Association of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) Gene Polymorphisms With Gastric Cancer and Its Development, Prognosis, and Survival

Technology in Cancer Research & Treatment Volume 17: 1–8 © The Author(s) 2018 Reprints and permission: sagepub.com/journals/Permissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/1533034617753810 journals.sagepub.com/home/tct



Weimin Liu, MD¹, Zhiyong Dong, MD¹, Ruixiang Hu, MD¹, and Cunchuan Wang, MD, PhD¹

Abstract

The relationship between vascular endothelial growth factor gene polymorphism and gastric cancer risk and its development, prognosis, and survival are still being debated. This meta-analysis was performed to assess these relationships. The association reports were identified from PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and CBM-disc (China Biological Medicine Database), and eligible studies were included and calculated using the meta-analysis method. *VEGF*+936C/T, *VEGF*+405 G>C, *VEGF*-460 T>C, *VEGF*-1498 T>C, and *VEGF*-2578 C>A gene polymorphisms were found to be unassociated with gastric cancer risk for the overall population in this meta-analysis, whereas the *VEGF*-634 G>C GG genotype was associated with gastric cancer risk in the overall population. Furthermore, *VEGF*-634 G>C C allele and the GG genotype were associated with gastric cancer risk in Caucasians, and *VEGF*+1612G/A gene polymorphism was associated with gastric cancer risk for the stage of cancer, lymph node metastasis, Lauren classification, or survival of gastric cancer. However, *VEGF*+936C/T T allele and TT genotype were associated with the tumor size of gastric cancer. In conclusion, the *VEGF*-634 G>C GG genotype was associated with the verall population with the *VEGF*-634 G>C C allele and *TT* genotype were associated with the stage of genotype were associated with the tumor size of gastric cancer. In conclusion, the *VEGF*-634 G>C GG genotype was associated with gastric cancer risk in the overall population with the *VEGF*-634 G>C C allele and *TT* genotype were associated with the stage of genotype were associated with the stage of gastric cancer risk in the overall population with the *VEGF*-634 G>C C allele and *TT* genotype were associated with the tumor size of gastric cancer. In conclusion, the *VEGF*-634 G>C GG genotype was associated with gastric cancer risk in the overall population with the *VEGF*-634 G>C C allele and GG genotype being associated with risk in Caucasians and *VEGF*+1612G/A in the Asian population.

Keywords

gastric cancer, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), gene polymorphism, meta-analysis

Abbreviations

Cl, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

Received: July 14, 2016; Revised: October 31, 2017; Accepted: November 30, 2017.

Introduction

Gastric cancer is one of the most common diagnosed cancers and cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide.¹⁻³ It is a heterogeneous disease with diverse histological and molecular subtypes.² Although there have been vital improvements in diagnostic and therapeutic techniques for gastric cancer, prognosis for patients remains poor.³ Developing a suitable indicator for early diagnosis of gastric cancer and to predict the development, prognosis, and survival of the disease are urgently needed.

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a potent endothelial cell mitogen, regulates vasculogenesis and postnatal vascular remodeling, and its expression is upregulated under a variety of pathophysiological conditions.⁴ Vascular endothelial growth factor is known as a lymphangiogenic growth factor and plays an important role in tumor lymphangiogenesis via activation of the VEGF receptor.⁵ Present data indicate that VEGF gene polymorphisms were associated with

¹ Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou, China

Corresponding Author:

Cunchuan Wang, Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, No. 613 Huangpu Avenue West, Guangzhou 510630, China.

Emails: cunchuanwang@163.com or twcc2015@163.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

GC Control First Author, Year Country/District Ethnicity Control Source TT CT CC Total TT CT CC Total Chae¹² 122 Korea Population based 8 283 413 12 149 252 413 Asian Tzanakis¹³ Population based 26 33 41 100 22 27 51 100 Greece Caucasian Bae¹⁴ Population based 7 58 89 154 3 57 169 229 Korea Asian Ke¹⁵ Population based 15 152 373 540 11 164 386 China Asian 561 Guan¹⁶ USA Caucasian Population based 3 41 127 171 2 20 78 100 Tahara¹⁷ 11 385 19 140 300 459 Japan Asian Hospital based 118 256 Al-Moundhri¹⁸ 19 130 Oman Asian Population based 2 109 0 20 110 130 Zhou¹⁹ 8 45 49 China Asian Population based 97 150 7 94 150

 Table 1. General Characteristics of the Included Studies in This Meta-Analysis for Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) +936C/T Gene

 Polymorphism With Gastric Cancer Risk.

the risk of cancers, such as bladder cancer,⁶ papillary thyroid carcinoma,⁷ lung cancer,⁸ hepatocellular carcinoma,⁹ and renal cell carcinoma.¹⁰ The VEGF pathway also plays a prominent role in the growth and progression of human cancer, including gastric cancer.¹¹

Current evidence shows that VEGF plays a role in the pathogenesis of gastric cancer. This meta-analysis was performed to assess the relationship between *VEGF* gene polymorphism and gastric cancer risk and its development, prognosis, and survival.

