L. Spector, "Chromatin organization and transcriptional regulation," *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 89– 95, 2013.
- [82] Z. Wei, Y. Yang, P. Zhang et al., "Klf4 interacts directly with Oct4 and Sox2 to promote reprogramming," *Stem Cells*, vol. 27, no. 12, pp. 2969–2978, 2009.
- [83] K. Deb, M. Sivaguru, H. Y. Yong, and R. M. Roberts, "Cdx2 gene expression and trophectoderm lineage specification in mouse embryos," *Science*, vol. 311, no. 5763, pp. 992–996, 2006.
- [84] H. Niwa, Y. Toyooka, D. Shimosato et al., "Interaction between Oct3/4 and Cdx2 determines trophectoderm differentiation," *Cell*, vol. 123, no. 5, pp. 917–929, 2005.
- [85] D. Strumpf, C.-A. Mao, Y. Yamanaka et al., "Cdx2 is required for correct cell fate specification and differentiation of trophectoderm in the mouse blastocyst," *Development*, vol. 132, no. 9, pp. 2093–2102, 2005.
- [86] Y. Li, W. Yu, A. J. Cooney, R. J. Schwartz, and Y. Liu, "Brief report: Oct4 and canonical Wnt signaling regulate the cardiac lineage factor Mesp1 through a Tcf/Lef-Oct4 composite element," *Stem Cells*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 1213–1217, 2013.
- [87] T. Frum, M. Halbisen, C. Wang, H. Amiri, P. Robson, and A. Ralston, "Oct4 Cell-autonomously promotes primitive endoderm development in the mouse blastocyst," *Developmental Cell*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 610–622, 2013.
- [88] S. Masui, Y. Nakatake, Y. Toyooka et al., "Pluripotency governed by Sox2 via regulation of Oct3/4 expression in mouse embryonic stem cells," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 625–635, 2007.
- [89] G. C. Le Bin, S. Muñoz-Descalzo, A. Kurowski et al., "Oct4 is required for lineage priming in the developing inner cell mass of the mouse blastocyst," *Development*, vol. 141, no. 5, pp. 1001– 1010, 2014.
- [90] G. Tiscornia and J. C. Izpisúa Belmonte, "MicroRNAs in embryonic stem cell function and fate," *Genes and Development*, vol. 24, no. 24, pp. 2732–2741, 2010.
- [91] F. D. Price, H. Yin, A. Jones, W. Van Ijcken, F. Grosveld, and M. A. Rudnicki, "Canonical Wnt signaling induces a primitive endoderm metastable state in mouse embryonic stem cells," *Stem Cells*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 752–764, 2013.
- [92] C.-A. Renard, C. Labalette, C. Armengol et al., "Tbx3 is a downstream target of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway and a critical mediator of β-catenin survival functions in liver cancer," *Cancer Research*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 901–910, 2007.
- [93] J. Brennan, C. C. Lu, D. P. Norris, T. A. Rodriguez, R. S. P. Beddington, and E. J. Robertson, "Nodal signalling in the epiblast patterns the early mouse embryo," *Nature*, vol. 411, no. 6840, pp. 965–969, 2001.
- [94] X. Cheng, L. Ying, L. Lu et al., "Self-renewing endodermal progenitor lines generated from human pluripotent stem cells," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 371–384, 2012.

- [95] A. E. R. Kartikasari, J. X. Zhou, M. S. Kanji et al., "The histone demethylase Jmjd3 sequentially associates with the transcription factors Tbx3 and Eomes to drive endoderm differentiation," *The EMBO Journal*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 1393–1408, 2013.
- [96] R. Pearson, J. Fleetwood, S. Eaton, M. Crossley, and S. Bao, "Krüppel-like transcription factors: a functional family," *International Journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology*, vol. 40, no. 10, pp. 1996–2001, 2008.
- [97] K. Kurimoto, Y. Yabuta, Y. Ohinata et al., "An improved singlecell cDNA amplification method for efficient high-density oligonucleotide microarray analysis," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 34, no. 5, article e42, 2006.
- [98] J. Jiang, Y.-S. Chan, Y.-H. Loh et al., "A core Klf circuitry regulates self-renewal of embryonic stem cells," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 353–360, 2008.
