# N-terminal phosphorylation of HP1 $\alpha$ increases its nucleosome-binding specificity

Gohei Nishibuchi<sup>1</sup>, Shinichi Machida<sup>2</sup>, Akihisa Osakabe<sup>2</sup>, Hiromu Murakoshi<sup>2</sup>, Kyoko Hiragami-Hamada<sup>3</sup>, Reiko Nakagawa<sup>4</sup>, Wolfgang Fischle<sup>3</sup>, Yoshifumi Nishimura<sup>5</sup>, Hitoshi Kurumizaka<sup>2</sup>, Hideaki Tagami<sup>1</sup> and Jun-ichi Nakayama<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Natural Sciences, Nagoya City University, Nagoya 467-8501, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Structural Biology, Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8480, Japan, <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Chromatin Biochemistry, Max Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, 37077 Göttingen, Germany, <sup>4</sup>Proteomics Support Unit, RIKEN Center for Developmental Biology, Kobe 650-0047, Japan and <sup>5</sup>Graduate School of Medical Life Science, Yokohama City University, 1-7-29, Suehiro-cho, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama 230-0045, Japan

Received July 25, 2014; Revised September 09, 2014; Accepted October 06, 2014

### ABSTRACT

Heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) is an evolutionarily conserved chromosomal protein that binds to lysine 9-methylated histone H3 (H3K9me), a hallmark of heterochromatin. Although HP1 phosphorylation has been described in several organisms, the biological implications of this modification remain largely elusive. Here we show that HP1's phosphorylation has a critical effect on its nucleosome binding properties. By in vitro phosphorylation assays and conventional chromatography, we demonstrated that casein kinase II (CK2) is the kinase primarily responsible for phosphorylating the Nterminus of human HP1 $\alpha$ . Pull-down assays using in vitro-reconstituted nucleosomes showed that unmodified HP1 $\alpha$  bound H3K9-methylated and H3K9unmethylated nucleosomes with comparable affinity, whereas CK2-phosphorylated HP1 $\alpha$  showed a high specificity for H3K9me3-modified nucleosomes. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays showed that CK2-mediated phosphorylation diminished HP1a's intrinsic DNA binding, which contributed to its H3K9me-independent nucleosome binding. CK2mediated phosphorylation had a similar effect on the nucleosome-binding specificity of fly HP1a and S. pombe Swi6. These results suggested that HP1 phosphorylation has an evolutionarily conserved role in HP1's recognition of H3K9me-marked nucleosomes.

### INTRODUCTION

Heterochromatin, a distinctive structure in the nucleus of eukaryotic cells, plays an important role in chromosomal function and epigenetic gene regulation. Heterochromatin is generally transcriptionally silent, and the assembly of higher-order chromatin structure is closely linked with changes in post-translational histone-tail modifications (1–3). In particular, the trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 9 (H3K9me3) is a hallmark of heterochromatin, and this modification is catalyzed by SUV39H-family histone methyltransferases. This mark serves as a binding site for a variety of chromatin proteins, including the evolutionarily conserved heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) (4–6).

HP1 was originally identified in *Drosophila* as a factor enriched at condensed chromatin (7), and its homologs and isoforms have since been identified in diverse eukaryotic species, from fission yeast to humans (8–10). Mammalian cells express three HP1 isoforms, HP1 $\alpha$ , HP1 $\beta$  and HP1 $\gamma$ . Although the cytological distribution of each isoform and the phenotypes of mice deficient for each of the isoforms suggest that they have distinct functions (11–15), their underlying molecular mechanisms have yet to be determined. While these HP1 isoforms are widely recognized to play primary roles in heterochromatin assembly, recent studies have revealed functions for them in cell-cycle control, transcriptional activation, DNA repair and other biological processes (16–19).

HP1-family proteins have two functionally distinct globular domains, the N-terminal chromodomain (CD) and the C-terminal chromoshadow domain (CSD), which are linked by an unstructured hinge region. The CD functions as a binding module that targets H3K9me3 marks (20–22). The CSD functions as a dimerization module that also provides an interaction surface for many other chromatin pro-

© The Author(s) 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research.

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +81 52 872 5838; Fax: +81 52 872 5866; Email: jnakayam@nsc.nagoya-cu.ac.jp

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

teins involved in heterochromatin assembly and transcriptional regulation (23). The hinge region and the N- and Cterminally extended regions are unstructured and are less conserved than the CD or CSD, and are therefore thought to contribute to isoform-specific functions (8,24). HP1's ability to bind directly to chromatin and to form dimers suggests that it may bridge H3K9me3-marked nucleosomes to stabilize higher-order chromatin structure (25–27).

While the HP1 CD preferentially binds H3K9me3 peptides, the interaction is rather weak (21, 22, 28, 29), and it is postulated that additional mechanisms contribute to HP1's nucleosome binding. Studies using reconstituted nucleosomes demonstrated that, although HP1 displays relatively lower binding specificity for H3K9me3-marked mononucleosome, the specificity increases when H3K9me3-marked oligonucleosomes are used as binding targets (27,30). Therefore, HP1's ability to bind H3K9me3-marked nucleosomes appears to be increased by its dimeric configuration, its higher-order intermolecular interactions or both (27). HP1's DNA- or RNA-binding activities may also increase its affinity for H3K9me3 nucleosomes. Studies in various organisms have shown that HP1 binds DNA and RNA through its hinge region, without any particular sequence specificity, and that several stretches of basic residues in the hinge region contribute to this binding (30-35). Since HP1's heterochromatic localization in mammalian cells is sensitive to RNase treatment (35,36), nuclear RNA may guide HP1 to its target heterochromatin. In vitro studies have demonstrated that DNA-binding activity associated with the hinge region contributes to HP1's preferential binding to nucleosomes with H3K9me3 (30) or with linker histores (32).

There is growing evidence that HP1-family proteins undergo a variety of post-translational modifications, including phosphorylation, acetylation, methylation, ubiquitination and SUMOylation (26,37). Phosphorylation, the most extensively studied of these modifications, is widely implicated in HP1's dynamics and functions. A metabolic labeling study revealed that mammalian HP1 proteins are subjected to phosphorylation in an isoform-specific manner (11). We previously showed that mouse HP1 $\alpha$  is multiply phosphorylated at its N-terminal serine residues (S11-14), and that this phosphorylation enhances HP1a's affinity for the H3K9me3 peptide (29). In Drosophila, the casein kinase II (CK2) phosphorylation of an N-terminal serine (S15) of HP1a is involved in heterochromatic silencing (38,39). In Schizosaccharomyces pombe, the HP1 homolog Swi6 is phosphorylated by CK2, and a phosphorylation-defective Swi6 mutant leads to silencing defects and cannot recruit the histone deacetylase complex to chromatin (40). These findings suggest that the CK2-mediated phosphorylation of HP1 plays a critical role in heterochromatin assembly, although the mechanism by which phosphorylation controls HP1's functions remains unclear.

In this study, we found that HP1's phosphorylation plays a critical role in its nucleosome binding. Using *in vitro*-reconstituted nucleosomes, we demonstrated that N-terminal phosphorylation increased HP1 $\alpha$ 's binding specificity for H3K9me3-marked nucleosomes, and that this specificity was closely linked with HP1 $\alpha$ 's hingeregion-associated DNA-binding activity. Importantly, CK2-mediated phosphorylation had a similar effect on the nucleosome-binding specificities of fly HP1a and *S. pombe* Swi6. These results highlight an evolutionarily conserved role of HP1 phosphorylation in the assembly of higher-order chromatins.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Cell culture

HeLa, HEK293T, U2OS and IMR90 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Nacalai Tesque) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Gibco). Plasmid DNA was transfected into HEK 293T cells using Lipofectamine 2000 Reagent (Invitrogen), and the cells were harvested after 24 h and used for experiments.

