## Check for updates

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

## **REVISED** Association between categorization of emotionally-

## charged and neutral visual scenes and parameters of event-

## related potentials in carriers of different COMT, HTR2A, BDNF

## gene genotypes [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]

Previously titled: Association between categorization of emotionally-charged and neutral visual scenes and parameters of event-related potentials in carriers of COMT, HTR2A, BDNF gene polymorphisms

Elena V. Vorobyeva<sup>1</sup>, Pavel N. Ermakov<sup>1</sup>, Evgenij F. Borokhovski<sup>2</sup>, Ekaterina M. Kovsh<sup>1</sup>, Alexander S. Stoletniy<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychophysiology and Clinical Psychology, Academy of Psychology and Education Sciences of Southern Federal University, Rostov-on-Don, 344006, Russian Federation

<sup>2</sup>Department of Education, Centre for the Study of Learning and Performance of Concordia University, Montreal, H3H 2S2, Canada

V2 First published: 26 May 2020, 9:446 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22503.1 Latest published: 28 Aug 2020, 9:446 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22503.2

## Abstract

**Background:** This study aimed to discover the association between parameters of event-related potentials (ERPs) and categorization of images of visual scenes, both emotionally-charged and neutral, in carriers of different genotypes of the *COMT*, *HTR2A*, *BDNF* genes. **Methods:** Electroencephalogram (EEG) and ERPs were recorded at 128 leads, with two ear referents. Images of different visual scenes were presented to the study participants sequentially on a monitor screen. The participants' task was to examine these images and indicate what emotions (negative, neutral or positive) they elicit. Comparison of event-related potentials was carried out using unpaired Student t-test in EEGLAB toolbox.

**Results:** <u>COMT.</u> A stronger reaction, as reflected in the amplitude of the ERPs, in participants with the recessive homozygous Met/Met genotype was observed on latency around 200 ms to the stimuli, assessed as positive. Carriers of dominant homozygous Val/Val genotype had higher amplitude of 200 ms peak when assessed scene images as either neutral or negative in comparison to other genotypes. Participant with the Val/Met heterozygous genotype had higher amplitude of ERP that Met/Met group on same latency when assessed stimuli as negative.

<u>HTR2A.</u> Significant increase in negativity in the parietal-occipital regions revealed in the range of 350-420 ms in participants with the recessive homozygous A/A genotype when choosing any type of assessment, compared to carriers of the heterozygous genotype A/G

Reviewer Status 💙 🌱						
	Invited Reviewers					
	1	2				
version 2						
(revision) 28 Aug 2020	report	report				
<b>version 1</b> 26 May 2020	<b>?</b> report	report				

**Open Peer Review** 

- 1. Sergey B. Malykh D, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation
- 2. **Vladimir Barabanshikov**, Moscow State University of Psychology and Education, Moscow, Russian Federation

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

and the dominant homozygous G/G genotype.

<u>BDNF.</u> Participants with Val/Val genotype categorized the visual images more thoroughly, as reflected in greater activation of the parietal-occipital zones and higher amplitude on ERP peak on 190 ms (negative assessment) and 160 ms (neutral assessment) then Val/Met carriers.

**Conclusions:** The *COMT, HTR2A, BDNF* gene different genotypes are associated with the process of categorizing emotionally charged and neutral visual scenes, and this relationship is reflected in the ERP parameters.

#### **Keywords**

Categorization of emotionally-charged and neutral visual scenes, parameters of event-related potentials, COMT, HTR2A, BDNF genes polymorphisms.

Corresponding authors: Elena V. Vorobyeva (evorob2012@yandex.ru), Alexander S. Stoletniy (stoletniynk@inbox.ru)

Author roles: Vorobyeva EV: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Ermakov PN: Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Borokhovski EF: Formal Analysis, Software, Supervision, Validation; Kovsh EM: Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Validation, Visualization; Stoletniy AS: Data Curation, Resources, Software, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: Supported by Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (Project 25.3336.2017/4.6.).

**Copyright:** © 2020 Vorobyeva EV *et al*. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Vorobyeva EV, Ermakov PN, Borokhovski EF *et al.* Association between categorization of emotionallycharged and neutral visual scenes and parameters of event-related potentials in carriers of different COMT, HTR2A, BDNF gene genotypes [version 2; peer review: 2 approved] F1000Research 2020, 9:446 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22503.2

First published: 26 May 2020, 9:446 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22503.1

#### **REVISED** Amendments from Version 1

There are three major corrections in the article. First: genetic analyses data was corrected and genotypes frequencies were added; Second - the "procedure" section was corrected and improved. Now experimental procedure is described more accurate and understandble.Third - in the "results" section table with results of Hardy-Weinberg distribution was added.

Also there are a lot of minor corrections: "leads" corrected to "electrodes", "polymorphisms" to "genotypes", genes symbols have been italicized etc. Most of the corrections (major and minor) were done according to reviewers reports.

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

#### Introduction

Studying hereditary factors that determine specifics of the categorization of visual images are very important for opening up new opportunities for individualizing educational experiences, i.e. those that could take into account individual visual characteristics of students. Specifically, emotions that actualize these visual images can play an essential role in the process of categorizing visual scenes. As it was shown in our previous work, particular features of image categorization may vary dependent on genetic differences among carriers at the level of genes that control the functioning of brain neurotransmitter systems (Ermakov *et al.*, 2017; Kovsh *et al.*, 2018).

From an evolutionary standpoint, emotions arose to serve as quick adaptive responses to the environment to increase chances of survival (Nesse, 1990). Modern psychophysiology entertains the idea that genetic changes that affect neuro-transmitter pathways can also influence the manifestations of emotions (Alfimova *et al.*, 2019).

In this work, we studied specific parameters of event-related potentials (ERPs) and categorization of images of visual scenes, both emotionally-charged and neutral, in carriers of different genotypes of *COMT*, *HTR2A*, *BDNF* genes.

The catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene encodes the production of the catechol-O-methyltransferase enzyme that regulates the inactivation of catecholamines (dopamine, norepinephrine, adrenaline). The COMT enzyme is involved in the metabolic degradation of the dopamine neurotransmitter in brain regions such as the prefrontal cortex, amygdala and striatum. Research have shown that the Val158Met polymorphism of COMT gene is associated with the activity of the brain stem, amygdala, basal ganglia and prefrontal regions, and also is involved in the modulation of neural substrate structures responsible for processing negative and positive emotions (Williams et al., 2010), motivation (Åberg et al., 2011), recognition of negative emotions (Gohier et al., 2014), and is associated with aggressiveness (van Dongen et al., 2018). There is a connection between Val158Met polymorphism of COMT gene and the thickness of the cerebral cortex in associative areas of the brain (prefrontal, parietal, and cingulate), in which dopamine content is typically high (Williams et al., 2010). As a result, carriers of the Met allele of Val158Met polymorphism of COMT gene

have a thicker cortical layer in these particular areas (Miranda *et al.*, 2019).

Our work also included genotyping of study participants on the serotonin 5-HTR2A receptor gene and the brain neurotrophic factor gene (*BDNF*). The genotypes for these genes (as well as for the *COMT* gene) could be represented in a homozygous dominant form, homozygous recessive and heterozygous forms. These genotypes are associated with different sensitivity of neuron receptors to the presence of neurotransmitters in the synaptic cleft; in the case of the *HTR2A* gene, the level of survival of dopaminergic neurons, while in the case of the *BDNF* gene, the nutrition of serotonergic neurons (Ermakov *et al.*, 2017).

