## Factors Associated With Pre-Lacteal Feeding Practices Among Mothers Having Children Aged 0-36 Months in Bangladesh: Evidence From Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18

Global Pediatric Health Volume 9: 1–10 © The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/2333794X221079550 journals.sagepub.com/home/gph



## Faojia Sultana, MSc, MBBS<sup>1</sup> and Israt Tahira Sheba, MSS<sup>1</sup>

#### Abstract

Despite being a known barrier to optimal breast-feeding indicators, the practice of Pre-lacteal Feeding (PLF) remains a key challenge in Bangladesh. This study examines the prevalence and underlying factors associated with PLF, for which data from a weighted sample of 4982 Bangladeshi mothers with children aged 0 to 36 months from the 2017-18 Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey was used. Step-by-step multivariate logistic regression was performed to identify the association of significant determinants of PLF practices. Nearly 29% newborns received PLF before breastmilk and timely initiation of breastfeeding did not happen in case of 20.5% babies. The odds of PLF were significantly higher among the inhabitants of Dhaka division compared to other regions. In addition, birthrelated factors such as optimal ANC visits (P < .01), home delivery (P < .01) and delivered by cesarean section (P < .01) showed significantly higher odds of introducing PLF to the newborns. Our results demonstrated the need for developing targeted policies and actions to prevent such practices of PLF in order to improve optimal breastfeeding behavior in Bangladesh.

### Keywords

breastfeeding, pre-lacteal feeding, infant and young child feeding practices, Bangladesh, BDHS

Received December 28, 2021. Accepted for publication January 22, 2022.

## Introduction

To maintain optimal health and nutritional status among children in a country, it is vital to improve their infant and young child feeding (IYCF) practices.<sup>1,2</sup> Evidence from many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) have shown significant association of IYCF practices with the nutritional status of children.<sup>3,4</sup> Optimal breastfeeding practices such as the timely initiation of breastfeeding (ie, within 1 hour of birth) and Exclusive Breast Feeding (EBF) have been included as 2 crucial IYCF indicators because of their protective impact on infant survival and well-being.<sup>5,6</sup> EBF means giving a newborn no food or drink other than the breastmilk for the first 6 months of life.<sup>7</sup> EBF plays a pivotal role in decreasing many early-life diseases in infants, and helps with their optimal growth and development.8 Moreover, EBF is cost-effective9 and have beneficial health effects for the mothers as well.<sup>10</sup> Also, to ensure that the newborn receives "colostrum" (ie, a nutrientrich fluid produced by the mother immediately after giving birth), it is vital that the initiation of breastfeeding is done within 1 hour of birth.<sup>11</sup> Colostrum contains immune, growth and tissue repair factors which helps to develop newborn immunity.<sup>12</sup> Timely initiation of breastfeeding thus assists in preventing newborn deaths by reducing risk of infection and improving their nutritional status.<sup>13,14</sup> However, introduction of pre-lacteal feeding to a newborn interferes with both the timely initiation of breastfeeding and the process of EBF; thereby, leading to suboptimal breastfeeding practices.<sup>15,16</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Corresponding Author:

Faojia Sultana, Institute of Health Economics, University of Dhaka, 4th Floor, Arts Building, Dhaka 1000, Bangladesh. Email: faojia.sultana.ihe@du.ac.bd

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). Pre-lacteal feeding (PLF) refers to the provision of any food or drink other than the breastmilk/ colostrum to a newborn within first 3 days of birth before initiating breastfeeding; and these foods/drinks are known as "pre-lacteals."<sup>17-19</sup> Some common pre-lacteals are honey, sugar, sugar-juice, ghee (refined butter), cow's milk, plain water, infant formula, rose water etc.<sup>20,21</sup> These are often provided due to various misconceptions among people such as it helps clear the babies' throat/ bowel or the colostrum is too heavy for the newborn to digest etc.<sup>22</sup> Despite having insignificant nutrient and immunological values and bearing the risk of introducing contaminants in the newborn, giving pre-lacteal feeding remains a major cultural practice in the South Asian countries including Bangladesh.<sup>23-25</sup>

Regardless of introducing the national strategy for IYCF in 2007 with support from WHO and UNICEF,<sup>26</sup> improper breastfeeding practices including pre-lacteal feeding continue to pose a challenge for Bangladesh till this date. Previous data has shown the prevalence of prelacteal feeding in Bangladesh to be 27%. Furthermore, 49% and 45% of their newborns did not receive early initiation of breastfeeding and exclusive breastfeeding respectively,<sup>27</sup> which created substantial risks for the health and well-being of the neonates and children of the country. Such practices of sub-optimal breast feeding are concerning owing to their association with increased neonatal morbidity and mortality in many countries, which is evident by the fact that 47% of all under-five deaths across the world occur during the first month of their lives (ie, neonatal period).<sup>28</sup>

Therefore, it is imperative to establish optimal breastfeeding practices like early initiation of breastmilk and EBF to prevent early-diseases and deaths among the newborns in Bangladesh. However, despite having such negative impact on the optimal breastfeeding practices, the available resources on pre-lacteal feeding in the country remains quite scarce. This research was therefore conducted using nationally representative data with the aim to explore the prevalence and underlying factors associated with pre-lacteal feeding practices among mothers having children aged 0 to 36 months in Bangladesh. The findings from this study will help develop policies and actions necessary to prevent the incidences of pre-lacteal feeding in the communities; thus, improving optimal breastfeeding practices like timely initiation and exclusive breastfeeding across the country.

## Methodology

#### Data Sources

This study used secondary data drawn from the latest nationally representative cross-sectional household survey, Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS), 2017-18. The survey collected data on demographic characteristics, health indicators and biomarkers from all the 8 administrative divisions of Bangladesh. The detailed methods of sampling technique and data collection instruments have been described elsewhere.<sup>29</sup>

## Population and Sample Size

A total of 20 127 ever married women of 15 to 49 years were interviewed during the survey. For our research, data were extracted for all the mothers who had child birth in the last 3 years prior to the survey. In the cases of women with a history of giving more than one live birth preceding the 3 years of the survey, data regarding the most recent live birth were collected only and that made the total number of samples to be 5051. However, considering the outcome variable of this study, the missing values were disregarded and finally, a weighted sample of 4982 mothers with a children of 0 to 36 months of age were included for the analysis.

#### Study Variables

Dependent variable. Pre-lacteal feeding was the outcome variable of this study. The mothers were asked one question to assess if the child was provided with any pre-lacteal feeds: 'In the first 3 days after delivery, was the child given anything to drink other than the breast milk?' Based on the answer, the variable was coded as 1=provided pre-lacteal feeding, and 0=did not provide any pre-lacteal feeding.

*Independent variables.* This study included division, place of residence (urban and rural), mother's age (15-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-49 years), mother's education (no education, primary education, secondary education and higher education), mother's occupation (categorized as not working/home maker, agriculture related employment, professional employment) and sex of the child (male and female) as independent variables. In addition, access to media was recorded as frequency of reading newspaper, listening to radio, and watching TV (categorized as "Yes" if they had access to any one these medias at least once in a week; and "No" otherwise). Moreover, wealth index of the participants was categorized as "poorest," "poorer," "middle," "richer," and "richest" using the principal component analysis.<sup>1</sup>

Additionally, the number of antenatal care (ANC) visit was categorized into no visit, 1 to 3 visits and 4 or more visits; mode of delivery was categorized as normal vaginal delivery (NVD) and cesarean section; and "home" and "health facility" were labeled to indicate the place of delivery. If breastfeeding initiation occurred

