

Increased midlife triglycerides predict brain β -amyloid and tau pathology 20 years later

Katarina Nägga, MD, PhD,* Anna-Märta Gustavsson, MD,* Erik Stomrud, MD, PhD, Daniel Lindqvist, MD, PhD, Danielle van Westen, MD, PhD, Kaj Blennow, MD, PhD, Henrik Zetterberg, MD, PhD, Olle Melander, MD, PhD, and Oskar Hansson, MD, PhD

Neurology® 2018;90:e73-81. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000004749

Correspondence

Dr. Nägga
katarina.nagga@med.lu.se
or Dr. Hansson
oskar.hansson@med.lu.se

Abstract

Objective

To evaluate the effect of midlife lipid levels on Alzheimer brain pathology 20 years later in cognitively normal elderly individuals.

Methods

This is a longitudinal cohort study of 318 cognitively normal individuals with data on fasting lipid levels at midlife (mean age 54 years). Presence of β -amyloid ($A\beta$) and tau pathologies 20 years later (mean age 73 years) were detected by quantifying Alzheimer disease (AD) biomarkers in CSF. In a subset ($n = 134$), $A\beta$ (^{18}F -flutemetamol) PET was also performed.

Results

CSF $A\beta_{42}$ and $A\beta$ PET revealed $A\beta$ pathology in approximately 20% of the cognitively healthy population and CSF $A\beta_{42}$ /phosphorylated tau (p-tau) ratio indicated both $A\beta$ and tau pathology in 16%. Higher levels of triglycerides in midlife were independently associated with abnormal CSF $A\beta_{42}$ (odds ratio [OR] 1.34, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.03–1.75, $p = 0.029$) and abnormal $A\beta_{42}$ /p-tau ratio (OR 1.46, 95% CI 1.10–1.93; $p = 0.009$) adjusting for age, sex, *APOE* $\epsilon 4$, education, and multiple vascular risk factors. Triglycerides were also associated with abnormal $A\beta$ PET in multivariable regression models, but the association was attenuated in the fully adjusted model. Increased levels of medium and large low-density lipoprotein subfractions were significantly associated with abnormal $A\beta$ PET and large high-density lipoprotein particles were associated with decreased risk of abnormal $A\beta$ PET.

Conclusions

Increased levels of triglycerides at midlife predict brain $A\beta$ and tau pathology 20 years later in cognitively healthy individuals. Certain lipoprotein subfractions may also be risk factors for $A\beta$ pathology. These findings further support an involvement of lipids in the very early stages of AD development.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

From the Clinical Memory Research Unit (K.N., A.-M.G., E.S., O.H.) and Clinical Research Centre (O.M.), Department of Clinical Sciences Malmö, Lund University; Memory Clinic (K.N., A.-M.G., E.S., O.H.), Skåne University Hospital, Malmö; Psychiatry (D.L.) and Diagnostic Radiology (D.v.W.), Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Lund University; Department of Psychiatry and Neurochemistry (K.B., H.Z.), Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, The Sahlgrenska Academy at University of Gothenburg; Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory (K.B., H.Z.), Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Mölndal, Sweden; and Department of Molecular Neuroscience (H.Z.), UCL Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, UK.

Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article.

The Article Processing Charge was funded by the Swedish Research Council.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Glossary

A β = β -amyloid; **AD** = Alzheimer disease; **FLAIR** = fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; **HDL** = high-density lipoprotein; **IMT** = intima-media thickness; **LDL** = low-density lipoprotein; **MDCS** = Malmö Diet and Cancer Study; **MMSE** = Mini-Mental State Examination; **MPRAGE** = magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo; **p-tau** = tau phosphorylated at Thr181; **SUVR** = standardized uptake value ratio; **VLDL** = very low-density lipoprotein; **WML** = white matter lesion.

Genetic analyses indicate that lipid metabolism is one of the main pathways involved in the pathologic process of Alzheimer disease (AD),¹ but previous longitudinal cohort studies show inconsistent results regarding lipids and later development of AD dementia.² Factors explaining these discrepancies include varying follow-up times² and the fact that a clinical diagnosis of AD is often unreliable.³ Autopsy studies assessing the longitudinal relationship between lipid levels and brain AD pathology include individuals with varying cognitive status.^{4,5} Since cerebral β -amyloid (A β) accumulation seems to start 10–20 years before symptom onset,⁶ cognitively normal individuals should be studied when determining risk factors associated with the earliest AD events. A β accumulation is detected reliably using either A β PET or CSF biomarkers.⁷ One previous cross-sectional study in healthy elderly found an association between higher triglycerides and A β PET⁸ and 2 recent longitudinal studies showed that an increased number of midlife vascular risk factors⁹ and midlife dyslipidemia¹⁰ were associated with brain amyloid deposition measured with PET. We aimed to investigate the association between different lipid levels in midlife and presence of brain amyloid 20 years later in asymptomatic individuals who were cognitively normal at follow-up. Further, we aimed to study if lipoprotein sub-fractions are associated with AD pathology. Previous findings suggest that high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) are independent risk factors for cardiovascular disease and may be superior to conventional HDL and LDL in assessing cardiovascular risk.^{11–14} Their role as risk factors for AD has not been studied previously.

