

Risk factors for restless legs syndrome in hemodialysis patients in Taiwan

A case-control study

Li-Hung Tsai, MS^{a,b,*}, Lai-Chu See, PhD^{c,d,e}, Chu-Chun Chien, MD^b, Chuan-Mei Chen, MS^f, Shu-Hao Chang, MS^c

Abstract

Restless legs syndrome (RLS) increases the risks of cardiovascular disease and death in hemodialysis (HD) patients. Previous studies of risk factors for RLS in HD patients have yielded varying results. We attempted to identify risk factors for RLS in HD patients in Taiwan.

This case–control study recruited 59 HD patients with RLS and 353 HD patients without RLS from the largest HD center in Taiwan during the period from April 1, 2015 through August 31, 2015. Demographic and disease characteristics, information from the International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group (IRLSSG) diagnostic questionnaire, and IRLSSG Severity Scale scores were collected by interview. Clinical laboratory data were abstracted from medical records and then analyzed with logistic regression and Pearson correlation analysis. A *P* value of less than .05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

A dialysis duration of longer than 5 years (odds ratio [OR] = 2.32; 95% CI = 1.23–4.39; P = .002) and a low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level (<40 mg/dL in men; <50 mg/dL in women) (OR = 2.73; 95% CI = 1.44–5.15; P = .009) were associated with increased risk of RLS. Among the 59 patients with RLS, 48 (81.3%) had moderate or severe symptoms (IRLSSG Severity Scale >10), and RLS severity was significantly correlated with dialysis duration (r = .26; P = .043).

Among HD patients, RLS was more common among those receiving dialysis for longer than 5 years and those with a low serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) level.

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, Fe = iron, HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, iPTH = intact parathyroid hormone, LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, RLS = restless legs syndrome, TIBC = total iron-binding capacity, TSAT = percent transferrin saturation.

Keywords: hemodialysis, high-density lipoproteins (HDL), restless legs syndrome (RLS)

Editor: Dominik Steubl.

This study received grant support from the Chang Gung Medical Foundation, Taiwan (no. CMRPF3E0101).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose

^a Department of Nursing, Chang Gung University of Science and Technology, Taoyuan, ^b Department of Nephrology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital at Linkou, ^c Department of Public Health, College of Medicine, ^d Biostatistics Core Laboratory, Molecular Medicine Research Center, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, ^e Division of Rheumatology, Allergy and Immunology, Department of Internal Medicine, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital at Linkou, ^f Department of Nursing, Central Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taichung, Taiwan.

* Correspondence: Li-Hung Tsai, Department of Nursing, Chang Gung University of Science and Technology, 261 Wenhua 1st Road, Guishan, Taoyuan City 33303, Taiwan (e-mail: Ihtsai@gw.cgust.edu.tw).

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Tsai LH, See LC, Chu-Chun, CM, Chang SH. Risk factors for restless legs syndrome in hemodialysis patients in Taiwan: A casecontrol study. Medicine 2019;98:51(e18450).

Received: 9 June 2019 / Received in final form: 8 November 2019 / Accepted: 18 November 2019

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000018450

1. Introduction

Restless legs syndrome (RLS), also known as Will-Ekbom disease, is a neurological sensorimotor disorder associated with pathological changes – particularly iron deficiency – in motor and sensory brain areas. Other biological systems, including the dopaminergic, oxygen-sensing, opioid, glutamatergic, and serotonergic systems, have been implicated.^[1,2] RLS patients experience discomfort in their legs or other body parts. Symptoms include numbness, swelling, tightness, soreness, itching, burning, pain, wormian sensation, and other unusual feelings, which cause affected persons to move their limbs to lessen the discomfort. Patients usually experience from discomfort when resting, immobile, or sleeping.^[1,3] RLS can be classified as primary or secondary, depending on the cause. Primary RLS is strongly related to genetic background: about 60% of patients have a family history of RLS. Secondary RLS is more common among persons with end-stage renal disease (ESRD), iron deficiency, pregnancy, and Parkinson disease.^[4,5]

