



## ASCO 2016: highlights in breast cancer

Rupert Bartsch · Elisabeth Bergen

Received: 5 August 2016 / Accepted: 18 October 2016 / Published online: 30 November 2016  
© The Author(s) 2016. This article is available at SpringerLink with Open Access.

**Summary** At the 2016 ASCO Annual Meeting, several pertinent studies in the field of breast cancer were presented. MA17.R was the first randomized phase III trial to evaluate the prolongation of adjuvant aromatase-inhibitor (AI) therapy from 5 to 10 years; while a significant reduction of disease-free survival events was observed in the extended treatment group, the absolute difference was relatively small and longer endocrine therapy resulted in a higher fracture rate. A combined analysis of three North American trials emphasized the superiority of anthracycline containing adjuvant chemotherapy regimens compared with docetaxel/cyclophosphamide (TC), while the PANTHER trial investigated dose-dense tailored adjuvant treatment. In metastatic breast cancer, the main interest was on cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) 4/6 inhibitors. In PALOMA-2, the addition of palbociclib to letrozole prolonged progression-free survival (PFS) from 14.5 to 24.8 months resulting in the longest PFS data ever reported in the first-line setting. A subgroup analysis of premenopausal patients accrued to PALOMA-3 indicated that in this patient subset, ovarian function suppression plus fulvestrant and palbociclib yielded results comparable to the postmenopausal population. *ESR1* mutations were another focus of interest as these activating mutations in the gene coding for the estrogen receptor alpha apparently evolve under the selection pressure of AI therapy.

**Keywords** ASCO Annual Meeting 2016 · Breast cancer · Highlights · Review

### Extended adjuvant therapy

MA.17R was the first study evaluating the extension of aromatase inhibitor (AI) therapy to 10 years [1]. Of note, in hormone-receptor (HR)-positive breast cancer (BC), a prolonged albeit low recurrence risk has been reported with the greatest risk observed in large, node-positive and G3 tumors [2].

Patients were randomly assigned to receive 5 years of letrozole or placebo after an initial 5 years of letrozole either upfront or after 2–5 years of tamoxifen. At a median follow-up of 6.3 years, extended AI therapy significantly improved 5-year disease-free survival (DFS) rates from 91 to 95% (HR = 0.61,  $p = 0.01$ ) while no overall survival (OS) difference was reported. Furthermore, a significant increase of fracture rates was observed in the letrozole group. Regarding quality-of-life (QoL), a substudy indicated that prolongation of endocrine therapy was not associated with a significant overall QoL reduction but patients in the letrozole group had significantly higher rates of vasomotor symptoms and sexual dysfunction [3]. In summary, these data indicate that potential benefits of extended endocrine therapy must be carefully balanced with treatment tolerability and fracture risk.

### Adjuvant chemotherapy

Blum et al. presented data from a combined analysis of the NSABP B-46I, NSABP B-49, and USOR 06-090 studies (ABC trials) comparing six cycles of TC (docetaxel/cyclophosphamide) with standard regimens consisting of four cycles of taxane-based chemotherapy followed by four cycles of AC (doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide). The 3-year invasive DFS was

R. Bartsch, MD · E. Bergen  
Comprehensive Cancer Center Vienna, Vienna, Austria  
rupert.bartsch@meduniwien.ac.at

R. Bartsch, MD (✉) · E. Bergen  
Department of Medicine 1, Clinical Division of  
Oncology, Medical University of Vienna, Waehringer  
Guertel 18–20, 1090 Vienna, Austria  
rupert.bartsch@meduniwien.ac.at

91.7% in the TC group compared with 92.4% in patients with conventional therapy (HR = 1.202,  $p = 0.026$ ). Therefore, TC was not non-inferior to conventional therapy and performed even significantly worse; as expected, a higher secondary leukemia rate was reported in the anthracycline group. Still, these data suggest that in the absence of contraindications, anthracycline-containing regimens remain the standard of care [4]. PANTHER, also known as ABCSG-25, was an open-label phase III study comparing dose-dense tailored (ddt) with conventional adjuvant chemotherapy [5]. Patients with node-positive or high-risk node-negative BC were randomized to three cycles of FEC100 followed by three cycles of docetaxel 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> or EC (epirubicin/cyclophosphamide) × 4 followed by docetaxel × 4 given once every 2 weeks (dose-dense) and dose adapted to the leukocyte nadir. The main hypothesis tested in this study was the assumption that chemotherapy dose adaptation by hematological toxicity may be more appropriate than chemotherapy dosing by body surface area.