Methods

Participants

The present data are derived from the cognitively healthy cohort of the Swedish BioFINDER Study (biofinder.se). In short, participants were recruited from the longitudinal population-based Malmö Diet and Cancer Study (MDCS) cardiovascular cohort (previously described in more detail).^{15–17} The baseline examination was performed between 1991 and 1994, followed by a reinvestigation between 2007 and 2012. Seventy-six percent of the eligible baseline population attended the reinvestigation and dropouts were generally in poorer health condition than attendees.¹⁷ Reasons for nonparticipation were unwillingness, sickness, or lack of information in registers. At baseline, all participants responded to questionnaires on health status and medication use and underwent physical examinations (anthropometric measures) and blood sampling by trained research nurses.^{15,17,18}

Beginning in 2009, we recruited candidates to the cognitively healthy cohort from the ongoing MDCS reinvestigation based on the following criteria: age >60 years, Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score ≥ 27 points, and no subjective cognitive impairment. During random periods, individuals fulfilling these criteria were invited to participate in the cognitively healthy cohort of the Swedish BioFINDER Study. This resulted in 437 potential study participants, who underwent a thorough clinical examination at the Memory Clinic by trained medical doctors assessing neurologic and psychiatric status as well as cognitive function (including a second MMSE), described previously in more detail.¹⁹ Individuals failing to fulfill inclusion criteria after this refined assessment, or with a history of TIA or stroke, severe neurologic or psychiatric disease, dementia, or mild cognitive impairment (Clinical Dementia Rating score >0), were excluded. Based on these criteria, 76 individuals were excluded, which yielded 361 participants in the cognitively normal cohort of the Swedish BioFINDER Study. The inclusion was terminated when this predefined number of participants was reached. Between 2010 and 2015, participants underwent cognitive testing,¹⁹ brain MRI, and lumbar puncture. After study completion, 318 individuals had available data on CSF biomarkers and midlife laboratory tests, and were included in the present study.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

All individuals received information about the study and gave written consent to participate. The Ethical Committee of Lund University, Lund, Sweden, gave ethical approval.

CSF analyses

The procedure and analysis of the CSF followed the Alzheimer's Association Flow Chart for CSF biomarkers.²⁰ We collected lumbar CSF samples between 2010 and 2015 and later analyzed them simultaneously according to a standardized protocol.²¹ CSF concentrations of A β ₄₂ and tau phosphorylated at Thr181 (p-tau) were measured with INNOTEST ELISA (Fujirebio Europe, Ghent, Belgium).

A β PET imaging

Brain A β was also measured using [¹⁸F]flutemetamol PET²² in a subpopulation (n = 134). We conducted PET/CT scanning between 2013 and 2015 using the same type of Philips (Best, the Netherlands) Gemini TF 16 scanner at 2 different sites. Average uptake was estimated from PET sum images from 90 to 110 minutes after injection. The images were analyzed with the NeuroMarQ software provided by GE Healthcare (Cleveland, OH). A volume of interest template was applied for

9 bilateral regions (prefrontal, parietal, lateral temporal, medial temporal, sensorimotor, occipital, anterior cingulate, and posterior cingulate/precuneus), combined in a global neocortical composite signal.²³ The standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) was the global composite tracer uptake, normalized for the mean uptake in the cerebellar cortex.

MRI of white matter lesions

We examined 308 participants between 2009 and 2015 using a 3T MRI scanner (Trio; Siemens, Munich, Germany). The MRI protocol comprised axial T2 fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) imaging and a coronal magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence. The total white matter lesion (WML) volume (mL) was segmented from the MPRAGE and FLAIR image data using the Lesion Segmentation Tool, version 1.2.3, as implemented in SPM8.²⁴

Lipid analyses

Triglycerides, cholesterol, and HDL were measured in serum after an overnight fast at the baseline visit in MDCS (1991–1994) using standard clinical procedures. LDL was calculated using the Friedewald formula.¹⁸

For quantification of plasma lipoprotein subfractions, lipoproteins were isolated by dextran sulfate precipitation as previously described.^{13,14} The lipoproteins were fractionated and quantitated in a single scan using gas-phase electrophoresis (ion mobility).²⁵ This method separates and quantitates lipoprotein particles ranging in size from small HDL to large very low-density lipoproteins (VLDLs).

Covariates

Covariates were selected based on available study data and on previous literature, linking vascular risk factors to AD.²⁶ *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ status was introduced as the absence or presence of any $\epsilon 4$ allele in order to investigate whether associations were independent of this well-known genetic risk factor for AD. We collected data on years of education at inclusion in the Swedish BioFINDER Study. All other covariates were derived from the baseline visit in MDCS (1991–1994) and details on data collection have been described previously.^{15,17,18} Mean intima-media thickness (IMT), a surrogate marker for subclinical atherosclerosis,²⁷ was assessed according to standard procedures in the right common carotid artery.¹⁷ Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was measured after 10 minutes of resting in a supine position. Fasting blood glucose was measured after an overnight fast using standard clinical procedure.¹⁸ We calculated body mass index as kg/m^2 . Cardiovascular disease, smoking, physical activity, and lipid-lowering medication were self-reported data derived from the self-administered questionnaire (<http://links.lww.com/WNL/A48>),^{15,16} described in more detail in e-Methods (<http://links.lww.com/WNL/A17>).

Statistical analysis

We used SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) statistical software (version 22 for Windows) for all statistical analyses, except

mixture modeling, which we performed using R (version 3.1.2 with Mixtools version 1.0.2). CSF and PET data were dichotomized and used as dependent variables in logistic regression models. Cutoff values for CSF and PET data were estimated using mixture modeling where a bimodal distribution reveals a cutoff point.²¹ The estimated cutoffs were abnormal $A\beta_{42} < 500$ pg/mL, abnormal $A\beta_{42}/\text{p-tau}$ ratio < 7.7 , and abnormal PET composite SUVR > 1.42 . We assessed WML volume as a continuous dependent variable using linear regression models.