Secondary RLS is most strongly associated with ESRD. Hemodialysis (HD) is the most common treatment for ESRD patients, and the prevalence of RLS is 19.4% to 57.3% in HD patients.^[4,6–10] RLS is associated with worse cardiovascular disease outcomes and increases the risks of new cardiovascular events and death in HD patients.^[8,11,12] Identifying risk factors for RLS in HD patients is therefore important in providing

optimal care. However, the underlying cause for the increased prevalence of RLS in HD patients is unclear. Previous studies investigated associations of RLS with HD patient sex, age, dialysis duration, HD timing, history of type 2 diabetes, history of cardiovascular disease, body mass index (BMI), serum hemoglobin level, serum iron level, serum ferritin level, serum transferrin saturation (TSAT) level, and intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH) level.^[4,6-10,13,14] Unfortunately, the results of these studies were inconsistent or conflicting. Dyslipidemia is more common in persons with chronic kidney disease (CKD), and hypertriglyceridemia is present in about 50% of CKD patients. Although concentrations of serum total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) were normal or high in this subgroup, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels were low.^[15] Lipid profile (triglyceride [TG], total cholesterol [TC], low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [LDL-C], and HDL-C) was reported to be a risk factor for RLS in the general population^[16,17]; however, because few studies have investigated the relation between lipid profile and RLS in HD patients, this association deserves further investigation. In Taiwan, hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection are endemic (prevalence rates, 15-20% and 2-3%, respectively) in the general adult population.^[18] The role of hepatitis virus in RLS among HD patients thus warrants further study.

Taiwan has the highest incidence and prevalence of ESRD in the world^[4] (493 and 3392 persons per million, respectively, in 2016). In 2016, there were 79,848 ESRD patients, and 71,623 (89.7%) were receiving HD treatment.^[19] Lin et al investigated risk factors for RLS in HD patients in Taiwan^[4] but did not investigate the effects of BMI, serum lipid profile, HBV, HCV, or liver disease on RLS incidence. The present study therefore investigated risk factors for RLS in HD patients in the largest HD center in Taiwan. The findings should prove useful in the medical care of this population.

2. Methods

2.1. Setting and sample

Patients at least 20 years of age who received HD for longer than 3 months were invited to participate at the HD center of X Hospital, Northern Taiwan, from April 1, 2015 through August 31, 2015. Those who consented to participate underwent face-toface interviews to collect information on demographic characteristics, disease history, dialysis information (duration), BMI, and RLS syndrome. Clinical laboratory data were abstracted from their most recent medical records.

2.2. Ethical considerations

The Institutional Review Board of the study hospital approved this study (103-7670B). All participants signed a consent form after the purpose of the study was explained to them.

2.3. Measurements

2.3.1. RLS status. The diagnostic criteria developed by the International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group (IRLSSG) in 2003 were used for RLS diagnosis. During the face-to-face interviews, every participant was asked the following four questions:

(1) "During the past month, have you had any uncomfortable sensations or an urge to move your legs at rest?";

- (2) "During the past month, did the uncomfortable sensations or urge to move your legs occur or worsen when you were sitting or lying down?";
- (3) "During the past month, were the uncomfortable sensations or urge to move your legs relieved by movement – by walking around, for example?";
- (4) "During the past month, did the uncomfortable sensations or urge to move your legs worsen during the evening or night, when compared with the daytime?".

RLS was diagnosed when a patient answered affirmatively to all 4 questions.^[1] Patients who answered negatively to all 4 questions were classified as non-RLS patients. Those who answered affirmatively to any of the 4 questions underwent further assessment of RLS severity. The severity of RLS questionnaire consists of 10 items with a scale of 0 (no impact) to 4 (very severe impact) for each item. RLS severity was defined as mild (0–10 points), moderate (11–20 points), severe (21–30 points), or very severe (31–40 points) RLS.^[20]

2.3.2. Demographics and disease characteristics. During face-to-face interviews, information was collected on demographic characteristics (sex, age, employment status, marriage, number of children, and educational status), disease characteristics (including dialysis duration, HD shift [morning, afternoon, and night]), and comorbidities (diabetes, hypertension, heart diseases, liver disease, and hepatitis B/C).

2.3.3. *BMI* and clinical laboratory data. BMI was calculated as body weight (kg)/[body height (m)]² and categorized as normal (18.5–24 kg/m²) and abnormal (<18.5 or >24 kg/m²). All HD patients at the study center underwent clinical laboratory testing every 3 months, and the most recent laboratory test results were abstracted from medical records, including TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, hemoglobin, iron (Fe), ferritin, total iron-binding capacity (TIBC), TSAT, and iPTH.

2.4. Study design

A case–control study design was used. RLS cases were those who answered affirmatively to all 4 questions on the IRLSSG. The controls (non-RLS patients) were those who answered negatively to all 4 questions on the IRLSSG.