Variables	Characteristics	Frequency	%
Division	Barisal	285	5.7
	Chittagong	1057	21.2
	Dhaka	1270	25.5
	Khulna	458	9.2
	Mymensingh	427	8.6
	Rajshahi	579	11.6
	Rangpur	528	10.6
	Sylhet	378	7.6
Place of residence	Urban	1330	26.7
	Rural	3652	73.3
Mother's age	15-19	890	17.9
C C	20-24	1764	35.4
	25-29	1286	25.8
	30-49	1042	20.9
Mother's education	No education	315	6.3
	Primary	1367	27.5
	Secondary	2447	49.1
	Higher	853	17.1
Mother's occupation	Not working/ Home maker	3025	60.7
·	Agriculture-related employment	1414	28.4
	Professional employment	541	10.9
Wealth index	Poorest	1030	20.7
	Poorer	1020	20.5
	Middle	958	19.2
	Richer	1002	20.1
	Richest	971	19.5
Access to mass media (TV/	No	1713	34.4
Radio/newspaper)	Yes	3269	65.6
Sex of the child	Male	2602	52.2
	Female	2380	47.8

 Table 1. Socio-Demographic and Economic Characteristics of Mothers of Children Aged 36 Months or Less in Bangladesh [BDHS, 2017-18].

within 1 hour of delivery, then the timing of breastfeeding initiation was categorized as timely; otherwise, it was categorized as late. Birth weight of the child was categorized into 3 groups as low (<2500 g), average or more ( $\geq 2500$  g), and not weighted at the time of birth.

#### Statistical Analysis

All the extracted data were weighted to make the sample representative. STATA version 14.1 was used to perform the analysis. In order to explore the association of prelacteal feeding practices among the mothers with the socio-demographic characteristics and other explanatory variables, a logistic regression model was employed.

Binary logistic regression was employed first; from where the significant variables (*P*-value less than or equal .05) were fitted into a multivariable logistic regression model to identify the influencing factors of prelacteal feeding practices among mothers with children aged 0 to 36 months. The findings were presented in terms of adjusted odds ratios (aOR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) and statistical significance was considered at a *P*-value of <.05 in all analyses.

## Results

# Socio-Demographic and Economic Characteristics of the Participants

The key socio-demographic and economic characteristics of the 4982 participants has been presented in Table 1. Among the 8 divisional cities of Bangladesh, majority (25.5%) participants were from Dhaka division, while Barisal division had the lowest number of respondents (5.7%). Almost 73% respondents lived in rural areas and nearly 61% were homemakers. Age of about 61% mothers were in between 20 and 29 years, and only 18% mothers were 15 to 19 years old. 6.3% mothers did not receive any education, whereas 49.1% had secondary level education. Distribution of respondents were almost equal

Variables	Characteristics	Frequency	%
Pre-lacteal feeding	Yes	1423	29
0	No	3559	71
Timely initiation of	Yes	3959	79.5
breastfeeding	No	1023	20.5
ANC visit	None	401	8
	I-3 times	2237	45
	$\geq$ 4 times	2343	47
Place of delivery	Home	2492	50
	Health facility	2490	50
Mode of delivery	NVD	3319	66.7
	C-section	1656	33.3
Birth order	First	1902	38.2
	Second or third	2477	49.7
	Fourth or fifth	507	10.2
	≥Sixth	96	1.9
Birth weight	Low	348	7
	Average or more	1952	38.6
	Not weighted/Not known	2709	54.4

 Table 2.
 Pre-Lacteal Feeding and Breastfeeding Practices, and Other Birth Related Factors of Mothers of Children Aged
 36 Months or Less in Bangladesh [BDHS, 2017-18].

across the wealth quintiles as evident by the presence of approximately 20% participants in each group. Nearly 66% mothers had access to some form of mass media platform (TV/Radio/Newspaper) and about 52% gave birth to a male child.

## Breastfeeding Practices and Birth Related Factors

Out of the 4982 participant mothers, 29% reported giving pre-lacteal feeds to their newborns, while 71% did not. Nearly 79.5% of the mothers reported initiating breastfeeding to their newborns in time. When inquiring about the number of ANC visits during their pregnancies, only 8% stated that they did not go for any ANC visit, whereas 47% took 4 or more visits. Half of the participants had the delivery of their child at home and about 67% mothers had normal vaginal delivery (NVD). Majority (54.4%) of respondents did not know the birth weight of their newborn. Among the rest who knew about the birth weight, 38.6% reported of average or more weight at birth for their babies (see Table 2).

## Factors Associated With Pre-Lacteal Feeding Practices

Table 3 shows the results from the bivariate analysis of factors associated with pre-lacteal feeding practices among our respondent mothers who had children aged 0 to 36 months. Among the socio-demographic and

economic factors, significant association (ie, P-value <.05) of PLF practices were found with the divisional city where the mother and child lived in, maternal age, wealth index, and access to mass media. The prevalence of PLF practices were significantly lower among those living in Barisal (cOR=0.51; CI=0.38, 0.69), Chittagong (cOR=0.42; CI=0.35, 0.51), Rangpur (cOR = 0.53; CI = 0.42, 0.67), Sylhet (cOR = 0.26;CI=0.19, 0.35), and Mymensingh (cOR=0.74; CI = 0.58, 0.94) divisions compared to the capital of the country, Dhaka division. The odds of introducing PLF was 17% less likely among mothers in the age group of 25-29 (cOR=0.83; CI=0.69, 1.00) compared to the younger mothers aged 15 to 19 years. Mothers from the richer quintile (cOR = 1.18; CI = 0.97, 1.43) were more likely to give pre-lacteals to their newborn compared to the poorest quintile. Also, participants with access to any form of mass media showed 1.21 times higher odds of practicing PLF (cOR=1.21; CI=1.06, 1.38) than those with no access to media.

Significant associations were also found between some breastfeeding and birth related factors, and the PLF practices among the mothers. For instance, not initiating breastfeeding timely (cOR=3.29; CI=2.85, 3.80), not having any ANC visits (cOR=1.21; CI=0.97, 1.52), delivery at home (cOR=1.17; CI=1.03, 1.32), delivery by cesarean section (cOR=1.63; CI=1.44, 1.85) and delivery of first child (cOR=1.52; CI=0.93, 2.48) were found to be significantly associated with pre-lacteal feeding practices at *P*-value of <.05 (Table 3). Variables

Pre-Lacteal Feeding Practices A	mong Mothers of Child	dren Aged 0 to 36	months in Banglades	
	Pre-lacteal feeding			
Characteristics	No (%)	Yes (%)	cOR (95% CI)	
omic characteristics				
Dhaka	803 (63.2)	467 (36.8)	I	
Barisal	220 (77)	65 (23)	0.51 (0.38, 0.69)	
Chittagong	849 (80.4)	207 (19.6)	0.42 (0.35, 0.51)	
Khulna	283 (61.9)	175 (38.1)	1.06 (0.85, 1.32)	
1ymensingh	298 (69.9)	129 (30.1)	0.74 (0.58, 0.94)	
Rajshahi	373 (64.4)	206 (35.6)	0.95 (0.77, 1.16)	

Table 3. Bivariate Analysis of P gladesh, [BDHS, 2017-18].