- [99] C. Chazaud, Y. Yamanaka, T. Pawson, and J. Rossant, "Early lineage segregation between epiblast and primitive endoderm in mouse blastocysts through the Grb2-MAPK pathway," *Devel*opmental Cell, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 615–624, 2006.
- [100] P. Zhang, R. Andrianakos, Y. Yang, C. Liu, and W. Lu, "Kruppellike factor 4 (Klf4) prevents embryonic stem (ES) cell differentiation by regulating Nanog gene expression," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 285, no. 12, pp. 9180–9189, 2010.
- [101] J. Hall, G. Guo, J. Wray et al., "Oct4 and LIF/Stat3 additively induce Krüppel factors to sustain embryonic stem cell selfrenewal," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 597–609, 2009.
- [102] A. M. Ghaleb, M. O. Nandan, S. Chanchevalap, W. B. Dalton, I. M. Hisamuddin, and V. W. Yang, "Krüppel-like factors 4 and 5: the yin and yang regulators of cellular proliferation," *Cell Research*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 92–96, 2005.
- [103] D. T. Dang, W. Zhao, C. S. Mahatan, D. E. Geiman, and V. W. Yang, "Opposing effects of Kruppel-like factor 4 (gut-enriched Kruppel-like factor) and Kruppel-like factor 5 (intestinalenriched Kruppel-like factor) on the promoter of the Kruppellike factor 4 gene," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 30, pp. 2736–2741, 2002.
- [104] Y. Li, J. McClintick, L. Zhong, H. J. Edenberg, M. C. Yoder, and R. J. Chan, "Murine embryonic stem cell differentiation is promoted by SOCS-3 and inhibited by the zinc finger transcription factor Klf4," *Blood*, vol. 105, no. 2, pp. 635–637, 2005.
- [105] S. Parisi, F. Passaro, L. Aloia et al., "Klf5 is involved in selfrenewal of mouse embryonic stem cells," *Journal of Cell Science*, vol. 121, no. 16, pp. 2629–2634, 2008.
- [106] M. Nakagawa, M. Koyanagi, K. Tanabe et al., "Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells without Myc from mouse and human fibroblasts," *Nature Biotechnology*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 101– 106, 2008.
- [107] I. Aksoy, V. Giudice, E. Delahaye et al., "Klf4 and Klf5 differentially inhibit mesoderm and endoderm differentiation in embryonic stem cells," *Nature Communications*, vol. 5, article 3719, 2014.
- [108] J. A. Segre, C. Bauer, and E. Fuchs, "Klf4 is a transcription factor required for establishing the barrier function of the skin," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 356–360, 1999.
- [109] T. Yoshida, Q. Gan, A. S. Franke et al., "Smooth and cardiac muscle-selective knock-out of Krüppel-like factor 4 causes postnatal death and growth retardation," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 285, no. 27, pp. 21175–21184, 2010.
- [110] S.-C. J. Lin, M. A. Wani, J. A. Whitsett, and J. M. Wells, "Klf5 regulates lineage formation in the pre-implantation mouse embryo," *Development*, vol. 137, no. 23, pp. 3953–3963, 2010.

- [111] A. Gagliardi, N. P. Mullin, Z. Ying Tan et al., "A direct physical interaction between Nanog and Sox2 regulates embryonic stem cell self-renewal," *The EMBO Journal*, vol. 32, no. 16, pp. 2231– 2247, 2013.
- [112] T. Kuroda, M. Tada, H. Kubota et al., "Octamer and sox elements are required for transcriptional cis regulation of Nanog gene expression," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 2475–2485, 2005.
- [113] K. Mitsui, Y. Tokuzawa, H. Itoh et al., "The homeoprotein nanog is required for maintenance of pluripotency in mouse epiblast and ES cells," *Cell*, vol. 113, no. 5, pp. 631–642, 2003.
- [114] I. Chambers, D. Colby, M. Robertson et al., "Functional expression cloning of Nanog, a pluripotency sustaining factor in embryonic stem cells," *Cell*, vol. 113, no. 5, pp. 643–655, 2003.
- [115] I. Chambers, J. Silva, D. Colby et al., "Nanog safeguards pluripotency and mediates germline development," *Nature*, vol. 450, no. 7173, pp. 1230–1234, 2007.
