

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Virus Eradication



journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/journal-of-virus-eradication

# Recent advances in SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein and RBD mutations comparison between new variants Alpha (B.1.1.7, United Kingdom), Beta (B.1.351, South Africa), Gamma (P.1, Brazil) and Delta (B.1.617.2, India)

Paulo R.S. Sanches<sup>a,\*</sup>, Ives Charlie-Silva<sup>b</sup>, Helyson L.B. Braz<sup>c</sup>, Cíntia Bittar<sup>d</sup>, Marilia Freitas Calmon<sup>d</sup>, Paula Rahal<sup>d</sup>, Eduardo M. Cilli<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Chemistry, UNESP - São Paulo State University, Araraquara, SP, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Department of Pharmacology, University of São Paulo, SP, Brazil

<sup>c</sup> Department of Morphology, School of Medicine, Federal University of Ceará, CE, Brazil

<sup>d</sup> Institute of Bioscience, Language and Exact Science, UNESP - São Paulo State University, São José Do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2 New variants Spike protein Receptor binding domain Human ACE2

#### ABSTRACT

New variants of SARS-CoV-2 Alpha (B.1.1.7); Beta (B.1.351) Gamma (P.1) and Delta (B.1.617.2) quickly spread in the UK, South Africa, Brazil and India, respectively. To address whether mutations in SARS-CoV-2 RBD spike protein could affect virus infectivity, peptides containing RBD amino acids mutations have been constructed and interacted with human ACE2 by computational methods. Our results suggest that mutations in RBD amino acids K417, E484, L452, T478 and N501 are expressively increasing the affinity of this protein with human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), consequently, variants Alpha (B.1.1.7), Beta (B1.351), Gamma (P.1) and Delta (B.1.617.2) could be more infective in human cells compared with SARS-CoV-2 isolated in Wuhan-2019 and the Gamma and Delta variants could be the most infective among them.

#### 1. Introduction

In 2002–2003, the outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome occurred due to SARS-CoV in the Guangdong Province of China and quickly spread to twenty-seven countries.<sup>1–3</sup> One decade later, in 2012, MERS-CoV caused a severe respiratory disease that emerged in the Middle East with 2494 confirmed cases of human infection and 858 deaths.<sup>4–7</sup> The rate of human-to-human transmission of SARS-CoV-2 is higher than earlier outbreaks of Coronavirus via cough and/or sneezing droplets emitted from infected people.<sup>1,8</sup> Due to this rapidly spreading and no efficient repairing mechanisms for RNA mutations, SARS-CoV-2 is susceptible to several and constant mutations. Notably, a single SARS-CoV amino acid change, Spike D480 A/G in the receptor binding-domain (RBD), arose in infected humans and civets and became the dominant variant among 2003/2004 viruses. SARS-CoV-2 is a positive single-stranded RNA virus whose genome encodes four structural

proteins: spike (S), small protein (E), matrix (M), and nucleocapsid (N). The Spike protein is a type I fusion protein that forms trimers on the surface of the virion<sup>9,10,11</sup>, <sup>12–14</sup> It is composed of two subunits, with S1 responsible for receptor-binding and S2 for membrane fusion. SARS-CoV-2 utilizes angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as the receptor for entry into target cells.<sup>15–23</sup> Therefore, the S protein determines the infectivity of the virus and its transmissibility in the host. According to several studies, receptor-binding domain (RBD) from Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 contain six most important amino acids residues (L455, F486, Q493, S494, N501, and Y505) that mediate virus entry into the host cells.<sup>12,14,17,26-31</sup> However, mutations in other amino acids from Spike protein (S) could affect virus infection despite his interaction with human ACE2. Understanding the effect of amino acid substitutions in S protein from new variants comparing the strains on the transmissibility and virulence of SARS-CoV-2 is of broad and immediate interest. In the present study, we assess the impact of the RBD associated

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* iqsanches@gmail.com (P.R.S. Sanches).

1 00 1

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jve.2021.100054

Received 12 February 2021; Received in revised form 21 July 2021; Accepted 7 September 2021 Available online 16 September 2021 2055-6640/© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open

2055-6640/© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

P.R.S. Sanches et al.



**Fig. 1.** Spike protein from SARS-CoV-2 (blue) interaction with Human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2-green). RBD 331–524 (orange) means reception binding domain amino acids from 331 to 524. PDBID 6LZG. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

amino acid substitutions in the UK (Alpha, B.1.1.7), South Africa (Beta, B.1.351), Brazil (Gamma, P.1) and India (Delta, B.1.617.2) SARS-CoV-2 variants.

