

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Central nervous system outcomes of COVID-19



MARGARET F. DOYLE

COLCHESTER, VERMONT

The worldwide pandemic caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has infected an estimated 200 million people with over 4 million deaths. Although COVID-19, the disease caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, is primarily a respiratory disease, an increasing number of neurologic symptoms have been reported. Some of these symptoms, such as loss of smell or taste, are mild and non-life threatening, while others, such as stroke or seizure, are more critical. Many of these symptoms remain long after the acute illness has passed, a phenomenon known as "long COVID" or postacute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PASC). Neurological symptoms can be difficult to study due to the complexity of the central and peripheral nervous system. These neurologic symptoms can be difficult to identify and quantitate. This narrative review will describe approaches for assessing neurologic manifestations of COVID-19, with examples of the data they provide, as well as some directions for future research to aid in understanding the pathophysiology of COVID-19-related neurological implications. (Translational Research 2022; 241:41–51)

Abbreviations: ACE2 = angiotensin converting enzyme 2; ARDS = acute respiratory distress syndrome; CFS = cerebral spinal fluid; CNS = central nervous system; GBS = Guillain-Barre Syndrome; GFAP = Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein; NfL = neurofilament light chain; ME/CFS = myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome; PASC = postacute sequelae of COVID-19; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; PNS = peripheral nervous system; SARS-CoV-2 = severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; UCH-L1 = ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal esterase L1; YKL-40 = Chitinase 3-like 1.

INTRODUCTION

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a novel virus first described in Wuhan, China in December of 2019. It is a member of the Coronaviridae family of viruses and causes the disease now known as COVID-19. While the virus is primarily a respiratory virus, increasing evidence of

From the Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Larner College of Medicine, University of Vermont, Colchester, Vermont. Submitted for Publication August 3, 2021; revision submitted September 23, 2021; Accepted for Publication September 24, 2021.

Reprint requests: Margaret F. Doyle, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Larner College of Medicine, University of Vermont, 360 S. Park Drive, Colchester, VT 05446 e-mail: Margaret.doyle@uvm.edu.

1931-5244/\$ - see front matter

© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2021.09.002

neurologic involvement has emerged.² Neurologic symptoms range from mild, such as headache, ³⁻⁵ nausea, ⁶ anosmia, ^{7,8} ageusia, ⁷ altered consciousness, ⁹⁻¹¹ "brain fog", to more severe such as myalgia, hemorrhage, ¹² syncope, ⁹⁻¹¹ seizure, ⁹⁻¹¹ stroke, ¹³ meningoencephalitis, ¹⁴ Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS) ¹⁵ and demyelinating disease. ^{14,16,17} The exact pathologic basis for these neurologic symptoms is not currently known, despite an abundance of published investigations. ¹⁸⁻²⁶ Several possible mechanisms for neurologic involvement have arisen in the literature. ^{19,27,28} These include a direct viral invasion, a "Trojan horse" mechanism where the virus accesses the brain through circulating lymphocytes, a systemic inflammatory response and a coagulopathy-induced prothrombotic state. ^{19,27,28}

As summarized in Table 1, different approaches can yield insights into the pathobiology of neurologic complications of COVID-19. This article will present the strengths and limitations from different types of studies

Type of study	Strengths	Limitations
Case report	Short rapid publications of single or small groups with novel medical findings. When enough groups are found, can yield insights into comorbidities and treatment plans.	Single patient or small number of patients may not be generalizable to larger groups
Observational studies	Generally, utilizes moderate to large-sized existing data sets, quite often from medical records, to look for trends and associations that are not obvious in smaller studies	Can be timely to obtain Often requires expert review
Autopsy	Allows one to see inside of organs/brain Can assess cause of death Multitude of tissues available for structural, histological and immunological analysis.	A snapshot of end-stage disease Does not inform on susceptibility or disease progression
Imaging studies	Allows examination of neural tissues in a live subject.	Expensive, non-routine A snapshot in time unless repeated measures are made
Blood biomarkers	Easy to obtain specimens. Circulates throughout the body and therefore has contact with a variety of organs tissues	May not reflect what is happening in specific tissues
Cerebral spinal fluid	Gives insights into central nervous system infection, blood brain barrier disruption	Can be difficult to obtain Not routinely performed unless indicated
Self-report	Inexpensive Easy to obtain Gives information on symptoms, quality of life, mental status	More difficult to quantitate Can be inaccurate
Animal models	Can gain more information on cause and effect. Can see effects more quickly. Can analyze tissue at different time points in the course of a disease. Can add and remove proteins to see their effect. Can be used to test treatments.	Humans are not mice/primates, so data may not be generalizable.
In vitro modeling	Gives detailed mechanisms, which greatly informs treatment options. Tests treatment options.	Cannot take into account whole body affects.

along with some major findings. Potential areas for future research are also discussed.

CASE REPORTS

During the initial phases of the COVID-19 pandemic, one of the main sources of information for physicians and scientists came from case reports. These brief reports gave much needed insight into the progression of the disease in hospitalized patients and a glimpse into potential treatment regimens. These early reports provided the first indications of neurologic symptoms in this respiratory disease.

For example, Wada et al²⁹ reported the case of a 69-year-old male patient who presented with pneumonia from SARS-CoV-2 infection and was intubated on Day 3 after admission. On day 17, despite improvements in respiratory condition and removal of anesthetic drugs,

no cough reflex was noted, and tendon reflex was diminished. Based on these symptoms, the authors suspected GBS and treated with intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG). On day 23, the cough reflex improved, and the patient was extubated. This case report briefly described symptoms to watch for and efficacy of the treatment regimen utilized in this case. In a meta-analysis of GBS associated with COVID-19, Palaiodimou et al³⁰ examined 18 studies with a total of 136,746 COVID-19 patients and found a prevalence rate for GBS to be approximately 15 cases per 100,000 SARS-CoV-2 infections and demonstrate that COVID-19 associates with an increased likelihood of GBS and with demyelinating Guillain-Barre variants.

An early case report describes a 78 year old male patient whose main complaint at admission was acute confusion, behavioral disorders, and cognitive troubles.³¹ The physical exam, blood and cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) tests were unremarkable. An EEG showed

an evocative pattern of encephalitis. The patient later tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. This case report indicates that not all cases of COVID-19 present with respiratory symptoms.

Case reports by Faber et al,³² Cohen et al,³³ and Mendez-Guerrero et al³⁴ reported a COVID-19 associated Parkinsonism. While parkinsonism has been reported following other infectious diseases, these COVID-associated cases are suggestive of an infection-related cause of the parkinsonism. Brundin et al³⁵ highlight potential mechanisms for the rapid development of parkinsonism following COVID-19 infection, and the potential for a pre-disposition to parkinsonism in SAR-CoV-2 positive people later in life.