#### **Plasmid construction**

Complementary DNAs (cDNAs) of human HP1a (NM\_012117), HP1<sub>β</sub>  $(NM_006807)$  $HP1\gamma$ and (BAA\_83340) were polymerase chain reaction (PCR)amplified from a HeLa cDNA library using SuperScript Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and a PfuTurbo PCR system (Agilent). The PCR products were cloned into the pCRII vector with the TOPO-TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen), and then sequenced and subcloned into each expression plasmid. To produce recombinant proteins, the corresponding cDNAs were introduced into the pCold I vector (TaKaRa). Expression vectors for fly HP1a [NM\_057407] (from S2 cells) and S. pombe Swi6 [NM\_001018882] were constructed using the same method. To express full-length proteins in HEK293T cells, the corresponding cDNAs were introduced into pFLAG-C1 (29). The PfuTurbo PCR system was used for site-directed mutagenesis of the HP1 $\alpha$ cDNA sequence. To express CK2 in Escherichia coli cells, mouse Ck2a and Ck2b (29) or S. pombe Cka1 and Ckb1 [NM\_001019073 and NM\_001020034] were cloned into pRSFDuet vectors (Novagen).

### Antibodies

The following antibodies were used in this study: anti-HP1 $\alpha$  (MBL; BMP001), anti-HP1 $\beta$  (1MOD-1A9; Millipore), anti-HP1 $\gamma$  (2MOD-1G6; Millipore), anti-DmHP1a (sc-26950; Santa Cruz), anti-Swi6 (41), peroxidase-conjugated anti-histone H3 (ab21054; Abcam), anti-CK2 $\alpha$  (1AD9; Calbiochem), anti- $\alpha$ -tubulin (T5168; Sigma), peroxidase-conjugated anti-FLAG M2 (A8592; Sigma), peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG; A6667; Sigma), peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (112–035-072; Jackson ImmunoResearch) and peroxidase-conjugated anti-goat IgG (A5420; Sigma).

#### Immunoblotting with Phos-tag solution

Human cell lines were lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)) supplemented with protease inhibitor cock-tail (Complete [EDTA-free]; Roche) and 10 mM sodium fluoride (NaF). For dephosphorylation, 50  $\mu$ l of whole-cell lysate (0.6 mg/ml) was diluted with an equal volume

of  $2\times$  alkaline-phosphatase reaction buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl [pH 9.0], 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 60 units/ml shrimp alkaline phosphatase [SAP; TaKaRa]) and incubated for 3 h at 37°C. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis using a chemical reagent called Phos-tag (Wako) was performed as previously described (29).

#### **Production of recombinant HP1**

Recombinant 6xHis-tagged proteins were expressed in BL21 (DE3) *E. coli* and purified by immobilized metal affinity chromatography following the manufacturer's instructions (TALON; Clontech). Recombinant HP1s were further purified by anion exchange chromatography (SOURCE 15Q; GE Healthcare). To produce CK2-phosphorylated HP1 proteins, BL21 (DE3) *E. coli* cells were simultaneously transformed with pCold I-HP1 $\alpha$  (or -HP1a or -Swi6) and pRSFDuet-CK2 $\alpha/\beta$  (-Cka1/Ckb1) plasmids and selected with ampicillin (for pCold I) and kanamycin (for pRSF-Duet). The phosphorylated HP1 proteins were purified as described above. The experimental scheme for the recombinant phosphorylated protein preparation is shown in Supplementary Figure S4.

#### Fractionation of cell extracts

HeLa cytoplasmic extracts (S100) and nuclear extracts (NE) were prepared following Dignam's protocol (42). These extracts were further separated on diethylaminoethyl (DEAE) Sepharose (GE Healthcare) for *in vitro* kinase assays. To identify the kinase responsible for phosphorylating the HP1 $\alpha$  N-terminal domain, 10 mg of HeLa S100 or NE was loaded onto a HiTrap Q HP Column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer A (20 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.9], 10% glycerol, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM phenylmethyl-sulfonyl fluoride and 0.5 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]). The bound proteins were eluted step-wise with a gradient from 0 to 1 M KCl in buffer A. After the *in vitro* kinase assay, fractions with kinase activity were pooled and further separated on a Superose 6 Column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer A containing 150 mM KCl.

#### **Kinase assays**

For *in vitro* kinase reactions, 1  $\mu$ g of recombinant HP1 $\alpha$ -NCD (1–80 aa) was treated with 50 U of recombinant CK2 (NEB) or with 5  $\mu$ l cell extract or column eluate in 25  $\mu$ l kinase reaction buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 200  $\mu$ M adenosine triphosphate) for 3 h at 30°C. To examine whether kinase activity was associated with CK2, 50  $\mu$ M 4,5,6,7-tetrabromobenzotriazole (TBB) (Chemicon) was added to the reaction buffer.

# Immunopurification of FLAG-tagged HP1 $\alpha$ from HEK293T cells

FLAG-tagged full-length HP1 $\alpha$  proteins were transiently expressed in HEK293T cells. After 24 h, the cells were harvested, resuspended in immunoprecipitation (IP) buffer (50 mM HEPES-NaOH [pH 7.9], 0.3 M NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.2 mM EDTA and 0.1% TritonX-100) and lysed by three

freeze-thaw cycles. Cell lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 21 880 g for 30 min and subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-FLAG M2 antibodies. Precipitated proteins were used for further experiments.

#### Nucleosome reconstitution

Recombinant human histones H2A, H2B and H4 were overexpressed in E. coli cells as 6xHis-tagged proteins, and were purified as previously described (43). To install the H3K9me3 analog into the recombinant proteins, human histone H3.2 containing K9C and C110A mutations was expressed and purified as for the other histones. The purified H3\_K9C/C110A protein was subjected to alkylation as previously described (44). A 193-bp nucleosome assembly 601 sequence DNA (601 DNA) and purified histones were mixed and reconstituted by salt dialysis as previously described (43). To obtain nucleosomes with biotinylated DNA, the same 193-bp 601 DNA was PCR-amplified using biotinylated primers. The following primers were used to amplify the 601 DNA: 193 bp\_601\_top (5'-ATC GGA CCC TAT CGC GAG CCA GGC CTG AGA-3') and 193 bp\_601\_bot (5'-ATC TAT GAA TTT CGC GAC ACA AGG CCT GGA-3').

### Nucleosome pull-down assays

Biotinylated nucleosomes  $(1 \mu g)$  containing either unmodified H3 or K9-trimethyl H3 (H3Kc9me3) were preincubated with streptavidin T1 Dynabeads (80 µg; Invitrogen) in binding buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 5% glycerol, 0.05 mM EDTA, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 1 mM DTT) for 4 h at 4°C. Beads were washed twice with the binding buffer to remove unbound nucleosomes. Nucleosome-bound beads were incubated with 100 pmol of recombinant HP1 proteins or with FLAG-HP1a purified from HEK293T cells for 1 h at 4°C in binding buffer. The beads were then triplewashed with washing buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 300 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 5% glycerol and 0.05 mM EDTA), and the bound proteins were eluted by boiling the beads in SDS sample buffer. The input and bound proteins were resolved by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and analyzed by immunoblotting with cognate antibodies.

#### 12-mer oligonucleosomal array preparation

12-mer oligonucleosomal arrays were prepared as previously described (45). In brief,  $12 \times 601-200$  DNA and assembled *Xenopus* histone octamers containing unmodified H3K9 or H3Kc9me3 were mixed in high-salt refolding buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 2 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM DTT), and the samples were dialyzed against 400 ml high-salt refolding buffer that was continuously replaced with 2 1 low-salt (10 mM NaCl) refolding buffer over 24 h. The reconstituted samples were further dialyzed against 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 0.1 mM EDTA and 1 mM DTT overnight.

For each reaction, 1  $\mu$ g unmodified or H3Kc9me3 12-mer oligonucleosomal arrays were diluted in 100  $\mu$ l 1× binding buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1 mM EDTA and 0.1% Triton X-100) and incubated with 1  $\mu$ M HP1 proteins for 1 h on ice. The HP1chromatin mixture was then centrifuged at 16 100 g for 30 min at 4°C. The pellet was washed with 500  $\mu$ l 1× binding buffer and dissolved in SDS sample buffer. The 10% input and precipitated chromatin were analyzed by 15% SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie brilliant blue (CBB) staining.

## Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs)

Various concentrations of HP1 proteins were incubated with 0.2 pmol of 601 DNA in 10  $\mu$ l of EMSA assay buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT and 0.1 mg/ml BSA for 15 min at 37°C. After incubation, 1  $\mu$ l of 30% sucrose was added, and the samples were loaded onto 5% native polyacrylamide gels in 0.5× Trisborate-EDTA. Gels were run at room temperature at 100 V for 1.5 h. Gels were stained with SYBR Gold (Invitrogen), visualized using a LAS3000 (GE Healthcare) and quantified using ImageQuant software. Binding curves were fitted with the following equation: fraction bound = 1 – fraction unbound. Curve-fitting was performed with Igor Pro software.

#### RESULTS

# $HP1\alpha$ is constitutively phosphorylated at N-terminal serine residues in human cells

We previously showed that mouse HP1 $\alpha$  is constitutively phosphorylated at its N-terminal serine residues (S11–14), and that this phosphorylation enhances HP1 $\alpha$ 's binding to H3K9me3 peptides (29). To address the conserved roles of HP1 $\alpha$  phosphorylation, we examined the phosphorylation status of endogenous HP1 $\alpha$  in several human cell lines using Phos-tag-PAGE, in which phosphorylated proteins migrate differentially (29).

Endogenous HP1 $\alpha$  was detected as a single major band, which shifted slightly to a faster-migrating form after SAP treatment (Figure 1A). As previously observed for mouse HP1 $\alpha$ , additional, slower-migrating minor bands appeared in nocodazole-treated (G2/M-arrested) HeLa cells (Figure 1B). The unphosphorylated form of HP1 $\alpha$  was barely detected in any examined cells, suggesting that HP1 $\alpha$  was constitutively phosphorylated in human cells. Amino acid substitutions in the N-terminal serine residues, S11–14A (Figure 1C) shifted the migration levels of the major band to that of the unphosphorylated control (Figure 1D), indicating that the major band shift of human HP1 $\alpha$  was also attributable to its N-terminal phosphorylation (29).

# CK2 is the kinase primarily responsible for $HP1\alpha$ 's N-terminal phosphorylation

As shown in Figure 1C, HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminal phosphorylation sites closely resemble the CK2's consensus target sequence (SXXE/D, SXE/D, SD) (46) and CK2 is known to phosphorylate the N-terminal serine residues of mouse HP1 $\alpha$  *in vitro* (29). However, we could not obtain direct evidence for CK2's involvement in HP1 $\alpha$  N-terminal phosphorylation: knocking down the CK2 $\alpha/\alpha'$  catalytic subunit did not have any marked effect on murine HP1 $\alpha$ 's phosphorylation (Supplementary Figure S1A), and the potent CK2-specific inhibitor 4,5,6,7-TBB or tetrabromocinnamic acid did not clearly block the phosphorylation of endogenous murine HP1 $\alpha$  (Supplementary Figure S1B) or transiently expressed FLAG-tagged human HP1 $\alpha$  (Supplementary Figure S1C).

To identify the kinase or kinases responsible for HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminal phosphorylation, we conducted an *in vitro* assay in which a recombinant protein containing HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminus and CD (HP1 $\alpha$ -NCD) was used as the substrate. Both HeLa cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts (S100 and NE) showed weak phosphorylation activity for HP1 $\alpha$ -NCD, and this activity was enriched in the 250 mM KCl-eluted fractions from DEAE Sepharose (Figure 1E and Supplementary Figure S2A). We further monitored the enzyme activity through several chromatography columns (Figure 1F and Supplementary Figure S2B).

During the purification process, we found that the elution profile of the kinase activity was clearly overlapped with that of endogenous CK2 (Figure 1F and Supplementary Figure S2B). We further confirmed that the kinase activities in the partially purified preparations were abolished by adding TBB (Figure 1G). Although we could not exclude the possibility of minor contributions from other kinases, these results strongly suggested that the CK2 is primarily responsible for HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminal phosphorylation. Given that CK2 has a variety of substrates, it is likely that inhibiting CK2 led to defective cell proliferation before a clear reduction in HP1 $\alpha$  N-terminal phosphorylation could be noted in the cells.

# HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminal phosphorylation increases its binding specificity for H3K9me3 nucleosomes

Previous studies have indicated that N-terminal phosphorylation enhances HP1 $\alpha$ 's binding to H3K9me3 peptides (29), but the effect of this phosphorylation on HP1 $\alpha$ 's binding to nucleosomes containing H3K9me3 was unclear. We addressed this issue using *in vitro*-reconstituted nucleosomes containing H3Kc9me3, prepared by installing a methyl lysine analog through the alkylation of a cysteine residue (Supplementary Figure S3), and examining the nucleosomes' interaction with HP1 proteins in pull-down assays. The reconstituted nucleosomes were immobilized via biotinylated DNA on streptavidin-coated magnetic beads, and the bound HP1 proteins were evaluated by western blotting.

In the pull-down assay, recombinant human HP1 $\alpha$ , HP1 $\beta$  and HP1 $\gamma$  (rHP1 $\alpha$ , rHP1 $\beta$  and rHP1 $\gamma$ ) produced in *E. coli* cells (Figure 2A) exhibited distinct binding preferences for the *in vitro*-reconstituted nucleosomes: rHP1 $\beta$  bound strongly to the H3Kc9me3 nucleosome and weakly to the control H3-unmodified (H3-unmod) nucleosome (Figure 2B), and although rHP1 $\gamma$  showed a similar binding preference, it appeared to bind H3-unmod nucleosomes more strongly than did rHP1 $\beta$  (Figure 2B). While



**Figure 1.** HP1 $\alpha$  is constitutively phosphorylated by CK2 at N-terminal serine residues in human cells. (A) Phosphorylation states of HP1 $\alpha$  in various human cell lines. SAP-treated samples were used as unphosphorylated basal control proteins. Samples were resolved on 12% standard SDS-PAGE gel (standard) or on 10% SDS-PAGE gel containing 50  $\mu$ M Phos-tag (Phos-tag) gel, and analyzed by western blotting with an anti-HP1 $\alpha$  antibody. Western blotting using an anti- $\alpha$ -tubulin antibody is shown for a loading control. (B) Phosphorylation patterns of human HP1 $\alpha$  in G<sub>2</sub>/M-arrested cells. HeLa cells treated with 200 ng/ml nocodazole for 3, 6 or 12 h were harvested and analyzed as in (A). (C) Schematic diagram of the HP1 $\alpha$  protein and its N-terminal amino acid sequence. Serine residues that are phosphorylatele by CK2 are underlined. (D) Serine clusters (S11–14) were constitutively phosphorylated *in vivo*. FLAG-tagged WT HP1 $\alpha$  or HP1 $\alpha$  with a S11–14A mutation was transiently expressed in HEK293T cells and analyzed as in (A). (E) *In vitro* kinase assays using recombinant protein containing the HP1 $\alpha$  N-terminus and CD (HP1 $\alpha$ -NCD). HeLa cytoplasmic extract (S100) and fractions that were partially purified through DEAE Sepharose were assayed. (F) Partial purification of cellular kinase(s) that phosphorylate HP1 $\alpha$ -NCD: HeLa S100 extracts were loaded onto a HiTrap Q HP column, and each fraction was subjected to a kinase assay (top panels). Fractions containing kinase activity were pooled and further separated on a Superose 6 Column (bottom panels). Elution profiles of endogenous CK2 were analyzed by western blotting using an anti-CK2 $\alpha$  antibody (beneath each panel). (G) Representative fractions in (E) were subjected to the *in vitro* kinase assay in the presence or absence of the CK2-specific inhibitor TBB.

rHP1 $\alpha$  bound H3Kc9me3 nucleosomes, it also efficiently bound H3-unmod nucleosomes (Figure 2B). As shown in Figure 2C, rHP1 $\alpha$ 's binding specificity for the H3Kc9menucleosome was apparently lower than that of either rHP1 $\beta$ or rHP1 $\gamma$ .

We next prepared phosphorylated rHP1 $\alpha$  using an *E. coli* coexpression system, in which two CK2 subunits were simultaneously expressed with rHP1 $\alpha$  in *E. coli* cells to phosphorylate the rHP1 $\alpha$  (29). The phosphorylated rHP1 $\alpha$  was then purified by immobilized-metal-affinity- and anion-exchange chromatography (Supplementary Figure S4A).

