

Cancer Res Treat. 2019;51(4):1400-1410

Original Article

https://doi.org/10.4143/crt.2018.704

Open Access

Report of the Korean Association of Lung Cancer Registry (KALC-R), 2014

Chang-Min Choi, MD, PhD¹² Ho Cheol Kim, MD¹ Chi Young Jung, MD, PhD³ Deog Gon Cho, MD, PhD⁴ Jae Hyun Jeon, MD⁵ Jeong Eun Lee, MD, PhD⁶ Jin Seok Ahn, MD, PhD⁷ Seung Joon Kim, MD, PhD⁸ Yeongdae Kim, MD, PhD⁹ Yoo-Duk Choi, MD, PhD¹⁰ Yang-Gun Suh, MD, PhD¹¹ Jung-Eun Kim, BS¹² Boram Lee, MS¹² Young-Joo Won, PhD¹²

**A list of author's affiliations appears at the end of the paper.*

Correspondence: Young-Chul Kim, MD, PhD
Department of Internal Medicine,
Chonnam National University Hwasun
Hospital, 322 Seoyang-ro, Hwasun 58128, Korea
Tel: 82-61-379-7614
Fax: 82-61-379-7619
E-mail: kyc0923@jnu.ac.kr++++++++
- + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
Received December 19, 2018 + + + + + + +
Accepted February 22, 2019 + + + + + + +
Published Online February 25, 2019 + + + +
- + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
*Chang-Min Choi and Ho Cheol Kim contributed
equally to this work.

Introduction

Purpose

The aim of this study was to investigate epidemiology, clinical characteristics and sex differences of patients with lung cancer using nationwide registry in Korea.

Materials and Methods

The Korean Association for Lung Cancer developed a registry in cooperation with the Korean Central Cancer Registry, and surveyed about 10% of lung cancer cases. For this first survey of cases diagnosed in 2014, cases were selected through a systematic sampling method.

Results

Total 2,621 lung cancer patients were surveyed, and the median patient age was 70 years. During the study period, adenocarcinoma was the most frequent histologic type, the proportion of female patients was 28.4%, and women had a better prognosis (median survival, not reached vs. 13 months; p < 0.001) than did men for non-small cell lung cancer. The proportion of never-smokers was 36.4%, and never-smoking was more prevalent in women than in men (87.5 vs. 16.0%, p < 0.001). Epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) mutations were found in 36.8% of stage IV adenocarcinoma patients, and higher in female compared to male patients (51.2 vs. 26.6%, p < 0.001). In addition, patients with *EGFR* mutation showed better survival (median survival, 18 months vs. 8 months; p < 0.001) than patients without *EGFR* mutation in these patients.

Conclusion

This is the first survey to gather unbiased nationwide lung cancer statistics in Korea. More than one-third of lung cancer patients had no smoking history. Female had a high proportion of non-smoker, more adenocarcinoma with *EGFR* mutation and generally better prognosis than male.

Key words

Lung neoplasms, Epidemiology, Korea, Never-smokers, Epidermal growth factor receptor

Lung cancer is a leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide, including in South Korea [1]. Although smoking is one of the most important risk factors for lung cancer, the proportion of never-smokers with lung cancer is generally higher in Asian than in Western populations [2,3]. In addition, mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) gene, which could be used as a therapeutic target, are more frequent in Asian than in Western lung cancer patients [4].

The first nationwide lung cancer survey in South Korea, conducted by the Korean Academy of Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases in 1997 [5], found that squamous cell carcinoma was the most common histologic type. In contrast, the second nationwide lung cancer survey, conducted by the Korean Association for Lung Cancer in 2005 [6], found that

 $^{1400\ \}mbox{Copyright} \ \mbox{Copyright} \ \mbox$

This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table 1. Comparison of sex and age category between survey population and sample

	Survey population	Sample	p-value
No. of patients	21,960	2,621	
Male sex	15,500 (70.6)	1,876 (71.6)	0.291
Age category (yr) (total patients)			
< 40	245 (1.1)	29 (1.1)	0.524
40-50	926 (4.2)	121 (4.6)	
50-60	3,416 (15.6)	424 (16.2)	
60-70	5,996 (27.3)	734 (28.0)	
≥70	11,377 (51.8)	1,313 (50.1)	
Age category (yr) (male patient)			
< 40	119 (0.8)	15 (0.8)	0.563
40-50	511 (3.3)	72 (3.8)	
50-60	2,204 (14.2)	283 (15.1)	
60-70	4,487 (29.0)	542 (28.9)	
≥ 70	8,179 (52.8)	964 (51.4)	
Age category (yr) (female patient)			
< 40	126 (2.0)	14 (1.9)	0.617
40-50	415 (6.4)	49 (6.6)	
50-60	1,212 (18.8)	141 (18.9)	
60-70	1,509 (23.4)	192 (25.8)	
≥70	3,198 (49.5)	349 (46.9)	

Values are presented as number (%).

adenocarcinoma was the leading histologic type and that lack of symptoms was associated with favorable outcomes.

Generally, female sex is a favorable prognostic factor for lung cancer [7,8]. However, many of these studies were performed in limited groups of patients with specific conditions, such as non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) alone [9], or in those treated with certain modalities [10].

