



Brief Report

# D-Dimer Levels Are Not Elevated in SARS-CoV-2 IgG Positive Patients Undergoing Elective Orthopedic Surgery

Anna Jungwirth-Weinberger <sup>1,2</sup>, Lisa Oezel <sup>3</sup>, Rachele Morgenstern <sup>1</sup>, Jennifer Shue <sup>3</sup>, Carola Hanreich <sup>1</sup>, Andrew A. Sama <sup>3</sup> and Friedrich Boettner <sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Adult Reconstruction and Joint Replacement Service, Hospital for Special Surgery, 535 East 70th Street, New York, NY 10021, USA; jungwirthanna@hotmail.com or anna.jungwirth-weinberger@ksb.ch (A.J.-W.); morgensternr@hss.edu (R.M.); hanreichc@hss.edu (C.H.)

<sup>2</sup> Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Cantonal Hospital Baden, Im Ergel 1, CH-5404 Baden, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup> Spine Care Institute, Hospital for Special Surgery, 535 East 70th Street, New York, NY 10021, USA; oezell@hss.edu (L.O.); shuej@hss.edu (J.S.); samaa@hss.edu (A.A.S.)

\* Correspondence: boettnerf@hss.edu; Tel.: +1-212-774-2127

**Abstract:** Introduction: In acute COVID-19, D-Dimer levels can be elevated and those patients are at risk for thromboembolic events. This study aims to investigate differences in preoperative D-Dimer levels in SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive and negative patients undergoing primary total knee and total hip replacement (TJA) or spine surgery. Methods: D-Dimer levels of 48 SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive and 718 SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative spine surgery patients were compared to those of 249 SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive and 2102 SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative TJA patients. Patients were assigned into groups based on D-Dimer levels as follows: <200 ng/mL, 200–400 ng/mL, and >400 ng/mL D-Dimer Units (DDU). Results: D-Dimer levels did neither differ significantly between SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive spine surgery patients and TJA patients ( $p = 0.1$ ), nor between SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative spine surgery and TJA patients ( $p = 0.7$ ). In addition, there was no difference between SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive and negative spine surgery patients and SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive and negative TJA patients ( $p = 0.3$ ). Conclusions: There is no difference in D-Dimer levels between SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive and negative patients and there does not seem to be any difference for different orthopedic specialty patients. Routine testing of D-Dimer levels is not recommended for patients undergoing elective orthopedic surgery.

**Keywords:** COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2 IgG; D-Dimer; spine surgery; total knee replacement; total hip replacement



**Citation:** Jungwirth-Weinberger, A.; Oezel, L.; Morgenstern, R.; Shue, J.; Hanreich, C.; Sama, A.A.; Boettner, F. D-Dimer Levels Are Not Elevated in SARS-CoV-2 IgG Positive Patients Undergoing Elective Orthopedic Surgery. *J. Clin. Med.* **2021**, *10*, 3508. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10163508>

Academic Editors: Victor Valderrabano and Enrique Gómez-Barrena

Received: 22 June 2021

Accepted: 6 August 2021

Published: 9 August 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

COVID-19 infection is caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1,2]. The first cases presented in Wuhan, China, and were associated with the Wuhan Wet market and it is assumed the virus has animal origin. SARS-related coronaviruses are covered by spike proteins that contain a receptor-binding domain, which bind to the angiotensin converting enzyme-2 (ACE-2) in humans [3]. Transmission between human mostly occurs via person-to-person route through respiratory droplets [4].

Three stages of the disease have been defined: stage I (mild symptoms), stage II (pulmonary involvement) and stage III (systemic inflammation). Hematologic symptoms include alterations in the white blood cell (WBC) count, low lymphocyte count, a low platelet count and elevation of D-Dimer associated with high levels of fibrin degradation products (FDPs) and low antithrombin (AT) activity [5].

D-Dimer levels might be elevated in active COVID-19 [6,7] and those patients are at risk for thromboembolic events both in the arterial as well as the venous system [8,9]. Severe elevation is associated with a worse outcome and elevated D-Dimer levels represent a poor prognostic factor [10,11]. D-Dimer is a fibrin degradation product and elevated

D-Dimer levels can be observed during deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE), but also in other clinical conditions such as injuries, after surgery, during infection and inflammation or cancer [12–16].

