Check for

Prestroke Glucose Control and Functional Outcome in Patients With Acute Large Vessel Occlusive Stroke and Diabetes After Thrombectomy

Diabetes Care 2021;44:2140-2148 | https://doi.org/10.2337/dc21-0271

# Jun Young Chang,<sup>1</sup> Wook-Joo Kim,<sup>2</sup> Ji Hyun Kwon,<sup>2</sup> Beom Joon Kim,<sup>3</sup> Joon-Tae Kim,<sup>4</sup> Jun Lee,<sup>5</sup> Jae Kwan Cha,<sup>6</sup> Dae-Hyun Kim,<sup>6</sup> Yong-Jin Cho,<sup>7</sup> Keun-Sik Hong,<sup>7</sup> Soo Joo Lee,<sup>8</sup> Jong-Moo Park,<sup>9</sup> Byung-Chul Lee,<sup>10</sup> Mi Sun Oh,<sup>10</sup> Sang-Hwa Lee,<sup>10</sup> Chulho Kim,<sup>10</sup> Dong-Eog Kim,<sup>11</sup> Kyung Bok Lee,<sup>12</sup> Tae Hwan Park,<sup>13</sup> Jay Chol Choi,<sup>14</sup> Dong-Ick Shin,<sup>15</sup> Sung-II Sohn,<sup>16</sup> Jeong-Ho Hong,<sup>16</sup> Ji Sung Lee,<sup>17</sup> Hee-Joon Bae,<sup>3</sup> and Moon-Ku Han<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, Ulsan University Hospital, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Ulsan, Korea <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurology, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seongnam, Korea

<sup>4</sup>Department of Neurology, Chonnam National University Hospital, Gwangju, Korea

<sup>5</sup>Department of Neurology, Yeungnam University Hospital, Daegu, Korea

- <sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Dong-A University Hospital, Busan, Korea
- <sup>7</sup>Department of Neurology, Inje University Ilsan Paik Hospital, Goyang, Korea
- <sup>8</sup>Department of Neurology, Eulji University Hospital, Daejeon, Korea
- <sup>9</sup>Department of Neurology, Nowon Eulji Medical Center, Eulji University, Seoul, Korea
- <sup>10</sup>Department of Neurology, Hallym University College of Medicine, Pyeongchon, Korea
- <sup>11</sup>Department of Neurology, Dongguk University Ilsan Hospital, Goyang, Korea
- <sup>12</sup> Department of Neurology, Soonchunhyang University Hospital, Seoul, Korea
- <sup>13</sup>Department of Neurology, Seoul Medical Center, Seoul, Korea
- <sup>14</sup>Department of Neurology, Jeju National University Hospital, Jeju, Korea
- <sup>15</sup>Department of Neurology, Chungbuk National University Hospital, Cheongju, Korea
- <sup>16</sup>Department of Neurology, Keimyung University Dongsan Medical Center, Daegu, Korea
- <sup>17</sup>Clinical Research Center, Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Korea
- Corresponding author: Moon-Ku Han, mkhan@ snu.ac.kr
- Received 1 February 2021 and accepted 26 May 2021

This article contains supplementary material online at https://doi.org/10.2337/figshare.14696136.

© 2021 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at https://www. diabetesjournals.org/content/license.

## OBJECTIVE

To evaluate whether prestroke glucose control is associated with functional outcomes in patients with acute large vessel occlusive stroke and diabetes who underwent intra-arterial thrombectomy (IAT).

# **RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

From the Clinical Research Center for Stroke–Korea registry, we included patients with emergent large vessel occlusive stroke with diabetes who underwent IAT between January 2009 and March 2020. The association between the HbA<sub>1c</sub> level at admission and functional outcomes (modified Rankin Scale at 3 months after the index stroke) was assessed.

#### RESULTS

A total of 1,351 patients were analyzed. Early neurological deterioration was more common in patients with higher levels of  $HbA_{1c}$  at admission (P = 0.02 according to  $HbA_{1c}$  quintiles, P = 0.003 according to an  $HbA_{1c}$  cutoff value of 7.0%) than in those with lower  $HbA_{1c}$  levels. Higher  $HbA_{1c}$  levels at admission were significantly associated with decreased odds of favorable functional outcomes at a threshold of 7.0–7.1%. The association was consistently observed in subgroups divided according to age, sex, stroke subtype, occlusion site, degree of recanalization, thrombolysis modalities, time from symptom onset to groin puncture, and treatment period.

## CONCLUSIONS

Prestroke glucose control with a target HbA<sub>1c</sub> of  $\leq$ 7.0% may be beneficial for neurological recovery in patients with diabetes undergoing IAT for large vessel occlusive stroke, regardless of stroke subtype, bridging intravenous thrombolysis, occlusion site, degree of recanalization, and treatment period.

Hyperglycemia during the acute stroke period is associated with infarct progression, symptomatic hemorrhage, and decreased recanalization after recombinant tissue plasminogen activator administration and, thus, results in poor functional outcomes (1–3). Higher levels of glycated hemoglobin (HbA<sub>1c</sub>) increase the risk of neurological deterioration, mortality, and poor neurological recovery after ischemic stroke (4). In

patients with emergent large vessel occlusive stroke treated with endovascular recanalization therapy, both acute hyperglycemia and higher levels of  $HbA_{1c}$  were associated with decreased odds of favorable outcomes (5–8).

While these studies provided crucial information on the importance of glucose levels in stroke, most of the study populations consisted of patients without diabetes. As a result, the reference group in those studies consisted of a population without diabetes, and the effect of prestroke glucose control on clinical outcomes in patients with diabetes and ischemic stroke, especially in those with acute large vessel occlusive stroke, could not be properly evaluated.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate whether prestroke glucose control, measured by the level of HbA<sub>1c</sub> at admission, is associated with functional outcomes in patients with acute large vessel occlusive stroke and diabetes after undergoing intra-arterial thrombectomy (IAT). We also evaluated whether the association is different according to each prespecified subgroup.

## **RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

We analyzed the data of patients in the stroke registry of the Clinical Research Center for Stroke in Korea (CRCS-K). The CRCS-K is a prospective, web-based, multicenter registry of patients with stroke admitted to participating hospitals since 2008. The registry is used for the development of a stroke prevention strategy and stroke recurrence prediction model. Data regarding demographics, vascular risk factors, stroke location and angiographic findings, inhospital management, etiology workup, laboratory results, and functional outcome were prospectively collected according to a standardized protocol (9). All participating centers obtained approval from their local institutional review boards for data collection.

We included patients with acute large vessel occlusive stroke and diabetes who underwent endovascular recanalization therapy in the participating centers between January 2009 and March 2020. Patients without information on  $HbA_{1c}$  at admission and functional outcome at 3 months were excluded.

#### Postthrombectomy Management of Blood Pressure and Glucose

Treating physicians at the participating centers of the CRCS-K followed guideline recommendations and expert opinion in clinical practice (10,11). Blood pressure (BP) was controlled to be <180/105 mmHg for 24 h after intravenous thrombolysis (IVT). After successful recanalization (thrombolysis in cerebral infarction [TICI]  $\geq$ 2b) postmechanical thrombectomy, maintaining systolic BP (SBP) <140 or 160 mmHg (this level was chosen per the treating physician's discretion) was recommended to reduce the risk of reperfusion injury. Maintaining BP <180/ 105 mmHg was permitted in the event of a failed recanalization to maintain cerebral perfusion through collateral circulation. Blood glucose was controlled with a target between 140 and 180 mg/ dL, and hypoglycemia was avoided (<60 mg/dL).

