



Genetic damage induced by CrO₃ can be reduced by low doses of Protoporphyrin-IX in somatic cells of *Drosophila melanogaster*

Luz M. Vidal E.^a, Emilio Pimentel P.^{a,*}, M. Patricia Cruces M.^a,
Juan C. Sánchez M.^b

^a Departamento de Biología, Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Nucleares (ININ), Carretera México-Toluca, S/N, la Marquesa, Ocoyoacac, CP. 52750, México

^b Facultad de Química, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México, Toluca, México

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 August 2014

Received in revised form 3 October 2014

Accepted 6 October 2014

Available online 16 October 2014

Chemical compounds studied in this article:

Chromium trioxide (PubChem CID: 14915)

Protoporphyrin IX (PubChem CID: 71484)

Keywords:

Wing spot test

Somatic mutation

Drosophila melanogaster

Low doses

Tetrapyrrolo

ABSTRACT

Several epidemiological studies have reported the relation between chromium exposure (used in different industrial processes) and cancer risk. Evidence indicates that the hexavalent form is mutagenic and carcinogenic. Chemoprevention has emerged as a good strategy for reducing the risk from exposure to heavy metals. There is evidence that some tetrapyrrolo such as protoporphyrin IX (PP-IX), a porphyrin without a metal center and which is a precursor of hemoglobin and cytochrome, acts as an antioxidant modulating the induction of antioxidant enzymes. The present study was performed to evaluate their antimutagenic potential of PP-IX against genetic damage induced by chromium trioxide (CrO₃). The wing spot test was used. Groups of 48 h-old larvae were pretreated for 24 h with 0, 0.69, 6.9, or 69 mM of PP-IX, after which groups of larvae were fed 0.025–2.5 mM CrO₃ solution in *Drosophila* instant medium. The results indicated that the lower PP-IX concentration (0.69 mM) significantly reduced the genetic damage induced by all CrO₃ concentrations tested. In contrast, 6.9 and 69 mM only inhibited the damage induced by CrO₃ 2.5 mM. Absence of an inhibitor effect of PP-IX against 20 Gy gamma rays suggested that this porphyrin acted primarily by forming complexes with chromium at low doses, inactivating its genotoxic action rather than capturing or inactivating the reactive oxygen species generated by the chromium.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>).

1. Introduction

Exposure to environmental pollutants of anthropogenic origin is associated with an important increase of chronic degenerative diseases including cancer [1]. Although heavy metals are present naturally in soils, their contamination is

caused directly by industrial and mining activities. Metals such as Pb, Hg, Cd, As, Ce, and Cr are very harmful to human health and to most living organisms. They are not chemically or biologically degradable components which accumulate in the soil. If additionally the metals are filtered in groundwater, control is very difficult and the metals can enter the food chain, either through drinking water or through consuming contaminated crops in agricultural soils, becoming a potential health risk [2]. They have been associated with diseases such as pneumonia, renal dysfunction, emphysema, and bone cancer [3], as well as with an impaired nerve function system [4].

Abbreviations: PP-IX, protoporphyrin IX; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SMART, Somatic Mutation and Recombination Test; CAT, catalase; SOD, superoxide dismutase; ENU, N-nitroso-N-ethylurea.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +55 5329 7200.

E-mail address: emilio.pimentel@inin.gob.mx (E. Pimentel P.).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2014.10.007>

2214-7500/© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>).

Given this situation, the need arises to implement strategies that will reduce the occurrence of degenerative diseases. The first, and the most obvious, is to avoid exposure of human beings to those agents that have the ability to modify the genetic material and, therefore, increase the risk of diseases such as cancer. However, in practice this is almost impossible since many of such agents are found naturally in the atmosphere (as ultraviolet or ionizing radiation), and others are products of the metabolism of innocuous compounds such as nitrates [5]. The second alternative is to increase the consumption of substances capable of preventing or reducing the adverse effects of mutagenic and carcinogenic agents. This strategy refers to chemoprevention and it is defined as the use of chemical compounds, especially those of natural origin that cause inhibition or reversal of mutagenesis or carcinogenesis in the premalignant state [1].