At a median follow-up of 5.3 years, fewer BC recurrence-free survival (BCRFS) events were recorded in the ddt arm (HR = 0.79, 95% CI = 0.62–1.02,  $p = 0.064$ ) while QoL, on the other hand, was significantly worse [6]. Of note, while ddt therapy was numerically superior, these patients received a greater overall number of chemotherapy cycles, a dose-dense regimen, and a regimen tailored by leukocyte nadir, making interpretation of the results difficult.

### Neoadjuvant therapy

The phase III ETNA trial asked whether nab-paclitaxel was superior to conventional paclitaxel when used as part of preoperative chemotherapy [7]. Patients received four cycles of conventional paclitaxel (90 mg/m<sup>2</sup>; days 1 + 8 + 15 of a 4-week cycle) or nab-paclitaxel (125 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) followed by four cycles of anthracycline-based therapy. In this study, relatively low pCR rates of 18.1% (conventional paclitaxel) and 22.5% (nab-paclitaxel) were observed and no significant difference between the two groups was recorded.

These data contradict the results of the GeparSepto trial where nab-paclitaxel improved pCR rates significantly [8]; of note, the regimen chosen in ETNA may have caused this difference. While GeparSepto used a standard regimen of paclitaxel or nab-paclitaxel weekly × 12, a regimen similar to the metastatic setting was used in ETNA resulting in a lower taxane dose density.

A British group reported DFS and OS outcomes of the phase III ARTemis trial. The primary endpoint analysis had already been published and a significantly higher pCR rate was observed when bevacizumab was added to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (22% vs. 17%,  $p = 0.03$ ) [9]. As shown at this year's ASCO Annual Meeting, this difference did not translate into superior outcomes in terms of DFS and OS

[10]. In patients receiving chemotherapy alone, pCR predicted a favorable long-term outcome (pCR 97% vs. non-pCR 76%,  $p = 0.0006$ ) while no such correlation was seen in the bevacizumab group (pCR 84% vs. non-pCR 74%,  $p = 0.19$ ). These data, at first glance, contradict the paradigm that pCR is a predictor of favorable long-term outcome in high-risk BC subtypes. With bevacizumab-containing treatment, however, this correlation seems to be less clear as bevacizumab does not have any effect on micrometastases as indicated by the negative adjuvant studies.

In the neoadjuvant treatment of HER2-positive BC, the KRISTINE trial compared six cycles of T-DM1 plus pertuzumab with docetaxel, carboplatin, trastuzumab, and pertuzumab (TCPH) [11]. Patients receiving TCPH had a significantly higher pCR rate (55.7% vs. 44.4%,  $p = 0.0155$ ) and this effect was even more pronounced in non-luminal HER2-positive tumors. Toxicity rates, on the other hand, were higher in the TCPH arm as well.

While a lower pCR rate may be expected with T-DM1 compared with conventional therapy, pCR rates in the KRISTINE trial compare well to the results from the luminal B/HER2-positive cohort of the ADAPT trials where T-DM1 alone yielded a pCR rate of 41% [12]. This indicates that – similar to the metastatic setting – T-DM1 has considerable activity that cannot be further improved by the combination of pertuzumab [13].

### Metastatic breast cancer

The main interest in the field of metastatic BC was in cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) 4/6 inhibitors, a class of orally available drugs blocking cell-cycle progression.

The phase III PALOMA-2 trial allocated 666 patients with HR-positive/HER2-negative disease to letrozole plus the CDK 4/6 inhibitor palbociclib or letrozole plus placebo [14]. Patients with resistance to AIs (defined as progression on or within 12 months of adjuvant AI therapy) were excluded. Addition of palbociclib to endocrine therapy improved progression-free survival (PFS) from 14.5 to 24.8 months (HR = 0.58, 95% CI = 0.46–0.72,  $p < 0.000001$ ), resulting in the longest PFS data ever reported in the first-line setting. Treatment was well tolerated with neutropenia being the most common side effect (79.5% vs. 6.3%); febrile neutropenia, however, was rare (2.5% in the palbociclib group). While it is currently not clear whether patients progressing on palbociclib will respond to further endocrine therapy, it appears that this relevant prolongation of DFS will ultimately define a novel first-line treatment standard.

