Research Article

Enhanced Water Splitting by Fe₂O₃-TiO₂-FTO Photoanode with Modified Energy Band Structure

Eul Noh,¹ Kyung-Jong Noh,¹ Kang-Seop Yun,¹ Bo-Ra Kim,¹ Hee-June Jeong,¹ Hyo-Jin Oh,¹ Sang-Chul Jung,² Woo-Seung Kang,³ and Sun-Jae Kim¹

¹ Institute/Faculty of Nanotechnology and Advanced Materials Engineering, Sejong University, Seoul 143-747, Republic of Korea

² Department of Environmental Engineering, Sunchon National University, Suncheon, Jeonnam 540-742, Republic of Korea

³ Department of Metallurgical & Materials Engineering, Inha Technical College, Incheon 402-752, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Woo-Seung Kang; wkang651@inhatc.ac.kr and Sun-Jae Kim; sjkim1@sejong.ac.kr

Received 19 October 2013; Accepted 24 November 2013

Academic Editors: D. Jing, J. Shi, and H. Zhou

Copyright © 2013 Eul Noh et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The effect of TiO₂ layer applied to the conventional Fe₂O₃/FTO photoanode to improve the photoelectrochemical performance was assessed from the viewpoint of the microstructure and energy band structure. Regardless of the location of the TiO₂ layer in the photoanodes, that is, Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO or TiO₂/Fe₂O₃/FTO, high performance was obtained when α -Fe₂O₃ and H-TiNT/anatase-TiO₂ phases existed in the constituent Fe₂O₃ and TiO₂ layers after optimized heat treatments. The presence of the Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles with high uniformity in the each layer of the Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO photoanode achieved by a simple dipping process seemed to positively affect the performance improvement by modifying the energy band structure to a more favorable one for efficient electrons transfer. Our current study suggests that the application of the TiO₂ interlayer, together with α -Fe₂O₃ photoanode.

1. Introduction

Green energy sources have been extensively investigated to replace the fossil fuels due to their inherent problems of pollution and limited resources [1]. Among them, hydrogen (H_2) gas was one of the most actively studied energy sources owing to its abundance, high specific energy capacity, and environmentally friendliness [2-4]. Hydrogen can be produced by using hydrocarbons such as fossil fuels, natural gas, and water. Production of hydrogen gas by electrolysis of water has been known to be the most efficient way [5–7]. Energy required to generate hydrogen and oxygen by electrolysis of water can be supplied through sun light. For the sun light to be effectively utilized, electrodes having functions of photo absorbent and catalyst need to be employed for electrolysis of water. Photoelectrochemical (PEC) system is an efficient approach to produce hydrogen gas from water by utilizing an unlimited resource of the sun light without generating environmentally deleterious byproducts. With the development

of PEC system, much attention has been paid to the fabrication of high efficient photoelectrode for water splitting [4, 8– 10]. Among other things, materials extensively studied for the photoelectrode were Co [11, 12], Co-Pi [13, 14], IrO₂ [15], TiO₂ [16–18], CuO [19], WO₃ [20], Fe₂O₃ [21], and so forth.

In particular, more interest has been drawn to Fe_2O_3 material which could harvest visible part of solar spectrum [21–23]. However, Fe_2O_3 has some critical issues to be resolved for the application to the PEC system as photoelectrode such as electron-hole recombination. Several approaches have been taken to reduce the recombination; application of nanostructured materials, doping with appropriate materials, and so forth. Photocurrent density generated with the Fe_2O_3 nanorods and nanowires was reported to have 1.3 mA/cm^2 [21] and 0.54 mA/cm^2 at 1.23 (*V* versus RHE) [22], respectively. On the other hand, Fe_2O_3 photoanode doped with Ti and Si showed a little better performance of 1.83 mA/cm^2 [24] and 2.2 mA/cm^2 at 1.23 (*V* versus RHE) [25], respectively. However, the photocurrent density