Western blotting analysis confirmed that there was no contaminating CK2 in the purified fractions (Supplementary Figure S4B). The phosphorylated HP1 $\alpha$  was detected in a major and a minor band in Phos-tag PAGE (Figure 2D, WT). S97 in HP1 $\alpha$ 's hinge region fits well with the CK2 consensus sequence and, as previously demonstrated for mouse HP1 $\alpha$ , the slow-migrating band disappeared when a single amino acid substitution, S97A, was introduced into rHP1 $\alpha$ 's hinge region (Figure 2D, S97A), suggesting that the faster-migrating band corresponded to N-terminally phosphorylated rHP1 $\alpha$ , and that the slower-



**Figure 2.** The N-terminal phosphorylation of HP1 $\alpha$  increases its binding specificity for H3K9me3 nucleosomes. (A) Recombinant human HP1 $\alpha$ , HP1 $\beta$  and HP1 $\gamma$  prepared from *E. coli*. (B) Representative nucleosome pull-down assays using synthesized nucleosomes containing unmodified H3 (H3unmod) or H3 with a K9me3 analog (H3Kc9me3), and biotinylated 193-bp 601 DNA. Recombinant human HP1 $\alpha$ , HP1 $\beta$  or HP1 $\gamma$  was incubated with nucleosomes immobilized on streptavidin-beads, and the input and recovered proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted using cognate antibodies. (C) Quantified ratios of H3Kc9me3 nucleosome-bound HP1 over HP1 bound to H3unmod nucleosomes. Error bars represent the range of the standard deviations of three independent measurements. (D) Control and CK2-phosphorylated WT and S97A HP1 $\alpha$  proteins were resolved by standard or Phos-tag PAGE. (E) Representative nucleosome pull-down assays using control and CK2-phosphorylated HP1 $\alpha$ s. (F) FLAG-tagged HP1 $\alpha$  (FLAG-HP1 $\alpha$ ) prepared from HEK293T. FLAG-HP1 $\alpha$  transiently expressed in HEK293T cells was purified using anti-FLAG M2 agarose. A mock purification result is also shown (mock). (G) A representative nucleosome pull-down assay using HP1 $\alpha$  prepared from HEK293T cells. (H) The quantified ratios of H3Kc9me3 nucleosomes. Error bars represent the range of the standard deviations of three independent measurements. Interval on the prepared from HEK293T cells. (H) The quantified ratios of H3Kc9me3 nucleosome pull-down assay using P1 $\alpha$  prepared from HEK293T cells. (H) The quantified ratios of H3Kc9me3 nucleosomes bound to H3unmod nucleosomes. Error bars represent the range of the standard deviations of three independent measurements. (I and J) Chromatin co-precipitation assays using 12-mer nucleosomal arrays. Recovered nucleosomes and bound HP1 proteins were analyzed by15% SDS-PAGE and visualized by CBB staining.

migrating rHP1 $\alpha$  was phosphorylated at both S97 and at the N-terminal serine residues. Since HP1 $\alpha$  species with S97 phosphorylation was barely detectable *in vivo* (Figure 1D), it may be blocked or efficiently removed by phosphatase(s) *in vivo*.

Phosphorylated wild-type (WT) rHP1 $\alpha$  and control rHP1 $\alpha$  bound H3Kc9me3-marked nucleosomes with comparable affinity (Figure 2E). Interestingly, however, once

phosphorylated, the WT rHP1 $\alpha$ 's ability to bind H3-unmod nucleosomes was clearly weaker than that of the original rHP1 $\alpha$  (Figure 2E). Phosphorylated rHP1 $\alpha$ -S97A's binding of the H3-unmod nucleosome was also weak, suggesting that these changes in nucleosome binding were mainly due to the acquired N-terminal phosphorylation. Importantly, when phosphorylated, HP1 $\alpha$ 's binding specificity for H3Kc9me3-marked nucleosomes has become comparable to that of rHP1 $\alpha$  prepared from 293T cells (Figure 2F–H).

Previous studies have shown that both human HP1 $\alpha$  and the *S. pombe* HP1 protein, Swi6, display a lower specificity for the H3K9me3 mark in mononucleosomes than in H3tail peptides, and that this specificity increases when assayed using nucleosome arrays (27,30). To further characterize the role of HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminal phosphorylation in its nucleosome binding, we examined HP1 $\alpha$ 's binding to a 12-mer nucleosome array with or without the H3Kc9me3 mark. In this experiment, reconstituted nucleosomes pre-incubated with HP1 in the presence of Mg<sup>2+</sup> were precipitated by centrifugation, and the bound HP1 proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

As was the case for mononucleosomes (Figure 2B), rHP1 $\beta$  and rHP1 $\gamma$  bound efficiently to H3Kc9me3 oligonucleosomes and only negligibly to the H3-unmod control ones (Figure 2I). Although rHP1 $\alpha$ 's binding affinity was higher for H3Kc9me3 oligonucleosomes than for H3-unmod oligonucleosomes, it still robustly bound H3unmod oligonucleosomes and this latter binding was clearly diminished by CK2-mediated phosphorylation (Figure 2J). A similar effect was observed for rHP1 $\alpha$ -S97A, suggesting that N-terminal phosphorylation, but not S97 phosphorylation, plays a primary role in this differential binding. Taken together, these results suggested that the Nterminal phosphorylation of HP1 $\alpha$  reduces its binding to H3-unmodified nucleosomes, and thereby increases its binding specificity for H3Kc9me3-marked nucleosomes.

# $HP1\alpha$ 's N-terminal phosphorylation inhibits its ability to bind DNA

In contrast to our previous studies using histone peptides (29), our pull-down assays with *in vitro*-reconstituted nucleosomes showed that it has a distinct effect on HP1 $\alpha$ 's nucleosome binding. One of the differences between nucleosomes and free peptides is the presence of nucleosomal DNA. To investigate the relationship between HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminal phosphorylation and its DNA-binding activity, we conducted EMSAs using the free 193-bp 601 DNA that was used for reconstituting nucleosomes.

In this assay, rHP1 $\alpha$  efficiently bound free DNA (Figure 3A). The retarded bands were broadly distributed, and migration levels changed gradually according to the dosage of rHP1 $\alpha$ , implying that the binding ratio of DNA and rHP1 $\alpha$  was not 1:1, but rather reflected that rHP1 $\alpha$  multiply binds to a single DNA probe and/or that rHP1a mediates intermolecular DNA-DNA interactions. These assays showed that rHP1 $\beta$  and rHP1 $\gamma$  also bound DNA, but with much weaker affinity than rHP1α (Figure 3C-E). Interestingly, CK2-mediated phosphorylation clearly inhibited the DNA binding of rHP1 $\alpha$  (Figure 3A and E). Since a similar inhibition was observed in rHP1 $\alpha$ -S97A (Figure 3B and E), phosphorylation at the N-terminal, not at S97, appeared to play the primary role in this effect. These results were consistent with previous observations with fly HP1a (39), and further supported the concept that HP1 $\alpha$ 's intrinsic DNAbinding activity enhanced its H3K9me3-independent nucleosome binding, and the HP1a's N-terminal phosphorylation inhibited the DNA-binding activity.

### HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminal phosphorylation inhibits its DNA binding involving the hinge region

Previous studies have indicated that two stretches of basic residues in the hinge region are critical for HP1's ability to bind DNA (30,32). However, the N-terminal and hinge regions are physically separated by the CD, and the functional interactions between these regions have yet to be defined. To investigate whether N-terminal phosphorylation affects the HP1's DNA-binding mediated by the hinge region, we prepared mutant rHP1 $\alpha$  carrying amino-acid substitutions in the hinge region (rHP1 $\alpha$ -HM) (Figure 4A and B) and examined the effect of N-terminal phosphorylation on this mutant's DNA- and nucleosome-binding activities.

In SDS-PAGE gels, rHP1 $\alpha$ -HM migrated slower than WT rHP1 $\alpha$ , and the level of S97 phosphorylated species was lower than that of WT rHP1 $\alpha$  (Figure 4B). It is likely that the substitution of charged amino acids affected rHP1a-HM's migration levels and CK2 activity for S97. Consistent with previous reports (30,32), amino acid substitutions at the hinge region greatly reduced HP1 $\alpha$ 's DNA-binding activity (Figure 4C, HP1 $\alpha$ -HM). Importantly, however, phosphorylation only negligibly affected the DNA-binding activity of rHP1 $\alpha$ -HM (Figure 4C, HP1 $\alpha$ -HM+Phos). These results suggested that CK2-mediated phosphorylation inhibits DNA binding involving the hinge region. The DNAbinding activity of phosphorylated rHP1a-HM was slightly stronger than that of phosphorylated WT rHP1a (Figure 4D). Differences in the S97-phosphorylation state might affect residual DNA-binding activity, or the Nterminal phosphorylation might influence other parts of the molecule.