We performed multivariable regression models with backward elimination (removal at $p > 0.1$) and constructed 4 models adding covariates in a stepwise manner as follows: model 1: age; model 2: age, sex, *APOE* $\epsilon 4$, and education; model 3: age, sex, *APOE* $\epsilon 4$, education, IMT, systolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, and body mass index; model 4: age, sex, *APOE* $\epsilon 4$, education, IMT, systolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, body mass index, cardiovascular disease, smoking, physical activity, and lipid-lowering medication (at follow-up). A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Linear data were converted to Z scores, using score (x), mean (μ), and SD (σ) according to the formula $z = (x - \mu)/\sigma$. In the multivariable analyses, only participants with data on all entered covariates were included in the analyses.

We also performed sensitivity analyses regarding blood pressure, weight reduction, and lipid-lowering medication at baseline and tested statistical interaction between triglycerides and *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ (presented in e-Results, <http://links.lww.com/WNL/A17>).

Results

Table 1 presents characteristics of the cognitively normal cohort of the Swedish BioFINDER Study in comparison with the recruitment cohort. The study group was generally healthier than the other MDCS participants (table 1). Mean follow-up time between the baseline visit and subsequent lumbar puncture was 20 years (SD ± 1.6) and mean follow-up time between baseline visit and PET imaging was 21 years (SD ± 0.9). CSF and PET biomarkers revealed abnormal amounts of $A\beta$ in approximately 20% of the cognitively healthy population and indicated a combination of $A\beta$ and tau pathology in 16%.

Baseline lipid levels and abnormal CSF biomarkers 20 years later

Associations between lipid levels (obtained at baseline in 1991–1994) and AD pathology 20 years later are presented in table 2. Higher levels of triglycerides in midlife were associated with abnormal CSF $A\beta_{42}$, as well as abnormal CSF $A\beta_{42}/\text{p-tau}$ ratio, in all logistic regression models even after multivariable adjustments (table 2).

Total cholesterol was associated with $A\beta_{42}/\text{p-tau}$ ratio in all logistic regression models (table 2). LDL was also associated

Table 1 Descriptive data of the study population in comparison to all other participants in the recruitment cohort

	Swedish BioFINDER study, cognitively healthy cohort (n = 318), mean ± SD or n (%)	MDCS, re-examination participants (n = 3,416), mean ± SD or n (%)	Group difference, p value
Baseline data (1991–1994)			
Age at baseline, y	54 ± 4.7	57 ± 5.7	<0.0001
Women	192 (60)	2,020 (59)	0.66
Triglycerides, mmol/L	1.22 ± 0.69	1.31 ± 0.72	0.03
Cholesterol, mmol/L	6.09 ± 1.03	6.14 ± 1.08	0.41
HDL, mmol/L	1.46 ± 0.37 ^a	1.40 ± 0.37	0.01
LDL, mmol/L	4.08 ± 0.93 ^a	4.14 ± 0.98	0.29
APOE ε4 carrier	87 (28) ^a	NA	NA
Education, y	12 ± 3.6	NA	NA
Intima-media thickness, CCA, mm	0.73 ± 0.13 ^b	0.75 ± 0.14	0.005
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	133 ± 15.8	139 ± 18.1	<0.0001
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	84 ± 8.4	86 ± 9.2	<0.0001
Fasting blood glucose, mmol/L	4.88 ± 0.72 ^b	5.04 ± 1.03	0.006
Body mass index, kg/m ²	24.8 ± 3.50	25.5 ± 3.71	0.002
Cardiovascular disease	1 (0.3) ^c	98 (3.0)	0.006
Never smoker	130 (41) ^b	1,402 (42)	0.66
Physical activity score	8,645 ± 5,734 ^d	8,084 ± 5,728	0.10
Lipid-lowering medication	3 (0.9)	67 (2.0)	0.20
Follow-up data (2010–2015)			
Age at MDCS re-examination, y	71 ± 4.8	73 ± 5.6	<0.0001
Lipid-lowering medication	79 (25)	1,050 (31)	0.03
Age at entry to BioFINDER study, y	73 ± 5.1	NA	NA
CSF Aβ ₄₂ < 500, pg/mL	73 (23)	NA	NA
CSF Aβ ₄₂ /p-tau ratio <7.7	51 (16)	NA	NA
Years between baseline visit and LP	20 ± 1.6	NA	NA
Aβ PET score >1.42 (SUVR)	25 (19) ^e	NA	NA
Years between baseline visit and PET	21 ± 0.9 ^e	NA	NA

Abbreviations: Aβ = β-amyloid; CCA = common carotid artery; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; LP = lumbar puncture; MDCS = Malmö Diet and Cancer Study; NA = not available; p-tau = phosphorylated tau; SUVR = standard uptake volume ratio.

The study population was recruited from the MDCS re-examination cohort (n = 3,734). Characteristics between the included cases (n = 318) and those who were not included (n = 3,416) are compared. Group differences were estimated with independent samples *t* test (numeric variables) and Pearson χ^2 (categorical variables). Data collected in the Swedish BioFINDER study were not available in the other MDCS participants (marked as NA).

^a Data on 315 participants.

^b Data on 317 participants.

^c Data on 316 participants.

^d Data on 314 participants.

^e Data on 134 participants.

with abnormal Aβ₄₂/p-tau ratio in models 1 and 4, but the significance level was somewhat attenuated in models 2 and 3 (table 2). HDL was not associated with the measured CSF biomarkers (table 2).