2.5. Data analysis

Frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviation were used to describe the demographic and disease characteristics of patients and their laboratory results, BMI, and RLS severity. The independent t test, chi-square test, and chi-square test for trend were used to compare data between RLS and non-RLS patients, where appropriate. Variables with a P value of less than .1 in univariate analysis were entered into multiple logistic regression. Forward selection was then used to identify significant risk factors for RLS. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs were calculated to indicate RLS risk. Pearson correlation and multiple linear regression were used to quantify the linear magnitude of RLS severity with respect to dialysis duration and HDL-C level. A P value of less than .05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

3. Results

From April 1 through August 31, 2015, a total of 823 patients received HD in the study hospital; 330 refused to participate in

Table 1

Demographic and disease characteristics between cases (RLS) and controls (non-RLS) of hemodialysis patients.

Gender
Male 223 (54.1%) 29 (49.1%) 194 (54.9%) 7 Female 189 (45.8%) 30 (50.8%) 159 (45.0%) Age (vars) S6.67 ± 12.23 56.59 ± 11.83 59.02 ± 12.27 1.1 <40
Female 189 (45.8%) 30 (50.8%) 159 (45.0%) Age (years) 58.67 ± 12.23 56.59 ± 11.83 59.02 ± 12.27 .1 <40
Age (years) 58.67 ± 12.23 56.59 ± 11.83 59.02 ± 12.27 1.1 <40
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
41-64 200 (63.1%) 41 (69.4%) 219 (62.0%) ≥65 114 (27.6%) 11 (18.6%) 103 (29.1%) Education
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Illiterate and Elementary158 (38.3%)20 (33.9%)138 (39.0%)138 (39.0%)Junior and senior high174 (42.2%)28 (47.4%)146 (41.3%)College or above80 (19.4%)11 (18.6%)69 (19.5%)Employed
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Introduct300 (72.8%)46 (77.9%)254 (71.9%)16Yes112 (27.1%)13 (22.0%)99 (28.0%)
NoOod (12.5%)13 (22.0%)Def (1.5%)Marital status
NotThe (21.1 m)The (21.0 m)The (21.0 m)The (21.0 m)The (21.0 m)The (21.0 m)Marital status
Miniar status47 (11.4%)10 (16.9%)37 (10.4%)Single47 (11.4%)10 (16.9%)312 (88.3%)Married358 (86.8%)46 (77.9%)312 (88.3%)Divorced, widowed, separated7 (1.7%)3 (5.0%)4 (1.1%)Children