## Covariates and Outcomes

The following data were obtained from the registry: 1) age, sex, initial stroke severity, and educational level; 2) vascular risk factors, including history of stroke, coronary heart disease, hypertension, smoking, and atrial fibrillation; 3) stroke subtype according to the Trial of ORG 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST) criteria; 4) laboratory data, including white blood cell (WBC) count, hemoglobin, platelet, total cholesterol, and BP; 5) prior medications, including antiplatelet, anticoagulant, antihypertensive, lipid-lowering, and antidiabetic medications; 6) treatment modalities (IAT alone vs. IAT + IVT), time from symptom onset to groin puncture, endovascular procedure time, and degree of recanalization; and 7) functional outcomes measured by modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score at 3 months and early neurological deterioration (END), including stroke progression and symptomatic hemorrhage. A favorable functional outcome was defined as an mRS of  $\leq 1$ (disability free) at 3 months after the index stroke. Various functional outcomes, including mRS of  $\leq 2$  (functional independence) and mRS at 3 months after the index stroke, were also used in the analysis. mRS ranges from 0 to 6, with 0 indicating a lack of symptoms, 1 disability free, 2 to 3 needing some help but able to do daily activities, 4 to 5 unable to walk, or bedridden, and 6 death. END was defined as any neurological worsening within 3 weeks of the index

stroke. Neurological worsening was defined as 1) an increment of  $\geq 1$  on National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) items 1 (level of consciousness), 5, or 6 (motor) or 2) an increment of  $\geq 2$  on the NIHSS total score. Possible causes of END included stroke recurrence, stroke progression, symptomatic hemorrhagic transformation, and other; the detailed definitions of each component are described in a previous publication (9).

The study patients were classified into either five groups according to quintiles of HbA<sub>1c</sub> at admission (1st quintile  $\leq 6.1\%$  [43 mmol/mol], 2nd quintile > 6.1-6.6% [43–49 mmol/mol], 3rd quintile > 6.6-7.1% [49–54 mmol/mol], 4th quintile > 7.1-7.9% [54–63 mmol/mol], 5th quintile > 7.9% [63 mmol/mol]) or two groups according to a prespecified HbA<sub>1c</sub> cutoff value of 7.0% ( $\leq 7.0$  vs. > 7.0% [53 mmol/mol]). The relationships between the levels of HbA<sub>1c</sub> and procedure-related outcomes (procedure time and degree of recanalization) or clinical outcomes were evaluated.

#### Statistical Analysis

For the comparison of baseline characteristics according to  $HbA_{1c}$  levels, a  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher exact test was used for categorical variables, and independent *t* test or Mann-Whitney *U* test was used for continuous variables. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% Cls for the association between prestroke glucose control and favorable functional outcomes.  $HbA_{1c}$  at admission was entered into the model in quintiles or as a binary variable with a threshold of 7.0% (53 mmol/mol).

A sequential regression multiple imputation method was used to impute missing values, assuming missing at random as the missing mechanism. The following were chosen as the variables affecting an occurrence of missingness: age, sex, initial NIHSS, education level, prior mRS, HbA<sub>1c</sub>, stroke subtype, smoking status, risk factors (history of stroke, history of hypertension, and history of atrial fibrillation), laboratory findings (WBC, hemoglobin, platelet, total cholesterol, LDL, HDL, triglycerides, fasting serum glucose, and blood urea nitrogen), initial BP (SBP and diastolic BP), prior medication (antithrombotics, antihypertensives, lipid-lowering agents, and antidiabetic medications), occlusion site, onset to groin puncture time, degree of recanalization, procedure time, treatment modalities, and mRS at the 3rd month. The results were obtained from imputed data with 10 iterations to achieve convergence. The goodness-of-fit was evaluated using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test. Multiplicative interaction analyses were performed to evaluate the heterogeneity of the HbA<sub>1c</sub> level with reference to functional outcomes between each prespecified subgroup; the subgroups included age  $(< 80, \geq 80 \text{ years})$ , sex, stroke subtype (large artery atherosclerosis, cardioembolism, other), occlusion site (anterior circulation, posterior circulation), degree of recanalization (TICI  $\leq 2a$ , TICI  $\geq 2b$ ), thrombolysis modalities (IAT only, IAT + IVT), time from symptom onset to groin puncture ( $\leq$ 360 min, >360 min), and treatment period (before 2015, after 2015). All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 13.0 software (Stata-Corp, College Station, TX). All tests were two-sided, and P < 0.05 was considered significant.

## RESULTS

Among 26,646 patients with acute ischemic stroke and diabetes who visited the participating centers, 1,534 (5.8%) underwent IAT; of these, 60 patients without data on HbA<sub>1c</sub> at admission and 123 without functional outcome data were excluded. As a result, 1,351 patients were included in the analysis. The median age of the patients was 72.0 years (65.0-78.0), and 777 (57.5%) were men. Overall, the patients had a median (interguartile range) NIHSS score of 14.0 (9.0-18.0), and the mean ± SD HbA<sub>1c</sub> was 7.1 ± 1.4. The proportions of patients with a current smoker, guitter, and nonsmoker status were 20.1%, 13.8%, and 66.1%, respectively. The proportion of patients with diabetes diagnosed at admission was 184 (13.6%). Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics and variables of the patients according to HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels at admission. Generally, patients with higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels at admission were significantly younger and included a lower proportion of men than those with lower levels of HbA1c. Atrial fibrillation and hypertension were less prevalent among patients with a high HbA<sub>1c</sub> level. Patients with higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> had a higher prevalence of large artery

atherosclerosis and a lower prevalence of cardioembolism.

Table 2 shows the prevalence of END and differences in functional outcomes according to HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels. END was more prevalent in patients with higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels (P = 0.02 according to quintiles, P = 0.003 according to the cutoff value). The proportion of patients with symptomatic hemorrhage was significantly higher in those with higher quintiles of  $HbA_{1c}$  (P = 0.033), and stroke progression was more commonly found in patients with  $HbA_{1c}$  >7.0% than in those with HbA<sub>1c</sub>  $\leq$ 7.0% (20.2 vs. 15.5%, P = 0.029). The proportion of patients with mRS  $\leq 1$  was significantly lower among patients with higher  $HbA_{1c}$  (P = 0.006 according to quintiles, P = 0.007 according to the cutoff value).

Table 3 shows procedure-related outcomes according to  $HbA_{1c}$  levels. While endovascular procedure time was longer in patients with higher  $HbA_{1c}$  (P = 0.017 according to quintiles, P = 0.005according to the cutoff value), the degree of recanalization was not significantly different according to the  $HbA_{1c}$ level.

