# Effect of diurnal variation, *CYP2B6* genotype and age on the pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in African children

# Andrzej Bienczak<sup>1</sup>, Adrian Cook<sup>2</sup>, Lubbe Wiesner<sup>1</sup>, Veronica Mulenga<sup>3</sup>, Cissy Kityo<sup>4</sup>, Addy Kekitiinwa<sup>5</sup>, A. Sarah Walker<sup>2</sup>, Andrew Owen<sup>6</sup>, Diana M. Gibb<sup>2</sup>, David Burger<sup>7</sup>, Helen McIlleron<sup>1</sup> and Paolo Denti<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa; <sup>2</sup>MRC Clinical Trials Unit at University College London, London, UK; <sup>3</sup>Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, University Teaching Hospital, Lusaka, Zambia; <sup>4</sup>Joint Clinical Research Centre, Kampala, Uganda; <sup>5</sup>Baylor College of Medicine Bristol-Myers Squibb Children's Clinical Centre of Excellence, Kampala, Uganda/Gulu Regional Centre of Excellence, Gulu, Uganda; <sup>6</sup>Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK; <sup>7</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

\*Corresponding author. Tel: +27-21-404-7719; Fax: +27-21-448-1989; E-mail: paolo.denti@uct.ac.za

Received 6 May 2016; returned 11 July 2016; revised 16 August 2016; accepted 17 August 2016

**Objectives:** To characterize the effects of *CYP2B6* polymorphisms, diurnal variation and demographic factors on nevirapine pharmacokinetics in African children.

**Methods:** Non-linear mixed-effects modelling conducted in NONMEM 7.3 described nevirapine plasma concentration-time data from 414 children aged 0.3–15 years.

**Results:** Nevirapine pharmacokinetics was best described using a one-compartment disposition model with elimination through a well-stirred liver model accounting for a first-pass effect and transit-compartment absorption. Intrinsic clearance was affected by diurnal variation (characterized using a cosine function with peak amplitude 29% at 12 noon) and *CYP2B6* metabolizer status [extensive metabolizer (EM) 516GG|983TT, reference; intermediate metabolizer (IM) 516GT|983TT or 516GG|983TC, 17% lower; slow metabolizer (SM) 516TT|983TT or 516GT|983TC, 50% lower; ultra-slow metabolizer (USM) 516GG|983CC, 68% lower]. Age was found to affect pre-hepatic bioavailability: 31.7% lower at birth and increasing exponentially. Median (90% CI) evening  $C_{min}$  values in the different metabolizer groups were 5.01 (3.01–7.47), 6.55 (3.65–13.32), 11.59 (5.44–22.71) and 12.32 (12.32–27.25) mg/L, respectively. Evening  $C_{min}$  values were <3 mg/L in 43% of EM weighing <6 kg and 26% of IM weighing <6 kg, while 73% of SM and 88% of USM in all weight-bands had evening  $C_{min}$  values >8 mg/L.  $C_{min}$  was not markedly affected by administration time, but was altered by unequal splitting of the daily dose.

**Conclusions:** Diurnal variation does not greatly affect nevirapine exposure. However, when daily doses cannot be split equally, the larger dose should be given in the morning. To achieve homogeneous exposures, nevirapine doses for SM and USM should be reduced by 50%, and children weighing <6 kg with EM or IM metabolizer status should receive the same dose as children weighing 6-10 kg.

# Introduction

Nevirapine was the first NNRTI available in low-income countries in a generic paediatric fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablet. This contributed to substantial cost reductions and improved the feasibility of treating HIV-infected children, and nevirapine is still widely used in resource-limited settings.<sup>1-4</sup> Nevirapine has several advantageous characteristics: it has fewer drug interactions than PIs, it does not cause adverse CNS events when compared with efavirenz, and its bioavailability is not affected by food.<sup>5</sup>

Despite its high potency, nevirapine has a low genetic barrier for mutations and suboptimal drug exposures increase the risks of developing drug resistance and treatment failure.<sup>6,7</sup> Several studies have reported highly variable nevirapine concentrations, with levels <3 mg/L among children in the lower paediatric weight-bands when dosed according to WHO guidelines, increasing the risk of virological failure.<sup>1,2,8–12</sup> Nevirapine concentrations >8 mg/L, on the other hand, were associated with an increased risk of treatment discontinuation due to adverse events among adults.<sup>7</sup> However, paediatric studies quantifying nevirapine pharmacokinetic variability due to different sources and suggesting optimization of current dosing remain limited.<sup>8,13,14</sup>

Nevirapine has a complex metabolism mediated mainly by CYP3A4- and CYP2B6-coded enzymes.<sup>15</sup> SNPs present in CYP2B6

<sup>©</sup> The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

(516G>T and 983T>C) were identified as the main source of nevirapine variability in adults, 16-18 as for efavirenz. 4,18,19 The prevalence of 516G > T loss of function (LOF) polymorphisms differs between populations and is particularly high in black Africans, whereas 983T>C variants are not observed among Caucasians.<sup>4,18,19</sup> In our previous investigation of efavirenz pharmacokinetics in African children, we showed that extensive metabolizers (EM; CYP2B6 516GG|983TT genotype) are at higher risk of developing subtherapeutic efavirenz concentrations.<sup>20</sup> A similar investigation of differences in nevirapine exposures between various metabolizer groups when dosed by weight-band according to current WHO guidelines has not yet been conducted in children. CYP2B6 expression may be further modified by polymorphisms in genes coding nuclear receptors CAR (NR1|3) and PXR (NR1|2),<sup>21,22</sup> although this has not been proved for nevirapine.<sup>2</sup>

The effect of the *CYP3A4* pathway on nevirapine pharmacokinetics is less studied. Although not confirmed for nevirapine, systemic exposures of *CYP3A* substrates have been shown to be altered by SNPs rs35599367 (*CYP3A4\*22*)<sup>24,25</sup> and rs776746 (*CYP3A5\*1*).<sup>26,27</sup> Additionally, *CYP3A* activity exhibits diurnal variation, with nevirapine clearance rates increasing during the day and reducing at night.<sup>28,29</sup> Differences between morning (AM) and evening (PM) nevirapine trough concentrations (*C*<sub>min</sub>) have been previously reported<sup>30</sup> and may relate to diurnal variation in the *CYP3A*-mediated effects on pharmacokinetics.