The serotonin receptor gene *HTR2A* is located in the 13ql4 - q21 region of the thirteenth chromosome that has two introns and three exons. It is the main excitatory G-protein-coupled serotonin receptor, and may also have an inhibitory effect on the visual and orbitofrontal cortex. Serotonin receptors encoded by the *5HTR2A* gene are found in large numbers in the hippocampus and in the anterior cerebral cortex, i.e. in structures closely related to emotional processes. In the *5HTR2A* gene, a -1438A/G polymorphism located in the promoter region is possible, which causes a change in the functional activity of the receptor depending on the presence of A or G alleles. The serotonin system is actively involved in the processes of cognitive and emotional control. It was also shown that the *HTR2A* serotonin receptor gene is involved in the emotional assessment of visual stimuli (Mills *et al.*, 2016).

BDNF is seen as a common neurotrophin in the brain that has an activating effect on neuronal differentiation and synaptic neuroplasticity, and affects neuron survival in adulthood (Chen et al., 2004; Pearson-Fuhrhop et al., 2009). Neurotrophins are combinations of growth factors in the brain that play a key role in neuronal plasticity. The BDNF gene is located on the short arm of chromosome 11 and contains Val66Met single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), in which guanine can be replaced by adenine (G196A). Such a substitution causes the amino acid valine to be replaced by methionine in codon 66, and the alleles of this gene are called Val and Met. The Met allele interferes with the intracellular traffic of BDNF, reducing the secretion of neurotrophic brain factor, which is associated with the transition from plasticity to stability in neural networks (Egan et al., 2003). The Met allele affects the transport of neuropeptides within the cell and reduces depolarizationdependent secretion of BDNF (Alfimova et al., 2009).

Our previous work confirmed the hypothesis that there is specific induced electrical activity in the brain associated with the analyses of emotiogenic images in individuals with reduced (Val/Met genotype of the brain neurotrophic factor *BDNF*) and high (Val/Val genotype of the brain neurotrophic factor *BDNF* gene) cortical plasticity, as well as in individuals with different genotypes for the *HTR2A* serotonin receptor gene (Ermakov *et al.*, 2017).

The aim of this work is to study parameters of ERPs while categorizing images of neutral and emotionally-charged visual scenes in carriers of different genotypes of COMT, HTR2A, BDNF genes.

#### Methods

#### **Ethical statement**

The use of experimental subjects is in accordance with ethical guidelines as outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. In addition, the design of the experiment, the methodological approach, the conditions of confidentiality and use of oral consent for the subjects was performed according to the Code of Ethics of Southern Federal University (SFU; Rostov-on-Don, Russia) and approved ethically by the Academic Council of the Academy of Psychology and Pedagogy of SFU, on 22 February, 2017. Before the start, each participant was informed about the goal of the research, procedure, experimental conditions, and safety of their personal data. Oral consent was obtained from each participant before continuing with the study.

### Participants

This study involved 73 participants, of both sexes, average age 23±5 years (83% - females). The study was conducted from March 24, 2017 to May 25, 2017 at the Laboratory of Psychophysiology and Experimental Psychology, Department of Psychophysiology and Clinical Psychology, Academy of Psychology and Education Sciences, Southern Federal University (Rostov-on-Don, Russia). The participants were students of Rostov universities, including the Southern Federal University (SFU). SFU students received bonus points in their academic disciplines for their participation in the study.

#### Genotype analysis of COMT, BDNF and HTR2A

DNA analysis was carried out as follows: after two hours of dry hunger, buccal epithelium was collected from the inner surface of the participant's cheeks by two sterile cotton probes. Then, probes with biological material were immersed in a transport medium and sent to the «Biologicheskie reshenija i tehnologii» (Moscow, Russia), where DNA was extracted from clinical material using the «Proba-NK» reagent kit (OOO «DNK-tehnologii», Russia). Thermocycler CFX96 «Touch» («Bio-Rad», USA) was used for real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

For analysis, we used genomic DNA preparations obtained from biological samples using DNA extraction kits with the removal of PCR inhibitors and the presence of at least 100 genomic copies in 1  $\mu$ l. During the genetic examination, the following DNA sections were analyzed:

*COMT* catechol-O-methyltransferase gene (Genebank sequence AY341246, polymorphism 23753G> A, Val158Met, rs4680 code).
Possible genotypes: Val/Val, Val/Met, Met/Met. Val158Met alleles are codominant.

*BDNF* brain neurotrophic factor gene (GenBank sequence NG\_011794, polymorphism 68690G> A Val66Met, rs6265 code).
Possible genotypes: Val/Val, Val/Met, Met/Met.

- *HTR2A* serotonin receptor gene (GenBank sequence, NG\_013011, polymorphism 4692G> A, rs6311 (Tr2)). Possible genotypes: G/G, G/A, A/A.

Genetic analysis showed that carriers of dominant (GG), heterozygous (GA), and minor (AA) genotypes of Tr2 polymorphism of the second type of serotonin receptor gene have similar genotypes for Tr3 polymorphism (CC, TC, TT, respectively). From now on, we will use the designation of genotypes by Tr2 polymorphism (A/G), implying the presence of a carrier of a similar genotype by Tr3 polymorphism.

The genotyping resulted in the following sample composition: COMT gene, 25 participants (34,2%) were carriers of Val/Val genotype, 41 – Val/Met (56,2%) and 7 – Met/Met (9,6%) genotypes; HTR2A gene, 19 participants were carriers of A/A genotype (26%), 29 – A/G (39,8) and 25 – G/G (34,2%); BDNF gene, 46 participants were carriers of Val/Val genotype (63%), 26 – Val/Met (35,6%) and 1 – Met/Met (1,4%).

### Procedure

The stimuli database (Stoletniy *et al.*, 2020b) of the experiment, contained 445 images, has already been used in our previous works (Ermakov *et al.*, 2016; Vorobyeva *et al.*, 2016). 147 of them are conditionally negative, 149 are positive, 149 are neutral. Resolution of pictures is 1024x768 (16°x12° of visual angle on the 100 cm distance). The average luminance of the images was equal to 110 of 256 greyscale (30 cd/m2). Stimuli database available as *Extended data*.

Participants were seated in good lit room at viewing distance of 100 cm in front of the monitor. A grey background (30 cd/m<sup>2</sup>) was on the monitor all the time of trials. Images were presented in center of screen with duration of 500 ms. The interstimuli interval was 500–1500 ms. Stimuli pictures were presented in random order regardless of their emotional valence. Participants were asked to examine the images and indicate emotion they elicit – by pressing the corresponding key on the keyboard: "1"– negative, "2" – neutral, and "3" – positive emotion. The next image appeared on the screen only after the subject evaluated the previous one and the interval between stimuli was completed. Additionally, participants were instructed to blink only when stimulus ended.

Electroencephalogram (EEG) recording was carried out using Neurovision-136 equipment manufactured by «MKS» (Russia), with a discretization frequency of 1000 Hz. ERPs were recorded at 128 leads, using «5–10» system, and with two ear referents. Bandpass filter of 0.5–50 Hz was applied.

#### Data processing and analysis

The frequencies of emotionally different responses were processed using the REdaS Package for R, version 0.9.3., in order to calculate confidence intervals for averaged response rate ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) (Maier, 2015). The ERPs were processed using the EEGLAB version 4.11. – an interactive Matlab/Octave toolbox for processing electrophysiological data (Delorme & Makeig, 2004). Before averaging, the recording was filtered to eliminate epochs of ERP with artifacts. For the analysis was taken interval between 100 ms before and 500 ms after onset of stimulus. ERPs were averaged and analyzed according to type of responses – negative, neutral and positive. An intragroup comparison of ERPs was carried out using unpaired Student t-test

(p <0.05). ERPs to emotionally different responses were compared separately for samples of carriers of different genotypes for the *COMT*, *BDNF*, and *HTR2A* genes, e.g. in *COMT* group ERP compared as Val/Val vs Val/Met vs Met/Met carriers when they chose negative, neutral or positive answer, etc. All visualization was conducted in EEGLAB.