DVT and PE are serious postoperative complications after orthopedic surgeries and spine surgeries and lead to a significant increase in mortality [17].

D-Dimer elevation in arthroplasty patients is a moderately sensitive, but less specific marker in the detection of DVT after total knee arthroplasty (TKA) [18], and elevated D-Dimer represents a risk factor for DVT in TKA patients [19]. Some authors use D-Dimer as screening test to identify DVT after orthopedic surgery and sensitivity are reported to be 71.4% and 81.7%, respectively [20]. In combination with blood gas analysis, D-Dimer can be utilized as screening tool for pulmonary embolism after orthopedic or spinal surgery [21].

D-Dimer has also been shown to be a marker for periprosthetic infection due to a correlation between coagulation and inflammation: coagulation-related biomarkers have a proinflammatory effect and persistent inflammatory response contributes to a hypercoagulable state which leads to elevation of D-Dimer in infectious disease [22–25]. In contrast, D-Dimer levels cannot be used to determine the timing for reimplantation [26].

In neurosurgery patients, higher D-Dimer levels are associated with an increased risk of non-routine discharge [27]. Elevated D-Dimer levels have been shown to be a predictive factor for postoperative PE after spine surgery [28–30]. A D-Dimer level of  $\geq 5000$  ng/mL was defined to be a risk factor for thromboembolic events after spinal surgery, although false positive cases can occur [31].

The impact of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection on the morbidity of patients undergoing elective orthopedic surgery has not yet been assessed. We hypothesized that D-Dimer levels in patients with history of SARS-CoV-2 are higher in both arthroplasty and spine patients.

This study aims to evaluate D-Dimer levels in TJA patients and spine surgery patients and analyze for potential differences between SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive and negative patients.

## 2. Materials and Methods

This retrospective study was approved by the local institutional review board.

Between June and October 2020 D-Dimer levels were prospectively collected and retrospectively reviewed in every elective arthroplasty patient and between June and August 2020 in every patient undergoing spine surgery at the authors' institution. In SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive arthroplasty patients, D-Dimer levels were drawn between June and October 2020 as per the policy of the Adult Reconstruction and Joint Replacement service.

D-Dimer levels were drawn during presurgical screening 3–21 days (average 7 days) prior to surgery. D-Dimer levels were reported semi-quantitatively by the institution and were categorized as follows: normal values were  $< 200$  ng/mL D-Dimer Units (DDU), elevated D-Dimer levels were classified as data within the ranges from 200–400 ng/mL, and over 400 ng/mL DDU. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test (Cepheid Xpert Xpress SARS-CoV-2 [32] (Sunnyvale, CA, USA; positive percent agreement 98%, negative percent agreement 96%) or the Biomerieux Biofire Respiratory Panel 2.1 [33] (Salt Lake City, UT, USA; positive percent agreement 98% and negative percent agreement 100%) for active COVID-19 infection was negative in all patients at the day of surgery and SARS-CoV-IgG-2 positive patients only had surgery, if they recovered from COVID-19 and subsequently tested negative on PCR test prior to their surgery. The serological SARS-CoV-2 IgG status (Abbott Architect, IgG sensitivity 100%, specificity 99%; Abbott Park, IL, USA [34]) was determined during presurgical screening 3–21 days prior to surgery in all patients.

The TJA group consisted of 2351 patients, 249 were SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive and 2102 SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative. The spine group consisted of 766 patients undergoing surgery for degenerative spine conditions and spinal stenosis, 48 were SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive and 718 SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative.

Only patients undergoing elective, non-septic surgeries were included and periprosthetic infections, incision and drainage as well as surgeries for spondylodiscitis were excluded in both groups.

The primary choice DVT prophylaxis in TJA patients was acetylsalicylic acid for four-six weeks compared to low molecular heparin during hospital stay in spine patients.

Analyses were conducted using SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Carey, NC, USA). Variables were assessed for normalcy; comparisons between and within cohorts were made using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests and Wilcoxon signed-rank tests, respectively. Categorical variables were assessed using Chi-square or Fisher exact tests.

### 3. Results

249 patients in the TJA group were SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive (146 female, 103 male) and 2102 were SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative (1229 female, 873 male).

