



Evaluating [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032 as a Novel PET Tracer for Diagnosis Cerebral Amyloid Angiopathy

Qihui Zhang¹, Xiaobin Zhao², Peng Lei³, Hank F. Kung⁴, Zhi Yang⁵, Lin Zhu⁶, Shujing Wang⁵, Hua Zhu⁵, Xiangxi Meng⁵, Yunyun Duan⁷, Li Sun⁸, Jianwei Pan⁹, Ruixue Ma¹⁰, Haiyan Hong⁶, Xingquan Zhao¹, Andrew Demchuk¹¹, Eric E. Smith¹¹ and Yongjun Wang^{1*}

¹ Department of Neurology, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, ² Department of Nuclear Medicine, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, ³ State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ⁴ Department of Radiology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States, ⁵ Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research, Peking University Cancer Hospital, Beijing, China, ⁶ Key Laboratory of Radiopharmaceuticals, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China, ⁷ Department of Medical Imaging, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, ⁸ Department of Neurology, First Affiliated Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China, ⁹ Department of Neurosurgery, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zheijang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China, ¹⁰ Department of Neurology, DongFang Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, ¹¹ Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Dusko Kozic, University of Novi Sad, Serbia

Reviewed by:

Qing Ye, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, China Ellis van Etten, Leiden University Medical Center, Netherlands

> *Correspondence: Yongjun Wang yongjunwang@ncrcnd.org.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Applied Neuroimaging, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 29 April 2021 Accepted: 23 September 2021 Published: 27 October 2021

Citation:

Zhang Q, Zhao X, Lei P, Kung HF, Yang Z, Zhu L, Wang S, Zhu H, Meng X, Duan Y, Sun L, Pan J, Ma R, Hong H, Zhao X, Demchuk A, Smith EE and Wang Y (2021) Evaluating [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032 as a Novel PET Tracer for Diagnosis Cerebral Amyloid Angiopathy. Front. Neurol. 12:702185. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.702185 **Objective:** We aimed to investigate the distribution of [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032, a novel PET ligand that binds to vascular amyloid, in patients diagnosed clinically with probable cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA) compared with patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD) and normal controls (NC).

Methods: This longitudinal cohort study was composed of 10 subjects (three probable CAA patients, two AD patients, five NC subjects), recruited from a clinic in China. CAA patients had a history of lobar intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) and met modified Boston criteria for probable CAA. All participants were aged at least 55 years and underwent [⁶⁸Ga] Ga-p14-032 PET/CT or/and PET/MRI, and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment on initial assessment. Demographics were measured at baseline (diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, ischemic stroke, and ICH). Two PET imaging experts reviewed the PET images with cortical standardized uptake value ratio (SUVr) displayed on a color scale and visually classified the images as positive or negative. The mean of SUVr was calculated using the pons as reference.

Results: In CAA patients, PET scans were positive in regions with higher numbers of CMBs. No significant signal was seen in AD subjects or controls. The relative [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032 retention in the cortex was stronger in patients with CAA than AD and NC (median SUVr 2.68 \pm 1.53 vs. 1.77 \pm 0.08 and 0.83 \pm 0.24).

Conclusions: Our results provide early evidence that the [⁶⁸Ga] Ga-p14-032 PET probe binds preferentially to vascular amyloid and may be a useful tracer for diagnosing CAA.

Keywords: cerebral amyloid angiopathy, amyloid- β , PET tracer, Alzheimer's disease, PET/MRI

1

INTRODUCTION

Cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA) is a common cerebrovascular disease in the elderly (1). Because amyloid- β (A β) deposits in the walls of small arteries and arterioles in the cerebral cortex and leptomeninges (2), it can lead to lobar hemorrhage and cognitive decline (3, 4). The definitive diagnosis of CAA relies on biopsy or autopsy (5), but this is often not available clinically. Amyloid imaging has become an important tool in the study of CAA (6). It has been used to explore the spatial and quantitative correlation between the brain injury related to CAA and vascular amyloid (7, 8). Although current ligands can show the deposition of vascular A β protein, they also pass through the blood-brain barrier and bind to the Aß protein deposited in brain parenchyma (9). Therefore, it is still difficult to differentiate CAA and Alzheimer's disease (AD) by specific binding of the tracer to $A\beta$ protein. Although patients with CAA exhibit a relatively higher degree of posterior binding compared with overall binding than patients with AD, these differences in relative binding are not large enough to make accurate diagnoses in individual patients. What is needed are new tracers with higher specificity for vascular β amyloid.