Materials and Methods

Search Strategy

The relevant studies were searched and included from the databases of PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and CBM-disc (China Biological Medicine Database) on June 1, 2016. The retrieval strategy of "(vascular endothelial growth factor OR VEGF) AND (polymorphism OR polymorphisms OR genotype OR genotypes OR allele OR alleles) AND (gastric cancer OR gastric carcinoma)" was entered into the above-mentioned databases.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) the outcome must be gastric cancer; (2) the study included 2 comparison groups (gastric cancer group vs control group); and (3) the report should present the data of *VEGF* genotype distribution.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) case reports, review articles, and editorials; (2) preliminary results were not on VEGF gene polymorphism or gastric cancer; (3) investigating the role VEGF gene expression as to gastric cancer; and (4) If multiple publications from the same study group were published, we only included the study with the largest sample size in our final analysis.

Data Extraction

The following information from each eligible investigation was extracted by 2 investigators independently: first author's

surname, year of publication, ethnicity, control source of the control group, and the number of cases and controls for *VEGF* genotypes. Frequencies of allele for VEGF were calculated for the case and control group. If disagreements occurred, the results were to be resolved by discussion.

Statistical Analysis

Cochrane Review Manager version 5 (Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014) was used in this meta-analysis to calculate the extracted data from each report. The pooled statistic was counted using the fixedeffects model. However, a random-effects model was conducted when the *P* value of heterogeneity test was less than .1. Results were expressed using odds ratios (ORs) for dichotomous data, and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were also calculated. P < .05 was required for the pooled OR to be statistically significant, and I^2 was used to test the heterogeneity among the included studies. Sensitivity analysis was also performed according to the source of the controls (population vs hospital).

Results

Association of VEGF+936C/T Gene Polymorphism With Gastric Cancer Risk

Eight studies¹²⁻¹⁹ were evaluated for the relationship between *VEGF*+936C/T gene polymorphism and gastric cancer risk and included in this meta-analysis. We found that *VEGF*+936C/T gene polymorphism was not associated with gastric cancer risk in the overall population (T allele: OR = 1.08, 95% CI: 0.88-1.32, P = .45; TT genotype: OR = 1.12, 95% CI: 0.80-1.55, P = .51; CC genotype: OR = 0.93, 95% CI: 0.74-1.17, P = .52; Figure 1 and Table 2).

In the subgroup analysis organized by ethnicity, the metaanalysis indicated that *VEGF*+936C/T gene polymorphism was not associated with gastric cancer risk in the Asian and Caucasian population (Table 2).

Sensitivity analysis was also performed according to the source of the controls (population based vs hospital based). The results from this sensitivity analysis for health were similar with

