DOI: 10.1002/oby.23413

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Obesity Biology and Integrated Physiology

Coronary microvascular function and visceral adiposity in patients with normal body weight and type 2 diabetes

Amrit Chowdhary¹ | Sharmaine Thirunavukarasu¹ | Nicholas Jex¹ | Lauren Coles¹ | Charles Bowers¹ | Anshuman Sengupta¹ | Peter Swoboda¹ | Klaus Witte² | Richard Cubbon² | Hui Xue³ | Peter Kellman³ | John Greenwood¹ | Sven Plein¹ | Eylem Levelt¹

¹Multidisciplinary Cardiovascular Research Centre and Biomedical Imaging Science Department, Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

²Discovery and Translational Science Department, Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

³National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

Correspondence

Eylem Levelt, Multidisciplinary Cardiovascular Research Centre and Biomedical Science Department, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK. Email: e.levelt@leeds.ac.uk

Funding information

This research was funded in whole, or in part by the Wellcome Trust (Grant 207726/Z/17/Z). For the purpose of open access, the corresponding author (EL) has applied for a CC BY public copyright license to any author accepted manuscript version arising from this submission. This study was also supported by a British Heart Foundation grant (RG. DOBI.107805). AC is funded by a British Heart Foundation Clinical Research Training Fellowship (FS/CRTF/20/24003). EL is funded by a Wellcome Trust Clinical Career Development Fellowship (221690/Z/20/Z).

Abstract

Objective: This study sought to assess whether diabetes affects coronary microvascular function in individuals with normal body weight.

Methods: Seventy-five participants (30 patients with type 2 diabetes [T2D] who were overweight [O-T2D], 15 patients with T2D who were lean [LnT2D], 15 healthy volunteers who were lean [LnHV], and 15 healthy volunteers who were overweight [O-HV]) without established cardiovascular disease were recruited. Participants underwent magnetic resonance imaging for assessment of subcutaneous, epicardial, and visceral adipose tissue areas, adenosine stress myocardial blood flow (MBF), and cardiac structure and function.

Results: Stress MBF was reduced only in the O-T2D group (mean [SD], LnHV = 2.07 [0.47] mL/g/min, O-HV = 2.08 [0.42] mL/g/min, LnT2D = 2.16 [0.36] mL/g/min, O-T2D = 1.60 [0.28] mL/g/min; $p \le 0.0001$). Accumulation of visceral fat was evident in the LnT2D group at similar levels to the O-HV group (LnHV = 127 [53] cm², O-HV = 181 [60] cm², LnT2D = 182 [99] cm², O-T2D = 288 [72] cm²; p < 0.0001). Only the O-T2D group showed reductions in left ventricular ejection fraction (LnHV = 63% [4%], C-HV = 63% [4%], LnT2D = 60% [5%], O-T2D = 58% [6%]; p = 0.0008) and global longitudinal strain (LnHV = -15.1% [3.1%], O-HV = -15.2% [3.7%], LnT2D = -13.4% [2.7%], O-T2D = -11.1% [2.8%]; p = 0.002) compared with both control groups.

Conclusions: Patients with T2D and normal body weight do not show alterations in global stress MBF, but they do show significant increases in visceral adiposity. Patients with T2D who were overweight and had no prior cardiovascular disease showed an increase in visceral adiposity and significant reductions in stress MBF.

[Correction added on 20 April 2022, after first online publication: The wording in the Funding information section has been modified for compliance on one of the funders.]

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. Obesity published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of The Obesity Society (TOS).

INTRODUCTION

Driven predominantly by the obesity epidemic, the prevalence of type 2 diabetes (T2D) continues to rise (1), with heart failure as the leading cardiovascular complication (2) even in patients with good cardiovascular risk factor management (3). Coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD) has emerged as a candidate mechanism of heart disease in diabetes (4,5), preceding clinical heart failure manifestation (6,7) and carrying important prognostic information (8,9). However, CMD has also been demonstrated in individuals with obesity and without diabetes (10,11). The proportion of adults with normal body weight at the time of incident diabetes has ranged from 9% to 21% (12,13). Whether or not diabetes affects coronary microvascular function in the absence of obesity is uncertain.

Moreover, individuals with the same BMI do not necessarily share the same degree of adiposity and they may have a very different fat mass percentage and fat distribution, with diverse metabolic consequences (14). It has been proposed that the visceral adipose tissue (VAT), including the epicardial adipose tissue (EAT), may have a more adverse influence on cardiovascular health compared with subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT), as it is a metabolically active tissue capable of secreting adipokines and proinflammatory mediators that regulate appetite and insulin action (15,16). Moreover, highlighting the cardiometabolic relevance of distinct adipose tissue distribution phenotypes, a lower amount of lower-body fat mass has been shown to be an important determinant of cardiometabolic risk (17,18). Novel findings have suggested an important and independent role of increased gluteofemoral fat mass to maintain metabolic health (19). Although the role of excess visceral adiposity in individuals with normal body weight has been carefully studied in the context of prediabetes (20,21), there is sparse evidence to show whether patients with diabetes and normal body weight possess excess visceral adiposity compared with their weight-matching counterparts with no diabetes, as well as whether diabetes and obesity have a combined impact on the alterations of adipose tissue distribution.

First-pass dynamic contrast-enhanced myocardial perfusion cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) can be used to derive quantitative estimates of hyperemic and resting myocardial blood flow (MBF) for assessment of myocardial microvascular function (22). CMR is also the reference technique for a comprehensive, noninvasive assessment of changes in cardiac structure, function, strain, fibrosis, and scar (23). VAT, SAT, and EAT can be measured with high accuracy by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (24).

Consequently, using CMR, we sought to establish whether diabetes affects coronary microvascular function in patients with T2D and normal body weight (LnT2D). We further aimed to compare adipose tissue distribution and cardiac structural and functional alterations between the asymptomatic LnT2D group and patients who were overweight with T2D (O-T2D) and without established cardiovascular disease, as well as between healthy volunteers

Study Importance

What is already known?

- ► The prevalence of type 2 diabetes (T2D) is on the rise, fueled at least partly by the obesity pandemic, with heart failure as one of its major cardiovascular complications.
- A total of 9% to 21% of adults have normal body weight at the time of diabetes diagnosis.
- Coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD) has emerged as a potential causative mechanism for development of heart failure.
- CMD has been demonstrated in obesity. Whether diabetes affects CMD in the absence of obesity remains unknown.