In a systemic review and meta-analysis of people with COVID-19, de novo seizures and epilepsy, Asadi-Pooya et al³⁶ noted that persons with epilepsy and other pre-existing neurological disorders who contracted COVID-19 were more likely to develop exacerbation of their neurological problem and to have more severe infections. They also noted the presence of new onset seizures in people with COVID-19 that can potentially extend beyond the acute phase of the infection.

As the pandemic progressed, review articles have been useful in combining available case reports and case studies. ^{24,25,36-45} These reviews were key to demonstrating prevalence of neurologic manifestations ⁴⁶ among COVID-19 patients and to assess the prevalence of SARS CoV-2 presence in CSF as assessed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or serologic testing. ⁴⁷ A precautionary note in examining these data is the inability to assess the comparability of PCR or serologic testing across facilities in order to harmonize the data. The viral RNA levels determined by PCR in the CSF, where positive, are generally very low compared to amounts found at other sites (ie, nasopharynx) and differences in the testing protocol and reagents utilized may explain differences in results reported.

While these case studies and reports are important in describing early neurological manifestations of COVID-19 and some insight into potential therapies, they are individual or small group cases with individual comorbidities that may or may not be generalizable to the greater population and generally only describe patients with moderate to severe symptoms.

Observation studies. One of the first retrospective observational case series by Mao et al⁴⁸ used clinical data extracted from electronic medical records at 3 specialized COVID-19 care centers in Wuhan, China. The study contained 214 lab confirmed cases of COVID-19, 88 of whom had severe infection according to their respiratory status. Of these 214 patients, 78 (36.4%) had neurologic manifestations, with more symptoms

present in the severe cases. Neurologic symptoms reported were dizziness, headache, impaired taste, smell, vision, and level of consciousness. Acute cerebrovascular disease, with central and peripheral nervous system involvement were also observed. Apart from cerebrovascular disease (1-18 days) and loss of consciousness (1-25 days), symptoms appeared within the first 1-2 days after admission. Patients with more severe illness had a higher incidence of acute cerebrovascular diseases, impaired consciousness, and skeletal muscle injury than those with less severe illness.

Observational studies from various countries showing neurological manifestations of COVID-19 have since been reported. Rifino et al⁴⁹ reported that in Bergamo, Italy, major neurological manifestations were observed in 137 patients out of the 1760 hospitalized between February 23, 2020 and April 30, 2020, 39 of which presented with neurological symptoms. They reported 53 cerebrovascular manifestations, 31 peripheral nervous system manifestations and 49 patients with altered mental status. Included are "Clinical Vignettes" sections that resemble case reports on specific patients. Garcia-Azorin et al⁵⁰ reported neurological manifestations from the Spanish Society of Neurology Registry on 233 patients reported between March 2020 and July 2020. The major complaints were stroke (n=63), altered mental status (n=55), neuromuscular symptoms (n=55) and anosmia (n-41). They also report that anosmia and headache generally occur early in the disease progression (average 2-4 days) while neuromuscular symptoms and stroke occur later (average 11-14 days).

Flores-Silva et al⁵¹ examined 1072 consecutive COVID-19 positive cases from March 15, 2020 through June 30, 2020 in Mexico City and found 71 patients with pre-existing neurologic diseases (mostly diabetic nephropathies and epilepsy), and 163 patients who developed new neurologic manifestations from COVID-19 exposure. At presentation, major complaints were headache (42%), myalgia (40%), dysgeusia (8%) and anosmia (7%), while hospital-developed complaints were delirium (13%), limb weakness (5%) and delayed mental status recovery (2.5%). Patients with neurologic complaints, compared to those hospitalized without neurologic complaints, were more likely to have dyspnea, elevated hemoglobin, white cell count, neutrophil count, creatinine levels, BUN, LDH, CRP, fibrinogen and d-dimer, and lower lymphocyte count and albumin levels.

Frontera et al⁵² examined 4491 patients in New York City from 3/10/2020 to 5/10/2020 and found that 13.5% developed new neurologic disorders. Most common were encephalopathies (51%), seizure (12%),

stroke (14%) and hypoxic/ischemic injury (11%). Of the 18 CSF samples analyzed none were positive for the virus by PCR testing.

In another observational study, Bain et al⁵³ compared patients with COVID-19 related acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) to pre-COVID-19 patients with viral ARDS, bacterial ARDS or culture negative ARDS. The COVID-19 patients were more likely to be black, reside in nursing facilities, have higher BMI, have lower initial IL6 levels and be on mechanical ventilation longer than patients experiencing other types of ARDS. The 60-day mortality rates were similar between all groups regardless of the cause of ARDS. This study highlights another hurdle in studying COVID-19: what to use as a control group. With the government lockdowns that occurred at the onset of the pandemic, being COVID-19 negative may just indicate that you were not exposed. And in early stages of the disease, only symptomatic people were tested for the virus, so many asymptomatic positive people were never tested. Utilizing serologic assays for the presence of antibodies would yield information about asymptomatic, exposed individuals, but care must be taken with the onset of vaccination to distinguish between vaccine-acquired antibodies and infection acquired antibodies.

Autopsy. Autopsy studies have proven useful, particularly in early stages of COVID-19. Several common brain findings on autopsy from COVID-19 deaths were edema, meningeal congestion, acute hypoxic ischemic damage and multiple large and small infarcts. 9,54,55 The presence of virus in the brain yielded mixed results, with Meinhardt et al⁵⁶ finding the highest levels in mucosa sampled from directly under the cribriform plate, while Matschke et al⁵⁷ found SARS-CoV-2 RNA in 53% of autopsied brains. Discrepancies can be due to differences in time to autopsy, presence of fixatives, markers assessed and methodologies. Interpretation of these data must proceed with caution, as the number of autopsies that include brain data are extremely limited, in part because COVID-19 primarily manifests as a respiratory illness, so the brain was not analyzed and/or because of COVID-19 safety restrictions limiting the harvesting and storing of potentially infectious brain material.

Imaging. Neuro-imagining studies are key to understanding pathophysiology of COVID-19 prior to death. That approximately one third of COVID-19 patients report neurologic complications, brain imaging is key to exploring potential complications. Chowdhary et al⁵⁸ performed a systematic review of imaging studies and reported that of the 171 patients with neuroimaging data, 36% had ischemic stroke, 26% had CNS inflammatory disorder, 24% hemorrhagic stroke, 14%

encephalitis, 6% with encephalopathy and 3.2% with GBS. While only 3 patients showed signs of olfactory bulb enhancement, their age was significantly younger (mean 29, SD 5.3) than those without (mean 53.6, SD 15.4). Imaging also revealed significantly higher fatality in those with a vascular neuropathology than in those without.

Egbert et al⁵⁹ reviewed early neuroimaging studies that included CT, EEG, MRI and PET scans. Out of 361 reviewed cases, 124 showed brain abnormalities. The most frequent abnormalities noted were white matter hyperintensity on MRI (53%) and hypodensities (24% on CT scan). These white matter abnormalities were most often found in the bilateral anterior and posterior cerebral white matter. Additionally, micro-hemorrhage, hemorrhage and infarcts were also evident.