Table 4 shows the associations between HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels at admission and functional outcomes at 3 months. Compared with those in the 2nd quintile (>6.1-6.6% [43-49 mmol/mol]), patients in the 4th quintile (>7.1-7.9% [54-63 mmol/mol]) and 5th quintile (>7.9% [63 mmol/mol]) had a significantly lower probability of achieving the favorable functional outcome of mRS  $\leq$ 1 after multivariable adjustment (model 2: 4th quintile OR 0.40 [95% CI 0.26, 0.63], 5th quintile 0.43 [0.28, 0.68]; model 3: 4th quintile 0.43 [0.27, 0.68], 5th quintile 0.47 [0.29, 0.75]). The probability of achieving the favorable outcome of mRS  $\leq$ 1 was also significantly lower in the 1st quintile group (model 2: 0.56 [0.37, 0.85]; model 3: 0.59 [0.38, 0.91]). When HbA<sub>1c</sub> was used as a binary variable, the probability of achieving a favorable outcome was also significantly lower in those with HbA1c >7.0% (53 mmol/ mol) compared with those with HbA1c ≤7.0% (model 2: 0.51 [0.38, 0.69]; model 3: 0.53 [0.39, 0.72]). The analysis using patients with complete data is presented in Supplementary Table 1.

The associations among  $HbA_{1c}$  at admission, achievement of functional independence (mRS  $\leq 2$ ), and a favorable shift in mRS (ordinal) were also

evaluated. Multivariable models 2 and 3 showed a significantly lower probability of achieving functional independence per mRS  $\leq 2$  in the 5th quintile (as quintiles) and HbA<sub>1c</sub> >7.0% (53 mmol/mol) (as a cutoff value). The probability of achieving a favorable shift in mRS was significantly lower in the 4th and 5th quintiles (as quintiles) and HbA<sub>1c</sub> >7.0% (53 mmol/mol) (as a cut-off value). Sensitivity analysis after excluding premorbid neurological disability (premorbid mRS >1) showed consistent results, with higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels being associated with poorer functional outcomes (Supplementary Table 2).

Figure 1 shows that the influence of prestroke glucose control ( $\leq$ 7.0 vs. >7.0% [53 mmol/mol]) on favorable functional outcomes was consistently observed according to prespecified subgroups, including age, sex, stroke subtype, occlusion site, degree of recanalization, thrombolysis modalities, time from symptom onset to groin puncture, and treatment period. According to the treatment modalities, such as whether bridging therapy with IVT was performed, there was no significant heterogeneity between higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> and decreased odds of favorable outcomes (IAT only: OR 0.56 [95% CI 0.36, 0.87]; IVT + IAT: 0.47 [0.31, 0.73]; P for interaction = 0.586). The subgroup analyses did not reveal any significant HbA<sub>1c</sub> effect modification of occlusion site (anterior circulation: 0.51 [0.37, 0.71]; posterior circulation: 0.67 [0.26, 1.73]: P for interaction = 0.594) and treatment period (before 2015: 0.37 [0.20, 0.69]; after 2015: 0.59 [0.41, 0.84]; P for interaction = 0.203). The association between prestroke glucose control and various neurological outcomes (mRS 0-2, ordinal mRS) was still consistent according to each prespecified subgroup (Supplementary Table 3).

## CONCLUSIONS

Our current study showed that prestroke glucose control was associated with functional outcomes in patients with emergent large vessel occlusive stroke and diabetes who underwent IAT. Specifically, high levels of HbA<sub>1c</sub> at admission were significantly associated with a decreased odds of good functional recovery regardless of the stroke subtype, occlusion site, degree of recanalization, thrombolysis modality, time from symptom onset to groin puncture,

				HbA <sub>1c</sub> quin	tile			Т	łbA <sub>1c</sub> cutoff	
	Total	1st (≤6.1%)	2nd (>6.1–6.6%)	3rd (>6.6–7.1%)	4th (>7.1–7.9%)	5th (>7.9%)	P value	≤7.0%	>7.0%	<i>P</i> value
Patients, <i>n</i>	1,351	305	281	244	259	262		796	555	
Age (years)	72 (65–78)	76 (67– 80)	73 (66–78)	72 (65–78)	72 (65–77)	68 (60–75)	< 0.001	74 (66–79)	70 (62–77)	<0.001
Male sex	777 (57.5)	148 (48.5)	168 (59.8)	140 (57.4)	156 (60.2)	165 (63.0)	0.005	434 (54.5)	343 (61.8)	0.008
Initial NIHSS	14 (9–18)	14 (10–18)	15 (10–19)	14 (9–18)	13 (8–18)	13 (8–18)	0.077	14 (10–18)	13 (8–18)	<0.001
Premorbid mRS 0–1	1,133 (83.9)	240 (78.7)	245 (87.2)	211 (86.5)	224 (86.5)	213 (81.3)	0.016	667 (83.8)	466 (84.0)	0.933
Education level (years)							0.034			<0.001
0	147 (10.9)	44 (14.4)	34 (12.1)	34 (13.9)	18 (6.9)	17 (6.5)		112 (14.1)	35 (6.3)	
$\leq$ 12 (high school)	1,027 (76.0)	223 (73.1)	211 (75.1)	178 (73.0)	203 (78.4)	212 (80.9)		581 (73.0)	446 (80.4)	
>12 (university)	177 (13.1)	38 (12.5)	36 (12.8)	32 (13.1)	38 (14.7)	33 (12.6)		103 (12.9)	74 (13.3)	
Risk factor										
Previous stroke	298 (22.1)	77 (25.2)	66 (23.5)	56 (23.0)	56 (21.6)	43 (16.4)	0.129	194 (24.4)	104 (18.7)	0.014
Coronary heart disease	212 (15.7)	42 (13.8)	52 (18.5)	32 (13.1)	45 (17.4)	41 (15.6)	0.365	123 (15.5)	89 (16.0)	0.772
Hypertension	1,070 (79.2)	258 (84.6)	230 (81.9)	193 (79.1)	199 (76.8)	190 (72.5)	0.006	656 (82.4)	414 (74.6)	<0.001
Smoking							0.016			<0.001
No	893 (66.1)	215 (70.5)	188 (66.9)	158 (64.8)	161 (62.2)	171 (65.3)		543 (68.2)	350 (63.1)	
Current	272 (20.1)	42 (13.8)	50 (17.8)	50 (20.5)	66 (25.5)	64 (24.4)		132 (16.6)	140 (25.2)	
Quit	186 (13.8)	48 (15.7)	43 (15.3)	36 (14.8)	32 (12.4)	27 (10.3)		121 (15.2)	65 (11.7)	
Atrial fibrillation	647 (47.9)	152 (49.8)	155 (55.2)	124 (50.8)	128 (49.4)	88 (33.6)	< 0.001	414 (52.0)	233 (42.0)	<0.001
Diabetes diagnosed at	184 (13.6)	32 (10.5)	46 (16.4)	44 (18.0)	29 (11.2)	33 (12.6)	0.04	113 (14.2)	71 (12.8)	0.46
Occlusion site							0.151			0.046
A station of the state of the s	10 201 724 4	10 00/ 120	1000/110	11 100 11	10 00/ 110	10/ VCC		100 /00 CV		
Anterior circulation Posterior circulation	1,1/b (8/.U) 175 (13.0)	271 (88.9) 34 (11.1)	(89.3) 30 (10.7)	(1.88) 212 (11.9) 29	(0.217) 44 (17.0)	(2.24 (85.5) 38 (14.5)		(0.88) cU/ (11.4)	471 (84.9) 84 (15.1)	
TOAST criteria							0.003			0.001
LAD	383 (28.3)	82 (26.9)	68 (24.2)	68 (27.9)	68 (26.3)	97 (37.0)		210 (26.4)	173 (31.2)	
CE	655 (48.5)	158 (51.8)	154 (54.8)	124 (50.8)	116 (44.8)	103 (39.3)		419 (52.6)	236 (42.5)	
Others	313 (23.2)	65 (21.3)	59 (21.0)	52 (21.3)	75 (29.0)	62 (23.7)		167 (21.0)	146 (26.3)	
Laboratory findings										
WBC count ( $ imes 10^9$ /L)	8 (7–11)	8 (6–10)	8 (6–10)	9 (7–11)	9 (7–11)	9 (7–11)	< 0.001	8 (6–10)	9 (7–11)	<0.001
Hemoglobin (mg/dL)	13 (12–15)	13 (12–14)	13 (12–15)	13 (12–15)	13 (12–15)	14 (13–15)	< 0.001	13 (12–15)	14 (12–15)	<0.001
Platelets ( $ imes 10^9$ /L)	212 (172–258)	211 (168–261)	210 (172–249)	211 (169–257)	213 (167–263)	216 (183–261)	0.410	211 (169–254)	214 (176–263)	0.013
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	151 (128–182)	149 (124–179)	148 (129–177)	149 (123–176)	152 (130–176)	163 (131–204)	< 0.001	149 (126–177)	156 (131–192)	< 0.001
LDL (mg/dL)	90 (69–116)	87 (69–114)	88 (68–113)	87 (66–112)	90 (69–109)	99 (73–133)	< 0.001	87 (68–112)	95 (71–123)	< 0.001
HDL (mg/dL)	42 (35–50)	42 (36–51)	43 (34–51)	42 (35–49)	43 (35–51)	42 (35–49)	0.699	42 (35–50)	42 (35–50)	0.938
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	102 (73–149)	96 (69–131)	101 (71–150)	103 (75–145)	102 (75–151)	114 (83–172)	< 0.001	97 (71–137)	108 (80–162)	<0.001
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	149 (119–193)	123 (105–147)	141 (116–172)	148 (123–182)	164 (127–207)	203 (158–256)	< 0.001	134 (112–165)	182 (140–225)	<0.001
Initial BP										
SBP (mmHg)	142 (127–161)	140 (121–159)	145 (127–163)	145 (130–160)	148 (128–163)	144 (124–163)	0.026	140 (125–160)	146 (128–163)	0.011
DBP (mmHg)	80 (70–90)	(06–69) 08	80 (70–90)	80 (73–90)	81 (73–96)	81 (72–93)	0.002	80 (70– 90)	81 (72–94)	<0.001
IVT + IAT	628 (46.5)	128 (42.0)	131 (46.6)	122 (50.0)	128 (49.4)	119 (45.4)	0.316	362 (45.5)	266 (47.9)	0.374
Time from symptom onset to groin puncture (min)	275 (178–551)	270 (165–550)	260 (168–540)	267 (177–560)	287 (185–525)	290 (185–604)	0.631	265 (170–547)	288 (187–570)	0.011
									Continued	on p. 2144