To build an unbiased and informative lung cancer registry, the Korean Association for Lung Cancer (KALC) developed a registry (KALC-R) in cooperation with the Korean Central Cancer Registry (KCCR), and surveyed about 10% of lung cancer cases. This study investigated epidemiology, clinical characteristics and sex differences in Korean lung cancer patients using data from the KALC-R of the year 2014.

Materials and Methods

1. Study populations and methods

In 2014, the KCCR registered 24,253 patients newly diagnosed with lung cancer. Among these patients, several patients were excluded with KCCR selection criteria (such as excluding patients who enrolled more than one institution), the total survey population was 21,960 patients. The final survey population comprised 13 regional cancer centers and 39 hospitals from which significant number of registrations were made. Sample size in each hospital (cluster) was determined by selection probability based on the number of registration in each hospital. And patients of each hospital were sorted by date of first diagnosis, sex, age, and Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results program (SEER) summary stage. Two thousand six hundred forty patients were selected from each of 52 hospitals using a systematic sampling method [11]. In a process of survey, 19 patients with multiple primary cancer were excluded. In conclusion, 2,621 patients were analyzed in this paper.

A standardized protocol was used to collect data regarding patient age, sex, body mass index (BMI), smoking history, histopathologic tumor type, symptoms, performance status (PS), Eastern Corporative Oncology Group (ECOG) score, clinical stage (according to the seventh edition of the TNM International Staging System), treatment modality, results of molecular tests including *EGFR* mutation and anaplastic lymphoma kinase (*ALK*) translocation, and survival status. We defined ever-smokers those with any history of smoking prior to index lung cancer and never-smokers as those with no smoking history. Information such as BMI and PS was obtained in the initial date of visit at the time of diagnosis. Patients were followed up until December 2017.

Table 2. Baseline characteristics of study patients in the year 2014

	Total	Men	Women	p-value
No. of patients	2,621	1,876 (71.6)	745 (28.4)	
Age (yr)	70 (61-76)	70 (62-76)	68 (58-75)	0.002
Ever-smoker (n=2,580)	1,641 (63.6)	1,549 (84.0)	92 (12.5)	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.9±3.6	22.7±3.5	23.6±3.7	< 0.001
Symptoms				
Asymptomatic	360 (13.7)	239 (12.7)	121 (16.2)	0.019
Cough	922 (35.2)	689 (36.7)	233 (31.3)	0.008
Sputum	564 (21.5)	446 (23.8)	118 (15.8)	< 0.001
Dyspnea	534 (20.4)	380 (20.3)	154 (20.7)	0.812
Hoarseness	46 (1.8)	40 (2.1)	6 (0.8)	0.020
Hemoptysis	157 (6.0)	139 (7.4)	18 (2.4)	< 0.001
Weight loss	168 (6.4)	136 (7.2)	32 (4.3)	0.005
Pain	512 (19.5)	369 (19.7)	143 (19.2)	0.782
Histopathology				
Adenocarcinoma	1,269 (48.4)	712 (38.0)	557 (74.8)	< 0.001
Squamous cell carcinoma	654 (25.0)	597 (31.8)	57 (7.7)	< 0.001
Small cell carcinoma	356 (13.6)	303 (16.2)	53 (7.1)	< 0.001
Others	354 (13.5)	272 (14.5)	82 (11.0)	0.019
Performance status (n=1,873)				
0-1	1,756 (93.8)	1,235 (93.2)	521 (95.1)	0.129
2-4	117 (6.2)	90 (6.8)	27 (4.9)	
Clinical stage of NSCLC (n=2,234)				
Ι	634 (28.4)	369 (23.8)	265 (38.7)	< 0.001
II	190 (8.5)	146 (9.4)	44 (6.4)	
III	405 (18.1)	331 (21.4)	74 (10.8)	
IV	1,005 (45.0)	703 (45.4)	302 (44.1)	
Initial treatment of NSCLC (n=2,265)				
Surgery	851 (37.6)	536 (34.1)	315 (45.5)	< 0.001
Surgery only	563 (66.2)	343 (64.0)	220 (69.8)	
Surgery and adjuvant therapy	288 (33.8)	193 (36.0)	95 (30.2)	
RT only	188 (8.3)	152 (9.7)	36 (5.2)	< 0.001
CCRT	96 (4.2)	78 (5.0)	18 (2.6)	0.010
Chemotherapy	657 (29.0)	456 (29.0)	201 (29.0)	0.978
Best supportive care	289 (12.8)	222 (14.1)	67 (9.7)	0.004
Unknown	184 (8.1)	129 (8.2)	55 (7.9)	0.839
Clinical stage of SCLC (n=350)				
Limited stage	128 (36.6)	109 (36.7)	19 (35.8)	0.906
Extensive stage	222 (63.4)	188 (63.3)	34 (64.2)	
Initial treatment of SCLC (n=356)				
Surgery	10 (2.8)	9 (3.0)	1 (1.9)	1.000
RT only	17 (4.8)	14 (4.6)	3 (5.7)	0.727
CCRT	55 (15.4)	46 (15.2)	9 (17.0)	0.738
Chemotherapy	213 (59.8)	186 (61.4)	27 (50.9)	0.153
Best supportive care	46 (12.9)	36 (11.9)	10 (18.9)	0.162
Unknown	15 (4.2)	12 (4.0)	3 (5.7)	0.570

Values are presented as median (interquartile range), number (%), or mean±standard deviation. BMI, body mass index; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; RT, radiation therapy; CCRT, concurrent chemoradiation therapy; SCLC, small cell lung cancer.

2. Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD) or median (interquartile range [IQR]), and categorical variables as percentages. Continuous variables were compared using a Mann-Whitney U test, and categorical variables using chi-square or Fisher exact test, as appropriate. Risk factors for mortality were analyzed using Cox proportional hazards models. Variables with a p-value of < 0.20 on univariate analysis were used in the multivariate analysis. Survival was analyzed by the Kaplan-Meier method and compared by log-rank tests. All p-values were two-tailed, with statistical significance set at p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS ver. 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

3. Ethical statement

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board at the National Cancer Center (NCC2018-0193), which waived the requirement for informed consent due to the retrospective nature of the study.

Results

1. Patient characteristics

A total of 2,621 lung cancer patients were enrolled at 52 sites in South Korea; there were no differences in sex and age category between survey population and sample (Table 1). Baseline characteristics of enrolled patients are shown in Table 2. The median patient age was 70 years (IQR, 61 to 76 years), 71.6% were men (lower than that in previous studies (79.3% in 1997 and 75.8% in 2005) and men were older than women (median age, 70 years vs. 68 years; p=0.002). Smoking history was significantly more frequent (84.0% vs. 12.5%, p < 0.001) and mean BMI was significantly lower (22.7 kg/m² vs. 23.6 kg/m², p < 0.001) in men than in women. Of all patients, 13.7% were asymptomatic at the time of diagnosis, with men being more likely to have symptoms such as cough, sputum, hoarseness, hemoptysis, and weight loss, than women. In case of histopathology, adenocarcinoma (48.4%) was the leading subtype in total patients. While adenocarcinoma was more common in woman (74.8% vs. 38.0%, p < 0.001) compared to male patients, squamous cell carcinoma and small cell carcinoma were more common in male patients.

Among patients with NSCLC, 28.4% had stage I, 8.5% had stage II, 18.1% had stage III, and 45.0% had stage IV disease.

The proportion of patients with stage I NSCLC was higher in women (38.7%) than in men (23.8%), whereas the proportion of patients with stage IV tumors was similar (44.1% vs. 45.4%). Among patients with NSCLC, 37.6% of patients received surgery as the initial treatment, 29.0% were treated with chemotherapy, 8.3% received radiation therapy, and 12.8% received no specific anti-cancer treatment. Surgery was significantly more frequent in women than in men (45.5% vs. 34.1%, p < 0.001), whereas radiation therapy (5.2% vs. 9.7%, p < 0.001), concurrent chemoradiation therapy (2.6% vs. 5.0%, p=0.010), and best supportive care (9.7% vs. 14.1%, p=0.004) were significantly less frequent in women.

Among patients with small cell lung cancer (SCLC), 36.6% had limited-stage and 63.4% had extensive-stage disease. Almost 60% of SCLC patients were treated with chemotherapy as the initial treatment, 15.4% received concurrent chemoradiation therapy, and 12.9% received no specific anticancer treatment. There were no sex-associated differences in clinical staging and initial treatment modalities in patients with SCLC.

2. Patient characteristics by smoking history

Among 2,580 patients with smoking history, 939 (36.4%) were never-smokers. The comparison of characteristics between ever-smokers and never-smokers is shown in Table 3. The never-smoker group had fewer men (31.5% vs. 94.4%, p < 0.001), and showed higher BMI (23.4 kg/m² vs. 22.6 kg/m², p < 0.001) compared with the ever-smoker group. In addition, the never-smoker group included more asymptomatic patients (16.7% vs. 12.2%, p=0.001), and had better PS than did the ever-smoker group (p=0.045). Among patients with NSCLC, the incidence of stage I disease was higher in never-smokers (36.8%) than in ever-smokers (23.3%), whereas that of stage IV disease was similar (45.5% vs. 44.2%). Among patients with SCLC, never-smokers had more extensive-stage disease than did ever-smokers (75.9% vs. 60.8%, p=0.030).

Clinicopathologic characteristics of patients classified by sex and smoking habits are shown in Table 4. Of the 2,580 patients with available smoking history, 43.3% smoked over 30 pack-years, 20.3% smoked less than 30 pack-years, and 36.4% were never-smokers. The proportions of women in these three groups were 2.8%, 11.6%, and 68.5%, respectively. There were no sex-associated differences in clinicopathologic characteristics of patients who ever-smoked. However, assessments of never-smokers showed that women were younger (median, 68 years vs. 71 years; p=0.003), were more likely to have adenocarcinoma histology (79.9% vs. 56.1%), and had an earlier NSCLC clinical stage than did men.