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Marked500 (60.5 %)40 (71.5 %)512 (60.5 %)Divorced, widowed, separated7 (1.7%)3 (5.0%)4 (1.1%)Children
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
068 (16.5%)12 (20.3%)86 (15.8%)1-3258 (62.6%)39 (66.1%)219 (62.0%)≥486 (20.8%)8 (13.5%)78 (22.1%)Dialysis duration (years) 8.30 ± 7.26 9.83 ± 7.56 8.04 ± 7.19 .0<5
1 −3258 (62.6%)39 (66.1%)219 (62.0%)≥486 (20.8%)8 (13.5%)78 (22.1%)Dialysis duration (years) 8.30 ± 7.26 9.83 ± 7.56 8.04 ± 7.19 .C<5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
≥5 250 (60.6%) 43 (72.8%) 207 (56.6%) Shift of dialysis .2 Morning 125 (30.3%) 19 (32.3%) 106 (30.0%) Afternoon 138 (33.5%) 24 (40.6%) 114 (32.2%) Evening 149 (36.1%) 16 (27.1%) 133 (37.6%) Diabetes .5 No 278 (67.4%) 38 (64.4%) 240 (67.9%) Yes 134 (32.5%) 21 (35.5%) 113 (32.0%)
Shift of dialysis Morning 125 (30.3%) 19 (32.3%) 106 (30.0%) Afternoon 138 (33.5%) 24 (40.6%) 114 (32.2%) Evening 149 (36.1%) 16 (27.1%) 133 (37.6%) Diabetes .5 No 278 (67.4%) 38 (64.4%) 240 (67.9%) Yes 134 (32.5%) 21 (35.5%) 113 (32.0%)
Morning 125 (30.3%) 19 (32.3%) 106 (30.0%) Afternoon 138 (33.5%) 24 (40.6%) 114 (32.2%) Evening 149 (36.1%) 16 (27.1%) 133 (37.6%) Diabetes .5 No 278 (67.4%) 38 (64.4%) 240 (67.9%) Yes 134 (32.5%) 21 (35.5%) 113 (32.0%)
Arternoon 138 (33.5%) 24 (40.6%) 114 (32.2%) Evening 149 (36.1%) 16 (27.1%) 133 (37.6%) Diabetes .5 No 278 (67.4%) 38 (64.4%) 240 (67.9%) Yes 134 (32.5%) 21 (35.5%) 113 (32.0%)
Evening 149 (36.1%) 16 (27.1%) 133 (37.6%) Diabetes .5 No 278 (67.4%) 38 (64.4%) 240 (67.9%) Yes 134 (32.5%) 21 (35.5%) 113 (32.0%)
Diabetes .: No 278 (67.4%) 38 (64.4%) 240 (67.9%) Yes 134 (32.5%) 21 (35.5%) 113 (32.0%)
NO 278 (07.4%) 38 (04.4%) 240 (07.9%) Yes 134 (32.5%) 21 (35.5%) 113 (32.0%)
Yes 134 (32,5%) 21 (35,5%) 113 (32,0%)
Hypertension .5
No 160 (38.8%) 21 (35.5%) 139 (39.3%)
Yes 252 (61.1%) 38 (64.4%) 214 (60.6%)
Heart disease .1
No 319 (77.4%) 41 (69.4%) 278 (78.7%)
Yes 93 (22.5%) 18 (30.5%) 75 (21.2%)
Liver disease .9
No 370 (89.8%) 53 (89.8%) 317 (89.8%)
Yes 42 (10.1%) 6 (10.1%) 36 (10.2%)
Hepatitis B .9
No 355 (86.3%) 51 (86.4%) 304 (86.3%)
Yes 56 (13.6%) 8 (13.5%) 48 (13.6%)
Hepatitis C .7
No 375 (91.0%) 53 (83.8%) 322 (91.2%)
Yes 37 (8.9%) 6 (10.1%) 31 (8.7%)
Comorbid disease .1
0 71 (17.2%) 5 (8.5%) 66 (18.7%)
1 155 (37.6%) 26 (44.1%) 129 (36.5%)
2 113 (27.4%) 15 (25.4%) 98 (27.7%)
≥3 73 (17.7%) 13 (22.0%) 60 (17.0%)