In contrast to PALOMA-2, pretreated women were included in the PALOMA-3 trial and randomized to fulvestrant plus/minus palbociclib. The inclusion of premenopausal women who received additional ovarian function suppression was allowed; in this subset,

the addition of palbociclib increased PFS from 5.6 to 9.5 months, suggesting that treatment activity in premenopausal subjects was comparable to the general study population [15].

A correlative study of PALOMA-3 investigated the prognostic and predictive role of activating *ESR1* mutations, a gene coding for the estrogen receptor alpha [16]. *ESR1* mutations were present in 26.8% of all samples and were only recorded in patients with prior AI exposure, leading to the hypothesis that *ESR1* mutations develop under the selection pressure of AI therapy. In PALOMA-3, the presence of the *ESR1* mutation was predictive of shorter PFS but the activity of palbociclib plus fulvestrant was independent of mutation status.

Finally, the development of immunotherapy was clearly delayed in BC. Current studies are focusing on the investigation of immune-checkpoint modulators in TN disease. In a phase Ib study, patients with metastatic TN BC received a combination of nab-paclitaxel plus atezolizumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody targeting PD-L1 [17]. The safety cohort of this study consisted of 32 patients, while 24 subjects were evaluable for response. The grade 3/4 neutropenia rate was 41%, but no dose-limiting toxicity was recorded. Of note, activity was promising with high response rates across all treatment lines independently of PD-L1 expression. Owing to these favorable outcomes, a corresponding phase III trial (IMPASSION; NCT02425891) is currently ongoing.

## Conclusion

In summary, the results from several relevant trials were presented at the 2016 ASCO Annual Meeting; of note, the results of the PALOMA-2 trial may prove practice changing.

**Take-home message** MA17.R indicated that the extension of AI therapy to 10 years was associated with a significant reduction of DFS events, but this difference was modest in absolute numbers and fracture rates were significantly increased. A combined analysis showed that conventional anthracycline-containing regimens were superior to six cycles of the anthracycline-free TC regimen. In the PANTHER study, ddt chemotherapy was compared with conventionally dosed FEC-Doc. While a numerical improvement was observed in the ddt arm, this difference did not reach statistical significance and QoL was significantly lower in the experimental group.

In metastatic BC, the PALOMA-2 trial showed that the addition of palbociclib to first-line letrozole resulted in a clinically relevant prolongation of PFS from 14.5 to 24.8 months. Besides CDK 4/6 inhibitors, the prognostic and predictive role of *ESR1* mutations was another focus of interest and currently available data suggest that *ESR1* mutations may evolve under the selection pressure of AI therapy. Finally, the combi-

nation of chemotherapy and PD-L1 inhibitors holds promise in metastatic TN disease.

Open access funding provided by Medical University of Vienna.

**Conflict of interest** R. Bartsch has been a member of the advisory boards of Celgene, Pfizer, and Roche; he has received lecture honoraria from Celgene and Roche, research support from Roche, and travel support from Pfizer and Roche. E. Bergen has received travel support from Roche.