	Total	Ever-smoker	Never-smoker	p-value
No. of patients	2,580	1,641 (63.6)	939 (36.4)	
Male sex	1,845 (71.5)	1,549 (94.4)	296 (31.5)	< 0.001
Age (yr)	69 (61-76)	70 (62-76)	69 (59-76)	0.055
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.9±3.5	22.6±3.5	23.4±3.6	< 0.001
Symptoms				
Asymptomatic	357 (13.8)	200 (12.2)	157 (16.7)	0.001
Cough	913 (35.4)	630 (38.4)	283 (30.1)	< 0.001
Sputum	557 (21.6)	407 (24.8)	150 (16.0)	< 0.001
Dyspnea	527 (20.4)	358 (21.8)	169 (18.0)	0.021
Hoarseness	46 (1.8)	37 (2.3)	9 (1.0)	0.017
Hemoptysis	156 (6.0)	129 (7.9)	27 (2.9)	< 0.001
Weight loss	167 (6.5)	128 (7.8)	39 (4.2)	< 0.001
Pain	508 (19.7)	326 (19.9)	182 (19.4)	0.766
Performance status (n=1,857)				
0-1	1,743 (93.9)	1,091 (93.0)	652 (95.3)	0.045
2-4	114 (6.1)	82 (7.0)	32 (4.7)	
Clinical stage of NSCLC (n=2,201)				
Ι	631 (28.7)	311 (23.3)	320 (36.8)	< 0.001
II	187 (8.5)	127 (9.5)	60 (6.9)	
III	399 (18.1)	305 (22.9)	94 (10.8)	
IV	984 (44.7)	589 (44.2)	395 (45.5)	
Clinical stage of SCLC (n=344)				
Limited stage	126 (36.6)	112 (39.2)	14 (24.1)	0.030
Extensive stage	218 (63.4)	174 (60.8)	44 (75.9)	

Table 3. Comparison of characteristics between ever-smoker and never-smoker participants

Values are presented as number (%), median (interquartile range), or mean±standard deviation. BMI, body mass index; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; SCLC, small cell lung cancer.

3. Prognostic factor and survival analysis

The 2,265 NSCLC patients were followed up for a median of 17 months (IQR, 5 to 32 months), during which 1,321 patients (58.3%) died. Univariate Cox analysis showed that old age, male sex, ever-smoker status, low BMI, poor PS, higher clinical stage, squamous cell carcinoma histology (compared with adenocarcinoma), and non-surgical therapy or best supportive care (compared with surgery) were significant predictors of mortality. On multivariate Cox analysis, these clinical variables, except for ever-smoker and squamous cell carcinoma histology, remained significant prognostic factors (Table 5). Among NSCLC patients, median survival was significantly longer for women than for men (not reached vs. 13 months, p < 0.001) (Fig. 1A) and survival significantly differed with clinical stage at diagnosis (Fig. 1B).

The 356 SCLC patients were followed up for a median of 8 months (IQR, 2 to 16 months), during which 306 patients (86.0%) died. Univariate and multivariate Cox analyses showed that older age, lower BMI, poor PS, extensive stage, and best supportive care were significant predictors of mor-

tality (Table 6). Although ever-smoker seemed to be a favorable prognostic factor on univariate analysis, ever-smokers were younger and tended to have a more limited stage than did never-smokers (S1 Table). Although median survival was similar in men and women with SCLC (8 months vs. 8 months, p=0.785) (Fig. 1C), survival significantly differed with clinical stage at diagnosis (Fig. 1D).

4. Molecular profile and survival analysis among patients with adenocarcinoma in the year 2014

Of the 571 patients diagnosed with stage IV adenocarcinoma, 339 (59.4%) were men (Table 7). *EGFR* mutations were analyzed in 496 (86.9%) patients, and 182 patients (36.8%) had mutations. Although mutation frequency was significantly higher in women than in men (51.2% vs. 26.6%, p < 0.001), the proportion of mutation-positive men and women treated with a targeted agent did not differ. *ALK* translocation was analyzed in 109 patients and 15 patients (13.8%) showed *ALK* translocation. Although translocation frequency was not different among women and men (15.7% vs.

	Ever-smoker (≥ 30 pack vears, n=1.116, 43.3%)		Ever-smoker (< 30 pack years, n=525, 20.3%)			Never-smoker (n=939, 36.4%)			
	Men	Women	p-value	Men	Women	p-value	Men	Women	p-value
No. of patients	1,085 (97.2)	31 (2.8)		464 (88.4)	61 (11.6)		296 (31.5)	643 (68.5)	
Age (yr)	70 (63-75)	71 (64-82)	0.378	70 (59-76)	68 (58-78)	0.817	71 (63-77)	68 (58-75)	0.003
Age category (yr)									
0-50	19 (1.8)	2 (6.5)	0.027	48 (10.3)	6 (9.8)	0.816	20 (6.8)	55 (8.6)	0.086
50-60	169 (15.6)	3 (9.7)		74 (15.9)	12 (19.7)		38 (12.8)	125 (19.4)	
60-70	342 (31.5)	10 (32.3)		110 (23.7)	13 (21.3)		82 (27.7)	168 (26.1)	
70-80	431 (39.7)	8 (25.8)		167 (36.0)	19 (31.1)		115 (38.9)	221 (34.4)	
≥ 80	124 (11.4)	8 (25.8)		65 (14.0)	11 (18.0)		41 (13.9)	74 (11.5)	
Performance status									
0-1	727 (67.0)	18 (58.1)	0.106	302 (65.1)	44 (72.1)	0.356	194 (65.5)	464 (71.2)	0.172
2-4	51 (4.7)	4 (12.9)		23 (5.0)	4 (6.6)		13 (4.4)	19 (3.0)	
Unknown	306 (28.3)	9 (29.0)		139 (30.0)	13 (21.3)		89 (30.1)	166 (25.8)	
Histology									
Adenocarcinoma	329 (30.3)	7 (22.6)	0.349	204 (44.4)	29 (47.5)	0.109	166 (56.1)	514 (79.9)	< 0.001
Squamous cell carcinoma	387 (35.7)	11 (35.5)		134 (28.9)	16 (26.2)		64 (21.6)	27 (4.2)	
Small cell lung cancer	216 (19.9)	10 (32.3)		54 (11.6)	12 (19.7)		28 (9.5)	30 (4.7)	
Others	153 (14.1)	3 (9.7)		72 (15.5)	4 (6.6)		38 (12.8)	72 (11.2)	
NSCLC stage									
Ι	189 (21.7)	7 (33.3)	0.752	103 (25.1)	12 (24.5)	0.698	75 (28.0)	245 (40.0)	0.006
II	98 (11.3)	2 (9.5)		23 (5.6)	4 (8.2)		22 (8.2)	38 (6.2)	
III	213 (24.5)	4 (19.0)		76 (18.5)	12 (24.5)		36 (13.4)	58 (9.5)	
IV	356 (41.0)	8 (38.1)		204 (49.8)	21 (42.9)		129 (48.1)	266 (43.4)	
Unknown	13 (1.5)	0		4 (1.0)	0		6 (2.2)	6 (1.0)	
SCLC									
Limited	87 (40.3)	4 (40.0)	0.908	14 (25.9)	7 (58.3)	0.085	7 (25.0)	7 (23.3)	0.882
Extensive	125 (57.9)	6 (60.0)		38 (70.4)	5 (41.7)		21 (75.0)	23 (76.7)	
Unknown	4 (1.9)	0		2 (3.7)	0		0	0	