A series of studies suggests the relationship between vascular amyloid and lobar bleeds in CAA (10–12). *In vitro* autoradiography suggests that [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032 may be a useful PET imaging agent for selectively detecting A β associated with cerebral vessels in the living human brain (13). Therefore, we designed a study to address whether [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032-PET imaging can predict sites of microbleeds in CAA patients and whether the overall [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032 burden provides information about the currency of CAA.

METHODS

Study Participants

We enrolled 10 subjects at least 55 years old: three probable CAA patients, two AD patients, and five normal controls (NC). The subjects were recruited from an ongoing single-center prospective registered study of evaluating [68Ga]Ga-p14-032 as a novel PET tracer for diagnosis of CAA (Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Beijing China). They underwent [68Ga]Ga-p14-032 PET/CT and/or PET/MRI at Beijing Cancer Hospital. Two NC subjects did not complete the PET/MRI scan because of intolerance to noise. They had brain MRI before and had no history of stroke. The three CAA subjects after symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) history met the criteria for probable CAA according to modified Boston criteria. The AD cases met NINCDS-ADRDA criteria. The NC subjects have no stroke or allergic history or cognitive impairment. Detailed information, including demographics, characteristics, and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) score were assessed as the baseline.

Standard Protocol Approvals and Patient Consents

This study was performed with the approval of ethics committees of the participating institutions (Beijing Tiantan hospital, and Beijing Cancer Hospital) and with the informed consent of all subjects or family members.

Imaging Acquisition and Analysis Labeling

Using the freeze-drying kit containing the labeled precursor, ⁶⁸Ga solution washed from the ⁶⁸Ga/⁶⁸Ga generator was used for labeling with testing of the quality of the product through pH value, radiochemical purity (thing-layer chromatography), sterility, and pyrogen. A labeled drug meeting the clinical application quality standard was used in the PET/CT or PET/MRI clinical imaging research.

Imaging Methods

PET/CT images of subjects were collected with the Siemens Biograph mCT flow PET/CT scanner after intravenous injection of 3–6 mCi [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032 for 30 min and 1 h. PET images and PET/CT fusion images were obtained after reconstruction by the ordered subset expectation maximization (OSEM) algorithm. PET/MRI imaging was performed on the head with a United Imaging uPMR 790 PET/MRI scanner 2 h after the injection of [⁶⁸Ga] Ga-p14-032. Raw image data were reconstructed using MR attenuation correction and the OSEM algorithm. The PET acquisition time was 15 min for both PET/CT and PET/MRI.

The relative retention in the cortex was expressed as SUVr. VOIs were manually delineated on the cortex and the pon of each patient. Then, the SUVmean was calculated in the voxels of each VOI. Finally, the SUVmean in the cortex was divided by the SUVmean in the pon to yield SUVr.

The hemorrhagic lesions (Hem) and the pons were manually segmented on the susceptibility weighted image (SWI) sequence for the patient. The radioactivity and volumes of these segmented areas were obtained on the registered PET image. The concentration ratio (R) was them obtained as

$$R = rac{lpha_{Hem/V_{Hem}}}{lpha_{pons^{/V_{pons}}}},$$

where α denotes the total activity of the segmented region (Bq), and *V* denotes the volume of the region.

MRI factors included diffusion-weighted imaging hyperintense lesions (DWIHLs) with associated hypointensity, or white matter hyperintensity (WMH) was evaluated visually on fluid-isointensity on apparent diffusion coefficients. White attenuated inversion recovery images were evaluated using the Fazekas scale.