In the SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive TJA group the mean age was 62.9 years (range 18–86; years, ), mean BMI was 30.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (16.8–49.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Mean age in SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative TJA patients was 65.3 years (18–96 years), mean BMI was 29.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (15.6–56.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).

The spine group consisted of 48 SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive patients (34 male, 14 female) and 718 SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative patients (313 female, 405 male).

Mean age in the SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive spine group was 55.4 years (21–78 years), mean BMI was 29.6 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (19–44.6 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Amongst SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative patients, mean age was 60.4 years (19–91 years), mean BMI was 28.4 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (17.4–50.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Demographic information of the groups.

|                          | SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive patients |                           | p-Value    |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
|                          | TJA Patients                     | Spine Patients            |            |
| <i>n</i>                 | 249                              | 48                        |            |
| Male/Female              | 103/146                          | 34/14                     | 0.0002 *   |
| Age (years)              | 62.9 (SD 10.3; 18–86)            | 55.4 (SD 15.8; 21–78)     | <0.0001 ** |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 30.8 (SD 6.2; 16.8–49.9)         | 29.6 (SD 5.8; 19–44.6)    | 0.2 **     |
|                          | SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative patients |                           |            |
|                          | TJA Patients                     | Spine Patients            |            |
| <i>n</i>                 | 2109                             | 718                       |            |
| Male/Female              | 873/1229                         | 405/313                   | <0.0001 *  |
| Age (years)              | 65.3 (SD 10.7; 19–96)            | 60.4 (SD 14.2; 19–91)     | <0.0001 ** |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 29.5 (SD 6.0 (15.6–56.8)         | 28.4 (SD, 5.6; 17.4–50.9) | <0.0001 ** |

\* Chi-square-Test; \*\* *t*-Test.

Average interval between COVID-19 and surgery was 168 days (SD 71).

When comparing SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive spine and TJA patients, spine patients were significantly younger (*p*-value < 0.0001). BMI was not significantly different between the two groups (*p* = 0.2).

SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive patients were significantly younger (61.7 years, SD 11.7, range 18–86 years, *p* = 0.007) and had a higher BMI (30.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, SD 6.1, range 16.8–49.9, *p* < 0.0001) than SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative patients (64.1 years, SD 11.6, 19–96 years; 29.1 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, SD 5.8, 15.6–56.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).

A total of 197 patients (79.1%) of the TJA SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive patients had D-Dimer levels <200 ng/mL, 36 patients (14.5%) between 200–400 ng/mL and 17 patients (6.43%) >400 ng/mL. A total of 38 patients (79.2%) of the spine SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive patients had D-Dimer levels <200 ng/mL, 10 patients (20.8%) between 200–400 ng/mL.

The difference in D-Dimer levels between the two SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive groups was not significantly different ( $p$ -value = 0.1).

Among SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative TJA patients, 1709 patients (81.3%) had D-Dimer levels <200 ng/mL, 255 patients (12.1%) between 200–400 ng/mL and 138 patients (6.6%) >400 ng/mL. In the SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative spine group 587 patients (81.8%) had a D-Dimer level <200 ng/mL, 90 patients (12.5%) between 200–400 ng/mL and 41 patients (5.7%) >400 ng/mL. The difference was not significantly different between those two groups ( $p$ -value = 0.7).

Comparing spine patients to TJA patients, regardless of their SARS-CoV-2 IgG status, the difference between D-Dimer levels was not significantly different ( $p$  = 0.5).

Comparing only the SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive spine and TJA patients to SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative spine and TJA patients, there was also no significant difference between the groups ( $p$  = 0.24) (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Distribution of D-Dimer levels of SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive and negative patients.

|                         | D-Dimer Levels (DDU) |               |            | $p$ -Value |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------|------------|------------|
|                         | <200 ng/mL           | 200–400 ng/mL | >400 ng/mL |            |
| SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive | 235 (79.1%)          | 46 (15.5%)    | 16 (5.4%)  | 0.24 *     |
| - TJA                   | 197                  | 36            | 16         |            |
| - Spine                 | 38                   | 10            | 0          |            |
| SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative | 2296 (81.4%)         | 345 (12.2%)   | 179 (6.4%) |            |
| - TJA                   | 1709                 | 255           | 138        |            |
| - Spine                 | 587                  | 90            | 41         |            |

\* Chi-square-Test.