Table 4. Clinicopathologic characteristics of patients according to smoking frequency, stratified by sex (total=2,580)

Values are presented as number (%) or median (interquartile range). NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; SCLC, small cell lung cancer.

12.1%, p=0.584), the proportion treated with a targeted agent was higher in women than in men (75.0% vs. 14.3%, p=0.041).

Patients with stage IV adenocarcinoma were followed up for a median of 10 months (IQR, 3 to 23 months), during which 437 patients (76.5%) died. On univariate Cox analysis, older age, male sex, ever-smoker status, lower BMI, and poor PS were associated with mortality, and target driver mutation (*EGFR* mutation and/or *ALK* translocation) was associated with a favorable outcome. On multivariate Cox analysis, old age, low BMI, poor PS, and target driver mutation remained significant independent predictors of mortality (S2 Table). Women had improved survival (median 15 vs. 8 months, p < 0.001) (Fig. 2A) compared to men in patients with stage IV adenocarcinoma. In addition, patients who harbored *EGFR* mutations showed better prognosis (18 months vs. 8 months, p < 0.001) (Fig. 2B) than did patients without *EGFR* mutations. Among patients with stage IV adenocarcinoma and *EGFR* mutations, patients treated with a target agent showed better prognosis (21 months vs. 13 months, p=0.027) than those who were not treated.

Discussion

Relative to patients of other ethnicities, Asians with lung cancer have generally been reported to have a lower prevalence of smoking and a higher prevalence of tumor-associated *EGFR* mutations; these factors may be responsible for the more favorable prognosis in Asians [12]. Our nationwide survey, showing the clinical characteristics of lung cancer in

	•		, 1,				
	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis			
	Hazard ratio	95% CI	p-value	Hazard ratio	95% CI	p-value	
Age	1.036	1.028-1.043	< 0.001	1.020	1.012-1.028	< 0.001	
Male sex	2.112	1.788-2.494	< 0.001	1.704	1.326-2.182	< 0.001	
Ever-smoker	1.772	1.572-2.056	< 0.001	1.038	0.829-1.298	0.747	
BMI	0.895	0.876-0.915	< 0.001	0.954	0.930-0.979	< 0.001	
Performance status							
0-1 (ref)	1.000			1.000			
2-4	3.166	2.453-4.085	< 0.001	1.410	1.012-1.964	0.042	
Clinical stage							
I (ref)	1.000			1.000			
II	3.539	2.406-5.203	< 0.001	2.494	1.602-3.881	< 0.001	
III	7.946	5.883-10.734	< 0.001	4.141	2.854-6.007	< 0.001	
IV	13.634	10.330-17.994	< 0.001	6.972	4.861-9.999	< 0.001	
Histology							
Adenocarcinoma (ref)	1.000			1.000			
Squamous cell carcinoma	1.833	1.575-2.133	< 0.001	1.187	0.994-1.430	0.053	
Treatment							
Surgery (ref)	1.000			1.000			
Non-surgical treatment	6.516	5.364-7.916	< 0.001	2.214	1.711-2.864	< 0.001	
Best supportive care	15.733	12.044-20.552	< 0.001	4.954	3.495-7.021	< 0.001	

Table 5. Risk factors for mortality in patients with NSCLC assessed by Cox proportional hazards model

NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index.

South Korea, yielded results comparable to those in other studies of Asian patients. In contrast to prior nationwide surveys in Korea, which was conducted by academic societies, this is the first unbiased statistic data of Korean nationwide lung cancer survey.