#### Basic amino acid residues at the N-terminal tail modulate HP1's DNA-binding activity involving the hinge region

The results of amino acid substitutions at rHP1a's hinge region suggested that N-terminal phosphorylation suppresses the intrinsic DNA-binding activity mediated by the hinge region, and implied that these two domains interact both physically and functionally. Given that HP1 forms a stable dimer through the CSD, it is possible that the N-terminal and hinge domains interact intermolecularly or within monomeric rHP1a. To examine how N-terminal phosphorylation affects DNA binding involving the hinge region, we produced a CSD-truncated mutant HP1 $\alpha$  (rHP1 $\alpha$ - $\Delta$ CSD) and examined its DNA-binding activity and the effect of N-terminal phosphorylation in EMSAs (Figure 5A and B and Supplementary Figure S5A). Consistent with previous reports using HP1 proteins with a CSD mutation (41,47), rHP1 $\alpha$ - $\Delta$ CSD behaved as a monomer in chromatographic analyses; no clear multimeric interaction was detected (data not shown).

Consistent with a previous observation (30), rHP1 $\alpha$ - $\Delta$ CSD bound DNA more efficiently than WT HP1 $\alpha$  (Figure 5C and Supplementary Figure S5B). This result suggested that HP1 $\alpha$  was able to bind DNA without a dimeric configuration, and that the change in distribution pattern of retarded bands was mediated primarily by the binding of multiple rHP1 $\alpha$  molecules to a single DNA probe. Interestingly, CK2-mediated phosphorylation greatly reduced



**Figure 3.** HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminal phosphorylation inhibits its DNA binding. (A and B) Representative EMSAs using control and CK2-phosphorylated, recombinant HP1 $\alpha$  (rHP1 $\alpha$  and rHP1 $\alpha$ +Phos) (A) and HP1 $\alpha$  with S97A mutations (rHP1 $\alpha$ \_S97A and rHP1 $\alpha$ \_S97A+Phos) (B). The HP1 concentration varied from 0 to 15  $\mu$ M (0.5-fold dilutions). A 193-bp 601 DNA was used as a probe. Retarded probes in native PAGE gels were stained with SYBR Gold and detected by LAS3000. (C and D) Recombinant HP1 $\beta$  (C) and HP1 $\gamma$  (D) were subjected to EMSA as described in (A). (E) Quantification of EMSAs using HP1 proteins. The fraction of retarded DNA probe, determined by EMSA (A–D), was plotted against the HP1 protein concentration.

rHP1 $\alpha$ - $\Delta$ CSD's ability to bind DNA (Figure 5C and Supplementary Figure S5B). Since rHP1 $\alpha$ - $\Delta$ CSD's DNA binding was also mediated primarily by the basic amino acids in the hinge region (Figure 5D and Supplementary Figure S5C), these results suggested that N-terminal phosphorylation inhibits DNA binding involving the hinge region of the same HP1 $\alpha$  molecule.

Although the functional implications of HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminal region distal to S11–14 have yet to be determined, four basic amino acid residues are located in this region (Figure 5A). Basic amino acid residues are also present in the corresponding regions of HP1 $\beta$  and HP1 $\gamma$  (26), and a previous nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) study suggested that these residues are involved in HP1 $\beta$ 's binding to

H3-unmod nucleosomes or DNA (24). Thus, we hypothesized that these N-terminal basic amino acid residues contribute to HP1 $\alpha$ 's binding of DNA. We tested this idea by introducing amino acid substitutions into the N-terminus of rHP1 $\alpha$ - $\Delta$ CSD, and examining its DNA-binding activity in EMSAs (Figure 5A and B and Supplementary Figure S5A, Nmut).

The amino acid substitution of these N-terminal basic residues clearly reduced rHP1 $\alpha$ - $\Delta$ CSD's ability to bind DNA, and changed the pattern of retarded DNA (Figure 5E and Supplementary Figure S5D). Importantly, CK2-mediated phosphorylation further reduced the DNAbinding ability of the rHP1 $\alpha$ - $\Delta$ CSD mutant containing N-terminal substitutions. While N-terminal basic amino



Figure 4. HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminal phosphorylation inhibits its hinge region-mediated DNA binding. (A) Schematic diagram of HP1 $\alpha$  showing amino acid sequences of the N-terminal and hinge regions, and the positions of amino acid substitutions in an HP1 $\alpha$  with a hinge mutation (HP1 $\alpha$ -HM). Phosphorylatable serine residues are shown in blue, and the basic residues replaced by alanine in the HP1 $\alpha$ -HM are shown in red. (B) Control and CK2-phosphorylated WT and hinge-mutated (HM) HP1 $\alpha$  proteins were resolved by standard or Phos-tag PAGE and visualized by CBB staining. (C) Representative EMSAs using control and CK2-phosphorylated WT and HM HP1 $\alpha$ . The HP1 concentration varied from 0 to 15  $\mu$ M (0.5-fold dilutions). A 193-bp 601 DNA was used as a probe. (D) Quantification of EMSAs using HP1 proteins. The fraction of retarded DNA probe, determined by EMSA (C), was plotted against the HP1 protein concentration.

acid residues appeared to contribute to rHP1 $\alpha$ 's binding of DNA, almost no DNA binding activity was observed for rHP1 $\alpha$ - $\Delta$ CSD with a hinge mutation (Figure 5D and Supplementary Figure S5C,  $\Delta$ CSD-Hmut) or for rHP1 $\alpha$ -NCD (Figure 5F). Together, these results suggested that although HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminal region could not stably bind DNA by itself, the hinge region's DNA-binding activity was enhanced by basic residues in the N-terminus, and was strongly reduced by phosphorylation at the proximal N-terminal series residues.

# Dual role of $HP1\alpha$ N-terminal phosphorylation in binding H3K9me3 nucleosomes

Our results presented thus far indicate that the role of Nterminal phosphorylation is to suppress the hinge region's intrinsic DNA-binding activity. To clarify the functional importance of N-terminal phosphorylation in HP1a's nucleosome binding, we performed a nucleosome pull-down assay using rHP1 $\alpha$ -HM, which retained only a weak DNAbinding activity (Figure 4C and D).

The nucleosome pull-down assay showed that rHP1a-HM bound H3-unmod nucleosomes only negligibly, regardless of its phosphorylation state (Figure 5G), supporting the idea that rHP1a's association with H3-unmod nucleosomes was mediated by its DNA-binding activity. Interestingly, the hinge mutation also greatly reduced rHP1a's binding of H3Kc9me3 nucleosomes (Figure 5G). In contrast, phosphorylated rHP1a-HM robustly bound H3K9me3marked nucleosomes. Although we could not rule out the possibility that the hinge mutation somehow affects CD's interaction with H3Kc9me3 tail, these results suggested that unphosphorylated rHP1a's binding of H3Kc9me3 nucleosomes (Figures 2B, E, and 5G) was mediated, at least in part, by its DNA-binding via the hinge region. These results also suggested that, without CK2-mediated phosphorylation, the CD's H3Kc9me3-binding activity was not sufficient to establish a stable interaction between rHP1 $\alpha$  and H3Kc9me3-nucleosomes (Figure 5G). As we showed previously (29), it was likely that CK2-mediated phosphorylation enhanced CD's H3Kc9me3-binding activity, which contributed to the rHP1a-HM's H3Kc9me3 binding. To-



Figure 5. Basic amino acid residues at HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminal tail modulate DNA binding involving the hinge region. (A) Schematic diagram of full-length HP1 $\alpha$  with the amino acid sequences of the N-terminal and hinge regions (top), and truncated  $\Delta$ CSD mutants with amino acid substitutions. Phosphorylatable serine residues are shown in blue, and the basic residues replaced by alanine in hinge-mutated (Hmut) or N-terminal-mutated (Nmut)  $\Delta$ CSD constructs are shown in red. (B) Control and CK2-phosphorylated,  $\Delta$ CSD,  $\Delta$ CSD-Nmut, CSD-Hmut and NCD proteins were resolved by standard or Phos-tag PAGE and visualized by CBB staining. (C–F) Representative EMSAs using control and CK2-phosphorylated  $\Delta$ CSD (C),  $\Delta$ CSD-Hmut (D),  $\Delta$ CSD-Nmut and NCD mutants (F). The protein concentration varied from 0 to 15  $\mu$ M (0.5-fold dilutions). A 193-bp 601 DNA was used as a probe. (G) Nucleosome pull-down assays using synthesized nucleosomes. Recombinant WT HP1 $\alpha$  or HP1 $\alpha$  with a HM were incubated with nucleosomes immobilized on streptavidin-beads, and the input and recovered proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted using an anti-HP1 $\alpha$  antibody.

gether, these results strongly suggested that the N-terminal phosphorylation of HP1 $\alpha$  plays dual roles, simultaneously promoting the H3K9me3 binding and suppressing the intrinsic DNA-binding activity.