Division         Dhaka         803 (63.2)         467 (68.8)         1           Barisal         220 (77)         65 (23)         0.51 (03.8) 6.05)***           Chitcagong         849 (80.4)         207 (19.6)         0.42 (0.35, 0.51)***           Khulna         283 (61.9)         175 (38.1)         1.06 (0.85, 1.32)           Rajshahi         373 (64.4)         206 (35.6)         0.37 (0.42, 0.67)***           Rangpur         0.40 (75.5)         124 (23.5)         0.53 (0.42, 0.67)***           Place of residence         Urban         945 (71)         385 (29)         1.03 (0.89, 1.18)           Worther's age         15-19         6.21 (69.8)         2.69 (30.2)         1           20-24         1273 (72.2)         491 (72.8)         0.89 (0.75, 1.06)           25-29         946 (73.5)         340 (26.5)         0.83 (0.69, 1.00)*           30-49         720 (69.1)         322 (30.9)         1.03 (0.85, 1.25)           Mother's education         No education         216 (68.5)         99 (31.5)         1           Higher         610 (71.5)         243 (28.5)         0.87 (0.65, 1.12)           Mother's education         No working/Home maker         2173 (71.8)         852 (28.1)         1.1           Mother's occu	(a) Socio-demographic and	economic characteristics			
Barisal         220 (77)         65 (23)         0.51 (0.38, 0.65)****           Chittagong         849 (80.4)         207 (19.6)         0.42 (0.35, 0.51)****           Khulna         283 (61.9)         175 (38.1)         1.06 (0.85, 1.32)           Mymensingh         298 (69.9)         129 (30.1)         0.74 (0.58, 0.94)**           Rajshahi         373 (44.4)         206 (55.6)         0.95 (0.77, 1.16)           Rangpur         404 (76.5)         124 (23.5)         0.35 (0.42, 0.67)***           Sylhet         329 (87)         49 (13)         0.26 (0.19, 0.35)***           Mother's age         15-19         621 (69.8)         262 (90.2)         1           20-24         1273 (72.2)         491 (27.8)         0.89 (0.75, 1.06)           25-29         946 (73.5)         340 (26.5)         0.83 (0.67, 1.10)           30-49         720 (69.1)         322 (30.9)         1.03 (0.85, 1.25)           Mother's education         No education         216 (68.5)         99 (31.5)         1           Econdary         1751 (71.6)         666 (28.4)         0.87 (0.67, 1.12)           Mother's occupation         No education         121 (71.5)         243 (28.5)         0.65, 1.11)           Mother's occupation         Not working/Home	Division	Dhaka	803 (63.2)	467 (36.8)	I
Chitagong         849 (80.4)         207 (19.6)         0.42 (0.35, 0.5)***           Khuna         283 (61.9)         175 (38.1)         1.06 (0.85, 1.32)           Mymensingh         298 (69.9)         129 (30.1)         0.74 (0.58, 0.93)***           Rangpur         404 (76.5)         124 (23.5)         0.53 (0.42, 0.67)***           Sylhet         329 (87)         49 (13)         0.26 (0.19, 0.35)****           Place of residence         Urban         945 (71)         385 (29)         1.03 (0.89, 1.18)           Rural         2615 (71.6)         1037 (28.4)         1         1           Mother's age         15-19         621 (68.5)         92 (31.5)         1           20-24         1273 (72.2)         491 (27.8)         0.89 (0.75, 1.06.)         1           Mother's education         No education         216 (68.5)         99 (31.5)         1         1           Primary         983 (71.9)         384 (28.1)         0.85 (0.65, 1.11)         1           Secondary         1751 (71.6)         636 (28.4)         0.87 (0.66, 1.15)         1           Mother's occupation         Not working/Home maker         2173 (71.8)         852 (28.2)         0.87 (0.65, 1.12)           Mide         600 (71.1)         227 (28.9		Barisal	220 (77)	65 (23)	0.51 (0.38, 0.69)***
Khulna         283 (61.9)         175 (38.1)         1.06 (0.85, 1.32)           Mymensingh         298 (69.9)         129 (30.1)         0.74 (0.58, 0.94)**           Raighahi         373 (64.4)         206 (35.6)         0.95 (0.77, 1.16)           Rangpur         404 (76.5)         124 (23.5)         0.53 (0.42, 0.67)***           Place of residence         Urban         945 (71)         385 (29)         1.03 (0.89, 1.18)           Mother's age         15-19         621 (69.8)         289 (30.2)         1           20-24         1273 (72.2)         491 (27.8)         0.89 (0.75, 1.06)           25-29         946 (77.5)         340 (26.5)         0.83 (0.69, 1.00)*           Mother's education         No education         216 (68.5)         99 (31.5)         1           Primary         983 (71.9)         384 (28.1)         0.85 (0.65, 1.12)         1           Mother's occupation         No tworking/Home maker         2173 (71.8)         852 (28.2)         1         1           Porcest         747 (72.5)         283 (27.5)         1.05 (0.91, 1.21)         1.05 (0.91, 1.21)           Pordesional employment         1002 (70.6)         142 (27.6)         1.03 (0.83, 1.22)         1           Mother's occupation         No tworking/Ho		Chittagong	849 (80.4)	207 (19.6)	0.42 (0.35, 0.51)***
Mymensingh         298 (69.9)         129 (20.1)         0.74 (0.58, 0.94)**           Rangburi         373 (64.4)         206 (35.6)         0.95 (0.77, 1.16)           Sylhet         329 (87)         49 (13)         0.26 (0.19, 0.35)***           Place of residence         Urban         945 (71)         385 (29)         1.03 (0.89, 1.18)           Mother's age         15-19         621 (69.8)         269 (30.2)         1           20-24         1273 (72.2)         491 (27.8)         0.89 (0.75, 1.06)           25-29         946 (73.5)         340 (26.5)         0.83 (0.69, 1.09)*           30-49         720 (69.1)         322 (30.9)         1.03 (0.85, 1.25)           Mother's education         216 (68.8)         99 (31.5)         1           Primary         983 (71.9)         384 (28.1)         0.87 (0.67, 1.12)           Secondary         175 (71.6)         646 (28.4)         0.87 (0.67, 1.12)           Higher         610 (71.5)         243 (28.5)         0.87 (0.65, 1.1)           Mother's occupation         Not working/Home maker         2173 (71.8)         852 (28.2)         1           Midel         680 (71.1)         277 (28.9)         1.08 (0.87, 1.39)         1.06 (0.87, 1.39)           Wealth index <t< td=""><td></td><td>Khulna</td><td>283 (61.9)</td><td>175 (38.1)</td><td>1.06 (0.85, 1.32)</td></t<>		Khulna	283 (61.9)	175 (38.1)	1.06 (0.85, 1.32)
Rajshahi         373 (64.4)         206 (35.6)         0.95 (0.77, 1.16)           Rangpur         404 (76.5)         124 (23.5)         0.53 (0.42, 0.67)***           Place of residence         Urban         945 (71)         385 (29)         1.03 (0.89, 1.18)           Mother's age         15-19         621 (69.8)         269 (30.2)         1           20-24         1273 (72.2)         491 (27.8)         0.89 (0.75, 1.06)           25-29         946 (73.5)         340 (26.5)         0.83 (0.69, 1.06)           30-49         720 (69.1)         322 (30.9)         1.03 (0.85, 1.25)           Mother's education         No education         216 (68.5)         99 (31.5)         1           Primary         983 (71.9)         384 (28.1)         0.85 (0.65, 1.11)           Scondary         1751 (71.6)         696 (28.4)         0.87 (0.66, 1.15)           Higher         610 (71.5)         243 (28.5)         0.87 (0.66, 1.15)           Mother's occupation         Not working!Home maker         2173 (71.8)         852 (28.2)         1           Mother's occupation         Not working!Home         182 (71.4)         1.05 (0.91, 1.21)           Porfessional employment         1002 (70.9)         122 (21.1)         1.05 (0.81, 1.30)		Mymensingh	298 (69.9)	129 (30.1)	0.74 (0.58, 0.94)**
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Rajshahi	373 (64.4)	206 (35.6)	0.95 (0.77, 1.16)