Baseline lipid levels and abnormal Aβ PET imaging 21 years later

In the subpopulation with available Aβ PET data (n = 134), higher triglyceride levels were associated with abnormal Aβ

Table 2 Associations between midlife lipids and brain β -amyloid ($A\beta$) 20 years later in cognitively normal individuals

	Abnormal $A\beta_{42}$			Abnormal $A\beta_{42}/p$ -tau			Abnormal $A\beta$ PET ^a		
	OR (95% CI)	p Value	R ²	OR (95% CI)	p Value	R ²	OR (95% CI)	p Value	R ²
Model 1									
Triglycerides	1.39 (1.08–1.79)	0.010	0.03	1.54 (1.17–2.03)	0.002	0.08	1.80 (1.13–2.86)	0.013	0.11
Cholesterol	NS			1.49 (1.10–2.01)	0.010	0.07	1.49 (0.99–2.24)	0.059	0.04
HDL	NS			NS			0.59 (0.34–1.03)	0.061	0.08
LDL	NS			1.49 (1.10–2.01)	0.010	0.07	1.45 (0.97–2.15)	0.067	0.04
Model 2									
Triglycerides	1.40 (1.08–1.81)	0.012	0.25	1.53 (1.15–2.02)	0.003	0.25	1.75 (1.07–2.84)	0.025	0.22
Cholesterol	NS			1.40 (1.00–1.95)	0.049	0.23	NS		
HDL	NS			NS			0.61 (0.34–1.09)	0.096	0.23
LDL	NS			1.38 (0.99–1.92)	0.057	0.23	NS		
Model 3									
Triglycerides	1.41 (1.08–1.84)	0.011	0.25	1.53 (1.16–2.03)	0.003	0.25	1.75 (1.07–2.84)	0.025	0.22
Cholesterol	NS			1.41 (1.01–1.97)	0.044	0.23	NS		
HDL	NS			NS			0.61 (0.34–1.09)	0.096	0.23
LDL	NS			1.39 (1.00–1.93)	0.053	0.23	NS		
Model 4									
Triglycerides	1.34 (1.03–1.75)	0.029	0.25	1.46 (1.10–1.93)	0.009	0.25	NS		
Cholesterol	NS			1.44 (1.03–2.02)	0.035	0.24	NS		
HDL	NS			NS			NS		
LDL	NS			1.45 (1.04–2.02)	0.030	0.24	NS		

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; NS = not significant; OR = odds ratio; p-tau = phosphorylated tau.

OR and 95% CI are per 1 SD increase in lipid concentration. Associations were assessed using logistic regression models with backward elimination, removing variables with p value >0.10 from the analysis. R^2 represents Nagelkerke R^2 model summary. Model 1: age; model 2: age, sex, $APOE \epsilon 4$, and education; model 3: age, sex, $APOE \epsilon 4$, education, intima-media thickness, systolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, and body mass index; model 4: age, sex, $APOE \epsilon 4$, education, intima-media thickness, systolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, body mass index, cardiovascular disease, smoking, physical activity, and lipid-lowering medication (at follow-up).

^aData on 134 participants.

PET 21 years later in models 1 through 3 (table 2). The association was attenuated and did not reach significance in model 4. Cholesterol, HDL, and LDL were not significantly associated with abnormal $A\beta$ PET (table 2).

Baseline lipoprotein subfractions and abnormal $A\beta$ PET imaging 21 years later

We further analyzed different lipoprotein subfractions in blood ($n = 117$) and found that medium and large LDL were significantly associated with abnormal $A\beta$ PET in multivariable regression models (table 3). Large HDL indicated decreased risk of $A\beta$ pathology (table 3). Small HDL and very small LDL were associated with increased risk in models 1 through 3, but not in model 4 (table 3). Intermediate-density lipoproteins and VLDL particles were not associated with $A\beta$ PET (table 3).

Baseline lipid levels and WMLs in the brain 20 years later

Next, we analyzed the associations between midlife lipid levels and the presence of small-vessel brain disease quantified as WML volume using MRI. None of the measured lipids was associated with WML volume in the multivariable linear regression models ($p > 0.05$).

Discussion

In this longitudinal study of 318 elderly individuals with normal cognition, we found that higher fasting triglyceride levels in midlife were associated with increased risk of brain $A\beta$ and tau pathology 20 years later. This finding was independent of age, sex, $APOE \epsilon 4$, and vascular risk factors. In the subpopulation with available $A\beta$ PET ($n = 134$), the association was attenuated

Table 3 Associations between lipoprotein subfractions in midlife and brain β -amyloid ($A\beta$) 20 years later in 117 cognitively normal individuals