The images were read and interpreted by two doctors in nuclear medicine and imaging. They were blinded to the diagnosis.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical results were expressed in mean \pm standard deviation.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Study Group

Demographic and imaging data for the 10 subjects are summarized in **Table 1**. As shown in the **Tables 1**, **2**, three CAA patients and two NC subjects had microbleeds. The CAA and AD groups had a lower MoCA score $(13.67 \pm 5.03, 19.00 \pm 2.83)$ than normal controls (29.20 ± 0.45) . Two NC patients had incidentally discovered CMBs; one participant had two CMBs in the white matter near the border of the left lateral ventricle, and the other participant had one CMB in the left basal ganglia. The results of the two visually raters were identical.

Vascular Amyloid Deposition Is Detected by [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032 Binding

All AD and NC subjects were negative for [68 Ga] Ga-p14-032 binding, and all CAA subjects were [68 Ga] Ga-p14-032 positive by a visual read of [68 Ga]Ga-p14-032 PET scans. In all three CAA patients, the regions with CMBs largely overlapped with regions that showed increased [68 Ga] Ga-p14-032 uptake. It is distributed in the venous sinus, scalp, and cortex but not in the pituitary gland, white matter, brain stem, and skull. [68 Ga]Ga-p14-032 images are shown for individual patients (**Figure 1**). Slices from a representative patient with probable CAA, AD, and a cognitive healthy control are shown. Global [68 Ga]Ga-p14-032 retention was stronger in patients with CAA than those in the AD or NC groups (median SUVr 2.68 ± 1.53 vs. 1.77±0.08 and 0.08 ± 0.24) (**Table 3**).

DISCUSSION

This was the first clinical evaluation of [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032, a novel PET ligand for vascular amyloid aggregates associated with CAA. Three CAA patients, two AD patients, and five elderly NC subjects received [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032. We chose the pons as the reference region to calculate SUVr instead of using the cerebellum, a more widely used region in calculating amyloid PET SUVr, to avoid the confounding from cerebellar amyloid angiopathy. We found that [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032 retention was higher in regions with CMBs in CAA patients, in which vascular amyloid deposition is expected to be the highest. Additionally, the overall retention of [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032 in the cerebral cortex was significantly higher in patients with CAA. AD and NC subjects did not show positive regional binding of [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032.

Case 7 had a right hemispheric dominant distribution of CMBs, and the other two CAA patients had CMBs in both hemispheres. Our preliminary study raises the possibility that [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032 identifies vascular A β in areas prone to vascular rupture (14). Other studies report that CAA and associated lobar hemorrhages exhibit a preferential posterior distribution, in which vascular amyloid deposits tend to be highest (15, 16). The local accumulations of amyloid may trigger future vessel rupture and bleeding after their initial clinical presentation (17).

This study has some limitations. First, because of the sample size, the findings should be regarded as proof-of-concept. If the sample size were larger, we should be able to get more

TABLE 1 Demographics of CAA, AD, normal patients.									
Subject number	Cohort	Age	Sex	History (HTN)	History (ischemic stroke)	History (ICH)	MoCA score	[⁶⁸ Ga] Ga-p14-032 scan	SUVr
1	NC	56	М	Y	Y	N	29	-	1.01
2	NC	69	F	Y	Ν	Ν	29	-	1.12
3	NC	57	F	Ν	Ν	Ν	29	-	0.56
4	NC	72	Μ	Ν	Ν	Ν	30	-	0.63
5	AD	84	Μ	Y	Ν	Ν	21	-	1.83
6	AD	83	F	Y	Ν	Ν	17	-	1.71
7	CAA	70	F	Y	Ν	Y	9	+	1.24
8	NC	68	Μ	Y	Ν	Ν	29	-	0.81
9	CAA	62	Μ	Y	Ν	Y	13	+	2.53
10	CAA	58	Μ	Y	Ν	Y	19	+	4.28

HTN, hypertension; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; NC, normal controls; AD, Alzheimer's disease; CAA, cerebral amyloid angiopathy.

TABLE 2 Detailed induction aging in three over patients.						
Case number	Number of lobar CMBs (n)	Number of cerebellar CMBs (n)	Presence/distribution of cSS	Severity of WMH (score)	ICH location	
7	4	0	Y	6	Right temporal occipital lobe	
9	>10	2	Ν	4	Left parietal lobe	
10	>10	1	Ν	6	Right temporal lobe	

HTN, hypertension; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; CMBs, cerebral microbleeds; cSS, cortical superficial siderosis; WMH, matter hyperintensity.