RLS = restless leg syndrome.

^{*} P<.05.

⁺ Chi-square test.

* Independent t test.

[§] Chi-square test for trend.

this study, 22 could not communicate well, and 4 did not complete the interview. Among the remaining 467 patients, 59 answered affirmatively to all 4 questions on the IRLSSG, 55 answered affirmatively to 1 to 3 questions, and 353 answered negatively to all 4 questions. Hence, data from 59 RLS and 353 non-RLS patients were analyzed. There were slightly more men (54.1%), and mean age was $58.67 (\pm 12.23)$ years (range, 21–93). About 42% had a junior/senior high school education, and 19% had graduated from college or above. Most participants were

unemployed (72.8%) and married (86.8%); 62.6% had 1 to 3 children. Marital status was the only demographic characteristic that significantly differed between RLS and non-RLS patients (Table 1).

Among disease characteristics, mean duration of dialysis was $8.30 (\pm 7.26)$ years, and 60.6% of patients had received HD for longer than 5 years. The numbers of patients undergoing dialysis in the morning, afternoon, and evening were roughly equal. Analysis of comorbidities showed that 32.5% of patients

Table 2

Body mass index and clinical laboratory data between cases (RLS) and controls (non-RLS) of hemodialysis patients.

Variables	Total (n=412)	RLS (n=59)	Non-RLS (n=353)	Р
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.52 ± 3.39	22.99±3.11	22.44 ± 3.43	.27 [†]
Normal (\geq 18.5 to \leq 24)	237 (60.1%)	35 (58.1%)	205 (60.4%)	.75 [‡]
Abnormal (<18.5 or >24)	157 (39.8%)	23 (41.8%)	134 (39.5%)	
Total cholesterol (TC) (mg/dL)	169.87 ± 38.53	165.7 ± 38.17	170.6 ± 38.60	.38†
Normal (\leq 200)	325 (80.6%)	49 (89.9%)	276 (79.7%)	.27 [‡]
Abnormal (>200)	78 (19.3%)	8 (14.0%)	70 (20.2%)	
Triglyceride (TG) (mg/dL)	158.68 ± 140.82	174.6 ± 213.9	156.0 ± 124.4	.52 [†]
Normal (\leq 200)	297 (75.9%)	47 (82.4%)	250 (74.8%)	.21 [‡]
Abnormal (>200)	94 (24.0%)	10 (17.5%)	84 (25.1%)	
LDL-C (mg/dL)	95.71 ± 31.93	92.48 ± 26.92	96.26 ± 35.69	.41†
Normal (\leq 130)	347 (85.8%)	53 (91.3%)	294 (84.9%)	.19 [‡]
Abnormal (>130)	57 (14.1%)	5 (8.6%)	52 (15.0%)	
HDL-C (mg/dL)	43.92 <u>+</u> 14.46	39.38 ± 11.73	44.68±14.75	.003 ^{†,**}
Normal (male: \geq 40, female: \geq 50)	173 (42.8%)	15 (25.8%)	158 (45.6%)	.005 ^{‡,**}
Abnormal (male: <40, female: <50)	231 (57.1%)	43 (74.1%)	188 (54.3%)	
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	10.42 <u>+</u> 1.18	10.43 ± 1.52	10.41 ± 1.12	.94†
Normal (≥11)	120 (29.7%)	17 (29.3%)	103 (29.7%)	.94 [‡]
Abnormal (<11)	284 (70.3%)	41 (70.6%)	243 (70.2%)	
Fe (mg/dL)	65.83 ± 26.11	61.77 ± 24.23	66.03 ± 26.46	.74†
Normal (≥65)	156 (42.9%)	23 (42.5%)	133 (43.0%)	.95 [‡]
Abnormal (<65)	207 (57.0%)	31 (57.4%)	176 (56.9%)	
Ferritin (mg/dL)	340.64 ± 329.90	328.2±299.6	342.8 ± 335.4	.76†
Normal (≥200)	163 (43.8%)	24 (42.8%)	139 (43.9%)	.88 [‡]
Abnormal (<200)	209 (56.1%)	32 (57.1%)	177 (56.0%)	
TIBC (mg/dL)	253.15 ± 50.42	254.6±54.19	252.9±49.80	.82†
Normal (≥200 to ≤400)	329 (89.1%)	48 (85.7%)	281 (89.7%)	.37 [‡]
Abnormal (<200 or >400)	40 (10.8%)	8 (14.2%)	32 (10.2%)	
TSAT (%)	26.59 ± 10.42	26.09 ± 10.12	26.68 ± 10.48	.70†
Normal (≥20%)	266 (72.0%)	38 (67.8%)	228 (72.8%)	.44 [‡]
Abnormal (<20%)	103 (27.9%)	18 (32.1%)	85 (27.1%)	
iPTH (pg/mL)	355.78 ± 357.67	422.1±529.2	344.6±317.6	.28†
Normal (\leq 300)	218 (54.2%)	30 (51.7%)	188 (54.6%)	.68 [‡]
Abnormal (>300)	184 (45.7%)	28 (48.2%)	156 (45.3%)	

BMI = body mass index, Fe = iron, HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, iPTH = intact parathyroid hormone, LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, RLS = restless leg syndrome, TIBC = total ironbinding capacity, TSAT = percent transferrin saturation.

** P<.01.

[†] Independent *t* test.

* Chi-square test.

had diabetes, 61.1% had hypertension, 22.5% had heart disease, 10.1% had liver disease, 13.6% had hepatitis B, and 8.9% had hepatitis C. Only 17.2% of patients had no comorbidities other than kidney disease; 37.6% had 1 comorbidity, 27.4% had 2 comorbidities, and 17.7% had 3 or more comorbidities. The only significant difference between RLS and non-RLS patients was duration of dialysis (P=.08, when analyzed as a continuous variable; P=.04, when analyzed as a binary variable; Table 1).

About 40% of the patients had an abnormal BMI (a BMI of <18.5 kg/m² in 10.2% and >24 kg/m² in 29.7%). Many patients had abnormal clinical laboratory results, the most common of which was hemoglobin concentration (70.3%), followed by HDL-C (57.1%), Fe (57.0%), ferritin (56.1%), iPTH (45.7%), TSAT (27.9%), TG (24.0%), TC (19.3%), LDL-C (14.1%), and TIBC (10.8%) values. Among these variables, only HDL-C significantly differed between RLS and non-RLS patients (P=.003, when analyzed as a continuous variable; P=.005, when analyzed as a binary variable); HDL-C was lower in the RLS group than in the non-RLS group (Table 2).