- [116] D. A. Faddah, H. Wang, A. W. Cheng, Y. Katz, Y. Buganim, and R. Jaenisch, "Single-cell analysis reveals that expression of nanog is biallelic and equally variable as that of other pluripotency factors in mouse ESCs," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 23–29, 2013.
- [117] A. Filipczyk, K. Gkatzis, J. Fu et al., "Biallelic expression of nanog protein in mouse embryonic stem cells," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 12–13, 2013.
- [118] A. Suzuki, A. Raya, Y. Kawakami et al., "Maintenance of embryonic stem cell pluripotency by Nanog-mediated reversal of mesoderm specification," *Nature Clinical Practice Cardiovascular Medicine*, vol. 3, supplement 1, pp. S114–S122, 2006.
- [119] A. Suzuki, Á. Raya, Y. Kawakami et al., "Nanog binds to Smadl and blocks bone morphogenetic protein-induced differentiation of embryonic stem cells," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 103, no. 27, pp. 10294–10299, 2006.
- [120] X. Chen, H. Xu, P. Yuan et al., "Integration of external signaling pathways with the core transcriptional network in embryonic stem cells," *Cell*, vol. 133, no. 6, pp. 1106–1117, 2008.
- [121] D. M. Messerschmidt and R. Kemler, "Nanog is required for primitive endoderm formation through a non-cell autonomous mechanism," *Developmental Biology*, vol. 344, no. 1, pp. 129–137, 2010.
- [122] H. Ochiai, T. Sugawara, T. Sakuma, and T. Yamamoto, "Stochastic promoter activation affects Nanog expression variability in mouse embryonic stem cells," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 4, article 7125, 2014.
- [123] L. T. Sun, S. Yamaguchi, K. Hirano, T. Ichisaka, T. Kuroda, and T. Tada, "Nanog co-regulated by Nodal/Smad2 and Oct4 is required for pluripotency in developing mouse epiblast," *Developmental Biology*, vol. 392, no. 2, pp. 182–192, 2014.
- [124] D. L. C. van den Berg, W. Zhang, A. Yates et al., "Estrogenrelated receptor beta interacts with Oct4 to positively regulate Nanog gene expression," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 28, no. 19, pp. 5986–5995, 2008.
- [125] M. Sakaki-Yumoto, J. Liu, M. Ramalho-Santos, N. Yoshida, and R. Derynck, "Smad2 is essential for maintenance of the human and mouse primed pluripotent stem cell state," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 288, no. 25, pp. 18546–18560, 2013.
- [126] L. Vallier, S. Mendjan, S. Brown et al., "Activin/Nodal signalling maintains pluripotency by controlling Nanog expression," *Development*, vol. 136, no. 8, pp. 1339–1349, 2009.

- [127] S. Bessonnard, L. D. Mot, D. Gonze et al., "Gata6, Nanog and Erk signaling control cell fate in the inner cell mass through a tristable regulatory network," *Development*, vol. 141, no. 19, pp. 3637–3648, 2014.
- [128] K. Q. Cai, C. D. Capo-Chichi, M. E. Rula, D.-H. Yang, and X.-X. M. Xu, "Dynamic GATA6 expression in primitive endoderm formation and maturation in early mouse embryogenesis," *Developmental Dynamics*, vol. 237, no. 10, pp. 2820–2829, 2008.
- [129] S. A. Morris, R. T. Y. Teo, H. Li, P. Robson, D. M. Glover, and M. Zernicka-Goetz, "Origin and formation of the first two distinct cell types of the inner cell mass in the mouse embryo," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 107, no. 14, pp. 6364–6369, 2010.
- [130] S. Frankenberg, F. Gerbe, S. Bessonnard et al., "Primitive endoderm differentiates via a three-step mechanism involving Nanog and RTK signaling," *Developmental Cell*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 1005–1013, 2011.
- [131] P. Xenopoulos, M. Kang, A. Puliafito, S. Di Talia, and A. Hadjantonakis, "Heterogeneities in nanog expression drive stable commitment to pluripotency in the mouse blastocyst," *Cell Reports*, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 1508–1520, 2015.
- [132] A. Bertero, P. Madrigal, A. Galli et al., "Activin/Nodal signaling and NANOG orchestrate human embryonic stem cell fate decisions by controlling the H3K4me3 chromatin mark," *Genes & Development*, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 702–717, 2015.