The aim of this analysis was: (i) to model the steady-state population pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in the largest cohort of African children studied so far; (ii) to quantify demographic and genotypic effects on nevirapine disposition; (iii) to characterize the effect of diurnal variation on nevirapine exposures under various dosing scenarios; and (iv) to propose optimal dosing strategies for this population.

# Methods

In this analysis, sparsely sampled data from the CHAPAS-3 trial (Children with HIV in Africa—Pharmacokinetics and Adherence of Simple Antiretroviral Regimens)<sup>31</sup> was enriched with intensive data from an earlier pharmacokinetic sub-study<sup>1</sup> (part of CHAPAS-1).<sup>32</sup> Both studies were conducted in African children from Uganda and Zambia, as briefly described below.

#### CHAPAS-1

The trial evaluated dosing of, and adherence to, new paediatric FDC tablets: Triomune Baby (50 mg nevirapine, 6 mg stavudine and 30 mg lamivudine) and Junior (100 mg nevirapine, 12 mg stavudine and 60 mg lamivudine) in children <14 years dosed twice daily according to WHO 2006 guidelines.<sup>33</sup> When the daily dose could not be split equally, the larger dose was given at night.

Children in the pharmacokinetic sub-study were sampled on one occasion at least 4 weeks after starting treatment. Samples were taken immediately prior to giving the morning dose and 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 12 h afterwards. The time of the preceding evening dose was assumed to be 12 h before the morning dose. Samples were stored and assayed using ultra HPLC with UV detection at the Department of Pharmacy of the Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. The method was linear over the range of 0.1–10 mg/L. The average intra-assay and inter-assay coefficients of variation (CV) and relative error (RE) were 2.9%, 2.4% and 97%, respectively.<sup>34</sup>

# CHAPAS-3

Pharmacokinetics, toxicity, acceptability, adherence and virological efficacy were compared between three first-line antiretroviral regimens in children 13 years or younger.<sup>31</sup> Depending on treatment allocation, patients received: Triomune Baby, Triomune Junior, Duovir-N Baby (50 mg nevirapine, 60 mg zidovudine and 30 mg lamivudine) or nevirapine (100 mg)—all paediatric formulations; or Duovir-N (200 mg nevirapine, 300 mg zidovudine and 200 mg lamivudine) or Triomune30 (200 mg nevirapine, 30 mg stavudine and 150 mg lamivudine), formulated for adults. Nevirapine-based regimens were dosed twice daily according to WHO 2010 guidelines.<sup>35</sup> When the daily dose could not be split equally, the larger dose was given in the morning.

Children on nevirapine were sampled during clinic visits at week 6, week 36 and every 24 weeks thereafter until the end of the study; at each visit two samples were taken at least 2 h apart. The self-reported times of the morning and penultimate doses were recorded. Samples were stored and analysed by LC-tandem MS at the Division of Clinical Pharmacology, University of Cape Town, South Africa. The method was linear over the range of 0.0195–20 mg/L. The average intra-assay and inter-assay CV and RE were 2.9%, 2.4% and 97%, respectively.

#### Genotyping

Genotyping was performed only on patients from CHAPAS-3 by allelic discrimination real-time PCR assay on a DNA Engine Chromo4 system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). The PCR protocol involved an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 15 min, followed by 50 cycles of amplification at 95°C for 15 s and final annealing at 60°C for 1 min. TagMan<sup>®</sup> Genotyping Master Mix and assays for CYP2B6 516G>T (rs3745274; ID: C 7817765 60), CYP2B6 983T>C (rs28399499; ID: C 60732328 20), CYP2B6 15582C>T C 7817764 10), CYP3A4\*22 (rs4803419; ID: (rs35599367, C 59013445 10), CYP3A5 6986G>A (rs776746, C 59013445 10), NR1I3 (rs3003596, C 16194070 10 and rs2307424, C 25746794 20), NR1I2 63396C>T (rs2472677, C\_26079845\_10), and ABCC10 (rs2125739, 16173668 10) were obtained from Life Technologies Ltd (Paisley, UK). Opticon Monitor<sup>®</sup> version 3.1 (Bio-Rad Laboratories) was used to obtain allelic discrimination plots and make allele calls.

The distribution of the genotypes was tested for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium using the exact test in the R 'genetics' package.

#### Population pharmacokinetic analysis

#### Model building

The steady-state pharmacokinetics of nevirapine was analysed using nonlinear mixed-effects modelling with NONMEM 7.3<sup>36</sup> and the first-order conditional estimation method with interaction. PsN 4.4.0, Pirana and Xpose were used to facilitate modelling and for model diagnostics.<sup>37</sup> Model building was conducted starting with intensive pharmacokinetic data from CHAPAS-1 followed by sparse data from CHAPAS-3.<sup>38</sup> The stepwise process was guided by differences in the NONMEM objective function value (OFV; proportional to -2 log-likelihood), inspection of goodnessof-fit (GOF) plots and visual predictive checks (VPCs), biological plausibility and clinical relevance. OFV drops >3.84 between two hierarchical models after adding one parameter were considered a significant improvement ( $P \le 0.05$ ,  $\chi^2$ -distribution, df=1). The stability and robustness of the final model, together with the precision of parameter estimates, was evaluated using non-parametric bootstrap (n=50, due to long model run times).

The model-derived empirical Bayesian estimates for the individual parameters were used to predict morning and evening  $C_{\min}$  and AUC<sub>0-12</sub> (area under the concentration-time curve between dosing events) at steady state for each sampling occasion and patient.

#### Structural model

One-, two- and three-compartment disposition models with firstorder absorption and elimination were tested, as well as delayed and transit-compartment<sup>39</sup> absorption. A semi-mechanistic well-stirred hepatic extraction model was tested for elimination, as in Gordi *et al.*<sup>40</sup> This hepatic model assumed the following parameters: nevirapine fraction unbound in plasma ( $f_{u}$ ) 40%,<sup>41</sup> hepatic plasma flow (Q<sub>H</sub>) 50 L/h<sup>42</sup> and liver volume (V<sub>H</sub>) 1 L<sup>40</sup> for a typical 70 kg individual (allometrically scaled).

Between-subject variability (BSV) and between-occasion variability (BOV) were tested on all pharmacokinetic parameters assuming lognormal distribution. Residual unexplained variability (RUV) was described using a combined proportional and additive structure. We excluded from the analysis data with uncertain dosage history and nevirapine concentrations below the limit of quantification (BLQ), presumed to be due to noncompliance<sup>38</sup> (confirmed by undetectable concentrations of the companion antiretroviral drugs). Further implausible outliers were identified using visual checks and excluded based on conditional weighted residuals (|CWRESI| > 3).

