Research Article

Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency and Sickle Cell Trait among Prospective Blood Donors: A Cross-Sectional Study in Berekum, Ghana

Patrick Adu,¹ David Larbi Simpong,¹ Godfred Takyi,² and Richard K. D. Ephraim¹

¹Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, School of Allied Health Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana ²Holy Family Hospital, Berekum, Brong-Ahafo Region, Ghana

Correspondence should be addressed to Patrick Adu; patrick.adu@ucc.edu.gh

Received 25 May 2016; Revised 4 August 2016; Accepted 25 August 2016

Academic Editor: Emili Montserrat

Copyright © 2016 Patrick Adu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Blood transfusion is a therapeutic procedure usually undertaken in patients with severe anaemia. In Ghana, severe anaemia is mostly due to malaria caused by severe *Plasmodium falciparum* infection, road traffic accidents, and haemoglobinopathyinduced acute haemolysis. *Method.* This cross-sectional study evaluated coinheritance of sickle cell haemoglobin variant and G6PD enzymopathy among individuals that donated blood at the Holy Trinity Hospital, Berekum, in the Brong-Ahafo Region, Ghana. Demographic data and other pertinent information were captured using questionnaire. Sickle cell haemoglobin variants were determined using cellulose acetate electrophoresis (pH 8.6). Qualitative G6PD status and quantitative G6PD enzyme activity were determined using methaemoglobin reduction and Trinity Biotech G6PD test kit, respectively. *Results.* Prevalence of sickle cell trait (SCT) and G6PD enzymopathy coinheritance was 7%. In addition, 19.5% of the donors had 10%–60% of normal G6PD enzyme activity suggesting that these donor units are prone to stressor-induced acute haemolysis when given to recipients. Mild G6PD activity (p = 0.03, OR: 2.410 (CI: 1.049–5.534)), commercial (p = 0.020, OR: 5.609 (CI: 1.309–24.035)), and voluntary (p = 0.034, OR: 2.404 (CI: 1.071–5.397)) donors were significantly associated with SCT. *Conclusion.* Screening for red cell pathologies must be incorporated into existing protocols for populations with high incidence of haemoglobinopathies to protect high-risk recipients.

1. Introduction

Blood transfusion is a therapeutic procedure usually undertaken in patients with severe anaemia. In Ghana, severe anaemia is mostly due to malaria caused by severe *Plasmodium falciparum* infection, road traffic accidents, and haemolytic episodes in individuals with haemoglobinopathies such as sickle cell anaemia and/or thalassaemia [1, 2]. It is estimated that most of the transfusions are undertaken in children under 5 years in response to severe *falciparum* infection [1]. In addition to the transfusion, such children may also be receiving antimalarial drugs (e.g., quinine and primaquine) with potential to cause oxidant stress. In these individuals, the optimal survival of the transfused red cells is of paramount importance so as to prevent adverse transfusion outcomes [3]. To ensure the safety of blood and blood products for prospective recipients, screening protocols for transfusion-transmitted infections such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis B and C, and syphilis are mandatory [4]. It has been postulated that selective pressure caused by the endemicity of Plasmodium falciparum infection in Ghana, and Sub-Saharan Africa in general, has led to high prevalence of certain haemoglobin variants (e.g., HbS) and/or red cell enzymopathies (e.g., G6PD deficiency) as these have been shown to offer survival advantages [5-7]. The high prevalence of these inherited red cell pathologies suggest that measures should be taken prior to donation and subsequent transfusion of such units to other individuals who might have also inherited these red cell pathologies. Some have argued that donor blood from those heterozygous for haemoglobin S or haemoglobin C should not be used for either exchange transfusion or neonatal transfusion [8, 9]. Others have also argued that those who have had previous oxidant stressinduced haemolysis as a result of G6PD enzyme deficiency



FIGURE 1: Map of Brong-Ahafo Region of Ghana (source: Google map).

must be permanently deferred for the safety of both the donor and prospective recipient [8]. Thus, knowledge of the red cell pathologies inherent in a given donor unit may be important for ensuring maximal benefit to the potential recipient.