Among heavy metals, chromium is considered one of the most dangerous and the International Agency of Research on Cancer [6] recognized the carcinogenicity of this metal. One of the biggest sources of Cr VI exposure is through the release of particles during stainless steel solder [7,8]. Exposure pathways include the following: oral, respiratory, and dermal pathways [9]. Chromium has been reported to be distributed into the body through the bone marrow, lungs, lymph nodes, spleen, kidney, and liver [10,11]. The danger of this element is known to occur during the reduction process of Cr (VI) to Cr (III), generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) that interact with the genetic material and are able to induce various alterations, for example: sister chromatid exchanges, chromosomal aberrations and cross-links in double-stranded DNA [11]. Not only are workers in manufacturing industries exposed to chromium compounds, but also the general public is exposed through cigarette smoke, automobile emissions, landfills, and hazardous waste disposal sites [12].

Among the natural compounds with chemoprevention properties, porphyrins are aromatic heterocyclic macrocycles derived from the porphine base structure. They are considered promising mainly because of their low toxicity. These molecules have the ability to form complexes directly with planar polycyclic structures thereby preventing their interaction with DNA; however, tetrapyrroles can also be interspersed in the molecule [10]. The antimutagenic activity of porphyrins containing some metal ion is due to their antioxidant effect [13–15]. Protoporphyrin-IX is a molecule with no metal core, it comes from the biosynthesis of 5-aminolevulinic acid and it is the immediate precursor of heme [16]. The toxic effect of PP-IX could probably be due to the imbalance of the redox systems in the over production of ROS [17,18], which leads to an increase in lipid peroxidation, which in turn is the main cause of damage to the liver [19,20]. In rats of the CF1 strain, PP-IX induced lipid peroxidation and increased the activity of catalase (CAT) 30% after 2 h of administration while the superoxide dismutase (SOD) enzyme increased 24 h after administration, yet peroxidation decreased after several doses of PP-IX [21]. Chronic treatment with PP-IX was recently found to prolong the lifespan of a wild *Drosophila* strain. In contrast, half life was reduced in the Sod deficient strain; these data provide information that PP-IX can act as

an antioxidant and as a pro-oxidant [22]. Based on these previous works, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the antimutagenic capacity of PP-IX against the genetic damage induced by CrO₃ (VI), an agent that induces genetic damage especially through ROS.

2. Materials and methods

Somatic Mutation and Recombination Test (SMART): In order to test DNA damage, we performed the wing spot test [23] as follows: three-day-old *mwh + / mwh +* females and *flr³ / In (3LR)*, TM3, *Bds* males were mated for 2 h, then transferred to an egg-laying surface. Oviposition was restricted to a 2 h period so as to obtain more homogeneous samples in the age of individuals under test. Then 48 h-old larvae were collected by density gradient using a 20% sucrose solution. They were subsequently washed with 24 ± 1 °C tap water and pretreated for 24 h in the dark, in flasks (1/4L) with a paper filter (Whatman # 2), saturated with PP-IX 0, 0.69, 6.9, or 69 mM dissolved in a 5% sucrose solution. Distilled water was used for all solutions.

On completion of the pretreatment period, the larvae were washed with tap water at 24 ± 1 °C. At this time, aliquots of larvae from each pretreatment concentration of PP-IX were treated with 0.025, 0.25, 1.25, 2.0, or 2.5 mM CrO₃ in plastic vials (9.5 cm height and 2.5 cm diameter) with 1.5 g of *Drosophila* instant medium (formula 4-24 Carolina Biol. Supply Co.). Experiments were carried out in triplicate for each pretreated PP-IX solution and for each treatment with CrO₃ solution. All treatments were conducted in the dark.

Upon eclosion, organisms were fixed in 70% alcohol and the wings of the *mwh + / + flr³* flies (i.e., non-Ser) were mounted on slides for 40× microscopic analysis. The wings were examined to identify small single spots (one or two cells), large single spots (more than two cells) of either *mwh* or *flr*, and *mwh-flr* twin spots. Single *mwh* spots are expected to be produced following mutation at the *mwh* + locus or by an interchange between the *mwh* and *flr³* loci succeeded by homozygosis for *mwh*. Single *flr³* spots may arise by mutation at the *flr³⁺* locus or by double exchange. Twin spots are the result of an interchange between the *flr³* and the centromere [23]. For a description of the mutants see Lindsley and Zimm [24].