	Abnormal $A\beta$ PET: Model 1			Abnormal $A\beta$ PET: Model 2			Abnormal $A\beta$ PET: Model 3			Abnormal $A\beta$ PET: Model 4		
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> Value	<i>R</i> ²	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> Value	<i>R</i> ²	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> Value	<i>R</i> ²	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> Value	<i>R</i> ²
Small HDL (7.7–10.5 nm)	1.68 (1.10–2.57)	0.017	0.12	1.73 (1.05–2.85)	0.033	0.37	1.71 (1.01–2.91)	0.048	0.41	NS		
Large HDL (10.5–14.5 nm)	0.40 (0.18–0.89) ^a	0.025	0.13	0.26 (0.09–0.74) ^a	0.011	0.42	0.26 (0.09–0.74) ^a	0.011	0.42	0.25 (0.08–0.74) ^a	0.013	0.49
Very small LDL (18.0–20.8 nm)	1.79 (1.18–2.72)	0.013	0.11	1.80 (1.10–2.94)	0.020	0.36	1.71 (1.03–2.84)	0.038	0.39	NS		
Small LDL (20.8–21.4 nm)	1.57 (1.08–2.28)	0.017	0.08	1.54 (0.96–2.48)	0.073	0.33	NS		0.33	NS		
Medium LDL (21.4–22.0 nm)	2.02 (1.28–3.20)	0.003	0.17	2.42 (1.35–4.33)	0.003	0.43	2.42 (1.35–4.33)	0.003	0.43	2.03 (1.16–3.53)	0.013	0.45
Large LDL (22.0–23.3 nm)	1.97 (1.24–3.13)	0.004	0.17	2.03 (1.24–3.33)	0.003	0.42	1.96 (1.20–3.22)	0.008	0.44	2.12 (1.25–3.61)	0.005	0.49
Small IDL (23.3–25.0 nm)	1.46 (0.97–2.18)	0.067	0.05	NS			NS		0.33	NS		
Large IDL (25.0–29.6 nm)	NS			0.55 (0.30–1.01)	0.053	0.37	0.51 (0.27–0.96) ^a	0.037	0.40	0.55 (0.29–1.03)	0.060	0.47
Small VLDL (29.6–33.5 nm)	NS			NS			NS		0.33	NS		
Medium VLDL (33.5–42.4 nm)	NS			NS			NS		0.33	NS		
Large VLDL (42.4–52.0 nm)	1.58 (0.96–2.56)	0.073	0.04	1.64 (0.93–2.88)	0.088	0.32	NS		0.33	NS		

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; IDL = intermediate-density lipoprotein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; NS = not significant; OR = odds ratio; VLDL = very-low-density lipoprotein. OR and 95% CI are per 1 SD increase in lipoprotein concentration. Associations were assessed using logistic regression models with backward elimination, removing variables with *p* value >0.10 from the analysis. *R*² represents Nagelkerke *R*² model summary. Model 1: age; model 2: age, sex, *APOE* ϵ 4, and education; model 3: age, sex, *APOE* ϵ 4, education, intima-media thickness, systolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, and body mass index; model 4: age, sex, *APOE* ϵ 4, education, intima-media thickness, systolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, body mass index, cardiovascular disease, smoking, physical activity, and lipid-lowering medication (at follow-up).^a Decreased risk.

and did not reach significance in model 4, where self-reported variables were added to the analyses (table 2). Most previous studies assessing lipid levels and A β accumulation were cross-sectional^{8,28–30} and longitudinal study designs are essential in order to assess causality and to evaluate mechanisms that influence pathologic processes in the early stages.

Interestingly, in a recently published longitudinal study, only dyslipidemia, among other midlife vascular risk factors, was associated with cerebral A β deposition.¹⁰ Another recent study showed that a cumulative number of midlife vascular risk factors, including cholesterol levels, was associated with A β PET.⁹ These studies did not report triglyceride levels. Longitudinal data from autopsy studies also suggest that dyslipidemia may increase the risk of neuritic plaques, but the results are inconsistent and over one-third of the participants in these studies had a dementia diagnosis.^{4,5} Since amyloid deposition begins 10–20 years before the onset of cognitive symptoms,⁶ we specifically aimed to study individuals who had not yet reached a state of cognitive impairment.

Several large studies indicate that increased midlife cholesterol level is associated with a clinical diagnosis of AD and dementia.^{31–33} However, it is still not completely understood how dyslipidemia exerts its pathologic effect on AD development.³⁴ To identify the processes involved in accumulation and deposition of A β , early identification of disease-modifying mechanisms may be necessary, and therefore studies on presymptomatic stages of AD are important. In our study, midlife cholesterol was associated with CSF A β ₄₂/p-tau ratio, which is a measure of combined A β and tau pathology and may indicate more advanced AD pathology than CSF A β ₄₂ alone or A β PET. Even though our results were not robust regarding all A β measures, our findings together with previous studies^{31–33} may indicate that triglycerides are associated with early A β accumulation and cholesterol is associated with later stages of the prodementia phase of AD.

The potential pathophysiologic effect of triglycerides on A β pathology is unclear. Plasma triglyceride levels have been shown to be increased prior to A β deposition in transgenic AD mouse models, pointing at a direct association between triglycerides and A β homeostasis.³⁵ Lipids may influence membrane fluidity, which could directly affect secretase-mediated A β .³⁶ In vitro data also suggest that lipids may have a direct effect on A β aggregation kinetics.³⁷ Finally, triglyceride-rich lipoprotein particles in blood may serve as A β carriers.³⁸ Thus, there are a multitude of potential pathways through which midlife lipid concentrations could influence the risk of developing cerebral β -amyloidosis and clinical AD, which warrants further research.

We found no associations between midlife lipid levels and WML load in our study, which may indicate that the association between triglycerides and A β is not mediated through hyperlipidemia being a vascular risk factor in general. Neither did fasting blood glucose, as a measure of diabetic or pre-diabetic status, attenuate the association between triglycerides

and AD pathology. In any case, our data, along with data derived from animal models,³⁵ suggest a direct relationship between triglycerides and AD.

There is evidence indicating that lifestyle interventions lower triglyceride levels through physical activity, diet control, and weight reduction. Pharmacologic treatments with triglyceride-lowering effects include statins, fibrates, niacin, and N-3 fatty acids.^{39,40} So far, these treatments have mainly been tested to reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease. If our finding that increased triglyceride levels in midlife lead to an increase in abnormal A β accumulation can be reproduced in larger cohorts, it would be of great interest to initiate intervention trials with triglyceride-lowering therapies in midlife and study potential long-term reductions in A β as the main outcome. If such treatments can decrease the risk of developing AD pathology, this could lead to significant health improvements for millions of people.