TABLE OI Detailed neuroimaging in three CAA patients



FIGURE 1 | PET/MRI scan sets representative of CAA, AD, Normal subjects. (A) Case 7, female, 70 years, probable CAA patient, multiple CMBs on MRI, white arrow point to the same point to the same area with [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-p14-032 retention on PET/MRI. (B) Case 5, male, 84 years, AD patient. (C) Case 3, female, 57 years, cognitive normal subject. Cases (B,C) show only non-specific binding in extracerebral tissues.

TABLE 3 | Description of variables from the three groups comparison.

Variable	CAA (n = 3)	AD (n = 2)	NC (<i>n</i> = 5)
Age (y)	63.33 ± 6.11	83.50 ± 0.71	64.40 ± 7.37
MoCA	13.67 ± 5.03	19.00 ± 2.83	29.20 ± 0.45
SUVr	2.68 ± 1.53	1.77 ± 0.08	0.83 ± 0.24

SUVr, standardized uptake value ratio; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; NC, normal controls; AD, Alzheimer's disease; CAA, cerebral amyloid angiopathy.

data to analysis the differences among AD and CAA via the conventional amyloid scan (18). Second, we did not have pathological confirmation of CAA, but used the modified Boston criteria, which have high sensitivity and specificity. Third, two of the NC subjects had incidental CMBs, which are common in older populations; however, neither had a pattern highly suggestive of CAA. If incidental CAA were present, it would tend to bias toward the null, whereas we instead found a significant difference between CAA and NC. Third, our results suggest that [68Ga] Ga-p14-032 is specific for vascular amyloid rather than parenchymal amyloid because retention was seen in CAA patients, but we did not have autopsy tissue with which this could be correlated. In future studies, we plan to show in the same patients that there is a differential binding of the CAA tracer vs. a non-specific beta-amyloid tracer (such as ¹¹C-PiB or Florbetapir). ¹¹C-PiB or Florbetapir should produce a strong signal in AD and a moderate signal in CAA, and the CAA tracer should do the opposite. Nevertheless, our findings raised the possibility that [68Ga] Ga-p14-032 PET, which is characteristic marker of vascular amyloid, may provide new ideas or methods for diagnosis of CAA.

GLOSSARY

amyloid-β; CAA, cerebral Αβ, amyloid angiopathy; Montreal SWI, susceptibility-weighted imaging; MoCA, Cognitive Assessment; PET/MRI, Positron Emission Tomography/Magnetic Resonance Imaging; AD, Alzheimer's disease.

REFERENCES

- Greenberg SM, Bacskai BJ, Hernandez-Guillamon M, Pruzin J, Sperling R, van Veluw SJ. Cerebral amyloid angiopathy and Alzheimer disease-one peptide, two pathways. *Nat Rev Neurol.* (2020) 16:30–42. doi: 10.1038/s41582-019-0281-2
- Smith EE, Maas MB. To predict recurrence in cerebral amyloid angiopathy, look to the subarachnoid space. *Neurology*. (2020) 94:375–6. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000009029
- Reijmer YD, van Veluw SJ, Greenberg SM. Ischemic brain injury in cerebral amyloid angiopathy. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. (2016) 36:40–54. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.2015.88
- Miller-Thomas MM, Sipe AL, Benzinger TL, McConathy J, Connolly S, Schwetye KE. Multimodality review of amyloid-related diseases of the central nervous system. *Radiographics*. (2016) 36:1147–63. doi: 10.1148/rg.2016150172

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Beijing Cancer Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

QZ, ES, and AD studied concept, designed, revised the manuscript for intellectual content. HK, LZ, and HH labelled the PET tracer. PL obtained the funding. ZY, SW, and HZ labelled the PET tracer and analyzed the data. XZ, XM, YD, and RM analyzed the images. XZ revised the manuscript for intellectual content. LS and JP studied coordination, contributed vital reagents, tools, and patents. YW obtained the funding and revised the manuscript for intellectual content. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was carried out as a collaborative study supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China (Grant Nos. 2018YFC1312300 and 2016YFC0901002). The funding source had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur. 2021.702185/full#supplementary-material