Multiple logistic regression with forward selection showed that dialysis duration and HDL-C were important risk factors for RLS. Patients with a low HDL-C level (<40 mg/dL in men; <50 mg/dL in women) were 2.73 times as likely to have RLS as those with a normal HDL-C level ($\geq 40 \text{ mg/dL}$ in men; $\geq 50 \text{ mg/}$ dL in women) (95% CI=1.44-5.15, P=.009) (Table 3). Patients with a dialysis duration of longer than 5 years were 2.32 times as likely to have RLS as those with a dialysis duration less than 5 years (95% CI=1.23-4.39, P=.002). Figure 1 illustrates the association between dialysis duration and HDL-C level by group (RLS and non-RLS). Multiple linear regression with HDL-C level as the outcome variable and dialysis duration and study group as independent variables showed no interaction between dialysis year and group (P=.433), which suggests that these two factors are independently associated with RLS. The RLS group had an HDL-C level that was consistently 5.81 mg/dL lower than that of the non-RLS group, regardless of duration of dialysis.

Among the 59 patients with RLS, 2 (3.3%), 9 (15.2%), 37 (62.7%), and 11 (18.6%) had very severe, severe, moderate, and mild RLS, respectively. The correlation between RLS severity and dialysis duration was significant (r=.26, P=.042; Fig. 2). There was no significant correlation between RLS severity and HDL-C level (r=.04, P=.714).

а	D	е	З

Multiple logistic regression of RLS in	 hemodialysis patients.

Factors	Adjusted odds ratio	95% confidence interval	Р
HDL-C (mg/dL)			.009**
Normal (male: \geq 40 mg/dL, female: \geq 50 mg/dL)	Reference		
Abnormal (male: <40 mg/dL; female: <50 mg/dL)	2.73	1.44–5.15	
Duration of dialysis (years)			.002**
<5	Reference		
≥5	2.32	1.23-4.39	

HDL-C=high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, RLS=restless leg syndrome.

P < .01.

4. Discussion

In this study, a dialysis duration of longer than 5 years and a low HDL-C level were identified as risk factors for RLS among HD patients; however, dialysis year and HDL-C level were uncorrelated. Among the 59 patients with RLS, 81.4% had moderate or severe symptoms, and RLS severity was significantly correlated with dialysis duration.

Dialysis duration was significantly associated with RLS, which was consistent with the findings of Lin et al in Taiwan^[4] and Higuchi et al in Japan^[21] but contrary to findings in other countries. Some previous studies reported that dialysis duration was not significantly correlated with RLS prevalence.^[6,9,10] This discrepancy may be attributable to a difference in HD duration. In the present study, mean dialysis duration was 9.83 ± 7.56 years for patients with RLS and 8.04 ± 7.19 years for patients without RLS. In contrast, Giannaki et al reported a mean dialysis duration of 3.7 ± 3.5 for patients with RLS and 2.5 ± 1.6 years for patients without RLS,^[6] and other studies reported durations of 4.5 ± 2.4 and 4.1 ± 2.6 years^[9] and 6.0 ± 5.3 and 6.9 ± 6.3 years,^[10] respectively.

Oxidative stress is common in patients with CKD and progresses in persons undergoing long-term HD. It is caused

by an imbalance between pro-oxidant production and antioxi-dant defense mechanisms.^[22] Several studies reported increased oxidative stress and decreased antioxidant enzymes in ESRD patients undergoing dialysis.^[22–26] Oxidative stress is associated with cardiovascular disease, cachexia, anemia, and many other complications in patients with CKD and might explain in part the increased RLS risk among patients undergoing long-term HD. Higuchi et al hypothesized that longer HD duration and oxidative stress increase RLS incidence and that oxidative stress is associated with RLS severity.^[21] We did not examine oxidative stress in this study, so a comparison with previous results was not feasible. We hope to investigate oxidative stress in future research.

Few studies have evaluated the association between lipid profile and RLS in HD patients. In the present analysis of the association between lipid profile and RLS, TG, TC, and LDL-C levels were not associated with RLS. This result was consistent with those of other studies.^[8,21] However, patients with low HDL-C levels were 2.73 times as likely as those with normal HDL-C levels to have RLS. This result was inconsistent with those of previous studies, which reported that HDL-C levels were not correlated with RLS,^[8,21] perhaps because of the small





sample sizes of previous studies (31 patients with RLS and 69 patients without $RLS^{[8]}$ and 33 patients with RLS and 124 patients without $RLS^{[21]}$).

Persons with RLS in the general population are more likely to have hypercholesterolemia.^[17] Moreover, serum HDL-C level and HDL/LDL cholesterol ratio were significantly lower in those with RLS than in those without RLS in the general population.^[16] However, Dredla et al reported that serum cholesterol level was not correlated with RLS in a general population.^[27] The pathological mechanisms by which serum HDL-C level and serum cholesterol level are related to RLS were not described in studies by Schlesinger et al^[16] and De Vito et al.^[17] HD patients typically have low HDL-C levels. HDL protects against plaque formation and progression via reverse cholesterol transport and prevention or reversal of LDL oxidation. In addition, HDL is a potent antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and antithrombotic factor.^[28] However, studies have not yet clarified whether RLS risk is elevated in HD patients when HDL-C levels are low. Therefore, the effects of lipid profile, oxidative stress, and inflammation on RLS in HD patients warrant further investigation in large-scale studies.