of Fe₂O₃ photoanode modified with the nanostructures and doping was found to be still far below the theoretical value of 12.6 mA/cm² at 1.23 (V versus RHE). From our previous work, we reported a high photocurrent density of 1.32 mA/cm^2 at 1.23 (V versus RHE) with Fe₂O₃/FTO photoanode without any doping [26], synthesized by a simple process of dip coating and short-time heat treatment at 500°C of nanosized Fe₂O₃ on the FTO substrate. Our results confirmed the importance of microstructure of Fe₂O₃ to the reduction of electron-hole recombination, which could be modified and optimized by the coating amount of Fe₂O₃ and following heat treatment conditions [27]. Taking advantage of photocatalytic effect of TiO₂, Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO photoanode was also fabricated in another study. From the energy band structure viewpoint of the photoanode, the electrons generated on the Fe₂O₃ film should overcome a barrier to be transferred to FTO, probably deteriorating the performance [28]. However, the photoanode showed the opposite result of much higher photocurrent density of 4.81 mA/cm^2 at 1.23 (V versus RHE) [29].

In this current work, the effect of microstructure and energy band structure of the photoanodes with the different arrangement of the constituent elements (e.g., $TiO_2/Fe_2O_3/FTO$, $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2/FTO$) on the performance was investigated and discussed in relation with the electrons transfer in the photoanode.

2. Experimental Details

FTO glasses (Asahi Glass Co.) as a conducting substrate of Fe₂O₃ photoanode film for water splitting was at first etched for 20 min using Piranha solution (7:3 = 70% conc.) $H_2SO_4:30\%$ H_2O_2) to make them have fresh surface and then were dipped simply to make H-TiNT (hydrogen titanate nanotube) particles supported in aqueous Fe(NO₃)₃ solution (corresponding to Fe₂O₃ precursor) or H-TiNT particles dispersed solution (corresponding to TiO₂ precursor particles). In this study, various photoanode arrangements such as Fe(NO₃)₃/FTO, Fe(NO₃)₃/H-TiNT/FTO, and H-TiNT/Fe(NO₃)₃/FTO were prepared. Coated Fe(NO₃)₃ and H-TiNT particles were transformed into Fe₂O₃ and TiO₂ phases, respectively, with heat treatments at 500°C for 10 min in air. In other words, for the performance improvement of Fe₂O₃ film, the arrangements with H-TiNT interlayer incorporated in between $\mbox{Fe}(\mbox{NO}_3)_3$ and \mbox{FTO} and with H-TiNT top layer on the $Fe(NO_3)_3/FTO$ were tried. All aqueous solutions in this experiment were prepared using distilled water with 1.8 M Ω .

To make H-TiNT interlayer (finally $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2/FTO$ arrangement), the FTO glass after having been surfacetreated for 20 min in 0.2 M polyethyleneimine (PEI, Aldrich Co.) aqueous solution containing positively charged ions was used as a transparent conductive substrate. First, the surfacepretreated FTO glass was immersed for 20 min in an aqueous 10 g/L H-TiNT particle solution dispersed together with 0.2 M tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (TBAOH, Aldrich Co.) to produce negatively charged ions. Afterwards, using the same method, an H-TiNT-treated film was subsequently immersed in 0.2 M polydiallyldimethylammonium chloride (PDDA, Aldrich Co.) aqueous solution, which contained positively charged ions. The obtained H-TiNT/FTO glass was dried under UV-Vis light irradiation (Hg-Xe 200 W lamp, Super-cure, SAN-EI Electric) to remove water and all surfactants, such as PEI, TBAOH, and PDDA using photocatalytic removal reaction occurred by H-TiNT particles with optical energy bandgap of 3.5 eV [24], without any sintering. Then, for the $Fe(NO_3)_3$ nanoparticle coating process, the dried H-TiNT/FTO substrates were dipped in an aqueous 1.0 M $Fe(NO_3)_3$ solution with dipping times of 12 hrs. For formations of H-TiNT top layer on Fe(NO₃)₃/FTO films (finally TiO₂/Fe₂O₃/FTO arrangement), the precursor solution of Fe₂O₃ film supported was made of 1.0 M Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and 0.2 M TBAOH (tetrabutylammonium hydroxide, Aldrich) for dipping fresh FTO substrate for 12 hrs. After that, obtained Fe(NO₃)₃/FTO were dried at 80°C for 12 hrs. For formation of H-TiNT/Fe(NO₃)₃/FTO films, repetitive self-assembling of oppositely charged ions in an aqueous solution was applied to coat directly the H-TiNT particles using the same process explained above. All dipping process was carried out at room temperature in air.