What does this study add?

- Patients with T2D and normal body weight, with no prior cardiovascular disease, do not show alterations in global stress myocardial blood flow or in cardiac function and strain parameters.
- Accumulation of visceral fat is evident even in patients with T2D and normal body weight.
- Patients with T2D and overweight show significantly higher visceral fat accumulation compared with BMImatching individuals without diabetes or overweight.
- Reductions in global stress myocardial blood flow are evident only in patients with diabetes and overweight and with no prior cardiovascular disease.

How might these results change the direction of research?

Future studies are needed to further characterize the adipose tissue remodeling and function in patients with T2D and the role adipose tissue plays in the development of cardiac dysfunction in diabetes.

who were lean and those who were overweight (LnHV and O-HV, respectively).

METHODS

Study population and design

This single-center observational study was approved by the National Research Ethics Committee (REC Ref 18/YH/0168) and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed written consent was obtained from each participant. A

total of 30 participants in the O-T2D group (BMI > 25 kg/m²), 15 participants in the LnT2D group (BMI \leq 25), 15 participants with no T2D in the LnHV group (BMI \leq 25), and 15 participants with no T2D in the O-HV group (BMI > 25), all with a similar age and sex distribution, were recruited to the study. Patients were recruited from the general practices in Yorkshire, UK. Control participants were recruited from local golf clubs.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Participants were excluded if they had a previous diagnosis of cardiovascular disease (previous coronary artery bypass graft surgery, angioplasty, myocardial infarction, angina, moderate or above valvular heart disease, and atrial fibrillation), contraindications to CMR, ischemic changes on 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG), or renal impairment (estimated glomerular filtration rate below 30 mL/min/1.73 m²) or if they were receiving treatment with insulin. Control participants had no overt cardiovascular disease, and they had normal glycemic control with glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) values \leq 40 mmol/mol. For the control cohorts, at the point of recruitment, it was ascertained by verbal questioning that the exercise duration had been <6 h/wk for the past 12 months.

Anthropometric measurements

Height and weight were recorded, BMI was calculated, blood pressure (BP) was recorded as an average of three supine measures taken over 10 minutes (DINAMAP-1846-SX, Critikon Inc., Tampa, Florida), and a resting ECG was recorded. Fasting blood samples were taken from each participant for assessments of full blood count, estimated glomerular filtration rate, glucose, insulin, HbA1c, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and total cholesterol levels. Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance index (HOMA-IR; fasting serum insulin [micrometers per liter]×fasting plasma glucose [millimoles per liter]/22.5) (14,25) and triglyceride to HDL ratio (26) were calculated as validated surrogate markers of insulin resistance from fasting blood samples.

MRI

All scans were performed on a 3.0-T MR system (Prisma, Siemens, AG, Erlangen, Germany). Participants were advised to avoid caffeine for 24 hours prior. The MRI protocol (Figure 1) consisted of cine imaging using a steady-state free precession (SSFP) sequence, thoracic and abdominal water/fat images using a multi-echo gradient echo (GRE) sequence, native and postcontrast T1 mapping, stress and rest perfusion, and late gadolinium enhancement (LGE).

For epicardial and abdominal visceral fat area measurements, single-shot acquisition of thoracic and abdominal non-breath-hold images was performed using a multi-echo GRE sequence with gradient flyback for monopolar readout to acquire three echoes for each phase encode (parallel imaging rate 3 using GRAPPA with separate reference line acquisition, 32-channel cardiac array; bandwidth = 1,184 Hz/pixel; echo time (TE) = 1.33, 3.35, and 5.37 milliseconds; repetition time (TR) = 6.71 milliseconds; readout flip angle = 20°; matrix = 192 × 108; single-shot duration = 242 milliseconds; field of view (FOV)= $300 \times 225 \text{ mm}^2$; section thickness = 6 mm), as previously described (27).

Native T1 mapping was acquired in three sections using a breathheld modified Look-Locker inversion recovery acquisition, as previously described (precontrast 5 seconds [3 seconds] 3 seconds and postcontrast 4 seconds [1 second] 3 seconds [1 second] 2 seconds schemes) (28). Postcontrast T1 mapping acquisition was performed 15 minutes after the last contrast injection using identical planning as the native T1 map.

Perfusion imaging used a free-breathing, fast low-angle shot (FLASH) MR protocol with motion-corrected (MOCO) automated in-line perfusion mapping using the Gadgetron streaming software image reconstruction framework, as previously described (23). For stress perfusion imaging, adenosine was infused at a rate of 140 μ g/kg/min and increased up to a maximum of 210 μ g/kg/min according to hemodynamic and symptomatic response (a significant hemodynamic response to adenosine stress was defined as



FIGURE 1 Scan protocol. The scan protocol included cine imaging, thoracic and abdominal fat/water maps, native precontrast and postcontrast T1 mapping, adenosine stress perfusion imaging, and LGE imaging. SA, short axis; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; GRE, gradient echo [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

a >10-beats/min increase in heart rate or a BP drop < 10 mm Hg and >1 adenosine-related symptom, e.g., chest tightness, breathlessness) (29). A minimum 10-minute interval was kept between perfusion acquisitions to ensure equilibration of gadolinium kinetics and resolution of all hemodynamic effects of adenosine. For each perfusion acquisition, an intravenous bolus of 0.05 mmol/kg of gadobutrol (Gadovist, Leverkusen, Germany) was administered at 5 mL/s followed by a 20-mL saline flush using an automated injection pump (Medrad MRXperion Injection System, Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany).

LGE imaging was performed using a phase-sensitive inversion recovery sequence in matching left ventricle (LV) short-axis planes and long-axis planes >8 minutes after contrast administration to exclude the presence of previous myocardial infarction or regional fibrosis.

Quantitative analysis

All CMR postprocessing analysis was performed offline and blinded to all participant details by AC (with 2 years of CMR experience) after completion of the study. The anonymization codes, which were generated using a random number generator, were unlocked only after all data analysis was completed.