The present HD patients with and without RLS did not significantly differ in relation to sex, age, dialysis shift, comorbidities (diabetes, hypertension, heart disease, liver disease, HBV, HCV), BMI, hemoglobin level, Fe level, ferritin level, TIBC, TSAT, or iPTH. These results are consistent with those of previous studies. Several studies reported that RLS was not associated with hemoglobin, ferritin, or Fe levels.^[6,9,10] Lin et al found that RLS was not significantly associated with iPTH.^[4] Giannaki et al found no significant difference in BMI^[6] or age^[4,6,7,9] between HD patients with and without RLS. In addition, Lin et al and Salman reported no significant association between RLS and sex.^[4,9]

Among the 59 present patients with RLS, 81.4% had moderate or severe symptoms. RLS severity was significantly correlated with dialysis duration but was not associated with HDL level. A study of 139 RLS patients in Iran reported that 99 (71.2%) had moderate/severe RLS; however, neither diabetes, hypertension, heart disease, HBV, or HCV were significantly associated among HD patients with RLS.^[30] In a study of 286 patients with RLS in Taiwan, 171 (59.8%) had moderate/severe RLS, and dialysis duration was associated with moderate/severe RLS (OR=1.01; 95% CI=1.01-1.02; P < .001).^[4]

This study had several limitations. First, the case-control study design does not permit inferences regarding the causal relationship between reduced HDL-C level and RLS. Indeed, there was no significant correlation between RLS severity and HDL-C level. The HDL-C level was consistently 5.81 mg/dL lower in the RLS group than in the non-RLS group, regardless of dialysis year (Fig. 1), which suggests that lower HDL-C level is not necessarily a risk factor or cause of RLS. Second, data on RLS onset and treatment were not collected. As 81.4% of the present patients had moderate or severe RLS, data on the effectiveness and adverse effects of treatments for severe RLS, including nonpharmaceutical measures, should be collected and analyzed in future studies. Third, participants were recruited from a large HD center and may not be representative of all HD patients in Taiwan. Fourth, because of the small sample size of this study, larger multicenter trials are necessary.

5. Conclusion

RLS is common among HD patients in Taiwan, particularly among those receiving dialysis for longer than 5 years and those with low serum HDL-C levels (<40 mg/dL in men; <50 mg/dL in women).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all the patients who participated in this study.

Author contributions

- Conceptualization: Li-Hung Tsai, Lai-Chu See.
- Data curation: Li-Hung Tsai, Chu-Chun Chien, Chuan-Mei Chen.
- Formal analysis: Lai-Chu See, Shu-Hao Chang.

Methodology: Lai-Chu See.

Software: Lai-Chu See.

Visualization: Shu-Hao Chang.

Writing - original draft: Li-Hung Tsai, Lai-Chu See.

Writing - review & editing: Li-Hung Tsai, Lai-Chu See.

Li-Hung Tsai orcid: 0000-0001-8222-753X.

References

- Allen RP, Picchietti D, Hening WA, et al. Restless legs syndrome: diagnostic criteria, special considerations, and epidemiology: a report from the restless legs syndrome diagnosis and epidemiology workshop at the National Institutes of Health. Sleep Med 2003;4:101–19.
- [2] Koo BB, Bagai K, Walters AS. Restless legs syndrome: current concepts about disease pathophysiology. Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov (N Y) 2016;6:401.
- [3] Allen RP, Picchietti DL, Garcia-Borreguero D, et al. Restless legs syndrome/ Willis-Ekbom disease diagnostic criteria: updated International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group (IRLSSG) consensus criteria – history, rationale, description, and significance. Sleep Med 2014;15:860–73.
- [4] Lin CH, Wu VC, Li WY, et al. Restless legs syndrome in end-stage renal disease: a multicenter study in Taiwan. Eur J Neurol 2013;20:1025–31.
- [5] Jones R, Cavanna AE. The neurobiology and treatment of restless legs syndrome. Behav Neurol 2013;26:283–92.
- [6] Giannaki CD, Sakkas GK, Karatzaferi C, et al. Evidence of increased muscle atrophy and impaired quality of life parameters in patients with uremic restless legs syndrome. PLoS One 2011;6:e25180.
- [7] Hemate Z, Alidosti M. The relationship of depression with restless leg syndrome in hemodialysis patient's dialysis centers in Chaharmahal and Bakhtiari 2011. Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res 2013;18:3.
- [8] La Manna G, Pizza F, Persici E, et al. Restless legs syndrome enhances cardiovascular risk and mortality in patients with end-stage kidney disease undergoing long-term haemodialysis treatment. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2010;26:8.
- [9] Salman SY. Restless legs syndrome in patients on hemodialysis. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2011;22:5.
- [10] Wali SO, Alkhouli AF. Restless legs syndrome among Saudi end-stage renal disease patients on hemodialysis. Saudi Med J 2015;36:204–10.
- [11] Giannaki CD, Zigoulis P, Karatzaferi C, et al. Periodic limb movements in sleep contribute to further cardiac structure abnormalities in hemodialysis patients with restless legs syndrome. J Clin Sleep Med 2013;9:147–53.