Table 1–Baseline characteristics of the patients according to  $HbA_{1c}$  quintiles and a cutoff value of 7.0%

	ć	Ľ
	÷	ĩ
	2	1
	5	-
1	1	
	7	
	P	
	Q	2
I	C	J
		ĩ
		L
1		
	٦.	
	¢	Ľ
1	7	-
1	÷	
	¢	C
- 14	-	

Ist         2nd         3rd         4th         5th         57.0% $P$ Prior medication         ( $\leq 6.1\%$ )         ( $>6.1-6.6\%$ )         ( $>6.6-7.1\%$ )         ( $>7.1-7.9\%$ )         ( $>7.9\%$ ) $P$ value $\leq 7.0\%$ $>7.0\%$ $P$ Prior medication         ( $\leq 6.1\%$ )         ( $>6.1-6.6\%$ )         ( $>6.6-7.1\%$ )         ( $>7.1-7.9\%$ )         ( $>7.9\%$ ) $P$ value $\leq 7.0\%$ $>7.0\%$ $P$ Antiplatelet         445         ( $37.0$ )         92         ( $32.7$ )         89         ( $36.5$ ) $79$ ( $30.5$ ) $72$ ( $27.5$ )         0.093 $285$ ( $35.8$ )         0.6           Antiblatelet         160         ( $11.8$ )         43         ( $14.1$ )         41         ( $14.6$ ) $26$ ( $10.7$ ) $24$ ( $9.3$ ) $26$ ( $9.7$ ) $0.17$ Antiblatelet         160         ( $11.8$ )         43         ( $14.1$ )         41 $14.6$ $26$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.7$ <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>нрА<sub>1с</sub> quir</th><th>ITIIE</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th><math>HDA_{1c}</math> cutoff</th><th></th></t<>					нрА <sub>1с</sub> quir	ITIIE				$HDA_{1c}$ cutoff	
Total         ( $\leq 6.1\%$ )         (> $5.1-6.6\%$ )         (> $5.6-7.1\%$ )         (> $7.1-7.9\%$ )         P value $\leq 7.0\%$ > $7.0\%$ P value           Prior medication         Antiplatelet         445 ( $32.9$ )         113 ( $37.0$ )         92 ( $32.7$ )         89 ( $36.5$ )         79 ( $30.5$ )         72 ( $27.5$ )         0.093         285 ( $35.8$ )         160 ( $28.8$ )         0.0           Antiplatelet         445 ( $11.8$ )         43 ( $14.1$ )         41 ( $14.6$ )         26 ( $10.7$ )         24 ( $9.3$ )         26 ( $9.9$ )         0.170         107 ( $13.4$ )         53 ( $9.5$ )         0.0           Antihypertension         898 ( $66.5$ )         223 ( $73.1$ )         200 ( $71.2$ )         159 ( $65.2$ )         160 ( $61.8$ )         156 ( $92.5$ )         0.02         562 ( $70.6$ )         336 ( $60.5$ )         <0           Ipid-lowering agent         440 ( $32.6$ )         95 ( $31.2$ )         163 ( $66.8$ )         189 ( $73.0$ )         186 ( $71.0$ )         0.127 ( $53.6$ ( $57.3$ )         303 ( $70.8$ )         0.1           Anticibetic         922 ( $68.8$ )         213 ( $92.8$ )         163 ( $66.8$ )         189 ( $73.0$ )         186 ( $71.0$ )         0.127 ( $53.6$ ( $57.3$ )         303 ( $70.8$ )         0.1			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th				
Prior medicationPrior medicationAntiplatelet $445$ (32.9) $113$ (37.0) $92$ (32.7) $89$ (36.5) $79$ (30.5) $72$ (27.5) $0.093$ $285$ (35.8) $160$ (28.8) $0.0$ Anticoagulant $160$ (11.8) $43$ (14.1) $41$ (14.6) $26$ (10.7) $24$ (9.3) $26$ (9.9) $0.170$ $107$ (13.4) $53$ (9.5) $0.0$ Antihypertension $898$ (66.5) $223$ (73.1) $200$ (71.2) $159$ (65.2) $160$ (61.8) $156$ (59.5) $0.002$ $562$ (70.6) $336$ (60.5) $<0$ Lipid-lowering agent $440$ (32.6) $95$ (31.2) $107$ (38.1) $78$ (32.0) $93$ (35.9) $67$ (25.6) $0.023$ $273$ (34.3) $167$ (30.1) $0.1$ Antidabetic $929$ (68.8) $178$ (69.8) $178$ (63.3) $163$ (66.8) $189$ (73.0) $186$ (71.0) $0.127$ $536$ (67.3) $393$ (70.8) $0.1$		Total	(≤6.1%)	(>6.1–6.6%)	(>6.6–7.1%)	(>7.1–7.9%)	(>7.9%)	P value	≤7.0%	>7.0%	P value
Antiplatelet         445 (32.9)         113 (37.0)         92 (32.7)         89 (36.5)         79 (30.5)         72 (27.5)         0.093         285 (35.8)         160 (28.8)         0.0           Anticoagulant         160 (11.8)         43 (14.1)         41 (14.6)         26 (10.7)         24 (9.3)         26 (9.9)         0.170         107 (13.4)         53 (9.5)         0.0           Antihypertension         898 (66.5)         223 (73.1)         200 (71.2)         159 (65.2)         160 (61.8)         156 (59.5)         0.002         562 (70.6)         336 (60.5) $<0$ Lipid-lowering agent         440 (32.6)         95 (31.2)         107 (38.1)         78 (32.0)         93 (35.9)         67 (25.6)         0.023         273 (34.3)         167 (30.1)         0.1           Antidiabetic         929 (68.8)         178 (63.3)         163 (66.8)         189 (73.0)         186 (71.0)         0.127         536 (67.3)         303 (70.8)         0.1	Prior medication										
Anticoagulant       160 (11.8)       43 (14.1)       41 (14.6)       26 (10.7)       24 (9.3)       26 (9.9)       0.170       107 (13.4)       53 (9.5)       0.0         Antihypertension       898 (66.5)       223 (73.1)       200 (71.2)       159 (65.2)       160 (61.8)       156 (59.5)       0.002       562 (70.6)       336 (60.5)       <0	Antiplatelet	445 (32.9)	113 (37.0)	92 (32.7)	89 (36.5)	79 (30.5)	72 (27.5)	0.093	285 (35.8)	160 (28.8)	0.007
Antihypertension         898 (66.5)         223 (73.1)         200 (71.2)         159 (65.2)         160 (61.8)         156 (59.5)         0.002         562 (70.6)         336 (60.5)         <0           Lipid-lowering agent         440 (32.6)         95 (31.2)         107 (38.1)         78 (32.0)         93 (35.9)         67 (25.6)         0.023         273 (34.3)         167 (30.1)         0.1           Antidiabetic         929 (68.8)         213 (69.8)         178 (63.3)         163 (66.8)         189 (73.0)         186 (71.0)         0.127         536 (67.3)         393 (70.8)         0.1	Anticoagulant	160 (11.8)	43 (14.1)	41 (14.6)	26 (10.7)	24 (9.3)	26 (9.9)	0.170	107 (13.4)	53 (9.5)	0.029
Lipid-lowering agent 440 (32.6) 95 (31.2) 107 (38.1) 78 (32.0) 93 (35.9) 67 (25.6) 0.023 273 (34.3) 167 (30.1) 0. Antidiabetic 929 (68.8) 213 (69.8) 178 (63.3) 163 (66.8) 189 (73.0) 186 (71.0) 0.127 536 (67.3) 393 (70.8) 0.	Antihypertension	898 (66.5)	223 (73.1)	200 (71.2)	159 (65.2)	160 (61.8)	156 (59.5)	0.002	562 (70.6)	336 (60.5)	<0.001
Antidiabetic 929 (68.8) 213 (69.8) 178 (63.3) 163 (66.8) 189 (73.0) 186 (71.0) 0.127 536 (67.3) 393 (70.8) 0.2	Lipid-lowering agent	440 (32.6)	95 (31.2)	107 (38.1)	78 (32.0)	93 (35.9)	67 (25.6)	0.023	273 (34.3)	167 (30.1)	0.105
	Antidiabetic	929 (68.8)	213 (69.8)	178 (63.3)	163 (66.8)	189 (73.0)	186 (71.0)	0.127	536 (67.3)	393 (70.8)	0.175
		הולממו הווכ ומוופר/ מוורה		V to compare the						c sicca biccarci	
can are in (v) or income (income in called) and the income in another interval in and the call and the	artery disease.										