While these neuroimaging studies shed light on brain anomalies that may explain COVID-19 neurologic symptoms, a lack of pre-pandemic imaging prevents understanding whether SARS-CoV-2 caused the anomalies, or the anomalies were exacerbated by SARS-CoV-2.

Blood biomarkers. The availability of blood-based biomarkers that can assess traumatic brain injury, blood brain barrier integrity and axonal injury or degradation without the need for CSF has been a major focus of many researchers, especially in Alzheimer's Disease and related dementia. 60 Recent advancements include total Tau, phosphorylated tau-181 (pTau-181), pTau-217, neurofilament light (NfL), Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein (GFAP), ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal esterase L1 (UCH-L1), and Chitinase 3-like 1 (YKL-40). In an observation study, Kanberg et al⁶¹ measured GFAP and NfL in COVID-19 patients with varying severity of illness and age/sex matched controls. They found elevated GFAP in moderate and severe COVID-19 cases compared to controls and elevated NfL in severe cases. Patients with mild disease had levels comparable to controls. Both markers are known to increase with age. In COVID-19 patients, NfL was negatively correlated with lymphocyte count and neither marker correlated with C-reactive protein (CRP). DeLorenzo et al⁶² studied 104 COVID-19 positive blood samples collected at hospital admission. They found higher levels of NfL, GFAP and total Tau in those patients that died and higher NfL and UCH-L1 in those that required ICU admission. In a survival analysis, they found that those patients with total Tau levels above the median were more likely to die (50% probability) than the patients with Tau levels below the median (10% probability) at 40 days. There are many studies of COVID-19 biomarkers in the blood, such as cytokines and chemokines, but few compared blood biomarkers with neurologic manifestations. Sun et al⁶³ did look at blood biomarkers with neurologic manifestations and saw little difference between groups. Mazza et al⁶⁴ demonstrated an association of the systemic immune-inflammation index (SII = (neutrophils x platelets)/lymphocytes) with self-reported depressive symptoms and poorer cognitive scores at 3-months post hospital discharge. In general, these studies are small and show post-infection data, but without historic data they cannot determine cause (susceptibility) vs effect.

Cerebral spinal fluid. A review by Lewis et al⁴⁷ identified 430 patients with COVID-19 diagnosis based on PCR or serologic testing who had neurological symptoms prompting CSF testing. Seventy-five percent had symptoms that localized to the CNS and 25% had symptoms that localized to the peripheral nervous system (PNS). The most common symptoms that precipitated CSF testing was encephalopathy/coma (56%). Of the 409 patients who had CSF white blood cell counts evaluated, 66% had detectable WBC or were noted to have pleocytosis, which may be indicative of infection, inflammation, or other CNS injury. Protein levels in the CSF were reported for 397 patients, 40% of whom had protein >60 mg/dL or were noted to have increased protein concentration. This can be indicative of axonal injury, the presence of inflammation or the existence of intrathecal antibodies. Sars-CoV-2 PCR testing was performed on CSF from 303 patients, with 6% testing positive, all of whom had CNS-localized symptoms. CSF antibody testing was performed on 58 patients and 72% tested positive for antibodies to SARS-CoV-2. Thirty-two of these patients had further testing to determine if the antibodies were produced intrathecally or transmitted to the CSF due to blood brain barrier disruption. Of these patients, 22% had results consistent with intrathecal antibody synthesis, while the remaining 78% did not. Autoimmune antibodies were found in the CSF of 5% of the 77 patients tested. Increased CSF IL6 levels were reported in 20/ 27 patients tested and 16/17 patients tested had elevated CSF IL8. The different results seen across studies could be indicative of differences in testing protocols used, differences in neurological symptoms that prompted the collection of CSF, variability of CSF protein and antibody levels based on length of symptoms, traumatic lumbar puncture or truly an indication of SARS-CoV-2 virus in the CSF. The data imply that for the majority of patients with neurological symptoms and CSF data, the SARS-CoV-2 virus does not directly infect the CNS.

Self-report. Much of our current data relies on patient self-report of symptoms and previous medical history. Neurological manifestations, such as headache, fatigue, "brain fog", can be difficult to quantify. Analogies to myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome

(ME/CFS) which manifest in years of patients reporting a multitude of debilitating symptoms that cannot be easily quantitated or validated to their primary physicians is noted.⁶⁵

In a study by Soraas et al,66 794 SARS-CoV-2 positive, 7978 SARS-CoV-2 negative and 4229 randomly selected untested participants, none of whom were hospitalized, were given a questionnaire for self-reporting symptoms within a few weeks of initial SARS-CoV-2 testing (February – April 2020) and another questionnaire 8 months later. The baseline questionnaire showed higher reports of fever, dyspnea, cough, fatigue, anosmia or ageusia in the SARS-CoV-2 positive participants than the negative participants, while the randomly selected untested participants were lower still. On 8-month follow-up, 11% of SARS-CoV-2 positive participants reported memory problems in the past 3 weeks, compared to 4% in the SARS-CoV-2 negative group and 2% in the random sample. The health-related quality of life indicators at 8-months showed similar responses between the positive and negative groups, with the exception of question "worsening self-reported health in the past year" which was 41% for positive group, 21% for the negative group and 12% for the random sample. Both of the tested groups were higher than the random group for all quality of life questions.

Havervall et al⁶⁷ examined healthcare workers in Stockholm Sweden who were enrolled between April 15 and May 8, 2020 to do an 8-month follow-up question-naire on long-term symptoms, with 323 who tested positive for SarS-CoV-2 and 1072 who tested negative. Their primary goal was to examine the extent and duration of long-term symptoms. In the Sars-CoV-2 positive group, 14.9% had symptoms remaining at 8 months, compared to the negative groups (3.4%), with the most common moderate to severe symptoms in the positive group being anosmia, fatigue, ageusia and dyspnea.

Davis et al⁶⁸ used an on-line survey of participants (n=3762), mostly from COVID support groups to examine long-term symptoms and quality of life indicators. This group had 1020 confirmed cases and 2742 suspected cases prior to June 2020 and reported that after 35 weeks, they still had an average of 55.9 symptoms over 9.1 organs. A reduced work schedule was requested by 45% and 22% report they were not working due to their illness, showing the debilitating effects of Sars-CoV-2 virus.

Elkan et al⁶⁹ performed a post-COVID online survey for sequelae using the RAND-36 health survey examining 66 COVID-19 patients hospitalized with pneumonia to 42 age and sex matched COVID-19 negative patients hospitalized with pneumonia. The negative group were more likely to be smokers and have chronic

lung disease while the positive group had a longer hospitalization. At 9-month follow-up, the positive group had low scores, indicating worse symptoms, for pain, general health, vitality and health change, with the largest difference between the groups being in the health change score (positive=25 and negative=50).