No DVTs or PEs occurred in the spine group, but there was one symptomatic PE (0.4%) and one symptomatic DVT (0.4%) in SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive TJA patients.

#### 4. Discussion

The current study does not show a difference in preoperative D-Dimer levels between SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive and negative spine and TJA patients.

Routine testing of D-Dimer before elective orthopedic surgery in clinical practice is not supported by this.

Another finding of the study is, that there was no difference of D-Dimer levels between spine and TJA patients, regardless of their SARS-CoV-2 IgG status.

To our knowledge, this is the first study comparing preoperative D-Dimer levels for different orthopedic indications. Therefore, this study indicates, that osteoarthritis does not lead to increased D-Dimer levels in TJA patients compared to disc herniation or spinal stenosis in spine patients.

Contrarily, a study by Cheras et al. [35] has been shown D-Dimer elevation in osteoarthritis due to a hypercoagulable and prothrombotic condition in osteoarthritis. Patients with rheumatoid arthritis showed higher D-Dimer values prior to total knee arthroplasty than osteoarthritis patients and those values remained elevated for a week postoperatively [36]. Postoperative ambulation had a strong correlation with D-Dimer levels in a study by Nakao et al. Non-ambulatory patients had significantly higher D-Dimer levels than ambulatory patients [37] and also the preoperative ambulatory ability might influence postoperative D-Dimer levels in patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty [38].

D-Dimer can be used as prognostic factor in different tumors [39,40] and was recently found as marker for periprosthetic infection in arthroplasty patients [23,24]. A meta-analysis including 1592 patients showed a sensitivity and specificity of D-Dimer for diagnosing a periprosthetic joint infection of 82% and 73%, respectively [41], and D-Dimer levels were significantly higher in patients with periprosthetic joint infection than in pa-

tients with aseptic failure [42]. However, other studies found no significant difference in D-Dimer levels between septic and aseptic total hip and knee arthroplasties [43,44].

Although D-Dimers have been used for some time for the detection of DVT and PE, it has been recently discussed controversial due to its low specificity [18]. A study by Rafee et al. found no difference in postoperative D-Dimer levels in patients with and without DVT [45]. Niimi et al. recommend due to D-Dimer's low specificity a two-stage screening for DVT, first with D-Dimer or soluble fibrin, followed by venography or sonography [46]. In clinical practice, D-Dimer levels are most commonly utilized to exclude a DVT, although it should not be used as stand-alone test due to its low specificity. The sensitivity of D-Dimer is >98%. Recently D-Dimer has also been used to decide whether anticoagulation in DVT can be terminated or not [47], and the risk of recurrence of a DVT is significantly lower in patients with normal D-Dimer values measured one month after discontinuation of anticoagulation compared to patients with elevated D-Dimer levels [48].

D-Dimer has been shown to rapidly rise and fall after TJA with a peak on postoperative day one and returns to normal values after six weeks [49]. At postoperative day two, D-Dimer normally decreases to its baseline level and slowly increases until postoperative week two [49].

In acute COVID-19, D-Dimer has been shown to be elevated and [50] COVID-associated coagulopathy with evidence of microthrombi and macrothrombi in the venous and arterial systems can be diagnosed based on D-dimer elevations [51]. In addition, death, intubation and thromboembolic events were associated with admission D-Dimer [52]. D-Dimer levels >3000 ng/mL were associated with pulmonary embolism in a retrospective study of 88 patients hospitalized for COVID-19 and the authors defined D-Dimer as independent risk factor of PE during COVID-19 [53]. Non-Survivors of COVID-19 revealed significantly higher D-Dimer levels and fibrin degradation products on admission than survivors of COVID-19 [54]. Zhou et al. report higher D-Dimer levels in patients admitted to the ICU compared to non-ICU patients [55]. Elevated D-Dimer levels were shown in 18.8% of all our patients, therefore we assume, the bias of postponed surgeries due to elevated D-Dimer levels has been eliminated.