and treatment period. Prior studies reported the association between high HbA<sub>1c</sub> and poor functional outcomes in acute ischemic stroke after endovascular thrombectomy (7,8). However, patients without diabetes were more frequently included, and HbA1c levels were relatively lower in those studies. In the study by Choi et al. (7), the proportion of patients with diabetes was 37.7% with a mean HbA1c level of 6.2% (44 mmol/ mol). According to the most recent study by Diprose et al. (8), the proportion of patients with diabetes was 21.1%, with a median HbA<sub>1c</sub> level of 5.7% (39 mmol/ mol). Compared with prior studies, the current study had the highest mean HbA<sub>1c</sub> level of 7.1% (54 mmol/mol), a higher rate of symptomatic hemorrhage (5.9% in the current study, 5.4% reported by Choi et al., and 4.5% reported by Diprose et al.) and a lower proportion of subjects achieving mRS 0-1 (21.3% in the current study and 24.7% reported by Choi et al.) and mRS 0-2 (33.2% in the current study, 37.6% reported by Choi et al., and 59.2% reported by Diprose et al.) at 3 months. The strong point of the current study is that the study population was confined to patients with diabetes who were enrolled from multiple participating centers, which enabled the evaluation of prestroke glucose control on clinical outcomes. Our current study showed that higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> at admission was associated with

factors associated with poor clinical outcomes, such as the occurrence of END, longer procedure time, and high levels of serum cholesterol, LDL, and fasting glucose. END was more common in patients with poor prestroke glucose control. The causes of END in acute ischemic stroke include stroke progression, stroke recurrence, and reperfusion injury (12). Several mechanisms are suggested to explain the harmful effects of hyperglycemia on ischemic stroke outcomes via END. Hyperglycemia induces the evolution of an infarct by inhibiting vasodilation after recanalization therapy (13). Hyperglycemia stimulates the release of thromboxane A2 and inhibits the production of endothelium-derived nitric oxide, both of which result in vasoconstriction (14,15). Hyperglycemia aggravates reperfusion injuries by increasing the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), proinflammatory cytokines, and lactic acid (13). ROS-related oxidative stress and

proinflammatory cytokines promote inflammatory cell infiltration to the vascular endothelium and breakdown of the blood-brain barrier and lead to symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage and cerebral edema in ischemic brain tissues (16,17). Anaerobic glycolysis increases intracellular lactate accumulation and acidosis (18). Endovascular procedure time was longer in patients with higher levels of HbA<sub>1c</sub>, which may have been related to the deleterious effect of hyperglycemia on the inhibition of recanalization via the stimulation of coagulation and a reduction in both endogenous fibrinolytic activity and the activity of the administered recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (19,20). Considering that intracranial atherosclerosis-related large vessel occlusion usually requires a longer procedure time and rescue therapy (21,22), the higher proportion of large artery atherosclerosis in the poor prestroke glucose control group may explain the longer procedure time. Patients with poor prestroke glucose control had significantly higher total cholesterol, LDL, and fasting glucose, and these metabolic derangements may also have deleterious effects on functional recovery.