#### Covariate effects

Allometric scaling was added to the model at an early stage (before covariate testing), as suggested by Anderson and Holford,<sup>43</sup> and applied to all clearance and volume parameters. For intrinsic clearance (CL<sub>int</sub>) and prehepatic bioavailability ( $F_{preH}$ ) we tested the effect of age using a power, hockey-stick, exponential or sigmoidal function with/without Hill coefficient models.<sup>43</sup> The effect of diurnal variations was investigated using step or cosine functions.<sup>29</sup> Besides weight and age, the other covariates tested were: study site, NRTI treatment backbone, sex, weight-forage Z-score (WAZ), height-for-age Z-score (HAZ) and formulation. Pharmacogenetic effects were tested as individual SNPs (rs3745274, rs28399499, rs4803419, rs35599367, rs776746, rs3003596, rs2307424, rs2472677, rs2125739) and as metabolizer status determined by SNPs 516G>T and 983T>C [EM, genotype 516GG|983TT; intermediate metabolizer (IM), single variant allele (516GT|983TT or 516GG|983TC); slow metabolizer (SM), two variant alleles (516TT)983CC or 516GT)983TC); ultra-slow metabolizer (USM), 983CC irrespective of 516G>T genotype].

Mixture modelling with frequencies fixed to those observed in the study population was used to impute missing genotypes (predominantly in CHAPAS-1).<sup>44</sup> Proportionality and correction factors were applied on RUV to test for differences between the assays and laboratories used.

#### Simulations

For the simulation (conducted with NONMEM 7.3), the demographics of the 414 patients (weight 3.5–29.6 kg) from the original analysis were used and enriched with 116 records of individuals weighing 20-35 kg from CDC Growth Charts (age and corresponding median weight used).<sup>45</sup> The final model was used to simulate exposures after nevirapine administration under various dosing scenarios and assuming 3–8 mg/L as the therapeutic range for nevirapine.<sup>46</sup> Each in silico patient was resimulated 100 times, changing their metabolizer status according to the proportions in the study population, which ensured the same distribution in each weight-band. The effect of drug intake time (6:00, 7:00, 8:00, 9:00 AM/PM) and dose-splitting strategies (AM/PM D1:100/50 mg, D2:75/ 75 mg, D3:50/100 mg) was studied in a single patient (0.44 years, 7.2 kg, IM) simulated 1000 times. To avoid generating implausibly extreme values, the maximum variability for each random effect was limited to 3 standard deviations. Data analysis and plot generation was performed usina R.<sup>4</sup>

# Results

#### Demographic characteristics and samples

This analysis included 3305 samples (539 in intensive and 2766 in sparse pharmacokinetic profiles) from 414 African children (78 CHAPAS-1, 330 CHAPAS-3, 6 in both). Baseline demographic characteristics are presented in Table 1; 246 samples were excluded from the analysis (111 due to unclear dosage history, 87 outliers and 48 BLQ). Genotypes were available for 324 children (Table S1, available as Supplementary data at JAC Online); CYP2B6 metabolizer groups were 33.1% EM, 44.6% IM, 21.7% SM and 0.6% USM (Table 2); the mixture-model allocation for the remaining 96 individuals was 41.7% EM, 49.0% IM and 9.4% SM. All tested genotypes were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (Table S1).

#### **Population pharmacokinetics**

Nevirapine pharmacokinetics were best described using onecompartment disposition, absorption through transit compartments

Characteristic	CHAPAS-1	CHAPAS-3	Combined
No. of children	84	336	414
No. of samples included	539	2766	3305
No. of samples excluded (BLQ)	8 (0)	238 (48)	246ª
No. of sampling occasions, <i>n</i> or median (range)	1	3 (1-7)	3 (1-8)
Age (years) <sup>b</sup> , median (range)	6.2 (0.4-15.0)	2.6 (0.3-12.2)	2.92 (0.3-15.0)
Weight (kg) <sup>b</sup> , median (range)	15.75 (3.5–29.0)	11.5 (4.9-29.6)	12.2 (3.5–29.6)
WAZ, median (range)	-1.1 (-4.2-2.0)	-1.7 (-7.2-1.2)	-1.5 (-7.2-2.0)
Male/female, n/n	52/32	177/159	80/89
NRTI, n			
abacavir	0	115	115
stavudine	84	107	191
zidovudine	0	114	114

Six patients rolled over from CHAPAS-1 to CHAPAS-3; all patients were black Africans.

<sup>a</sup>Samples excluded from the analysis: unclear dosage history, 111; implausible (visual check confirmed by |CWRES|>3), 87; and BLQ confirmed by undetectable levels of the companion drugs, 48.

<sup>b</sup>Baseline values.

#### Table 1. Demographic characteristics

AUC<sub>PM</sub> (mg·h/L), median (5th-95th percentile)

AUC<sub>AM</sub> (mg·h/L), median

>8 mg/L<sup>a</sup>, CminPM

3-8 mg/L<sup>a</sup>,

<3 mg/L<sup>a</sup>,

C<sub>minPM</sub> (mg/L), median

C<sub>minAM</sub> (mg/L), median (5th-95th percentile)

Patients, 0%) u

Metabolizer

status

Σ ΣS USM

(5th-95th percentile)

CminPM

(%) и

-minPM (%) U

able 2. Exposures of different metabolic subgroups determined by 516G>T|983T>C SNP vector

(%) U

(5th-95th percentile)

and elimination using the semi-physiological model with firstpass hepatic extraction (Figure 1 and Appendix S1). The final model parameters were estimated relative to pre-hepatic bioavailability (F<sub>preH</sub>, with typical value fixed to 1) and are presented in Table 3. All parameter estimates were found to be reasonably robust and adequate model fit was confirmed through GOF and VPC plots, which showed adequate fit of our model to the analysed data (Figures S1 and S2).