Limitations of the study are (1) there was no follow up during postoperative course and no routine DVT screening; (2) the current study focuses on an early period following the initial COVID-19 outbreak, with a less than 6 months interval between infection and spine or TJA surgery. It is likely that patients with a severe course and hospitalization did not schedule elective surgery during this early time period. (3) A post hoc power analysis for cohorts with non-significant *p*-values usually result in low power and is therefore not recommended. The large sample size of 3118 patients including 297 COVID positive patients should allow for a meaningful conclusion. A power-analysis was not performed a priori because preliminary data for establishing an effect size in this setting were not available.

## 5. Conclusions

There is no difference in D-Dimer levels between SARS-CoV-2 IgG negative and SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive patients undergoing TJA and spine surgery and no difference in D-Dimer levels between TJA and spine patients. The current study does not support routine D-Dimer testing in SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive patients prior to elective TJA or spine surgery.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, A.J.-W.; data curation, A.J.-W. and L.O.; formal analysis, A.J.-W.; investigation, A.J.-W.; methodology, A.J.-W. and F.B.; project administration, J.S.; resources, J.S.; software, R.M.; supervision, A.A.S.; writing—original draft, A.J.-W.; writing—review and editing, C.H., A.A.S. and F.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.



**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of Hospital for Special surgery (2020-2294, 28 October 2020).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Patient consent was waived because the research presents no more than minimal risk of harm to subjects and involves no procedures for which written consent is normally required outside the research context.