- [12] Portaluppi F, Cortelli P, Buonaura GC, et al. Do restless legs syndrome (RLS) and periodic limb movements of sleep (PLMS) play a role in nocturnal hypertension and increased cardiovascular risk of renally impaired patients? Chronobiol Int 2009;26:17.
- [13] Sabry AA, Abo-Zenah H, Wafa E, et al. Sleep disorders in hemodialysis patients. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2010;21:6.
- [14] Al-Jahdali HH, Al-Qadhi WA, Khogeer HA, et al. Restless legs syndrome in patients on dialysis. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2009;20:8.
- [15] Qunibi WY. Dyslipidemia in dialysis patients. Semin Dial 2015;28: 345–53.
- [16] Schlesinger I, Erikh I, Avizohar O, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors in restless legs syndrome. Mov Disord 2009;24:1587–92.
- [17] De Vito K, Li Y, Batool-Anwar S, et al. Prospective study of obesity, hypertension, high cholesterol, and risk of restless legs syndrome. Mov Disord 2014;29:1044–52.
- [18] Jane SW, Lin MS, Chiu WN, et al. Early detection of unhealthy behaviors, the prevalence and receipt of antiviral treatment for disabled adult hepatitis B and C carriers. BMC Public Health 2016;16:146.
- [19] Taiwan Society of NephrologyAnnual Report: Kidney Disease in Taiwan. 2018;[cited on November 06, 2019]; Available from https:// www.tsn.org.tw/UI/L/TWRD/ebook_2018%E5%B9%B4%E5%A0% B1.pdf.
- [20] Walters AS, LeBrocq C, Dhar A, et al. Validation of the International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group rating scale for restless legs syndrome. Sleep Med 2003;4:12.
- [21] Higuchi T, Abe M, Mizuno M, et al. Association of restless legs syndrome with oxidative stress and inflammation in patients undergoing hemodialysis. Sleep Med 2015;16:941–8.
- [22] Johnson-Davis KL, Fernelius C, Eliason NB, et al. Blood enzymes and oxidative stress in chronic kidney disease: a cross sectional study. Ann Clin Lab Sci 2011;41:9.
- [23] Puchades MJ, Saez G, Muñoz MC, et al. Study of oxidative stress in patients with advanced renal disease and undergoing either hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis. Clin Nephrol 2013;80:10.
- [24] Roehrs M, Valentini J, Paniz C, et al. The relationships between exogenous and endogenous antioxidants with the lipid profile and oxidative damage in hemodialysis patients. BMC Nephrol 2011;12:59.
- [25] Mekki K, Taleb W, Bouzidi N, et al. Effect of hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis on redox status in chronic renal failure patients: a comparative study. Lipids Health Dis 2010;9:93.
- [26] Pedruzzi LM, Cardozo LF, Daleprane JB, et al. Systemic inflammation and oxidative stress in hemodialysis patients are associated with downregulation of Nrf2. J Nephrol 2015;28:7.
- [27] Dredla BK, Del Brutto OH, Lee AS, et al. Willis-Ekbom disease is not associated with poor cardiovascular health in adults. J Negat Results Biomed 2015;14:17.
- [28] Moradi H, Streja E, Kashyap ML, et al. Elevated high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and cardiovascular mortality in maintenance hemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2014;29:1554–62.
- [29] Eftekhari A, Nasiriani K, Mirzaei S, et al. Predictive factors of restless leg syndrome in hemodialysis patients. J Renal Inj Prev 2016;5:89–93.