Implementing the well-stirred liver model decreased OFV by 42, without adding extra parameters. The model was parameterized with CL<sub>int</sub> following a circadian rhythm expressed through oscillations of the cosine function with zenith around 12 noon and amplitude of  $\sim$ 29% ( $\Delta$ OFV=-91, df=2, P<0.001) (Figure 2). The model identified distinct pre-hepatic ( $F_{preH}$ ) and hepatic components (F<sub>H</sub>) of bioavailability, since changes in liver activity mechanistically affected also F<sub>H</sub>. The reference value of F<sub>preH</sub> was fixed to 1, and BSV and BOV were estimated. Including the diurnal effect reduced BSV in CL<sub>int</sub> by 34% and BOV in F<sub>preH</sub> by 41%. More details on the model implementation, including formulae explaining the relationship between model parameters, are presented in Appendix S1.

After applying allometric scaling to account for the effect of body size, and including diurnal effects and first-pass metabolism, the most significant covariate was the metabolizer status on CL<sub>int</sub> determined by CYP2B6 516G>T|983T>C genotype ( $\Delta$ OFV = -217, df=3, P<0.001), explaining 85% of remaining BSV in CL<sub>int</sub>. Using six rather than four 516G>T|983T>C SNP-vector metabolizer aroups<sup>20</sup> reduced OFV by only 5 points (df=2, P=0.08) and was therefore not used.

Our data did not support a maturation effect on CL<sub>int</sub>, but we identified age-driven differences in  $F_{\text{preH}}$ , which were described using an exponential model [Equation (7) in Appendix S1]. F<sub>preH</sub> at birth was estimated as 58.3% of the value in older children (reference fixed to 100%), 90% of  $F_{\rm preH}$  was reached by age of  $\sim$ 3.3 years and the half-life of the process was 1.55 years (Figure 3).

The model estimated that an average child weighing 14.5 kg and aged 4.1 years would have  $F_{preH}=93\%$  and their values of oral clearance (CL<sub>oral</sub>, see Appendix S1 and Table S2) were 1.31 L/h EM (reference), 1.09 L/h IM (17% lower), 0.66 L/h SM (50% lower) and 0.42 L/h USM (68% lower). A summary of the individual exposures in children from the CHAPAS-3 trial dosed according to WHO 2010 guidelines<sup>35</sup> is presented in Table 2, split by metabolizer genotype.

Higher uncertainty related to unobserved intake time (for all sparse data and pre-dose samples in intensive data) was accounted for by scaling factors (proportional model) on RUV and BOV F<sub>preH</sub>, which were found to be respectively 1.56 and 1.54 times larger than in pharmacokinetic samples after observed intake.

No other covariates were identified as significant. The remaining stochastic variability in clearance and bioavailability was low (BSV CL\_{int} 21.4%, BSV  $F_{\rm preH}$  18.7% and BOV  $F_{\rm preH}$  17%), but absorption parameters (where no covariates improved model fit) remained highly variable [BOV absorption rate constant ( $K_{\alpha}$ ) 44.9%, BOV absorption mean transit time (MTT) 199.7%].

# Simulations

Simulations were conducted to compare average C<sub>minAM</sub> and  $C_{minPM}$  in weight-bands of African children divided into metabolizer groups and dosed following WHO 2010 recommendations.<sup>35</sup>

152.12 (152.12-337.26)	170.81 (170.81 - 362.97)	7 (87.5)	1 (12.5)	0 (0)	11.71 (11.71–26.43)	12.32 (12.32-27.25)	2 (0.6)
151.27 (71.54 - 287.46)	152.07 (72.42-270.46)	222 (73.0)	78 (25.7)	4 (1.3)	10.91 (5.06-22.44)	11.59 (5.44-22.71)	70 (21.9)
88.60 (50.06-173.72)	88.93 (50.06-173.72)	155 (27.4)	378 (66.8)	33 (5.8)	6.08 (3.25-12.93)	6.55 (3.65–13.32)	141 (44.2)
69.34 (38.65 – 104.42)	68.51 (39.42-104.16)	27 (5.8)	361 (77.6)	77 (16.6)	4.58 (2.53 – 7.03)	5.01 (3.01-7.47)	106 (33.3)

I

EM, 516GG|983TT; IM, 516GG|983TC or 516GT|983TT; SM, 516TT|983TT or 516GT|983TC; USM, 516GG|983CC.

Data for 319 individuals from the CHAPAS-3 trial with available genotype dosed according to WHO 2010 guidelines<sup>35</sup> corresponding to 1343 pharmacokinetic visits. When multiple pharmacokinetic visits were available, measurements were used to calculate the geometric mean for every patient, which were then used to calculate median and percentiles in each subgroup.

Number of C<sub>min</sub> below, within and above the therapeutic range of 3–8 mg/L<sup>.45</sup>



**Figure 1.** Compartmental structure of the nevirapine pharmacokinetic model.  $CL_H$ , hepatic clearance;  $E_H$ , hepatic extraction;  $K_a$ , absorption rate constant;  $Q_H$ , hepatic plasma flow;  $V_H$ , volume of the liver;  $V_C$ , volume of the central compartment. The model parameters and presented relations are explained in detail in Appendix S1.

Table 3. Final parameter estimates (5th-95th percentile)<sup>a</sup>

Parameter	Typical values	Variability (%) <sup>b</sup>
CL <sub>int</sub>		
EM (L/h)	3.27 (3.00-3.69)	BSV CL <sub>int</sub> : 21.40 (20.08-32.46)
IM (L/h)	2.72 (2.27-2.94)	
SM (L/h)	1.65 (1.47-1.89)	
USM (L/h)	1.04 (0.87-1.38)	
AMP (%)	29.2 (27.7-45.2)	
SHIFT (h)	-12.30 (-13.32 to -10.38)	
V <sub>C</sub> (L)	21.92 (20.24–26.23)	
F <sub>preH</sub>		
older children <sup>c</sup>	1 (fixed)	BSV F <sub>preH</sub> : 18.72 (6.59–20.66)
at birth (%)	58.30 (50.48-68.24)	BOV F <sub>preH</sub> : 17.02 (16.12-20.87)
$t_{1/2}$ (years)	1.54 (1.47–2.58)	
Increased BOV $F_{preH}$ for unobserved intake	1.54 (1.20-1.65)	
MTT (h)	0.56 (0.49-0.70)	BOV MTT: 199.73 (177.23-217.70)
K <sub>a</sub> (1/h)	0.84 (0.67-1.12)	BOV K <sub>a</sub> : 44.91 (31.32–50.46)
N <sub>TRANS</sub> (number)	3 (fixed)	
Additive error (mg/L)	0.32 (0.21-0.38)	
Proportional error (%)	5.26 (4.26-6.18)	
Increased error for sparse data	1.56 (1.49-1.81)	

 $CL_{int}$ , intrinsic clearance; AMP, amplitude of cosine function; SHIFT, shift in the zenith of cosine function from midnight; V<sub>C</sub>, volume of central compartment; F<sub>preH</sub>, pre-hepatic bioavailability; N<sub>TRANS</sub>, number of transit compartments (in the implementation of Savic *et al.*<sup>39</sup> this would be NN=2); MTT, absorption mean transit time; K<sub>a</sub>, absorption rate constant; BSV, between-subject variability; BOV, between-occasion variability.