All CMR image analysis was performed offline and blinded to all participant details by AC, and all scan contours were subsequently reviewed using cvi42 software (Circle Cardiovascular Imaging, Calgary, Canada) by EL (with 8 years of CMR experience; level 3 European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging accreditation), who was also blinded to participant details. Images for biventricular volumes and function were analyzed as previously described (30). The left atrial (LA) volume and LA ejection fraction were calculated using the biplane area-length method in the horizontal and vertical long axes, as previously described (31). Strain measurements were performed using cvi42 Tissue Tracking (Circle Cardiovascular Imaging) from balanced SSFP from the short-axis images and the horizontal long-axis and vertical long-axis views. The peak circumferential systolic strain and peak early diastolic strain rates and global longitudinal strain (GLS) were measured as previously described (32).

Myocardial perfusion image reconstruction and processing were implemented using the Gadgetron software framework as previously described (23). Rest/stress MBF was measured for each of the 16 segments using the American Heart Association (AHA) classification. MBF values for all remaining segments were averaged to provide a global value. Native T1 maps and extracellular volume (ECV) were analyzed using cvi42 software from a region of interest in the midwall of the septum using the native precontrast and native postcontrast T1 times of myocardium, blood pool, and hematocrit, as previously described (33). Myocardial cell volume was calculated from native T1 maps by using the following calculation: (left ventricular mass/1.05) \times (1 – ECV), as previously described (34).

Abdominal VAT area was measured at the level of the third to fourth lumbar vertebral body from the single shot performed using

multi-echo GRE sequence abdominal images. Adipose tissue was categorized into VAT and SAT through manual division, which was accomplished by drawing a line following the abdominal wall to separate intra- and extra-abdominal compartments. The EAT area was traced from the thoracic multi-echo GRE sequence images acquired on transaxial orientation of a four-chamber view on a single section (Figure 2A,B). The VAT and SAT areas were segmented separately using cvi42 software (Figure 2C,D), and VAT over SAT area was calculated.

Qualitative perfusion and scar assessment

The CMR perfusion images were interpreted visually by EL. Rest/ stress perfusion images were carefully reviewed for each of the 16 segments using the AHA classification.

For LGE imaging analysis, areas of contrast enhancement were visually scored as absent or present by two operators (AC and EL). Hyperenhancement was considered present only if myocardial enhancement was confirmed on both short-axis and perpendicular long-axis locations.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York). Categorical data were compared with the Pearson χ^2 test. Continuous variables are presented as mean (SD) and they were checked for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Comparisons between the four groups were performed by one-way ANOVA with post hoc Bonferroni corrections. Based on the Bonferroni correction, considering the six comparisons between the four groups, p < 0.003 was applied as indicating statistical significance.

The Student *t* test was used for comparison of normally distributed data sets in which data were obtained for only the LnT2D and O-T2D groups. Bivariate correlations were performed using the Pearson correlation coefficient. For these tests, $p \le 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Sample size calculations were performed before the study $(T2D = 1.74 \ [0.24] \ mL/g/min$, controls = 2.12 $[0.26] \ mL/g/min$), which suggested that, to detect a 25% difference in stress MBF across the four cohorts, 12 participants per group would be needed (with 80% power at $\alpha = 0.05$). There was no difference in rest MBF data between patients with T2D and control patients with no diabetes. In line with the higher prevalence of diabetes and overweight/obesity comorbidity compared with T2D in isolation in the general population, there were more volunteers with T2D and overweight who expressed interest in participating in the study. All eligible participants who expressed interest in the study during the predetermined recruitment phase were included. Consequently, more participants were recruited into



FIGURE 2 Epicardial, visceral, and subcutaneous adipose tissue imaging and analysis. (A) Multi-echo GRE sequence image acquired on transaxial orientation of a four-chamber view on a single section showing epicardial adipose tissue. (B) Representative example of contouring of epicardial adipose tissue on a single-section four-chamber view. (C) Multi-echo GRE sequence abdominal imaging used to characterize adipose tissue into visceral and subcutaneous fat through manual division. (D) Representative example of manual contouring and segmentation of the abdominal adipose tissue into subcutaneous and visceral components. GRE, gradient echo [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

the O-T2D group, whereas the other three groups were matched in sample size. Overall recruitment goals were exceeded in the study; 15 participants were recruited to the LnT2D, LnHV, and O-HV groups each, and 30 participants were recruited to the O-T2D group.

RESULTS

Participant demographics and biochemical characteristics

Demographic, clinical, and biochemical data of the four study groups are shown in Table 1.

A total of 30 participants in the O-T2D group (mean [SD], age = 65 [11] years, BMI = 30 [3], HbA1c = 63 [19] mmol/mol), 15 in the LnT2D group (age = 63 [12] years, BMI = 23 [1], HbA1c = 58 [8] mmol/mol), 15 in the LnHV group (age = 63 [7] years, BMI = 23 [2], HbA1c = 37 [3] mmol/mol), and 15 in the O-HV group (age = 66 [8] years, BMI = 29 [2], HbA1c = 38 [2] mmol/mol) were recruited. Age and sex distribution were similar between the cohorts. The two T2D groups were matched for diabetes treatment and duration. The two lean groups and the two groups with overweight were matched for BMI. Control patients were not receiving any medications.

There was no significant difference in systolic BP or heart rate across the groups. Waist circumference and waist-hip circumference ratio were similarly increased in both groups with overweight (O-T2D and O-HV) compared with the lean groups (LnT2D and LnHV). Plasma triglyceride and insulin levels, HOMA-IR calculations, and triglyceride-HDL ratio were higher in the O-T2D group compared with the other three cohorts.

Multiparametric MRI results

CMR results for cardiac volumes and function, perfusion, native T1 maps, ECV, and thoracic and abdominal MRI results for EAT, VAT, and SAT areas are summarized in Table 2.