All data for each group represent at least two experiments performed in triplicate. Results from the groups treated with PP-IX and CrO₃ were compared with the group corresponding to each CrO₃ concentration. The SMART statistical program proposed by Frei and Würzler [25] was used to determine differences between treatments. The criterion for significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Toxicity test: In order to quantify the toxicity provoked by PP-IX and CrO₃ by themselves, groups of fifty 48h-old larvae *mwh + / + flr³* were collected and treated following the method described above using the same concentrations of PP-IX and CrO₃. To evaluate toxicity of the combined treatment of the different PP-IX concentrations, we selected the highest CrO₃ concentration (2.5 mM). Chi square test was used for statistical analysis at $p < 0.05$ level. PP-IX and CrO₃ were purchased from Sigma Chemicals Co. (St. Louis, MO).

Table 1Spots frequency induced in 48 h-larvae *mwh +/ + flr³* by different concentrations of chromium trioxide (VI).

Treatment (mM)		Spots per wing (number of spots)							
CrO ₃	No. of wings	Small single spots		Large single spots		Twin spots		Total spots	
		(1–2 cells), m = 2		(>cells), m = 5		m = 5		m = 2	
0	160	0.24	(39)	0.09	(14)	0.01	(1)	0.34	(54)
0.025	120	0.26	(31) –	0.04	(5) –	0.03	(4) i	0.33	(40) –
0.25	150	0.43	(64) +	0.11	(16) –	0.05	(8) +	0.59	(88) +
1.25	118	0.63	(74) +	0.36	(42) +	0.14	(16) +	1.12	(132) +
2.0	80	0.81	(65) +	0.52	(42) +	0.45	(36) +	1.79	(143) +
2.5	120	2.87	(344) +	1.97	(236) +	2.11	(254) +	6.95	(834) +

Statistical diagnoses according to Frei and Würzler [25]: += positive; – = negative; w = weak positive; i = inconclusive; m = multiplication factor. Probability levels: alpha = beta = 0.05. One-side statistical test.

Treatment with gamma rays: To explore activity of the PP-IX as a radical scavenger, we collected 48 h-old *mwh +/ + flr³* larvae and pretreated them for 24 h with PP-IX following the method described above. On completion of the pretreatment, larvae from each concentration were divided into two groups, one of each group was irradiated with 20 Gy gamma rays in a Transelektro LGI-01, Co-60 irradiator with a dose rate of up to 1259.44 Gy/h; the other larva served as control. After irradiation, larvae were put into a plastic vial with hydrated medium formula 4–24. All the vials were introduced into a culture room until the development concluded. The wings analysis was done as described earlier.

3. Results

Table 1 shows the frequency of all kinds of spots induced by the different concentrations of CrO₃. Statistical significant differences were found for all kinds of spots from

0.25 mM and higher concentrations. Noticeably, the higher concentration provoked a frequency of mutation that represents 20 times the frequency found in the control group.

Table 2 includes the results obtained with the pretreatment of 0.69, 6.9, or 69 mM of PP-IX and with the combined treatment of PP-IX + CrO₃: Sections 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Comparison of the action of PP-IX alone indicated that only 69 mM doubled the basal mutation frequency.

The statistical analysis comparing the combined treatments with their respective positive control in **Table 1** indicated that pretreatment with 0.69 mM of PP-IX caused a reduction in the frequency of all kinds of spots from PP-IX + 0.025 mM CrO₃, however, this reduction were not statistically significant for all kinds of spots. A statistically significant reduction was found from PP-IX 0.69 + CrO₃ 0.25 mM, which decreased the twin spots induced by all concentrations of CrO₃ with the exception of the PP-IX + 1.25 mM group. Pretreatment with PP-IX 6.9 mM caused only a slight reduction in the frequency of the

Table 2Spots frequency induced by chromium trioxide (VI) treatment in 48 h-larvae *mwh +/ + flr³* pretreated 24 h with 0.69, 6.9 or 69 mM of PP-IX.

