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#### Abstract

Background: Measles is in elimination phase in Iran. Following occurrence of two cases of measles in two children under six years old with the history of measles immunization in one of the villages affiliated to Bahar District, west of Hamadan Province, northwest of Iran, this study was conducted to determine the immunity status of people living in the village affected by the measles outbreak in spring of 2018. Study design: A cross-sectional (descriptive-analytical) study. Methods: Overall, 272 populations of $0-40 \mathrm{yr}$ old in the village affected by outbreak were enrolled. Multistage sampling was used for choosing participants. The data collection tool was a researcher-made checklist used to collect epidemiological data including demographic characteristics and vaccination status. Blood samples were taken from them and sent to the measles reference laboratory for lgG testing. The amount of optical density (OD) greater than 0.2 was considered as positive and less than 0.1 negative, respectively.

Results: The mean age of the study population was $17.4 \pm 11.8 \mathrm{yr}$ and the sex ratio was almost the same. Levels of antibodies against measles were positive in $63.24 \%$, intermediate in $12.5 \%$ and negative in $24.26 \%$. There was no significant difference between the immunity status of the subjects with gender ( $P=0.236$ ) and age group ( $P=0.113$ ). Pearson correlation results showed that in males there was a significant positive correlation between the age of the participants and the serum $\operatorname{lgG}$ level $(r=0.26$, $P=0.003$ ). Conclusion: Measles immunity in communities is not sufficient to prevent outbreaks and small epidemics, and it is recommended that periodically, serological assessments carried out at community level and especially at high-risk groups.


Citation: Karami M, Khazaei S, Zahraei SM, Mokhtari Azad T, Zahiri A, Moradi AR, Bathaei J, Javaheri M, Amiri J. Measles Outbreak in a Rural Population in Bahar District, Hamadan Province, West of Iran in 2018. J Res Health Sci. 2020; 20(1): e00470.
© 2020 The Author(s); Published by Hamadan University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Introduction

Measles is a highly contagious, acute viral disease and can result in symptoms and complications such as fever, rash, conjunctivitis, diarrhea, pneumonia, encephalitis, and sometimes death ${ }^{1}$. Despite the availability of a safe and effective measles vaccine, the disease continues to be a major health problem worldwide. Globally measles deaths have decreased by $73 \%$ from more than 536,000 deaths in 2000 to about 142,000 deaths in 2018. However, more than $95 \%$ of measles deaths occur in countries with low per capita income and poor health infrastructure ${ }^{2}$. Nearly $10 \%$ of under5 deaths in developing countries are attributable to measles ${ }^{2,3}$.

Vaccine failure and vaccination problems can be two reasons for children to be susceptible to measles. In general,
the gradual accumulation of disease susceptible individuals due to inadequate vaccination or failure to respond to immunization can predispose to measles outbreaks ${ }^{4}$. Approximately $95 \%$ of children who receive a measles vaccine at the age of 12 months develop antibodies against measles in their bodies. However, children receiving two doses of vaccine had a higher level of protection ${ }^{5,6}$.

The national immunization program policy follows the recommendations of WHO for developing countries, and two doses of MMR vaccine are inoculated at one year age and 18 months. Prior to the implementation of the mass immunization program in Iran, measles cases have been reported up to 150,000 in non-epidemic years and 500,000 in epidemic years.

Information from National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System (NNDSS) has shown a sharp decrease in the incidence of the disease in country ${ }^{6}$.

Numerous studies have investigated the role of some factors such as age, geographic area, history of measles vaccination, and migration history on immunity of population ${ }^{7-11}$, but few studies have examined the efficacy of measles vaccination and its predictors. The study carried out in the province shows almost complete coverage of measles vaccination at the provincial level ${ }^{12}$. Despite relatively complete vaccination against measles in accordance with the national immunization program, but there are still occurs cases of measles, including in Hamadan Province.

In July 2018, two cases of measles in two children under the age of six with the history of measles immunization was occurred in one of the suburbs of Bahar district. Since measles is in the elimination phase in Iran and even occurrence one case of measles can also be considered an outbreak, therefore, the present study was aimed to determine the measles immunity status of people living in the village affected by the measles outbreak in 2018.

## Methods

The present cross-sectional (descriptive-analytical) study was performed on 272 populations of $0-40 \mathrm{yr}$ old in the village affected by outbreak in Bahar District in 2018. Bahar District located in the west of Hamadan Province, northwest Iran. At the 2016 census, the county's population was 119,082 .