Apart from the standard lipid analyses, different lipoprotein subfractions may add new insights into the risk profiles of blood lipids.¹¹ Because lipoproteins are heterogeneous in structure and function,^{13,14} the different subfractions may have distinctive characteristics in relation to AD development. In our study, higher levels of medium and large LDL subfractions, but lower levels of large HDL, were associated with A β pathology. Since neither standard HDL nor LDL were associated with AD pathology in the study population, this may point towards an added value of measuring lipoprotein subfractions in AD risk assessments. However, these results are based on a smaller population and are mainly explorative, and presented in order to encourage further investigation. In previous studies, small HDL particles have been shown to increase the risk of cardiovascular disease, whereas larger subfractions of HDL seem to be protective.¹² Interestingly, our findings indicate the same pattern of association with A β as outcome. The effects of the different LDL subfractions seem to be more diverse. One study found that small- and medium-sized fractions of LDL have the most atherogenic effect,¹⁴ whereas another study did not find that the smallest LDL fractions were associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease.¹³ Since both cardiovascular disease and AD seem to be attributable to the same vascular risk factors, continued investigation of lipoprotein subfractions is of interest.

This study has potential limitations. Since we recruited our cohort at the MDCS re-examination, only attendees were eligible for inclusion. The participants included in the present study were healthier than the majority of MDCS re-examination attendees (table 1). This introduces selection bias, where study participants are healthier than the general population, which may lead to an underestimation of the found associations. The results need to be replicated in other and preferably larger cohorts, in order to establish the association between midlife triglycerides and subsequent A β accumulation. However, our sample size was considerably larger than in previous studies assessing lipids and brain A β .^{4,5,8,28–30}

Although we were able to adjust for many demographic factors, not all possible confounders could be addressed. However, we included the same control variables as previous studies on lipids and brain A β , generally adjusting for age, sex, APOE ϵ 4, lipid-lowering treatment, and vascular risk factors.^{8,28–30} Cardiovascular disease was self-reported, which possibly underestimates the true prevalence and may be less reliable than other measures, e.g., medical diagnoses. We tried to compensate this by using other objective measures of cardiovascular pathologies, such as IMT, which is a marker for atherosclerosis.²⁷

Since we aimed to explore the potential added value of lipoprotein subfractions apart from standard lipid analyses, multiple testing was performed. This may lead to findings mainly due to chance, and consequently our results regarding subfractions ought to be interpreted with caution. Another weakness is that lipid levels were only measured on one occasion in midlife, but this approach is common in population-based settings.

Despite these limitations, the current study contributes valuable new information. Strengths of the study include a long follow-up period together with thorough adjustments for both vascular risk factors and APOE ϵ 4 carrier status, as well as direct analyses of A β pathology using both CSF and PET. If our findings can be replicated, increased triglycerides may be recognized as a modifiable and easily measured risk factor for AD pathology.

Author contributions

Drs. Nägga and Gustavsson: study design and concept, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data, and drafting of the manuscript. Dr. Stomrud: acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. Dr. Lindqvist: analysis of data and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. Dr. van Westen: analysis and interpretation of data and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. Drs. Blennow and Zetterberg: obtaining funding, acquisition of data, and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. Dr. Melander: acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. Dr. Hansson: obtaining funding, study design and concept, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, and study supervision.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the collaborators of this study; the BioFINDER Study group (www.biofinder.se); the laboratory technicians at the Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory; the team behind MDCS; Niklas Mattsson, Sebastian Palmqvist, and Carina Wattmo at the Clinical Memory Research Unit, Lund University; and Dov Shiffman at Quest Diagnostics.

Study funding

The study was supported by the European Research Council, the Swedish Research Council, the Strategic Research Area

MultiPark (Multidisciplinary Research in Parkinson's Disease) at Lund University, the Swedish Brain Foundation, the Swedish Alzheimer Foundation, the Marianne and Marcus Wallenberg Foundation, Skåne University Hospital Foundations and Donations, the Torsten Söderberg Foundation at the Swedish Royal Academy of Sciences, and the Swedish federal government under the ALF agreement. Dr. Zetterberg is a Wallenberg Academy Fellow.

Disclosure

K. Nägga, A.-M. Gustavsson, E. Stomrud, D. Lindqvist, and D. van Westen report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. K. Blennow has served as a consultant or at advisory boards for Alzheon, Eli-Lilly, Fujirebio Europe, IBL International, and Roche Diagnostics, and is a co-founder of Brain Biomarker Solutions in Gothenburg AB, a GU Venture-based platform company at the University of Gothenburg. H. Zetterberg is a co-founder of Brain Biomarker Solutions in Gothenburg AB, a GU Venture-based platform company at the University of Gothenburg. O. Melander reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. O. Hansson has participated in advisory boards of Eli Lilly and received research support from Hoffmann-La Roche and General Electric Healthcare. Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures.

Received January 4, 2017. Accepted in final form September 27, 2017.