Treatment (mM)		Spots per wing (number of spots)							
PP-IX (+) CrO ₃	No. of wings	Small single spots		Large single spots		Twin spots		Total spots	
		(1–2 cells), m = 2		(>cells), m = 5		m = 5		m = 2	
Pretreatment with PP-IX 0.69 mM (Section 1)									
0.69	120	0.33	(40) i	0.05	(6) –	0.03	(3) i	0.41	(49) –
+0.025	80	0.16	(13) i	0.02i	(2) i	0.02	(2) i	0.21	(17) i
+0.25	80	0.30	(24) i	0.06	(5) –	0.01	(1) i	0.37	(30) +
+1.25	80	0.41	(80) +	0.10	(8) +	0.14	(11) –	0.65	(52) +
+2.0	80	0.70	(56) –	0.32	(26) –	0.26	(21) +	1.30	(103) +
+2.5	120	0.57	(69) +	0.32	(38) +	0.28	(33) +	1.17	(140) +
Pretreatment with PP-IX 6.9 mM (Section 2)									
6.9	120	0.23	(28) –	0.05	(6) –	0	(0)	0.28	(34) –
+0.025	40	0.26	(8) i	0.08 –	(3) –	0	(0)	0.28	(11) i
+0.25	80	0.31	(25) i	0.14	(11) –	0.04	(3) i	0.49	(39) –
+1.25	80	0.51	(41) –	0.37	(30) –	0.12	(9) –	1.00	(80) –
+2.0	80	0.59	(47) +	0.31	(25) –	0.30	(24) –	1.20	(96) w
+2.5	120	0.64	(77) +	0.32	(38) +	0.23	(28) +	1.19	(143) +
Pretreatment with PP-IX 69 mM (Section 3)									
69	160	0.51	(81) +	0.14	(23) –	0.01	(2) i	0.66	(106) +
+0.025	120	0.37	(45) –	0.08	(10) –	0	(0)	0.46	(55) –
+0.25	120	0.32	(39) –	0.08	(9) –	0.02	(2) i	0.42	(50) i
+1.25	80	1.51	(121) –	0.56	(45) –	0.40	(32) –	2.47	(198) –
+2.0	80	1.10	(87) –	0.60	(48) –	0.54	(43) –	2.22	(178) –
+2.5	140	0.92	(129) +	0.46	(64) +	0.40	(56) +	1.78	(249) +

Statistical diagnoses according to Frei and Würzler [25]: += positive; – = negative; w = weak positive; i = inconclusive; m = multiplication factor. Probability levels: alpha = beta = 0.05. One-side statistical test. The comparisons were between each group: PP-IX + CrO₃ concentration with the respective positive control included in **Table 1**. The signs indicated in the PP-IX concentration alone are the results from comparisons with the negative control.

Table 3

Toxicity of 48 h-old *mwh+/+flr³* larvae after the treatment for 24 h with PP-IX, CrO₃ or combined treatment.

Treatment (mM)	No. of larvae	No. of viable larvae ± SEM	% of non-viable larvae
0	500	420 ± 0.9	16
PP-IX			
0.69	500	394 ± 1.7	21
6.9	500	447 ± 0.6	11
69	500	368 ± 0.5	26
CrO ₃			
0.25	500	448 ± 0.3	10
2.0	500	325 ± 2.8	35*
2.5	500	194 ± 0.8	61*
PP-IX + CrO ₃			
0.69 + 2.5	400	164 ± 1.2	59
6.9 + 2.5	500	216 ± 2.0	57
69 + 2.5	500	230 ± 1.8	54**

* Significant to $p < 0.05$. Compared with control

** Significant to $p < 0.05$. Compared with CrO₃ 2.5 mM

different kinds of spots from the PP-IX + 0.25 mM group, and only a weak decrease with respect to damage caused by 2.0 mM CrO₃; however, this concentration of PP-IX plus 2.5 mM CrO₃ fell six times the frequency of total spots induced by the mutagen alone (2.5 mM). Comparison of the effect of pretreatment with the 69 mM concentration and the previous two concentrations indicated that the combined treatment PP-IX + CrO₃ 2.5 mM group decreased four times the frequency of total spots induced by CrO₃ alone.

Results of toxicity for different concentrations of PP-IX, CrO₃ (0.25, 2, and 2.5 mM) combined treatments are presented in Table 3. The analysis showed that PP-IX was nontoxic at the three concentrations tested compared to the control. CrO₃ was toxic at 2 and 2.5 mM; the latter reduced viability 45% over the control. In contrast the combined treatments reduced toxicity, but 69 PP-IX + 2.5 CrO₃ increased viability 7% compared with the 2.5 mM CrO₃ control group. Table 4 presents the results obtained for somatic mutation when larvae were pretreated with different concentrations of PP-IX and then treated with 20 Gy of gamma rays. The results indicated that PP-IX in all tested concentrations had no effect on damage induced by gamma rays.