#### Results

#### Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium verification results

The results of estimating the frequency distribution of alleles and genotypes of the *COMT*, *BDNF* and *HTR2A* genes polymorphisms corresponded to the Hardy-Weinberg distribution. The estimation of the distribution of genotype frequencies for all loci, as well as the positions of the loci, are presented below in Table 1.

#### COMT gene

**Behavioral results.** The calculation of confidence intervals for assessing relative frequencies of differential responses to emotiogenic stimuli resulted in the following outcomes for participants with *COMT* genotypes. Participants with different genotypes of the *COMT* gene selected negative responses to the stimuli with approximately equal average frequency, as illustrated in Figure 1. However, the distribution of neutral and positive responses is of greater interest.

Participants with the Met/Met genotype reliably responded with the neutral reaction 10% more often than participants with Val/Met and 15% more often than Val/Val genotype carriers. Also, the same Met/Met subgroup of participants gave on average 10% and 13% fewer positive answers, respectively.

*ERP data analysis.* The analysis of ERPs in response to images of different emotional modality showed the following. When respondents viewed the presented image as emotionally negative, the amplitude of the ERP in the parietal and occipital regions of the brain (range 150 – 250 ms) in the subgroup with the Met/Met genotype was significantly lower (p <0.03, t = -2.40) than in the Val/Met subgroup (Figure 2). Comparison of ERP for the Met/Met and Val/Val subgroups when the respondents choose to evaluate presented images as emotionally negative showed a significantly higher amplitude (p <0.001; t = -0.40) for the Val/Val subgroup in the parietal-occipital leads, in the range of 180 – 220 ms. The

 Comparison
 Compari

Canaa	CNID	Desition			Genotype frequency		DUNAS
Genes	SINP	Position	Minor/major allele	minor	heterozygous	dominant	PHWE
COMT	rs4680	19963748	A/G	0,096	0,562	0,342	0,246
BDNF	rs6265	27658369	G/A	0,014	0,356	0,630	0,446
HTR2A	rs6311	46897343	G/A	0,260	0,397	0,343	0,232

PHWE - P-value for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.



Figure 1. Bar graphs and confidence intervals (a = 0.05) for evaluating averaged frequencies of assessment responses to emotional stimuli for participants with different genotypes of the *COMT* gene. (A) Negative stimuli, (B) neutral stimuli and (C) positive stimuli. MM - Met/Met, VM - Val/Met, VV - Val/Val. Left scale - average response rate in percentage.



Figure 2. Event-related potentials (ERPs) for subgroups with different genotypes of the *COMT* gene in response to negative images. The ERP wave of the Met/Met subgroup are marked in red, Val/Val in blue, Val/Met in green, and the range with statistically significant differences in gray.

amplitude of the ERPs in response to the negative images was also significantly higher (p <0.04; t = -0.13) for the Val/Val subgroup compared to the Val/Met subgroup in the same latency.

In addition, the topography of the potentials distribution also shows that the main differences in brain activity when evaluating a stimulus as being emotionally negative are observed mainly in the parietal-occipital regions of the cortex (Figure 3). Examples of amplitudes values of the ERPs of the Pz electrode are presented in Table 2.

When the respondents evaluated emotiogenic stimuli as neutral, the following electrophysiological picture was observed. In participants with the Met/Met genotype, the amplitude of the ERP in the parietal-occipital areas within the 150 – 230 ms timeframe was significantly lower than in the Val/Met (p < 0.035, t = -0.18) and Val/Val (p < 0.001 t = -3.59) subgroups of participants (Figure 4). Also, in the Val/Val genotype subgroup, the amplitude of ERPs in the same time range and region was significantly higher (p < 0.07; t = -2.77) than for the Val/Met subgroup (Figure 4). Examples of the amplitude for the Pz electrode are presented in Table 2.

The topography of the potentials distribution also shows that the main changes in brain activity associated with the selection of neutral responses appear in the parieto-occipital regions of the cortex, as is the case with the selection of negative responses (Figure 5). Nevertheless, the amplitude and spatial differences in the latter case show that the activity of these regions in the Met/Met and Val/Met subgroups was lower, while in the Val/Val subgroup the activity was slightly higher.

When emotiogenic stimuli were evaluated to be positive, our analyses of the ERPs showed the following. In respondents with

the Met/Met genotype of the *COMT* gene, the amplitude of ERP wave in the range from 199 to 210 ms was significantly higher than in the Val/Met subgroup (p < 0.05, t = -0.09) and Val/Val (p < 0.05 t = -0.28) subgroup (Figure 6). In respondents with the Val/Met genotype, the ERP amplitude was significantly higher than in respondents with Val/Val (p < 0.469; t = -0.74) in the same time range and region (Figure 6). It is worth noting that in this case, the highest amplitude of the ERPs was observed in the Met/Met subgroup, and the lowest in the Val/Val subgroup, in contrast with the other two (negative and neutral) response options. Examples of the amplitude values for the POz electrode are presented in Table 2.

The topography of the distribution of cortical potentials (Figure 7) demonstrates higher activity at a latency of 200 ms in the parieto-occipital leads for all subgroups as the trend shown in Figure 6 reflects. Nevertheless, in comparison selecting negative and neutral options, it is apparent that the choice of a positive response required less involvement of these brain areas.

Presented below are the amplitudes of ERPs at the Pz and Poz electrodes that exemplify selection of different response options when evaluating stimuli by respondents with different genotypes of the *COMT* gene. Table 2 illustrates the amplitude values of the ERPs with respect to the zero reference line.

### HTR2A gene

**Behavioral results.** The calculation of confidence intervals for the average frequency by selection of the response option showed the following for participants with *HTR2A* geneotypes. Confidence intervals overlap in all figures, which indicates the absence of any significant differences between the means (Figure 8). This fact indicates that the participants in this



Figure 3. Topography of the potentials distribution at a latency of 200 ms when evaluating a stimulus as being emotionally negative, for the Val/Met, Val/Val and Met/Met subgroups of the *COMT* gene.

Table 2. Examples of amplitudes for ERPs peaks in latency and electrodeslocations where statistically significant differences were found.

GENE AND GENOTYPE	TYPE OF RESPONSE / LOCATION / LATENCY/PICK AMPLITUDE						
BDNF	Negative (Oz, 190 ms)	Neutral (Oz, 160 ms)	Positive (Oz, 200 ms)				
Val/Met	6,08	2,24	5,3				
Val/Val	8,18	4,09	6,39				
СОМТ	Negative (Oz, 200 ms)	Neutral (Oz, 200 ms)	Positive (Oz, 200 ms)				
Met/Met	4,2	3,8	8,4				
Val/Met	5,2	4,8	6,3				
Val/Val	7,3	7,6	4,7				
HTR2A	Negative (Oz, 400 ms)	Neutral (Oz, 400 ms)	Positive (Oz, 400 ms)				
A/A	1,1	1,8	1,39				
A/G	0,9	0,08	0,1				
G/G	0,6	0,25	0,14				



Figure 4. Event-related potentials (ERPs) for subgroups with different genotypes of the *COMT* gene in response to the neutral images. The ERP wave of the Met/Met subgroup are marked in red, Val/Val in blue, Val/Met in green, and the range with statistically significant differences in gray.