- [133] S. Brown, T. E. O. Adrian, S. Pauklin et al., "Activin/nodal signaling controls divergent transcriptional networks in human embryonic stem cells and in endoderm progenitors," *Stem Cells*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 1176–1185, 2011.
- [134] A. K. K. Teo, S. J. Arnold, M. W. B. Trotter et al., "Pluripotency factors regulate definitive endoderm specification through eomesodermin," *Genes and Development*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 238– 250, 2011.
- [135] J.-L. Chew, Y.-H. Loh, W. Zhang et al., "Reciprocal transcriptional regulation of Pou5f1 and Sox2 via the Oct4/Sox2 complex in embryonic stem cells," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 25, no. 14, pp. 6031–6046, 2005.
- [136] H. Yuan, N. Corbi, C. Basilico, and L. Dailey, "Developmentalspecific activity of the FGF-4 enhancer requires the synergistic action of Sox2 and Oct-3," *Genes and Development*, vol. 9, no. 21, pp. 2635–2645, 1995.
- [137] A. P. Russ, S. Wattler, W. H. Colledge et al., "Eomesodermin is required for mouse trophoblast development and mesoderm formation," *Nature*, vol. 404, no. 6773, pp. 95–99, 2000.
- [138] A. A. Avilion, S. K. Nicolis, L. H. Pevny, L. Perez, N. Vivian, and R. Lovell-Badge, "Multipotent cell lineages in early mouse development depend on SOX2 function," *Genes and Development*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 126–140, 2003.
- [139] M. Keramari, J. Razavi, K. A. Ingman et al., "Sox2 is essential for formation of trophectoderm in the preimplantation embryo," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 5, no. 11, Article ID e13952, 2010.
- [140] A. L. M. Ferri, M. Cavallaro, D. Braida et al., "Sox2 deficiency causes neurodegeneration and impaired neurogenesis in the adult mouse brain," *Development*, vol. 131, no. 15, pp. 3805–3819, 2004.
- [141] C.-I. Tai and Q.-L. Ying, "Gbx2, a LIF/Stat3 target, promotes reprogramming to and retention of the pluripotent ground state," *Journal of Cell Science*, vol. 126, no. 5, pp. 1093– 1098, 2013.

- [142] J. Rathjen, J.-A. Lake, M. D. Bettess, J. M. Washington, G. Chapman, and P. D. Rathjen, "Formation of a primitive ectoderm like cell population, EPL cells, from ES cells in response to biologically derived factors," *Journal of Cell Science*, vol. 112, no. 5, pp. 601–612, 1999.
- [143] K. M. Wassarman, M. Lewandoski, K. Campbell et al., "Specification of the anterior hindbrain and establishment of a normal mid/hindbrain organizer is dependent on Gbx2 gene function," *Development*, vol. 124, no. 15, pp. 2923–2934, 1997.
- [144] A. Bulfone, L. Puelles, M. H. Porteus, M. A. Frohman, G. R. Martin, and J. L. R. Rubenstein, "Spatially restricted expression of Dlx-1, Dlx-2 (Tes-1), Gbx-2, and Wnt-3 in the embryonic day 12.5 mouse forebrain defines potential transverse and longitudinal segmental boundaries," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 3155–3172, 1993.
- [145] N. A. Byrd and E. N. Meyers, "Loss of Gbx2 results in neural crest cell patterning and pharyngeal arch artery defects in the mouse embryo," *Developmental Biology*, vol. 284, no. 1, pp. 233– 245, 2005.
- [146] J. Y. H. Li and A. L. Joyner, "Otx2 and Gbx2 are required for refinement and not induction of mid-hindbrain gene expression," Development, vol. 128, no. 24, pp. 4979–4991, 2001.
- [147] Q. Wu, X. Chen, J. Zhang et al., "Sall4 interacts with Nanog and co-occupies Nanog genomic sites in embryonic stem cells," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 281, no. 34, pp. 24090– 24094, 2006.
- [148] U. Elling, C. Klasen, T. Eisenberger, K. Anlag, and M. Treier, "Murine inner cell mass-derived lineages depend on Sall4 function," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 103, no. 44, pp. 16319–16324, 2006.
- [149] N. Tanimura, M. Saito, M. Ebisuya, E. Nishida, and F. Ishikawa, "Stemness-related factor Sall4 interacts with transcription factors Oct-3/4 and Sox2 and occupies Oct-Sox elements in mouse embryonic stem cells," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 288, no. 7, pp. 5027–5038, 2013.