Wanga et al⁷⁰ examined an internet survey of 3135 people (698 positive, 2437 negative) who had COVID testing performed through April 2020. The positive group were younger, employed, more urban and had higher income than the negative group. The positive group reported having long-term symptoms (65.9%) while the negative group reported 42.9%. The major symptoms were fatigue, change in smell/taste, dyspnea and cough. Post-vaccination data (n=100 positive, 285 negative) on long-term symptoms indicated that 28.7% of the positive group thought the vaccine made their symptoms better vs 15.7% in the negative group. However, 16% of the positives and 11 % of the negatives report that the vaccine made the symptoms worse.

This self-report data indicates just how difficult it is to study long-term effects of COVID, particularly in the neurological realm, as a true control is difficult to find when the pandemic affected everyone regardless of their sero-status. Lockdowns, fear and isolation effects in negative cases are evident in these surveys.

Animal models. With the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, the push was on for appropriate animal models to better understand disease pathogenesis, aid in vaccine development and test therapeutics. Previous mouse and rat models developed for SARS-CoV proved ineffective for the current pandemic as the SARS-CoV-2 does not bind to mouse and rat ACE2 receptor. This led to the need to induce COVID-19 through genetic adaptation of the SARS-CoV-2 virus by the production of chimera between the SARS-CoV and SAR-CoV-2 viruses, the use of ACE2 knock-ins and transgenic mice, and viral-transfection of wildtype mice with human ACE2.71,72 Using a K18hACE2 transgenic mouse model, Zheng et al⁷³ were able to infect mice showing symptoms in lungs and occasionally brains. They saw evidence of vasculitis and thrombosis in mice with severe pneumonia. Pretreatment with convalescent plasma protected against lethal disease but did not prevent anosmia.

In vitro modeling. One of the controversial questions is whether SARS-CoV-2 can directly infect endothelial cells.⁷⁴ Early studies using immuno-histologic staining or electron microscopy seemed to show the presence of infected endothelial cells and the presence of detectable viral RNA or spike proteins in the endothelium seemed to support this notion. In contrast, basic science methods such as in-situ detection, cell culture-based assays, and tissue-specific sequence analysis generally

indicate that few, if any, endothelial cells are infected. 75-80 He et al81 have found that pericytes express ACE2 to a greater extent than endothelial cells and hypothesize that previously compromised endothelium, as found in hypertension, diabetes and obesity (main comorbidities for COVID-19), increases pericyte exposure and promotes virus-pericyte interaction.

Single-nucleus transcriptomes (65,309) were profiled using snRNA-seq techniques from frontal cortex and choroid plexus samples from 8 COVID-19 positive and 14 control patient post-mortem tissues.⁸² No molecular evidence of SARS-CoV-2 was observed in the brain. Broad cellular perturbations indicated that barrier cells of the choroid plexus may sense and relay peripheral inflammation into the brain and that peripheral T cells can infiltrate the parenchyma. Additionally, subpopulations of microglia and astrocytes observed in the COVID-19 samples share features with other previously characterized neurodegenerative diseases.

Schwabenland et al⁸³ used highly multiplexes highdimensional imaging mass cytometry (IMC) in postmortem COVID-19 positive samples. They identified the accumulation of distinct microglial and T cell subsets in microglial nodules and the perivasculature using deep spatial analysis of postmortem brain tissue, specifically examining the brain stem and olfactory bulbs. Neural inflammation was observed with axonal damage, compromised blood-brain barrier, and virus-associated perivascular inflammation. Their data showed profound immune activation with specific CD8 T cell clusters affecting the vasculature, and CD8 T cellmicroglial crosstalk in the parenchyma. They also report SARS-CoV-2 specific viral antigen in the ACE2-receptor positive cells that were enriched in the vascular compartment.

Using 3-D tissue-engineered microfluidic in-vitro model of the human blood-brain barrier, Buzhdygan et al⁸⁴ found that the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (S1) increases the blood brain barrier permeability. They also utilized cultured human brain microvasculature endothelial cells to show that the S1 protein upregulates cell adhesion molecules (ICAM-1, VCAM-1), inflammatory chemokines (CCL5, CXCL10), and matrix metalloproteinases (MMP3, MMP12).

Long-term outcomes. Pezzini et al⁸⁵ examined hospitalized ischemic stroke patients in Lombardy Italy from March 2020 through April 2020, comparing those who were COVID positive to those who were negative. The stroke patients who were positive for COVID were more likely to have cardiac embolism, atrial fibrillation, have lower rates of smoking and hypertension and were more likely to die.

Blomberg et al⁸⁶ followed COVID-19 positive patients and seronegative, exposed controls in Bergin, Norway for 6 months, with 247 who isolated at home and 65 who were hospitalized. Over 50% of the participants still had symptoms at 6 months. Those under 30 years of age were most likely to complain of disturbed taste or smell and fatigue, while those over 30 also had concentration and memory problems with increased frequency with increasing age.

Frontera et al⁸⁷ followed 606 COVID positive patients with neurological symptoms compared to 3885 COVID positive patients without neurological symptoms in the New York City area. Among those with neurological symptoms, 211 died and 196 completed their 6 months' follow-up, compared to 75 deaths in the non-neurological group. An age and sex matched control group with 6 months' follow-up was established. Those patients with neurological symptoms were more likely to have a history of neurological findings, were more likely to develop acute renal failure, were more likely to be discharged to a nursing facility rather than home, had longer hospital stays and were less likely to return to work. Neurological complications were an independent predictor of limited activities in daily life.

Graham et al⁸⁸ studied 100 patients at a Chicago, Illinois neurology clinic, 50 who had tested positive for COVID and 50 who tested negative. Major comorbidities were depression/anxiety (42%) and autoimmune disease (16%). As with other reports, the major neurological manifestations reported in the positive group were brain fog (81%), headache (68%), numbness/tingling (60%), dysgeusia/anosmia (55%) and myalgia (55%). They assessed several quality of life indicators, and saw no significant difference between the positive and negative groups, but both groups were significantly lower than the normative median score for cognition and higher than the median score for fatigue. The COVID positive group alone scored lower than the normative median for attention and working memory. This data indicates the difficulty in studying neurological symptoms in a pandemic, as fear, isolation and lockdowns due to the pandemic can lead to similar symptoms among the uninfected.

Taquet et al, ⁸⁹ using data extracted from electronic health records of 236379 COVID-19 survivors, found an estimated incidence of a neurological or psychiatric diagnosis in the following 6 months to be 33.6%, while those with a new diagnosis were at 12.8%. Patients in intensive care units had 6-month incidence of diagnosis of 46.5% with 25.7% being a new diagnosis. Primary symptoms reported, with estimated incidence overall and ICU patients, were anxiety disorder (17.4%, 19.1% ICU), psychotic disorder (2.8%, 2.8% ICU), ischemic stroke (2.1%, 6.9% ICU), dementia (0.67%, 1.74%)

ICU), intracranial hemorrhage (0.56%, 2.7% ICU) and parkinsonism (0.11%, 0.26% ICU).