Figure 5. Topography of the potentials distribution at a latency of 200 ms when evaluating a stimulus as being emotionally neutral, for the Val/Met, Val/Val and Met/Met subgroups of the *COMT* gene.



Figure 6. Event-related potentials (ERPs) for subgroups with different genotypes of the *COMT* gene in response to the positive images. The ERP wave of the Met/Met subgroup are marked in red, Val/Val in blue, Val/Met in green, and the range with statistically significant differences in gray.



Figure 7. Topography of the potentials distribution at a latency of 200 ms when evaluating a stimulus as being emotionally positive, for the Val/Met, Val/Val and Met/Met subgroups of the *COMT* gene.



**Figure 8.** Bar graphs and confidence intervals (a = 0.05) for evaluating averaged frequencies of assessment responses to emotional stimuli for participants with different genotypes of the *HTR2A* gene. (A) Negative stimuli, (B) neutral stimuli and (C) positive stimuli. AA – A/A, GG – G/G, AG – A/G. Left scale – average response rate in percentage.

sample selected responses of different emotional modality with approximately the same frequency, regardless of which genotype of *HTR2A* gene they carried.

*ERP data analysis.* Analyses of ERPs in responses to images of different emotional modality by participants with different genotypes of the *HTR2A* gene showed the following. When a stimulus was evaluated as negatively emotionally charged, the amplitude of ERPs in the latency from 350 to 420 ms in participants with the A/A genotype showed a significantly more powerful increase in negativity than in participants with the A/G (p <0.007, t = -2.88) and G/G (p <0.022 t = -2.42) genotypes. At the same time, the ERP in subgroups of participants with the A/G and G/G genotypes, when compared with each other, did not have any significant differences (p <0.57, t = 0.56), and their amplitudes differed very slightly (Figure 9). Examples of the amplitude values at the Oz electrode are presented in Table 2.

The topography of the ERPs for the latency of 400 ms demonstrates a high and homogeneously distributed positivity in the parieto-occipital and posterior parietal regions of the brain in subgroups with the A/G and G/G genotypes compared to the A/A subgroup (Figure 10).

When a neutral response to an emotiogenic stimulus was selected in the time range from 390 to 410 ms, negativity of responses significantly increased in participants with the A/A genotype compared to the A/G (p <0.014, t = -2.58) and G/G (p < 0.008 t = -2.81) subgroups. The ERP of the A/G and G/G subgroups remained positive, and when comparing between these two subgroups, the corresponding potentials did not show significant differences (p <0.62, t = - 0.49) (Figure 11). Examples of the amplitude values at the Oz electrode are presented in Table 2.

The topography of the distribution of ERPs for the latency of 400 ms associated with a neutral response showed a picture similar to the situation of choosing a negative response,

namely spatially uniform and higher positivity in the parietal-occipital and posterior temporal regions in subgroups with the genotypes A/G and G/G, compared with the increasing negativity in the A/A subgroup in the same brain regions (Figure 12).

When the visual stimuli were evaluated as emotionally positive, the amplitude of the corresponding ERPs in the latency from 370 to 405 ms in participants with the A/A genotype showed increasing negativity, significantly different from that in subgroups with the A/G (p < 0.004, t = -3, 03) and G/G (p < 0.04, t = -2.11) genotypes. Comparison between the A/G and G/G subgroups revealed no significant differences (p < 0.75, t = 0.31), in terms of the amplitude of the indicated latency (Figure 13). It is useful to note ERPs of the A/G and G/G subgroups with the latency for further increase in negativity, similar to situations when participants selected responses of a different emotional valence (Figure 9 and Figure 11). Examples of the amplitude values at the Oz electrode are presented in Table 2.

The topography of the distribution of ERP for the latency of 400 ms associated with positive responses showed a picture similar to the two previous situations. The parieto-occipital and posterior temporal regions showed higher positive amplitude of event-related potentials in subgroups with the A/G and G/G genotypes compared to the A/A subgroup, which had the increased negativity in these brain regions (Figure 14).

Presented below are the amplitudes of ERPs at the Oz lead that exemplify selection of different response options when evaluating stimuli by respondents with different genotypes of the *HTR2A* gene. Table 2 illustrates the amplitude values of the ERPS with respect to the zero reference line.

### **BDNF** gene

The calculation of confidence intervals for assessing the frequency of responses different in their emotional valence



Figure 9. Event-related potentials (ERPs) for subgroups with different genotypes of the *HTR2A* gene in response to the negative images. The ERP wave of the A/A subgroup are marked in red, G/G in blue, A/G in green, and the range with statistically significant differences in gray.



Figure 10. Topography of the potentials distribution at a latency of 400 ms when evaluating a stimulus as being emotionally negative, for the A/A, A/G and G/G subgroups of the *HTR2A* gene.



**Figure 11. Event-related potentials (ERPs) for subgroups with different genotypes of the** *HTR2A* **gene in response to the neutral images.** The ERP wave of the A/A subgroup are marked in red, G/G in blue, A/G in green, and the range with statistically significant differences in gray.



Figure 12. Topography of the potentials distribution at a latency of 400 ms when evaluating a stimulus as being emotionally neutral, for the A/A, A/G and G/G subgroups of the *HTR2A* gene.



Figure 13. Event-related potentials (ERPs) for subgroups with different genotypes of the *HTR2A* gene in response to the **positive images.** The ERP wave of the A/A subgroup are marked in red, G/G in blue, A/G in green, and the range with statistically significant differences in gray.



Figure 14. Topography of the potentials distribution at a latency of 400 ms when evaluating a stimulus as being emotionally positive, for the A/A, A/G and G/G subgroups of the *HTR2A* gene.

was carried out only for the Val/Val and Val/Met subgroups of the *BDNF* gene as only these subgroups were sufficiently represented in the sample. Participants with the indicated genotypes on average selected negative and positive responses to the presented stimuli with an approximately equal frequency, as illustrated in Figure 15A and C. At the same time, the Val/Val subgroup chose to judge presented visual stimuli as neutral rating significantly more often (57%) than the Val/Met subgroup did (Figure 15B).

### ERP data analysis

Analyses of brain ERPs for the *BDNF* subgroup was also performed only for participants with the Val/Val and Val/Met genotypes. When the presented images were evaluated negatively emotionally charged, the amplitude of the ERPs in the parietal-occipital areas within in the 180-195 ms latency for the Val/Val subgroup was significantly higher (p < 0.04, T = -2.05) than for the Val/Met subgroup (Figure 16). Table 2 contains examples of the amplitude values for the Oz electrode with the latency of 190 ms.

The topography of the distribution of ERPs demonstrates a higher amplitude in the parieto-occipital regions for the Val/Val subgroup of participants. It should also be noted that in the right hemisphere, the levels of activity are slightly higher than in the left, and this is true for the participants of both subgroups (Figure 17).

The analysis of the ERPs when participants evaluated visual stimuli as emotionally neutral showed significant differences in the latency of 130 - 180 ms from the stimulus onset (Figure 18). The amplitude of the ERPs in the subgroup with the Val/Val genotype of the *BDNF* gene in this case was statistically significantly greater (p <0.005, T = -2.89) than for the subgroup with the Val/Met genotype (the amplitudes for the Oz electrode are shown in Table 2). The range of significant differences is somewhat shifted towards its earlier latency in comparison with the situations when participants were selecting a negative response.