Howes et al. estimated that the prevalence of G6PD deficiency could be as high as 32.5% across sub-Saharan Africa [10]. The WHO also estimates the prevalence of G6PD deficiency in Ghana to be 15-26% [11]. Moreover, the prevalence of sickle cell trait (SCT) in Ghana has been estimated to be between 20% and 40% [12]. However, previous studies carried out in Ghana and the subregion did not measure the G6PD enzyme activity in the donors [13, 14] and could not therefore categorize the classes of the G6PD enzymopathy in the studied donors as per the WHO recommendations [11]. In addition, the existing predonation screening protocols in Ghana do not assess for either red cell enzymopathies or haemoglobinopathies in spite of the high prevalence of these pathologies. In this study, we sought to screen for haemoglobin variants and G6PD status/enzyme activity in donor blood that had been declared fit for transfusion as per the existing predonation screening protocols. The aim was to assess whether recipients with certain medical conditions such as severe falciparum malaria or vulnerable groups like neonates requiring exchange transfusions of blood products are adequately protected from products that have the potential to complicate clinical outcomes.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site/Study Design. This was a hospital based crosssectional study carried out from August 2015 to January 2016 at the Holy Family Hospital at Berekum in the Brong-Ahafo Region (Figure 1). Holy Family Hospital (HFH) is a Catholic health institution which serves as the Municipal Hospital for Berekum with Level C rating, for medical care in the PHC strategy.

2.2. Study Population. All blood donors \geq 18 years who tested negative for all the transfusion-transmitted disease screening assays were enrolled. A total of 200 donor samples

were collected for the study using a convenience sampling technique. All samples were obtained from donor blood collected in citrate phosphate dextrose adenine 1 (CPDA-1) and assayed within 24 hours of collection. Questionnaires were used to capture demographic data, medication history, donor type and history, and other pertinent information. All prospective donors on medications (Such as cotrimoxazole, aspirin, fansidar, and nitrofurantoin.) known to affect G6PD enzyme activity were excluded [15].

2.3. Ethical Considerations. Experimental protocols were approved by the institutional review board of University of Cape Coast and Holy Trinity Hospital ethical review board (UCCIRB/CHAS/2015/61). The qualitative and quantitative G6PD assays were performed independently and were blinded to remove potential operator bias.

2.4. Qualitative G6PD Assay. The methaemoglobin reduction test assay previously described [1] was used to qualitatively assay for G6PD status. For each sample, three tubes were set as test (T), normal (N), and deficient (D) as internal quality controls to validate the results.

2.5. Quantitative G6PD Assay. Quantitative G6PD activity was measured in duplicate per sample using the quantitative G6PD kit from Trinity Biotech (catalog number 345-B; Trinity Biotech PLC, Bray, Ireland) according to the manufacturer's instructions. As per the manufacturer specifications, normal, intermediate, and deficient Trinity controls (catalog numbers G6888, G5029, and G5888, resp.) were run using the same method on each day of testing. 10 μ L whole blood collected in CPDA-1 was added to 1mL G6PD reagent solution and incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes. Two milliliters (2 mL) of substrate was added to the solution and mixed by inversion. The initial absorbance and final absorbance of all samples were measured using Junior Selectra chemical analyser and G6PD enzyme activity calculated in accordance with manufacturer's protocol. G6PD activity values were expressed in units per gram haemoglobin (Hb). Haemoglobin concentration was determined using Sysmex-XS haematology analyser (Sysmex Corporation, USA).

2.6. Haemoglobin Electrophoresis. The cellulose acetate method of electrophoresis was employed to determine the haemoglobin variants of all blood samples in accordance with protocols previously described [16]. Each sample was washed four times in physiological saline and subsequently lysed in carbon tetrachloride (CCL4). The lysates were applied to the cellulose acetate paper and run for 30 minutes at 250 V and current 50 mA. For each electrophoretic run, combination of hemolysate from a sickle cell trait (AS) and HbC trait samples (ASC) served as the control.