Unbiased and reliable epidemiological data are important to identify time trends in diseases and to establish government policy. Although two previous nationwide surveys were performed in South Korea, potential selection bias could not be ruled out during registration of cases at the individual hospital level. Since all patients with confirmed disease codes of C34 are registered in the KCCR, KCCR data have the advantage of including nearly all lung cancer patients without selection bias. The KALC and KCCR have formulated a lung cancer-specific registration system (KALC-R), which has about 80 data fields for demographic data, symptoms, smoking history, histological findings, staging data, molecular study results, and initial treatment details [13]. Recently, our group reported on a pilot survey of 489 patients diagnosed with lung cancer in 2013 [14]; the demographic characteristics were comparable with those from other studies based on the nationwide registry [15,16]. In the current study, among 24,253 newly diagnosed lung cancer patients, about 10% were surveyed from 52 hospitals by a systematic sampling method, which helped eliminate potential bias in sample selection.

The epidemiology of lung cancer in South Korea have changed over time, major recent changes in epidemiology are summarized in S3 Fig. Compared to previous registrybased studies, the incidence of adenocarcinoma increased over the study period in both men and women. In 1997, although the most frequent histological type was squamous cell carcinoma (44.7%), adenocarcinoma incidence has increased over time, and has been the most incident histologic type since 2005. This observation may be explained by changes in cigarette components as well as by risk factors not related to smoking such as occupational risk and air pollution [17]. In addition to changes in histologic type prevalence, the development of screening methods such as low-dose chest computed tomography have led to an increased incidence of asymptomatic, early, and peripherally located cancers [18]. Thus, percutaneous lung biopsy has been most commonly performed for tissue confirmation. Additionally, the frequent use of positron emission tomography with computed tomography (PET-CT) has resulted in increased proportions of patients with stage IV lung cancer. Moreover, the use of PET-CT has made evaluation and characterization of the mediastinal lymph node more feasible; the endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration has gained popularity and is an important diagnostic tool, especially in clinically evident N2 NSCLC [19].

Although smoking is one of the most important causes of



Fig. 1. Overall survival in patients with non-small cell lung cancer, according to sex (A) and clinical stage (B). Overall survival in patients with small cell lung cancer, according to sex (C) and clinical stage (D).

	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis			
	Hazard ratio	95% CI	p-value	Hazard ratio	95% CI	p-value
Age	1.042	1.028-1.057	< 0.001	1.036	1.019-1.054	< 0.001
Male sex	1.045	0.754-1.448	0.793			
Ever-smoker	0.705	0.518-0.959	0.026	0.867	0.588-1.280	0.473
BMI	0.910	0.877-0.945	< 0.001	0.938	0.901-0.977	0.002
Performance status						
0-1 (ref)	1.000			1.000		
2-4	3.106	1.947-4.955	< 0.001	2.436	1.379-4.305	0.002
Clinical stage						
Limited (ref)	1.000			1.000		
Extensive	2.262	1.762-2.903	< 0.001	2.331	1.719-3.160	< 0.001
Treatment						
Anti-cancer treatment (ref)	1.000			1.000		
Best supportive care	4.925	3.514-6.904	< 0.001	2.173	1.320-3.576	0.002

Table 6. Risk factors for mortality in patients with small cell lung cancer assessed using Cox proportional hazards models

CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index.

Table 7. Characteristics of patients with stage IV adenocarcinoma

	Total	Men	Women	p-value
No. of patients	571	339 (59.4)	232 (40.6)	
Age (yr)	68 (58-76)	67 (58-74)	70 (57-76)	0.168
Ever-smoker (n=561)	272 (48.5)	255 (76.3)	17 (7.5)	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.6±3.3	22.4±3.3	22.9±3.3	0.060
Performance status (n=414)				
0-1	386 (93.2)	227 (92.3)	159 (94.6)	0.346
2-4	28 (6.8)	19 (7.7)	9 (5.4)	
EGFR mutation status (n=496)				
Positive	182 (36.8)	77 (26.6)	105 (51.2)	< 0.001
Negative	313 (63.2)	213 (73.4)	100 (48.8)	
EGFR target agent usage				
Yes	122 (67.0)	48 (62.3)	74 (70.5)	0.249
No	60 (33.0)	29 (37.7)	31 (29.5)	
ALK translocation status (n=109)				
Positive	15 (13.8)	7 (12.1)	8 (15.7)	0.584
Negative	94 (86.2)	51 (87.9)	43 (84.3)	
ALK target agent usage				
Yes	7 (46.7)	1 (14.3)	6 (75.0)	0.041
No	8 (53.3)	6 (85.7)	2 (25.0)	

Values are presented as median (interquartile range), number (%), or mean±standard deviation. BMI, body mass index; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase.



Fig. 2. Overall survival in patients with stage IV adenocarcinoma, according to sex (A) and epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) mutation (B).

lung cancer, the prevalence of cigarette smoking is decreasing in Korea, according to the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey [20]. In addition, the magnitude of the effect of smoking on lung cancer is smaller in Asian than in Western populations, a phenomenon termed the smoking paradox [21]. Asians are generally older at smoking initiation than are Westerners, and prefer less toxic or filtered tobacco. In contrast, the incidence of lung cancer among never-smokers is higher in Asian populations [2]. Genetic differences such as higher *EGFR* gene mutation rates in Asian populations and poor ventilation combined with the use of coal may explain these findings [22]. Indeed, about 87.5% of women patients in this survey had no smoking history. Additional studies are required to determine the potential risk factors for lung cancer in never-smokers.