Cardiac geometry and function

Only patients in the O-T2D group showed reductions in LV ejection fraction compared with both control groups (LnHV = 63% [4%], O-HV = 63% [4%], LnT2D = 60% [5%], O-T2D = 58% [6%]; p = 0.0008). Although significant reductions in peak circumferential systolic strain and GLS were detected in the O-T2D group compared with both the weight-matching and the

TABLE 1 Demographics, biochemical characteristics, and medications

	LnHV (<i>n</i> = 15)	O-HV (n = 15)	LnT2D (n = 15)	O-T2D (n = 30)	ANOVA/ χ^2
Age (y)	63 <u>+</u> 7	66 ± 8	63 ± 12	65 ± 11	0.8
Sex (M), n (%)	10 (67)	8 (53)	9 (60)	23 (69)	0.3
Duration of diabetes (y)	-	-	13 ± 6	11 ± 3	0.2
Systolic BP (mm Hg)	130 ± 13	133 ± 13	126 ± 17	132 ± 14	0.6
Diastolic BP (mm Hg)	77 <u>+</u> 7	76 ± 7	72 ± 8	76 ± 6	0.08
Heart rate (bpm)	63 ± 10	67 ± 11	69 ± 13	67 ± 10	0.7
Height (cm)	171 ± 9	169 ± 8	168 ± 10	169 ± 10	0.5
Weight (kg)	$70 \pm 10^{a,b}$	83 ± 8^{a}	68 ± 10	86 ± 10^{b}	<0.0001
BMI (kg/m ²)	$23 \pm 2^{a,c}$	29 ± 2^{d}	23 ± 1^{b}	30 ± 3	<0.0001
Waist circumference (cm)	$90 \pm 7^{a,c}$	105 ± 6^{d}	92 ± 11^{b}	109 ± 8	<0.0001
Waist-hip ratio	$0.95 \pm 0.08^{\text{a,c}}$	$1.01\pm0.06^{\text{d}}$	0.94 ± 0.10^{b}	1.01 ± 0.05	0.0001
Hemoglobin (g/L)	141 ± 9	148 ± 8	140 ± 11	149 ± 17	0.07
Creatinine (µmol/L)	71 ± 11	71 ± 11	64 ± 14	73 ± 17	0.3
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	82 ± 8	81 ± 6	87 ± 7	82 ± 11	0.5
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.85 ± 0.65 ^c	5.17 ± 1.35	4.71 ± 1.19	4.72 ± 1.22	0.04
HDL (mmol/L)	$1.94 \pm 0.58^{a,c,e}$	1.53 ± 0.38	1.61 ± 0.45^{b}	1.26 ± 0.22	<0.0001
LDL (mmol/L)	3.35 ± 0.38	2.99 ± 1.10	2.41 ± 0.97	2.73 ± 1.31	0.1
TG (mmol/L)	1.22 ± 0.65^{c}	1.49 ± 0.64	1.60 ± 0.82	2.50 ± 1.57	0.002
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	$4.9 \pm 0.6^{c,e}$	$5.2 \pm 0.4^{d,f}$	8.7 ± 2.9	9.3 ± 3.9	0.0002
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	$37 \pm 3^{c,e}$	$38 \pm 2^{d,f}$	58 ± 8	63 ± 19	<0.0001
Insulin (pmol/L)	25 ± 15^{c}	67 ± 35^{f}	41 ± 29^{b}	173 ± 115	<0.0001
C peptide (pmol/L)	432 ± 205 ^c	745 ± 300	553 <u>+</u> 265	945 ± 695	0.01
HOMA-IR	$0.79 \pm 0.49^{\circ}$	2.21 ± 1.23^{f}	2.40 ± 2.02^{b}	10.21 ± 6.99	<0.0001
TG-HDL ratio	$0.76 \pm 0.63^{\circ}$	1.05 ± 0.48^{f}	1.14 ± 0.84^{b}	2.38 ± 1.75	0.002
Medications, n (%)					
ACE inhibitor			4 (20)	14 (47)	0.2
ARB			3 (20)	5 (17)	0.1
β blocker			O (O)	5 (17)	0.02
Calcium channel blocker			3 (20)	4 (13)	0.6
Aspirin			6 (40)	7 (23)	0.6
Statin			10 (67)	22 (73)	0.1
Metformin			10 (67)	15 (50)	0.3
Sulphonylurea			4 (27)	8 (27)	1.0
GLP-1RA			0 (0)	O (O)	-
Gliptins			4 (27)	6 (20)	0.6
SGLT2i			1 (6)	2 (7)	0.4
Thiazolidinediones			3 (20)	1 (3)	0.06

Note: Values are mean ± SD for continuous variables and number (%) for categorical variables. Values in bold signify statistical significance. Abbreviations: ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BP, blood pressure; bpm, beats per minute; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GLP-1RA, glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance index; HV, healthy volunteers; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; LnHV, lean HV; LnT2D, lean patients with type 2 diabetes; O-HV, HV with overweight; O-T2D, patients with overweight and type 2 diabetes; SGLT2i, sodium glucose contransporter-2 inhibitors; TG, triglyceride.

 $^{a}p < 0.05$ between the LnHV and O-HV groups.

 $^{b}p < 0.05$ between the LnT2D and O-T2D groups.

^c $p \le 0.05$ between the LnHV and O-T2D groups.

 $^{d}p \leq 0.05$ between the O-HV and LnT2D groups.

 ^{e}p < 0.05 between the LnHV and LnT2D groups. ^{f}p < 0.05 between the O-HV and O-T2D groups.