Tzanakis 2006 85 200 71 200 11.5% 1.34 [0.90, 2.01] 2006 Chae 2006 138 826 173 826 16.1% 0.76 [0.59, 0.97] 2006 Ke 2008 182 1080 186 1122 16.8% 1.02 [0.82, 1.28] 2008 Bae 2008 72 308 63 458 12.2% 1.91 [1.32, 2.78] 2008 Al-Moundhri 2009 23 260 20 260 6.9% 1.16 [0.62, 2.18] 2009 Tahara 2009 140 770 178 918 16.1% 0.92 [0.72, 1.18] 2009 Guan 2009 47 342 24 200 8.7% 1.17 [0.69, 1.98] 2009 Zhou 2011 61 300 63 300 11.7% 0.96 [0.65, 1.43] 2011		Cas	е	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Chae 2006 138 826 173 826 16.1% 0.76 [0.59, 0.97] 2006 • Ke 2008 182 1080 186 1122 16.8% 1.02 [0.82, 1.28] 2008 Bae 2008 72 308 63 458 12.2% 1.91 [1.32, 2.78] 2008 • Al-Moundhri 2009 23 260 20 260 6.9% 1.16 [0.62, 2.18] 2009 • Tahara 2009 140 770 178 918 16.1% 0.92 [0.72, 1.18] 2009 • Guan 2009 47 342 24 200 8.7% 1.17 [0.69, 1.98] 2009 • Zhou 2011 61 300 63 300 11.7% 0.96 [0.65, 1.43] 2011 • Total (95% Cl) 4086 4284 100.0% 1.08 [0.88, 1.32] • •	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Ke 2008 182 1080 186 1122 16.8% 1.02 [0.82, 1.28] 2008 Bae 2008 72 308 63 458 12.2% 1.91 [1.32, 2.78] 2008 Al-Moundhri 2009 23 260 20 260 6.9% 1.16 [0.62, 2.18] 2009 Tahara 2009 140 770 178 918 16.1% 0.92 [0.72, 1.18] 2009 Guan 2009 47 342 24 200 8.7% 1.17 [0.69, 1.98] 2009 Zhou 2011 61 300 63 300 11.7% 0.96 [0.65, 1.43] 2011	Tzanakis 2006	85	200	71	200	11.5%	1.34 [0.90, 2.01]	2006	-
Bae 2008 72 308 63 458 12.2% 1.91 [1.32, 2.78] 2008 Al-Moundhri 2009 23 260 20 260 6.9% 1.16 [0.62, 2.18] 2009 Tahara 2009 140 770 178 918 16.1% 0.92 [0.72, 1.18] 2009 Guan 2009 47 342 24 200 8.7% 1.17 [0.69, 1.98] 2009 Zhou 2011 61 300 63 300 11.7% 0.96 [0.65, 1.43] 2011	Chae 2006	138	826	173	826	16.1%	0.76 [0.59, 0.97]	2006	-
Bale 2008 72 308 63 458 12.2% 1.91 [1.32, 2.78] 2008 Al-Moundhri 2009 23 260 20 260 6.9% 1.16 [0.62, 2.18] 2009 Tahara 2009 140 770 178 918 16.1% 0.92 [0.72, 1.18] 2009 Guan 2009 47 342 24 200 8.7% 1.17 [0.69, 1.98] 2009 Zhou 2011 61 300 63 300 11.7% 0.96 [0.65, 1.43] 2011 Total (95% Cl) 4086 4284 100.0% 1.08 [0.88, 1.32] 4	Ke 2008	182	1080	186	1122	16.8%	1.02 [0.82, 1.28]	2008	+
Tahara 2009 140 770 178 918 16.1% 0.92 [0.72, 1.18] 2009 - Guan 2009 47 342 24 200 8.7% 1.17 [0.69, 1.98] 2009 - Zhou 2011 61 300 63 300 11.7% 0.96 [0.65, 1.43] 2011 - Total (95% Cl) 4086 4284 100.0% 1.08 [0.88, 1.32] - -	Bae 2008	72	308	63	458	12.2%	1.91 [1.32, 2.78]	2008	-
Guan 2009 47 342 24 200 8.7% 1.17 [0.69, 1.98] 2009 Zhou 2011 61 300 63 300 11.7% 0.96 [0.65, 1.43] 2011 Total (95% CI) 4086 4284 100.0% 1.08 [0.88, 1.32] Image: state	Al-Moundhri 2009	23	260	20	260	6.9%	1.16 [0.62, 2.18]	2009	
Zhou 2011 61 300 63 300 11.7% 0.96 [0.65, 1.43] 2011 - Total (95% CI) 4086 4284 100.0% 1.08 [0.88, 1.32] •	Tahara 2009	140	770	178	918	16.1%	0.92 [0.72, 1.18]	2009	+
Total (95% CI) 4086 4284 100.0% 1.08 [0.88, 1.32]	Guan 2009	47	342	24	200	8.7%	1.17 [0.69, 1.98]	2009	
	Zhou 2011	61	300	63	300	11.7%	0.96 [0.65, 1.43]	2011	+
Total events 748 778	Total (95% CI)		4086		4284	100.0%	1.08 [0.88, 1.32]		•
	Total events	748		778					

TT vs CT+CC

	Cas	Case Control Odds Ratio						Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Tzanakis 2006	26	100	22	100	24.2%	1.25 [0.65, 2.39]	2006	
Chae 2006	8	413	12	413	17.5%	0.66 [0.27, 1.63]	2006	
Bae 2008	7	154	3	229	3.4%	3.59 [0.91, 14.09]	2008	
Ke 2008	15	540	11	561	15.6%	1.43 [0.65, 3.14]	2008	
Tahara 2009	11	385	19	459	25.0%	0.68 [0.32, 1.45]	2009	
Guan 2009	3	171	2	100	3.7%	0.88 [0.14, 5.33]	2009	
Al-Moundhri 2009	2	130	0	130	0.7%	5.08 [0.24, 106.80]	2009	
Zhou 2011	8	150	7	150	9.8%	1.15 [0.41, 3.26]	2011	
Total (95% CI)		2043		2142	100.0%	1.12 [0.80, 1.55]		•
Total events	80		76					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	7.24, df=	7 (P =	0.40); l ^z =	= 3%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.65 ((P = 0.5	i1)					Favours Case Favours control

CC vs CT+TT

Case			Contr	ol		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Chae 2006	283	413	252	413	16.4%	1.39 [1.04, 1.85]	2006	-
Tzanakis 2006	41	100	51	100	9.5%	0.67 [0.38, 1.17]	2006	
Bae 2008	89	154	169	229	12.3%	0.49 [0.31, 0.75]	2008	
Ke 2008	373	540	386	561	17.3%	1.01 [0.78, 1.31]	2008	+
Guan 2009	127	171	78	100	9.1%	0.81 [0.45, 1.46]	2009	
Tahara 2009	256	385	300	459	16.4%	1.05 [0.79, 1.40]	2009	+
Al-Moundhri 2009	109	130	110	130	7.7%	0.94 [0.48, 1.84]	2009	
Zhou 2011	97	150	94	150	11.4%	1.09 [0.68, 1.75]	2011	+
Total (95% CI)		2043		2142	100.0%	0.93 [0.74, 1.17]		•
Total events	1375		1440					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.06; Ch	i ^z = 18.	40, df = 7	(P = 0.	01); l² = 62	2%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.65	(P = 0.5	52)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours case Favours control

Figure 1. Association between *VEGF*+936C/T gene polymorphism and gastric cancer risk (overall populations). VEGF indicates vascular endothelial growth factor.