Final parameter estimates are typical population values estimated by the model. All clearance and volume parameters scaled allometrically to the median weight of 14.5 kg.

The number of transit compartments was first estimated and then fixed during the covariate analysis in order to improve model stability. The number was then re-estimated in the final model and proved not to be different from that previously fixed. The equations explaining the relation between presented parameters can be found in Appendix S1.

<sup>a</sup>Estimated from non-parametric bootstrap (n=50) of the final model.

<sup>b</sup>Expressed as approximate %CV on SD scale (√ETA×100).

<sup>c</sup>Older children refers to individuals where no further age-driven increase in bioavailability can be observed (Figure 3).

Average  $C_{\min AM}$  and  $C_{\min PM}$  in weight-bands >6 kg were >3 mg/L for most simulated individuals regardless of metabolizer status (Figure 4a). In contrast, >25% of children in the lowest weight-band (4–6 kg) had  $C_{\min PM}$  below the efficacy threshold

(Figure 4b); this effect was driven mostly by EM and IM (43% and 26% <3 mg/L, respectively).

Given the detected diurnal variation in nevirapine  $CL_{int}$ , we evaluated the effect of administration time (see the Methods



Figure 2. Diurnal variation in nevirapine intrinsic clearance detected by the model, presented over 24 h. The shaded area corresponds to night-time (20.00–08.00 h).



Figure 3. Change in nevirapine pre-hepatic bioavailability with age.

section) on average morning and evening exposures. The changes in median concentration depending on administration time and differences in systemic drug exposures are presented in Figure S3. Depending on administration time, the ratios of morning/evening exposures varied between 1.09-1.15 for  $C_{\rm min}$  and 1.03-1.07 for AUC<sub>0-12</sub>, differences that are unlikely to be clinically significant.

Use of some nevirapine FDCs can lead to unequal splitting of the advised daily dose between morning and evening intakes. Simulation results showed that ratios between simulated median  $C_{\min AM/PM}$  for tested dose-splitting strategies (see the Methods section) were: D1 (larger morning) 0.93, D2 (equal) 1.13 and D3 (larger evening) 1.41; and AUC<sub>0-12</sub> 0.90, 1.04 and 1.22, respectively (Figure S4).

# Discussion

We present the largest investigation to date of nevirapine pharmacogenetics, the first report of the effect of 983CC homozygosity on nevirapine pharmacokinetics and the first study in children to quantify the combined effect of CYP2B6 516G > T|983T > C. Our analysis is also the first to date to characterize the diurnal variation in nevirapine clearance through population pharmacokinetic modelling and to evaluate the effect of this phenomenon on systemic drug exposures through simulations.

The main predictor of nevirapine clearance in our cohort of African children was the combined effect of the CYP2B6 516G>TI983T>C genotype. Oral clearance estimated by our model before adjusting for the CYP2B6-SNPs was 3.8 L/h, comparable to the 3.93 L/h reported previously in children<sup>13</sup> (both scaled up to 70 kg) and the 2.82-3.97 L/h found in adults.<sup>16,17,38,48-53</sup> Comparing the *CYP2B6* 516G > T|983T > C effect with other reports is problematic, since our study is the first to use this categorization with four metabolizer subgroups for nevirapine, although it has been extensively applied to efavirenz.<sup>20,54</sup> The 50% lower nevirapine clearance we detected for SM is greater than the 30% – 37% drop previously reported for 516TT versus 516GG.<sup>8,14,16,17,55,56</sup> Similar to efavirenz,<sup>18,20</sup> the effect of CYP2B6 983CC (recessive homozygosity) on nevirapine pharmacokinetics is of greater magnitude than that of 516TT (68% versus 50% drop). Unsurprisingly, nevirapine clearance is affected to a lesser degree by CYP2B6 polymorphisms than efavirenz in the same population.<sup>20</sup> This can be explained by a different contribution of the CYP3A4 pathway to the metabolism of these drugs.<sup>5</sup>

Diurnal variation has been previously documented for several *CYP3A4* substrates,<sup>58,59</sup> consistently revealing increased clearance rates during the day as compared with during the night.<sup>28,60,61</sup> Our study replicated those findings and detected significantly higher nevirapine clearance during the day, with a maximum at midday. The estimated amplitude of the diurnal variation is somewhat larger than previous reports in *CYP3A4* probes.<sup>28,60</sup> This could be due to the considerable contribution of *CYP2B6* enzymes to nevirapine clearance. Although little is known about the chrono-pharmacokinetics of this pathway, our hypothesis is supported by the fact that CAR, which regulates expression of *CYP2B6*,<sup>21</sup> exhibits a circadian rhythm linked to a 1.7-fold magnitude induction of *CYP2B* mRNA.<sup>62</sup>

Despite the 29% amplitude of diurnal variation in nevirapine clearance, the simulated difference between morning and evening trough exposures was <15%. This lack of effect is due to nevirapine's relatively long half-life (25–30 h at steady-state)<sup>41</sup> in



Nevirapine C<sub>min</sub> (mg/L)



comparison with, for example, PIs, where the reported median difference in troughs is almost 60%.<sup>61</sup> Simulations revealed only a marginal effect of intake time on exposures, but showed that the diurnal variation should be considered when the daily dose of nevirapine cannot be split equally, since 50% difference in the ratio of median  $C_{\rm min}$  AM/PM was found depending on whether the larger dose is given in the morning or evening. To minimize this effect and the risk of suboptimal exposures, uneven splitting should be implemented with the larger dose given in the morning, which is currently not specified in the WHO guidelines.<sup>35</sup>

A further innovation of our study was the use of a semiphysiological well-stirred liver model, allowing the effect of hepatic clearance (expressed as intrinsic clearance) on both systemic clearance and first-pass hepatic extraction to be captured, so that clearance and its covariates affect bioavailability. This model allowed us to separate the pre-hepatic and hepatic components of bioavailability.