All heat treatment was done inside a box furnace with heating rate of 500°C/sec to produce the final photoanode thin film with α -Fe₂O₃ phase for the water splitting process, where the rapid heating rate was accomplished by plunging the samples into the hot zone of the furnace maintained at the setting temperatures of 420~550°C. Repetition of this process yielded an H-TiNT particle thin film coated on the FTO or Fe₂O₃ film with approximately 700~1000 nm thickness as previously reported in our researches [30]. After the heat treatment at various conditions, the surface microstructure of the Fe₂O₃ thin films was observed with scanning electron microscope (SEM; S-4700, Hitachi) and their crystallinity was analyzed using X-ray diffractometer (XRD; D/MAX 2500, Rigaku), Raman spectroscopy (Renishow, inVia Raman microscope), UV-Vis spectroscopy (S-3100, Sinco). To measure the *I-V* and *C-V* electrochemical properties using μ Autolab type III potentiostat (Metrohm Autolab), a calomel electrode and a Pt wire were used as the reference and counter electrodes, respectively, when the as-prepared, heat-treated coated Fe2O3/H-TiNT composite films with various arrangements were used as the working electrode in an aqueous 1.0 M NaOH deaerated solution under irradiation of 100 mW/cm² UV-Vis spectrum (Hg-Xe 200 W lamp, Super-cure, SAN-EI Electric). The measured potentials versus calomel were converted to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale in all *I-V* graphs.

3. Results and Discussions

Figure 1 shows *I*-*V* photoelectrochemical data and surface morphology of the Fe_2O_3 precursor/(H-TiNT)/FTO samples, which had been heat treated at the predetermined temperatures of 420~550°C for 10 min. The amount of Fe_2O_3 in the samples was 65.48 wt% for the Fe_2O_3/H -TiNT/FTO and about 30 wt% for the Fe_2O_3/FTO , which was determined based on the *I*-*V* photoelectrochemical performance as



FIGURE 1: Photoelectrochemical *I*-V characteristics of Fe_2O_3 precursor/H-TiNT/FTO heat treated at (a) 420°C, (b) 460°C, (c) 500°C, and (d) 550°C in the air, compared to (e) Fe_2O_3 precursor/FTO heat treated at 500°C.

reported in our previous study [29]. All the samples were measured in the 1.0 M NaOH solution under 100 mW/cm² of UV-Vis light illumination, and the linear sweep voltammetry was in the range of $0.0 \sim +2.0$ (*V* versus RHE). The photocurrent densities were obtained by eliminating the "dark" fraction from "illumination" data, where dark data was measured in the dark room without UV light illumination. For the comparison, sample (e) without TiO₂ interlayer was adopted from our previous work [26].

Regardless of the heat treatment temperatures, the performance improvement was observed in the samples with TiO_2 interlayer incorporated in between Fe_2O_3 and FTO. In particular, sample (c) prepared under the same condition as sample (e) other than the presence of TiO_2 interlayer film showed about 3 times increase of photocurrent density at 1.23 (*V* versus RHE) and the reduction of the onset voltage to about 0.75 V. These results suggest that the TiO_2 interlayer can play a significant role in the efficient collection and conversion of photoenergy. The extent of performance improvement was found to be affected by the heat treatment temperature; it showed a gradual improvement with the heat treatment temperature of up to 500°C, above which it rather deteriorated. A similar result was observed with the Fe_2O_3/FTO samples without TiO_2 interlayer film in our previous work [26].

Morphology of the Fe_2O_3/FTO sample after heat treatment at 500°C for 10 min was shown in Figure 1(e). The Fe_2O_3 particles were observed to form a film conformal to the FTO substrate, indicating a very thin and uniform film as noted by Oh et al. [31]. Microstructure changes of the Fe_2O_3 precursor/H-TiNT/FTO samples were also monitored as a function of heat treatment temperature of 420~550°C. The as-coated porous and rough H-TiNT particles with fibrous morphology as reported in our previous work [27] were broken into spherical particles through the heat treatments. It is noteworthy that the Fe_2O_3 particles in the Fe_2O_3/H -TiNT/FTO samples were relatively smaller than those in the Fe_2O_3 /FTO sample, suggesting that the growth of the Fe_2O_3 particles was restrained by H-TiNT during the heat treatments. However, no noticeable microstructural differences were observed among the Fe_2O_3/H -TiNT/FTO samples which could explain the performance variation occurred in the samples.