Genetic Contrasts	Group and Subgroups	Studies Number	Q Test P Value	Model Selected	OR (95% CI)	Р
VEGF+936C/T						
T vs C	Overall	8	.007	Random	1.08(0.88-1.32)	.45
	Asian	6	.004	Random	1.04(0.82,1.32)	.73
	Caucasian	2	.68	Fixed	1.27(0.93,1.76)	.14
TT vs CT+CC	Overall	8	.40	Fixed	1.12(0.80,1.55)	.51
	Asian	6	.22	Fixed	1.08(0.73,1.60)	.68
	Caucasian	2	.72	Fixed	1.20(0.65,2.21)	.57
CC vs CT+TT	Overall	8	.01	Random	0.93(0.74,1.17)	.52
	Asian	6	.007	Random	0.98(0.75,1.27)	.85
	Caucasian	2	.63	Fixed	0.73(0.49,1.10)	.13
Q : 4:: : 1: -				rixeu	0.75(0.49,1.10)	.15
T vs C	according to the controls so Overall	7	.005	Random	1 12(0 99 1 42)	25
		•			1.12(0.88,1.43)	.35
TT vs CT+CC	Overall	7	.51	Random	1.26(0.87,1.82)	.22
CC vs CT+TT	Overall	7	.006	Random	0.90(0.68,1.19)	.45
Sensitivity analysis	according the controls sour	ce from hospital base	d			
T vs C	Overall	1	-	Fixed	0.92(0.72,1.18)	.53
TT vs CT+CC	Overall	1	—	Fixed	0.68(0.32,1.45)	.32
CC vs CT+TT VEGF-634 G>C	Overall	1	-	Fixed	1.05(0.79,1.40)	.73
C vs G	Overall	4	.31	Fixed	1.12(0.98,1.27)	.11
	Asian	2	.80	Fixed	1.05(0.91,1.23)	.49
	Caucasian	2	.26	Fixed	1.34(1.02,1.76)	.04
CC vs CG+GG	Overall	4	.21	Fixed	1.02(0.81,1.30)	.84
	Asian	2	.94	Fixed	0.98(0.74,1.29)	.87
	Caucasian	2	.04	Random	1.32(0.46,3.83)	.60
GG vs CG+CC	Overall	4	.56	Fixed		.00
	Asian	2	.70	Fixed	0.81(0.67,0.98)	
	Caucasian	2	.97	Fixed	0.88(0.70,1.10) 0.65(0.45,0.94)	.25 .02
a		_		TIXCu	0.05(0.45,0.94)	.02
	according to the controls so			D' 1	1 12(0 00 1 07)	1.1
C vs G	Overall	4	.31	Fixed	1.12(0.98,1.27)	.11
CC vs CG+GG	Overall	4	.21	Fixed	1.02(0.81,1.30)	.84
GG vs CG+CC	Overall	4	.56	Fixed	0.81(0.67,0.98)	.03
VEGF+405 G>C						
C vs G	Asian	2	.0005	Random	0.97(0.48,1.99)	.94
CC vs CG+GG	Asian	2	.0001	Random	0.71(0.14,3.66)	.69
GG vs CG+CC	Asian	2	.91	Fixed	0.96(0.49,1.88)	.91
VEGF-460 T>C						
C vs T	Asian	2	.80	Fixed	0.95(0.79,1.14)	.57
CC vs CT+TT	Asian	2	.05	Random	0.54(0.20,1.41)	.21
TT vs CT+CC	Asian	2	1.00	Fixed	0.93(0.73,1.19)	.58
VEGF-1498 T>C						
C vs T	Overall	2	.95	Fixed	1.00(0.86,1.17)	.99
CC vs CT+TT	Overall	2	.71	Fixed	0.95(0.69,1.31)	.76
TT vs CT+CC	Overall	2	.76	Fixed	0.98(0.80,1.20)	.83
VEGF+1612G/A						
A vs G	Asian	2	.83	Fixed	1.61(1.27,2.04)	<.0001
AA vs AG+GG	Asian	2	.98	Fixed	6.22(1.96,19.77)	.002
GG vs AG+AA	Asian	2	.40	Fixed	0.64(0.49,0.83)	.0008
VEGF-2578 C>A						
A vs C	Overall	2	.22	Fixed	0.96(0.81,1.14)	.65
11 15 0						
AA vs CA+CC	Overall	2	.44	Fixed	1.09(0.73, 1.62)	.68

Table 2. Meta-Analysis of the Association of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) Gene Polymorphism With Gastric Cancer Risk.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; GC, gastric cancer .

those from the nonsensitivity analysis, and *VEGF*+936C/T gene polymorphism was once again not associated with gastric cancer risk for the overall population (Table 2).