The topography of the distribution of cortical potentials clearly indicates their significantly higher amplitude in the parieto-occipital regions of the cortex for the subgroup with the Val/Val genotype than the subgroup with the Val/Met genotype of the *BDNF* gene at the latency of 160 ms (Figure 19).

Comparison of the ERPs in response to stimuli perceived as emotionally positive by participants with different genotypes of the *BDNF* gene did not reveal any statistically significant differences (p> 0.05, T = -1.15) (Figure 20). Examples of the amplitude values at the Oz electrode with the latency of 200 ms are presented in Table 2.

The topography of the ERPs distribution in response to the stimuli evaluated to be emotionally positive shows an even activity of the parieto-occipital regions for 200 ms from the stimulus onset, regardless of the genotype of the *BDNF* gene (Figure 21). Presented below are the amplitudes of ERPs at the Oz electrode that exemplify selection of different response options when evaluating stimuli by respondents with different genotypes of the *BDNF* gene. Table 2 illustrates the amplitude values of the ERPs with respect to the zero reference line.

### Discussion

#### COMT gene

A functional polymorphism of the catechol-O-methyltransferase gene (*COMT*), Val158Met, is involved in the catecholamine system associated with the perception of emotions. Dopamine in areas, such as the prefrontal cortex, tonsil and striatum, modulates brain activity in response to aversive stimuli and regulates the processing of emotions. For example, it was found that the *COMT* Val158Met gene genotype is associated with the success of the detection of emotions, and subsequent perception of the emotions' valence (Tamm *et al.*, 2016).

Our work demonstrates that, when asked to assess emotional valence of visual stimuli presented to them, participants with the Met/Met genotype of the *COMT* gene more often perceived these stimuli as neutral. At the same time, participants with the Val/Val genotype of the same gene evaluated these stimuli as emotionally positive with a higher frequency. Yet, we found no statistically significant difference between the subgroups with different genotypes of the *COMT* gene in their evaluation of the presented stimuli as emotionally negative.

There is a possibility that the assessment of the stimuli as negative by the study participants may be accompanied by a fear-type reaction. It is known that individual differences in dopaminergic genotypes of the *COMT* gene can affect the initial fear reactions (Panitz *et al.*, 2018). Another study also found lower rates in respondents with the Val/Val genotype of Val158Met *COMT* gene compared with carriers of the Met allele in fear recognition (Heller *et al.*, 2018).

By means of the analysis of the ERPs recorded during the evaluation of the emotional valence of visually presented stimuli, it was found that there are statistically significant differences between carriers of different genotypes of the *COMT* gene for components of the ERPs in the parietal and occipital regions in the range from 150 to 250 ms. These results could be indicative of the presence of more intense processing of visual stimuli by the carriers of the Val/Val and Val/Met genotypes of the *COMT* gene in response to the stimuli perceived as neutral or negative, and by the Met/Met genotype carriers of the *COMT* gene in response to emotionally positive stimuli.

(Storozheva *et al.*, 2019), during studying auditory ERPs, found that the responses with the highest amplitude were given by people with the Val/Val genotype of the *COMT* gene, which is largely consistent with our data.

Moreover, in this work, we did not obtain statistically reliable data on the differences between the genotype subgroups of the *COMT* gene for the late components of ERPs. However, such



**Figure 15.** Bar graphs and confidence intervals (a = 0.05) for evaluating averaged frequencies of assessment responses to emotional stimuli for participants with different genotypes of the *BDNF* gene. (A) Negative stimuli, (B) neutral stimuli and (C) positive stimuli. VM - Val/Met, VV - Val/Val. Left scale - average response rate in percentage.



Figure 16. Event-related potentials (ERPs) for subgroups with different genotypes of the *BDNF* gene in response to the **negative images.** The ERP wave of the Val/Met subgroup are marked in red, Val/Val in green, and the range with statistically significant differences in gray.



Figure 17. Topography of the potentials distribution at a latency of 190 ms when evaluating a stimulus as being emotionally negative, for the Val/Met, Val/Val subgroups of the *BDNF* gene.



Figure 18. Event-related potentials (ERPs) for subgroups with different genotypes of the *BDNF* gene in response to the **neutral images.** The ERP wave of the Val/Met subgroup are marked in red, Val/Val in green, and the range with statistically significant differences in gray.



Figure 19. Topography of the potentials distribution at a latency of 160 ms when evaluating a stimulus as being emotionally neutral, for the Val/Met, Val/Val subgroups of the *BDNF* gene.



Figure 20. Event-related potentials (ERPs) for subgroups with different genotypes of the *BDNF* gene in response to the **positive images.** The ERP wave of the Val/Met subgroup are marked in red, Val/Val in green, and the range with statistically significant differences in gray.



Figure 21. Topography of the potentials distribution at a latency of 200 ms when evaluating a stimulus as being emotionally positive, for the Val/Met, Val/Val subgroups of the *BDNF* gene.

data do exist in the periodicals. For instance, (McLoughlin *et al.*, 2018) found that people with the Met/Met genotype of the *COMT* gene demonstrated the best task performance during the registration of event-related brain potentials, and the homozygous Met/Met genotype of the *COMT* gene was associated with a smaller frontal source of P3. The latter indicates that, although having more dopamine in the frontal areas of the cortex has advantages in some tasks, it can also jeopardize the reactive inhibition function.

Also, (Shalev *et al.*, 2019) showed the presence of significant relationships between the *COMT* genotype and the effective threshold for visual perception, and found that insufficient or excessive catecholaminergic activity can have equally harmful effects on ability to maintain stable attention focus.

#### HTR2A gene

The receptor for 5-hydroxytripamine (serotonin) 2A (5-HTR2A) is a key receptor involved in monoaminergic regulation of the basic biological functions of the body and of human behavior. A polymorphic version of the *HTR2A* rs6313 gene (102 T> C), potentially associated with the distortion of the effectiveness of post-transcriptional processes, is considered in the medical literature to be a risk factor for cognitive pathologies. A polymorphism rs6311, located in close proximity to the promoter region of the gene, is associated with changes in the expression of the *HTR2A* gene (Zabotina *et al.*, 2018). It is assumed that anomalies in emotional regulation may result from dysfunctional serotonergic regulation of the limbic and prefrontal areas, especially of the amygdala, anterior cingulate gyrus, and the prefrontal cortex. Serotonergic genes are involved in controlling recognition of emotions (Piel *et al.*, 2018).

The MRI data obtained in the work of (Matsunaga *et al.*, 2017), indicates that the polymorphisms of the *HTR2A* gene are associated with individual differences in the manifestation of empathy and in experiencing happiness. Brain structures responsible for providing a mental model of empathizing with other people, include the medial prefrontal cortex, as well as the temporoparietal region. It was also found that participants with the dominant homozygous and heterozygous GG genotypes of the *HTR2A* gene experienced more pronounced feelings of happiness and greater activation of a part of the mentalization network, than people with the minor homozygous AA genotype.

(Gonzalez *et al.*, 2019) showed that the genotype of the *HTR2A* gene correlates with the manifestation of emotions of anger in a sample of adult males.

In our present work, when participants evaluated emotional valence presented to them via visual stimuli, there was no statistically significant difference in the frequency of assessing these stimuli as neutral, positive or negative in those with different genotypes of the *HTR2A* gene (A/A, A/G and G/G).