- Greenberg SM, Charidimou A. Diagnosis of cerebral amyloid angiopathy: evolution of the boston criteria. *Stroke*. (2018) 49:491– 7.doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.016990
- Johnson KA, Gregas M, Becker JA, Kinnecom C, Salat DH, Moran EK, et al. Imaging of amyloid burden and distribution in cerebral amyloid angiopathy. *Ann Neurol.* (2007) 62:229–34. doi: 10.1002/ana.21164
- Yilmaz P, Ikram MA, Ikram MK,Niessen WJ, Viswanathan A, Charidimou A, et al. Application of an imaging-based sum score for cerebral amyloid angiopathy to the general population: risk of major neurological diseases and mortality. *Front Neurol.* (2019) 10:1276. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019. 01276
- Charidimou A, Frosch MP, Al-Shahi Salman R, Baron JC, Cordonnier C, Hernandez-Guillamon M, et al. Advancing diagnostic criteria for sporadic cerebral amyloid angiopathy: Study protocol for a multicenter MRIpathology validation of Boston criteria v2.0. *Int J Stroke*. (2019) 14:956–71. doi: 10.1177/1747493019855888

- Charidimou A, Farid K, Baron JC. Amyloid-PET in sporadic cerebral amyloid angiopathy: a diagnostic accuracy meta-analysis. *Neurology*. (2017) 89:1490–8. doi: 10.1212/wnl.00000000004539
- Yamada M. Cerebral amyloid angiopathy: emerging concepts. J Stroke. (2015) 17:17–30. doi: 10.5853/jos.2015.17.1.17
- Gokcal E, Horn MJ, van Veluw SJ, Frau-Pascual A, Das AS, Pasi M, et al. Lacunes, microinfarcts and vascular dysfunction in cerebral amyloid angiopathy. *Neurology.* (2021) 96:e1646–54. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000011631
- Castellani RJ, Smith MA, Perry G, Friedland RP. Cerebral amyloid angiopathy: major contributor or decorative response to Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis. *Neurobiol Aging*. (2004) 25:599–602; discussion 603– 4.doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2003.12.019
- Zha Z, Song J, Choi SR, Wu Z, Ploessl K, Smith M, et al. 68Gabivalent polypegylated styrylpyridine conjugates for imaging Aβ plaques in cerebral amyloid angiopathy. Bioconjug Chem. (2016) 27:1314–23. doi: 10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.6b00127
- Zha Z, Choi SR, Ploessl K, Lieberman BP, Qu W, Hefti F, et al. Multidentate (18)F-polypegylated styrylpyridines as imaging agents for Aβ plaques in cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA). J Med Chem. (2011) 54:8085–98. doi: 10.1021/jm2009106
- Grothe MJ, Barthel H, Sepulcre J, Dyrba M, Sabri O, Teipel SJ, et al. *In vivo* staging of regional amyloid deposition. *Neurology*. (2017) 89:2031–8. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000004643
- Planton M, Saint-Aubert L, Raposo N, Payoux P, Salabert AS, Albucher JF, et al. Florbetapir regional distribution in cerebral amyloid angiopathy and Alzheimer's disease: a PET study. *Alzheimers Dis.* (2020) 73:1607–14. doi: 10.3233/JAD-190625

- Gurol ME, Dierksen G, Betensky R, Gidicsin C, Halpin A, Becker A, et al. Predicting sites of new hemorrhage with amyloid imaging in cerebral amyloid angiopathy. *Neurology.* (2012) 79:320–6. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31826043a9
- Charidimou A, Farid K, Tsai HH, Tsai LK, Yen RF, Baron JC. Amyloid-PET burden and regional distribution in cerebral amyloid angiopathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of biomarker performance. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. (2018) 89:410–7. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2017-316851

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Zhang, Zhao, Lei, Kung, Yang, Zhu, Wang, Zhu, Meng, Duan, Sun, Pan, Ma, Hong, Zhao, Demchuk, Smith and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.