2.7. Data Analysis. Data were analysed using GraphPad prism 5.01 for Windows (GraphPad Software Inc., USA). Data were analysed for normality using D'Agostino and Pearson omnibus normality test and appropriate test selected for parametric and nonparametric data accordingly. For

TABLE 1: Age and gender distribution of blood donors.

Hb variant + G6PD status	Male	Female	Total
A + PD	_	4	4 (2%)
AS only	22	3	25 (12.5%)
AS + FD	14	_	14 (7%)
A + FD	19	2	21 (10.5%)
A + N	133	3	136 (68%)
Total	188 (94%)	12 (6%)	200

A and S represent haemoglobin A and haemoglobin S, respectively; N: no qualitative red cell G6PD enzyme defect; PD: partial qualitative red cell G6PD enzyme defect; FD: full qualitative red cell G6PD enzyme defect.

TABLE 2: Knowledge of blood donors on G6PD deficiency and/HbS status.

	Status		Previous	Previous donation	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Total
G6PD	_	200	48 (24%)	152	200
Sickling	6 (3%)	194 (97%)	72 (37%)	122 (63%)	200

nonparametric data, multiple comparisons were undertaken using Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's posttest to determine statistical significant differences between groups. However, logistic regression analyses were undertaken using IBM SPSS version 16 (IBM Corporation, USA).

3. Results

As demonstrated by cellulose acetate electrophoretic mobility assay, 68% of the donors had neither the sickling haemoglobin (HbS) variant nor any qualitative G6PD defect. However, whereas 7% of the donors had both full qualitative red cell G6PD enzyme defect and haemoglobin AS phenotype, 12.5% of the donors had haemoglobin phenotype AS only, while 10.5% of the donors had full qualitative G6PD enzyme defect only (Table 1).

Whereas none of the donors had any knowledge about their G6PD status, only 3% of the study participants knew about their sickle cell haemoglobin status. However, 24% and 37% of the donors who had no knowledge about their G6PD and sickling haemoglobin status, respectively, had had previous blood donations. Overall, 19.5% of the blood donors had either HbS variant or G6PD enzymopathy (Table 2).

Of the 200 donors, 4% were commercial donors, that is, those donating for financial rewards (50% of which had HbAS phenotype), 76% were replacement (i.e., donation to a relative) donors (15.8% of which had HbAS phenotype), and 20% were voluntary blood donors (30% of which had HbAS phenotype) (Table 3).

50.5% of the study participants belonged to the 18–29 age group, whereas 40.5%, 8.5%, and 0.5%, respectively, belonged to the 30–39, 40–49, and 50–59 age groups, respectively (Table 4).

The red blood cell G6PD enzyme activities were significantly reduced in donors who demonstrated either partial

TABLE 3: Types of blood donors with G6PD and haemoglobin variants distribution.

Donors	G6PD-N	G6PD-D	А	AS
Commercial $(n = 8)$	3	5 (62.5%)	4	4 (50%)
Replacement ($n = 152$)	124	28 (18.4%)	128	24 (15.8%)
Voluntary ($n = 40$)	34	6 (15%)	28	12 (30%)
Total	161	39	160	40

G6PD-N: normal qualitative G6PD activity; G6PD-D: defective red cell G6PD activity; A: haemoglobin A; S: haemoglobin S.

TABLE 4: Number of donors as stratified by age (years).

Age (yrs)	Number of donors (%)
18–29	101 (50.5%)
30–39	81 (40.5%)
40-49	17 (8.5%)
50-59	1 (0.5%)
Total	200 (100%)



FIGURE 2: Comparison of red blood cell G6PD enzyme activity levels of blood donors. G6PD activities of red cells were measured using quantitative G6PD kit from Trinity Biotech and calculated against the donor haemoglobin levels. Statistical differences between G6PD enzyme activities were estimated using Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's posttest for multiple comparisons. A: haemoglobin A; S: haemoglobin S; ND: no qualitative G6PD activity; FD: full qualitative G6PD defect; PD: partial qualitative G6PD defect (*p <0.05; ***p < 0.0001).

or full qualitative defect when compared to donors with no qualitative enzyme defect (Figure 1; p < 0.05 (A + PD versus AS + ND); p < 0.001 (AS + ND versus AS + FD; AS + ND versus A + FD; AS + FD versus A + ND); A: haemoglobin A; S: haemoglobin S; ND: no qualitative G6PD activity; FD: full qualitative G6PD defect; PD: partial qualitative G6PD defect). However, this reduced G6PD enzyme activity was independent of the haemoglobin phenotype of the donor (Figure 2; p = ns (AS + ND versus A + ND; AS + FD versus A + FD)).