Analysis of the ERPs recorded while assessing emotional valence of presented visual stimuli found that in participants with the A/A genotype of the *HTR2A* gene, there was a statistically significant increase in negativity in the parieto-occipital regions (the time range of 350–420 ms) in comparison with participants who carried genotypes A/G and G/G of the *HTR2A* gene. This latter finding can be interpreted in support of the fact that decision-making in evaluating visual stimuli in participants with the A/A genotype of the *HTR2A* gene occurs more intensively (compared with subjects with the A/G and G/G genotypes), regardless of what response regarding emotional valence of a particular stimulus was selected by the participants.

Existing studies that feature data from the post-mortem analysis of the brain of mentally healthy people found that carriers of different alleles of the T102C polymorphic marker differ in the amount of 5HTR2A mRNA and synthesized on its basis protein product, where the carriers of the A/A genotype showed a lower level of the gene expression (Polesskaya & Sokolov, 2002).

#### **BDNF** gene

The interactions between genes and the environment lead to changes in the brain, depending on emotions- and behavior-transforming experiences. A positive interaction with the environment through new experiences and physical activity can engage the neuroplasticity mechanisms to improve brain functioning. Adult neurogenesis and brain neurotrophic factor (BDNF) serve as mediators of neuroplasticity (Rogers *et al.*, 2019).

In our work, when the study participants evaluated emotional valence of visually presented stimuli, those with the Val/Val genotype of the *BDNF* gene choose the neutral response

significantly more often than the participants with the Val/Met genotype did.

Our analysis of the ERPs, recorded while the participants were assessing the emotional valence of visually presented stimuli, found that when negative responses were given, the amplitude of the ERPs in the parieto-occipital regions of the Val/Val subgroup was significantly higher than that of the Val/Met subgroup in the latency of 180-195 ms. Similarly, when the participants evaluated stimuli as being emotionally neutral, the amplitude of the ERPs in the range of 130-180 ms in the parieto-occipital regions was statistically significantly higher in the subgroup with the Val/Val genotype of the *BDNF* gene than it was in the subgroup with the Val/Met genotypes of the *BDNF* gene were detected in response to the stimuli perceived by the participants as emotionally positive.

The obtained data can be interpreted as indicating that individuals with high cortical plasticity (i.e., with the Val/Val genotype of the *BDNF* gene), more carefully process the details of the visual stimuli, which manifests itself as an increase in activation of the parietal-occipital zones (with a statistically significantly higher peak amplitude at 190 ms of the induced activity), as it is reflected in their responses to the images estimated to be emotionally negative or neutral.

Other authors using the method of recording event-related brain potentials showed that the carriers of the Met allele of the *BDNF* gene have lower electrophysiological indicators of attention compared to the carriers of the Val allele, which is manifested in a decrease in the amplitude and increase in the latent period of the P300 component (Getzmann *et al.*, 2013; Schofield *et al.*, 2009).

The Met allele of the Val66Met polymorphism of the *BDNF* gene is associated with the reduced levels of functioning of the amygdala and hippocampus. It also is implicated in manifestations of depression and post-traumatic stress disorder, with episodic memory deficit. There is a study that analyzed ERPs in response to recognition of emotionally negative, neutral and positive words. In it, a reduced late component P300 was observed in Met carriers compared with the carriers of the Val homozygotes in response to recognizing negatively-and positively-colored words, which could indicate that conscious experience of emotional recollection may differ depending on the *BDNF* Val66Met genotype (Jones *et al.*, 2019).

## Conclusions

### COMT gene

As this experimental study shows, when assessing emotional valence of visually presented stimuli, participants with the recessive homozygous Met/Met genotype of the *COMT* gene at a behavioral level more often perceived presented images as emotionally neutral. In contrast, participants with the dominant Val/Val homozygous genotype of the *COMT* gene were more likely to evaluate the visual stimuli as emotionally positive. No

statistically significant differences between carriers of different genotypes of the *COMT* gene were observed in response to the stimuli perceived as emotionally negative.

A stronger reaction, as reflected in the amplitude of the ERPs, in participants with the recessive homozygous Met/Met genotype of the *COMT* gene was observed to the stimuli, assessed as positive (in the parietal and occipital regions in the time range from 150 to 250 ms). In those with the dominant homozygous Val/Val genotype of the *COMT* gene, a higher amplitude of the ERPs was observed for the stimuli assessed as either neutral or negative (in the parietal and occipital regions in the time range from 150 to 250 ms). The same tendency, but to a lesser extent, was detected for the study participants with the Val/Met heterozygous genotype of the *COMT* gene.

#### HTR2A gene

There were no statistically significant differences in the frequency of evaluating visually presented stimuli as either emotionally neutral, positive, or negative, as reflected in responses of the participants with different genotypes of the *HTR2A* gene (A/A, A/G, and G/G).

Analysis of the ERPs associated with participants' evaluation of presented visual stimuli as emotionally negative, neutral, or positive revealed a statistically significant increase in negativity in the parietal-occipital regions in the range of 350–420 ms in participants with the recessive homozygous A/A genotype of the *HTR2A* gene, compared to participants with the heterozygous genotype A/G and the dominant homozygous G/G genotype of the *HTR2A* gene.

#### **BDNF** gene

When the study participants evaluated emotional valence of visually presented stimuli, those with high cortical plasticity (carriers of the Val/Val genotype of the *BDNF* gene) chose neutral responses significantly more often than participants with reduced cortical plasticity (carriers of the Val/Met genotype).

Participants with high cortical plasticity (Val/Val genotype of the *BDNF* gene), processed the visual images more thoroughly, as reflected in greater activation of the parietal-occipital zones (with a statistically significantly higher peak amplitude at 190 ms of the ERP), which is shown to be typical for people with the homozygous Val/Val genotype when they evaluate emotional valence of visual information.

#### Limitations

There are two major limitations in this study that could be addressed in future research. The first one is the overall sample size, second – insufficient amount of carriers homozygous Met/Met genotype for *BDNF* gene and partly for *COMT* gene.

The question of sample size can be interpreted in two ways. On the one hand, the specified sample size of 73 people is sufficient for a typical ERP study, since the nature of the experiment involves a significant number of trials and their averaging. On the other hand, the analysis of genetic data requires a significantly larger number of participants in order to be as accurate as possible to extrapolate to the general population. In this point of view, it seems logical to continue similar experiments on a large number of subjects for further confirmation of the obtained results.

The second limitation most likely follows from the first, since the detection of less common genotypes requires more genetic analyzes and subsequent engagement of carriers of such genotypes in the study, which is not always possible in terms of funding and time. The lack of carriers of the Met/Met genotype for the *BDNF* gene did not allow to investigate how this particular genotype influence on emotional assessment of visual scenes in contrast to other genotypes.

Both of this facts reduced the value of the article to some extent. To overcome these limitations, the next logical step in our future research is to increase the number of subjects, thereby increasing the representation of deficient genotypes.

#### **Data availability** Underlying data

Open Science Framework: EEGLAB datasets for study of event-related potentials during categorization of emotionallycharged and neutral visual scenes in carriers of polymorphisms of the *COMT*, *HTR2A*, *BDNF* genes, https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF. IO/84BV6 (Stoletniy *et al.*, 2020a).

#### Extended data

Open Science Framework: Stimuli database for studying emotional assessment of emotionally-charged images, https://doi. org/10.17605/OSF.IO/ZYG54 (Stoletniy *et al.*, 2020b).

Data is made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).

#### References

Åberg E, Fandiño-Losada A, Sjöholm LK, *et al.*: **The functional Val158Met polymorphism in catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) is associated with depression and motivation in men from a Swedish population-based study.** *J Affect Disord.* 2011; **129**(1–3): 158–166.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Alfimova MV, Golimbet VE, Barkhatova AN, et al.: [The role of genotypeenvironment interactions in the development of symptoms of anxiety and depression related to the disease burden for family]. Zh Nevrol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova. 2009; 109(12): 50–54.