**Conflicts of Interest:** F.B. receives royalties by Smith and Nephew, Orthodevelopment and compensation by Smith and Nephew, Orthodevelopment, DePuy and Medtronic, unrelated to this research. All other authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Chakraborty, C.; Sharma, A.R.; Sharma, G.; Bhattacharya, M.; Lee, S.S. SARS-CoV-2 causing pneumonia-associated respiratory disorder (COVID-19): Diagnostic and proposed therapeutic options. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharm. Sci.* **2020**, *24*, 4016–4026.
- Atzrodt, C.L.; Maknojia, I.; McCarthy, R.D.P.; Oldfield, T.M.; Po, J.; Ta, K.T.L.; Stepp, H.E.; Clements, T.P. A Guide to COVID-19: A global pandemic caused by the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. *FEBS J.* **2020**, *287*, 3633. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Rabi, F.A.; Al Zoubi, M.S.; Kasasbeh, G.A.; Salameh, D.M.; Al-Nasser, A.D. SARS-CoV-2 and Coronavirus disease 2019: What we know so far. *Pathogens* **2020**, *9*, 231. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Malik, Y.S.; Kumar, N.; Sircar, S.; Kaushik, R.; Bhatt, S.; Dhama, K.; Gupta, P.; Goyal, K.; Singh, M.P.; Ghoshal, U.; et al. Coronavirus disease pandemic (COVID-19): Challenges and a global perspective. *Pathogens* **2020**, *9*, 519. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Słomka, A.; Kowalewski, M.; Żekanowska, E. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A short review on hematological manifestations. *Pathogens* **2020**, *9*, 493. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Li, Y.; Zhao, K.; Wei, H.; Chen, W.; Wang, W.; Jia, L.; Liu, Q.; Zhang, J.; Shan, T.; Peng, Z.; et al. Dynamic relationship between D-dimer and COVID-19 severity. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2020**, *190*, e24. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Li, C.; Hu, B.; Zhang, Z.; Qin, W.; Zhu, Z.; Zhai, Z.; Davidson, B.L.; Wang, C. D-dimer Triage for COVID-19. *Acad. Emerg. Med.* **2020**, *27*, 612–613. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Kollias, A.; Kyriakoulis, K.G.; Dimakakos, E.; Poulakou, G.; Stergiou, G.S.; Syrigos, K. Thromboembolic risk and anticoagulant therapy in COVID-19 patients: Emerging evidence and call for action. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2020**, *189*, 846–847. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Artifoni, M.; Danic, G.; Gautier, G.; Gicquel, P.; Boutoille, D.; Raffi, F.; Néel, A.; LeComte, R. Systematic assessment of venous thromboembolism in COVID-19 patients receiving thromboprophylaxis: Incidence and role of D-dimer as predictive factors. *J. Thromb. Thrombolysis* **2020**, *50*, 211–216. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Vidali, S.; Morosetti, D.; Cossu, E.; Luisi, M.L.E.; Pancani, S.; Semeraro, V.; Consales, G. D-dimer as an indicator of prognosis in SARS-CoV-2 infection: A systematic review. *ERJ Open Res.* **2020**, *6*, 00260–2020. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Hunt, B.J.; Levi, M. Re The source of elevated plasma D-dimer levels in COVID-19 infection. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2020**, *190*, e133. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Weitz, J.I.; Fredenburgh, J.C.; Eikelboom, J.W. A test in context: D-dimer. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2017**, *70*, 2411–2420. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Schutte, T.; Thijs, A.; Smulders, Y.M. Never ignore extremely elevated D-dimer levels: They are specific for serious illness. *Neth. J. Med.* **2016**, *74*, 443–448. [[PubMed](#)]
- Zhang, J.; He, M.; Song, Y.; Xu, J. Prognostic role of D-dimer level upon admission in patients with traumatic brain injury. *Medicine* **2018**, *97*, e11774. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Lin, Y.; Liu, Z.; Qiu, Y.; Zhang, J.; Wu, H.; Liang, R.; Chen, G.; Qin, G.; Li, Y.; Zou, D. Clinical significance of plasma D-dimer and fibrinogen in digestive cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol. EJSO* **2018**, *44*, 1494–1503. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Kubota, Y.; Okuyama, T.; Oi, H.; Takeshita, E.; Mitsui, T.; Noro, T.; Sameshima, S.; Noie, T.; Oya, M. Comparison of postoperative plasma D-dimer levels between patients undergoing laparoscopic resection and conventional open resection for colorectal cancer. *Asian J. Endosc. Surg.* **2020**, *13*, 498–504. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Saleh, J.; El-Othmani, M.M.; Saleh, K.J. Deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism considerations in orthopedic surgery. *Orthop. Clin. N. Am.* **2017**, *48*, 127–135. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Chen, C.J.; Wang, C.J.; Huang, C.C. The value of D-dimer in the detection of early deep-vein thrombosis after total knee arthroplasty in Asian patients: A cohort study. *Thromb. J.* **2008**, *6*, 1–5. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Jiang, T.; Yao, Y.; Xu, X.; Song, K.; Pan, P.; Chen, D.; Xu, Z.; Dai, J.; Qin, J.; Shi, D.; et al. Prevalence and risk factors of preoperative deep vein thrombosis in patients with end-stage knee osteoarthritis. *Ann. Vasc. Surg.* **2020**, *64*, 175–180. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Jiang, Y.; Li, J.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y.-C.; Zhang, W.-G. Risk factors for deep vein thrombosis after orthopedic surgery and the diagnostic value of D-dimer. *Ann. Vasc. Surg.* **2015**, *29*, 675–681. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Oshima, Y.; Tachibana, S.; Hirota, Y.; Takeda, Y.; Kitajima, I. Usefulness of arterial blood gas analysis and D-dimer measurement in the assessment of pulmonary embolism after orthopedic surgery. *J. Orthop. Sci.* **2006**, *11*, 140–145. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Pannu, T.S.; Villa, J.M.; Riesgo, A.M.; Patel, P.D.; Barsoum, W.K.; Higuera-Rueda, C.A. Serum D-dimer in the diagnosis of periprosthetic knee infection: Where are we today? *J. Knee Surg.* **2019**, *33*, 106–110. [[CrossRef](#)]