4. Discussion

Cr [VI] is known to produce breaking in one or both strands of DNA; DNA-DNA bonds; DNA cross-links with

proteins, besides modifying the nucleotides as is the case of guanine, 8-hydroxyguanine links. Although the mechanisms involved are not yet very clear, all DNA alterations can cause chronic degenerative diseases, including cancer [26].

Genetic damage induced by chromium is mainly produced as a result of its ability to induce free radicals, especially during the reduction from Cr [VI] to Cr [III]. Such radicals are the superoxide anion (O²⁻), and hydroxyl radical (OH•) [27]. To counteract the action of ROS, cells have a system of endogenous enzymes such as SOD and CAT and the glutathione as antioxidants. Furthermore, exogenous antioxidants such as vitamins may reduce the cellular damage caused by free radicals. The main subject of the present study was to evaluate the antioxidant capacity of PP-IX avoiding the genetic damage induced by CrO₃. There is evidence that the antioxidant action of this tetrapyrrol depends on its concentration [21,28,29]. The results obtained in our study provided evidence of this effect: PP-IX was not toxic by itself (Table 3) and concentrations of 0.69 and 6.9 mM did not induce genetic damage, yet the 6.9 mM reduced total spots ($p < 0.05$) compared to control and also reduced toxicity 5% but not significantly (Table 3) suggesting that protoporphyrin can act as a true antimutagen. Moreover, the highest concentration (69 mM) proved to be mutagenic and induced damage comparable with 0.25 mM of CrO₃. In agreement with this, PP-IX has been proven to induce oxidative stress [21] via production of superoxide ions and more efficiently of singlet oxygen in organic solutions [30]. Our results are in accord with this finding. Pimentel et al. [31] found that this pigment at 69 mM can be mutagenic 24 h after its administration in combination with 0.5 mM N-nitroso-N-ethylurea (ENU) and that such activity may persist for 72 h. However, the evidence found in this study indicated that in organisms pretreated with PP-IX and subsequently treated with CrO₃, the frequency of genetic damage was reduced in most of the treated groups.

Worth noting is the effect of the lowest concentration of PP-IX, (0.69 mM) which caused a significant decrease of damage in four of the five concentrations of CrO₃ tested. In comparison, 6.9 mM significantly decreased the frequency of damage induced by 2 and 2.5 mM of the mutagen, and the highest concentration (69 mM) only reduced the damage induced by 2.5 mM. Even so, 2.5 mM of CrO₃ provoked high mortality (61%) which could result in loss of information;

Table 4

Spots frequency induced in 48 h-old *mwh+/+flr³* larvae pretreated 24 h with different concentrations of PP-IX and subsequently treated with 20 Gy of gamma rays.

Treatment	No. of wings	Spots per wing (number of spots)							
		Small single spots		Large single spots		Twin spots		Total spots	
		(1-2 cells), $m = 2$		(>cells), $m = 5$		$m = 5$		$m = 2$	
0	40	0.27	(11)	0.05	(2)	0.02	(1)	0.35	(14)
20 Gy	80	0.70	(56)	1.57	(126)	0.06	(5)	2.33	(187)
0.69 +	80	0.82	(66) –	1.16	(93) w	0.08	(7) –	2.07	(166) –
6.9 +	80	0.69	(55) –	1.84	(147) –	0.15	(12) –	2.67	(214) –
69 +	80	1.16	(93) –	1.52	(122) –	0.07	(6) –	2.76	(221) –

Statistical diagnoses according to Frei and Wüergler [25]: + = positive; – = negative; w = weak positive; i = inconclusive; m = multiplication factor. Probability levels: $\alpha = \beta = 0.05$. One-side statistical test.

in combination with PP-IX, 69 mM increased viability by 7% and provoked a net effect of reducing 3 times inhibition of the genetic damage induced by CrO₃ 2.5 mM ($p < 0.05$).

Explaining the increase in mutation frequency obtained in the groups 69 + 1.25 and 2 mM CrO₃ is not simple. However, this increase could suggest a synergistic effect of CrO₃ plus the pro-oxidant action of PP-IX for its accumulation generating superoxide, as suggested by Afonso et al. [21]. PP-IX reacts with molecular oxygen-producing peroxide radicals that cause lipid peroxidation and lead to different cell damage such as structural changes in the cell membrane, damage to proteins, inactivation of receptors, enzymes, and ion channels, all of which can lead to cell death [32]. Other studies have demonstrated that porphyrins can bind to DNA via a specific insertion within only one strand of DNA, i.e., by hemi-intercalation [33] with a binding constant of around 106 M⁻¹ [34,35]. In this way, these extra-helical structural elements could be a factor in certain pathways of mutagenesis [21].