Association of VEGF-634 G>C Gene Polymorphism With Gastric Cancer Risk

Four studies^{13,15,16,19} were investigated for the relationship between *VEGF*-634 G>C gene polymorphism and gastric cancer risk and included in this meta-analysis. We found that the *VEGF*-634 G>C C allele and CC genotype were not associated with gastric cancer risk, but the GG genotype was associated with gastric cancer risk in the overall population (C allele: OR = 1.12, 95% CI: 0.98-1.27, P = .11; CC genotype: OR = 1.02, 95% CI: 0.81-1.30, P = .84; GG genotype: OR = 0.81, 95% CI: 0.67-0.98, P = .03; Figure 2 and Table 2).

In the subgroup analysis organized by ethnicity, the metaanalysis indicated that *VEGF*+936C/T gene polymorphism was not associated with gastric cancer risk in the Asian population (Table 2). Additionally, the *VEGF*+936C/T C allele and GG genotype were associated with gastric cancer risk in Caucasians; however, the CC genotype was not associated with gastric cancer risk (Table 2).

Association of VEGF+405 G>C Gene Polymorphism With Gastric Cancer Risk

Two studies^{12,18} were explored for the relationship between VEGF+405 G>C gene polymorphism and gastric cancer risk and included in this meta-analysis, and all of these studies focused on Asian populations. We found that VEGF+405 G>C gene polymorphism was not associated with gastric cancer risk in the Asian population (C allele: OR = 0.97, 95% CI: 0.48-1.99, P = .94; CC genotype: OR = 0.71, 95% CI: 0.14-3.66, P = .69; GG genotype: OR = 0.96, 95% CI: 0.49-1.88, P = .91; Table 2).

Association of VEGF-460 T>C Gene Polymorphism With Gastric Cancer Risk

Two studies^{12,18} were researched for the relationship between *VEGF*-460 T>C gene polymorphism and gastric cancer risk and included in this meta-analysis and all of these reports were also on Asian populations. We found that *VEGF*-460 T>C gene polymorphism was not associated with gastric cancer risk in the Asian population (Table 2).

Association of VEGF-1498 T>C Gene Polymorphism With Gastric Cancer Risk

Two studies^{15,16} were probed for the relationship between *VEGF*-1498 T>C gene polymorphism and gastric cancer risk and included in this meta-analysis. We found that *VEGF*-1498 T>C gene polymorphism was not associated with gastric cancer risk (Table 2).

Association of VEGF+1612G/A Gene Polymorphism With Gastric Cancer Risk

Two studies^{17,19} were looked at for the relationship between *VEGF*+1612G/A gene polymorphism and gastric cancer risk and included in this meta-analysis, and all of these reports fixated on Asian populations. We found that *VEGF*+1612G/A gene polymorphism was associated with gastric cancer risk in the Asian population (A allele: OR = 1.61, 95% CI: 1.27-2.04, P < .0001; AA genotype: OR = 6.22, 95% CI: 1.96-19.77, P = .002; GG genotype: OR = 0.64, 95% CI: 0.49-0.83, P = .0008; Table 2).

Association of VEGF-2578 C>A Gene Polymorphism With Gastric Cancer Risk

Two studies^{13,15} were examined for the relationship between *VEGF*-2578 C>A gene polymorphism and gastric cancer risk and included in this meta-analysis. We found that *VEGF*-2578 C>A gene polymorphism was not associated with gastric cancer risk (Table 2).

Association of VEGF+936C/T Gene Polymorphism With Gastric Cancer Development, Prognosis, and Survival

We identified an association of VEGF+936C/T gene polymorphism with gastric cancer development, prognosis, and survival. Five studies^{12,13,17-19} were included for the overall stage of gastric cancer, 2 studies^{13,18} for tumor size of gastric cancer, 4 studies^{12,13,17,18} for lymph node metastasis of gastric cancer, 5 studies^{13,14,17-19} for Lauren classification of gastric cancer, and 2 studies^{13,18} for survival of gastric cancer. We found that VEGF+936C/T gene polymorphism was not associated with the overall stage, lymph node metastasis, Lauren classification, or survival of gastric cancer (Table 3). However, the VEGF+936C/T T allele and TT genotype were associated with the tumor size of gastric cancer; however, the CC genotype was not (T allele: OR = 0.47, 95% CI: 0.29-0.77, P = .002; TT genotype: OR = 0.13, 95% CI: 0.04-0.38, P = .0002; CC genotype: OR = 1.37, 95% CI: 0.73-2.58, P = .33; Table 3).

Discussion

For this meta-analysis, we tried to find a beneficial indicator for early diagnosis of gastric cancer and to predict the development, prognosis, and survival of the cancer. It was found that *VEGF*+936C/T, *VEGF*+405 G>C, *VEGF*-460 T>C, *VEGF*-1498 T>C, and *VEGF*-2578 C>A gene polymorphisms were not associated with gastric cancer risk for the overall populations in this meta-analysis. Interestingly, the *VEGF*-634 G>C GG genotype was associated with gastric cancer risk in the overall population. The *VEGF*-634 G>C C allele and GG genotype were also associated with gastric cancer risk in Caucasians, whereas *VEGF*+1612G/A gene polymorphism was associated with gastric cancer risk for the Asian population.