In a highly informative review, Nalbandian et al⁹⁰ summarized the current view of post-acute sequelae of COVID across organ systems. They review the neuropsychological sequelae and discuss the mechanistically diverse pathophysiology that potentially drives the symptoms, including immune dysregulation, inflammation, microvascular thrombi, iatrogenic medicine effects and psychosocial impacts.

Future directions. While the rapid publication of data pertaining to the neurological manifestations of COVID-19 have proven useful in our understanding of the pathobiology of the disease, there is still much to be learned. Severely affected patients were the focus of early studies and initial clinical trials of potential treatments, in part due to immediate logistics and ethical considerations. Many potentially promising studies were stopped for futility because treatments with anti-inflammatory or anti-coagulant therapies at late stages of COVID-19 were not effective. 91-96 Secondary analysis of some of these trials showed modest effects on those with less severe symptoms, indicating that treating earlier in the disease progression might prove more efficacious. 91

The association of comorbidities, such as hypertension, diabetes and obesity, with disease severity has been striking, yet the biology contributing to this association is not understood. These comorbidities are related to damage to endothelium and there are many hypotheses about viral infiltration through damaged endothelium.⁸¹ A better understanding on how the virus can translocate throughout the body is greatly lacking.

Additionally, other potential underlying conditions that might increase susceptibility to Sars-Cov2 or severity of infection are yet to be determined. This is where the ongoing NIH cohort studies, with extensive historic data, could add important information about pre-clinical states that might increase susceptibility. Studies like C4R (The Collaborative Cohort of Cohorts for COVID-19 Research), 97 a collaboration of 14 such studies that have historic data and stored specimens from over 50,000 multi-ethnic participants from across the United States, can add some information on susceptibility. These participants have consented to COVID-19 questionnaires and medical records extraction, as well as providing blood spots for serologic testing. Serologic testing for antibodies to both the spike protein and the nucleocapsid protein will distinguish between those exposed to the virus (nucleocapsid and spike antibodies) from those receiving a vaccination (spike antibodies only). These participants also have historic data (medical/family history, medications, heart/lung/brain imaging, sleep studies, lung function testing, genomic, proteomic, metabolomic, neighborhood and social economic status, etc.) and stored samples (blood, urine). Many participants have been part of a study for over 20 years with yearly phone followup and multiple in-person visits. The current age range of participants is 18 to over 100. These studies have pre-pandemic data on cognitive function, sub-clinical/ clinical atherosclerosis, lung function, heart/lung/brain imaging, genomic, proteomic, and metabolomic profiles that can provide insight into risk/susceptibility that cannot be ascertained from pandemic/post pandemic studies. As more information on potential biomarkers, particularly for route of entry markers such as endothelial dysfunction and blood brain barrier disruption, becomes available post-pandemic, the stored historic samples will prove useful in determining who becomes severely ill with COVID.

Similarly, Douand et al⁹⁸ recently examined brain MRI data obtained from the UK BioBank study of pre-COVID participant to a set of post-COVID participant data obtained in 401 COVID positive and 384 COVID negative participants. The positive participants, compared to the negatives, showed a more pronounce reduction in grey matter thickness and contrast in the lateral orbitofrontal cortex and para-hippocampal gyrus, an increase in diffusion indices, a marker of tissue damage, in the brain region that is functionally connected to the piriform cortex, anterior olfactory nucleus and olfactory tubercle, and reduced global measures of brain size with increased CSF volume. They also showed larger cognitive decline using the Trail making test. This is an excellent example of the value of pre-COVID measures in evaluating the effects of the virus.

Proal and VanElzakker⁶⁵ present potential mechanisms by which RNA viruses beyond just SARS-SoV-2 are connected to long-term health consequences. The development of long-term symptoms following viral and bacterial infections in a subset of patients has been well-documented. 99-107 SARS-CoV-2-associated longterm health effects appear new and novel mostly due to the relatively large number of people affected. Many of the ME/CFS patient symptoms are traced back to viral or bacterial infections. The overlapping symptoms between PASC and ME/CSF are reviewed extensively.⁶⁵ They also present potential mechanisms for PASC, as follows: direct consequence of SARS-CoV-2 infection of one or more organs, SARS-COV-2 immune dysregulation causing re-activation of latent neurotropic pathogens (ie Herpes viruses); SARS-CoV-2 alterations to the microbiome, coagulation system, brain stem/vagus nerve signaling, immune cell dysregulation and/or autoimmunity caused by molecular mimicry. 108 Data supporting these hypotheses is

presented with mechanisms likely to overlap in any given individual.

To further support the notion of viral reservoirs in the body, a study by Kumata et al 109 developed a tissue level atlas of healthy human virome by performing a meta-transcriptomic analysis of RNA-sequencing data from 51 somatic tissues from 547 individuals. They found RNA from 39 viral species in various tissues. The Hu-COV-229E human coronavirus was found in brain, thyroid, lung, stomach, adrenal gland, skin, and blood, showing that reservoirs of the common coronavirus can be found in various tissues in the body. Whether this is true for SARS-CoV-2 has yet to be determined.

CONCLUSIONS

Neurological manifestations of COVID-19 have been demonstrated using a variety of techniques. Some of the symptoms are acute while others are chronic. While case reports and autopsy studies gave us the first glimpse into the neurological manifestation in severe COVID-19 infections, the clinical labs analyzing blood and CSF gave us quantitative reports on organ function, coagulopathies, and remote infections, the radiologists gave us a look inside the brain for signs of damage and irregularities, the animal studies let us look at specific proteins, cells and organs with a platform for treatment testing, and the basic scientists looked at transcription changes, cell-specific COVID-19 alterations in immune response and testing models for potential treatments. Previous studies on other viruses gives us a starting point to understanding longterm health conditions associated with COVID-19. Ongoing cohort studies give access to pre-COVID-19 blood, urine and tissue samples, as well as imaging, comorbidity data, socio-economic status, and medical histories on a diverse population. Finding specific blood or CSF biomarkers that can discriminate COVID-19-specific pathophysiology from other disease or aging processes is essential to progressing the field. The combination of approaches should guide our understanding of PASC and hopefully guide treatments that will not only be affective in COVID-19 associated PASC, but potentially other infection-related postinfection neuropathies. It should also be noted that our notion of long-term consequences, at this point in time, is only ~ 2 years and the potential for longer term effects remains to be determined. The newly announced NIH RECOVER study, 110 which expects to enroll up to 40,000 participants to study post-acute sequelae of COVID should accelerate our understanding and hopefully find treatments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Conflicts of interest: The author has read the journal's conflict of interest policy and reports no Conflict of Interest.

This review was supported by grant R01AG067457 from the National Institute on Aging/NIH.

All authors have read the journal's authorship agreement.