TABLE 2 MRI parameters

	LnHV (<i>n</i> = 15)	O-HV (n = 15)	LnT2D (n = 15)	O-T2D (n = 30)	ANOVA
LV end diastolic volume (mL)	152 ± 33	140 ± 30	125 ± 28	143 ± 29	0.09
LV end diastolic volume index (mL/m ²)	82 ± 13	72 ± 13	70 ± 12	72 ± 15	0.06
LV end systolic volume (mL)	56 ± 13	52 ± 16	50 ± 14^{a}	61 ± 17	0.1
LV end systolic volume index (mL/m ²)	31 ± 6	27 ± 7	29 <u>+</u> 7	30 ± 8	0.3
LV stroke volume (mL)	95 <u>±</u> 22	88 ± 16	75 <u>+</u> 17	82 ± 16	0.01
LV ejection fraction (%)	63 ± 4^{b}	63 ± 4 ^c	60 ± 5	58 ± 6	0.0008
LV mass (g)	93 <u>±</u> 27	95 ± 29	79 ± 19	96 ± 20	0.1
LV mass index (g/m ²)	52 ± 12	52 ± 11	48 ± 10	48 ± 10	0.2
LV mass/LV end diastolic volume (mg/mL)	0.65 ± 0.12	0.72 ± 0.15	0.69 ± 0.21	0.68 ± 0.14	0.5
RV end diastolic volume (mL)	161 ± 36	153 <u>+</u> 43	122 ± 30	142 ± 30	0.02
RV end diastolic volume index (mL/m ²)	$87 \pm 14^{b,d}$	78 ± 18	69 ± 12	70 ± 14	0.001
RV end systolic volume (mL)	67 <u>±</u> 22	61 ± 24	54 ± 17	63 ± 17	0.6
RV stroke volume (mL)	93 ± 19	92 ± 21	69 ± 17	79 ± 18	0.7
RV ejection fraction (%)	60 ± 7	60 ± 5	56 ± 6	56 ± 6	0.06
Native T1 (ms)	1207 ± 81	1166 ± 84	1148 ± 111	1197 ± 69	0.09
Extracellular volume (%)	23 ± 3	22 + 3	23 ± 2	22 ± 3	0.5
Cell volume (mL/m ²)	70 ± 18	74 ± 17	64 ± 20	73 ± 17	0.8
Peak circumferential strain, negative (%)	21.3 ± 2.7 ^b	21.9 ± 2.2 ^c	21.7 ± 3.3ª	18.3 ± 3.0	0.0005
GLS, negative (%)	15.1 ± 3.1 ^b	$15.2 \pm 3.7^{\circ}$	13.4 ± 2.7	11.1 ± 2.8	0.002
Peak diastolic strain rate, (L/s)	1.18 ± 0.25	1.15 ± 0.18	1.22 ± 0.29^{a}	1.00 ± 0.21	0.01
LA maximum volume (mL)	57 ± 24	61 ± 20	61 ± 16	66 ± 24	0.6
LA maximum volume indexed (mL/m ²)	33 ± 13	32 ± 11	34 ± 8	31 ± 12	0.8
LA ejection fraction (%)	57 ± 9	63 ± 13	55 ± 8	54 ± 11	0.09
Myocardial perfusion					
Stress MBF (mL/g/min)	2.07 ± 0.47^{b}	2.08 ± 0.42^{c}	$2.16\pm0.36^{\text{a}}$	1.60 ± 0.28	<0.0001
Rest MBF (mL/g/min)	0.64 ± 0.08	0.72 ± 0.15	0.74 ± 0.13	0.67 ± 0.15	0.1
Myocardial perfusion reserve index	3.18 ± 0.84	3.17 ± 0.59	2.98 ± 0.66	2.47 ± 0.62	0.009
Stress RPP (bpm \times mm Hg)	11,942 ± 3256	11,764 ± 3102	11,464 ± 2100	11,672 ± 2515	0.7
Rest RPP (bpm $ imes$ mm Hg)	8339 ± 1585	8265 ± 1723	8645 <u>+</u> 1599	8934 ± 1902	0.5
Increase in RPP (%)	39	38	37	32	0.7
Adipose tissue measurements					
SAT (cm ²)	106 ± 31	169 ± 55	147 ± 71	171 ± 80	0.06
VAT (cm ²)	127 ± 53 ^b	181 ± 60 ^c	182 ± 99^{a}	288 ± 72	<0.0001
EAT (cm ²)	14 ± 6^{b}	17 ± 5 ^c	18 ± 8 ^a	31 ± 13	<0.0001
VAT/SAT	1.23 ± 0.43^{b}	1.15 ± 0.49 ^c	1.56 ± 1.24^{a}	2.05 ± 1.00	0.0007

Note: Values are mean \pm SD for continuous variables and number (%) for categorical variables. Values in bold signify p < 0.05.

Abbreviations: bpm, beats per min; EAT, epicardial adipose tissue; GLS, global longitudinal strain; HV, healthy volunteers; LA, left atrial; LnHV, lean HV; LnT2D, lean patients with type 2 diabetes; LV, left ventricular; MBF, myocardial blood flow; O-HV, HV with obesity/overweight; O-T2D, patients with overweight and type 2 diabetes; RPP, rate pressure product; RV, right ventricular; SAT, subcutaneous adipose tissue; VAT, visceral adipose tissue.

 $^{a}p < 0.05$ between the LnT2D and O-T2D groups.

^bp ≤ 0.05 between the LnHV and O-T2D groups.

 $^{c}p < 0.05$ between the O-HV and O-T2D groups.

 $^{d}p < 0.05$ between the LnHV and LnT2D groups.

lean control groups, peak circumferential systolic strain was not reduced in patients in the LnT2D group. The numeric differences in peak diastolic strain rate (PDSR) across the groups did not reach statistical significance. The LnT2D group had the lowest LV end diastolic volume, mass, and myocardial cell volume compared with the other three groups, and there was no significant difference in ECV between the four groups.



FIGURE 3 Representative MBF maps acquired at basal, midventricular, and apical levels during peak stress, along with graphs showing the changes in MBF from peak stress to rest in lean healthy volunteers and healthy volunteers with overweight, as well as lean patients with T2D and patients with overweight and T2D. MBF, myocardial blood flow; T2D, type 2 diabetes; HV, healthy volunteers; LnHV, lean HV; LnT2D, lean patients with type 2 diabetes; O-HV, HV with overweight; O-T2D, patients with overweight and type 2 diabetes [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

There was no difference in LA volume or function across the groups.

MBF

1086

Rest and stress rate pressure product values, MBF, and myocardial perfusion reserve (MPR) measurements are summarized in Table 2. Participants from all groups demonstrated a similar increase in rest and stress rate pressure products during adenosine stress.

Only the O-T2D group showed significant reductions in stress MBF (LnHV = 2.07 [0.47] mL/g/min, O-HV = 2.08 [0.42] mL/g/min, LnT2D = 2.16 [0.36] mL/g/min, O-T2D = 1.60 [0.28] mL/g/min; p < 0.0001). There were no significant differences in rest MBF or in MPR between the four groups (Figure 3).

Qualitative assessment of myocardial perfusion and LGE imaging

Image quality for first-pass perfusion was rated as good in all participants, with none of the participants demonstrating visual stress-induced perfusion defects. None of the participants showed subendocardial hyperenhancement to indicate the presence of a chronic silent myocardial infarction.