The contribution of the TiO₂ interlayer placed in between Fe_2O_3 and FTO on the photocurrent density improvement at 1.23 (*V* versus RHE) as a function of heat treatment temperature was quantitatively expressed in Figure 2. The data for the Fe_2O_3/FTO samples were taken as a reference from our previous work [26]. The effect of the TiO₂ interlayer on the performance improvement was substantially increased with the temperature to the highest at 500°C, above which it rather declined.

Phase changes of the constituent materials in the samples with the heat treatments were observed in our previous work [30]. It was observed that Fe_2O_3 precursor was gradually transformed into α -Fe₂O₃ phase with the increase of heat treatment temperature from 420 to 550°C. However, peaks corresponding to α -Fe₂O₃ phase became weaker above 500°C. On the other hand, H-TiNT was transformed gradually but not fully into anatase-TiO₂ phase due to the short heat treatment time of 10 min. Therefore, from the phase and photocurrent density changes of the samples, the performance improvement is considered to be closely associated with

5





FIGURE 2: Comparison of photocurrent densities at 1.23 V versus RHE for Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO and Fe₂O₃/FTO samples with annealing temperatures.

the phases present in the samples: the best performance could be obtained when H-TiNT and anatase-TiO₂ phases coexisted with the well-developed α -Fe₂O₃ phase in the sample.

Effect of the coating layers arrangement in the Fe₂O₃-TiO₂-FTO samples was investigated in terms of the performance in Figure 3, in which the photocurrent densities were obtained by eliminating the "dark" fraction from "illumination" data. All the samples except sample (d) were heat treated once at 500°C for 10 min in the air following synthesis of the multilayered electrodes. Sample (d) was heat treated twice under the same condition mentioned above: once after TiNT coating on the FTO, then repeated after Fe₂O₃ coating on the heat-treated TiO₂/FTO layer. Regardless of the location of TiO₂ layer, above or below Fe₂O₃ layer (Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO (Figures 3(b) and 3(d)) or TiO₂/Fe₂O₃/FTO (Figure 3(c))), samples containing TiO₂ layer (Figures 3(b), 3(c), and 3(d)) showed much better performance compared to that (Figure 3(a)) without TiO₂ layer, increased photocurrent density as well as reduced onset voltage.

Microstructure observed in Figure 4 suggested that film uniformity along with the controlled particles size could play an important role for the performance improvement, $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2/FTO$ sample (Figure 4(b)) with the best performance consisted of smaller particles with high uniformity than sample (c) of TiO₂/Fe₂O₃/FTO. Double heat-treated sample (d) of Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO showed an inferior performance to the corresponding sample (b) with the same layer structure, which was annealed only one time. This result also confirmed the importance of microstructure to the performance; the poor microstructure with agglomerated particles and cracked surface after the double heat treatment



FIGURE 3: Photoelectrochemical *I-V* characteristics of the samples with the stacking structures of (a) Fe_2O_3/FTO , (b) $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2/FTO$, and (c) $TiO_2/Fe_2O_3/FTO$, which were all heat treated at 500°C for 10 min in the air. Curve (d) was obtained from $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2/FTO$ double heat treated under the same condition as above: 1st after H-TiNT coating on FTO and 2nd after Fe_2O_3 coating on the heat-treated H-TiNT/FTO.

as shown in sample (d) adversely affected the performance of the sample. On the other hand, Figure 4(a) shows the Fe₂O₃ precursor powders becoming much larger when heat treated at 500°C for 10 min, compared to the Fe₂O₃ particles existing together with the TiO₂ in the case of Figures 4(b)–4(d). These observations are consistent with the results of Figure 1, which showed the restrained growth of the Fe₂O₃ particles by H-TiNT during the heat treatment.