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

- Goss PE, Ingle JN, Pritchard KI, et al. Extending aromatase-inhibitor Adjuvant therapy to 10 years. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375(3):209–19.
- Hongchao P, Gray RG, Davies C, et al. Predictors of recurrence during years 5–14 in 46,138 women with ER+ breast cancer allocated 5 years only of endocrine therapy (ET). *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(suppl):abstr505.
- Lemieux J, Goss PE, Parulekar WR, et al. Patient-reported outcomes from MA.17 R: A randomized trial of extending adjuvant letrozole for 5 years after completing an initial 5 years of aromatase inhibitor therapy alone or preceded by tamoxifen in postmenopausal women with early-stage breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(suppl):abstr LBA506.
- Blum JL, Flynn PL, Yothers G, et al. Interim joint analysis of the ABC (anthracyclines in early breast cancer) phase III trials (USOR 06-090, NSABP B-46I/USOR 07132, NSABP B-49 [NRG Oncology]) comparing docetaxel + cyclophosphamide (TC) v anthracycline/taxane-based chemotherapy regimens (TaxAC) in women with high-risk, HER2-negative breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(suppl):abstr 1000.
- Bergh JC, Foukakis T, von Minckwitz G, et al. PANTHER: Prospective randomized phase III trial of tailored and dose-dense versus standard tri-weekly adjuvant chemotherapy for high-risk breast cancer in the modern era of endocrine and anti-HER2 therapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(suppl):abstr 1002.
- Brandberg Y, Johansson H, Hellstrom M, et al. The adjuvant PANTHER study: A randomized comparison between dose-dense and tailored epirubicin (E), cyclophosphamide (C) and docetaxel (D) vs. standard dose 5-fluorouracil (F), epirubicin (E), cyclophosphamide (C) and docetaxel – Health-related quality of life during ongoing therapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(suppl):abstr 1035.
- Gianni L, Mansutti M, Anton A, et al. ETNA (Evaluating Treatment with Neoadjuvant Abraxane) randomized phase III study comparing neoadjuvant nab-paclitaxel (nab-P) versus paclitaxel (P) both followed by anthracycline regimens in women with HER2-negative high-risk breast cancer: A MICHELANGO study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(suppl):abstr 502.
- Untch M, Jackisch C, Schneeweiss A, et al. Nab-paclitaxel versus solvent-based paclitaxel in neoadjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer (GeparSepto-GBG 69): a randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2016;17(3):345–56.

9. Earl HM, Hiller L, Dunn JA, et al. Efficacy of neoadjuvant bevacizumab added to docetaxel followed by fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide, for women with HER2-negative early breast cancer (ARTEMIS): an open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015;16(6):656–66.
10. Earl HM, Hiller L, Dunn J, et al. Disease-free (DFS) and overall survival (OS) at 3.4 years (yrs) for neoadjuvant bevacizumab (Bev) added to docetaxel followed by fluorouracil, epirubicin and cyclophosphamide (D-FEC), for women with HER2 negative early breast cancer: The ARTEMIS trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(suppl):abstr 1014.
11. Hurvitz SA, Martin M, Symmans WF et al. Pathologic complete response (pCR) rates after neoadjuvant trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1 [K]) + pertuzumab (P) vs docetaxel + carboplatin + trastuzumab + P (TCHP) treatment in patients with HER2-positive (HER2+) early breast cancer (EBC) (KRISTINE). *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(suppl):abstr 500.
12. Harbeck N, Gluz O, Christgen M. et al. Final analysis of WSG-ADAPT HER2+/HR+ phase II trial: Efficacy, safety, and predictive markers for 12-weeks of neoadjuvant TDM1 with or without endocrine therapy versus trastuzumab+endocrine therapy in HER2-positive hormone-receptor-positive early breast cancer. San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium, 11 Dec 2015. 2015, p Abstract S5–03.
13. Ellis PA, Barrios CH, Eiermann W, et al. Phase III, randomized study of trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) ± pertuzumab (P) vs trastuzumab + taxane (HT) for first-line treatment of HER2-positive MBC: Primary results from the MARIANNE study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33(suppl):abstr 507.
14. Finn RS, Martin M, Hope S, et al. PALOMA-2: Primary results from a phase III trial of palbociclib (P) with letrozole (L) compared with letrozole alone in postmenopausal women with ER+/HER2– advanced breast cancer (ABC). *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(suppl):abstr 507.
15. Loibl S, Turner NC, Ro J, et al. Palbociclib (PAL) in combination with fulvestrant (F) in pre-/peri-menopausal (PreM) women with metastatic breast cancer (MBC) and prior progression on endocrine therapy – results from Paloma-3. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(suppl):abstr 524.
16. Turner NC, Jiang Y, O’Leary B, et al. Efficacy of palbociclib plus fulvestrant (P+F) in patients (pts) with metastatic breast cancer (MBC) and ESR1 mutations (mus) in circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA). *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(suppl):abstr 512.
17. Adams S, Diamond JR, Hamilton EP, et al. Phase Ib trial of atezolizumab in combination with nab-paclitaxel in patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (mTNBC). *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(suppl):abstr 1009.



► For latest news from international oncology congresses see: <http://www.springermedizin.at/memo-inoncology>