# Chemical Science

## **EDGE ARTICLE**



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2020, 11, 10414

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 27th July 2020 Accepted 8th September 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0sc04091f

rsc.li/chemical-science

## Introduction

The carboxylation of organic halides using CO<sub>2</sub> as an abundant and nontoxic C1 synthon<sup>1</sup> is an important strategy to access carboxylic acids, which are widely distributed in natural products and pharmaceuticals.<sup>2</sup> Despite good progress in the carboxylation of carbon-hetero bonds,3 the activation of C-F bonds for reaction development is largely undeveloped.<sup>4</sup> This is possibly because the C-F bond is the strongest carbon-hetero bond with a high bond dissociation energy, the activation of which is kinetically unfavorable since fluorine is neither a good Lewis base nor a good leaving group.<sup>5</sup> In this context, the selective C-F bond carboxylation of lightly fluorinated compounds could facilitate access to fluorinated carboxylic acids that are much sought-after substances for organic synthesis, materials science, and medicinal chemistry.6 However, to date, only a limited number of catalytic processes have been realized (Scheme 1A).7 Feng and co-workers combined photoredox/Pd dual catalysis to realize an sp<sup>2</sup> C-F bond carboxylation of gem-difluoroalkenes with moderate Z/Eselectivity.7a A Cu-catalyzed formal carboxylation was reported by Yu<sup>7b</sup> and by us<sup>7c</sup> respectively, in which carboxylation of the

<sup>a</sup>Shanghai Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Chemical Processes, Shanghai Engineering Research Center of Molecular Therapeutics and New Drug Development, School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China Normal University, 3663N Zhongshan Road, Shanghai 200062, P. R. China. E-mail: fzhou@chem.ecnu. edu.cn

<sup>b</sup>College of Chemistry, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610064, P. R. China <sup>c</sup>State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, P. R. China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0sc04091f



Xiao-Tong Gao,<sup>a</sup> Zheng Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Xin Wang, <sup>b</sup> Jun-Song Tian,<sup>a</sup> Shi-Liang Xie,<sup>a</sup> Feng Zhou <sup>b</sup> \*<sup>a</sup> and Jian Zhou <sup>ac</sup>

An unprecedented  $\gamma$ -carboxylation of  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkenes with CO<sub>2</sub> is reported. This approach constitutes a rare example of using electrochemical methods to achieve regioselectivity complementary to conventional metal catalysis. Accordingly, using platinum plate as both a working cathode and a nonsacrificial anode in a user-friendly undivided cell under constant current conditions, the  $\gamma$ -carboxylation provides efficient access to vinylacetic acids bearing a *gem*-difluoroalkene moiety from a broad range of substrates. The synthetic utility is further demonstrated by gram-scale synthesis and elaboration to several value-added products. Cyclic voltammetry and density functional theory calculations were performed to provide mechanistic insights into the reaction.

vinylboronate intermediate yielded  $\alpha$ -fluoroacrylic acids with high Z-selectivity. Yu and co-workers also achieved a formal sp<sup>3</sup> C–F bond carboxylation of  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> styrenes, which was conducted at 80 °C with 1.5 equivalents of diboron reagent and 3.0 equivalents of base, giving  $\alpha, \alpha$ -difluorocarboxylates regioselectively.<sup>7b</sup> Because the C–F bond cleavage of  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkenes might lead to both  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -carboxylation, it is interesting to

ROYAL SOCIETY OF **CHEMISTRY** 



Scheme 1 C-F bond carboxylation with CO<sub>2</sub>.



develop  $\gamma$ -carboxylation of  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkenes using CO<sub>2</sub> for the synthesis of carboxylic acids with a *gem*-difluoroalkene moiety. As a carbonyl bioisostere with less susceptibility to *in vivo* metabolism, *gem*-difluoroalkene is a prominent structural motif that is found widely in biologically active compounds (Scheme 1C).<sup>8</sup> Moreover, they are versatile fluorinated building blocks in organic synthesis.<sup>9</sup> Therefore, the efficient  $\gamma$ -carboxylation of  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkenes using CO<sub>2</sub> under mild conditions with broad substrate scope is highly desirable.