It is noteworthy that among the samples with TiO_2 layer, the sample (Figures 4(b) and 4(d)) with the TiO_2 layer in between Fe₂O₃ and FTO layer showed better result than the sample (Figure 4(c)) having the TiO₂ layer above Fe_2O_3 layer. These results were discussed in terms of energy band structure and microstructure. Energy band diagrams of the Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO and TiO₂/Fe₂O₃/FTO samples without UV-Vis light irradiation were schematically drawn in Figures 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. It was proposed by Wang et al. that a photoelectrode with TiO₂ based film such as SrTiO₃ located above Fe₂O₃ film was a favorable structure for electrons transfer from the energy band diagram consideration [32]. Their claim seems to be reasonable from the comparison of the energy band diagrams when being not under UV-Vis light. However, our results showed that the electrons generated on the Fe₂O₃ layer in the Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO photoanode could be transferred to the TiO₂/FTO when being under the UV-Vis light irradiation by overcoming the discontinuity of the conduction bands.

On the other hand, the microstructure of the Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/Fe₂O₃ sample synthesized for the current work was also carefully considered. While synthesizing the Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO sample, some of the Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles could be



FIGURE 4: SEM photos of (a) $Fe(NO_3)_3$ powders heat treated at 500°C for 10 min, and (b), (c), (d) correspond to Figures 3(b), 3(c), and 3(d), respectively.



FIGURE 5: Energy band diagrams of (a) $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2/FTO$ and (b) $TiO_2/Fe_2O_3/FTO$ photoanode and (c) schematic microstructure of $Fe_2O_3-TiO_2$ -FTO.



FIGURE 6: CV characteristics measured under 100 mW/cm² UV-Vis illumination: (A) (a) FTO glass, (b) TiO₂/FTO, and (c) Fe₂O₃/FTO samples were investigated after heat treatment at 500°C for 10 min in the air, (B) Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO samples were become heat treated for 10 min in the air at (a) 420°C, (b) 500°C, and (c) 550°C, (C) (a) Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO and (b) TiO₂/Fe₂O₃/FTO heat treated at 500°C for 10 min in the air, and (c) Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO sample double heat treated, corresponding to (d) in Figure 3.

infiltrated to the bottom FTO substrate through TiO₂ particles when TiNT/FTO was placed in the precursor solution of Fe₂O₃. As a result, Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles could also be present in the middle TiO₂ and the bottom FTO layer as depicted in Figure 5(c). Thus, our sample of Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO seemed actually to have an energy band diagram combining both of Figures 5(a) and 5(b), indicating that the photoanode with Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles present even in the middle and bottom substrate is preferable for the performance enhancement.

Oxidation-reduction reactions for the selected photoanode samples were observed by using cyclic voltammetry (CV) to investigate the effect of the coating sequence of constituent films and heat treatment condition on the photoelectrode performance. CV data for the samples of FTO glass, $TiO_2/$ FTO, and Fe_2O_3/FTO were obtained as a reference in Figures 6(A)-(a), 6(A)-(b), and 6(A)-(c), respectively. As expected the sample including Fe2O3 showed active reactions with the applied potential. According to the data (Figure 6(B)) from the Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO samples heat treated at the various temperature of $420 \sim 550^{\circ}$ C for 10 min, the sample heat treated at 500°C showed multiple oxidation-reduction peaks, contributing to higher photocurrent density. These results were found to be consistent with I-V data of the samples described in Figure 1 where the sample heat-treated at 500°C showed best performance. The sample of Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO which showed best result after heat treatment at 500°C was then compared with TiO₂/Fe₂O₃/FTO sample to see the effect of the location of TiO₂ layer placed in the photoanode, which was also heat treated under the same condition. These samples showed a clear contrast in the results as shown in Figures 6(C)-(a) and 6(C)-(b), respectively: Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO sample produced more and clear oxidation-reduction peaks. On the other hand, the sample of Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/FTO, which was heat treated twice after each coating of TiO₂ and Fe₂O₃

layers, showed an intermediate performance (Figure 6(C)-(c)). These results were all well consistent with the *I*-V data in Figure 3 where the sample of $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2/FTO$ heat treated once (Figure 3(b)) at 500°C showed best performance followed by the sample double heat treated (Figure 3(d)) and $TiO_2/Fe_2O_3/FTO$ sample (Figure 3(c)).