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Amino acid sequence alignment

Complete genome from SARS-CoV-2 and Spike protein sequence isolated in Wuhan was downloaded from GenBank (NC\_045512.2). SARS-CoV-2 amino acid substitutions in the Spike protein were obtained from CDC (https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus) and WHO (https://www .who.int/) web sites and global reports platform (https://cov-lineages. org). SARS-CoV-2 spike protein amino acids (Wuhan) were grouped in peptides of 60 residues and compared with 60 residues peptides of Spike protein from SARS-CoV-2 variants. Receptor binding domain (RBD) regions are highlighted.

#### 2.2. Computational methods

We performed our analyses using the crystallographic models of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein available in Protein Data Bank (PDBID 6LZG) and PyMol software to analyses the structures. PDB peptides sequences have been built with Swiss Model on line software and 3D structures constructed by PyMol. Docking analyses was performed by ClusPro 2.0  $^{24}$ ,  $^{25}$ 

#### 3. Results

Using the crystal structure from Human ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 S protein deposited in Protein Data Bank (PDBID 6LZG -Fig. 1) and the genome sequence from SARS-CoV-2 (NC\_045512.2) we identified and aligned amino acids residues and 3D structures have been built from all mentioned strains (Figs. 2 and 3). Peptides containing RBD mutant regions were built using Swiss online software (Fig. 4) and interacted with human ACE2 using ClusPro 2.0 (Fig. 5), where differences in amino

acids interactions from peptides with ACE2 have been identified. Amino acids substitutions Alpha (UK) - N501Y; A570D; P681H; T716I; S982A and D1118H, Beta (South Africa) - D80A; D215G; K417 N; E484K; N501Y; A701V; Gamma (Brazil) - L18F; T20 N; P26S; D138Y; R190S; K417T; E484K; N501Y; H655Y; T1027I and Delta (India) - T19R; L452R; T478K; P681R; D950 N showed that virus mutants presented different regions of mutations compared with SARS-CoV-2 from Wuhan. However, Alpha, Beta and Gamma variants presented the same change N501Y while the Delta variant did not show changes in this amino acid. Interestingly, among RBD amino acids (residues 331-524), Alpha presents only N501Y substitution while Beta presents K417 N; N501Y; E484K, Gamma presents K417 N; E484K; N501Y and Delta presents L452R; T478K. Peptides containing residues 361-420 (E: Wuhan/UK: 1054.6; South Africa: 1087.2 and Brazil: 1192.6), 481-540 (E: Wuhan: 1019.3; UK: 1022.9 and South Africa/Brazil: 1086,0) and 421-480 (E: Wuhan/UK/South Africa/Brazil: 891.5; India: 1393.1) residues showed different binding energy with ACE2 showing that amino acid substitutions at positions 417, 452, 478, 484 and 501 from Spike protein could affect SARS-CoV-2 infectivity.

#### 4. Discussion

Variants are characterized by multiple mutations in the Spike protein (S protein). Under the current public health emergency, it is imperative to understand the mutations that occurred in SARS-CoV-2 since 2019 and investigate if they could bring consequences to virus infection and human disease development. To address these important issues, amino acid sequences of Spike protein from different strains of SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 1) have been compared and mutations have been highlighted following deposited data from Protein Data Bank (PDB) and Global reports, WHO and CDC (Fig. 2). According to global reports and Health agencies, in comparison with SARS-CoV-2 from Wuhan-2019, the new variants present the following mutations in S protein: Alpha (6 mutations) - N501Y; A570D; P681H; T716I; S982A and D1118H, Beta (6 mutations) - D80A; D215G; K417 N; A701V; N501Y; E484K, Gamma (10 mutations) - L18F; T20 N; P26S; D138Y; R190S; K417T; E484K; N501Y; H655Y; T1027I and Delta (5 mutations) - T19R; L452R; T478K; P681R; D950 N.