Recently, increasing attention has been paid to synthetic organic electrochemistry.<sup>10</sup> Using electricity as a driving force, the use of toxic or expensive reducing agents might be avoided, and room temperature is often sufficient to promote the reaction. Interestingly, electrochemical processes facilitated access to high-energy species or new mediators, thus affording opportunities that conventional chemistry may not have achieved.<sup>11</sup> Intrigued by these attractive features, we postulated that electrochemistry might be a powerful strategy to develop direct and green defluorinative carboxylation of  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkenes to secure a level of  $\gamma$ -carboxylation unattainable by copper catalysis and avoid the use of diboron reagent and bases. Here, we wish to report our results in electrochemical y-selective carboxylation of  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkenes with CO<sub>2</sub> to structurally diverse  $\gamma,\gamma$ -difluoro vinylacetic acids with good functional group tolerance, using platinum plate as both cathode and nonsacrificial anode under mild conditions (Scheme 1B).

Currently, most electrocarboxylations are conducted with sacrificial anodes and/or require (quasi-)divided cell to forestall the undesired oxidation of starting material or carboxylic acid products.12 From the viewpoint of practicability and sustainability, the development of non-sacrificial metal system is more desirable.13 Just recently, Malkov and Buckley achieved highly regioselective electrosynthetic hydrocarboxylation of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ trisubstituted alkenes and conjugated dienes using nonsacrificial anode system.14 Encouraged by these elegant advances in nonsacrificial metal-based electrochemical carboxylation of alkenes,15 along with the seminal study on electrochemical C-F bond carboxylation of benzotrifluoride by Troupel,<sup>16</sup> we tried to develop electrochemical carboxylation of  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkenes using a nonsacrificial anode, with our interest in chemical fixation of CO2 to value-added chemicals.17 Accordingly, the reaction of  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> substituted styrene 1a and bubbling CO<sub>2</sub> was undertaken in DMF containing Et<sub>4</sub>NOTs to evaluate different nonsacrificial anodes, at a constant current of 8 mA in an undivided cell, with Pt-plate as cathode (Table 1).

#### Results and discussion

First, we evaluated graphite, RVC and Pt anodes, and found that the reaction indeed proceeded at room temperature for 4 hours, with a total charge of 6 Faraday/mol to yield the  $\gamma$ -carboxylation product **2a** in 32, 14, and 57% yields, respectively, and no  $\alpha$ carboxylation adducts were detected (entries 1–3). This result unambiguously supported our working hypothesis and encouraged us to conduct further optimization studies using the Pt anode. Varying the cathode from Pt-plate to graphite or RVC gave no better results (entries 4 and 5). Increasing the

 Table 1
 Condition optimization<sup>a</sup>

Ph $CF_3 + CO_2$ (+) Anode Cathode (-) <b>1a</b> (0.2 mmol) (1 atm) Electrolyte, Solvent, Z mA, RT, 4 h <b>2a</b> $F$
--

Entry	Anode	Cathode	Electrolyte	Solvent	Z (mA)	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	C	Dt	Ft.NOTe	DME	Q	30
2	RVC	Dt	Et <sub>4</sub> NOTs	DMF	8	32 14
3	Pt	Dt	Et <sub>4</sub> NOTs	DMF	8	57
4	Pt	C	Et <sub>4</sub> NOTs	DMF	8	50
5	Pt	RVC	Et <sub>4</sub> NOTs	DMF	8	38
6	Pt	Pt	Et₄NOTs	DMF	10	54
7	Pt	Pt	Et₄NOTs	DMF	6	42
8	Pt	Pt	<sup>n</sup> Bu <sub>4</sub> NOTs	DMF	8	60
9	Pt	Pt	<sup>n</sup> Bu <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	DMF	8	72
10	Pt	Pt	<sup>n</sup> Bu <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	DMA	8	59
11	Pt	Pt	<sup>n</sup> Bu <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	DCE	8	20
12	Pt	Pt	<sup>n</sup> Bu <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	THF	8	70
13 <sup>c</sup>	Pt	Pt	<sup>n</sup> Bu <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	DMF	8	83
$14^{c,d}$	Pt	Pt	<sup>n</sup> Bu <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	DMF	8	82