4. Conclusions

Fe₂O₃-TiO₂ based photoanodes for water splitting were synthesized on the FTO substrate and their performance results were understood from the microstructure and energy band aspects. Comparatively, the photoanode ($Fe_2O_3/TiO_2/FTO$) comprising top layer of α -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles along with the interlayer having mixed phases of H-TiNT/anatase-TiO₂ showed best performance. The nanoscaled Fe₂O₃ particles with high uniformity were observed to contribute to the performance enhancement. In addition, the presence of the Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles in the middle and bottom layers caused by the infiltration of the precursor solution of Fe_2O_3 during synthesis seemed to modify the energy band structure to more favorable one for efficient electrons transfer. Our current results suggest that the application of the TiO₂ interlayer, together with optimized amount of α -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles present in the constituent layers, could significantly contribute to the performance improvement of the conventional Fe₂O₃ photoanode.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science, and Technology (no. 2011-0016699) and Korea Institute of Energy Technology Evaluation and Planning funded by Ministry of Knowledge Economy. (no. 20113030010050-12-2-200).

References

- T. N. Veziroğlua and S. Şahin, "21st century's energy: hydrogen energy system," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 49, pp. 1820–1831, 2008.
- [2] N. Z. Muradov, "How to produce hydrogen from fossil fuels without carbon dioxide emission," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 211–215, 1993.
- [3] E. Y. García and M. A. Laborde, "Hydrogen production by the steam reforming of ethanol: thermodynamic analysis," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 307–312, 1991.
- [4] A. Fujishima and K. Honda, "Electrochemical photolysis of water at a semiconductor electrode," *Nature*, vol. 238, no. 5358, pp. 37–38, 1972.
- [5] A. J. Bard and M. A. Fox, "Artificial photosynthesis: solar splitting of water to hydrogen and oxygen," *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 141–145, 1995.
- [6] O. Khaselev and J. A. Turner, "A monolithic photovoltaicphotoelectrochemical device for hydrogen production via water splitting," *Science*, vol. 280, no. 5362, pp. 425–427, 1998.

- [7] J. A. Turner, "Sustainable hydrogen production," *Science*, vol. 305, no. 5686, pp. 972–974, 2004.
- [8] P. Kumar, P. Sharma, R. Shrivastav, S. Dass, and V. R. Satsangi, "Electrodeposited zirconium-doped α -Fe₂O₃ thin film for photoelectrochemical water splitting," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 2777–2784, 2011.
- [9] P. R. Mishra, P. K. Shukla, and O. N. Srivastava, "Study of modular PEC solar cells for photoelectrochemical splitting of water employing nanostructured TiO₂ photoelectrodes," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 32, no. 12, pp. 1680–1685, 2007.
- [10] Y. Sun, C. J. Murphy, K. R. Reyes-Gil et al., "Photoelectrochemical and structural characterization of carbon-doped WO₃ films prepared via spray pyrolysis," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 34, no. 20, pp. 8476–8484, 2009.
- [11] A. Kay, I. Cesar, and M. Grätzel, "New benchmark for water photooxidation by nanostructured α-Fe₂O₃ films," *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 128, no. 49, pp. 15714–15721, 2006.
- [12] D. K. Zhong, J. Sun, H. Inumaru, and D. R. Gamelin, "Solar water oxidation by composite catalyst/α-Fe₂O₃ photoanodes," *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 131, no. 17, pp. 6086–6087, 2009.
- [13] M. W. Kanan and D. G. Nocera, "In situ formation of an oxygenevolving catalyst in neutral water containing phosphate and Co₂⁺," *Science*, vol. 321, no. 5892, pp. 1072–1075, 2008.
- [14] D. K. Zhong and D. R. Gamelin, "Photo-electrochemical water oxidation by cobalt catalyst ("Co-Pi")/α-Fe₂O₃ composite photoanodes: oxygen evolution and resolution of a kinetic bottleneck," *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 132, no. 12, pp. 4202–4207, 2010.
- [15] S. D. Tilley, M. Cornuz, K. Sivula, and M. Grätzel, "Lightinducedwater splitting with hematite: improved nanostructure and iridium oxide catalysis," *Angewandte Chemie*, vol. 49, no. 36, pp. 6405–6408, 2010.
- [16] Y. Guo, X. Quan, N. Lu, H. Zhao, and S. Chen, "High photocatalytic capability of self-assembled nanoporous WO₃ with preferential orientation of (002) planes," *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 4422–4427, 2007.
- [17] Z. Zhang, M. F. Hossain, and T. Takahashi, "Self-assembled hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) nanotube arrays for photoelectrocatalytic degradation of azo dye under simulated solar light irradiation," *Applied Catalysis B*, vol. 95, no. 3-4, pp. 423–429, 2010.
- [18] J. Matos, J. Laine, and J.-M. Herrmann, "Effect of the type of activated carbons on the photocatalytic degradation of aqueous organic pollutants by UV-irradiated titania," *Journal of Catalysis*, vol. 200, no. 1, pp. 10–20, 2001.
- [19] Y. S. Chaudhary, S. A. Khan, C. Tripathi, R. Shrivastav, V. R. Satsangi, and S. Dass, "A study on 170 MeV Au¹³⁺ irradiated nanostructured metal oxide (Fe₂O₃ and CuO) thin films for PEC applications," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B*, vol. 244, no. 1, pp. 128–131, 2006.
- [20] A. Mao, J. K. Kim, K. Shin et al., "Hematite modified tungsten trioxide nanoparticle photoanode for solar water oxidation," *Journal of Power Sources*, vol. 210, pp. 32–37, 2012.
- [21] E. Thimsen, F. Le Formal, M. Grätzel, and S. C. Warren, "Influence of plasmonic Au nanoparticles on the photoactivity of Fe₂O₃ electrodes for water splitting," *Nano Letters*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 35–43, 2011.