- [150] J. Yang, C. Gao, L. Chai, and Y. Ma, "A novel SALL4/OCT4 transcriptional feedback network for pluripotency of embryonic stem cells," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 5, no. 5, Article ID e10766, 2010.
- [151] J. Lu, H. Jeong, N. Kong et al., "Stem cell factor SALL4 represses the transcriptions of PTEN and SALL1 through an epigenetic repressor complex," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 4, no. 5, Article ID e5577, 2009.
- [152] M. Koutsourakis, A. Langeveld, R. Patient, R. Beddington, and F. Grosveld, "The transcription factor GATA6 is essential for early extraembryonic development," *Development*, vol. 126, no. 4, pp. 723–732, 1999.
- [153] C. Y. Lim, W.-L. Tam, J. Zhang et al., "Sall4 regulates distinct transcription circuitries in different blastocyst-derived stem cell lineages," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 543–554, 2008.
- [154] J. Zhang, W.-L. Tam, G. Q. Tong et al., "Sall4 modulates embryonic stem cell pluripotency and early embryonic development by the transcriptional regulation of Pou5f1," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 1114–1123, 2006.
- [155] S. Yuri, S. Fujimura, K. Nimura et al., "Sall4 is essential for stabilization, but not for pluripotency, of embryonic stem cells by repressing aberrant trophectoderm gene expression," *Stem Cells*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 796–805, 2009.
- [156] M. Sakaki-Yumoto, C. Kobayashi, A. Sato et al., "The murine homolog of SALL4, a causative gene in Okihiro syndrome, is essential for embryonic stem cell proliferation, and cooperates with Sall1 in anorectal, heart, brain and kidney development," *Development*, vol. 133, no. 15, pp. 3005–3013, 2006.

- [157] M. Warren, W. Wang, S. Spiden et al., "A Sall4 mutant mouse model useful for studying the role of Sall4 in early embryonic development and organogenesis," *Genesis*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 51– 58, 2007.
- [158] B. Feng, J. Jiang, P. Kraus et al., "Reprogramming of fibroblasts into induced pluripotent stem cells with orphan nuclear receptor Esrrb," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 197–203, 2009.
- [159] N. Festuccia, R. Osorno, F. Halbritter et al., "Esrrb is a direct Nanog target gene that can substitute for Nanog function in pluripotent cells," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 477–490, 2012.
- [160] X. Zhang, J. Zhang, T. Wang, M. A. Esteban, and D. Pei, "Esrrb activates Oct4 transcription and sustains self-renewal and pluripotency in embryonic stem cells," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 283, no. 51, pp. 35825–35833, 2008.
- [161] J.-C. Yeo, J. Jiang, Z.-Y. Tan et al., "Klf2 is an essential factor that sustains ground state pluripotency," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 864–872, 2014.
- [162] N. Ivanova, R. Dobrin, R. Lu et al., "Dissecting self-renewal in stem cells with RNA interference," *Nature*, vol. 442, no. 7102, pp. 533–538, 2006.
- [163] J. Luo, R. Sladek, J.-A. Bader, A. Matthyssen, J. Rossant, and V. Giguère, "Placental abnormalities in mouse embryos lacking the orphan nuclear receptor ERR-β," *Nature*, vol. 388, no. 6644, pp. 778–782, 1997.
- [164] Y. Ohinata and T. Tsukiyama, "Establishment of trophoblast stem cells under defined culture conditions in mice," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 9, no. 9, Article ID e107308, 2014.
- [165] K. M. Loh and B. Lim, "A precarious balance: pluripotency factors as lineage specifiers," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 363– 369, 2011.
- [166] K. Uranishi, T. Akagi, C. Sun, H. Koide, and T. Yokota, "Daxl associates with Esrrb and regulates its function in embryonic stem cells," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 2056–2066, 2013.
- [167] J. Rohwedel, K. Guan, and A. M. Wobus, "Induction of cellular differentiation by retinoic acid in vitro," *Cells Tissues Organs*, vol. 165, no. 3-4, pp. 190–202, 1999.
- [168] K. B. Scotland, S. Chen, R. Sylvester, and L. J. Gudas, "Analysis of Rex1 (zfp42) function in embryonic stem cell differentiation," *Developmental Dynamics*, vol. 238, no. 8, pp. 1863–1877, 2009.