 $^a$  Reaction conditions: electrolyte (0.06 M), CO<sub>2</sub> bubbling in solvent (6 mL).  $^b$  Isolated yield.  $^c$  With  $^n\rm{Bu}_4\rm{NClO}_4$  (0.07 M), DMF (7 mL).  $^d$  7 hours.

current to 10 mA resulted in almost no change in yield but decreasing the current to 6 mA led to a reduction in the yield to 42% due to incomplete reaction (entries 6 and 7). Since the supporting electrolyte could affect the local environment near the electrode as part of the electrical double-layer,18 we next evaluated its influence. Using <sup>*n*</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> as the electrolyte, the yield of 2a improved significantly to 72% (entry 9). The solvent effects were also investigated, but DMF still proved to be the solvent of choice (entries 10-12 vs. 9). Finally, by increasing the electrolyte concentration to 0.07 M and performing the reaction with an ElectraSyn 2.0 instrument (see ESI<sup>†</sup>), the desired carboxylic acid, 2a could be obtained in 83% yield (entry 13). To investigate the stability of the carboxylation product under constant current conditions in an undivided cell,19 we extended the reaction time to 7 hours and found that almost the same yield of 2a was obtained as that after 4 hours (entry 14 vs. 13). This indicated the carboxylation product was sufficiently stable and did not decompose during the reaction (for detail of optimization, see ESI<sup>†</sup>).

Having established the optimal reaction conditions, we next evaluated the scope of the reaction with respect to  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> styrene derivatives. With various substituents on the phenyl rings, including methyl, *tert*-butyl, phenyl, terminal alkene, halogen, ether, cyano, and ester groups, alkenes **1b–r** readily afforded the corresponding  $\gamma$ , $\gamma$ -difluoro vinylacetic acids, **2b–r** in 45–84% yields. The electronic and steric effects of the phenyl substituents had little impact on the carboxylation. For instance, the reaction of isomeric substrates with a methyl, chloro, fluoro, or OCF<sub>3</sub> group at the *para-* or *meta*-position proceeded smoothly to afford the corresponding products **2b**, **2c**, **2j–l** and **2p–r** in similarly good to high yields. The  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkene derivatives

 Table 2
 Substrate scope of the electrochemical defluorinative carboxylation<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> With <sup>*n*</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> (0.07 M), CO<sub>2</sub> bubbling in DMF (7 mL), 4–7 h, isolated yield. <sup>*b*</sup> 200 µL H<sub>2</sub>O as additive. <sup>*c*</sup> Graphite as the anode.

bearing 2-naphthyl, 2-thiophenyl, or 2-benzofuranyl group also worked well to furnish **2s–u** in 40–62% yields.

Notably,  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkenes with  $\alpha$ -alkyl substituents were also viable substrates. For example, alkenes bearing an  $\alpha$ -phenethyl, phenylpropyl, or *n*-nonyl group produced the  $\gamma$ -carboxylation products 3a-c in 46-57% yields. Furthermore, alkenes with an  $\alpha$ -alkynyl moiety worked well to give the desired acids, 3d and 3e in 48 and 65% yields, respectively. Trisubstituted alkenes were further investigated, furnishing corresponding acids 3f-i in 40-56% yields. Cyclic alkenes based on 1,2-dihydronaphthalene skeleton were amenable, giving 3j and 3k in 52 and 55% yields, respectively. To our delight, several complex substrates derived from β-p-glucose, estrone and fructose also reacted well, affording the desired adducts 4a-c in reasonable yields. These results clearly demonstrated the good functional group tolerance of our method. For some substrates indicated in Table 2, the addition of H<sub>2</sub>O was beneficial for the reaction yield, but the reason for this is not clear.20

Given that a wide range of functional groups are tolerated, such as alkene, alkyne, and halogen groups, this methodology should be orthogonal to classical cross-coupling chemistry, which would further extend its synthetic utility. Also noteworthy was the perfect regioselectivity: only  $\gamma$ -carboxylation occurred for all the reactions discussed above, and no  $\alpha$ -carboxylation products were detected. In addition, for substrates bearing a fluoro, CF<sub>3</sub>, or OCF<sub>3</sub> group, possessing different sp<sup>2</sup> or sp<sup>3</sup> C–F bonds, the defluorinative carboxylation occurred at the  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkenes moiety exclusively.