Among all mutations, N501Y is of major concern because it involves one of the six key amino acid residues determining a tight interaction of the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain (RBD) with its cellular receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2). However, there are additional mutations in the RBD from Beta and Gamma variants that also could affect the infectivity of SARS-Cov-2 in human cells. As shown, variant Beta carries mutations in the spike protein named as K417 N and E484K while variant Gamma carries K417T and E484K which are not found in the variant Alpha (Fig. 3). To assess if those mutations are able to affect virus infection, 60 residues peptides containing RBD regions and mutations (peptides containing residues 361-420 from Wuhan/UK, South Africa and Brazil and 481-540 from Wuhan, UK and South Africa/Brazil) have been drawn by Swiss model online software (Fig. 4). The interaction with human ACE2 was modeled using software ClusPro 2.0 (Fig. 5). Our results suggest that mutations in spike protein are increasing the interaction with human ACE2 and the amino acid substitutions at positions 417, 484 and 501 are directly contributing to this increase. Additionally, cumulative mutations in the same variants expressively increase the binding energy with ACE2. The variant first identified in Manaus-Brazil (Gamma) presented the highest interaction with ACE2 in both peptides (361-420 - E: 1192.6; 481-540 - E: 1086.0) and could be the most infectious variant among them. While UK (Alpha) variant presents