Sylhet329 (87)49 (13)0.26 (0.19, 0.35)***Place of residenceUrban945 (71)385 (29)1.03 (0.89, 1.18)Mother's age15.19621 (67.8)269 (30.2)120-241273 (72.2)491 (27.8)0.89 (0.75, 1.06)25-29946 (73.5)340 (26.5)0.83 (0.69, 1.00)*30.49720 (69.1)322 (30.2)1Primary983 (71.9)384 (28.1)0.85 (0.65, 1.11)Secondary1751 (71.6)649 (28.4)0.67 (0.67, 1.12)Higher610 (71.5)243 (28.5)0.87 (0.66, 1.15)Mother's occupationNot working/Home maker2173 (71.8)852 (28.2)1Professional employment1002 (70.9)412 (29.1)1.05 (0.91, 1.21)Mother's occupationNot working/Home maker2173 (71.8)852 (28.2)1Professional employment382 (70.6)159 (29.4)1.106 (0.87, 1.30)Wealth indexPoorest747 (72.5)283 (27.5)1Poorer739 (72.4)281 (27.6)1.03 (0.83, 1.22)Middle680 (71.1)277 (28.9)1.08 (0.89, 1.31)Richer693 (69.2)309 (30.8)1.18 (0.97, 1.43)*No1266 (73.9)474 (28.6)1.03 (0.85, 1.26)Access to mass mediaYes203 (70.1)773 (28.1)1.03 (0.85, 1.26)No126 (73.9)508 (47.7)3.29 (2.85, 3.80)***Imely initiation of BFNo515 (50.3)508 (47.7)3.29 (2.85, 3.80)**No126 (7		Rangpur	404 (76.5)	124 (23.5)	0.53 (0.42, 0.67)***
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Sylhet	329 (87)	49 (13)	0.26 (0.19, 0.35)***
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Place of residence	Urban	945 (71)	385 (29)	1.03 (0.89, 1.18)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Rural	2615 (71.6)	1037 (28.4)	i I i
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mother's age	15-19	621 (69.8)	269 (30.2)	I
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		20-24	1273 (72.2)	491 (27.8)	0.89 (0.75, 1.06)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		25-29	946 (73.5)	340 (26.5)	0.83 (0.69, 1.00)*
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		30-49	720 (69.1)	322 (30.9)	1.03 (0.85, 1.25)
Primary         983 (71.9)         384 (28.1)         0.85 (0.65, 1.1)           Secondary         1751 (71.6)         696 (28.4)         0.87 (0.67, 1.12)           Higher         610 (71.5)         243 (28.5)         0.87 (0.66, 1.15)           Mother's occupation         Not working/Home maker         2173 (71.8)         852 (28.2)         1           Agriculture-related Employment         1002 (70.9)         412 (29.1)         1.05 (0.91, 1.21)           Professional employment         382 (70.6)         159 (29.4)         1.06 (0.87, 1.30)           Wealth index         Poorest         747 (72.5)         283 (27.5)         1           Poorer         739 (72.4)         281 (27.6)         1.003 (0.83, 1.22)           Middle         680 (71.1)         277 (28.9)         1.08 (0.89, 1.31)           Richer         693 (69.2)         309 (30.8)         1.18 (0.97, 1.43)*           Richer         693 (67.9)         973 (28.1)         1.03 (0.89, 1.13)           Richer         698 (71.9)         273 (28.1)         1.03 (0.89, 1.13)           Sex of the child         Male         1858 (71.4)         744 (28.6)         1.03 (0.89, 1.13)           Female         1701 (71.5)         679 (28.5)         1         1           Access to	Mother's education	No education	216 (68.5)	99 (31.5)	i li i
Secondary         1751 (71.6)         696 (28.4)         0.87 (0.67, 1.12)           Mother's occupation         Higher         610 (71.5)         243 (28.5)         0.87 (0.66, 1.15)           Mother's occupation         Not working/Home maker         2173 (71.8)         852 (28.2)         1           Agriculture-related Employment         1002 (70.9)         412 (29.1)         1.05 (0.91, 1.21)           Professional employment         382 (70.6)         159 (29.4)         1.06 (0.87, 1.30)           Wealth index         Poorest         747 (72.5)         283 (27.5)         1           Poorer         739 (72.4)         281 (27.6)         1.03 (0.83, 1.22)         Middle           Middle         680 (71.1)         277 (28.9)         1.08 (0.89, 1.31)         Richest           Access to mass media         Yes         2293 (70.1)         976 (29.9)         1.21 (1.06, 1.38)***           Access to mass media         Yes         2293 (70.1)         976 (29.9)         1.21 (1.06, 1.38)***           Moto         1266 (73.9)         447 (26.1)         1         1           Sex of the child         Male         1858 (71.4)         744 (28.6)         1.003 (0.89, 1.13)           Female         701 (71.5)         508 (49.7)         3.29 (2.85, 3.80)*** <t< td=""><td></td><td>Primary</td><td>983 (71.9)</td><td>384 (28.1)</td><td>0.85 (0.65, 1.11)</td></t<>		Primary	983 (71.9)	384 (28.1)	0.85 (0.65, 1.11)
Higher         610 (71.5)         243 (28.5)         0.87 (0.66, 1.15)           Mother's occupation         Not working/Home maker         2173 (71.8)         852 (28.2)         1           Agriculture-related Employment         1002 (70.9)         412 (29.1)         1.05 (0.91, 1.21)           Professional employment         382 (70.6)         155 (29.4)         1.06 (0.87, 1.30)           Wealth index         Poorest         747 (72.5)         283 (27.5)         1           Poorer         739 (72.4)         281 (27.6)         1.003 (0.83, 1.22)           Middle         680 (71.1)         277 (28.9)         1.08 (0.89, 1.31)           Richer         693 (69.2)         309 (30.8)         1.18 (0.97, 1.43)*           Access to mass media         Yes         2293 (70.1)         976 (29.9)         1.21 (1.06, 1.38)****           No         1266 (73.9)         447 (26.1)         1         1           Sex of the child         Male         155 (50.3)         508 (49.7)         3.29 (2.85, 3.80)****           Timely initiation of BF         No         515 (50.3)         508 (49.7)         3.29 (2.85, 3.80)****           ANC visit         None         274 (68.2)         127 (31.8)         1.21 (0.97, 1.52)*          I - 3 times         1592 (71.1)		Secondary	1751 (71.6)	696 (28.4)	0.87 (0.67, 1.12)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Higher	610 (71.5)	243 (28.5)	0.87 (0.66, 1.15)
Agriculture-related Employment         1002 (70.9)         412 (29.1)         1.05 (0.91, 1.21)           Wealth index         Professional employment         382 (70.6)         159 (29.4)         1.06 (0.87, 1.30)           Wealth index         Poorest         747 (72.5)         283 (27.5)         1           Poorer         739 (72.4)         281 (27.6)         1.003 (0.83, 1.22)         Middle           Middle         680 (71.1)         277 (28.9)         1.08 (0.89, 1.31)         Richer           Richest         693 (69.2)         309 (30.8)         1.18 (0.97, 1.43)*           Richest         2293 (70.1)         976 (29.9)         1.21 (1.06, 1.38)***           Access to mass media         Yes         2293 (70.1)         976 (29.9)         1.21 (1.06, 1.38)****           Sex of the child         Male         1858 (71.4)         744 (28.6)         1.003 (0.89, 1.13)           Female         1701 (71.5)         679 (28.5)         1         1           Timely initiation of BF         No         515 (50.3)         508 (49.7)         3.29 (2.85, 3.80)****           ANC visit         None         274 (68.2)         127 (31.8)         1.21 (0.97, 1.52)*           Place of delivery         Home         1819 (73)         673 (27)         1	Mother's occupation	Not working/Home maker	2173 (71.8)	852 (28.2)	i I i
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Agriculture-related Employment	1002 (70.9)	412 (29.1)	1.05 (0.91, 1.21)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Professional employment	382 (70.6)	159 (29.4)	1.06 (0.87, 1.30)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Wealth index	Poorest	747 (72.5)	283 (27.5)	I
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Poorer	739 (72.4)	281 (27.6)	1.003 (0.83, 1.22)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Middle	680 (71.1)	277 (28.9)	1.08 (0.89, 1.31)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Richer	693 (69.2)	309 (30.8)	1.18 (0.97, 1.43)*
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Richest	698 (71.9)	273 (28.1)	1.03 (0.85, 1.26)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Access to mass media	Yes	2293 (70.1)	976 (29.9)	1.21 (1.06, 1.38)***
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		No	1266 (73.9)	447 (26.1)	I