References

1. Guerreiro R, Hardy J. Genetics of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurotherapeutics* 2014;11:732–737.
2. Reitz C. Dyslipidemia and the risk of Alzheimer's disease. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* 2013;15:307.
3. Brunnstrom H, Englund E. Clinicopathological concordance in dementia diagnostics. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2009;17:664–670.
4. Launer LJ, White LR, Petrovitch H, Ross GW, Curb JD. Cholesterol and neuropathologic markers of AD: a population-based autopsy study. *Neurology* 2001;57:1447–1452.
5. Matsuzaki T, Sasaki K, Hata J, et al. Association of Alzheimer disease pathology with abnormal lipid metabolism: the Hisayama Study. *Neurology* 2011;77:1068–1075.
6. Dubois B, Hampel H, Feldman HH, et al. Preclinical Alzheimer's disease: definition, natural history, and diagnostic criteria. *Alzheimers Dement* 2016;12:292–323.
7. Blennow K, Mattsson N, Scholl M, Hansson O, Zetterberg H. Amyloid biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 2015;36:297–309.
8. Choi HJ, Byun MS, Yi D, et al. Association between serum triglycerides and cerebral amyloidosis in cognitively normal elderly. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2016;24:604–612.
9. Gottesman RF, Schneider AL, Zhou Y, et al. Association between midlife vascular risk factors and estimated brain amyloid deposition. *JAMA* 2017;317:1443–1450.
10. Vemuri P, Knopman DS, Lesnick TG, et al. Evaluation of amyloid protective factors and Alzheimer disease neurodegeneration protective factors in elderly individuals. *JAMA Neurol* 2017;74:718–726.
11. Schaefer EJ, Tsunoda F, Diffenderfer M, Polisecki E, Thai N, Asztalos B. The Measurement of Lipids, Lipoproteins, Apolipoproteins, Fatty Acids, and Sterols, and Next Generation Sequencing for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Lipid Disorders. South Dartmouth, MA: Endotext; 2000.
12. Kontush A. HDL particle number and size as predictors of cardiovascular disease. *Front Pharmacol* 2015;6:218.
13. Musunuru K, Orho-Melander M, Caulfield MP, et al. Ion mobility analysis of lipoprotein subfractions identifies three independent axes of cardiovascular risk. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2009;29:1975–1980.
14. Mora S, Caulfield MP, Wohlgenuth J, et al. Atherogenic lipoprotein subfractions determined by ion mobility and first cardiovascular events after random allocation to high-intensity statin or placebo: the justification for the use of statins in prevention: an intervention trial evaluating rosuvastatin (JUPITER) trial. *Circulation* 2015;132:2220–2229.
15. Berglund G, Elmstahl S, Janzon L, Larsson SA. The Malmo Diet and Cancer Study: design and feasibility. *J Intern Med* 1993;233:45–51.
16. Manjer J, Carlsson S, Elmstahl S, et al. The Malmo Diet and Cancer Study: representativity, cancer incidence and mortality in participants and non-participants. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2001;10:489–499.

17. Rosvall M, Persson M, Ostling G, et al. Risk factors for the progression of carotid intima-media thickness over a 16-year follow-up period: the Malmo Diet and Cancer Study. *Atherosclerosis* 2015;239:615–621.
18. Hedblad B, Nilsson P, Janzon L, Berglund G. Relation between insulin resistance and carotid intima-media thickness and stenosis in non-diabetic subjects: results from a cross-sectional study in Malmo, Sweden. *Diabet Med* 2000;17:299–307.
19. Gustavsson AM, Stomrud E, Abul-Kasim K, et al. Cerebral microbleeds and white matter hyperintensities in cognitively healthy elderly: a cross-sectional cohort study evaluating the effect of arterial stiffness. *Cerebrovasc Dis Extra* 2015;5:41–51.
20. Blennow K, Hampel H, Weiner M, Zetterberg H. Cerebrospinal fluid and plasma biomarkers in Alzheimer disease. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2010;6:131–144.
21. Palmqvist S, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, et al. Accuracy of brain amyloid detection in clinical practice using cerebrospinal fluid beta-amyloid 42: a cross-validation study against amyloid positron emission tomography. *JAMA Neurol* 2014;71:1282–1289.
22. Koole M, Lewis DM, Buckley C, et al. Whole-body biodistribution and radiation dosimetry of 18F-GE067: a radioligand for in vivo brain amyloid imaging. *J Nucl Med* 2009;50:818–822.
23. Lundqvist R, Lilja J, Thomas BA, et al. Implementation and validation of an adaptive template registration method for 18F-flutemetamol imaging data. *J Nucl Med* 2013;54:1472–1478.
24. Schmidt P, Gaser C, Arsic M, et al. An automated tool for detection of FLAIR-hyperintense white-matter lesions in multiple sclerosis. *Neuroimage* 2012;59:3774–3783.
25. Caulfield MP, Li S, Lee G, et al. Direct determination of lipoprotein particle sizes and concentrations by ion mobility analysis. *Clin Chem* 2008;54:1307–1316.
26. Qiu C, Xu W, Fratiglioni L. Vascular and psychosocial factors in Alzheimer's disease: epidemiological evidence toward intervention. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2010;20:689–697.
27. O'Leary DH, Bots ML. Imaging of atherosclerosis: carotid intima-media thickness. *Eur Heart J* 2010;31:1682–1689.
28. Hughes TM, Lopez OL, Evans RW, et al. Markers of cholesterol transport are associated with amyloid deposition in the brain. *Neurobiol Aging* 2014;35:802–807.
29. Reed B, Villeneuve S, Mack W, DeCarli C, Chui HC, Jagust W. Associations between serum cholesterol levels and cerebral amyloidosis. *JAMA Neurol* 2014;71:195–200.
30. Toledo JB, Toledo E, Weiner MW, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors, cortisol, and amyloid-beta deposition in Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. *Alzheimers Dement* 2012;8:483–489.
31. Kivipelto M, Helkala EL, Laakso MP, et al. Apolipoprotein E epsilon4 allele, elevated midlife total cholesterol level, and high midlife systolic blood pressure are independent risk factors for late-life Alzheimer disease. *Ann Intern Med* 2002;137:149–155.
32. Solomon A, Kivipelto M, Wolozin B, Zhou J, Whitmer RA. Midlife serum cholesterol and increased risk of Alzheimer's and vascular dementia three decades later. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2009;28:75–80.
33. Whitmer RA, Sidney S, Selby J, Johnston SC, Yaffe K. Midlife cardiovascular risk factors and risk of dementia in late life. *Neurology* 2005;64:277–281.
34. Di Paolo G, Kim TW. Linking lipids to Alzheimer's disease: cholesterol and beyond. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2011;12:284–296.
35. Burgess BL, McIsaac SA, Naus KE, et al. Elevated plasma triglyceride levels precede amyloid deposition in Alzheimer's disease mouse models with abundant A beta in plasma. *Neurobiol Dis* 2006;24:114–127.
36. Araki W, Tamaoka A. Amyloid beta-protein and lipid rafts: focused on biogenesis and catabolism. *Front Biosci* 2015;20:314–324.
37. Hellstrand E, Sparr E, Linse S. Retardation of Abeta fibril formation by phospholipid vesicles depends on membrane phase behavior. *Biophys J* 2010;98:2206–2214.
38. Mamo JC, Jian L, James AP, Flicker L, Esselmann H, Wiltfang J. Plasma lipoprotein beta-amyloid in subjects with Alzheimer's disease or mild cognitive impairment. *Ann Clin Biochem* 2008;45:395–403.
39. Agrawal N, Freitas Corradi P, Gumaste N, Goldberg IJ. Triglyceride treatment in the age of cholesterol reduction. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2016;59:107–118.
40. Berglund L, Brunzell JD, Goldberg AC, Goldberg IJ, Stalenhoef A. Treatment options for hypertriglyceridemia: from risk reduction to pancreatitis. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2014;28:423–437.