TABLE 5: % G6PD enzyme activity calculated from the adjusted male median of study participants.

% G6PD activity	A + PD $(n = 4)$	A + FD ($n = 21$)	AS + FD (n = 14)	A + N ($n = 136$)	AS + N (n = 25)
Median (range)	29.41 (19.61–30.39)	15.69 (10.78–25.49)	16.67 (10.78–23.53)	97.06 (49.02–156.9)	108.8 (56.86–137.3)
Mean (95% CI)	27.21 (19.11–35.3)	16.53 (14.63–18.42)	17.23 (14.43–20.02)	99.79 (95.77–103.8)	105.4 (95.82–114.9)

A and S represent haemoglobin A and haemoglobin S, respectively; N: no qualitative red cell G6PD enzyme defect; PD: partial qualitative red cell G6PD enzyme defect; FD: full qualitative red cell G6PD enzyme defect.



FIGURE 3: G6PD enzyme activity levels in relation to participant haemoglobin levels. (a) A scatter plot showing an inverse correlation between the participant haemoglobin levels and G6PD enzyme activity (Spearman correlation coefficient, r = -0.2023; p = 0.0041). (b) Comparing the haemoglobin levels of the participants with regard to the haemoglobin variant and/or G6PD enzymopathy status (A: haemoglobin A; S: haemoglobin S; ND: no qualitative G6PD activity; FD: full qualitative G6PD defect; PD: partial qualitative G6PD defect).

The study also found a weak but statistically significant inverse relationship between donor haemoglobin levels and red cell G6PD enzyme activity (Figure 3(a); r = -0.2023; p = 0.0041). However, when the data were stratified into the various haemoglobin variants, there was no significant difference in the haemoglobin levels between these groups (Figure 3(b)).

TABLE 6: Logistic regression of factors associated with sickle haemoglobin variant (AS).

Parameters	OR (95% CI)	P value
Age group		
18–29	0.229 (0.014-3.826)	0.305
30-39	0.250 (0.015-4.217)	0.336
40-49	0.231 (0.011-4.838)	0.345
50-59	Reference	
Sex		
Male	0.711 (0.183-2.758)	0.621
Female	Reference	
G6PD status		
Normal	Reference	
Partial defect	5.029 (5.029)	
Full defect	3.627 (1.630-8.067)	0.002
G6PD activity		
Mild deficiency	2.410 (1.049-5.534)	0.038
Nondeficient	0.676 (0.273-1.676)	0.398
Increased activity	Reference	_
Donor type		
Commercial	5.609 (1.309-24.035)	0.020
Voluntary	2.404 (1.071–5.397)	0.034
Replacement	Reference	_

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

The 100% G6PD enzyme activity was calculated based on the adjusted G6PD-normal male median of the study participants as previously recommended [17] and is summarised in Table 5. As per the WHO recommendations, 39 (19.5%) of the participants with G6PD enzymopathy had mild G6PD deficiency, that is, 10–60% activity (Table 5) [11]. However, none had severe enzyme deficiency, that is, <10% enzyme activity.

Our study also revealed that, in our donor population, having a sickle cell trait was significantly associated with higher chance of having full qualitative red cell G6PD defect (p = 0.002, OR: 3.627, CI (1.630–8.067)) and mild red cell G6PD enzyme activity level (p = 0.038, OR: 2.410, CI (1.049–5.534)). Additionally, there was a significantly higher chance of commercial (p = 0.020, OR: 5.609, CI (1.309–24.035)) or voluntary donors (p = 0.034, OR: 2.404, CI (1.071–5.397)) having the sickle cell trait (Table 6; see also Supplementary Tables S1 and S2 in Supplementary Material available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/7302912).