PubMed Abstract

Alfimova MV, Golimbet VE, Korovaitseva GI, et al.: The Role of the Interaction between the NMDA and Dopamine Receptor Genes in Impaired Recognition of Emotional Expression in Schizophrenia. Neuroscience and Behavioral Physiology. 2019; 49(1): 153–158. Publisher Full Text

Chen ZY, Patel PD, Sant G, et al.: Variant Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) (Met66) Alters the Intracellular Trafficking and Activity-Dependent Secretion of Wild-Type BDNF in Neurosecretory Cells and Cortical Neurons. J Neurosci. 2004; 24(18): 4401–4411.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Delorme A, Makeig S: EEGLAB: An open source toolbox for analysis of singletrial EEG dynamics including independent component analysis. J Neurosci Methods. 2004; **134**(1): 9–21.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Egan MF, Kojima M, Callicott JH, et al.: **The BDNF val66met Polymorphism** Affects Activity-Dependent Secretion of BDNF and Human Memory and Hippocampal Function. *Cell*. 2003; **112**(2): 257–269. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Ermakov P, Kovsh E, Vorobyeva E: Features of induced brain activity in female carriers of various genotypes using the maoa-uVNTR marker when evaluating emotional scenes [Osobennosti vyzvannoj aktivnosti mozga devushek-nositel'nic razlichnyh genotipov po markeru MAOA -uVNTR pri ocenke jemocional'no okrashennyh scen]. *Russian Psychological Journal*. 2016; 13(4): 232–253.

#### **Publisher Full Text**

Ermakov P, Vorobyeva E, Kovsh E, *et al.*: Features of evoked brain activity during recognition of emotional images in carriers of polymorphic variants of the BDNF and HTR2A genes [Osobennosti vyzvannoj aktivnosti mozga pri analize izobrazhenij jemociogennogo haraktera u nositelej polimorfnyh variantov genov BDNF i HTR2A]. *Experimental Psychology*. 2017; 10(3): 65–85.

#### **Publisher Full Text**

Getzmann S, Gajewski PD, Hengstler JG, et al.: BDNF Val66Met polymorphism and goal-directed behavior in healthy elderly - Evidence from auditory distraction. NeuroImage. 2013; 64: 290–298. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text Gohier B, Senior C, Radua J, *et al.*: Genetic modulation of the response bias towards facial displays of anger and happiness. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2014; 29(4): 197–202.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Gonzalez I, Polvillo R, Ruiz-Galdon M, *et al.*: Dysmorphic contribution of neurotransmitter and neuroendocrine system polymorphisms to subtherapeutic mood states. *Brain Behav.* 2019; 9(2): e01140. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Heller J, Mirzazade S, Romanzetti S, *et al.*: **Impact of gender and genetics on emotion processing in Parkinson's disease—A multimodal study.** *Neuroimage Clin.* 2018; **18**: 305–314.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Jones R, Craig G, Bhattacharya J: Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor Val66Met Polymorphism Is Associated With a Reduced ERP Component Indexing Emotional Recollection. Front Psychol. 2019; 10: 1922. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Kovsh EM, Vorobeva EV, Ermakov PN: Psychological features of Russian woman with different diplotypes of HTR2A, COMT, BDNF genes. Behavior Genetics. 2018; 48(6): 484. Reference Source

Maier MJ: Companion package to the book R: Einführung durch angewandte Statistik"R Package Version 0.9 3. 2015. Reference Source

Matsunaga M, Kawamichi H, Umemura T, et al.: Neural and Genetic Correlates of the Social Sharing of Happiness. Front Neurosci. 2017; 11: 718. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

McLoughlin G, Palmer J, Makeig S, *et al.*: **EEG Source Imaging Indices of Cognitive Control Show Associations with Dopamine System Genes.** *Brain Topogr.* 2018: **31**(3): 392–406.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Mills M, Wieda O, Stoltenberg SF, et al.: Emotion moderates the association between HTR2A (rs6313) genotype and antisaccade latency. *Exp Brain Res.* 2016; 234(9): 2653–2665.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Miranda M, Morici JF, Zanoni MB, *et al.*: **Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor: A Key Molecule for Memory in the Healthy and the Pathological Brain**. *Front Cell Neurosci.* 2019; **13**: 363.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Nesse RM: **Evolutionary explanations of emotions.** *Hum Nat.* 1990; **1**(3): 261–289.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Panitz C, Sperl MFJ, Hennig J, et al.: Fearfulness, neuroticism/anxiety, and COMT Val158Met in long-term fear conditioning and extinction. Neurobiol Learn Mem. 2018; 155: 7–20. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text Pearson-Fuhrhop KM, Kleim JA, Cramer SC: **Brain Plasticity and Genetic Factors.** *Top Stroke Rehabil.* 2009; **16**(4): 282–299. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text** 

Piel JH, Lett TA, Wackerhagen C, *et al.*: **The effect of 5-HTTLPR and a** serotonergic multi-marker score on amygdala, prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortex reactivity and habituation in a large, healthy fMRI cohort. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2018; **28**(3): 415–427. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text** 

Polesskaya OO, Sokolov BP: Differential expression of the "C" and "T" alleles of the 5-HT2A receptor gene in the temporal cortex of normal individuals and schizophrenics. / Neurosci Res. 2002; 67(6): 812–822. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Rogers J, Renoir T, Hannan AJ: Gene-environment interactions informing therapeutic approaches to cognitive and affective disorders. *Neuropharmacology.* 2019; **145**(Pt A): 37–48.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Schofield PR, Williams LM, Paul RH, et al.: Disturbances in selective information processing associated with the BDNF Val66Met polymorphism: Evidence from cognition, the P300 and fronto-hippocampal systems. *Biol Psychol.* 2009; 80(2): 176–188. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Shalev N, Vangkilde S, Neville MJ, et al.: Dissociable Catecholaminergic Modulation of Visual Attention: Differential Effects of Catechol-O-Methyltransferase and Dopamine Beta-Hydroxylase Genes on Visual Attention. Neuroscience. 2019; 412: 175–189. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Stoletniy A, Kovsh E, Vorobyeva E: EEGLAB datasets for study of event-related potentials during categorization of emotionally-charged and neutral visual scenes in carriers of polymorphisms of the COMT, HTR2A, BDNF genes. 2020a.

http://www.doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/84BV6

Stoletniy A, Kovsh E, Yavna D: Stimul database for studying emotional assessment of emotionally-charged images. 2020b. http://www.doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/ZYG54

Storozheva ZI, Kirenskaya AV, Bochkarev VK, et al.: Effects of the Val158Met Polymorphism of the Catechol-O-Methyltransferase Gene on Measures of Sensory Gating in Health and Schizophrenia. Neuroscience and Behavioral Physiology. 2019; 49(5): 595–602. Publisher Full Text

Tamm G, Kreegipuu K, Harro J: **Perception of emotion in facial stimuli: The interaction of ADRA2A and COMT genotypes, and sex**. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2016; **64**: 87–95. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text** 

van Dongen JDM, van Schaik RHN, van Fessem M, *et al.*: **Association between the COMT Val158Met polymorphism and aggression in psychosis: Test of a moderated mediation model in a forensic inpatient sample**. *Psychology of Violence*. 2018; **8**(2): 269–276.