To further demonstrate the practicability of the developed electrochemical carboxylation, a gram-scale reaction of **1a** was conducted on a 6.0 mmol scale, and the product **2a** was isolated in 0.93 g with comparable yield (78%; Scheme 2). Moreover, the thus obtained carboxylic acid could be readily elaborated to valuable fluorine-containing molecules. Under Pd/C catalysis, the alkene moiety of **2a** could be readily hydrogenated to give  $\beta$ -difluoromethyl carboxylic acid **5** in 90% yield. The methylation and subsequent TBAF promoted olefin isomerization delivered **6** in 78% yield. Condensation with amine afforded direct access

to amide 7 in 92% yield, and reduction of the carboxylic acid moiety with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> delivered alcohol **8** in 82% yield. The  $\alpha$ -fluoro dihydrofuran **9** could be obtained in 40% yield *via* LiAlH<sub>4</sub>-mediated reduction and base-promoted defluorinative cyclization.

The reaction mechanism was then studied experimentally and computationally to shed light on the two attractive features of our protocol: the  $\gamma$ -carboxylation complementary to the  $\alpha$ carboxylation obtained by copper catalysis,<sup>7b</sup> and the obviation of a sacrificial anode. First, cyclic voltammetry (CV) analyses were conducted to investigate the electrochemical process on the cathode (Fig. 1).

For the CV of  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> styrene **1a**, a one-electron reduction peak in the potential at -2.69 V and a second at -2.94 V was observed (green line), whereas at a potential of -2.69 V, the reduction current of CO<sub>2</sub> was less than 0.1 mA (blue line), indicating that 1a is easier to reduce than CO<sub>2</sub>. After the solution of 1a was saturated with  $CO_2$  (pink line), only one reduction peak was observed at -2.81 V with an associated peak current increase from 0.21 to 0.36 mA (ca. 1.7 times). The influence of potential on the reaction was further studied by constant potential electrolysis. When the reaction was conducted with cathode potential less than -2.70 V, the yield decreased gradually (Table S7 in ESI<sup>†</sup>). These results suggested that an ECEC process might be involved, in which a radical anion that could react immediately with CO<sub>2</sub> might be generated after the first one-electron electroreduction, then the second electron transfer is facilitated at a less negative potential thus leading to a significant increase in current observed. Accordingly, since a different species is being reduced in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub>, the second peak at -2.94 V is not observed.<sup>21</sup> Due to the higher stability of the tertiary alkyl radical, after the first one-electron electroreduction, carboxylation at the less substituted carbon of the alkene moiety should be favored.

To gain more evidence for the intermediacy of a radical anion, we subjected **1a** to electrocarboxylation conditions in the presence of several known radical traps. Unfortunately, we were unable to trap the putative radical anion generated *via* the single-electron reduction or tertiary alkyl radical formed after the addition of  $CO_2$ . This might be because the radical



Scheme 2 Synthetic elaboration of 2a.



Fig. 1 CV analysis of 1a. <sup>*n*</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> (0.07 M) in DMF as electrolyte and a 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup> scan rate using a Pt disk WE and Pt pillar CE along with Ag/AgNO<sub>3</sub> as RE.



Scheme 3 Control experiments. Standard conditions: constant current electrolysis under 8 mA using Pt-plate as cathode and anode with  $^{n}Bu_{4}NClO_{4}$  (0.07 M) in DMF, 7 hours.

reduction and subsequent defluorination are favored under electroreduction conditions. However, the addition of 2.0 equivalents of TEMPO to the reaction in the absence of  $CO_2$  led to the formation of TEMPO adduct **10**, in 40% yield. Furthermore, when the reaction was conducted in the absence of TEMPO and  $CO_2$ , the allylic radical dimerization product **11**, was obtained in 33% yield (Scheme 3). These results suggested that the radical anion was involved during the reaction and that its defluorination produced the allylic radical.