REFERENCES

- Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 2020;579:270–3.
- Nath A. Neurologic complications of coronavirus infections. Neurology 2020;94:809–10.
- Almqvist J, Granberg T, Tzortzakakis A, et al. Neurological manifestations of coronavirus infections - a systematic review. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2020;7:2057–71.
- 4. Belvis R. Headaches during COVID-19: my clinical case and review of the literature. Headache 2020;60:1422–6.
- Bolay H, Gul A, Baykan B. COVID-19 is a real headache!. Headache 2020;60:1415–21.
- Rahman J, Muralidharan A, Quazi SJ, Saleem H, Khan S. Neurological and psychological effects of coronavirus (COVID-19): an overview of the current era pandemic. Cureus 2020;12: e8460.
- Cooper KW, Brann DH, Farruggia MC, et al. COVID-19 and the chemical senses: supporting players take center stage. Neuron 2020:107:219–33.
- Bilinska K, Butowt R. Anosmia in COVID-19: a bumpy road to establishing a cellular mechanism. ACS Chem Neurosci 2020;11:2152–5.
- Al-Sarraj S, Troakes C, Hanley B, et al. Invited review: the spectrum of neuropathology in COVID-19. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 2021;47:3–16.
- Garg RK, Paliwal VK, Gupta A. Encephalopathy in patients with COVID-19: a review. J Med Virol 2021;93:206–22.
- Nordvig AS, Fong KT, Willey JZ, et al. Potential neurologic manifestations of COVID-19. Neurol Clin Pract 2021;11:e135–e46.
- Chougar L, Shor N, Weiss N, et al. Retrospective observational study of brain MRI findings in patients with acute SARS-CoV-2 infection and neurologic manifestations. Radiology 2020;297:E313–E23.
- Merkler AE, Parikh NS, Mir S, et al. Risk of ischemic stroke in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vs patients with influenza. JAMA Neurol 2020;77(11):1366–72. https:// doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.2730.
- Montalvan V, Lee J, Bueso T, De Toledo J, Rivas K. Neurological manifestations of COVID-19 and other coronavirus infections: a systematic review. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2020;194:105921.
- Agosti E, Giorgianni A, D'Amore F, Vinacci G, Balbi S, Locatelli D. Is Guillain-Barre syndrome triggered by SARS-CoV-2? Case report and literature review. Neurol Sci 2021;42:607–12.
- Adamczyk-Sowa M, Niedziela N, Kubicka-Baczyk K, Wierzbicki K, Jaroszewicz J, Sowa P. Neurological symptoms as a clinical manifestation of coronavirus disease 2019: implications for internists. Pol Arch Intern Med 2021;131:54

 62.
- Collantes MEV, Espiritu AI, Sy MCC, Anlacan VMM, Jamora RDG. Neurological manifestations in COVID-19 infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Can J Neurol Sci 2021;48:66–76.

- Garg RK. Spectrum of neurological manifestations in COVID-19: a review. Neurol India 2020;68:560–72.
- Iroegbu JD, Ifenatuoha CW, Ijomone OM. Potential neurological impact of coronaviruses: implications for the novel SARS-CoV-2. Neurol Sci 2020;41:1329–37.
- Keyhanian K, Umeton RP, Mohit B, Davoudi V, Hajighasemi F, Ghasemi M. SARS-CoV-2 and nervous system: from pathogenesis to clinical manifestation. J Neuroimmunol 2020;350:577436.
- Lahiri D, Mondal R, Deb S, et al. Neuroinvasive potential of a primary respiratory pathogen SARS- CoV2: summarizing the evidences. Diabetes Metab Syndr 2020;14:1053–60.
- Mohammadi S, Moosaie F, Aarabi MH. Understanding the immunologic characteristics of neurologic manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 and potential immunological mechanisms. Mol Neurobiol 2020;57:5263–75.
- Orru G, Conversano C, Malloggi E, Francesconi F, Ciacchini R, Gemignani A. Neurological complications of COVID-19 and possible neuroinvasion pathways: a systematic review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:6688. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186688.
- Padda I, Khehra N, Jaferi U, Parmar MS. The Neurological complexities and prognosis of COVID-19. SN Compr Clin Med 2020:(Sep 29):1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42399-020-00527-2 In press.
- Pinzon RT, Wijaya VO, Buana RB, Al Jody A, Nunsio PN. Neurologic characteristics in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Neurol 2020;11:565.
- Pons-Escoda A, Naval-Baudin P, Majos C, et al. Neurologic involvement in COVID-19: cause or coincidence? A neuroimaging perspective. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2020;41:1365–9.
- Iadecola C, Anrather J, Kamel H. Effects of COVID-19 on the nervous system. Cell 2020;183:16–27, e1.
- Wu Y, Xu X, Chen Z, et al. Nervous system involvement after infection with COVID-19 and other coronaviruses. Brain Behav Immun 2020;87:18–22.
- Wada S, Nagasaki Y, Arimizu Y, et al. Neurological disorders identified during treatment of a SARS-CoV-2 infection. Intern Med 2020;59:2187–9.
- Palaiodimou L, Stefanou MI, Katsanos AH, et al. Prevalence, clinical characteristics and outcomes of Guillain-Barre syndrome spectrum associated with COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Neurol 2021;28:3517–29.
- Affes Z, Bouvard EJ, Levy P, Dussaule C, Grateau G, Haymann JP. COVID-19 presenting with confusion: an unusual but suggestive electroencephalography pattern of encephalitis. J Clin Neurophysiol 2021;38:e11–e3.
- Faber I, Brandao PRP, Menegatti F, de Carvalho Bispo DD, Maluf FB, Cardoso F. Coronavirus disease 2019 and parkinsonism: a non-post-encephalitic case. Mov Disord 2020;35: 1721–2.
- Cohen ME, Eichel R, Steiner-Birmanns B, et al. A case of probable Parkinson's disease after SARS-CoV-2 infection. Lancet Neurol 2020;19:804–5.
- Mendez-Guerrero A, Laespada-Garcia MI, Gomez-Grande A, et al. Acute hypokinetic-rigid syndrome following SARS-CoV-2 infection. Neurology 2020;95:e2109., e18.
- Brundin P, Nath A, Beckham JD. Is COVID-19 a perfect storm for Parkinson's disease? Trends Neurosci 2020;43:931–3.
- Asadi-Pooya AA, Simani L, Shahisavandi M, Barzegar Z. COVID-19, de novo seizures, and epilepsy: a systematic review. Neurol Sci 2021;42:415–31.
- Bellocchio L, Bordea IR, Ballini A, et al. Environmental issues and neurological manifestations associated with COVID-19