# Conserved role of CK2-mediated phosphorylation in HP1's nucleosome binding

Although CK2 phosphorylates HP1 proteins in flies and fission yeast (Figure 6A) (38,40), the physiological role of CK2-mediated phosphorylation in these systems is un-

clear. CK2-phosphorylated sites have been mapped in these HP1 proteins' N-terminal regions (Figure 6A), and the N-terminal phosphorylation of fly HP1a was shown to affect its ability to bind DNA (39). Therefore, CK2mediated phosphorylation might play similar roles in HP1's nucleosome-binding activities in other organisms. To test this possibility, we used the CK2-coexpression system to phosphorylate fly HP1a and *S. pombe* Swi6, in which fly HP1a was phosphorylated by human CK2, whereas *S.* 



**Figure 6.** Conserved role of CK2-mediated phosphorylation in HP1's nucleosome binding. (A) Schematic drawings of human (*Hs*) HP1 $\alpha$ , *Drosophila* (*Dm*) HP1a and *S. pombe* (*Sp*) Swi6. The positions of CK2-phosphorylatable serine residues are indicated by black rhomboids. (B) Control and CK2-phosphorylated HP1a and Swi6 were resolved by standard or Phos-tag PAGE and visualized by CBB staining. (C and E) Representative EMSAs using control and CK2-phosphorylated HP1a (C) and Swi6 (E). The protein concentration varied from 0 to 15  $\mu$ M for HP1a, and from 0 to 12  $\mu$ M for Swi6, respectively (0.5-fold dilutions). A 193-bp 601 DNA was used as a probe. (D and F) Quantification of EMSAs using HP1 proteins. The fraction of retarded DNA probe in (C) and (E) was plotted against the HP1 protein concentration. (G) Nucleosome pull-down assays using synthesized nucleosomes. Control and CK2-phosphorylated HP1a (left) and Swi6 (right) were incubated with nucleosomes immobilized on streptavidin beads, and the input and recovered proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted using cognate antibodies. (H) The quantified ratios of H3Kc9me3 nucleosome-bound HP1a or Swi6 over that bound to unmodified nucleosomes.

*pombe* CK2 was used for Swi6 (Figure 6B), and analyzed these proteins in EMSAs and nucleosome pull-down assays.

In accordance with previous results (39), fly HP1a efficiently bound DNA, and CK2-mediated phosphorylation strongly inhibited this binding (Figure 6C and D). Swi6's DNA-binding activity was much stronger than that of human HP1 $\alpha$  or fly HP1a (Figure 6E), presumably due to its length and large number of basic residues. Although CK2mediated phosphorylation reduced Swi6's ability to bind DNA, its DNA-binding activity was still relatively strong (Figure 6E and F). In the nucleosome pull-down assay, unphosphorylated fly HP1a preferentially bound H3K9me3 nucleosomes but clearly bound H3-unmod nucleosomes as well. Importantly, the CK2-mediated phosphorylation of fly HP1a diminished its binding of H3-unmod nucleosomes, which increased its specificity for H3Kc9me3 nucleosomes (Figure 6G).

As with fly HP1a, Swi6 bound both H3-unmod and H3Kc9me3 nucleosomes but preferred the latter target. Although CK2-mediated phosphorylation slightly increased Swi6's binding specificity for H3Kc9me3 nucleosomes, the effect was very small compared to that in human HP1 $\alpha$  or fly HP1a (Figures 2H and 6H), and phosphorylated Swi6 could still stably bind H3-unmod nucleosomes (Figure 6G). This was presumably because Swi6 retained relatively strong DNA-binding activity even when phosphorylated (Figure 6E and F). Since Swi6 is heavily phosphorylated *in vivo* (40), it is possible that our coexpression system could not perfectly mimic Swi6's phosphorylation state *in vivo*, or that kinases other than CK2 provide additional phosphorylation that enhances Swi6's binding specificity for nucleosomes. While further studies are needed to clarify the role of Swi6's phosphorylation in its nucleosome binding, these results demonstrated that CK2-mediated phosphorylation suppresses the intrinsic DNA-binding activity of HP1 proteins in diverse organisms, and modulates their nucleosome binding.

### DISCUSSION

Although the DNA-binding activity of HP1-family proteins has been recognized for a long time, its implication in HP1's function has remained obscure. The present study demonstrated that human HP1 $\alpha$ 's N-terminal phosphorylation reduces its intrinsic DNA binding, which enhances its binding specificity for H3K9me3 nucleosomes. Our results further showed that CK2-mediated phosphorylation potentially affects HP1's function in other species. This study provides a novel insight into mutual interactions among HP1's phosphorylation, DNA-binding activity and nucleosomebinding specificity, all of which contribute to its function.

Previous studies have described the intrinsic DNA- or RNA-binding activities of HP1 proteins in various species. It should be noted that in mammals, such DNA-binding activity has been previously described only for HP1 $\alpha$ , but not for HP1 $\beta$  or HP1 $\gamma$  (25,30,33,35). This is presumably due to HP1a's stronger DNA-binding activity compared with the other isoforms (Figure 3). Similarly, isoform-specific DNA binding has been described for Xenopus HP1 proteins: HP1 $\alpha$ , but not HP1 $\gamma$ , strongly binds DNA (32). In this report, we demonstrated that human HP1 $\alpha$  is constitutively phosphorylated by CK2 and, importantly, that this phosphorylation strongly inhibits HP1a's ability to bind DNA. Considering these results, the functional implications of HP1 $\alpha$ 's DNA-binding activity should be reassessed, as well as that of other HP1 proteins. Xenopus HP1 $\alpha$ , which has strong DNA-binding activity, also contains serine clusters at its N-terminus, which fits perfectly with the CK2 consensus sequence. It is therefore likely that many of Xenopus HP1a's cellular functions are also regulated by its CK2mediated phosphorylation. In addition, transient or constitutive phosphorylations may also affect the ability of mammalian HP1 $\beta$  or HP1 $\gamma$  to bind DNA.

While earlier studies using bulk nucleosomes demonstrated that HP1 could interact with nucleosomes independently of an H3 tail (12,34), our results suggest that HP1 $\alpha$ 's H3K9me3-independent nucleosome binding is primarily mediated by its DNA binding, which is consistent with another recent study (30). Importantly, this present study further demonstrates that HP1 $\alpha$ 's H3K9me3-independent nucleosome binding is dramatically reduced by CK2-mediated phosphorylation (Figure 2). In addition, we previously showed that the N-terminal phosphorylation of HP1 $\alpha$  also contributes to the CD's interaction with the H3K9me3 tail (29). Therefore, it is likely that HP1 $\alpha$ 's nucleosome binding *in vivo* is more specific than deduced from earlier *in vitro* studies.

Consistent with recent studies using reconstituted H3K9me3-marked oligonucleosomes (27,30), we also found that HP1 $\alpha$ 's binding specificity was increased by using 12-mer nucleosomes (Figure 2I). One simple interpretation of this result would be that the presence of multiple H3K9me3 marks induced efficient HP1 $\alpha$ 's binding. Another possibility would be that reconstituted oligonucleosomes formed a compacted structure, which might restrict HP1 $\alpha$ 's DNA binding. Although it is unclear how HP1 binds to nucleosomal DNA, linker DNAs may be the preferential binding site of HP1. It is possible that compacted oligonucleosomes structure changed the flexibility of linker DNA and thereby inhibited HP1 $\alpha$ 's H3K9me3-independent binding.