### A) Alpha (B.1.1.7)

RBD Region

D

RBD Regior	SARS-CoV-2 Alpha Variant	<sup>481</sup> NGVEGFNCYFPLQSYGFQPTNGVGYQPYRVVVLSFELLHAPATVCGPKKSTNLVKNKCVN <sup>540</sup> NGVEGFNCYFPLQSYGFQPTYGVGYQPYRVVVLSFELLHAPATVCGPKKSTNLVKNKCVN		
	SARS-CoV-2 Alpha Variant	<sup>541</sup> FNFNGLTGTGVLTESNKKFLPFQQFGRDIADTTDAVRDPQTLEILDITPCSFGGVSVITP <sup>600</sup> FNFNGLTGTGVLTESNKKFLPFQQFGRDIDDTTDAVRDPQTLEILDITPCSFGGVSVITP		
	SARS-CoV-2 Alpha Variant	<sup>661</sup> ECDIPIGAGICASYQTQTNS <b>P</b> RRARSVASQSIIAYTMSLGAENSVAYSNNSIAIPTNFTI <sup>720</sup> ECDIPIGAGICASYQTQTNS <b>H</b> RRARSVASQSIIAYTMSLGAENSVAYSNNSIAIPINFTI		
	SARS-CoV-2 Alpha Variant	<sup>961</sup> TLVKQLSSNFGAISSVLNDIL <mark>S</mark> RLDKVEAEVQIDRLITGRLQSLQTYVTQQLIRAAEIRA <sup>1020</sup> TLVKQLSSNFGAISSVLNDIL <b>A</b> RLDKVEAEVQIDRLITGRLQSLQTYVTQQLIRAAEIRA		
	SARS-CoV-2 <sup>1</sup> Alpha Variant	<sup>081</sup> ICHDGKAHFPREGVFVSNGTHWFVTQRNFYEPQIITT <b>D</b> NTFVSGNCDVVIGIVNNTVYDP <sup>1140</sup> ICHDGKAHFPREGVFVSNGTHWFVTQRNFYEPQIITT <b>H</b> NTFVSGNCDVVIGIVNNTVYDP		
	B) Beta (	B.1.351)		
	SARS-CoV-2 Beta Variant	<sup>61</sup> NVTWFHAIHVSGTNGTKR <mark>FD</mark> NPVLPFNDGVYFASTEKSNIIRGWIFGTTLDSKTQSLLIV <sup>120</sup> NVTWFHAIHVSGTNGTKRF <mark>A</mark> NPVLPFNDGVYFASTEKSNIIRGWIFGTTLDSKTQSLLIV		
	SARS-CoV-2 Beta Variant	<sup>181</sup> GKQGNFKNLREFVFKNIDGYFKIYSKHTPINLVR <b>D</b> LPQGFSALEPLVDLPIGINITRFQT <sup>240</sup> GKQGNFKNLREFVFKNIDGYFKIYSKHTPINLVR <b>G</b> LPQGFSALEPLVDLPIGINITRFQT		
D Region	SARS-CoV-2 Beta Variant	<sup>361</sup> CVADYSVLYNSASFSTFKCYGVSPTKLNDLCFTNVYADSFVIRGDEVRQIAPGQTG <b>K</b> IAD <sup>420</sup> CVADYSVLYNSASFSTFKCYGVSPTKLNDLCFTNVYADSFVIRGDEVRQIAPGQTG <b>NI</b> AD		
RBI	Dota Vallant			
Regio	SARS-CoV-2 Beta Variant	<sup>481</sup> NGVEGFNCYFPLQSYGFQPTNGVGYQPYRVVVLSFELLHAPATVCGPKKSTNLVKNKCVN <sup>540</sup> NGVKGFNCYFPLQSYGFQPTYGVGYQPYRVVVLSFELLHAPATVCGPKKSTNLVKNKCVN		
	SARS-CoV-2 Beta Variant	<sup>661</sup> ECDIPIGAGICASYQTQTNSPRRARSVASQSIIAYTMSLG <b>A</b> ENSVAYSNNSIAIPTNFTI <sup>720</sup> ECDIPIGAGICASYQTQTNSPRRARSVASQSIIAYTMSLG <mark>V</mark> ENSVAYSNNSIAIPTNFTI		
	C) Gamn	na (P.1)		
	SARS-CoV-2 Gamma Varian	<sup>1</sup> MFVFLVLLPLVSSQCVNLTTRTQLPPAYTNSFTRGVYYPDKVFRSSVLHSTQDLFLPFFS <sup>60</sup> MFVFLVLLPLVSSQCVNFTNRTQLP <mark>S</mark> AYTNSFTRGVYYPDKVFRSSVLHSTQDLFLPFFS		
	SARS-CoV-2 <sup>12</sup> Gamma Varian	NNATNVVIKVCEFQFCNDPFLGVYYHKNNKSWMESEFRVYSSANNCTFEYVSQPFLMDLE <sup>180</sup> NNATNVVIKVCEFQFCNYPFLGVYYHKNNKSWMESEFRVYSSANNCTFEYVSQPFLMDLE		
	SARS-CoV- 2 <sup>1</sup> Gamma Varian	<sup>181</sup> GKQGNFKNLREFVFKNIDGYFKIYSKHTPINLVRDLPQGFSALEPLVDLPIGINITRFQT <sup>240</sup> GKQGNFKNLSEFVFKNIDGYFKIYSKHTPINLVRDLPQGFSALEPLVDLPIGINITRFQT		
RBD Region	SARS-CoV-2 <sup>36</sup> Gamma Varian	<sup>1</sup> CVADYSVLYNSASFSTFKCYGVSPTKLNDLCFTNVYADSFVIRGDEVRQIAPGQTGKIAD <sup>420</sup> I CVADYSVLYNSASFSTFKCYGVSPTKLNDLCFTNVYADSFVIRGDEVRQIAPGQTGTIAD		
RBD Region	SARS-CoV-2 <sup>4</sup> Gamma Varian	<sup>81</sup> NGVEGFNCYFPLQSYGFQPTNGVGYQPYRVVVLSFELLHAPATVCGPKKSTNLVKNKCVN <sup>540</sup> t NGVKGFNCYFPLQSYGFQPTYGVGYQPYRVVVLSFELLHAPATVCGPKKSTNLVKNKCVN		
SAF Ga	RS-CoV-2 <sup>601</sup> GT Imma Variant GT	NTSNQVAVLYQDVNCTEVPVAIHADQLTPTWRVYSTGSNVFQTRAGCLIGAEHVNNSY <sup>660</sup> NTSNQVAVLYQDVNCTEVPVAIHADQLTPTWRVYSTGSNVFQTRAGCLIGAE <mark>Y</mark> VNNSY		
SARS-CoV-2 <sup>1021</sup> SANLAATKMSECVLGQSKRVDFCGKGYHLMSFPQSAPHGVVFLHVTYVPAQEKNFTTAPA <sup>1080</sup> Gamma Variant SANLA <mark>AI</mark> KMSECVLGQSKRVDFCGKGYHLMSFPQSAPHGVVFLHVTYVPAQEKNFTTAPA				
D) Delta (B.1.617.2)				
SAF Del	RS-CoV-2 <sup>1</sup> M ta Variant M	FVFLVLLPLVSSQCVNLTTRTQLPPAYTNSFTRGVYYPDKVFRSSVLHSTQDLFLPFFS <sup>60</sup> FVFLVLLPLVSSQCVN <mark>FRNRTQLPS</mark> AYTNSFTRGVYYPDKVFRSSVLHSTQDLFLPFFS		

KBU Kegion	SARS-CoV-2 Delta Variant	<sup>421</sup> YNYKLPDDFTGCVIAWNSNNLDSKVGGNYNYLYRLFRKSNLKPFERDISTEIYQAGSTPC <sup>48C</sup> YNYKLPDDFTGCVIAWNSNNLDSKVGGNYNYRYRLFRKSNLKPFERDISTEIYQAGSKPC
	SARS-CoV-2 Delta Variant	<sup>661</sup> ECDIPIGAGICASYQTQTNSPRRARSVASQSIIAYTMSLGAENSVAYSNNSIAIPTNFTI <sup>720</sup> ECDIPIGAGICASYQTQTNS <mark>R</mark> RRARSVASQSIIAYTMSLGAENSVAYSNNSIAIPTNFTI