VAT and SAT

Numerically, the LnHV group had the lowest SAT area (LnHV = 106 [31] cm², O-HV = 169 [55] cm², LnT2D = 147 [71] cm², O-T2D = 171 [80] cm²; p = 0.06); however, this did not reach statistical significance. The VAT area was significantly higher in the O-T2D

1087



FIGURE 4 Box plot comparisons of epicardial, visceral, and subcutaneous adipose tissue. *p < 0.05. HV, healthy volunteers; LnHV, lean HV; LnT2D, lean patients with type 2 diabetes; O-HV, HV with overweight; O-T2D, patients with overweight and type 2 diabetes [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

group compared with the other three groups (LnHV = 127 [53] cm², O-HV = 181 [60] cm², LnT2D = 182 [99] cm², O-T2D = 288 [72] cm²; p < 0.0001). This was also numerically increased in the O-HV and LnT2D groups compared with the LnHV group, although it did not reach statistical significance (Figure 4). The patients in the O-T2D group had the highest VAT-SAT ratio; however, this was also significantly higher in patients in the LnT2D group compared with the control groups who were lean or overweight (LnHV and O-HV groups).

EAT

The O-T2D group had higher EAT area compared with the LnT2D, LnHV, and O-HV groups (LnHV = 14 [6] cm², O-HV = 17 [5] cm², LnT2D = 18 [8] cm², O-T2D = 31 [13] cm²; p < 0.0001).

Correlations between adipose tissue measurements

The correlations between metabolic parameters and visceral adiposity are provided in Table 3. There were significant positive correlations among all quantitative measures of adiposity (BMI and waist circumference, EAT, and VAT) among each of the measures.

Correlations of perfusion with functional parameters

The stress or rest MBF did not correlate with LV ejection fraction. There were significant but weak correlations of stress MBF with the following strain parameters: PDSR (r = 0.29, p = 0.03) and GLS (r = 0.30, p = 0.02).

Subgroup analyses

The study had inadequate power to assess subgroup analyses, and such tests were not planned. Nevertheless, the VAT data spread suggested heterogeneity within the LnT2D group, suggesting two groups of patients with LnT2D: those with VAT similar to the O-T2D group and those similar to the LnHV group. When the LnT2D cohort was divided into two groups around the mean value for VAT (182 cm²), stress MBF was numerically higher in the participants with lower VAT; however, this trend did not reach statistical significance, likely because of small numbers (low VAT LnT2D stress MBF = 2.25 [0.40] mL/g/min vs. high VAT LnT2D stress MBF = 2.06 [0.30] mL/g/min; p = 0.3). When the O-T2D cohort was divided into two groups around the mean value for VAT for this cohort (288 cm²), stress MBF was numerically higher in the participants with lower VAT. However, this trend again did not reach statistical significance (low VAT O-T2D stress MBF = 1.59 [0.33] mL/g/ min vs. high VAT O-T2D stress MBF = 1.61 [0.20] mL/g/min; p = 0.8).

	ВМІ		VAT		EAT		Waist circumference	
	r	p value	r	p value	r	p value	r	p value
BMI	-	-	0.47	0.0002	0.30	0.01	0.72	<0.0001
Waist circumference	0.72	<0.0001	0.76	<0.0001	0.63	0.0002	-	-
VAT	0.47	0.0002	-	-	0.73	0.01	0.76	<0.0001
EAT	0.30	0.01	0.73	0.01	-	-	0.63	0.0002

TABLE 3 Correlations of BMI, VAT, EAT, and waist circumference.

Note: Values in bold signify p < 0.05.

Abbreviations: EAT, epicardial adipose tissue; VAT, visceral adipose tissue.

Moreover, when the two groups with T2D were subdivided based on their metformin treatment status, these subgroups showed no significant differences in any of the cardiac structural, functional, or perfusion parameters, except for the significant difference in circumferential strain in the O-T2D group. Patients with O-T2D who were receiving metformin treatment (metformin +, n = 15) exhibited significantly higher global circumferential strain (GCS) compared with 15 patients with O-T2D who were not receiving metformin (GCS metformin [+] O-T2D = -19.43% [1.79%] vs. GCS metformin [-] O-T2D = -16.60% [3.84%]; p = 0.02). No such difference was detected in the LnT2D group based on metformin treatment status for any of the cardiac parameters.

DISCUSSION

The results of the present study provide several new findings. First, myocardial stress perfusion was reduced only in the O-T2D group, with no reduction in global stress MBF or MPR in the LnT2D and O-HV groups compared with the LnHV group. Second, although the O-T2D group showed greater visceral adiposity, accumulation of VAT was evident even in patients with T2D and normal body weight at levels similar to control patients with overweight and without diabetes. Third, in patients with T2D, all measures of adiposity strongly correlated with one another, and VAT, BMI, and waist circumference were each related to abnormalities in systolic and diastolic strain.

These results add further evidence for increased body weight as an important integrating determinant of myocardial perfusion in diabetes. Although capillary rarefaction has been proposed among the mechanisms of CMD in diabetes and obesity (35,36), in this study, the reduction in stress MBF was detected in patients with O-T2D despite no significant structural alterations, such as increases in LV mass, native T1, ECV, or myocardial cell volume calculations. We have not detected any association between insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and global rest or stress MBF or MPR. However, the O-T2D group showed striking increases in visceral adiposity, with a 40% larger VAT area compared with the LnT2D group. The mechanistic link between increased body weight and impairment of the total vasodilator capacity might, therefore, include etiologies such as altered adipokine profile associated with VAT accumulation in patients with diabetes. Supporting this, adipokine profile in patients with T2D was shown in a previous study to depend on degree of adiposity, with

no alterations in plasma adiponectin levels detected in the LnT2D group (37). The latter study also provided further evidence for significant associations between adipokine levels and plasma markers of systemic inflammation (37).

In a previous study, Sørensen and colleagues also explored the alterations in rest and stress MBF in patients with T2D (38) and also showed a significant reduction in stress MBF in the diabetes cohort compared with control patients. The investigators in that study included mainly patients with T2D who had overweight or obesity (mean BMI = 31.1 [4.6]), with a significant difference in the mean BMI compared with the control cohort (mean BMI = 25.3[3.4]), which supports our finding that patients with T2D and overweight or obesity show significant alterations in myocardial perfusion. However, Sørensen and colleagues did not explore alterations in myocardial perfusion in patients with LnT2D or weight-matched lean control patients with no diabetes and control patients with overweight and without diabetes. To our knowledge, ours is the only study addressing this specific question. Our study also differed in the perfusion analysis methodology. Whereas Sørensen and colleagues used an in-house developed MATLAB tool for their manual perfusion analysis, the data in our study were analyzed via a machine-learning algorithm developed and extensively validated by study collaborators (23,39,40). In this study, a deep neural network-based computational workflow for inline myocardial perfusion analysis automatically delineated the myocardium. This computational neural network is capable of cardiac perfusion mapping and integrating an automated inline implementation on the MR scanner, enabling instant data analysis and reporting without manual assessment (39). These automated methods for MBF estimation from CMR investigations may soon provide new opportunities for screening of coronary microvascular disease in diabetes and obesity in routine clinical care.