- [169] W. Shi, H. Wang, G. Pan, Y. Geng, Y. Guo, and D. Pei, "Regulation of the pluripotency marker Rex-1 by Nanog and Sox2," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 281, no. 33, pp. 23319– 23325, 2006.
- [170] J. R. Thompson and L. J. Gudas, "Retinoic acid induces parietal endoderm but not primitive endoderm and visceral endoderm differentiation in F9 teratocarcinoma stem cells with a targeted deletion of the Rex-1 (Zfp-42) gene," *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, vol. 195, no. 1-2, pp. 119–133, 2002.
- [171] E. Ben-Shushan, J. R. Thompson, L. J. Gudas, and Y. Bergman, "Rex-1, a gene encoding a transcription factor expressed in the early embryo, is regulated via Oct-3/4 and Oct-6 binding to an octamer site and a novel protein, Rox-1, binding to an adjacent site," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 1866– 1878, 1998.
- [172] S. Masui, S. Ohtsuka, R. Yagi, K. Takahashi, M. S. H. Ko, and H. Niwa, "Rex1/Zfp42 is dispensable for pluripotency in mouse ES cells," *BMC Developmental Biology*, vol. 8, article 45, 2008.
- [173] M. B. Rogers, B. A. Hosler, and L. J. Gudas, "Specific expression of a retinoic acid-regulated, zinc-finger gene, Rex-1, in preimplantation embryos, trophoblast and spermatocytes," *Development*, vol. 113, no. 3, pp. 815–824, 1991.

- [174] Y. Toyooka, D. Shimosato, K. Murakami, K. Takahashi, and H. Niwa, "Identification and characterization of subpopulations in undifferentiated ES cell culture," *Development*, vol. 135, no. 5, pp. 909–918, 2008.
- [175] J.-Z. Zhang, W. Gao, H.-B. Yang, B. Zhang, Z.-Y. Zhu, and Y.-F. Xue, "Screening for genes essential for mouse embryonic stem cell self-renewal using a subtractive RNA interference library," *Stem Cells*, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 2661–2668, 2006.
- [176] M. Climent, S. Alonso-Martin, R. Pérez-Palacios et al., "Functional analysis of rex1 during preimplantation development," *Stem Cells and Development*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 459–472, 2013.
- [177] D. Guallar, R. Pérez-Palacios, M. Climent et al., "Expression of endogenous retroviruses is negatively regulated by the pluripotency marker Rex1/Zfp42," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 40, no. 18, pp. 8993–9007, 2012.
- [178] G. Hu and P. A. Wade, "NuRD and pluripotency: a complex balancing act," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 497–503, 2012.
- [179] K. Kaji, I. M. Caballero, R. MacLeod, J. Nichols, V. A. Wilson, and B. Hendrich, "The NuRD component Mbd3 is required for pluripotency of embryonic stem cells," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 285–292, 2006.
- [180] J. Ramírez and J. Hagman, "The Mi-2/NuRD complex: a critical epigenetic regulator of hematopoietic development, differentiation and cancer," *Epigenetics*, vol. 4, no. 8, pp. 532–536, 2009.
- [181] Y. Rais, A. Zviran, S. Geula et al., "Deterministic direct reprogramming of somatic cells to pluripotency," *Nature*, vol. 502, no. 7469, pp. 65–70, 2013.
- [182] D. Zhu, J. Fang, Y. Li, and J. Zhang, "Mbd3, a component of NuRD/Mi-2 complex, helps maintain pluripotency of mouse embryonic stem cells by repressing trophectoderm differentiation," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 4, no. 11, Article ID e7684, 2009.
- [183] J. J. Kim, O. Khalid, S. Vo, H.-H. Sun, D. T. W. Wong, and Y. Kim, "A novel regulatory factor recruits the nucleosome remodeling complex to wingless integrated (Wnt) signaling gene promoters in mouse embryonic stem cells," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 287, no. 49, pp. 41103–41117, 2012.
- [184] B. Hendrich, J. Guy, B. Ramsahoye, V. A. Wilson, and A. Bird, "Closely related proteins MBD2 and MBD3 play distinctive but interacting roles in mouse development," *Genes & Development*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 710–723, 2001.