HP1 $\alpha$ 's DNA-binding activity negatively affects its H3K9me3-specific nucleosome binding, and thus appears to contribute little to HP1 $\alpha$ 's function in nucleosome assembly. However, N-terminal-phosphorylated HP1 $\alpha$  retained residual DNA-binding activity, and both HP1 $\beta$  and HP1 $\gamma$ possess such activity, albeit with weaker affinities. Considering that the patches of basic amino acid residues in the hinge region are highly conserved among HP1-family proteins, it is possible that DNA-binding contributes to the HP1 protein's initial interaction with the nucleosome, regardless of its H3 methylation state, and that HP1's CD subsequently recognizes H3K9me3 marks on the nucleosome. Alternatively, HP1's DNA-binding activity may contribute to isoform-specific functions. In contrast to the clear heterochromatic localization of HP1 $\alpha$  and HP1 $\beta$ , HP1 $\gamma$ tends to localize to euchromatic regions as well to heterochromatin (11,12). Since HP1 $\gamma$ 's ability to bind DNA is stronger than that of HP1 $\beta$  or CK2-phosphorylated HP1 $\alpha$ (Figure 3), HP1 $\gamma$ 's DNA-binding activity may contribute to its euchromatic localization. Another intriguing possibility is that the physiological targets of HP1 proteins are cellular RNAs rather than nucleosomal DNA (35,36). Although the effect of HP1a's phosphorylation on its RNA-binding activity has not been examined, it is plausible that HP1 tethers cellular RNAs after making stable contact with H3K9me3 nucleosomes.

In this study, we demonstrated that HP1a binds to DNA through at least two distinct regions, the hinge and the N-terminal regions. The CK2-mediated N-terminal phosphorylation of HP1 $\alpha$  appears to interfere directly with the function of the N-terminal tail. Although an NMR study demonstrated that basic residues at HP1B's N-terminal tail contribute to its binding of DNA or unmodified nucleosomes (24), it is not clear how these regions interact structurally with each other. Since no multimeric interaction was detected for HP1 $\alpha$ - $\Delta$ CSD, our EMSA analyses using HP1 $\alpha$ - $\Delta$ CSD proteins (Figure 5) suggested that these domains work cooperatively within the same molecule. It is possible that the DNA-binding activity associated with these regions loosely fixes the HP1 protein's position on the nucleosome. Further structural studies are needed to define the interactions between HP1 and nucleosomes.

Regarding the physiological role of HP1a's CK2mediated phosphorvlation, we previously demonstrated that a mutant HP1 $\alpha$  with deficient N-terminal phosphorylation showed impaired heterochromatic localization and caused increased genome instability. Although at the time we considered this effect to be due mainly to the HP1 $\alpha$  mutant's inability to bind H3K9me3 (29), the results of the present study suggest that strong DNA- or RNA-binding ability could also interfere with the HP1 $\alpha$  mutant's heterochromatin targeting. Previous studies using fly HP1a and fission yeast Swi6 demonstrated defective heterochromatic silencing in cells expressing a phosphorylation-deficient mutant HP1 (39,40). These phenotypes might also be explained by the mutant's increased DNA-binding activity or decreased H3K9me3-binding or both. Since unphosphorylated HP1 $\alpha$  species were not detected in the mouse and human cells examined thus far (Figure 1), CK2-mediated phosphorylation may provide structural properties that cannot be replaced by other acidic amino acids, and may thus be closely linked with HP1 functions in diverse species. Such functional contributions of phosphorylation, whether mediated by CK2 or other cellular kinases, may also affect other chromatin-binding proteins.

### SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank our laboratory members for helpful discussions and N. Suka for critical reading.

#### **FUNDING**

KAKENHI [23114005]. Funding for open access charge: KAKENHI from MEXT Japan [23114005]. *Conflict of interest statement*. None declared.

### REFERENCES

- Grewal, S.I. and Elgin, S.C. (2002) Heterochromatin: new possibilities for the inheritance of structure. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.*, **12**, 178–187.
- 2. Richards,E.J. and Elgin,S.C. (2002) Epigenetic codes for heterochromatin formation and silencing: rounding up the usual
- suspects. *Cell*, **108**, 489–500. 3. Grewal,S.I. and Moazed,D. (2003) Heterochromatin and epigenetic
- control of gene expression. *Science*, **301**, 798–802.
  Bannister,A.J., Zegerman,P., Partridge,J.F., Miska,E.A., Thomas,J.O., Allshire,R.C. and Kouzarides,T. (2001) Selective recognition of methylated lysine 9 on histone H3 by the HP1 chromo domain. *Nature*, **410**, 120–124.
- Lachner, M., O'Carroll, D., Rea, S., Mechtler, K. and Jenuwein, T. (2001) Methylation of histone H3 lysine 9 creates a binding site for HP1 proteins. *Nature*, 410, 116–120.
- Nakayama, J., Rice, J.C., Strahl, B.D., Allis, C.D. and Grewal, S.I. (2001) Role of histone H3 lysine 9 methylation in epigenetic control of heterochromatin assembly. *Science*, **292**, 110–113.
- James, T.C. and Elgin, S.C. (1986) Identification of a nonhistone chromosomal protein associated with heterochromatin in Drosophila melanogaster and its gene. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 6, 3862–3872.
- Maison, C. and Almouzni, G. (2004) HP1 and the dynamics of heterochromatin maintenance. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 5, 296–304.
- 9. Lomberk, G., Wallrath, L. and Urrutia, R. (2006) The Heterochromatin Protein 1 family. *Genome Biol.*, **7**, 228.