23. Parvizi, J.; Tan, T.L.; Goswami, K.; Higuera, C.; Della Valle, C.; Chen, A.F.; Shohat, N. The 2018 definition of periprosthetic hip and knee infection: An evidence-based and validated criteria. *J. Arthroplast.* **2018**, *33*, 1309–1314.e2. [CrossRef]
24. Xiong, L.; Li, S.; Dai, M. Comparison of D-dimer with CRP and ESR for diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection. *J. Orthop. Surg. Res.* **2019**, *14*, 1–5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
25. Chen, X.; Li, H.; Zhu, S.; Wang, Y.; Qian, W. Is D-dimer a reliable biomarker compared to ESR and CRP in the diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection? *Bone Jt. Res.* **2020**, *9*, 701–708. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
26. Wu, H.; Meng, Z.; Pan, L.; Liu, H.; Yang, X.; Yongping, C. Plasma fibrinogen performs better than plasma D-dimer and fibrin degradation product in the diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection and determination of reimplantation timing. *J. Arthroplast.* **2020**, *35*, 2230–2236. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
27. Karsy, M.; Kim, R.; Azab, M.; Harper, J.; Guan, J.; Eli, I.; Couldwell, W. Higher admission D-dimer values are associated with an increased risk of nonroutine discharge in neurosurgery patients. *Cureus* **2020**, *12*, 27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
28. Inoue, H.; Watanabe, H.; Okami, H.; Kimura, A.; Seichi, A.; Takeshita, K. D-dimer predicts pulmonary embolism after low-risk spine surgery. *Spine Surg. Relat. Res.* **2018**, *2*, 113–120. [CrossRef]
29. Zhang, L.; Cao, H.; Chen, Y.; Jiao, G. Risk factors for venous thromboembolism following spinal surgery: A meta-analysis. *Med. Baltimore* **2020**, *99*, e20954. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
30. Ikeda, T.; Miyamoto, H.; Hashimoto, K.; Akagi, M. Predictable factors of deep venous thrombosis in patients undergoing spine surgery. *J. Orthop. Sci.* **2017**, *22*, 197–200. [CrossRef]
31. Yoshiwa, T.; Miyazaki, M.; Takita, C.; Itonaga, I.; Tsumura, H. Analysis of measured D-dimer levels for detection of deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism after spinal surgery. *J. Spinal Disord. Tech.* **2011**, *24*, E35–E39. [CrossRef]
32. Cepheid. Xpert Xpress SARS-CoV-2/Flu/RSV Instructions for Use [Internet]. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/media/142438/download> (accessed on 8 April 2020).
33. Biomerieaux. Biomerieaux Diagnostics Respiratory Panel 2.1 (Technical Details) [Internet]. Available online: <https://www.biomerieaux-diagnostics.com/filmarray-respiratory-panel> (accessed on 4 May 2020).
34. Abbott Architect SARS-CoV-2 IgG. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19-emergency-use-authorizations-medical-devices/eua-authorized-serology-test-performance> (accessed on 18 June 2020).
35. Cheras, P.A.; Whitaker, A.N.; Blackwell, E.A.; Sinton, T.J.; Chapman, M.D.; Peacock, K.A. Hypercoagulability and hypofibrinolysis in primary osteoarthritis. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* **1997**, *334*, 56–57. [CrossRef]
36. Mukubo, Y.; Kawamata, M. Higher preoperative D-dimer value remain high postoperatively in patients with rheumatoid arthritis compared with those with osteoarthritis. *J. Anesth.* **2006**, *20*, 51–53. [CrossRef]
37. Nakao, S.; Takata, S.; Uemura, H.; Nakano, S.; Egawa, H.; Kawasaki, Y.; Kashiwara, M.; Yasui, N. Early ambulation after total knee arthroplasty prevents patients with osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis from developing postoperative higher levels of D-dimer. *J. Med. Investig.* **2010**, *57*, 146–151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
38. Sasaki, K.; Senda, M.; Ishikura, T.; Ota, H.; Mori, T.; Tsukiyama, H.; Hamada, M.; Shiota, N. The relationship between ambulation ability before surgery and the D-dimer value after total hip arthroplasty: The evaluation of ambulation ability by the timed "Up & Go" test. *Acta Med. Okayama* **2005**, *59*, 225–230. [CrossRef]
39. Cai, H.-X.; Li, X.; Wang, S.-F. Prognostic value of fibrinogen and D-dimer-fibrinogen ratio in resectable gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2018**, *24*, 5046–5056. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
40. Shiina, Y.; Nakajima, T.; Yamamoto, T.; Tanaka, K.; Sakairi, Y.; Wada, H.; Suzuki, H.; Yoshino, I. The D-dimer level predicts the postoperative prognosis in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0222050. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
41. Zhang, H.; Sun, X.; Xin, P.; Zhu, X.; Jie, K.; Cao, H.; Feng, W.; Zeng, Y.; Lv, Y.; Chen, J.; et al. Diagnostic accuracy of D-dimer in periprosthetic joint infection: A diagnostic meta-analysis. *J. Orthop. Surg. Res.* **2020**, *15*, 1–12. [CrossRef]
42. Shahi, A.; Kheir, M.; Tarabichi, M.; Hosseinzadeh, H.R.; Tan, T.L.; Parvizi, J. Serum D-dimer test is promising for the diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection and timing of reimplantation. *J. Bone Jt. Surg. Am. Vol.* **2017**, *99*, 1419–1427. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
43. Pannu, T.S.; Villa, J.M.; Patel, P.D.; Riesgo, A.M.; Barsoum, W.K.; Higuera, C.A. The utility of serum d-dimer for the diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection in revision total hip and knee arthroplasty. *J. Arthroplast.* **2020**, *35*, 1692–1695. [CrossRef]
44. Huang, J.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Dong, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Zheng, J.; Lian, H.; Jin, Y. The serum level of D-Dimer is not suitable for distinguishing between prosthetic joint infection and aseptic loosening. *J. Orthop. Surg. Res.* **2019**, *14*, 1–5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. Rafee, A.; Herlikar, D.; Gilbert, R.; Stockwell, R.C.; Mclauchlan, G.J. D-dimer in the diagnosis of deep vein thrombosis following total hip and knee replacement: A prospective study. *Ann. R. Coll. Surg. Engl.* **2008**, *90*, 123–126. [CrossRef]
46. Niimi, R.; Hasegawa, M.; Sudo, A.; Shi, D.; Yamada, T.; Uchida, A. Evaluation of soluble fibrin and D-dimer in the diagnosis of postoperative deep vein thrombosis. *Biomarkers* **2009**, *15*, 149–157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
47. Linkins, L.-A.; Lapner, S.T. Review of D-dimer testing: Good, bad, and ugly. *Int. J. Lab. Hematol.* **2017**, *39*, 98–103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
48. Palareti, G.; Cosmi, B.; Legnani, C. D-dimer testing to determine the duration of anticoagulant therapy. *Curr. Opin. Pulm. Med.* **2007**, *13*, 393–397. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
49. Lee, Y.S.; Lee, Y.-K.; Han, S.B.; Nam, C.H.; Parvizi, J.; Koo, K.-H. Natural progress of D-dimer following total joint arthroplasty: A baseline for the diagnosis of the early postoperative infection. *J. Orthop. Surg. Res.* **2018**, *13*, 1–6. [CrossRef]
50. Cho, E.S.; McClelland, P.H.; Cheng, O.; Kim, Y.; Hu, J.; Zenilman, M.E.; D'Ayala, M. Utility of D-dimer for diagnosis of deep vein thrombosis in coronavirus disease-19 infection. *J. Vasc. Surgery: Venous Lymphat. Disord.* **2021**, *9*, 47–53. [CrossRef]