Contrary to prior studies that reported that the influence of high HbA<sub>1c</sub> on functional recovery was more prominent among the subgroup of patients with complete recanalization (7), our study showed that such an effect of high HbA<sub>1c</sub> was consistent among all the patients, regardless of the degree of recanalization. Previous studies showed that hyperglycemia at admission is inversely correlated with neurological improvement only in the patients receiving early recanalization, which implies that the detrimental effect of glucose depends on the occurrence of recanalization and the extent of penumbra tissue (23,24). Neurotoxicity induced by hyperglycemia in the acute phase may exacerbate the reperfusion injury. However, the accumulation of microvascular damage, impaired oxygenation, lactate, and intracellular acidosis and the decrement of cerebrovascular blood flow induced by chronic hyperglycemia may make the brain more vulnerable to ischemic injury and delay neurological recovery, regardless of the recanalization status (25).

The results of several clinical trials (e.g., Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes, Action in Diabetes and

				HbA <sub>1c</sub> qui	ntiles			н	$bA_{1c}$ cutoff	
	Total	1st (≤6.1%)	2nd (>6.1–6.6%)	3rd (>6.6-7.1%)	4th (>7.1-7.9%)	5th (>7.9%)	P value	≤7.0%	>7.0%	P value
Patients, n	1,351	305	281	244	259	262		796	555	
END	360 (26.6)	61 (20.0)	76 (27.0)	63 (25.8)	82 (31.7)	78 (29.8)	0.02	188 (23.6)	172 (31.0)	0.003
Stroke progression	235 (17.4)	43 (14.1)	43 (15.3)	46 (18.9)	56 (21.6)	47 (17.9)	0.15	123 (15.5)	112 (20.2)	0.029
Symptomatic hemorrhage	80 (5.9)	9 (3.0)	22 (7.8)	11 (4.5)	16 (6.2)	22 (8.4)	0.033	39 (4.9)	41 (7.4)	0.074
mRS $\leq 1$	288 (21.3)	55 (18.0)	77 (27.4)	62 (25.4)	44 (17.0)	50 (19.1)	0.006	190 (23.9)	98 (17.7)	0.007
$mRS \leq 2$	448 (33.2)	95 (31.1)	99 (35.2)	90 (36.9)	88 (34.0)	76 (29.0)	0.31	278 (34.9)	170 (30.6)	0.11

Table 2-END occurrence and functional outcomes according to HbA1c quintiles and a cutoff value of 7.0%

Data are *n* (%) unless otherwise indicated. *P* values by  $\chi^2$  test.

Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicron MR Controlled Evaluation, and Veterans Affairs Diabetes Trial) showed that intensive glycemic control did not reduce cardiovascular or overall mortality and that achieving an HbA<sub>1c</sub> <7%(53 mmol/mol) is generally recommended (26-28). A more stringent HbA<sub>1c</sub> target of <6.5% (48 mmol/mol) might be appropriate among patients with a longer life expectancy, shorter duration of diabetes, and fewer comorbidities (29). The study by the Fukuoka Stroke Registry investigators revealed that poor prestroke glucose control (HbA<sub>1c</sub> ≥6.9% [52 mmol/mol]) was associated with more neurological deterioration, less neurological improvement, and poor functional outcome (30). A study that exclusively examined patients with acute large vessel occlusive stroke after IAT also reported that the probability of achieving good functional recovery was significantly

lower in those with  $HbA_{\rm 1c}$  >7.0% (53 mmol/mol) (7).

The results of our study are consistent with previous studies that prestroke glucose control with a target  $HbA_{1c}$   ${\leq}7.0\%$ (53 mmol/mol) may confer a benefit on neurological recovery after stroke in patients who undergo IAT. However, it should be noted that the probability of achieving nondisabling functional recovery (mRS 0-1) was significantly lower in the 1st quintile of  $HbA_{1c}$  ( $\leq 6.1\%$  [43 mmol/mol]) compared with that in the 2nd guintile. The decreased probability of achieving a nondisabling outcome in this group was comparable with that in the higher quintile groups. The relationship between  $HbA_{1c}$  and vascular events and mortality showed a U-shaped association in several previous cohort studies, which emphasizes the necessity of including a lower threshold of HbA1c (31,32). The possible causes for the lower level of

HbA<sub>1c</sub> in patients with diabetes include excessive control of blood glucose, restriction or poor intake of food, low hemoglobin or hemoglobinopathies, etc. Because the mean level of hemoglobin was 12.6 ± 2.2 mg/dL in the 1st quintile group, the accumulation of chronic hypoglycemia caused by improper dosing of antidiabetic medications or excessive food restriction were presumed to be a reason for the lower HbA<sub>1c</sub>. Prolonged hypoglycemia could increase the risk of vascular complications by activating platelet aggregation and fibrinogen formation, increasing proinflammatory mediators (vascular cell adhesion molecule, ROS, inflammatory cytokines, and tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ ), and inducing endothelial dysfunction (33). Patients with established vascular disease or arrhythmia are more susceptible to the adverse effects of hypoglycemia (34,35). In the current study, the proportion of stroke subtypes was as

				HbA <sub>1c</sub> quint	tiles			Hb	$A_{1c}$ cutoff	
	Total	1st (≤6.1%)	2nd (>6.1–6.6%)	3rd (>6.6–7.1%)	4th (>7.1-7.9%)	5th (>7.9%)	P value	≤7.0%	>7.0%	P value
Patients, n	1,351	305	281	244	259	262		796	555	
Procedure time (min)	45 (27–74)	46.5 (28–80)	40 (22–70)	40.0 (26-8.5)	51.0 (35–0)	45 (28–4)	0.017	43 (25–70.5)	48 (30–8.0)	0.005
Successful recanalization (TICI $\geq$ 2b)	1,064 (78.8)	241 (79.0)	225 (80.1)	189 (77.5)	199 (76.8)	210 (80.2)	0.840	630 (79.1)	434 (78.2)	0.675
Incomplete	287 (21.2)	64 (21.0)	56 (19.9)	55 (22.5)	60 (23.2)	52 (19.8)	0.833	166 (20.9)	121 (21.8)	0.731
TICI 2b	421 (31.2)	104 (34.1)	82 (29.2)	70 (28.7)	80 (30.9)	85 (32.4)		244 (30.7)	177 (31.9)	
TICI 3	643 (47.6)	137 (44.9)	143 (50.9)	119 (48.8)	119 (45.9)	125 (47.7)		386 (48.5)	257 (46.3)	

Table 3-Procedure	time and reconalization	tion degree accordin	ato. ∐hA, auin	tiles and a cutoff	value of 70%
rable 5-Procedure	e time and recanaliza	tion degree accordin	α ιο πραις αμιπ	lilles and a culon	value of 7.0%

Data are *n* (%) or median (interquartile range) unless otherwise indicated. *P* values by  $\chi^2$  test, Kruskal-Wallis test, and Wilcoxon rank sum test.