Female       1701 (71.5)       679 (28.5)       1         (b) Breastfeeding practices and birth related factors       Timely initiation of BF       No       515 (50.3)       508 (49.7)       3.29 (2.85, 3.80)***         Yes       3045 (76.9)       914 (23.1)       1         ANC visit       None       274 (68.2)       127 (31.8)       1.21 (0.97, 1.52)*         1- 3 times       1592 (71.1)       645 (28.9)       1.06 (0.93, 1.2)         ≥4 times       1694 (72.3)       650 (27.7)       1         Place of delivery       Home       1819 (73)       673 (27)       1         Health facility       1740 (69.9)       750 (30.1)       1.17 (1.03, 1.32)**         Mode of delivery       NVD       2485 (74.9)       834 (25.1)       1         Birth order       First       1326 (69.7)       576 (30.3)       1.52 (0.93, 2.48)*         Birth order       Fourth or fifth       367 (72.4)       140 (27.6)       1.34 (0.80, 2.24)         Birth weight       Low       247 (71)       101 (29)       1         Birth weight       Low       247 (71)       101 (29)       1         Average or more       1356 (70.4)       569 (29.6)       1.03 (0.80, 1.32)         Not weighted/Not known       1	Sex of the child	Male	1858 (71.4)	744 (28.6)	1.003 (0.89, 1.13)
		Female	1701 (71.5)	679 (28.5)	I
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(b) Breastfeeding practices a	and birth related factors			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Timely initiation of BF	No	515 (50.3)	508 (49.7)	3.29 (2.85, 3.80)***
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Yes	3045 (76.9)	914 (23.1)	I
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ANC visit	None	274 (68.2)	127 (31.8)	1.21 (0.97, 1.52)*
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		I- 3 times	1592 (71.1)	645 (28.9)	1.06 (0.93, 1.2)
Place of delivery         Home         1819 (73)         673 (27)         1           Health facility         1740 (69.9)         750 (30.1)         1.17 (1.03, 1.32)**           Mode of delivery         NVD         2485 (74.9)         834 (25.1)         1           C-section         1070 (64.6)         586 (35.4)         1.63 (1.44, 1.85)***           Birth order         First         1326 (69.7)         576 (30.3)         1.52 (0.93, 2.48)*           Second or third         1791 (72.3)         686 (27.7)         1.34 (0.82, 2.18)           Fourth or fifth         367 (72.4)         140 (27.6)         1.34 (0.80, 2.24)           Sixth or more         75 (77.8)         21 (22.2)         1           Birth weight         Low         247 (71)         101 (29)         1           Average or more         1356 (70.4)         569 (29.6)         1.03 (0.80, 1.32)           Not weighted/Not known         1956 (72.2)         753 (27.8)         0.94 (0.74, 1.20)		$\geq$ 4 times	1694 (72.3)	650 (27.7)	I
Health facility         1740 (69.9)         750 (30.1)         1.17 (1.03, 1.32)**           Mode of delivery         NVD         2485 (74.9)         834 (25.1)         1           C-section         1070 (64.6)         586 (35.4)         1.63 (1.44, 1.85)***           Birth order         First         1326 (69.7)         576 (30.3)         1.52 (0.93, 2.48)*           Second or third         1791 (72.3)         686 (27.7)         1.34 (0.82, 2.18)           Fourth or fifth         367 (72.4)         140 (27.6)         1.34 (0.80, 2.24)           Sixth or more         75 (77.8)         21 (22.2)         1           Birth weight         Low         247 (71)         101 (29)         1           Average or more         1356 (70.4)         569 (29.6)         1.03 (0.80, 1.32)         0.94 (0.74, 1.20)	Place of delivery	Home	1819 (73)	673 (27)	I
Mode of delivery         NVD         2485 (74.9)         834 (25.1)         I           C-section         1070 (64.6)         586 (35.4)         1.63 (1.44, 1.85)***           Birth order         First         1326 (69.7)         576 (30.3)         1.52 (0.93, 2.48)*           Second or third         1791 (72.3)         686 (27.7)         1.34 (0.82, 2.18)           Fourth or fifth         367 (72.4)         140 (27.6)         1.34 (0.80, 2.24)           Sixth or more         75 (77.8)         21 (22.2)         1           Birth weight         Low         247 (71)         101 (29)         1           Average or more         1356 (70.4)         569 (29.6)         1.03 (0.80, 1.32)           Not weighted/Not known         1956 (72.2)         753 (27.8)         0.94 (0.74, 1.20)		Health facility	1740 (69.9)	750 (30.1)	1.17 (1.03, 1.32)**
C-section         1070 (64.6)         586 (35.4)         1.63 (1.44, 1.85)****           Birth order         First         1326 (69.7)         576 (30.3)         1.52 (0.93, 2.48)*           Second or third         1791 (72.3)         686 (27.7)         1.34 (0.82, 2.18)           Fourth or fifth         367 (72.4)         140 (27.6)         1.34 (0.80, 2.24)           Sixth or more         75 (77.8)         21 (22.2)         1           Birth weight         Low         247 (71)         101 (29)         1           Average or more         1356 (70.4)         569 (29.6)         1.03 (0.80, 1.32)           Not weighted/Not known         1956 (72.2)         753 (27.8)         0.94 (0.74, 1.20)	Mode of delivery	NVD	2485 (74.9)	834 (25.1)	I
Birth order         First         1326 (69.7)         576 (30.3)         1.52 (0.93, 2.48)*           Second or third         1791 (72.3)         686 (27.7)         1.34 (0.82, 2.18)           Fourth or fifth         367 (72.4)         140 (27.6)         1.34 (0.80, 2.24)           Sixth or more         75 (77.8)         21 (22.2)         1           Low         247 (71)         101 (29)         1           Average or more         1356 (70.4)         569 (29.6)         1.03 (0.80, 1.32)           Not weighted/Not known         1956 (72.2)         753 (27.8)         0.94 (0.74, 1.20)		C-section	1070 (64.6)	586 (35.4)	1.63 (1.44, 1.85)***
Second or third         1791 (72.3)         686 (27.7)         1.34 (0.82, 2.18)           Fourth or fifth         367 (72.4)         140 (27.6)         1.34 (0.80, 2.24)           Sixth or more         75 (77.8)         21 (22.2)         1           Birth weight         Low         247 (71)         101 (29)         1           Average or more         1356 (70.4)         569 (29.6)         1.03 (0.80, 1.32)           Not weighted/Not known         1956 (72.2)         753 (27.8)         0.94 (0.74, 1.20)	Birth order	First	1326 (69.7)	576 (30.3)	1.52 (0.93, 2.48)*
Fourth or fifth         367 (72.4)         140 (27.6)         1.34 (0.80, 2.24)           Sixth or more         75 (77.8)         21 (22.2)         1           Birth weight         Low         247 (71)         101 (29)         1           Average or more         1356 (70.4)         569 (29.6)         1.03 (0.80, 1.32)           Not weighted/Not known         1956 (72.2)         753 (27.8)         0.94 (0.74, 1.20)		Second or third	1791 (72.3)	686 (27.7)	1.34 (0.82, 2.18)
Sixth or more         75 (77.8)         21 (22.2)         I           Birth weight         Low         247 (71)         101 (29)         I           Average or more         1356 (70.4)         569 (29.6)         1.03 (0.80, 1.32)           Not weighted/Not known         1956 (72.2)         753 (27.8)         0.94 (0.74, 1.20)		Fourth or fifth	367 (72.4)	140 (27.6)	1.34 (0.80, 2.24)
Birth weight         Low         247 (71)         101 (29)         I           Average or more         1356 (70.4)         569 (29.6)         1.03 (0.80, 1.32)           Not weighted/Not known         1956 (72.2)         753 (27.8)         0.94 (0.74, 1.20)		Sixth or more	75 (77.8)	21 (22.2)	I
Average or more         1356 (70.4)         569 (29.6)         1.03 (0.80, 1.32)           Not weighted/Not known         1956 (72.2)         753 (27.8)         0.94 (0.74, 1.20)	Birth weight	Low	247 (71)	101 (29)	I
Not weighted/Not known 1956 (72.2) 753 (27.8) 0.94 (0.74, 1.20)		Average or more	1356 (70.4)	569 (29.6)	1.03 (0.80, 1.32)
		Not weighted/Not known	1956 (72.2)	753 (27.8)	0.94 (0.74, 1.20)