	Case		Control		Odds Ratio			Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		
Tzanakis 2006	78	200	57	200	8.3%	1.60 [1.06, 2.44]	2006	-		
Ke 2008	471	1080	472	1122	62.5%	1.07 [0.90, 1.26]	2008	—		
Guan 2009	132	342	70	200	13.0%	1.17 [0.81, 1.68]	2009			
Zhou 2011	105	300	104	300	16.2%	1.01 [0.73, 1.42]	2011	+		
Total (95% CI)		1922		1822	100.0%	1.12 [0.98, 1.27]		•		
Total events	786		703							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	3.55, df =	3 (P =	0.31); l ^z =	= 15%						
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.62	(P = 0.1	1)					Favours case Favours control		

CC vs CG+GG

	Case Control		Odds Ratio			Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Tzanakis 2006	19	100	9	100	5.5%	2.37 [1.02, 5.54]	2006	
Ke 2008	92	540	97	561	59.7%	0.98 [0.72, 1.34]	2008	#
Guan 2009	30	171	21	100	16.5%	0.80 [0.43, 1.49]	2009	
Zhou 2011	29	150	30	150	18.3%	0.96 [0.54, 1.69]	2011	-+-
Total (95% CI)		961		911	100.0%	1.02 [0.81, 1.30]		+
Total events	170		157					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	4.49, df=	3 (P =	0.21); I ^z =	= 33%				
Test for overall effect:	Z=0.20	P = 0.8	34)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours case Favours control
GG vs CG+C	CC							
GG vs CG+C	CC Case	e	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
GG vs CG+C		-	Contr Events		Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	Year	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
	Cas	-			Weight 13.0%		<u>Year</u> 2006	
Study or Subgroup	Cas Events	Total	Events	Total		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	2011000000	
<u>Study or Subgroup</u> Tzanakis 2006	Cas Events 41	Total 100	Events 52	Total 100	13.0%	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 0.64 [0.37, 1.12]	2006	
<u>Study or Subgroup</u> Tzanakis 2006 Ke 2008	Cas Events 41 161	Total 100 540	Events 52 186	Total 100 561	13.0% 54.3%	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 0.64 [0.37, 1.12] 0.86 [0.66, 1.10]	2006 2008 2009	
<u>Study or Subgroup</u> Tzanakis 2006 Ke 2008 Guan 2009	Cas Events 41 161 69	Total 100 540 171	Events 52 186 51	Total 100 561 100 150	13.0% 54.3% 16.3%	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 0.64 [0.37, 1.12] 0.86 [0.66, 1.10] 0.65 [0.40, 1.07]	2006 2008 2009	
<u>Study or Subgroup</u> Tzanakis 2006 Ke 2008 Guan 2009 Zhou 2011	Cas Events 41 161 69	Total 100 540 171 150	Events 52 186 51	Total 100 561 100 150	13.0% 54.3% 16.3% 16.3%	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 0.64 [0.37, 1.12] 0.86 [0.66, 1.10] 0.65 [0.40, 1.07] 0.95 [0.60, 1.49]	2006 2008 2009	
<u>Study or Subgroup</u> Tzanakis 2006 Ke 2008 Guan 2009 Zhou 2011 Total (95% CI)	Cas <u>Events</u> 41 161 69 74 345	Total 100 540 171 150 961	Events 52 186 51 76 365	Total 100 561 100 150 911	13.0% 54.3% 16.3% 16.3%	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 0.64 [0.37, 1.12] 0.86 [0.66, 1.10] 0.65 [0.40, 1.07] 0.95 [0.60, 1.49]	2006 2008 2009	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Tzanakis 2006 Ke 2008 Guan 2009 Zhou 2011 Total (95% CI) Total events	Cas <u>Events</u> 41 161 69 74 345 2.07, df=	Total 100 540 171 150 961 3 (P =	Events 52 186 51 76 365 0.56); I ² =	Total 100 561 100 150 911	13.0% 54.3% 16.3% 16.3%	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl 0.64 [0.37, 1.12] 0.86 [0.66, 1.10] 0.65 [0.40, 1.07] 0.95 [0.60, 1.49]	2006 2008 2009	

Figure 2. Association between VEGF-634 G>C gene polymorphism and gastric cancer risk (overall populations). VEGF indicates vascular endothelial growth factor.

Furthermore, VEGF+936C/T gene polymorphism was not associated with the overall stage, lymph node metastasis, Lauren classification, or survival of gastric cancer. However, VEGF+936C/T T allele and TT genotype were associated with the tumor size of gastric cancer.

Sensitivity analysis according to the source of the controls (population based vs hospital based) was performed, and the results for VEGF+936C/T and VEGF-634 G>C from the sensitivity analysis using the studies including the population based as the control group were consistent with the nonsensitivity analysis. Furthermore, the results for VEGF+936C/T from the sensitivity analysis including the studies using the hospital based as the control group were consistent with the

nonsensitivity analysis. We speculate that the relationship between *VEGF*+936C/T, *VEGF*-634G>C gene polymorphism, and gastric cancer risk is robust. However, additional studies should be performed to explore this speculation.