- [22] S. Saremi-Yarahmadi, B. Vaidhyanathan, and K. G. U. Wijayantha, "Microwave-assisted low temperature fabrication of nanostructured α-Fe₂O₃ electrodes for solar-driven hydrogen generation," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 35, no. 19, pp. 10155–10165, 2010.
- [23] T. Bak, J. Nowotny, M. Rekas, and C. C. Sorrell, "Photo-electrochemical hydrogen generation from water using solar energy. Materials-related aspects," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 27, no. 10, pp. 991–1022, 2002.
- [24] G. Wang, Y. Ling, D. A. Wheeler et al., "Facile synthesis of highly photoactive α-Fe₂O₃-based films for water oxidation," *Nano Letters*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 3503–3509, 2011.
- [25] A. Kay, I. Cesar, and M. Grätzel, "New benchmark for water photooxidation by nanostructured α -Fe₂O₃ films," *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 128, no. 49, pp. 15714–15721, 2006.
- [26] K. J. Noh, B. R. Kim, G. J. Yoon, S. C. Jung, W. S. Kang, and S. J. Kim, "Microstructural effect on the photoelectrochemical performance of hematite-Fe₂O₃ photoanode for water splitting," *Electronic Materials Letters*, vol. 8, pp. 345–350, 2012.
- [27] H.-J. Oh, K.-J. Noh, H.-K. Ku et al., "Preparation of hydrogen titanate nanotube/FTO glass thin film obtained by the layerby-layer-self assembling method for water splitting," *Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 7210–7213, 2011.
- [28] L. Peng, T. Xie, Y. Lu, H. Fan, and D. Wang, "Synthesis, photoelectric properties and photocatalytic activity of the Fe₂O₃/TiO₂ heterogeneous photocatalysts," *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, vol. 12, no. 28, pp. 8033–8041, 2010.
- [29] K. J. Noh, H. J. Oh, B. R. Kim, S. C. Jung, W. S. Kang, and S. J. Kim, "Photoelectrochemical properties of Fe₂O₃ supported on TiO₂-based thin films converted from self-assembled hydrogen titanate nanotube powders," *Journal of Nanomaterials*, vol. 2012, Article ID 475430, 6 pages, 2012.
- [30] K. J. Noh, H. J. Oh, H. K. Ku et al., "Annealing effect on the microstructure and electrochemical properties of Fe₂O₃/H-TiNT/FTO thin film," *Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnol*ogy, vol. 13, pp. 1863–1866, 2013.
- [31] H. J. Oh, K. J. Noh, B. R. Kim, W. S. Kang, S. C. Jung, and S. J. Kim, "Fabrication of Fe₂O₃/TiO₂ photoanode for improved photoelectrochemical water splitting," *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 52, Article ID 01AC15, 2013.
- [32] Y. Wang, T. Yu, X. Chen et al., "Enhancement of photoelectric conversion properties of SrTiO₃/α-Fe₂O₃ heterojunction photoanode," *Journal of Physics D*, vol. 40, no. 13, pp. 3925–3930, 2007.