901QMAYRFNGIGVTQNVLYENQKLIANQFNSAIGKIQDSLSSTASALGKLQDVVNQNAQALN960 QMAYRFNGIGVTQNVLYENQKLIANQFNSAIGKIQDSLSSTASALGKLQNVVNQNAQALN SARS-CoV-2 Delta Variant

Fig. 2. Mutation regions from Spike protein amino acid sequences: SARS-CoV-2 and A) Alpha (B.1.1.7), B) Beta (B.1.351), C) Gamma (P1) and D) Delta (B.1.617.2) variants highlighting RBD regions. Picture shows the alignment of 60 consecutive amino acids residues from S protein where mutations have been occurred.



SARS-CoV-2 QPTNGV SARS-CoV-2 FCNDPF P.1 Variant QPTYGV P.1 Variant FCNYPF

Fig. 3. Mutation regions from the Spike protein amino acid sequences: SARS-CoV-2 and A) Alpha (B.1.1.7), B) Beta (B.1.351), C) Gamma (P.1) and D) Delta (B.1.617.2). The picture highlights the mutation regions in the Spike protein. Alpha - N501Y; A570D; P681H; T716I; S982A and D1118H, Beta- D80A; D215G; K417 N; E484K; N501Y; A701V, Gamma- L18F; T20 N; P26S; D138Y; R190S; K417T; E484K; N501Y; H655Y; T1027I and Delta- T19R; L452R; T478K; P681R; D950 N.





Fig. 4. Peptides from SARS-CoV-2 containing amino acids residues A) 361–420 from Wuhan/UK, South Africa and Brazil; B) 481–540 from Wuhan, UK, South Africa/Brazil and C) 421–480 from Wuhan/UK/South Africa/Brazil and India.

N501Y substitution, the variant from Africa (Beta) presents additional substitutions K417 N and E484K. Lys484 interacts with Glu89 and Gln88 from ACE2 while E484 did not present interactions with amino acids from this receptor. K417 N substitution increased the binding energy compared with Wuhan and UK lineages (E: 1054.6 to –1087.2), but the amino acid does not interact with the receptor in all peptides. The Brazilian variant (Gamma) carries peculiar substitutions K417T additionally to E484K and N501Y. Our results suggest that T417 interacts with Glu199 from ACE2 while Lys417 (Wuhan) and N417 (South Africa) do not present interaction with amino acids from ACE2. Interestingly, the two modifications in the RBD region in the variant from India (L452R and T478K), expressively increased the interaction with ACE2 showing the highest binding energy of all compared peptides.

#### 5. Conclusion

In conclusion, mutations in Spike protein from SARS-CoV-2 are expressively increasing the affinity of this protein with human angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 (ACE2), consequently variants Alpha, Beta, Gamma and Delta could be more infective in human cells compared with SARS-CoV-2 isolated in Wuhan-2019 and the Gamma and Delta variants could be the most infective among them.

#### **Financial support**

This project is supported by São Paulo Research Foundation, FAPESP, Brazil with grants: FAPESP 20/12519–4 and FAPESP 20/05761–3.



**Fig. 5.** Peptides of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein interaction with human ACE2. A) Peptides 361–420 from Wuhan/UK (B.1.1.7), South Africa (B1.351), Brazil and B) 481–540 from Wuhan, UK (B.1.1.7), South Africa (B1.351)/Brazil (P.1) and C) 421–480 from Wuhan/UK/South Africa/Brazil and India. E means binding affinity energy between peptides and ACE2 obtained by docking analysis. Interactions of amino acids at position 417 (Lys57, Asn57 and Thr57), 484 (Glu4 and Lys4), 452 (Leu32 and Arg32) and 478 (Thr58 and Lys58) are highlighted. Light Blue (A, B) and gray (C): ACE2, Dark Blue, pink and orange: peptides, Red: Regions of interaction between peptides and ACE2. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

#### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that there is no interest conflict.