Gastric cancer cells can produce a variety of proangiogenic growth factors, and VEGF is a powerful potential tumor angiogenic growth factor. Vascular endothelial growth factor plays a major role in the multistep process of angiogenesis stimulation and is closely related to the development of gastric cancer.^{20,21} Some gene polymorphisms of *VEGF* might be associated with the activity of VEGF and take part in the risk of gastric cancer.

In previous research, Zhou *et al*²² included 7 studies in their meta-analysis, and their meta-analysis suggested that no

Variables	Genetic Contrasts	Studies Number	Q Test P Value	Model Selected	OR (95% CI)	Р
Overall stage	e (Advanced stage vs Earl	y stage)				
0	T vs C	5	.62	Fixed	0.92(0.73-1.16)	.48
	TT vs CT+CC	5	.70	Fixed	0.68(0.37-1.28)	.23
	CC vs CT+TT	5	.33	Fixed	1.05(0.79-1.38)	.75
Tumor size (>5 cm vs ≤ 5 cm)					
	T vs C	2	.12	Fixed	0.47(0.29-0.77)	.002
	TT vs CT+CC	2	.91	Fixed	0.13(0.04-0.38)	.0002
	CC vs CT+TT	2	.35	Fixed	1.37(0.73-2.58)	.33
Lymph node	metastasis (positive vs ne	egative)				
• •	T vs C	4	.61	Fixed	0.92(0.71-1.21)	.57
	TT vs CT+CC	4	.88	Fixed	0.71(0.34-1.48)	.37
	CC vs CT+TT	4	.68	Fixed	1.05(0.76-1.44)	.78
Lauren class	ification (diffuse type vs i	ntestinal type)				
	T vs C	5	.004	Random	1.37(0.83-2.27)	.22
	TT vs CT+CC	5	.11	Fixed	1.80(1.00-3.22)	.05
	CC vs CT+TT	5	.02	Random	0.74(0.43-1.27)	.27
Survival (dea	ath vs alive)					
	T vs C	2	.82	Fixed	0.98(0.59-1.63)	.94
	TT vs CT+CC	2	.18	Fixed	0.85(0.36-1.99)	.70
	CC vs CT+TT	2	.67	Fixed	0.93(0.47-1.84)	.84

Table 3. Meta-Analysis of the Association of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF)+936C/T Gene Polymorphism With Gastric Cancer Development, Prognosis, and Survival.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

association between VEGF+936 C/T gene polymorphism and gastric cancer risk was found. Zhou *et al*²³ also performed a meta-analysis to assess whether VEGF+936C/T gene polymorphism conferred susceptibility to gastric cancer and reported that VEGF+936 C/T gene polymorphism was not associated with gastric cancer risk. Likewise, Liu *et al*²⁴ performed a meta-analysis to estimate the association of VEGF+936C/T gene polymorphism and gastric cancer risk and reported that no association were observed between gastric cancer risk and the variant genotypes of VEGF+936C/T in different genetic models. Results from our meta-analysis were similar to those above-mentioned meta-analyses.

In this meta-analysis, we firstly explored the relationship between VEGF+405 G>C, VEGF-460 T>C, VEGF-1498 T>C, VEGF+1612G/A, VEGF-2578 C>A, and gastric cancer risk. We found that VEGF+405 G>C, VEGF-460 T>C, VEGF-1498 T>C, and VEGF-2578 C>A gene polymorphisms were not associated with gastric cancer risk for the overall population in this meta-analysis. Interestingly, VEGF+1612G/A gene polymorphism was associated with gastric cancer risk for Asian population.

In this meta-analysis, we also explored the association between VEGF+936C/T gene polymorphism with gastric cancer development, prognosis, and survival and reported that the relationship between VEGF+936C/T gene polymorphism was not associated with the overall stage, lymph node metastasis, Lauren classification, or survival of gastric cancer. However, the VEGF+936C/T T allele and TT genotype were associated with the tumor size of gastric cancer.

VEGF+936C/T gene polymorphism was not associated with the onset of gastric cancer. However, VEGF+936C/T T allele and TT genotype were associated with the tumor size of gastric cancer. It seemed that the *VEGF*+936C/T T allele and TT genotype play a role in gastric cancer development.

There were some limitations in this studies. Multitest correction data were not showed from the included studies, and we could not perform the multitest correction test.

Conclusions

The VEGF-634 G>C GG genotype was found to be associated with gastric cancer risk in the overall population. Furthermore, VEGF-634 G>C C allele and GG genotype were associated with gastric cancer risk in Caucasians, and VEGF+1612G/A gene polymorphism was associated with gastric cancer susceptibility for Asian population. However, additional associated investigations are required to further clarify these associations.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Mrs Stacy Ann Kujawa (Robert H. Lurie Medical Research Center, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL) for her help in English language editing.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Zhiyong Dong D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0445-4272