Compared with previous registry-based studies, the incidence of lung cancer in women was higher in this study. Among patients with NSCLC, women had more favorable outcomes than did men, in agreement with previous studies showing better survival outcomes in women than in men with lung cancer [9,23]. Generally, women are diagnosed with lung cancer at a younger age and include a higher percentage of non-smokers, and both these factors are associated with more favorable outcomes. These differences may partly be due to differences in genetic background and hormonal status [24], which affect susceptibility to carcinogens. In addition, because women are more likely to have adenocarcinoma primarily located peripherally [25], the impact of early diagnosis on survival may be greater in women than in men. EGFR mutations are more frequent in women than in men [26], which may contribute to survival advantages. Treatment with targeted agents has been associated with favorable outcomes in patients positive for EGFR mutations and may contribute to the sex differences in survival [27]. However, sex was not associated with survival in patients with SCLC, in contrast to findings from studies in Western populations [28,29]. Among the 356 SCLC patients, only 53 (14.9%) were women. Although sex was not a prognostic factor with statistical significance in this small group of SCLC patients, additional studies in larger populations are needed to confirm this finding.

Among patients with stage IV adenocarcinoma and tested for EGFR mutation, 36.8% were positive for EGFR mutations. EGFR mutations were more common in women than in men, similar to the findings of previous studies in Asian populations [4]. Generally, compared to Western populations, Asians show higher EGFR mutation rates; this may contribute to the more favorable clinical outcomes observed in Asians [30]. The present study found that target driver mutations including EGFR mutations were significant prognostic factors, even after adjusting for other clinical variables. Although EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors have shown efficacy [27], EGFR mutation tests were not performed in all of our patients, though it is possible that test data were not provided during this survey for some cases. However, physicians should be aware of the need to test for EGFR mutations in all patients with advanced adenocarcinoma.

This study had several limitations. First, the retrospective study design resulted in an unavoidable deficiency of information such as second-hand smoking status and risk factors other than smoking. However, the strength of the study lies in the unbiased sampling method used to sample a representative population of patients with lung cancer. Second, disease-free survival and progression-free survival rates, as well as complete treatment modalities, could not be analyzed. However, overall survival rate is an acceptable, clear-cut, and important primary outcome. Finally, although the number of patients in this study was relatively large, it was not adequate to perform meaningful comparisons within subgroups with specific conditions. However, we believe that the present study will help in understanding the current trends in the epidemiology of lung cancer and may serve as a reference for future studies.

In conclusion, the evaluation of this unbiased nationwide survey dataset revealed female had a high proportion of nonsmoker, more adenocarcinoma with *EGFR* mutation and generally better prognosis than male. And more than onethird of lung cancer patients had no smoking history. The results of this study show that the development of more effective early lung cancer screening and health insurance programs for lung cancer are indicated.

Electronic Supplementary Material

Supplementary materials are available at Cancer Research and Treatment website (https://www.e-crt.org).

Conflicts of Interest

Conflict of interest relevant to this article was not reported.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Health Promotion Fund, Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (1660680). The data used for this study were provided by the KALC and the Ministry of Health and Welfare, KCCR.

Author Details

Departments of ¹Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine and ²Oncology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, 3Department of Internal Medicine, Daegu Catholic University School of Medicine, Daegu, 4Department of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery, St. Vincent's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Suwon, 5Center for Lung Cancer, National Cancer Center, Goyang, 'Division of Pulmonology, Department of Internal Medicine, Chungnam National University, Daejeon, 7Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, 8Division of Pulmonology, Department of Internal Medicine, The Cancer Research Institute, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, 9Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Pusan National University Hospital, Busan, ¹⁰Department of Pathology, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangju, 11Department of Cancer Control and Population Health, National Cancer Center Graduate School of Cancer Science and Policy, Goyang, ¹²Cancer Registration and Statistics Branch, National Cancer Center, Goyang, 13Department of Internal Medicine, Chonnam National University Hwasun Hospital, Hwasun, 14Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangju, Korea