Abbreviations: cOR, crude odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. \*P < .1. \*\*P < .05. \*\*\*P < .01.

		Adjusted odd	95% Confidence
Variables	Characteristics	ratios (aOR)	interval
Division	Dhaka	I	
	Barisal	0.49***	0.36, 0.67
	Chittagong	0.37***	0.30, 0.46
	Khulna	1.03	0.82, 1.30
	Mymensingh	0.76**	0.60, 0.97
	Rajshahi	0.91	0.74, 1.13
	Rangpur	0.56***	0.44, 0.72
	Sylhet	0.24***	0.17, 0.34
Mother's age	15-19	I	
	20-24	0.88	0.73, 1.06
	25-29	0.85	0.70, 1.04
	30-49	1.06	0.86, 1.30
Access to mass media	Yes	I	0.86, 1.16
	No	I	
Timely initiation of BF	No	3.42***	2.93, 3.99
,	Yes	I	
ANC visit	None	1.66***	1.28, 2.14
	I- 3 times	1.21***	1.05, 1.40
	$\geq$ 4 times	I	
Delivery place	Home	1.57***	1.28, 1.93
, 1	Health facility	I	
Mode of delivery	, NVD	I	
, 	C-section	1.69***	1.37, 2.09

 Table 4.
 Multivariate Analysis of Pre-Lacteal Feeding Practices Among Mothers of Children Aged 0 to 36 Months in
 Bangladesh, [BDHS, 2017-18].

Abbreviations: aOR: adjusted odds ratio; CI: confidence interval. \*\*P < .05. \*\*\*P < .01.

Consequently, the step-by-step multivariate logistic regression was performed to understand the independent factors responsible for pre-lacteal feeding practices and Table 4 presents the findings from the analysis. After controlling for the effect of non-significant variables, the odds of pre-lacteal feeding were found to be significantly lower among those living in Barisal (aOR=0.49; CI=0.36, 0.67), Chittagong (aOR=0.37; CI=0.30, 0.67), Rangpur (aOR=0.56; CI=0.44, 0.72), Sylhet (aOR=0.24; CI=0.17, 0.34) and Mymensingh (aOR=0.76; CI=0.60, 0.97) compared to Dhaka division. Participants who did not timely initiate breastfeeding had 3.42 times higher odds (aOR=3.42; CI=2.93, 3.99) of giving pre-lacteal feeding to their newborns compared to the others. The odds of introduction of prelacteals were significantly high among mothers who had no ANC visits (aOR=1.66; CI=1.28, 2.14), and 1-3 ANC visits (AOR=1.21; CI=1.05, 1.40). Mothers who had delivery at home (aOR=1.57; CI=1.28, 1.93), and by cesarean section (aOR=1.69; CI=1.37, 2.09) also showed significantly higher odds of introducing pre-lacteal feeding to their newborns compared to the other groups.

## Discussion

Providing pre-lacteal feeding to the newborn hinders the process of optimal breastfeeding by delaying the initiation of breastfeeding and nullifying the concept of EBF (which warrants the notion of giving nothing but breastmilk to babies for the first 6 months after birth). Nevertheless, the custom of giving PLF to the newborns is widely practiced in many parts of Bangladesh which can be attributed to several underlying factors. Our study attempted to identify the factors which led to the practice of PLF among the mothers in the country.

During our analysis of BDHS 2017-18 data, we found the prevalence of pre-lacteal feeding to be 29% which is slightly higher than the prevalence reported during BDHS 2014 (27%). This rising prevalence over the years is indicative of the continuance of PLF practices among a substantial number of mothers in the country. However, this prevalence is lower compared to some other LMICs such as Pakistan (64.7%),<sup>30</sup> Vietnam (73.3%),<sup>31</sup> Indonesia (45%),<sup>32</sup> and Nigeria (59%);<sup>33</sup> whereas countries like Nepal (26.5%),<sup>21</sup> India (21%),<sup>34</sup> and Ethiopia (8%)<sup>35</sup> reported even lower prevalence of

PLF than Bangladesh. Such variations in PLF practices among different LMICs is possibly a reflection of the country specific socio-cultural norms and beliefs; availability and utilization of maternal and child healthcare services; level of knowledge and education regarding the importance of optimal breastfeeding practices etc.<sup>36</sup>

From our analysis, it was observed that compared to the capital division of Dhaka, most of the other divisions had significantly lower prevalence of PLF practices. Similar to the variance of PLF practices between countries, such within-country regional variation of pre-lacteal feeding could also be attributed to the variety in socio-cultural and economic norms across communities within the country. One study conducted in Ethiopia also reported regional differences to be a significant factor in initiating pre-lacteal feeds among children, and pointed out the disparities in access and distribution to healthcare services, information, resources and geography as the contributing factors behind such regional variations of PLF.<sup>35</sup>

Additionally, not initiating timely breastfeeding was found to have significant association with PLF practices among our participants. This relationship of delayed initiation of breastfeeding with provision of pre-lacteal feeding has been evident by other studies as well.<sup>23,37,38</sup> Misconceptions regarding colostrum and early breastfeeding, post-cesarean physical difficulties of mother, insufficient breastmilk production, and the customary practice of providing pre-lacteal feeds to a newborn have been reported to be some key reasons resulting in the delayed initiation of breastfeeding.<sup>39,40</sup> Such delayed initiation of breastfeeding has been repeatedly discouraged by multiple studies as it has often found to be interlinked with the use of alternative foods (other than breastmilk) for the babies during the first 6 months of life; thereby hindering the process of EBF.41,42

Our participants who had none, or less than 4 antenatal check-ups were also more likely to give pre-lacteal feeds to their babies than those who completed 4 or more ANC visits. These findings resonate with evidence from other South Asian countries such as India, Nepal and Sri Lanka where inadequate numbers of antenatal appointments have also been reported to be an obstacle toward timely initiation of breastfeeding.<sup>43-46</sup> Completing the full schedule of ANC visits carries immense significance as they are designed to positively influence optimal breastfeeding practices among the mothers. Therefore, mothers who completed 4 or more ANC visits were more likely to have developed the awareness regarding the harmful effect of PLF along with the significance of early initiation of breastfeeding in newborns.