			OR (95	;% CI)			0	common OR (95% CI	
	-	mRS 0–1 at 3 month	S	L	nRS 0–2 at 3 month	IS		mRS at 3 months	
HbA <sub>1c</sub> level	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Quintile									
1st (≤6.1%)	0.58 (0.39, 0.86)	0.56 (0.37, 0.85)	0.59 (0.38, 0.91)	0.83 (0.59, 1.17)	0.83 (0.57, 1.21)	0.87 (0.58, 1.29)	0.86 (0.64, 1.14)	0.86 (0.64, 1.15)	0.91 (0.68, 1.23)
2nd (>6.1–6.6%)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)
3rd (>6.6–7.1%)	0.90 (0.61, 1.33)	0.80 (0.52, 1.21)	0.84 (0.54, 1.31)	1.07 (0.75, 1.54)	0.97 (0.65, 1.43)	1.05 (0.69, 1.58)	1.05 (0.78, 1.42)	0.94 (0.69, 1.27)	0.99 (0.73, 1.35)
4th (>7.1–7.9%)	0.54 (0.36, 0.82)	0.40 (0.26, 0.63)	0.43 (0.27, 0.68)	0.95 (0.66, 1.35)	0.73 (0.49, 1.08)	0.81 (0.54, 1.22)	0.82 (0.61, 1.11)	0.63 (0.47, 0.85)	0.67 (0.49, 0.91)
5th (>7.9%)	0.62 (0.42, 0.94)	0.43 (0.28, 0.68)	0.47 (0.29, 0.75)	0.75 (0.52, 1.08)	0.49 (0.33, 0.73)	0.54 (0.35, 0.82)	0.79 (0.59, 1.06)	0.55 (0.41, 0.75)	0.59 (0.43, 0.80)
P value for overall effect	0.0069	<0.0001	0.0007	0.3159	0.0049	0.0218	0.2674	0.0002	0.0008
Cutoff									
≤7.0%	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)
>7.0%	0.68 (0.52, 0.90)	0.51 (0.38, 0.69)	0.53 (0.39, 0.72)	0.82 (0.65, 1.04)	0.60 (0.46, 0.77)	0.63 (0.48, 0.83)	0.82 (0.67, 0.99)	0.62 (0.51, 0.75)	0.63 (0.52, 0.78)
P value for overall effect	0.0063	<0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0993	0.0001	0.0008	0.0361	<0.0001	<0.0001
Model 1, unadjusted. Mo hypertension. occlusion si	del 2, adjusted for te. hemoglobin. tota	age, sex, initial NIH al cholesterol. onset	SS, education level. to groin puncture ti	Model 3, adjusted me. and degree of	for age, sex, initial recanalization. Ref.	NIHSS, education li reference.	evel, ischemic strok	e subtype, smoking	status, history of

follows: large artery atherosclerosis, 28.3%; and cardioembolism, 48.5%. Patients with large artery atherosclerosis had preexisting significant intra- or extracranial atherosclerosis, which is equivalent to established vascular disease. Most of the patients with cardioembolism had atrial fibrillation.

Our study has several limitations. Because we could not assess the status of acute glucose control during hospitalization, the effect of glucose treatment after ischemic stroke could not be analyzed. The harmful effects of prior exposure to chronic hyperglycemia may persist through epigenetic modifications (36). This metabolic memory effect could have an influence on functional recovery after stroke despite adequate glucose management during and after admission. Owing to the retrospective, registrybased observational nature of the study, the type of diabetes could not be assessed, and discriminating type 1 from type 2 was not feasible. However, the proportion of type 1 diabetes was estimated to be <5% among the total number of patients with diabetes, and the prevalence of type 1 diabetes in the entire Korean population has been shown to be small, ranging between 0.017 and 0.021% (37,38). Therefore, most of the study patients were presumed to have had type 2 diabetes. Additionally, the effect of unmeasured confounders cannot be ignored. Various factors, including socioeconomic status, premorbid cognitive function, marital status, caregiver support, and poststroke depression, could not be measured in this registry-based study and may affect functional recovery (39). Education level, which may partially reflect premorbid cognitive function and socioeconomic status, was adjusted to overcome this limitation. Education level is more closely related to functional recovery than income (40). The single-ethnicity population (East Asian) included in the current study may limit the generalizability of the findings.

In conclusion, better prestroke glucose control was associated with disability-free functional recovery in patients with diabetes receiving endovascular therapy for large vessel occlusive stroke. Prestroke glucose control with a target HbA<sub>1c</sub>  $\leq$  7.0% (53 mmol/mol) may have beneficial effects on neurological recovery after stroke and subsequent IAT

Subgroup	Number			Odds Ratio P-v (95% CI) inte	alue for eraction
Age			I		0.673
≤80	1122			0.53 (0.39, 0.74)	
>80	229	•	-	0.42 (0.14, 1.24)	
Sex			1		0.222
Female	574	-		0.69 (0.40, 1.17)	
Male	777			0.46 (0.31, 0.67)	
TOAST			1		0.471
LAD	383			0.40 (0.23, 0.70)	
CE	655	•		0.63 (0.40, 0.99)	
Others	313			0.52 (0.27, 0.97)	
Occlusion site			1		0.594
Anterior circulation	1176			0.51 (0.37, 0.71)	
Posterior circulation	175	•		0.67 (0.26, 1.73)	
Recanalization degree			1		0.439
Incomplete	287	•		0.67 (0.25, 1.81)	
TICI 2b	421			0.39 (0.23, 0.68)	
TICI 3	643			0.59 (0.39, 0.88)	
Thrombolysis modalities			1		0.586
IAT only	723			0.56 (0.36, 0.87)	
IVT+IAT	628			0.47 (0.31, 0.73)	
Onset to puncture			1		0.658
≤ 360	858			0.55 (0.37, 0.81)	
> 360	493			0.48 (0.28, 0.79)	
Treatment period			1		0.203
Before 2015	363			0.37 (0.20, 0.69)	
After 2015	988			0.59 (0.41, 0.84)	
Overall	1351			0.53 (0.39, 0.72)	
	l .125	HbA1c $\leq 7.0$ better 1	HbA1c >7.0 better	8	

Figure 1—Influence of prestroke glucose control on neurological outcomes according to age, sex, stroke subtype, occlusion site, recanalization degree, thrombolysis modalities, time from symptom onset to groin puncture, and treatment period. CE, cardioembolism; LAD, large artery disease.

regardless of stroke subtype, bridging IVT, occlusion site, degree of recanalization, and treatment period. However, excessive glucose control with an HbA<sub>1c</sub>  $\leq$  6.1% (43 mmol/mol) may reduce the chance of neurologic recovery and, therefore, should be avoided. IAT for large vessel occlusive stroke is also beneficial in diabetes. The current study emphasizes the importance of optimal prestroke glucose management among patients with diabetes to maximize the benefits of IAT.

**Duality of Interest.** No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported. **Author Contributions.** J.Y.C. analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. J.Y.C. and M.-K.H. designed the study. W.-J.K., J.H.K.,

B.J.K., J.-T.K., J.L., J.K.C., D.-H.K., Y.-J.C., K.-S.H., S.J.L., J.-M.P., B.-C.L., M.S.O., S.-H.L., C.K., D.-E.K., K.B.L., T.H.P., J.C.C., D.-I.S., S.-I.S., and J.-H.H. contributed to the acquisition of data. J.S.L. and M.-K.H. provided support on statistical analysis methods. H.-J.B. and M.-K.H. contributed to the interpretation of the study findings and critical revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. M.-K.H. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

### References

 Parsons MW, Barber PA, Desmond PM, et al. Acute hyperglycemia adversely affects stroke outcome: a magnetic resonance imaging and spectroscopy study. Ann Neurol 2002;52:20–28
 Bruno A, Levine SR, Frankel MR, et al.; NINDS rt-PA Stroke Study Group. Admission glucose level and clinical outcomes in the NINDS rt-PA Stroke Trial. Neurology 2002;59:669–674