References

- 1. Wu H, Huang M, Liu Y, Shu Y, Liu P. Luteolin induces apoptosis by up-regulating miR-34a in human gastric cancer cells. *Technol Cancer Res Treat*. 2015;14(6):747-755.
- Chen J, Guo J, Chen Z, Wang J, Liu M, Pang X. Linifanib (ABT-869) potentiates the efficacy of chemotherapeutic agents through the suppression of receptor tyrosine kinase-mediated AKT/mTOR signaling pathways in gastric cancer. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:29382.
- 3. Xu L, Wu W, Cheng G, et al. Enhancement of proliferation and invasion of gastric cancer cell by KDM5C via decrease in p53 expression. *Technol Cancer Res Treat*. 2017;16(2):141-149.
- Alagappan VK, Willems-Widyastuti A, Seynhaeve AL, et al. Vasoactive peptides upregulate mRNA expression and secretion of vascular endothelial growth factor in human airway smooth muscle cells. *Cell Biochem Biophys.* 2007;47(1):109-118.
- Eroglu A, Ersoz C, Karasoy D, Sak S. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-C, VEGF-D, VEGFR-3 and D2-40 expressions in primary breast cancer: association with lymph node metastasis. *Adv Clin Exp Med.* 2017;26(2):245-249.
- Fu D, Li P, Cheng W, et al. Impact of vascular endothelial growth factor gene-gene and gene-smoking interaction and haplotype combination on bladder cancer risk in Chinese population. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(14):22927-22935.
- Liu R, Ning L, Liu X, et al. Association between single nucleotide variants of vascular endothelial growth factor A and the risk of thyroid carcinoma and nodular goiter in a Han Chinese population. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(9):15838-15845.
- Naykoo NA, Dil-Afroze, Rasool R, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms, haplotype association and tumour expression of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) gene with lung carcinoma. *Gene.* 2017;608:95-102.
- Ratnasari N, Nurdjanah S, Sadewa AH, Hakimi M. The role of vascular endothelial growth factor -634 G/C and its soluble receptor on chronic liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Arab J Gastroenterol.* 2016;17(2):61-66.
- Zhou JQ, Kang XL, Wang Y, Xu CJ. Association of vascular endothelial growth factor gene polymorphism with renal cell carcinoma risk [published online January 1, 2017]. *Technol Cancer Res Treat*. doi:10.1177/1533034617712396.
- Yang X, Bai J, Yu T, Wang Z, Li Q. Effects of high intensity focused ultrasound on vascular endothelial growth factor in melanoma bearing mice. *Technol Cancer Res Treat*. 2004;3(5): 499-503.

- Chae YS, Kim JG, Sohn SK, et al. Investigation of vascular endothelial growth factor gene polymorphisms and its association with clinicopathologic characteristics in gastric cancer. *Oncology*. 2006;71(3-4):266-272.
- Tzanakis N, Gazouli M, Rallis G, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor polymorphisms in gastric cancer development, prognosis, and survival. *J Surg Oncol*. 2006;94(7):624-630.
- Bae SJ, Ahn DH, Hong SP, et al. Gender-specific association between polymorphism of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF 936C>T) gene and patients with stomach cancer. *Yonsei Med J.* 2008;49(5):783-791.
- Ke Q, Liang J, Wang LN, et al. Potentially functional polymorphisms of the vascular endothelial growth factor gene and risk of gastric cancer. *Mol Carcinog.* 2008;47(8):647-651.
- Guan X, Zhao H, Niu J, Tang D, Ajani JA, Wei Q. The VEGF-634G>C promoter polymorphism is associated with risk of gastric cancer. *BMC Gastroenterol*. 2009;9:77.
- 17. Tahara T, Shibata T, Nakamura M, et al. Effect of polymorphisms in the 3' untranslated region (3'-UTR) of vascular endothelial growth factor gene on gastric cancer and peptic ulcer diseases in Japan. *Mol Carcinog.* 2009;48(11):1030-1037.
- Al-Moundhri MS, Al-Nabhani M, Burney IA, Al-Farsi AA, Al-Bahrani B. Gastric cancer risk predisposition and prognostic significance of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) gene polymorphisms—a case-control study in an Omani population. *Mol Carcinog.* 2009;48(12):1170-1176.
- Zhou Y, Li N, Zhuang W, Wu X. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) gene polymorphisms and gastric cancer risk in a Chinese Han population. *Mol Carcinog.* 2011;50(3):184-188.
- Hsu JT, Chen TD, Chuang HC, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor expression is an independent poor prognostic factor for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 positive gastric cancer. *J Surg Res.* 2017;208:40-50.
- Liu N, Zhou N, Chai N, et al. Helicobacter pylori promotes angiogenesis depending on Wnt/beta-catenin-mediated vascular endothelial growth factor via the cyclooxygenase-2 pathway in gastric cancer. *BMC Cancer*. 2016;16:321.
- Zhou Y, Hu W, Zhuang W, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) +936 C/T gene polymorphisms and gastric cancer risk: a meta-analysis involving 4,138 subjects. *Int J Biol Markers*. 2010;25(4):213-218.
- Zhou LP, Luan H, Dong XH, Jin GJ, Man DL, Shang H. Vascular endothelial growth factor +936C/T polymorphism and gastric cancer risk: a meta-analysis. *Exp Ther Med.* 2011;2(5):931-936.
- Liu H, Wang S, Huang C. VEGFA+936C/T and -634G/C polymorphisms and gastric cancer risk: a meta-analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2011;12(8):1979-1983.