- 10. Zeng,W., Ball,A.R. Jr and Yokomori,K. (2010) HP1: heterochromatin binding proteins working the genome. *Epigenetics* **5**, 287–292.
- 11. Minc, E., Allory, Y., Worman, H.J., Courvalin, J.C. and Buendia, B. (1999) Localization and phosphorylation of HP1 proteins during the cell cycle in mammalian cells. *Chromosoma*, **108**, 220–234.
- Nielsen, A.L., Oulad-Abdelghani, M., Ortiz, J.A., Remboutsika, E., Chambon, P. and Losson, R. (2001) Heterochromatin formation in mammalian cells: interaction between histones and HP1 proteins. *Mol. Cell*, 7, 729–739.
- Aucott,R., Bullwinkel,J., Yu,Y., Shi,W., Billur,M., Brown,J.P., Menzel,U., Kioussis,D., Wang,G., Reisert,I. *et al.* (2008) HP1-beta is required for development of the cerebral neocortex and neuromuscular junctions. *J. Cell Biol.*, **183**, 597–606.
- Brown, J.P., Bullwinkel, J., Baron-Luhr, B., Billur, M., Schneider, P., Winking, H. and Singh, P.B. (2010) HP1gamma function is required for male germ cell survival and spermatogenesis. *Epigenetics Chromatin*, 3, 9.
- Abe,K., Naruse,C., Kato,T., Nishiuchi,T., Saitou,M. and Asano,M. (2011) Loss of heterochromatin protein 1 gamma reduces the number of primordial germ cells via impaired cell cycle progression in mice. *Biol. Reprod.*, 85, 1013–1024.
- Ayoub, N., Jeyasekharan, A.D., Bernal, J.A. and Venkitaraman, A.R. (2008) HP1-beta mobilization promotes chromatin changes that initiate the DNA damage response. *Nature*, **453**, 682–686.
- Goodarzi, A.A., Noon, A.T., Deckbar, D., Ziv, Y., Shiloh, Y., Lobrich, M. and Jeggo, P.A. (2008) ATM signaling facilitates repair of DNA double-strand breaks associated with heterochromatin. *Mol. Cell*, **31**, 167–177.
- Liu, H., Galka, M., Mori, E., Liu, X., Lin, Y.F., Wei, R., Pittock, P., Voss, C., Dhami, G., Li, X. *et al.* (2013) A method for systematic mapping of protein lysine methylation identifies functions for HP1beta in DNA damage response. *Mol. Cell*, 50, 723–735.
- Piacentini, L., Fanti, L., Berloco, M., Perrini, B. and Pimpinelli, S. (2003) Heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) is associated with induced gene expression in Drosophila euchromatin. *J. Cell Biol.*, 161, 707–714.
- Jacobs,S.A., Taverna,S.D., Zhang,Y., Briggs,S.D., Li,J., Eissenberg,J.C., Allis,C.D. and Khorasanizadeh,S. (2001) Specificity of the HP1 chromo domain for the methylated N-terminus of histone H3. *EMBO J.*, 20, 5232–5241.
- Jacobs,S.A. and Khorasanizadeh,S. (2002) Structure of HP1 chromodomain bound to a lysine 9-methylated histone H3 tail. *Science*, 295, 2080–2083.
- Nielsen, P.R., Nietlispach, D., Mott, H.R., Callaghan, J., Bannister, A., Kouzarides, T., Murzin, A.G., Murzina, N.V. and Laue, E.D. (2002) Structure of the HP1 chromodomain bound to histone H3 methylated at lysine 9. *Nature*, 416, 103–107.
- Thiru, A., Nietlispach, D., Mott, H.R., Okuwaki, M., Lyon, D., Nielsen, P.R., Hirshberg, M., Verreault, A., Murzina, N.V. and Laue, E.D. (2004) Structural basis of HP1/PXVXL motif peptide interactions and HP1 localisation to heterochromatin. *EMBO J.*, 23, 489–499.
- 24. Munari, F., Soeroes, S., Zenn, H.M., Schomburg, A., Kost, N., Schröder, S., Klingberg, R., Rezaei-Ghaleh, N., Stützer, A., Gelato, K.A. *et al.* (2012) Methylation of lysine 9 in histone H3 directsalternative modes of highly dynamic interaction of heterochromatin protein hHP1betawith the nucleosome. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 287, 33756–33765.
- 25. Azzaz, A.M., Vitalini, M.W., Thomas, A.S., Price, J., Blacketer, M.J., Cryderman, D.E., Zirbel, L.N., Woodcock, C.L., Elcock, A.H., Wallrath, L.L. *et al.* (2014) HP1Hsalpha promotes nucleosome associations that drive chromatin condensation. J. Biol. Chem., 289, 6850–6861.
- Nishibuchi, G. and Nakayama, J.I. (2014) Biochemical and structural properties of heterochromatin protein 1: understanding its role in chromatin assembly. J. Biochem., 156, 11–20.
- Canzio, D., Chang, E.Y., Shankar, S., Kuchenbecker, K.M., Simon, M.D., Madhani, H.D., Narlikar, G.J. and Al-Sady, B. (2011) Chromodomain-mediated oligomerization of HP1 suggests a nucleosome-bridging mechanism for heterochromatin assembly. *Mol. Cell*, 41, 67–81.
- Fischle, W., Tseng, B.S., Dormann, H.L., Ueberheide, B.M., Garcia, B.A., Shabanowitz, J., Hunt, D.F., Funabiki, H. and Allis, C.D.

(2005) Regulation of HP1-chromatin binding by histone H3 methylation and phosphorylation. *Nature*, **438**, 1116–1122.

- Hiragami-Hamada, K., Shinmyozu, K., Hamada, D., Tatsu, Y., Uegaki, K., Fujiwara, S. and Nakayama, J. (2011) N-terminal phosphorylation of HP1{alpha} promotes its chromatin binding. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **31**, 1186–1200.
- Mishima,Y., Watanabe,M., Kawakami,T., Jayasinghe,C.D., Otani,J., Kikugawa,Y., Shirakawa,M., Kimura,H., Nishimura,O., Aimoto,S. *et al.* (2013) Hinge and chromoshadow of HP1alpha participate in recognition of K9 methylated histone H3 in nucleosomes. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **425**, 54–70.
- Keller, C., Adaixo, R., Stunnenberg, R., Woolcock, K.J., Hiller, S. and Buhler, M. (2012) HP1(Swi6) mediates the recognition and destruction of heterochromatic RNA transcripts. *Mol. Cell*, 47, 215–227.
- Meehan, R.R., Kao, C.F. and Pennings, S. (2003) HP1 binding to native chromatin in vitro is determined by the hinge region and not by the chromodomain. *EMBO J.*, 22, 3164–3174.
- 33. Sugimoto,K., Yamada,T., Muro,Y. and Himeno,M. (1996) Human homolog of Drosophila heterochromatin-associated protein 1 (HP1) is a DNA-binding protein which possesses a DNA-binding motif with weak similarity to that of human centromere protein C (CENP-C). J. Biochem., 120, 153–159.
- Zhao, T., Heyduk, T., Allis, C.D. and Eissenberg, J.C. (2000) Heterochromatin protein 1 binds to nucleosomes and DNA in vitro. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 275, 28332–28338.
- Muchardt, C., Guilleme, M., Seeler, J.S., Trouche, D., Dejean, A. and Yaniv, M. (2002) Coordinated methyl and RNA binding is required for heterochromatin localization of mammalian HP1alpha. *EMBO Rep.*, 3, 975–981.
- Maison, C., Bailly, D., Peters, A.H., Quivy, J.P., Roche, D., Taddei, A., Lachner, M., Jenuwein, T. and Almouzni, G. (2002) Higher-order structure in pericentric heterochromatin involves a distinct pattern of histone modification and an RNA component. *Nat. Genet.*, 30, 329–334.
- LeRoy,G., Weston,J.T., Zee,B.M., Young,N.L., Plazas-Mayorca,M.D. and Garcia,B.A. (2009) Heterochromatin protein 1 is extensively decorated with histone code-like post-translational modifications. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.*, 8, 2432–2442.

- Zhao, T. and Eissenberg, J.C. (1999) Phosphorylation of heterochromatin protein 1 by casein kinase II is required for efficient heterochromatin binding in Drosophila. J. Biol. Chem., 274, 15095–15100.
- Zhao, T., Heyduk, T. and Eissenberg, J.C. (2001) Phosphorylation site mutations in heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) reduce or eliminate silencing activity. J. Biol. Chem., 276, 9512–9518.
- Shimada,A., Dohke,K., Sadaie,M., Shinmyozu,K., Nakayama,J., Urano,T. and Murakami,Y. (2009) Phosphorylation of Swi6/HP1 regulates transcriptional gene silencing at heterochromatin. *Genes Dev.*, 23, 18–23.
- Sadaie, M., Kawaguchi, R., Ohtani, Y., Arisaka, F., Tanaka, K., Shirahige, K. and Nakayama, J. (2008) Balance between distinct HP1 family proteins controls heterochromatin assembly in fission yeast. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 28, 6973–6988.
- Dignam, J.D., Lebovitz, R.M. and Roeder, R.G. (1983) Accurate transcription initiation by RNA polymerase II in a soluble extract from isolated mammalian nuclei. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 11, 1475–1489.
- Tachiwana, H., Kagawa, W., Osakabe, A., Kawaguchi, K., Shiga, T., Hayashi-Takanaka, Y., Kimura, H. and Kurumizaka, H. (2010) Structural basis of instability of the nucleosome containing a testis-specific histone variant, human H3T. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A., 107, 10454–10459.
- 44. Simon, M.D., Chu, F., Racki, L.R., de la Cruz, C.C., Burlingame, A.L., Panning, B., Narlikar, G.J. and Shokat, K.M. (2007) The site-specific installation of methyl-lysine analogs into recombinant histones. *Cell*, 128, 1003–1012.
- Munari, F., Gajda, M.J., Hiragami-Hamada, K., Fischle, W. and Zweckstetter, M. (2014) Characterization of the effects of phosphorylation by CK2 on the structure and binding properties of human HP1beta. *FEBS Lett.*, 588, 1094–1099.
- Pinna,L.A. (2002) Protein kinase CK2: a challenge to canons. J. Cell. Sci., 115, 3873–3878.
- 47. Brasher,S.V., Smith,B.O., Fogh,R.H., Nietlispach,D., Thiru,A., Nielsen,P.R., Broadhurst,R.W., Ball,L.J., Murzina,N.V. and Laue,E.D. (2000) The structure of mouse HP1 suggests a unique mode of single peptide recognition by the shadow chromo domain dimer. *EMBO J.*, **19**, 1587–1597.