4. Discussion

In the blood donor preselection protocol, prospective donors are screened using haemoglobin levels and a battery of serological tests that focusses mainly on transfusion-transmitted diseases. However, this study argues a case for the widening of the predonation screening protocol to include screening for other red cell pathologies in populations in which inheritance of such pathologies is inherently high. In this study, we show that coinheritance of sickle cell haemoglobin variant and red cell G6PD enzymopathy could be as high as 7%. Moreover, we found that 19.5% of the donor blood units are prone to stressor-induced haemolysis in any prospective recipient as a consequence of their inherent G6PD enzymopathy.

Previous studies have estimated prevalence rates of sickle cell trait and/or G6PD status in various populations. For example, a study by Omisakin et al. and Jeremiah estimated prevalence of HbS trait of 26.1 and 19.68%, respectively [14, 18], in blood donors. Egesie et al. also found SCT prevalence of 20.8% in a study that involved males in Jos in Nigeria [13]. Others have also reported SCT prevalence ranging from 20 to 40% for Ghanaian populace [12, 19]. The 19.5% prevalence rate found in our blood donors is consistent with these previous works. However, a similar work done in Ghana found a comparatively lower SCT prevalence of 11.3% in a group of 150 blood donors [20]. Also, in this study, only 3% of the participants had knowledge of their sickle cell status and haemoglobin phenotype, which agrees with a study by Lippi et al., who stated that most blood donors, especially those with SCT, were not aware of their sickle cell status [21].

With regard to G6PD enzymopathy, the WHO estimates a 15–26% prevalence rate in Ghana [11]. The 19.5% prevalence of G6PD deficiency recorded in this study confirms the prevalence rate suggested by the WHO [11] for the Ghanaian populace. Moreover, prevalence of G6PD deficiency in this study was comparable to estimated G6PD enzymopathy prevalence rates in blood donors in Osogbo, Osun State, Nigeria [22], and in Yasuj, Iran [23], that reported G6PD deficiency prevalence rates of 19.5% and 14.17%, respectively. Our prevalence rate also falls within the population-based G6PD deficiency predicted by Howes et al., for Nigeria (2%-31%), Sudan (1%–29%), and Democratic Republic of Congo (4%–32%) [10]. However, a previous cross-sectional study in Nigeria reported a relatively higher prevalence rate of 25.5% in blood donors [24]. The variance may be due to the different sample sizes (200 in the present study versus 314 in that study) and/or demographics of the studied population. In spite of the fact that G6PD enzymopathy is sex-linked and has a higher frequency in males, 93% of the blood donors in the present study were males (compared to 7% females). This is interesting as in areas with high prevalence of sexlinked enzymopathies one would expect a higher proportion of female donors to reduce the likelihood of transfusing blood with red cell enzyme deficiencies. This agrees favourably with previous studies that were undertaken in Ghana [20] and Riyadh, Saudi Arabia [25], which, respectively, found 92% and 98.7% of blood donors being males. Others have

suggested such reasons as pregnancy, low body weight, and/or potential low iron levels due to menstrual cycle for the lower proportion of donors being females [26].