Subsequently, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed as shown in Fig. 2. The results revealed that the reaction of  $CO_2$  with radical anion I, generated *via* one-electron reduction of  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkene, was thermodynamically spontaneous with a low free-energy barrier of 8.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Defluorination or protonation of radical anion I had higher free energy barriers of 13.3 and 19.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These results are consistent with the experimental data and give a good explanation for the high regioselectivity and chemical selectivity of the reaction process.

To identify the sacrificial reductant on the anode, we analyzed the reaction mixture of **1a** with CO<sub>2</sub> directly without acidification, and detected a DMF-protected carboxylate **12** (Scheme 3). This result suggested that a Shono oxidation of DMF might occur.<sup>22</sup> Considering that water has a lower oxidation potential than DMF (1.23 and 1.9 V *vs.* SHE, respectively),<sup>23</sup> it was more likely to act as sacrificial reductant.<sup>14b</sup> Inspired by Chen's work,<sup>24</sup> the capture of oxygen generated *via* the potential anode oxidation of H<sub>2</sub>O was conducted using labeled H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O as additive. However, probably due to oxygen exchange of H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O with CO<sub>2</sub> *via* the formation of H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, only the release of <sup>16</sup>O<sub>2</sub> was detected (for details, see ESI<sup>†</sup>).

Based on the above investigation of the mechanism, a putative reaction pathway was proposed, as shown in Fig. 3. Initially, a one-electron reduction of  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkene generated the corresponding radical anion **I**, which reacted immediately with CO<sub>2</sub> at the  $\gamma$ -position to give tertiary alkyl radical **II**. The secondary, one-electron reduction was then followed by a defluorination



Fig. 2 DFT calculations. Gibbs free-energy profile for possible reaction channels at the IEFPCM-M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p) level in solvent DMF.



Fig. 3 Proposed reaction mechanism.

process to form carboxylate anion **V**. Meanwhile, the oxidation of DMF or  $H_2O$  occurred at the anode, delivering the imine cation or hydrogen cation, both of which can interact with carboxylate anion **V** to yield the protected carboxylates or deliver the carboxylic acids directly.

#### Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a regioselective electrochemical  $\gamma$ -carboxylation of  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkenes using CO<sub>2</sub> in a user-friendly undivided cell under constant current conditions, without the sacrifice of the anode. Both di- and trisubstituted  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub> alkenes work well to afford structurally diverse vinylacetic acids bearing a *gem*-difluoroalkene moiety in acceptable yields under mild conditions, with good tolerance of functional groups. Notably, this protocol constitutes a rare example of using an electrochemical process to secure regioselectivity that differs from that of the metal-catalyzed process, suggesting the potential of the electrochemistry approach for divergent synthesis. The application of this atom-economical electrochemical method for the synthesis of a diverse range of fluorine-containing carboxylic

acids from well-known greenhouse gases  $CO_2$  and hydro-fluorocarbons,<sup>25</sup> is now in progress.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The financial support from NSFC (21871090), the Ministry of Education (PCSIRT) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities are highly appreciated. We thank Prof. Tian-Sheng Mei at Shanghai institute of Organic Chemistry for the guidance on electrochemical techniques and Prof. Xu Cheng in Nanjing University for the help in mechanism study.