A significant degree of variability in nevirapine pharmacokinetics was explained in our model by age-driven differences in prehepatic bioavailability, which possibly overshadowed the expected effect of maturation of the metabolic pathways. A similar effect was found for nevirapine by Foissac *et al.*<sup>13</sup> and reported for other antiretroviral drugs<sup>63</sup> and could hypothetically be caused by reduced drug absorption in neonates and younger children. This may be due to more rapid gastric emptying, smaller gastric volume, higher gastric pH, smaller gastrointestinal absorption area, as well as adherence and palatability issues.<sup>64</sup> This phenomenon could explain the subtherapeutic concentrations seen in the youngest age groups in other paediatric studies.<sup>1,2,8-12</sup> Our simulations show in particular that individuals in the <6 kg weightband who are EM and IM are at risk of suboptimal exposures (observed evening  $C_{min}$  <3 mg/L in 43% and 26% of individuals, respectively).

Despite significant differences in nevirapine pharmacokinetics determined by CYP2B6 genotype, a genotype-driven dose optimization strategy has not been previously suggested. This could be due to the fact that, unlike efavirenz, the relationship between high exposures and toxicity is not strongly apparent.<sup>7,16,65</sup> Nonetheless, suboptimal concentrations are of concern, as they could lead to virological failure.<sup>7-9,13</sup> To prevent suboptimal exposures we suggest the dose for EM and IM in the lowest weightband be increased from 100 to 150 mg. Further harmonization of exposures across metabolizer groups could be achieved by 50% reduction of nevirapine dose for SM and USM in all other weight-bands, as >75% of those children had evening  $C_{\min}$ above the 8 mg/L therapeutic upper limit, although this might be of limited clinical relevance. When the daily dose cannot be split equally, larger doses should be given in the morning. The simulated C<sub>min</sub> based on this dose-optimization approach are presented in Figure 4(c). We acknowledge, however, that practical implementation of such a strategy in resource-limited settings would be hindered by restricted access to genotyping and current use of FDCs.

Our study has several limitations. The therapeutic range for nevirapine used in our analysis has not been previously evaluated in children or in African populations. The intake time for the sparse pharmacokinetic data was self-reported and might be inaccurate, given the large variability in absorption parameters, and could inflate the magnitude of the detected diurnal variation. We tried to minimize this effect by excluding samples with uncertain dosage information and BLQ. The detected diurnal effect could hypothetically be further affected by food intake, which was not recorded in our study. However, food has been previously reported not to modify nevirapine bioavailability or clearance.<sup>5</sup> Additionally, the analysed trials differed in the morning/evening dose-splitting strategy (see the Methods section), but the model-based approach we employed accounts for this difference.

#### Conclusions

This is the first study quantifying the combined effect of *CYP2B6* 516G>T|983T>C on nevirapine clearance in children and classifying metabolizers into four metabolic groups (EM, IM, SM and USM). To prevent subtherapeutic exposures, EM and IM children weighing <6 kg should receive same the dose as those in the 6–10 kg weight-band. Further homogenization of exposures can be achieved by reducing the current recommended dose for SM and USM by 50% in other weight-bands. Additionally, we characterized the effect of diurnal variation on nevirapine pharmacokinetics, and found that it is of limited clinical relevance, possibly due to nevirapine's long half-life. However, this phenomenon should be taken into consideration when daily doses cannot be split equally and larger doses should be given in the morning.

# Acknowledgements

We thank all the patients and the staff from all the centres involved in the CHAPAS-1 and CHAPAS-3 studies. The studies were a joint collaboration of the following institutions: JCRC, Kampala, Uganda; Baylor, Uganda; JCRC, Gulu, Uganda; University Teaching Hospital, School of Medicine, Lusaka, Zambia; MRC CTU, London, UK; Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; and University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa.

The Division of Clinical Pharmacology at the University of Cape Town would like to acknowledge Novartis Pharma for their support of the development of pharmacometric skills in Africa.

# Funding

CHAPAS-1 was supported by the European and Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (EDCTP, 2004.01.H.d2.33011). Cipla Ltd donated first-line antiretrovirals.

CHAPAS-3 was supported by EDCTP (IP.2007.33011.006), Medical Research Council UK, Department for International Development UK and Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo Spain. Cipla Ltd donated first-line antiretrovirals.

The drug assays were supported in part by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health (UM1 AI068634, UM1 AI068636 and UM1 AI106701, U01 AI068632), the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and the National Institute of Mental Health (AI068632).

# **Transparency declarations**

A. B., A. C., V. M., C. K., A. K., A. S. W., D. M. G., D. B. and H. M. have received support through grants from the European Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (EDCTP). A. C., A. K., A. S. W. and D. M. G. have additionally received grants from the Medical Research Council (MRC) UK. H. M. additionally declares support in part by the National Research Foundation of South Africa, grant 90729. A. O. has received support in

form of grants from Janssen, ViiV and Tandem Nano, as well as personal fees from Merck and was issued a patent 'Compositions of efavirenz'. L. W. and P. D.: none to declare.

# Disclaimer

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of any funders.

# Supplementary data

Tables S1 and S2, Appendix S1 and Figures S1–S4 are available as Supplementary data at JAC Online (http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/).

# References

**1** L'homme RF, Kabamba D, Ewings FM *et al*. Nevirapine, stavudine and lamivudine pharmacokinetics in African children on paediatric fixed-dose combination tablets. *AIDS* 2008; **22**: 557–65.

**2** Poerksen G, Pollock L, Moons P *et al.* Steady-state nevirapine, lamivudine and stavudine levels in Malawian HIV-infected children on antiretroviral therapy using split Triomune 30 tablets. *Antivir Ther* 2010; **15**: 343–50.

**3** Vanprapar N, Cressey TR, Chokephaibulkit KPM *et al*. A chewable pediatric fixed-dose combination tablet of lamivudine, and nevirapine: pharmacokinetics and safety compared with the individual liquid formulations in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2010; **29**: 940–4.

**4** Čolić A, Alessandrini M, Pepper MS. Pharmacogenetics of CYP2B6, CYP2A6 and UGT2B7 in HIV treatment in African populations: focus on efavirenz and nevirapine. *Drug Metab Rev* 2015; **47**: 111–23.