Presently, haemoglobin levels $\geq 12 \text{ g/dL}$ are used as the threshold for predonation screening [4]. While this is necessary in ensuring that prospective donors are protected, it does not give any indication of inherited red cell haemoglobinopathies or enzymopathies. Our data shows that there is no correlation between the donor haemoglobin levels and G6PD enzyme activity or sickle cell haemoglobin trait (HbS) inheritance as well as no significant differences in the haemoglobin levels of donors with HbS trait and G6PD enzymopathy. It is estimated that most of the transfusions are used to correct malaria-induced severe anaemia [1]. Most of these cases are managed with such drugs as primaquine that can induce acute red cell haemolysis in G6PD deficient individuals with its consequent detrimental outcomes. Our study therefore strongly argues for the need to include screening for haemoglobinopathies and sickle cell trait in the predonation selection protocol in areas where genetic pressure due to malaria endemicity has led to selection of inheritance of SCT and/or G6PD enzymopathy as these offer survival advantages [5]. This is particularly important considering that prevalence of coinheritance of G6PD enzymopathy and sickle cell haemoglobin variant is estimated to be 7% in participants who donated blood at our study centre. This agrees with a previous study by Egesie et al., in Jos, Nigeria, that also found a prevalence of coinheritance of both G6PD enzymopathy and HbS variant to be 5.4% [27]. The differences in the prevalence rates could be due to the different sample sizes in the two studies; 130 blood donors in the study by Egesie et al. compared to 200 blood donors in the present study. Additionally, whereas Egesie et al. recruited donors aged between 20 and 49 years, this study recruited donors aged between 18 and 59 years. This could have also accounted for our slightly higher G6PD enzymopathy as 50.5% of our study participants were in the 18-24 years' category.

Moreover, we also found 19.5% of the donors had mild enzyme deficiency (10–60% enzyme activity). Individuals with this enzyme activity are known to undergo haemolysis when subjected to stressors like infection and/or drug therapy [11, 17]. As most of the recipients of blood in our study population may usually be suffering from severe malaria and on drug therapy, this calls for some urgency in the inclusion of G6PD and/or HbS status in all prospective donors so as to protect the potential recipients.

Our study has some limitations which include our inability to screen our G6PD deficient donors for their G6PD genotypes as these are known to impact the G6PD enzyme activity levels. Also, we acknowledge that, compared to the fluorescence spot test, the methaemoglobin reduction assay has low sensitivity with regard to heterozygous females who may have relatively high G6PD enzyme activity. Additionally, we did not make an estimate for reticulocyte counts in our study participants, although reticulocytes having remnants of RNA have higher G6PD enzyme activity. This potential confounding effect of reticulocytosis was not accounted for in our study.

5. Conclusion

The high prevalence of SCT and G6PD enzymopathy coinheritance demonstrated in this study suggests that screening for these inherited conditions must be incorporated into existing protocols. Individuals with rare blood groups, who might necessarily donate in spite of their haemoglobin variant and/or G6PD enzymopathy, must have their donated units appropriately labelled to minimise complications in high-risk recipients.

Competing Interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Authors' Contributions

Patrick Adu, David Larbi Simpong, and Godfred Takyi were involved in the conception and design of the research; Patrick Adu, David Larbi Simpong, and Richard K. D. Ephraim were involved in the interpretation of data and writing the manuscript; Patrick Adu was involved in the statistical analysis of the data; Godfred Takyi performed all experimental procedures in this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the entire management and laboratory staff of the Holy Trinity Hospital, Berekum, for their support.

References

- M. Cheeseborough, District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries 2, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2nd edition, 2006.
- [2] NBSG, National Guidelines for the Clinical use of Blood and Blood products in Ghana, WHO Country Office, Accra, Ghana, 2013.
- [3] F. Mimouni, S. Shohat, and S. H. Reisner, "G6PD-deficient donor blood as a cause of hemolysis in two preterm infants," *Israel Journal of Medical Sciences*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 120–122, 1986.
- [4] J. Stanley, "Blood collection and processing," in *Immunohema-tology Principles & Practice*, pp. 3–15, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, Md, USA, 2011.
- [5] A. Mehta, P. J. Mason, and T. J. Vulliamy, "Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency," *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Haematology*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 21–38, 2000.
- [6] C. Ruwende and A. Hill, "Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and malaria," *Journal of Molecular Medicine*, vol. 76, no. 8, pp. 581–588, 1998.
- [7] M. S. Santana, W. M. Monteiroa, A. M. Siqueiraa et al., "Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficient variants are associated with reduced susceptibility to malaria in the Brazilian Amazon," *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, vol. 107, no. 5, pp. 301–306, 2013.
- [8] E. Beutler, "G6PD deficiency," Blood, vol. 84, no. 11, pp. 3613– 3636, 1994.