## Notes and references

- 1 (a) T. Sakakura, J.-C. Choi and H. Yasuda, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 2365; (b) M. Aresta, Carbon Dioxide as Chemical Feedstock, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2010; (c) K. Huang, C.-L. Sun and Z.-J. Shi, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 2435; (d) R. Martin and A. W. Kleij, ChemSusChem, 2011, 4, 1259; (e) Q. Liu, L. Wu, R. Jackstell and M. Beller, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 5933; (f) X.-B. Lu, Carbon Dioxide and Organometallics, Springer, Switzerland, 2016; (g) Y. Yang and J.-W. Lee, Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 3905; (h) M. D. Burkart, N. Hazari, C. L. Tway and E. L. Zeitler, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 7937; (i) S.-S. Yan, Q. Fu, L.-L. Liao, G.-Q. Sun, J.-H. Ye, L. Gong, Y.-Z. Bo-Xue and D.-G. Yu, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2018, 374, 439; (j) P. Xu, S.-Y. Wang, Y. Fang and S.-J. Ji, Chin. J. Org. Chem., 2018, 38, 1626; (k) Z. Zhang, L. Gong, X.-Y. Zhou, S.-S. Yan, J. Li and D.-G. Yu, Acta Chim. Sinica, 2019, 77, 783.
- 2 For reviews, see: (a) M. Börjesson, T. Moragas, D. Gallego and R. Martin, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 6739; (b) S. Wang, G. Du and C. Xi, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, 14, 3666; (c) A. Tortajada, F. Juliá-Hernández, M. Börjesson, T. Moragas and R. Martin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 15948; (d) C. S. Yeung, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 5492; (e) W. Zhang, C. Guo and X. Lü, Chin. J. Catal., 2016, 37, 215; (f) Y.-G. Chen, X.-T. Xu, K. Zhang, Y.-Q. Li, L.-P. Zhang, P. Fang and T.-S. Mei, Synthesis, 2018, 50, 35; (g) Z. Zhang, J.-H. Ye, T. Ju, L.-L. Liao, H. Huang, Y.-Y. Gui, W.-J. Zhou and D.-G. Yu, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 10871.
- 3 For selected examples, see: (a) A. Correa and R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 15974; (b) T. Fujihara, K. Nogi, T. Xu, J. Terao and Y. Tsuji, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 9106; (c) T. León, A. Correa and R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 1221; (d) Y. Liu, J. Cornella and R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 11212; (e) S. Zhang, W.-Q. Chen, A. Yu and L.-N. He, ChemCatChem, 2015, 7, 3972; (f) M. Börjesson, T. Moragas and R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 7504; (g) Q.-Y. Meng, S. Wang and B. König, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 13426; (h) K. Shimomaki, K. Murata, R. Martin and N. Iwasawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 9467; (i) F. Juliá-Hernández, T. Moragas,