The fact that the lowest concentration of PP-IX provoked a significant decrease in damage could be due to the fact that the porphyrin ring could make complexes or act as a chelator, introducing the chromium into the ring. PP-IX has been reported to be able to bind other metals such as zinc and nickel [36]. The different studies on the role of ferrochelatase have revealed that this enzyme catalyzes zinc as well as the iron chelating activity of protoporphyrin [14]. Ferrochelatase is known to catalyze insertion of divalent transition metal ions other than iron *in vitro*, most notably zinc, but cobalt, nickel, and copper have also each been reported to act as substrates, although species-specific differences have been noted [37–39]. Ferrochelatase could participate in the chelating chromium, provoking a reduction in its mutagenic effect. The work performed by Pimentel et al. [40] and Gaivão et al. [41] have demonstrated that the somatic mutation and recombination test in *Drosophila* is a useful tool that detects the very low doses of alpha particles and the ROS induced by oxidants agents, respectively; a possibility to explain our results is the ability of PP-IX to release electrons [42], which may have inactivated the free radicals generated by chromium thereby avoiding DNA damage. The studies performed by Afonso et al. [21] provide evidence of the action of PP-IX in generating superoxide and hydrogen peroxide, which causes activation of SOD and CAT enzymes that help to inactivate ROS produced by the chromium oxide-reduction reactions. In contrast, Cruces et al. [43] found that a pretreatment with very low doses of sodium copper chlorophyllin increased the somatic mutation frequency induced by 10 Gy gamma rays.

Results from the present work showed evidence indicating that the lower concentration of PP-IX reduced genetic damage of the radiomimetic agent CrO₃. To evaluate the ability of PP-IX as a radical scavenger, we tested its action against the effect of 20 Gy of gamma rays (Table 4). The comparison of the effect of PP-IX against the two agents revealed that the action of PP-IX is more likely to be preferable through the formation of chemical complexes with chromium rather than through decreasing reactive oxygen species. These findings placed PP-IX as an effective antimutagen at low doses.

Transparency document

The [Transparency document](#) associated with this article can be found in the online version.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by a grant (No. 167461) to Cruces M.P. from the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT), México, and it involved in part research carried out by L.M. Vidal to obtain the Master's Degree in Environmental Sciences at the Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México (UAEM). The authors wish to acknowledge the splendid technical assistance provided by Hugo Suarez Contreras, Regina Jiménez Vega and Alicia Hernández Arenas.