References

- 1. Jung KW, Won YJ, Kong HJ, Lee ES; Community of Population-Based Regional Cancer Registries. Cancer statistics in Korea: incidence, mortality, survival, and prevalence in 2015. Cancer Res Treat. 2018;50:303-16.
- 2. Cho J, Choi SM, Lee J, Lee CH, Lee SM, Kim DW, et al. Proportion and clinical features of never-smokers with non-small cell lung cancer. Chin J Cancer. 2017;36:20.
- 3. Wakelee HA, Chang ET, Gomez SL, Keegan TH, Feskanich D, Clarke CA, et al. Lung cancer incidence in never smokers. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25:472-8.
- 4. Yatabe Y, Kerr KM, Utomo A, Rajadurai P, Tran VK, Du X, et al. EGFR mutation testing practices within the Asia Pacific region: results of a multicenter diagnostic survey. J Thorac Oncol. 2015;10:438-45.
- 5. Lee C, Kang KH, Koh Y, Chang J, Chung HS, Park SK, et al. Characteristics of lung cancer in Korea, 1997. Lung Cancer. 2000;30:15-22.
- 6. In KH, Kwon YS, Oh IJ, Kim KS, Jung MH, Lee KH, et al. Lung cancer patients who are asymptomatic at diagnosis show favorable prognosis: a Korean Lung Cancer Registry Study. Lung Cancer. 2009;64:232-7.
- Ferguson MK, Skosey C, Hoffman PC, Golomb HM. Sex-associated differences in presentation and survival in patients with lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 1990;8:1402-7.
- Visbal AL, Williams BA, Nichols FC 3rd, Marks RS, Jett JR, Aubry MC, et al. Gender differences in non-small-cell lung cancer survival: an analysis of 4,618 patients diagnosed between 1997 and 2002. Ann Thorac Surg. 2004;78:209-15.
- 9. Sakurai H, Asamura H, Goya T, Eguchi K, Nakanishi Y, Sawabata N, et al. Survival differences by gender for resected nonsmall cell lung cancer: a retrospective analysis of 12,509 cases in a Japanese Lung Cancer Registry study. J Thorac Oncol. 2010;5:1594-601.
- Minami H, Yoshimura M, Miyamoto Y, Matsuoka H, Tsubota N. Lung cancer in women: sex-associated differences in survival of patients undergoing resection for lung cancer. Chest. 2000;118:1603-9.
- 11. Black K. Business statistics for contemporary decision making. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons Inc.; 2004.
- 12. Calvo E, Baselga J. Ethnic differences in response to epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24:2158-63.
- 13. Kim YC, Won YJ. The development of the Korean Lung Cancer Registry (KALC-R). Tuberc Respir Dis. 2019;82:91-3.
- 14. Kim HC, Jung CY, Cho DG, Jeon JH, Lee JE, Ahn JS, et al. Clinical characteristics and prognostic factors of lung cancer in Korea: a pilot study of data from the Korean Nationwide Lung Cancer Registry. Tuberc Respir Dis. 2019;82:118-25.
- Morgensztern D, Ng SH, Gao F, Govindan R. Trends in stage distribution for patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a National Cancer Database survey. J Thorac Oncol. 2010;5:29-33.
- 16. Cheng TY, Cramb SM, Baade PD, Youlden DR, Nwogu C, Reid ME. The international epidemiology of lung cancer: latest trends, disparities, and tumor characteristics. J Thorac Oncol.

2016;11:1653-71.

- 17. Samet JM, Avila-Tang E, Boffetta P, Hannan LM, Olivo-Marston S, Thun MJ, et al. Lung cancer in never smokers: clinical epidemiology and environmental risk factors. Clin Cancer Res. 2009;15:5626-45.
- National Lung Screening Trial Research Team, Church TR, Black WC, Aberle DR, Berg CD, Clingan KL, et al. Results of initial low-dose computed tomographic screening for lung cancer. N Engl J Med. 2013;368:1980-91.
- Yoon HY, Lee JC, Kim SW, Kim HR, Kim YH, Choi SH, et al. Prognosis of multi-level N2-positive non-small cell lung cancer according to lymph node staging using endobronchial ultrasound-transbronchial biopsy. Thorac Cancer. 2018;9:684-92.
- 20. Holland AE, Spruit MA, Troosters T, Puhan MA, Pepin V, Saey D, et al. An official European Respiratory Society / American Thoracic Society technical standard: field walking tests in chronic respiratory disease. Eur Respir J. 2014;44:1428-46.
- 21. Jung KJ, Jeon C, Jee SH. The effect of smoking on lung cancer: ethnic differences and the smoking paradox. Epidemiol Health. 2016;38:e2016060.
- 22. Saito M, Shiraishi K, Kunitoh H, Takenoshita S, Yokota J, Kohno T. Gene aberrations for precision medicine against lung adenocarcinoma. Cancer Sci. 2016;107:713-20.
- 23. Pauk N, Kubik A, Zatloukal P, Krepela E. Lung cancer in women. Lung Cancer. 2005;48:1-9.
- 24. Shriver SP, Bourdeau HA, Gubish CT, Tirpak DL, Davis AL, Luketich JD, et al. Sex-specific expression of gastrin-releasing peptide receptor: relationship to smoking history and risk of lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2000;92:24-33.
- 25. Sone S, Takashima S, Li F, Yang Z, Honda T, Maruyama Y, et al. Mass screening for lung cancer with mobile spiral computed tomography scanner. Lancet. 1998;351:1242-5.
- 26. Shigematsu H, Lin L, Takahashi T, Nomura M, Suzuki M, Wistuba II, et al. Clinical and biological features associated with epidermal growth factor receptor gene mutations in lung cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2005;97:339-46.
- 27. Takano T, Fukui T, Ohe Y, Tsuta K, Yamamoto S, Nokihara H, et al. EGFR mutations predict survival benefit from gefitinib in patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma: a historical comparison of patients treated before and after gefitinib approval in Japan. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26:5589-95.
- 28. Johnson BE, Steinberg SM, Phelps R, Edison M, Veach SR, Ihde DC. Female patients with small cell lung cancer live longer than male patients. Am J Med. 1988;85:194-6.
- 29. Osterlind K, Andersen PK. Prognostic factors in small cell lung cancer: multivariate model based on 778 patients treated with chemotherapy with or without irradiation. Cancer Res. 1986; 46:4189-94.
- 30. Ahn MJ, Lee J, Park YH, Ahn JS, Ziogas A, Zell JA, et al. Korean ethnicity as compared with white ethnicity is an independent favorable prognostic factor for overall survival in non-small cell lung cancer before and after the oral epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor era. J Thorac Oncol. 2010;5:1185-96.