In addition, women who had their childbirth at home showed higher odds of giving pre-lacteals to their newborns compared to those having delivery at healthcare facilities in our analysis. These findings are supported by other studies which have shown that women having home delivery are more likely to give pre-lacteal feeds to their children.<sup>47,48</sup> One possible reason behind this could be that home deliveries are usually assisted by traditional birth attendants or midwives who can negatively influence the decision of mothers regarding early breastfeeding. A previous Bangladeshi study corroborates our findings in this regard and reported that midwives who assisted the home deliveries discouraged the mothers to breastfed their children for the first 3 days after birth.<sup>49</sup> Moreover, home deliveries are often accompanied/ assisted by family members and friends whose misconceptions or advices regarding breastfeeding based on personal experiences and hearsay evidence may also influence the mother's decision of giving pre-lacteal feeds to the baby which has been validated by an Indian study.44 On the other hand, those who had institutional delivery reportedly received professional advice and counseling from their healthcare providers regarding optimal breastfeeding practices which prohibited them from giving pre-lacteal feeding to their children.<sup>50,51</sup>

In our study, participants who had cesarean section delivery also showed higher odds of PLF practices compared to those having normal vaginal delivery (NVD) which is similar to the findings from some previous studies.<sup>52</sup> In such cases, postoperative pain, effect of anesthesia and insufficiency of breast milk reportedly led to the delayed initiation of breastfeeding followed by the introduction of PLF to cover the feeding needs of the baby.<sup>53</sup> Furthermore, receiving antibiotics and analgesics drugs during post-cesarean period created concerns among mothers regarding the passage of these medicines to their children via breastmilk which often discouraged them to breastfeed.<sup>54</sup>

Apart from these factors, being a teenage mother (15-19 years old), belonging to a richer wealth quintile, not having access to any form of mass media, and primiparity/delivery of first child were also found to have association with the practice of PLF among our respondents. However, after controlling for effects of other variables during multivariate analysis, these factors did not show significant association with PLF anymore. Also, no significant association of PLF was found with mother's age, education and occupation which suggests that the role of traditional beliefs and customs play a more important role in women's decision-making regarding PLF practices irrespective of their own educational and economics status and exposure to mass media. In a previous study, introduction of pre-lacteal feeding has been shown to be associated with suboptimal breastfeeding practices (such as the delayed initiation of breastfeeding in children) even when the neonate was able to suck breastmilk normally and there were no other issues which would prevent the mother from initiating breastfeeding.55 The findings of this study showed how traditional beliefs and socio-cultural prejudice justified the provision of PLF to newborns without any specific medical reasons. The customary influence of elderlies/mothers-in-laws, and the role of social and religious traditions in decision-making regarding the pre-lacteal feeding and breastfeeding practices have also been stated to influence the suboptimal breastfeeding practices in the communities by several studies conducted in Bangladesh and other countries.<sup>49,56,57</sup> For example, the belief that colostrum is dirty and harmful for the baby as it was stored in the breast for 9 months was found to be a common misconception in neighboring countries of Pakistan and India which lead to the discarding of colostrum followed by introduction of PLF in newborns.58

From the findings of our analysis, it is evident that there are some crucial risk factors responsible for the practice of PLF among Bangladeshi mothers. Lack of knowledge and the deeply embedded socio-cultural norms seemed to be one of the root causes behind such practice in the country. Therefore, well-designed and targeted awareness campaigns need to be developed and implemented across the country to promote optimal breastfeeding behavior and prevent PLF practices in the society. In addition, having access to and utilizing proper maternal healthcare services during pregnancy can impose a significant impact on mother's decision making regarding optimal breastfeeding practices.<sup>35</sup> Hence, access to and utilization of ANC services and institutional delivery in the presence of skilled healthcare professionals need to be insured so that proper counseling and care to the mothers can be given regarding PLF practices during pregnancy and childbirth. In cases of women having cesarean delivery, it is crucial to make them aware of the fact that there are no contradictions against early initiation of breastfeeding for mothers who undergo operative delivery. Having this knowledge can help change the practices of PLF by enabling women who undergo cesarean delivery to begin breastfeeding their newborns as early as possible.59

## Strengths and Limitations of the Study

The strength of this study lies in its use of data obtained from the recent nationwide survey which increases the representativeness of the study. However, this study may suffer from recall bias since the responses were selfreported by the participants. Also, the cross-sectional

## Conclusion

practices in Bangladesh.

To persuade people away from suboptimal breastfeeding practices in Bangladesh, awareness campaigns and promotional messages should be designed based on a clear understanding of the traditional beliefs and customs of each particular area or groups of population. Involving the influential societal figures such as religious leaders for the promotion of EBF and dissuasion of PLF practices can be a useful way to eliminate the prejudice and misconception regarding the issue. Furthermore, use of participatory health promotion techniques such as exclusive breast-feeding support group for women in the community has been proven to be successful in reducing pre-lacteal feeding practices in other countries,<sup>60</sup> and it can be applied for Bangladeshi women as well, especially to those living in rural and remote areas with limited access to correct information. Finally, ANC facilities should be made easily available in all regions/divisions of the country, and interventions should be designed to encourage women to complete the full schedule of their ANC visits so that they can receive proper counseling and advice regarding optimal breastfeeding practices.

#### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Measure DHS for allowing us access to the 2017-18 DHS dataset for Bangladesh.

#### **Author Contributions**

Both authors conceptualized and designed the study together. They were involved in the data analysis, interpretation and writing of the manuscript. Finally, they both critically read and reviewed the manuscript before giving final approval for publication.

#### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **Ethical Approval**

The authors declare that the research work meets the ethical guidelines.

#### Informed Consent

In the original survey, written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before the study.

#### ORCID iD

Faojia Sultana (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7648-4588

#### Availability of Data and Materials

The dataset (BDHS 2017-18) used in this study is publicly available at the DHS website: (https://dhsprogram.com/data/dataset/Bangladesh Standard-DHS 2017.cfm?flag=0)

#### References

- Ruel MT, Menon P. Child feeding practices are associated with child nutritional status in Latin America: innovative uses of the demographic and health surveys. *J Nutr.* 2002;132:1180-1187.
- 2. WHO. Infant and Young Child Feeding: Model Chapter for Textbooks for Medical Students and Allied Health Professionals. World Health Organization; 2009.
- Fekadu Y, Mesfin A, Haile D, Stoecker BJ. Factors associated with nutritional status of infants and young children in Somali region, Ethiopia: a cross- sectional study. *BMC Public Health.* 2015;15:846-849.
- Reinbott A, Jordan I. Determinants of child malnutrition and infant and young child feeding approaches in Cambodia. *World Rev Nutr Diet*. 2016;115:61-67.
- Agho KE, Dibley MJ, Odiase JI, Ogbonmwan SM. Determinants of exclusive breastfeeding in Nigeria. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2011;11:2.
- WHO/UNICEF. Indicators for Assessing Infant and Young Child Feeding Practices: Conclusions of a Consensus Meeting Held 6–8. World Health Organization; 2008:2007.
- Hunegnaw MT, Gezie LD, Teferra AS. Exclusive breastfeeding and associated factors among mothers in Gozamin district, northwest Ethiopia: a community based crosssectional study. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2017;12:30.
- Ip S, Chung M, Raman G, et al. Breastfeeding and Maternal and Infant Health Outcomes in Developed Countries. US Department of Health and Human Services; 2007.
- 9. Kramer MS. "Breast is best": the evidence. *Early Hum Dev.* 2010;86:729-732.
- Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJ, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *Lancet*. 2016;387:475-490.
- Godhia M, Patel N. Colostrum its composition, benefits as a nutraceutical – a review. *Curr Res Nutr Food Sci J.* 2013;1:37-47.
- 12. Uruakpa FO, Ismond MA, Akobundu EN. Colostrum and its benefits: a review. *Nutr Res.* 2002;22:755-767.
- Garcia CR, Mullany LC, Rahmathullah L, et al. Breast-feeding initiation time and neonatal mortality risk among newborns in South India. *J Perinatol.* 2011;31:397-403.