#### References

- Anderson RM, et al. Epidemiology, transmission dynamics and control of SARS: the 2002-2003 epidemic. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2004;359:1091–1105.
- 2 Berger A, Preiser WSARS. In Encyclopedia of Environmental Health. 2019. https://doi. org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63951-6.00624-0.
- 3 Peiris JSM, Poon LLM. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). Encyclopedia of Virology. 2008. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012374410-4.00780-9.
- 4 Memish ZA, Perlman S, Van Kerkhove MD, Zumla A. Middle East respiratory syndrome. Lancet. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)33221-0.
- 5 Zumla A, Hui DS, Perlman S. Middle East respiratory syndrome. Lancet. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60454-8.
- 6 Petrosillo N, Viceconte G, Ergonul O, Ippolito G, Petersen E. COVID-19, SARS and MERS: are they closely related? *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cmi.2020.03.026.
- 7 Cui J, Li F, Shi ZL. Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2019;17:181–192.
- 8 Ghinai I, et al. First known person-to-person transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in the USA. *Lancet*. 2020;395:1137–1144.
- 9 Jin Z, et al. Structure of Mpro from SARS-CoV-2 and discovery of its inhibitors. *Nature*. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2223-y.
- 10 Lu R, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. *Lancet.* 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30251-8.
- 11 Fehr AR, Perlman S. Coronaviruses: An overview of their replication and pathogenesis. *Coronaviruses: Methods and Protocols*. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-1-4939-2438-7\_1.
- 12 Wang Q, et al. Structural and functional basis of SARS-CoV-2 entry by using human ACE2. Cell. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.045.
- 13 Ortega JT, Serrano ML, Pujol FH, Rangel HR. Role of changes in SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in the interaction with the human ACE2 receptor: an in silico analysis. *EXCLI* J. 2020. https://doi.org/10.17179/excli2020-1167.
- 14 Shang J, et al. Cell entry mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2003138117.
- 15 Li W, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. *Nature*. 2003. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02145.
- 16 Hamming I, et al. Tissue distribution of ACE2 protein, the functional receptor for SARS coronavirus. A first step in understanding SARS pathogenesis. J Pathol. 2004. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.1570.

- 17 Wan Y, Shang J, Graham R, Baric RS, Li F. Receptor recognition by the novel coronavirus from wuhan: an analysis based on decade-long structural studies of SARS coronavirus. J Virol. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.00127-20.
- 18 Hoffmann M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. *Cell*. 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.052.
- 19 Gheblawi M, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2: SARS-CoV-2 receptor and regulator of the renin-angiotensin system: celebrating the 20th anniversary of the discovery of ACE2. Circ Res. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.120.317015.
- 20 Xu H, et al. High expression of ACE2 receptor of 2019-nCoV on the epithelial cells of oral mucosa. Int J Oral Sci. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41368-020-0074-x.
- 21 Chen Y, Guo Y, Pan Y, Zhao ZJ. Structure analysis of the receptor binding of 2019nCoV. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bbrc.2020.02.071.
- 22 Verdecchia P, Cavallini C, Spanevello A, Angeli F. The pivotal link between ACE2 deficiency and SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ejim.2020.04.037.
- 23 Ziegler CGK, et al. SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 is an interferon-stimulated gene in human airway epithelial cells and is detected in specific cell subsets across tissues. *Cell*. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.035.
- 24 Comeau SR, Gatchell DW, Vajda S, Camacho CJ. ClusPro: a fully automated algorithm for protein-protein docking. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2004. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkh354.
- 25 Kozakov D, et al. The ClusPro web server for protein-protein docking. Nat Protoc. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2016.169.
- 26 Yi C, et al. Key residues of the receptor binding motif in the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 that interact with ACE2 and neutralizing antibodies. *Cell Mol Immunol.* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-0458-z.
- 27 Li F, Li W, Farzan M, Harrison SC. Structural biology: structure of SARS coronavirus spike receptor-binding domain complexed with receptor. *Science*. 2005;80. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1116480.
- 28 Tian X, et al. Potent binding of 2019 novel coronavirus spike protein by a SARS coronavirus-specific human monoclonal antibody. *Emerg Microb Infect.* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1729069.
- 29 Liu Z, et al. Composition and divergence of coronavirus spike proteins and host ACE2 receptors predict potential intermediate hosts of SARS-CoV-2. J Med Virol. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25726.
- 30 Yan R, et al. Structural basis for the recognition of SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. Science. 2020;80–. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb2762.
- 31 Tai W, et al. Characterization of the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of 2019 novel coronavirus: implication for development of RBD protein as a viral attachment inhibitor and vaccine. *Cell Mol Immunol.* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-0400-4.