References

- [1] S. De Flora, L.R. Ferguson, Overview of mechanisms of cancer chemopreventive agents, *Mutat. Res.* 591 (2005) 8–15, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2005.02.029>.
- [2] M. Llugany, R. Tolra, C. Poschneider, J. Carcelé, Hiperacumulación de metales ¿Una ventaja para la planta y para el hombre? *Ecosistem 16* (2007) 1–8.
- [3] A. Bernard, R. Lauwerys, Cadmium in human population, *Experientia* 40 (1984) 143–152.
- [4] J. Lebel, D. Mergler, M. Lucotte, Evidence of early nervous systems dysfunction in amazonian populations exposed to low-levels of methylmercury, *Neurotoxicology* 17 (1996) 157–168.
- [5] P. Jakszyn, C.A. González, Nitrosamine and related food intake and gastric and oesophageal cancer risk: a systematic review of the epidemiological evidence, *World J. Gastroenterol.* 12 (2006) 4296–4303, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v12.i27.4296>.
- [6] IARC, International Agency for Research on Cancer, *Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risk to Humans. Chromium, Nickel and Welding*. Vol. 49, Lyon, France, 1990.
- [7] J.J.R. Frausto da Silva, R.P.J. Williams, *The Biological Chemistry of the Elements. The Inorganic Chemistry of Life*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991, pp. 532–551.
- [8] J. Gauglhofer, V. Bianchi, Chromium, Metals and Their Compounds in the Environment, in: E. Merian (Ed.), Weinheim and New York, VCH, 1991, pp. 853–878.
- [9] S. De Flora, A. Camoirano, M. Bagnasco, P. Zancchi, Chromium and carcinogenesis, in: G. Berthon (Ed.), *Handbook on Metal Ligand Interactions in Biological Fluids. Bioinorganic Medicine*, Vol. 2., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1995, pp. 1020–1036.
- [10] R.R. Lauwerys, *Toxicología Industrial e intoxicaciones profesionales*, 5th ed, Masson, Paris, 2007, pp. 240–254.
- [11] ATSDR, Agency for Toxic Substances and disease Registry, in: *Case Studies in Environmental Medicine (CSEM)*, 2011, www.atsdr.cdc.gov/csem/ Chromium Toxicity Course: WB 1466 U.S.
- [12] C.H. Wu, et al., Mutations in the profilin 1 gene cause familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, *Nature* 488 (2012) 499–503, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11280>.
- [13] A.P. Odin, Antimutagenicity of the porphyrins and non-enzyme porphyrin-containing proteins, *Mutat. Res.* 387 (1997) 55–68, doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5742\(97\)00023-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5742(97)00023-9).
- [14] H. Hayatsu, T. Negishi, S. Arimoto, T. Hayatsu, Porphyrins as potential inhibitors against exposure to carcinogens and mutagens, *Mutat. Res.* 290 (1993) 79–85, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0027-5107\(93\)90035-E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0027-5107(93)90035-E).
- [15] Y.S. Cho, S.T. Hong, K.H. Choi, Y.H. Chang, A.S. Chung, Chemopreventive activity of porphyrin derivatives against 6-sulfooxymethylbenzo [a] pyrene mutagenicity, *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 1 (2000) 311–317.
- [16] D.P. Tschudy, The porphyrias, in: W.J. Williams, E. Beutler, A.J. Erslev, M.A. Lichtman (Eds.), *Hematology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1983, pp. 691–703.
- [17] K.M. Morehouse, S.N.J. Moreno, R.P. Mason, The one-electron reduction of uroporphyrin I by rat hepatic microsomes, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 257 (1987) 276–284, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861\(87\)90567-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(87)90567-4).