- pandemic: new aspects of the disease? Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17.
- Ellul MA, Benjamin L, Singh B, et al. Neurological associations of COVID-19. Lancet Neurol 2020;19:767–83.
- Gane SB, Kelly C, Hopkins C. Isolated sudden onset anosmia in COVID-19 infection. A novel syndrome? Rhinology 2020:58:299–301.
- **40.** Jardim Vaz de Mello L, Guimaraes Silva E, Oliveira Correa Rabelo G, et al. Neurologic compromise in COVID-19: a literature review. J Neurol Res 2020;10:164–72.
- Jasti M, Nalleballe K, Dandu V, Onteddu S. A review of pathophysiology and neuropsychiatric manifestations of COVID-19. J Neurol 2021;268:2007–12.
- Kuroda N. Epilepsy and COVID-19: updated evidence and narrative review. Epilepsy Behav 2021;116:107785.
- Mondal R, Ganguly U, Deb S, et al. Meningoencephalitis associated with COVID-19: a systematic review. J Neurovirol 2021;27:12–25.
- 44. Severo Bem Junior L, do Rego Aquino PL, Nunes Rabelo N, et al. SARS-CoV-2 and nervous system neurological manifestations in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review. J Neurol Res 2020;10:113–21.
- Varatharaj A, Thomas N, Ellul MA, et al. Neurological and neuropsychiatric complications of COVID-19 in 153 patients: a UK-wide surveillance study. Lancet Psychiatry 2020;7:875–82.
- Nasserie T, Hittle M, Goodman SN. Assessment of the frequency and variety of persistent symptoms among patients with COVID-19: a systematic review. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4:e2111417.
- Lewis A, Frontera J, Placantonakis DG, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid in COVID-19: a systematic review of the literature. J Neurol Sci 2021;421:117316.
- Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, et al. Neurologic manifestations of hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. JAMA Neurol 2020;77:683–90.
- Rifino N, Censori B, Agazzi E, et al. Neurologic manifestations in 1760 COVID-19 patients admitted to Papa Giovanni XXIII Hospital, Bergamo, Italy. J Neurol 2021;268:2331–8.
- Garcia-Azorin D, Abildua MJA, Aguirre MEE, et al. Neurological presentations of COVID-19: findings from the Spanish Society of Neurology neuroCOVID-19 registry. J Neurol Sci 2021;423:117283.
- Flores-Silva FD, Garcia-Grimshaw M, Valdes-Ferrer SI, et al. Neurologic manifestations in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Mexico City. PLoS One 2021;16:e0247433.
- Frontera JA, Sabadia S, Lalchan R, et al. A prospective study of neurologic disorders in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in New York City. Neurology 2021;96:e575., e86.
- 53. Bain W, Yang H, Shah FA, et al. COVID-19 versus non-COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome: comparison of demographics, physiologic parameters, inflammatory biomarkers, and clinical outcomes. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2021;18:1202–10.
- Fabbri VP, Foschini MP, Lazzarotto T, et al. Brain ischemic injury in COVID-19-infected patients: a series of 10 post-mortem cases. Brain Pathol 2021;31:205–10.
- Solomon IH, Normandin E, Bhattacharyya S, et al. Neuropathological features of Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020;383:989–92.
- Meinhardt J, Radke J, Dittmayer C, et al. Olfactory transmucosal SARS-CoV-2 invasion as a port of central nervous system entry in individuals with COVID-19. Nat Neurosci 2021;24:168–75.
- Matschke J, Lutgehetmann M, Hagel C, et al. Neuropathology of patients with COVID-19 in Germany: a post-mortem case series. Lancet Neurol 2020;19:919–29.

- 58. Chowdhary A, Subedi R, Tandon M, et al. Relevance and clinical significance of magnetic resonance imaging of neurological manifestations in COVID-19: a systematic review of case reports and case series. Brain Sci 2020;10(12):1017. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10121017.
- Egbert AR, Cankurtaran S, Karpiak S. Brain abnormalities in COVID-19 acute/subacute phase: a rapid systematic review. Brain Behav Immun 2020;89:543–54.
- DeKosky ST, Kochanek PM, Valadka AB, et al. Blood biomarkers for detection of brain injury in COVID-19 patients. J Neurotrauma 2021;38:1–43.
- **61.** Kanberg N, Ashton NJ, Andersson LM, et al. Neurochemical evidence of astrocytic and neuronal injury commonly found in COVID-19. Neurology 2020;95:e1754., e9.
- De Lorenzo R, Lore NI, Finardi A, et al. Blood neurofilament light chain and total tau levels at admission predict death in COVID-19 patients. J Neurol 2021. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00415-021-10595-6.
- Sun B, Tang N, Peluso MJ, et al. Characterization and Biomarker Analyses of Post-COVID-19 Complications and Neurological Manifestations. Cells 2021;10(2):386. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10020386.
- 64. Mazza MG, Palladini M, De Lorenzo R, et al. Persistent psychopathology and neurocognitive impairment in COVID-19 survivors: effect of inflammatory biomarkers at three-month follow-up. Brain Behav Immun 2021;94:138–47.
- Proal AD, VanElzakker MB. Long COVID or post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 (PASC): an overview of biological factors that may contribute to persistent symptoms. Front Microbiol 2021;12:698169.
- 66. Soraas A, Bo R, Kalleberg KT, Stoer NC, Ellingjord-Dale M, Landro NI. Self-reported memory problems 8 months after COVID-19 infection. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4: e2118717
- 67. Havervall S, Rosell A, Phillipson M, et al. Symptoms and functional impairment assessed 8 months after mild COVID-19 among health care workers. JAMA 2021;325:2015–6.
- **68.** Davis HE, Assaf GS, McCorkell L, et al. Characterizing long COVID in an international cohort: 7 months of symptoms and their impact. EClinicalMedicine 2021;38:101019.
- **69.** Elkan M, Dvir A, Zaidenstein R, et al. Patient-reported outcome measures after hospitalization during the COVID-19 pandemic: a survey among COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients. Int J Gen Med 2021;14:4829–36.
- Wanga V CJ, Dimitrov LV, et al. Long-term symptoms among adults tested for SARS-CoV-2 — United States, January 2020—April 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2021;70:1235–41.
- Veenhuis RT, Zeiss CJ. Animal models of COVID-19 II. comparative immunology. ILAR J 2021:ilab010. https://doi.org/10.1093/ilar/ilab010.
- Zeiss CJ, Compton S, Veenhuis RT. Animal Models of COVID-19. I. Comparative Virology and Disease Pathogenesis. ILAR J 2021:ilab007. https://doi.org/10.1093/ilar/ilab007.
- Zheng J, Wong LR, Li K, et al. COVID-19 treatments and pathogenesis including anosmia in K18-hACE2 mice. Nature 2021;589:603-7.
- Bernard I, Limonta D, Mahal LK, Hobman TC. Endothelium infection and dysregulation by SARS-CoV-2: evidence and caveats in COVID-19. Viruses 2020;13(1):29. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/v13010029.
- Dittmayer C, Meinhardt J, Radbruch H, et al. Why misinterpretation of electron micrographs in SARS-CoV-2-infected tissue goes viral. Lancet 2020;396:e64–5.