- J. Cornella and R. Martin, *Nature*, 2017, 545, 84; (*j*) L.-L. Liao, G.-M. Cao, J.-H. Ye, G.-Q. Sun, W.-J. Zhou, Y.-Y. Gui, S.-S. Yan, G. Shen and D.-G. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, 140, 17338; (*k*) D. J. Charboneau, G. W. Brudvig, N. Hazari, H. M. C. Lant and A. K. Saydjari, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, 9, 3228; (*l*) H. Wang, Y. Gao, C. Zhou and G. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, 142, 8122; (*m*) Y.-G. Chen, B. Shuai, C. Ma, X.-J. Zhang, P. Fang and T.-S. Mei, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, 19, 2969; (*n*) W.-J. Zhou, Z.-H. Wang, L.-L. Liao, Y.-X. Jiang, K.-G. Cao, T. Ju, Y. Li, G.-M. Cao and D.-G. Yu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, 11, 3263.
- 4 (a) J. L. Kiplinger, T. G. Richmond and C. E. Osterbeg, *Chem. Rev.*, 1994, 94, 373; (b) H. Amii and K. Uneyama, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, 109, 2119; (c) T. Stahl, H. F. T. Klare and M. Oestreich, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, 3, 1578; (d) T. Ahrens, J. Kohlmann, M. Ahrens and T. Braun, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, 115, 931.
- 5 (a) D. O'Hagan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 308; (b) T. Fujita, K. Fuchibe and J. Ichikawa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 390.
- 6 (a) H.-J. Lehmler, M. O. Oyewumi, M. Jay and P. M. Bummer, J. Fluorine Chem., 2001, 107, 141; (b) Q. Zhu, D. Ji, T. Liang, X. Wang and Y. Xu, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 3798; (c) J. I. Juncosa, K. Takaya, H. V. Le, M. J. Moschitto, P. M. Weerawarna, R. Mascarenhas, D. Liu, S. L. Dewey and R. B. Silverman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 2151; (d) H. Pinfold, C. Greenland, G. Pattison and G. Costantini, Chem. Commun., 2020, 56, 125–128.
- 7 (a) C. Zhu, Y.-F. Zhang, Z.-Y. Liu, L. Zhou, H. Liu and C. Feng, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 6721; (b) S.-S. Yan, D.-S. Wu, J.-H. Ye, L. Gong, X. Zeng, C.-K. Ran, Y.-Y. Gui, J. Li and D.-G. Yu, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 6987; (c) S.-L. Xie, X.-Y. Cui, X.-T. Gao, F. Zhou, H.-H. Wu and J. Zhou, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2019, **6**, 3678.
- 8 (a) Y. Pan, J. Qiu and R. B. Silverman, J. Med. Chem., 2003, 46, 5292; (b) S. Messaoudi, B. Tréguier, A. Hamze, O. Provot, J.-F. Peyrat, J. R. De Losada, J.-M. Liu, J. Bignon, J. Wdzieczak-Bakala, S. Thoret, J. Dubois, J.-D. Brion and M. Alami, J. Med. Chem., 2009, 52, 4538; (c) P. V. der Veken, K. Senten, I. Kertèsz, I. De Meester, A.-M. Lambeir, M.-B. Maes, S. Scharpé, A. Haemers and K. Augustyns, J. Med. Chem., 2005, 48, 1768.
- 9 (a) G. Chelucci, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, 112, 1344; (b) X. Zhang and
  S. Cao, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2017, 58, 375; (c) S. Koley and
  R. A. Altman, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 2020, 60, 313.
- 10 (a) J. B. Sperry and D. L. Wright, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2006, 35, 605; (b) A. Jutand, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 2300; (c) J.-i. Yoshida, K. Kataoka, R. Horcajada and A. Nagaki, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 2265; (d) R. Francke and R. D. Little, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 2492; (e) M. Yan, Y. Kawamata and P. S. Baran, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 13230; (f) J.-i. Yoshida, A. Shimizu and R. Hayashi, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 4702; (g) C. Ma, P. Fang and T.-S. Mei, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 7179; (h) K. D. Moeller, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 4817; (i) Y. Yuan and A. Lei, Acc. Chem. Res., 2019, 52, 3309; (j) F. Marken and J. D. Wadhawan, Acc. Chem. Res., 2019, 52, 3325; (k) P. Xiong and H.-C. Xu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2019, 52, 3339; (l) K.-J. Jiao, Y.-K. Xing, Q.-L. Yang, H. Qiu

and T.-S. Mei, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **53**, 300; (*m*) J. C. Siu, N. Fu and S. Lin, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **53**, 547.

- 11 (a) E. J. Horn, B. R. Rosen and P. S. Baran, ACS Cent. Sci., 2016, 2, 302; (b) A. Wiebe, T. Gieshoff, S. Möhle, E. Rodrigo, M. Zirbes and S. R. Waldvogel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 5594; (c) S. Möhle, M. Zirbes, E. Rodrigo, T. Gieshoff, A. Wiebe and S. R. Waldvogel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 6018; (d) Y. Jiang, K. Xu and C. Zeng, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 4485; (e) S. R. Waldvogel, S. Lips, M. Selt, B. Riehl and C. J. Kampf, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 6706; (f) M. D. Kärkäs, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2018, 47, 5786; also see ref. 10e.
- 12 For reviews, see: (a) B. P. Sullivan, K. Krist and H. E. Guard, Electrochemical and Electrocatalytic Reactions of Carbon Dioxide, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1993; (b) R. Matthessen, J. Fransaer, K. Binnemans and D. E. De Vos, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2014, 10, 2484; (c) L. Rossi, Curr. Green Chem., 2015, 2, 77; (d) H. Senboku and A. Katayama, Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry, 2017, 3, 50; (e) Y. Cao, X. He, N. Wang, H.-R. Li and L.-N. He, Chin. J. Chem., 2018, 36, 644.
- 13 See ref. 11c: "a drawback of electrochemical carboxylations is that sacrificial electrodes are required". Also see ref. 12e "the general utilization for a sacrificial anode in current electrochemical  $CO_2$  fixation should be avoided in order to prevent generation of stoichiometric metal waste".
- 14 (a) A. Alkayal, V. Tabas, S. Montanaro, I. A. Wright,
  A. V. Malkov and B. R. Buckley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020,
  142, 1780; (b) A. M. Sheta, M. A. Mashaly, S. B. Said,
  S. S. Elmorsy, A. V. Malkov and B. R. Buckley, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, 11, 9109.
- 15 For other elegant electrochemical carboxylation bearing non-sacrificial anode, see: (a) D.-T. Yang, M. Zhu, Z. J. Schiffer, K. Williams, X. Song, X. Liu and K. Manthiram, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 4699; (b) L. Muchez, D. E. De Vos and M. Kim, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 15860; (c) R. Matthessen, J. Fransaer, K. Binnemans and D. E. De Vos, ChemElectroChem, 2015, 2, 73; (d) H. Tateno, Y. Matsumura, K. Nakabayashi, H. Senboku and M. Atobe, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 98721; (e) X. Gao, G. Yuan, H. Chen, H. Jiang, Y. Li and C. Qi, Electrochem. Commun., 2013, 34, 242.