The LV ejection fraction was significantly lower only in the O-T2D group compared with the control groups, while still remaining within normal range. Moreover, systolic and diastolic strain parameters were also significantly lower only in the O-T2D group. Among the global and segmental strain parameters, GLS has been shown to be the most reproducible strain parameter (41). Although not yet resolved, it is possible that the identification of subclinical LV dysfunction by the means of reductions in GLS may lead to management changes that will alter cardiac outcomes in obesity and diabetes (42).

Body composition analysis based on the characterization of different tissue compartments is increasingly used for both clinical and research questions. MRI, with its optimal soft tissue resolution and inherently high contrast between fat and water, is an ideal modality for the assessment of adipose tissue with high accuracy and precision and without the use of ionizing radiation. Neeland and colleagues previously assessed the associations of abdominal VAT and SAT mass on MRI with markers of cardiac and metabolic risk in a population-based cohort of adults with obesity (43). They showed that VAT associated with an adverse metabolic, dyslipidemic, and atherogenic obesity phenotype, whereas SAT associated with a more benign phenotype, characterized by modest associations with inflammatory biomarkers and leptin, but no independent association with dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, or atherosclerosis in individuals with obesity. Although, in our study, VAT accumulation was also evident in patients with LnT2D, patients with O-T2D exhibited the highest level of visceral adiposity among the study groups. In a longitudinal population-based study, Kouli and colleagues confirmed the prognostic significance of excess visceral adiposity (44). They showed that visceral adiposity index derived from waist circumference, BMI, triglyceride, and HDL levels was independently associated with elevated 10-year cardiovascular disease risk, particularly in men (44). In our study, in addition to the higher visceral adiposity, the O-T2D cohort showed lower HDL levels, higher triglyceride levels, and higher waist circumference compared with patients in the LnT2D cohort or lean control patients with no diabetes and control patients who were overweight with no diabetes, suggesting a worse metabolic phenotype in the O-T2D group.

Plasma adipokine levels or markers of systemic inflammation were not measured; however, large studies have previously reported the differences in adipokine profile and markers of systemic inflammation in patients who have T2D and who are lean or have obesity, which complemented our study by providing valuable insights for the interpretation of our findings (10,37,45,46).

A complete characterization of coronary microvascular function also requires assessment of the response to vasoconstrictor stimuli during an invasive coronary angiography procedure. Subjecting our participants to invasive coronary angiography was deemed unacceptable for asymptomatic patients and healthy control patients.

For the control cohort, at the point of recruitment, it was ascertained by verbal questioning that the exercise duration had been <6 h/ wk for the past 12 months, which is similar to the cohorts with diabetes participating in this study. However, this was not objectively assessed by requesting participants to wear an exercise activity monitor in our attempt to minimize the overall burden of the study to participants. However, the control groups showed numerically higher cholesterol measurements and similar or higher systolic and diastolic BP measurements and resting heart rates, and they did not show any cardiac features that could be regarded as athlete's heart, further confirming the point that these control patients were not uncommonly healthy and athletic individuals but represented the local retiree population with an activity level similar to the cohort with diabetes.

CONCLUSION

Patients with T2D and normal body weight do not exhibit reductions in MBF, which suggests that increased body weight is an important integrating determinant of myocardial perfusion in patients with diabetes. Although patients with T2D and overweight showed greater visceral adiposity, accumulation of visceral fat is evident even in lean patients with T2D at levels similar to control patients with overweight and without diabetes.O

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

ORCID

Amrit Chowdhary b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2073-4138

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. The top 10 causes of death. Published December 9, 2020. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/ detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death
- UK NHS. National Diabetes Audit, 2015-16 Report 2a: Complications and Mortality. Published July 13, 2017. https://www.hqip.org.uk/ resource/national-diabetes-audit-2015-16-report-2-complications-and-mortality/#.YjtSvufMJD8
- Rawshani A, Rawshani A, Franzén S, et al. Risk factors, mortality, and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:633-644.
- Devereux RB, Roman MJ, Paranicas M, et al. Impact of diabetes on cardiac structure and function: the strong heart study. *Circulation*. 2000;101:2271-2276.
- Bella JN, Devereux RB, Roman MJ, et al. Separate and joint effects of systemic hypertension and diabetes mellitus on left ventricular structure and function in American Indians (the Strong Heart Study). Am J Cardiol. 2001;87:1260-1265.
- Sucato V, Novo G, Evola S, Novo S. Coronary microvascular dysfunction in patients with diabetes, hypertension and metabolic syndrome. Int J Cardiol. 2015;186:96-97.
- Gjesdal O, Bluemke DA, Lima JA. Cardiac remodeling at the population level--risk factors, screening, and outcomes. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2011;8:673-685.
- Bluemke DA, Kronmal RA, Lima JAC, et al. The relationship of left ventricular mass and geometry to incident cardiovascular events: the MESA Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;52:2148-2155.
- Schindler TH, Cardenas J, Prior JO, et al. Relationship between increasing body weight, insulin resistance, inflammation, adipocytokine leptin, and coronary circulatory function. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006;47:1188-1195.
- Quercioli A, Pataky Z, Montecucco F, et al. Coronary vasomotor control in obesity and morbid obesity. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2012;5:805-815.
- Coleman NJ, Miernik J, Philipson L, Fogelfeld L. Lean versus obese diabetes mellitus patients in the United States minority population. J Diabetes Complications. 2014;28:500-505.
- Carnethon MR, De Chavez PJD, Biggs ML, et al. Association of weight status with mortality in adults with incident diabetes. JAMA. 2012;308:581-590.