- McCracken IR, Saginc G, He L, et al. Lack of evidence of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 expression and replicative infection by SARS-CoV-2 in human endothelial cells. Circulation 2021;143:865–8.
- Hui KPY, Cheung MC, Perera R, et al. Tropism, replication competence, and innate immune responses of the coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in human respiratory tract and conjunctiva: an analysis in ex-vivo and in-vitro cultures. Lancet Respir Med 2020;8:687–95.
- Roufosse C, Curtis E, Moran L, et al. Electron microscopic investigations in COVID-19: not all crowns are coronas. Kidney Int 2020;98:505–6.
- Yang L, Han Y, Nilsson-Payant BE, et al. A human pluripotent stem cell-based platform to study SARS-CoV-2 tropism and model virus infection in human cells and organoids. Cell Stem Cell 2020;27:125–36, e7.
- Schaefer IM, Padera RF, Solomon IH, et al. In situ detection of SARS-CoV-2 in lungs and airways of patients with COVID-19. Mod Pathol 2020;33:2104–14.
- Chen J, Zhu YF, Du ZQ, et al. Predictors of mechanical ventilation for COVID-19: combined data from three designated hospitals. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2020;24:13065–71.
- 82. Yang AC, Kern F, Losada PM, et al. Dysregulation of brain and choroid plexus cell types in severe COVID-19. Nature 2021;595:565–71.
- Schwabenland M, Salie H, Tanevski J, et al. Deep spatial profiling of human COVID-19 brains reveals neuroinflammation with distinct microanatomical microglia-T-cell interactions. Immunity 2021;54:1594–610, e11.
- 84. Buzhdygan TP, DeOre BJ, Baldwin-Leclair A, et al. The SARS-CoV-2 spike protein alters barrier function in 2D static and 3D microfluidic in-vitro models of the human blood-brain barrier. Neurobiol Dis 2020;146:105131.
- Pezzini A, Grassi M, Silvestrelli G, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection and acute ischemic stroke in Lombardy, Italy. J Neurol 2021. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-021-10620-8.
- Blomberg B, Mohn KG, Brokstad KA, et al. Long COVID in a prospective cohort of home-isolated patients. Nat Med 2021;27:1607–13.
- Frontera JA, Yang D, Lewis A, et al. A prospective study of long-term outcomes among hospitalized COVID-19 patients with and without neurological complications. J Neurol Sci 2021;426:117486.
- Graham EL, Clark JR, Orban ZS, et al. Persistent neurologic symptoms and cognitive dysfunction in non-hospitalized Covid-19 "long haulers". Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2021;8:1073–85.
- Taquet M, Geddes JR, Husain M, Luciano S, Harrison PJ. 6-month neurological and psychiatric outcomes in 236 379 survivors of COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study using electronic health records. Lancet Psychiatry 2021;8:416–27.
- 90. Nalbandian A, Sehgal K, Gupta A, et al. Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Nat Med 2021;27:601–15.
- Sholzberg M, Tang GH, Rahhal H, et al. Heparin for Moderately Ill Patients with Covid-19. medRxiv 2021. https://doi.org/ 10.1101/2021.07.08.21259351 In press.
- Balcells ME, Rojas L, Le Corre N, et al. Early versus deferred anti-SARS-CoV-2 convalescent plasma in patients admitted for COVID-19: A randomized phase II clinical trial. PLoS Med 2021;18:e1003415.
- 93. Bennett-Guerrero E, Romeiser JL, Talbot LR, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 convalescent plasma versus standard plasma in coronavirus disease 2019 infected hospitalized patients in New York: a double-blind randomized trial. Crit Care Med 2021;49:1015–25.

- Hamilton FW, Lee T, Arnold DT, Lilford R, Hemming K. Is convalescent plasma futile in COVID-19? A Bayesian re-analysis of the RECOVERY randomized controlled trial. Int J Infect Dis 2021;109:114–7.
- Sarkar S, Soni KD, Khanna P. Convalescent plasma is a clutch at straws in COVID-19 management! A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Virol 2021;93:1111–8.
- Sekine L, Arns B, Fabro BR, et al. Convalescent plasma for COVID-19 in hospitalised patients: an open-label, randomised clinical trial. Eur Respir J 2021. https://doi.org/10.1183/ 13993003.01471-2021 In press.
- Oelsner EC, Allen NB, Ali T, et al. Collaborative cohort of cohorts for COVID-19 research (C4R) study: study design. medRxiv 2021. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.19.21253986.
- Douaud G, Lee S, Alfaro-Almagro F, et al. Brain imaging before and after COVID-19 in UK Biobank. medRxiv 2021. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.11.21258690.
- Bannister BA. Post-infectious disease syndrome. Postgrad Med J 1988;64:559–67.
- 100. Rebman AW, Aucott JN. Post-treatment Lyme disease as a model for persistent symptoms in Lyme disease. Front Med (Lausanne) 2020;7:57.
- Lo TQ, Marston BJ, Dahl BA, De Cock KM. Ebola: anatomy of an epidemic. Annu Rev Med 2017;68:359–70.
- 102. Komaroff AL, Bateman L. Will COVID-19 lead to myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome? Front Med (Lausanne) 2020;7:606824.
- 103. Wilson HW, Amo-Addae M, Kenu E, Ilesanmi OS, Ameme DK, Sackey SO. Post-Ebola syndrome among Ebola virus disease survivors in Montserrado County, Liberia 2016. Biomed Res Int 2018;2018:1909410.
- 104. Keita AK, Vidal N, Toure A, et al. A 40-month follow-up of Ebola virus disease survivors in Guinea (PostEbogui) reveals long-term detection of Ebola viral ribonucleic acid in semen and breast milk. Open Forum Infect Dis 2019;6: ofz482
- 105. Halpin P, Williams MV, Klimas NG, Fletcher MA, Barnes Z, Ariza ME. Myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome and gulf war illness patients exhibit increased humoral responses to the herpesviruses-encoded dUTPase: Implications in disease pathophysiology. J Med Virol 2017;89:1636–45.
- 106. Chapenko S, Krumina A, Logina I, et al. Association of active human herpesvirus-6, -7 and parvovirus b19 infection with clinical outcomes in patients with myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome. Adv Virol 2012;2012:205085.
- 107. Chia J, Chia A, Voeller M, Lee T, Chang R. Acute enterovirus infection followed by myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome (ME/CFS) and viral persistence. J Clin Pathol 2010;63:165–8.
- Bastard P, Rosen LB, Zhang Q, et al. Autoantibodies against type I IFNs in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. Science 2020;370(6515):abd4585. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd4585.
- Kumata R, Ito J, Takahashi K, Suzuki T, Sato K. A tissue level atlas of the healthy human virome. BMC Biol 2020;18:55.
- 110. NIH Recover announcement. September 15, 2021. NIH builds large nationwide study population of tens of thousands to support research on long-term effects of COVID-19. https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-builds-large-nation-wide-study-population-tens-thousands-support-research-long-term-effects-covid-19.