**Publisher Full Text** 

Vorobyeva E, Kovsh E, Yavna D: Visual evoked potentials elicited by culturally-specific images in women with different levels of hostility. International Journal of Psychophysiology. 2016; 108: 96. Publisher Full Text

Williams LM, Gatt JM, Grieve SM, et al.: COMT Val(108/158)Met polymorphism effects on emotional brain function and negativity bias. *NeuroImage*. 2010; 53(3): 918–925.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Zabotina AM, Belinskaya MA, Zhuravlev AS, et al.: The Influence of Rs6311 and Rs6313 Polymorphisms of Serotonin 2a Receptor Gene (HTR2A) on Its mRNA and Protein Levels in Peripheral Blood Leukocytes in Treatment with Antipsychotics. Cell and Tissue Biology. 2018; 12(5): 382–390. Publisher Full Text

# **Open Peer Review**

## Current Peer Review Status:

Version 2

Reviewer Report 16 September 2020

### https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.28866.r70491

© **2020 Malykh S.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



## Sergey B. Malykh 问

Department of Psychology, Psychological Institute of Russian Academy of Education, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation

I don't have any comments.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: behavioral genetics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 01 September 2020

## https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.28866.r70490

© **2020 Barabanshikov V.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



## Vladimir Barabanshikov

Institute of Experimental Psychology, Moscow State University of Psychology and Education, Moscow, Russian Federation

The authors took into account the corrections proposed by me and made addition to the text of the article. I think the article is worthy of indexing. Good luck!

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Psychophysiology, experimental psychology, general psychology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 06 July 2020

## https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.24834.r65487

© **2020 Barabanshikov V.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



## Vladimir Barabanshikov

Institute of Experimental Psychology, Moscow State University of Psychology and Education, Moscow, Russian Federation

The work is devoted to the actual problem of reflection of evoked potentials in the parameters of the process of evaluating visual scenes as causing positive, negative or neutral emotions in respondents. The analysis was carried out taking into account the representation of different genotypes in the study participants for the COMT, BDNF and HTR2A genes.

Comments:

- 1. Describe in detail the characteristics of the study sample (average age, gender distribution).
- It is desirable to describe the database of stimulus images (visual scenes) that was used in the work – what types of visual images were used. The work is characterized by novelty, relevance and from a scientific point of view is quite interesting.

I recommend indexing it.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

## Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

## Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

## Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Psychophysiology, experimental psychology, general psychology

# I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 14 Aug 2020

**Alexander Stoletniy**, Academy of Psychology and Education Sciences of Southern Federal University, Rostov-on-Don, Russian Federation

Thank you for your report!

- 1. Correction was made in the text (see "Participant")
- 2. Correction was made in the text (see "Procedure" section)

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 17 June 2020

## https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.24834.r63932

© **2020 Malykh S.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

?

## Sergey B. Malykh 匝

Department of Psychology, Psychological Institute of Russian Academy of Education, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation

The present article is devoted to the analysis of differences in ERP parameters depending on genetic differences (COMT, BDNF, and HTR2A gene polymorphisms) during facial recognition. The idea is interesting, however, some issues occur:

- 1. In the Introduction (P. 3, left and the right column) it is stated "... in carriers of polymorphisms of COMT, HTR2A, BDNF genes." From a genetics point of view, this sentence is incorrect, since everyone has genetic polymorphism, the authors should rephrase this sentence.
- 2. In the Introduction (P. 3, left column) it is stated "As a result, carriers of the Met allele have a thicker cortical layer in these particular areas." Although it is indicated later, what SNP the authors selected for the analysis, its designation (Val158Met), and functional significance

have to be reported in the Introduction section. In addition, it is well documented that Val158Met alleles are codominant. Please, indicate this information also.

- 3. There is a mistake in the Introduction (P. 3, right column) "In the 5HTR2A gene, a 1438A/G polymorphism located in the promoter region is possible...". Please report "-1438A/G", since this SNP is located in the promoter gene region. Although, mention the data regarding on association of HTR2A allele with reduced/enhanced expression.
- 4. In Materials and Methods (P.4, left column) the authors have to transliterate or to present unique designations for the Russian phrases ≪Биологические решения и технологии≫,000 "НекстБио", ≪Терцик≫, ДНК-Технология, Литех, МКС.
- 5. In Materials and Methods it is indicated that the authors conducted real-time PCR using "Tertsik" DNA-analyzer; however, this PCR machine is not used for Real-time PCR. The authors should report the correct DNA Analyzer and the appropriate determination of genotyping. It remains unclear, why the authors did used GelDoc UV-transilluminator for TaqMan-based fluorescent PCR. They have to use Real-time DNA Analyzer.
- 6. In Materials and Methods Section please provide information regarding to % of women, mean age, since sex differences may modulate recognition of emotions.
- 7. In Materials and Methods Section (P. 4, left column) the authors use the term "mutation" for the studied SNPs instead of polymorphism or SNP.
- 8. In Materials and Methods Section (P. 4, left column) the authors should indicate genotype frequency of the observed genotypes.
- 9. Statistical analysis should be based on the appropriate statistical criterion. Since the authors deal with quantitative data (the amplitude of the ERP), the use of unpaired Student t-test requires the testing for the normality distribution and the assumption of the homogeneity of variance. Assuming small sample size (N = 73), the normality of distribution is unlikely. Please, provide required normality of distribution testing or in the case of its failure, use non-parametric statistical tests. In addition, operations with genetic data (allele and genotype frequencies) require the check for the Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium. Please, report this information.
- 10. I suggest to include BDNF Met/Met-genotype together with Met/Val-genotype into one group (Met-allele carriers) for the statistical analysis.
- 11. The study sample is rather small (N = 73), therefore I suggest to indicate a preliminary character of conducted study and to mention this issue in Limitations.
- 12. The text has to be checked for the sequence of tenses.

The article can be indexed after all corrections (including appropriate statistical analysis).

## Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

## Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

# If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$ 

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results? Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: behavioral genetics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

## Author Response 14 Aug 2020

**Alexander Stoletniy**, Academy of Psychology and Education Sciences of Southern Federal University, Rostov-on-Don, Russian Federation

Thank you for your report!

Question 1-8: Corrections made in text of the article according to report.

**Question 9:** Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium verification results were added in the "Procedure" section. There are table 1 with frequency distribution and text with description for it. Concerning about ERP statistics and normality distribution. Usually in articles with ERP method, such a question is not raised, since the technique itself, due to the number of trials (recomended more >25) and a subsugent averaging, assumes a normal distribution of event-related potentials. For example, in our case the quantity of numeriacal data is very lagre (number of participants per number of electrodes per number of trials - 73 \* 128 \* 450.) Therefore, researchers are fairly free to use parametric methods without checking data for normality. This is why a T-test was used. However, a table of Shapiro Wilk normality test results for latencies at which differences were found are attached.

Type of response Shapiro-Wilk test statistics and p-value on latency (ms) 200 300 400 Negative W = 0,97 W = 0,96 W = 0,98 p = 0,16 p = 0,07 p = 0,41Neutral W = 0,98 W = 0,97 W = 0,97 p = 0,36 p = 0,17 p = 0,26Positive

 $W = 0,97 \quad W = 0,99 \quad W = 0,99$  $p = 0,11 \quad p = 0.95 \quad p = 0,98$ 

As seen in example - all data in this latency for 73 participants and 3 responses type are normaly distributed (p>0.05)

**Question 10**: Since there was only one Met\Met genotype carriers, his\here results were excluded from research. There is a note about this in the text, in the begging of section "Results / BDNF".

**Question 11:** "Limitations" section was added in end of the article. Two majors limitations were described in the section, and futher direction of research was added.

**Question 12:** Corrections made in text of the article.

*Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