**5** Milinkovic A, Martínez E. Nevirapine in the treatment of HIV. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2004; **2**: 367–73.

**6** Veldkamp AI, van Heeswijk RP, Mulder JW *et al.* Limited sampling strategies for the estimation of the systemic exposure to the HIV-1 nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor nevirapine. *Ther Drug Monit* 2001; **23**: 606–11.

**7** de Vries-Sluijs TEMS, Dieleman JP, Arts D *et al*. Low nevirapine plasma concentrations predict virological failure in an unselected HIV-1-infected population. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2003; **42**: 599–605.

**8** Nikanjam M, Kabamba D, Cressey TR *et al*. Nevirapine exposure with WHO pediatric weight band dosing: enhanced therapeutic concentrations predicted based on extensive international pharmacokinetic experience. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012; **56**: 5374–80.

**9** Fillekes Q, Mulenga V, Kabamba D *et al*. Pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in HIV-infected infants weighing 3 kg to less than 6 kg taking paediatric fixed dose combination tablets. *AIDS* 2012; **26**: 1795–800.

**10** Pollock L, Else L, Poerksen G *et al.* Pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in HIV-infected children with and without malnutrition receiving divided adult fixed-dose combination tablets. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; **64**: 1251–9.

**11** Swaminathan S, Ramachandran G, Kupparam HKA *et al.* Factors influencing plasma nevirapine levels: a study in HIV-infected children on generic antiretroviral treatment in India. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011; **66**: 1354–9.

**12** Ellis JC, L'homme RF, Ewings FM *et al.* Nevirapine concentrations in HIV-infected children treated with divided fixed-dose combination antiretroviral tablets in Malawi and Zambia. *Antivir Ther* 2007; **12**: 253–60.

**13** Foissac F, Bouazza N, Frange P *et al.* Evaluation of nevirapine dosing recommendations in HIV-infected children. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2012; **76**: 137–44.

**14** Saitoh A, Sarles E, Capparelli E *et al.* CYP2B6 genetic variants are associated with nevirapine pharmacokinetics and clinical response in HIV-1-infected children. *AIDS* 2007; **21**: 2191–9.

**15** Erickson DA, Mather G, Trager WF *et al*. Characterization of the in vitro biotransformation of the HIV-1 reverse transcriptase inhibitor nevirapine by human hepatic cytochromes P-450. *Drug Metab Dispos* 1999; **27**: 1488–95.

**16** Dickinson L, Chaponda M, Carr DF *et al.* Population pharmacokinetic and pharmacogenetic analysis of nevirapine in hypersensitive and tolerant HIV-infected patients from Malawi. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014; **58**: 706–12.

**17** Schipani A, Wyen C, Mahungu T *et al*. Integration of population pharmacokinetics and pharmacogenetics: an aid to optimal nevirapine dose selection in HIV-infected individuals. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011; **66**: 1332–9.

**18** Wyen C, Hendra H, Vogel M *et al.* Impact of CYP2B6 983T>C polymorphism on non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor plasma concentrations in HIV-infected patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; **61**: 914–8.

**19** Haas DW, Gebretsadik T, Mayo G *et al.* Associations between CYP2B6 polymorphisms and pharmacokinetics after a single dose of nevirapine or efavirenz in African americans. *J Infect Dis* 2009; **199**: 872–80.

**20** Bienczak A, Cook A, Wiesner L *et al*. The impact of genetic polymorphisms on the pharmacokinetics of efavirenz in African children. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2016; **82**: 185–98.

**21** di Masi A, De Marinis E, Ascenzi P *et al*. Nuclear receptors CAR and PXR: molecular, functional, and biomedical aspects. *Mol Aspects Med* 2009; **30**: 297–343.

**22** Wyen C, Hendra H, Siccardi M *et al*. Cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) and constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) polymorphisms are associated with early discontinuation of efavirenz-containing regimens. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011; **66**: 2092–8.

**23** Vardhanabhuti S, Acosta EP, Ribaudo HJ *et al.* Clinical and genetic determinants of plasma nevirapine exposure following an intrapartum dose to prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission. *J Infect Dis* 2013; **208**: 662–71.

**24** Wang D, Guo Y, Wrighton SA *et al*. Intronic polymorphism in CYP3A4 affects hepatic expression and response to statin drugs. *Pharmacogenomics* J 2011; **11**: 274–86.

**25** Elens L, Bouamar R, Hesselink DA *et al.* The new CYP3A4 intron 6 C>T polymorphism (CYP3A4\*22) is associated with an increased risk of delayed graft function and worse renal function in cyclosporine-treated kidney transplant patients. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 2012; **22**: 373–80.

**26** Kuypers DRJ, de Loor H, Naesens M *et al*. Combined effects of CYP3A5\*1, POR\*28, and CYP3A4\*22 single nucleotide polymorphisms on early concentration-controlled tacrolimus exposure in de-novo renal recipients. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 2014; **24**: 597–606.

**27** Monostory K, Tóth K, Kiss Á *et al.* Personalizing initial calcineurin inhibitor dosing by adjusting to donor CYP3A-status in liver transplant patients. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2015; **80**: 1429–37.

**28** Tomalik-Scharte D, Suleiman AA, Frechen S *et al*. Population pharmacokinetic analysis of circadian rhythms in hepatic CYP3A activity using midazolam. *J Clin Pharmacol* 2014; **54**: 1162–9.

**29** van Rongen A, Kervezee L, Brill M *et al.* Population pharmacokinetic model characterizing 24-hour variation in the pharmacokinetics of oral and intravenous midazolam in healthy volunteers. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol* 2015; **4**: 454–64.

**30** van Heeswijk RP, Veldkamp AI, Mulder JW *et al*. The steady-state pharmacokinetics of nevirapine during once daily and twice daily dosing in HIV-1-infected individuals. *AIDS* 2000; **14**: F77–82.

**31** Mulenga V, Musiime V, Kekitiinwa A *et al*. Abacavir, zidovudine, or stavudine as paediatric tablets for African HIV-infected children (CHAPAS-3): an open-label, parallel-group, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2016; **16**: 169–79.

**32** Mulenga V, Cook A, Walker AS *et al.* Strategies for nevirapine initiation in HIV-infected children taking pediatric fixed-dose combination "baby pills" in Zambia: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010; **51**: 1081–9.