- [18] J. Van Steveninck, J.P.J. Boegheim, T.M.A.R. Dubbelman, The influence of porphyrins on iron-catalyzed generation of hydroxyl radicals, *Biochem. J.* 250 (1988) 197–201.
- [19] M. Comporti, Lipid peroxidation and cellular damage in toxic liver injury, *Lab. Invest.* 53 (1985) 599–623.
- [20] D.L. Tribble, Y.A. Tak, D.P. Jones, The pathophysiological significance of lipid peroxidative cell injury, *Hepatology* 7 (1987) 377–387, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.1840070227>.
- [21] S. Afonso, G. Vanore, E. Batlle, Protoporphyrin IX and oxidative stress, *Free Radic. Res.* 31 (1999) 161–170, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10715769900300711>.
- [22] E. Pimentel, L.M. Vidal, M.P. Cruces, M.K. Janczur, Action of protoporphyrin-IX (PP-IX) in the lifespan of *Drosophila melanogaster* deficient in endogenous antioxidants, Sod and Cat, *Open J. Anim. Sci.* 3 (2013) 1–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ojas.2013.34A2001>.
- [23] U. Graf, F.E. Würzler, A.J. Katz, H. Frei, H. Juon, C.B. Hall, P.G. Kale, Somatic mutation and recombination test in *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Environ. Mutagen.* 6 (1984) 153–188, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/em.2860060206>.
- [24] D. Lindsley, G. Zimm, *The genome of Drosophila melanogaster*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1992.
- [25] F. Frei, F.E. Würzler, Statistical methods to decide whether mutagenicity test data from *Drosophila* assays indicate a positive, negative, or inconclusive result, *Mutat. Res.* 203 (1988) 297–308, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-1161\(88\)90019-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-1161(88)90019-2).
- [26] S. De Flora, Threshold mechanisms and site specificity in chromium (VI) carcinogenesis, *Carcinogenesis* 21 (2000) 533–541, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/21.4.533>.
- [27] K. Jomovaa, M. Valko, Advances in metal-induced oxidative stress and human disease, *Toxicology* 283 (2011) 65–87, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2011.03.001>.
- [28] S.L. Gibson, R. Hilf, Interdependence of fluence, drug dose and oxygen of hematoporphyrin derivative induced photosensitisation of tumor mitochondria, *Photochem. Photobiol.* 42 (1985) 367–373.
- [29] J.C. Kennedy, R.H. Pottier, D.C. Pross, Photodynamic therapy with endogenous protoporphyrin IX: basic principles and present clinical experience, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 6 (1990) 143–148, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/1011-1344\(90\)85083-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/1011-1344(90)85083-9).
- [30] G.S. Cox, D.G. Whitten, C. Giannotti, Interaction of porphyrin and metalloporphyrin excited states with molecular oxygen. Energy-transfer versus electron-transfer quenching mechanisms in photooxidations, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 67 (1979) 511–515, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614\(79\)85216-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(79)85216-1).
- [31] E. Pimentel, M.P. Cruces, S. Zimmering, A study of the inhibition/promotion effects of sodium-copper chlorophyllin (SCC)-mediated mutagenesis in somatic cells of *Drosophila*, *Mutat. Res.* 722 (2011) 52–55, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2011.03.001>.
- [32] A.W. Girotti, Mechanisms of lipid peroxidation, *J. Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 1 (1985) 87–95, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0748-5514\(85\)90011-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0748-5514(85)90011-X).
- [33] L.A. Lipscomb, F.X. Zhou, S.R. Presnell, R.J. Woo, M.E. Peek, R.R. Plaskon, L.D. Williams, Structure of a DNA-porphyrin complex, *Biochemistry* 35 (1996) 2818–2823, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi952443z>.
- [34] R.J. Fiel, J.C. Howard, E.H. Mark, G.N. Datta, N. Gupta, Interaction of DNA with a porphyrin ligand: evidence for intercalation, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 6 (1979) 3093–3118, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/6.9.3093>.
- [35] M.A. Sari, J.P. Battioni, D. Dupre, D. Mansuy, J.B. Le Pecq, Interaction of cationic porphyrins with DNA: importance of the number and position of the charges and minimum structural requirements for intercalation, *Biochemistry* 29 (1990) 4205–4215, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi00469a025>.
- [36] A.F. Peixoto, M.M. Pereira, A.F. Sousa, A.A.C. Pais, M.C.P.M.S. Neves, A.M.S. Silva, J.A.S. Cavaleiro, Improving regioselectivity in the rhodium catalyzed hydroformylation of protoporphyrin-IX and chlorophyll a derivatives, *J. Mol. Catal.* 235 (2005) 185–193, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2005.04.008>.
- [37] S. Taketani, R. Tokunaga, Purification and substrate specificity of bovine liver-ferrochelatase, *Eur. J. Biochem.* 127 (1982) 443–447, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1982.tb06892.x>.
- [38] J.W. Hanson, H.A. Dailey, Purification and characterization of chicken erythrocyte ferrochelatase, *Biochem. J.* 222 (1984) 695–700.
- [39] M. Gora, E. Grzybowska, J. Rytka, R. Labbe-Bois, Probing the active-site residues in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* ferrochelatase by directed mutagenesis. In vivo and in vitro analyses, *J. Biol. Chem.* 271 (1996) 11810–11816, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.20.11810>.
- [40] E. Pimentel, S. Zimmering, M.E. de la Rosa, L. Tavera, M.P. Cruces, Evidence for an effect of exposure to low levels of alpha particle irradiation in larval cells of *Drosophila* as measured in the wing-spot test, *Mutat. Res.* 354 (1996) 139–142, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0027-5107\(96\)00038-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0027-5107(96)00038-3).
- [41] I. Gaivão, L.M. Sierra, M.A. Comendador, The w/w+ SMART assay of *Drosophila melanogaster* detects the genotoxic effects of oxygen species inducing compounds, *Mutat. Res.* 440 (1999) 139–145, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718\(99\)00020-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(99)00020-0).
- [42] S. Fijan, H. Hönigsman, B. Ortel, Photodynamic therapy of epithelial skin tumors using delta-aminolaevulinic acid and desferrioxamine, *Br. J. Dermatol.* 133 (1995) 282–288, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.1995.tb02630.x>.
- [43] M.P. Cruces, E. Pimentel, S. Zimmering, Evidence that low concentrations of chlorophyllin (CHLN) increase the genetic damage induced by gamma rays in somatic cells of *Drosophila*, *Mutat. Res.* 679 (2009) 84–86, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2009.07.004>.