- [9] H. G. Klein and D. J. Anstee, Mollison's Blood Transfusion in Clinical Medicine, Blackwell, Oxford, UK, 11th edition, 2005.
- [10] R. E. Howes, F. B. Piel, A. P. Patil et al., "G6PD deficiency prevalence and estimates of affected populations in malaria endemic countries: a geostatistical model-based map," *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 11, Article ID e1001339, 2012.
- [11] WHO, Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, 1989.
- [12] K. Ohene-Frempong, J. Oduro, H. Tetteh, and F. Nkrumah, "Screening newborns for sickle cell disease in Ghana," *Pediatrics*, vol. 121, supplement 2, pp. S120–S121, 2008.
- [13] O. J. Egesie, D. E. Joseph, I. Isiguzoro, and U. G. Egesie, "Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) activity and deficiency in a population of Nigerian males resident in Jos," *Nigerian Journal of Physiological Sciences*, vol. 23, no. 1-2, pp. 9– 11, 2008.
- [14] C. T. Omisakin, A. J. Esan, A. A. Ogunleye, O. Ojo-Bola, M. F. Owoseni, and D. P. Omoniyi, "Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6pd) deficiency and sickle cell trait among blood donors in Nigeria," *American Journal of Public Health Research*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 51–55, 2014.
- [15] D. S. Young, L. C. Pestaner, and V. Gibberman, "Effects of drugs on clinical laboratory tests," *Clinical Chemistry*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 1D–432D, 1975.
- [16] B. J. Bain, M. A. Laffan, and S. Mitchell Lewis, *Dacie and Lewis Practical Haematology*, Elsevier Churchill Livingstone, 2011.
- [17] G. J. Domingo, A. W. Satyagraha, A. Anvikar et al., "G6PD testing in support of treatment and elimination of malaria: recommendations for evaluation of G6PD tests," *Malaria Journal*, vol. 12, article 391, 2013.
- [18] Z. A. Jeremiah, "Abnormal haemoglobin variants, ABO and Rh blood groups among student of African descent in Port Harcourt, Nigeria," *African Health Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 177– 181, 2006.
- [19] WHO, *Management of Haemoglobin Disorders*, World Health Organization, Nicosia, Cyprus, 2008.
- [20] S. Antwi-Baffour, R. O. Asare, J. K. Adjei, R. Kyeremeh, and D. N. Adjei, "Prevalence of hemoglobin S trait among blood donors: a cross-sectional study," *BMC Research Notes*, vol. 8, article 583, 2015.
- [21] G. Lippi, M. Mercadanti, C. Alberta, and M. Franchini, "An unusual case of a spurious, transfusion-acquired haemoglobin S," *Blood Transfusion*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 199–202, 2010.
- [22] E. O. Akanni, B. S. A. Osenil, V. O. Agbona et al., "Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in blood donors and jaundiced neonates in Osogbo, Nigeria," *Medical Laboratory* and Diagnosis, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–4, 2010.
- [23] S. H. Nabavizadeh and A. Anushiravani, "The prevalence of G6PD deficiency in blood transfusion recipients," *Hematology*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 85–88, 2007.
- [24] C. T. Omisikan, A. J. Esan, A. A. Ogunleye, O. Ojo-Bola, M. F. Owoseni, and D. P. Omoniyi, "Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6pd) deficiency and sickle cell trait among blood donors in Nigeria," *American Journal of Public Health Research*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 51–55, 2014.
- [25] M. K. Alabdulaali, K. M. Alayed, A. F. Alshaikh, and S. A. Almashhadani, "Prevalence of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and sickle cell trait among blood donors in Riyadh," *Asian Journal of Transfusion Science*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 31–33, 2010.

- [26] M. Bani and B. Giussani, "Gender differences in giving blood: a review of the literature," *Blood Transfusion*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 278–287, 2010.
- [27] O. J. Egesie, U. G. Egesie, E. D. Jatau, I. Isiguzoro, and D. B. Ntuhun, "Prevalence of sickle cell trait and glucose 6 phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency among blood donors in a Nigerian tertiary hospital," *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 143–147, 2013.