- 16 C. Saboureau, M. Troupel, S. Sibille and J. Périchon, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 1138.
- 17 (a) X.-T. Gao, C.-C. Gan, S.-Y. Liu, F. Zhou, H.-H. Wu and J. Zhou, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 8588; (b) F. Zhou, S.-L. Xie, X.-T. Gao, R. Zhang, C.-H. Wang, G.-Q. Yin and J. Zhou, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 3908; (c) X.-T. Gao, S.-L. Xie, F. Zhou, H.-H. Wu and J. Zhou, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 14303; (d) S. Xie, X. Gao, F. Zhou, H. Wu and J. Zhou, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2020, 31, 324.
- 18 C. Kingston, M. D. Palkowitz, Y. Takahira, J. C. Vantourout, B. K. Peters, Y. Kawamata and P. S. Baran, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, 53, 72.
- 19 (a) D. Seebach, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 2019, 102, e1900072; (b)
  M. C. Leech and K. Lam, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, 53, 121.
- 20 Considering that the H<sub>2</sub>O possesses an oxidation potential of 1.23 V vs. SHE, which might act as sacrificial oxidant to avoid the unexpected oxidation. See: J. J. Medvedev, X. V. Medvedeva, F. Li, T. A. Zienchuk and A. Klinkova, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 19631.
- 21 (a) A. J. Bard and L. R. Faulkner, *Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 2nd edn, 2001; (b) N. Elgrishi, K. J. Rountree, B. D. McCarthy, E. S. Rountree, T. T. Eisenhart and J. L. Dempsey, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 2018, **95**, 197; (c) C. Sandford, M. A. Edwards, K. J. Klunder, D. P. Hickey, M. Li, K. Barman, M. S. Sigman, H. S. White and S. D. Minteer, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 6404.
- 22 (*a*) S. D. Ross, M. Finkelstein and R. C. Petersen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1964, 86, 2745; (*b*) P. Alfonso-Súarez, A. V. Kolliopoulos, J. P. Smith, C. E. Banks and A. M. Jones, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2015, 56, 6863; (*c*) H. Senboku, K. Nagakura, T. Fukuhara and S. Hara, *Tetrahedron*, 2015, 71, 3850.
- 23 T. S. Rodrigues, M. Zhao, T.-H. Yang, K. D. Gilroy, A. G. M. da Silva, P. H. C. Camargo and Y. Xia, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2018, 24, 16944.
- 24 X. Liu, R. Liu, J. Qiu, X. Cheng and G. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 13962.
- 25 (a) J. Hansen, M. Sato, R. Ruedy, A. Lacis and V. Oinas, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2000, 97, 9875; (b) G. J. M. Velders, D. W. Fahey, J. S. Daniel, M. McFarland and S. O. Andersen, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2009, 106, 10949.