- Levelt E, Pavlides M, Banerjee R, et al. Ectopic and visceral fat deposition in lean and obese patients with type 2 diabetes. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;68:53-63.
- 14. Fox CS, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, et al. Abdominal visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue compartments: association with metabolic risk factors in the Framingham heart study. *Circulation*. 2007;116:39-48.
- 15. Pou KM, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, et al. Visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue volumes are cross-sectionally related to markers of inflammation and oxidative stress: the Framingham heart study. *Circulation*. 2007;116:1234-1241.
- Stefan N, Schick F, Häring HU. Causes, characteristics, and consequences of metabolically unhealthy normal weight in humans. *Cell Metab.* 2017;26:292-300.
- Stefan N. Causes, consequences, and treatment of metabolically unhealthy fat distribution. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2020;8:616-627.
- Manolopoulos KN, Karpe F, Frayn KN. Gluteofemoral body fat as a determinant of metabolic health. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2010;34:949-959.
- 19. Stefan N, Fritsche A, Schick F, Häring HU. Phenotypes of prediabetes and stratification of cardiometabolic risk. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2016;4:789-798.
- Stefan N, Staiger H, Wagner R, et al. A high-risk phenotype associates with reduced improvement in glycaemia during a lifestyle intervention in prediabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2015;58:2877-2884.
- Kotecha T, Monteagudo JM, Martinez-Naharro A, et al. Quantitative cardiovascular magnetic resonance myocardial perfusion mapping to assess hyperaemic response to adenosine stress. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2021;22:273-281.
- Kellman P, Hansen MS, Nielles-Vallespin S, et al. Myocardial perfusion cardiovascular magnetic resonance: optimized dual sequence and reconstruction for quantification. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2017;19:43.
- 23. Neeland IJ, McGuire DK, Chilton R, et al. Empagliflozin reduces body weight and indices of adipose distribution in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diab Vasc Dis Res.* 2016;13:119-126.
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and β-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia*. 1985;28:412-419.
- Giannini C, Santoro N, Caprio S, et al. The triglyceride-to-HDL cholesterol ratio: association with insulin resistance in obese youths of different ethnic backgrounds. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34:1869-1874.
- Kellman P, Hernando D, Shah S, Liang Z-P, Bluemke DA, Arai AE. Rapid, multi-slice fat water separated imaging for mapping body fat. Abstract presented at Annual Meeting of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance Medicine; May 1-7, 2010. https://cds. ismrm.org/protected/10MProceedings/PDFfiles/4616_5803.PDF
- 27. Swoboda PP, Garg P, Levelt E, et al. Regression of left ventricular mass in athletes undergoing complete detraining is mediated by decrease in intracellular but not extracellular compartments. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2019;12:e009417. doi:10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.119.009417
- Kramer CM, Barkhausen J, Flamm SD, Kim RJ, Nagel E, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance Board of Trustees Task Force on Standardized Protocols Standardized cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) protocols 2013 update. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2013;15:91. doi:10.1186/1532-429X-15-91
- 29. Rider OJ, Lewandowski A, Nethononda R, et al. Gender-specific differences in left ventricular remodelling in obesity: insights from cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur Heart J.* 2013;34:292-299.
- Hudsmith LE, Petersen SE, Tyler DJ, et al. Determination of cardiac volumes and mass with FLASH and SSFP cine sequences at 1.5 vs.
 3 Tesla: a validation study. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2006;24:312-318.

- 31. Gulsin GS, Swarbrick DJ, Hunt WH, et al. Relation of aortic stiffness to left ventricular remodeling in younger adults with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2018;67:1395-1400.
- 32. Swoboda PP, McDiarmid AK, Erhayiem B, et al. Diabetes mellitus, microalbuminuria, and subclinical cardiac disease: identification and monitoring of individuals at risk of heart failure. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e005539. doi:10.1161/JAHA.117.005539
- 33. Treibel TA, Kozor R, Menacho K, et al. Left ventricular hypertrophy revisited. *Circulation* 2017;136:2519-2521.
- Campbell DJ, Somaratne JB, Prior DL, et al. Obesity is associated with lower coronary microvascular density. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e81798. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081798
- Hinkel R, Howe A, Renner S, et al. Diabetes mellitus-induced microvascular destabilization in the myocardium. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69:131-143.
- Al-Hamodi Z, Al-Habori M, Al-Meeri A, Saif-Ali R. Association of adipokines, leptin/adiponectin ratio and C-reactive protein with obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetol Metab Syndr.* 2014;6:99. doi:10.1186/1758-5996-6-99
- Sørensen MH, Bojer AS, Pontoppidan JRN, et al. Reduced myocardial perfusion reserve in type 2 diabetes is caused by increased perfusion at rest and decreased maximal perfusion during stress. *Diabetes Care.* 2020;43:1285-1292.
- Xue H, Artico J, Fontana M, Moon JC, Davies RH, Kellman P. Landmark detection in cardiac MRI using a convolutional neural network. *Radiol Radiol Artif Intell*. 2021;3:e200197. doi:10.1148/ryai.2021200197
- Kotecha T, Martinez-Naharro A, Boldrini M, et al. Automated pixelwise quantitative myocardial perfusion mapping by CMR to detect obstructive coronary artery disease and coronary microvascular dysfunction: validation against invasive coronary physiology. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2019;12:1958-1969.
- Mangion K, Burke NMM, McComb C, Carrick D, Woodward R, Berry C. Feature-tracking myocardial strain in healthy adults- a magnetic resonance study at 3.0 tesla. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9:3239. doi:10.1038/ s41598-019-39807-w
- 41. Marwick TH, Gimelli A, Plein S, et al. Multimodality imaging approach to left ventricular dysfunction in diabetes: an expert consensus document from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2022;23:e62-e84.
- 42. Neeland IJ, Turer AT, Ayers CR, et al. Dysfunctional adiposity and the risk of prediabetes and type 2 diabetes in obese adults. JAMA. 2012;308:1150-1159.
- Kouli G-M, Panagiotakos DB, Kyrou I, et al. Visceral adiposity index and 10-year cardiovascular disease incidence: the ATTICA study. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2017;27:881-889.
- 44. Tona F, Serra R, Di Ascenzo L, et al. Systemic inflammation is related to coronary microvascular dysfunction in obese patients without obstructive coronary disease. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 2014;24:447-453.
- Owen MK, Noblet JN, Sassoon DJ, Conteh AM, Goodwill AG, Tune JD. Perivascular adipose tissue and coronary vascular disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2014;34:1643-1649.

How to cite this article: Chowdhary A, Thirunavukarasu S, Jex N, et al. Coronary microvascular function and visceral adiposity in patients with normal body weight and type 2 diabetes. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2022;30:1079–1090. doi:<u>10.1002/</u>oby.23413