51. Jayarangaiah, A.; Kariyanna, P.T.; Chen, X.; Jayarangaiah, A.; Kumar, A. COVID-19-associated coagulopathy: An exacerbated immunothrombosis response. *Clin. Appl. Thromb.* **2020**, *26*, 1076029620943293. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Naymagon, L.; Zubizarreta, N.; Feld, J.; van Gerwen, M.; Alsen, M.; Thibaud, S.; Kessler, A.; Venugopal, S.; Makki, I.; Qin, Q.; et al. Admission D-dimer levels, D-dimer trends, and outcomes in COVID-19. *Thromb. Res.* **2020**, *196*, 99–105. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Galland, J.; Thoreau, B.; Delrue, M.; Neuwirth, M.; Stepanian, A.; Chauvin, A.; Dellal, A.; Nallet, O.; Roriz, M.; Devaux, M.; et al. White blood count, D-dimers, and ferritin levels as predictive factors of pulmonary embolism suspected upon admission in noncritically ill COVID-19 patients: The French multicenter CLOTVID retrospective study. *Eur. J. Haematol.* **2021**, *107*, 190–201. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Tang, N.; Li, D.; Wang, X.; Sun, Z. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. *J. Thromb. Haemost.* **2020**, *18*, 1421–1424. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
55. Zhou, F.; Yu, T.; Du, R.; Fan, G.; Liu, Y.; Liu, Z.; Xiang, J.; Wang, Y.; Song, B.; Gu, X.; et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: A retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* **2020**, *395*, 1054–1062. [[CrossRef](#)]