- Mullany LC, Katz J, Li YM, et al. Breast-feeding patterns, time to initiation, and mortality risk among newborns in southern Nepal. *J Nutr.* 2008;138:599-603.
- Chandrashekhar T, Joshi H, Binu V, Shankar P, Rana M, Ramachandran U. Breast-feeding initiation and determinants of exclusive breast-feeding – a questionnaire survey in an urban population of western Nepal. *Public Health Nutr.* 2007;10:192-197.
- Ulak M, Chandyo RK, Mellander L, Shrestha PS, Strand TA. Infant feeding practices in Bhaktapur, Nepal: a crosssectional, health facility based survey. *Int Breastfeed J*. 2012;7:1.
- Boccolini CS, Pérez-Escamilla R, Giugliani ER, Boccolini Pde M. Inequities in milk-based prelacteal feedings in Latin America and the Caribbean: the role of cesarean section delivery. *J Hum Lact.* 2015;31:89-98.
- El-Gilany A-H, Abdel-Hady DM. Newborn first feed and prelacteal feeds in Mansoura, Egypt. *Biomed Res Int.* 2014;2014:258470.
- Sadagopal M. Her Healing Heritage: Study Conducted in 7 States of India Through LPSS & CHETNA. LPSS & CHETNA; 1986.
- Kanagasabapathy S, Sadhasivam M. Pre lacteal feeding practice among rural mothers in Tamil Nadu-a questionnaire based study. *Int J Biomed Adv Res.* 2015;6:484-487.
- Khanal V, Adhikari M, Sauer K, Zhao Y. Factors associated with the introduction of prelacteal feeds in Nepal: findings from the Nepal demographic and health survey 2011. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2013;8:9.
- Laroia N, Sharma D. The religious and cultural bases for breastfeeding practices among the Hindus. *Breastfeed Med.* 2006;1:94-98.
- 23. Bandyopadhyay M. Impact of ritual pollution on lactation and breastfeeding practices in rural West Bengal, India. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2009;4:2.
- Osrin D, Tumbahangphe KM, Shrestha D, et al. Cross sectional, community based study of care of newborn infants in Nepal. *BMJ*. 2002;325:1063.
- Sharma IK, Byrne A. Early initiation of breastfeeding: a systematic literature review of factors and barriers in South Asia. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2016;11:17.
- 26. IPHN. National Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding in Bangladesh. IPHN; 2007.
- NIPORT. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2014. NIPORT, Mitra and Associates, and ICF International; 2016.
- WHO. The Global Health Observatory: Explore a World of Health Data. World Health Organization; 2019.
- NIPORT. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18. National Institute of Population Research and Training & ICF International; 2020.
- Asim M, Ahmed ZH, Hayward MD, Widen EM. Prelacteal feeding practices in Pakistan: a mixed-methods study. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2020;15:53.
- Nguyen PH, Keithly SC, Nguyen NT, Nguyen TT, Tran LM, Hajeebhoy N. Prelacteal feeding practices in Vietnam: challenges and associated factors. *BMC Public Health*. 2013;13:932.

- Rahmartani LD, Carson C, Quigley MA. Prevalence of prelacteal feeding and associated risk factors in Indonesia: evidence from the 2017 Indonesia demographic health survey. *PLoS One*. 2020;15:e0243097.
- Ogbo FA, Page A, Idoko J, Claudio F, Agho KE. Trends in complementary feeding indicators in Nigeria, 2003-2013. *BMJ Open.* 2015;5:e008467.
- IIPS/ICF. National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4), 2015–16: India. International Institute for Population Sciences; 2017.
- 35. Merga BT, Balis B, Fekadu G, Birhanu A, Alemu A, Turi E. Determinants of pre-lacteal feeding practices among mothers having children aged less than 36 months in Ethiopia: evidence from 2016 Ethiopian demographic and health survey. SAGE Open Med. 2021;9:20503121211019235.
- Greiner T. Breastfeeding in Bangladesh: a review of the literature. *Banglad J Nutr*. 1997;10:37-50.
- 37. Mukunya D, Tumwine JK, Nankabirwa V, et al. Factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding: a survey in northern Uganda. *Glob Health Action*. 2017;10(1):1410975.
- Temesgen H, Negesse A, Woyraw W, Getaneh T, Yigizaw M. Prelacteal feeding and associated factors in Ethiopia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2018;13:49.
- Engebretsen IM, Nankabirwa V, Doherty T, et al. Early infant feeding practices in three African countries: the PROMISE-EBF trial promoting exclusive breastfeeding by peer counsellors. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2014;9:19.
- 40. Kalisa R, Malande O, Nankunda J, Tumwine JK. Magnitude and factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding among mothers who deliver in Mulago hospital, Uganda. *Afr Health Sci.* 2015;15:1130-1135.
- Edmond KM, Zandoh C, Quigley MA, Amenga-Etego S, Owusu-Agyei S, Kirkwood BR. Delayed breastfeeding initiation increases risk of neonatal mortality. *Pediatrics*. 2006;117:e380-e386.
- NEOVITA Study Group. Timing of initiation, patterns of breastfeeding, and infant survival: prospective analysis of pooled data from three randomised trials. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2016;4:e266-e275.
- Dibley MJ, Roy SK, Senarath U, et al. Across-Country comparisons of selected infant and young child feeding indicators and associated factors in four South Asian countries. *Food Nutr Bull.* 2010;31:366-379.
- 44. Patel A, Badhoniya N, Khadse S, Senarath U, Agho KE, Dibley MJ. Infant and young child feeding indicators and determinants of poor feeding practices in India: secondary data analysis of National family health survey 2005–06. *Food Nutr Bull*. 2010;31:314-333.
- 45. Senarath U, Siriwardena I, Godakandage SS, Jayawickrama H, Fernando DN, Dibley MJ. Determinants of breastfeeding practices: an analysis of the Sri Lanka

demographic and health survey 2006-2007. *Matern Child Nutr.* 2012;8:315-329.

- 46. Subedi N, Paudel S, Rana T, Poudyal A. Infant and young child feeding practices in Chepang communities. *J Nepal Health Res Counc.* 2012;10:141-146.
- Hailemariam TW, Adeba E, Sufa A. Predictors of early breastfeeding initiation among mothers of children under 24 months of age in rural part of west Ethiopia. *BMC Public Health.* 2015;15:1076.
- Berde AS, Yalcin SS, Ozcebe H, Uner S, Caman OK. Determinants of pre-lacteal feeding practices in urban and rural Nigeria: a population-based cross-sectional study using the 2013 Nigeria demographic and health survey data. *Afr Health Sci.* 2017;17:690-699.
- 49. Haider R, Rasheed S, Sanghvi TG, et al. Breastfeeding in infancy: identifying the program-relevant issues in Bangladesh. *Int Breastfeed J*. 2010;5:21.
- Abera K. Infant and young child feeding practices among mothers living in Harar, Ethiopia. *Harar Bullet Health Sci.* 2012;4:66-78.
- Demilew YM, Tafere TE, Abitew DB. Infant and young child feeding practice among mothers with 0–24 months old children in slum areas of Bahir Dar City, Ethiopia. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2017;12:26.
- Qiu L, Xie X, Lee A, Binns CW. Infants' first feeds in Hangzhou, PR China. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2007;16: 458-461.
- Duong DV, Binns CW, Lee AH. Breast-feeding initiation and exclusive breast-feeding in rural Vietnam. *Public Health Nutr.* 2004;7:795-799.
- Nguyen PH, Menon P, Keithly SC, et al. Program impact pathway analysis of a social franchise model shows potential to improve infant and young child feeding practices in Vietnam. J Nutr. 2014;144:1627-1636.
- 55. Sundaram ME, Ali H, Mehra S, et al. Early newborn ritual foods correlate with delayed breastfeeding initiation in rural Bangladesh. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2016;11:31.
- Fikree FF, Ali TS, Durocher JM, Rahbar MH. Newborn care practices in low socioeconomic settlements of Karachi, Pakistan. Soc Sci Med. 2005;60:911-921.
- Parveen S, Sareen I, Dahiya B. Breast feeding practices in post IMNCI era in rural community of Haryana. *Indian J Public Health Res Dev.* 2012;3:205-209.
- Khadduri R, Marsh DR, Rasmussen B, Bari A, Nazir R, Darmstadt GL. Household knowledge and practices of newborn and maternal health in Haripur district, Pakistan. *J Perinatol.* 2008;28:182-187.
- 59. Jimoh A, Adaji S, Adelaiye H, et al. Factors associated with prelacteal feeding practices in a rural northern Nigerian setting. *South Afr J Clin Nutr*. 2018;31:37-42.
- Roy MP, Mohan U, Singh SK, Singh VK, Srivastava AK. Determinants of prelacteal feeding in rural northern India. *Int J Prev Med.* 2014;5:658-663.