Increased midlife triglycerides predict brain β -amyloid and tau pathology 20 years later

Katarina Nägga, MD, PhD, Anna-Märta Gustavsson, MD, Erik Stomrud, MD, PhD, Daniel Lindqvist, MD, PhD, Danielle van Westen, MD, PhD, Kaj Blennow, MD, PhD, Henrik Zetterberg, MD, PhD, Olle Melander, MD, PhD, and Oskar Hansson, MD, PhD

Neurology® 2018;90:26. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000004749

Correspondence

Dr. Nägga
katarina.nagga@med.lu.se
or Dr. Hansson
oskar.hansson@med.lu.se

Study question

Can midlife serum lipid levels predict pathologic changes related to Alzheimer disease in cognitively normal elderly subjects?

Summary answer

Increased triglyceride levels at midlife predicted the development of β -amyloid (A β) and tau pathology (phosphorylated tau [p-tau]) 20 years later.

What is known and what this paper adds

Lipid metabolism is central to the pathology of Alzheimer disease, but clinical data on this relationship are inconsistent. This study provides evidence that midlife lipid status is relevant to early changes in key features of Alzheimer pathology.

Participants and setting

The study examined 318 cognitively normal participants at midlife (mean age 54 years) and 20 years later (mean age 73 years). Participants were recruited from the population-based Malmö Diet and Cancer Study.

Design, size, and duration

Participants completed a baseline examination including a questionnaire on health status and medication use, a physical examination, and blood sampling. Reevaluation 20 years later consisted of cognitive testing, lumbar puncture for CSF collection ($n = 318$), brain MRI of white matter lesions ($n = 304$), and [^{18}F]flutemetamol PET for brain A β imaging ($n = 134$). Multivariable regression models with backward elimination (removal at $p > 0.1$) were performed and 4 models were constructed by adding covariates in a stepwise manner to adjust for other risk factors.

Main results and the role of chance

A β abnormalities were identified in 20% of participants and mixed A β /p-tau in 16%. There were significant associations between higher triglycerides and abnormal CSF A β (model 4

Model	Adjusted OR (95% CI) for association with triglycerides		
	Abnormal A β	Abnormal A β /p-tau	Abnormal A β PET
1	1.39 (1.08–1.79)	1.54 (1.17–2.03)	1.80 (1.13–2.86)
2	1.40 (1.08–1.81)	1.53 (1.15–2.02)	1.75 (1.07–2.84)
3	1.41 (1.08–1.84)	1.53 (1.16–2.03)	1.75 (1.07–2.84)
4	1.34 (1.03–1.75)	1.46 (1.10–1.93)	ns

Abbreviations: A β = β -amyloid; CI = confidence interval; NS = not significant; OR = odds ratio; p-tau = phosphorylated tau.

adjusted odds ratio [OR] 1.34, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.03–1.75) and abnormal CSF A β /p-tau (model 4 adjusted OR 1.46, 95% CI 1.10–1.93) in all models. An association between higher triglycerides and abnormal A β PET was significant, except in model 4 (model 3 adjusted OR 1.75, 95% CI 1.07–2.84). An analysis of lipoprotein subfractions in blood revealed that higher medium and large low-density lipoprotein subfractions were associated with abnormal A β PET (OR 2.03, 95% CI 1.16–3.53 and OR 2.12, 95% CI 1.25–3.61, respectively), whereas higher large high-density lipoprotein subfractions decreased the risk of abnormal A β PET (OR 0.25, 95% CI 0.08–0.74).

Bias, confounding, and other reasons for caution

Participants were healthier compared to the overall Malmö Diet and Cancer Study cohort. In addition, cardiovascular disease was self-reported.

Generalizability to other populations

The results are generalizable to cognitively normal adults.

Study funding/potential competing interests

The study was funded by a group of university, government, and foundation grants. Go to [Neurology.org/N](https://www.neurology.org/N) for full disclosures.

A draft of the short-form article was written by A. Symons, a writer with Editage, a division of Cactus Communications. The authors of the full-length article and the journal editors edited and approved the final version.