**33** WHO. WHO Recommendations on ARV Medicines for Treating and Preventing HIV Infections in Younger Children, Technical Summary. 2006. http://www.who.int/hiv/paediatric/technicalsummary113006.pdf.

**34** Hollanders RM, van Ewijk-Beneken Kolmer EW, Burger D *et al.* Determination of nevirapine, an HIV-1 non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, in human plasma by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. *J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl* 2000; **744**: 65–71.

**35** WHO. Antiretroviral Therapy for HIV Infection in Infants and Children: Towards Universal Access. 2010. http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/paediatric/ infants2010/en/.

**36** Beal S, Sheiner L, Boeckmann A *et al. NONMEM User Guides* (1989–2009). Ellicott City, MD, USA: ICON Development Solutions, 2009.

**37** Keizer RJ, Karlsson MO, Hooker A. Modeling and simulation workbench for NONMEM: tutorial on Pirana, PsN, and Xpose. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol* 2013; **2**: e50.

**38** Svensson E, van Der Walt JS, Barnes KI *et al.* Integration of data from multiple sources for simultaneous modelling analysis: experience from nevirapine population pharmacokinetics. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2012; **74**: 465–76.

**39** Savic RM, Jonker DM, Kerbusch T *et al*. Implementation of a transit compartment model for describing drug absorption in pharmacokinetic studies. *J Pharmacokinet Pharmacodyn* 2007; **34**: 711–26.

**40** Gordi T, Xie R, Huong NV *et al.* A semiphysiological pharmacokinetic model for artemisinin in healthy subjects incorporating autoinduction of metabolism and saturable first-pass hepatic extraction. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2005; **59**: 189–98.

**41** Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH. *Summary of Product Characteristics - Viramune*. http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en\_GB/document\_library/EPAR\_-\_Product\_Information/human/000183/WC500051481.pdf.

**42** Bradley S, Ingelfinger F, Bradley G et *al*. The estimation of hepatic blood flow in man. *J Clin Invest* 1945; **24**: 890–7.

**43** Anderson BJ, Holford NHG. Mechanism-based concepts of size and maturity in pharmacokinetics. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 2008; **48**: 303–32.

**44** Keizer RJ, Zandvliet AS, Beijnen JH *et al*. Performance of methods for handling missing categorical covariate data in population pharmacokinetic analyses. *AAPS J* 2012; **14**: 601–11.

**45** CDC. *Growth Charts.* http://www.cdc.gov/growthcharts/percentile\_data\_files.htm.

**46** La Porte CJL, Back D, Blaschke T *et al*. Updated guideline to perform therapeutic drug monitoring for antiretroviral agents. *Rev Antivir Ther* 2006; **3**: 5–14.

**47** R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.* Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2015. http://www. R-project.org/. **48** Capparelli EV, Aweeka F, Hitti J *et al.* Chronic administration of nevirapine during pregnancy: impact of pregnancy on pharmacokinetics. *HIV Med* 2008; **9**: 214–20.

**49** Chou M, Bertrand J, Segeral O *et al.* Population pharmacokineticpharmacogenetic study of nevirapine in HIV-infected Cambodian patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010; **54**: 4432–9.

**50** Elsherbiny D, Cohen K, Jansson B *et al.* Population pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in combination with rifampicin-based short course chemotherapy in HIV- and tuberculosis-infected South African patients. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2009; **65**: 71–80.

**51** Kappelhoff BS, van Leth F, MacGregor TR *et al*. Nevirapine and efavirenz pharmacokinetics and covariate analysis in the 2NN study. *Antivir Ther* 2005; **10**: 145–55.

**52** Moltó J, Valle M, Miranda C *et al*. Once- or twice-daily dosing of nevirapine in HIV-infected adults: a population pharmacokinetics approach. *J Antimicrobal Chemother* 2008; **62**: 784–92.

**53** Zhou X, Sheiner LB, Aquila RTD *et al*. Population pharmacokinetics of nevirapine, zidovudine, and didanosine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999; **43**: 121–8.

**54** Dooley KE, Denti P, Martinson N *et al.* Pharmacokinetics of efavirenz and treatment of HIV-1 among pregnant women with and without tuberculosis co-infection. *J Infect Dis* 2015; **211**: 197–205.

**55** Kappelhoff BS, van Leth F, Robinson PA *et al*. Are adverse events of nevirapine and efavirenz related to plasma concentrations? *Antivir Ther* 2005; **10**: 489–98.

**56** Lehr T, Yuan J, Hall D *et al*. Integration of absorption, distribution, metabolism, and elimination genotyping data into a population pharmacokinetic analysis of nevirapine. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 2011; **21**: 721–30.

**57** Haas DW, Kwara A, Richardson DM *et al.* Secondary metabolism pathway polymorphisms and plasma efavirenz concentrations in HIV-infected adults with CYP2B6 slow metabolizer genotypes. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2014; **69**: 2175–82.

**58** Eagling VA, Wiltshire H, Whitcombe IWA *et al.* CYP3A4-mediated hepatic metabolism of the HIV-1 protease inhibitor saquinavir in vitro. *Xenobiotica* 2002; **32**: 1–17.

**59** Patki KC, Von Moltke LL, Greenblatt DJ. In vitro metabolism of midazolam, triazolam, nifedipine, and testosterone by human liver microsomes and recombinant cytochromes P450: role of CYP3A4 and CYP3A5. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2003; **31**: 938–44.

**60** Gupta SK, Lindemulder EA, Sathyan G. Modeling of circadian testosterone in healthy men and hypogonadal men. *J Clin Pharmacol* 2000; **40**: 731–8.

**61** Justesen US, Pedersen C. Diurnal variation of plasma protease inhibitor concentrations. *AIDS* 2002; **16**: 2487–9.

**62** Kanno Y, Otsuka S, Hiromasa T *et al*. Diurnal difference in CAR mRNA expression. *Nucl Recept* 2004; **2**: 6.

**63** Best B, Capparelli E, Diep H. Pharmacokinetics of lopinavir/ritonavir crushed versus whole tablets in children. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2011; **58**: 385–91.

**64** L'homme R, Warris A, Gibb D *et al*. Children with HIV are not small adults: what is different in pharmacology? *Curr Opin HIV AIDS* 2007; **2**: 405–9.

**65** Van Leth F, Kappelhoff BS, Johnson D *et al*. Pharmacokinetic parameters of nevirapine and efavirenz in relation to antiretroviral efficacy. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2006; **22**: 232–9.