3. Song TJ, Chang Y, Chun MY, et al. High dietary glycemic load is associated with poor functional outcome in patients with acute cerebral infarction. J Clin Neurol 2018;14:165–173

4. Alvarez-Sabín J, Molina CA, Ribó M, et al. Impact of admission hyperglycemia on stroke outcome after thrombolysis: risk stratification in relation to time to reperfusion. Stroke 2004; 35:2493–2498

5. Kim JT, Jahan R, Saver JL; SWIFT Investigators. Impact of glucose on outcomes in patients treated with mechanical thrombectomy: a post hoc analysis of the Solitaire Flow Restoration With the Intention for Thrombectomy Study. Stroke 2016;47:120–127

6. Goyal N, Tsivgoulis G, Pandhi A, et al. Admission hyperglycemia and outcomes in large vessel occlusion strokes treated with mechanical thrombectomy. J Neurointerv Surg 2018;10: 112–117 7. Choi K-H, Kim J-H, Kang K-W, et al. HbA1c (glycated hemoglobin) levels and clinical outcome post-mechanical thrombectomy in patients with large vessel occlusion. Stroke 2019;50:119–126

8. Diprose WK, Wang MTM, McFetridge A, Sutcliffe J, Barber PA. Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and outcome following endovascular thrombectomy for ischemic stroke. J Neurointerv Surg 2020;12:30–32

9. Kim BJ, Park JM, Kang K, et al. Case characteristics, hyperacute treatment, and outcome information from the Clinical Research Center for Stroke-Fifth Division Registry in South Korea. J Stroke 2015;17:38–53

10. Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, et al. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: 2019 update to the 2018 guidelines for the early management of acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke 2019; 50:e344–e418

11. Jadhav AP, Molyneaux BJ, Hill MD, Jovin TG. Care of the post-thrombectomy patient. Stroke 2018;49:2801–2807

12. Thanvi B, Treadwell S, Robinson T. Early neurological deterioration in acute ischaemic stroke: predictors, mechanisms and management. Postgrad Med J 2008;84:412–417

13. Kruyt ND, Biessels GJ, Devries JH, Roos YB. Hyperglycemia in acute ischemic stroke: pathophysiology and clinical management. Nat Rev Neurol 2010;6:145–155

14. Jawerbaum A, Franchi AM, Gonzalez ET, Novaro V, de Gimeno MA. Hyperglycemia promotes elevated generation of TXA2 in isolated rat uteri. Prostaglandins 1995;50:47–56

15. Ding Y, Vaziri ND, Coulson R, Kamanna VS, Roh DD. Effects of simulated hyperglycemia, insulin, and glucagon on endothelial nitric oxide synthase expression. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2000;279:E11–E17

16. Bémeur C, Ste-Marie L, Montgomery J. Increased oxidative stress during hyperglycemic cerebral ischemia. Neurochem Int 2007;50: 890–904

17. Martini SR, Kent TA. Hyperglycemia in acute ischemic stroke: a vascular perspective. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2007;27:435–451  Anderson RE, Tan WK, Martin HS, Meyer FB. Effects of glucose and PaO2 modulation on cortical intracellular acidosis, NADH redox state, and infarction in the ischemic penumbra. Stroke 1999;30:160–170

19. Stegenga ME, van der Crabben SN, Levi M, et al. Hyperglycemia stimulates coagulation, whereas hyperinsulinemia impairs fibrinolysis in healthy humans. Diabetes 2006;55:1807–1812

20. Ribo M, Molina C, Montaner J, et al. Acute hyperglycemia state is associated with lower tPA-induced recanalization rates in stroke patients. Stroke 2005;36:1705–1709

21. Tsang ACO, Orru E, Klostranec JM, et al. Thrombectomy outcomes of intracranial atherosclerosis-related occlusions. Stroke 2019;50: 1460–1466

22. Li H, Zhang Y, Zhang L, et al. Endovascular treatment of acute ischemic stroke due to intracranial atherosclerotic large vessel occlusion: a systematic review. Clin Neuroradiol 2020;30 :777–787

23. Bruno A, Biller J, Adams HP Jr., et al.; Trial of ORG 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST) Investigators. Acute blood glucose level and outcome from ischemic stroke. Neurology 1999;52: 280–284

24. Alvarez-Sabín J, Molina CA, Montaner J, et al. Effects of admission hyperglycemia on stroke outcome in reperfused tissue plasminogen activatortreated patients. Stroke 2003;34:1235–1241

25. Kuwashiro T, Sugimori H, Ago T, Kuroda J, Kamouchi M, Kitazono T. The impact of predisposing factors on long-term outcome after stroke in diabetic patients: the Fukuoka Stroke Registry. Eur J Neurol 2013;20:921–927

26. Ismail-Beigi F, Craven T, Banerji MA, et al.; ACCORD trial group. Effect of intensive treatment of hyperglycaemia on microvascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes: an analysis of the ACCORD randomised trial. Lancet 2010;376:419–430

27. Patel A, MacMahon S, Chalmers J, et al.; ADVANCE Collaborative Group. Intensive blood glucose control and vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008;358: 2560–2572

28. Duckworth W, Abraira C, Moritz T, et al.; VADT Investigators. Glucose control and vascular complications in veterans with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2009;360:129–139 American Diabetes Association. 6. Glycemic targets: *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes*—2020. Diabetes Care 2020;43(Suppl. 1):S66–S76
 Kamouchi M, Matsuki T, Hata J, et al.; FSR

Investigators. Prestroke glycemic control is associated with the functional outcome in acute ischemic stroke: the Fukuoka Stroke Registry. Stroke 2011;42:2788–2794

31. Currie CJ, Peters JR, Tynan A, et al. Survival as a function of HbA(1c) in people with type 2 diabetes: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 2010;375:481–489

32. Huang ES, Liu JY, Moffet HH, John PM, Karter AJ. Glycemic control, complications, and death in older diabetic patients: the diabetes and aging study. Diabetes Care 2011;34:1329–1336

33. Smith L, Chakraborty D, Bhattacharya P, Sarmah D, Koch S, Dave KR. Exposure to hypoglycemia and risk of stroke. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2018;1431:25–34

34. Kranenburg G, van der Graaf Y, van der Leeuw J, et al.; SMART Study Group. The relation between HbA1c and cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes with and without vascular disease. Diabetes Care 2015;38:1930–1936

35. Chow E, Bernjak A, Williams S, et al. Risk of cardiac arrhythmias during hypoglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular risk. Diabetes 2014;63:1738–1747

36. Misra A, Bloomgarden Z. Metabolic memory: evolving concepts. J Diabetes 2018;10:186–187

37. DIAMOND Project Group. Incidence and trends of childhood type 1 diabetes worldwide 1990-1999. Diabet Med 2006;23:857–866

38. Song SO, Song YD, Nam JY, et al. Epidemiology of type 1 diabetes mellitus in Korea through an investigation of the National Registration Project of Type 1 Diabetes for the reimbursement of glucometer strips with additional analyses using claims data. Diabetes Metab J 2016;40:35–45

39. Dušica SP, Devečerski GV, Jovićević MN, Platiša NM. Stroke rehabilitation: which factors influence the outcome? Ann Indian Acad Neurol 2015;18:484–487

40. Putman K, De Wit L, Schoonacker M, et al. Effect of socioeconomic status on functional and motor recovery after stroke: a European multicentre study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2007;78:593–599