The justification for choosing this age group was that, the effectiveness of measles vaccine also was important for us. Therefore we assessed populations with history of measles vaccination. Mass immunization with live measles vaccine in Iran was introduce in 1967, therefore above 50 yr age population during this study did not have history of measles vaccination according routine national immunization program. In 2003 , through MR campaign $5-25$ yr populations were vaccinated, that they were in $20-40 \mathrm{yr}$ age group in 2018. Based on the foregoing, we decided assess immunity status of populations of $0-40 \mathrm{yr}$ old in the mentioned affected village by outbreak.

Multistage sampling was used for choosing participants. Since the outbreak affected village had 1,005 households (3,328 populations), according to the pre-estimated required sample size, the sampling interval was defined and systematically identified eligible households (Systematic
sampling). By referring to households, sampling and completing a checklist were carried out if a person was identified in the pre-defined age and sex groups (Stratified sampling).

The data collection tool was a researcher-developed information sheet used to collect epidemiological data including demographic characteristics and vaccination status. The questionnaire was completed by trained public health experts and two laboratory staff were selected for sampling. In the absence of a household, it would eventually be referred to the household twice, and if not available, the next household would be replaced.

Blood samples were taken from the eligible participation by qualified personnel. Serum samples were stored in a refrigerator $\left(2-8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and in accordance with the principles of cold chain sent to the measles reference laboratory located in the Faculty of Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences for IgG testing. Measles virus-specific IgG antibodies were detected using an enzyme immunoassay (EIA) kit (DIAsource, Belgium). The amount of optical density (OD) greater than 0.2 was considered as deemed positive, 0.1-0.2 as borderline and less than 0.1 negative, respectively ${ }^{13}$.

Chi-square test was used to determine the relationship between the gender and age of subjects and the immunity status (immune/non-immune). The correlation between the IgG level and the age of the subjects was evaluated by Pearson correlation coefficient. Logistic regression was conducted to estimate association between subject's age and gender and their measles immunity. Data were analyzed using Stata 14 software at 5\% error level.

## Results

Overall, 272 participants, were included in the study. The gender distribution was relatively equal (female to male ratio $=1.03$ ). In terms of age, $31.25 \%$ were in the $5-15 \mathrm{yr}$ age group and the mean age of the study population was 17.4 $\pm 11.89$ yr. Overall, IgG against measles was positive in $63.24 \%$ of the subjects, and there was no significant difference between gender $(P=0.236)$ and age of participants ( $P=0.113$ ) with IgG level (Table 1).

The mean of IgG in different age levels of the participants is shown in Figure 1, as shown there is no apparent increase or decrease in the mean of $\operatorname{IgG}$ according to participant's age. Compared visually, people immunized through MR vaccine campaigns have higher levels of immunity.

Table 1: Immunity status against measles in levels of gender and age group

| Variables | IgG |  | Sero-negative |  | Sero-positive |  |  |  | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Borderlin | equivocal | Deem | sitive |  |
|  | Mean | SD |  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.236 |
| Male | 0.29 | 0.33 | 29 | 21.64 | 21 | 15.67 | 84 | 62.70 |  |
| Female | 0.27 | 0.30 | 37 | 26.81 | 13 | 9.42 | 88 | 63.77 |  |
| Age group (yr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.113 |
| 0-4 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 13 | 23.21 | 5 | 8.93 | 38 | 67.86 |  |
| 5-14 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 23 | 27.06 | 12 | 14.12 | 50 | 58.82 |  |
| 15-29 | 0.28 | 0.39 | 19 | 26.39 | 14 | 19.44 | 39 | 54.17 |  |
| 30-40 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 11 | 18.64 | 3 | 5.08 | 45 | 76.27 |  |
| Total | 0.28 | 0.22 | 66 | 24.26 | 34 | 12.50 | 172 | 63.24 |  |



Correlation between the age of the participants and the amount of IgG against measles by gender. Against females, in males, there was a significant positive correlation between the age of the participants and the serum $\operatorname{IgG}$ level $(\mathrm{r}=0.26, P=$ 0.003) (Figure 2).

Results of the logistic regression have been showed in Figure 3. After adjusting gender, odds of been immune against measles was 2.6 among $0-4$ yr age groups ( $\mathrm{OR}=2.55,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $1.24,5.27 ; P=0.011$ ) and 2.5 among $30-40 \mathrm{yr}$ age group ( $\mathrm{OR}=3.54,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.25,5.21 ; P=0.010$ ) compared with participations in 15-29 years.


Figure 2: Correlation between the age of the participants and the amount of $\operatorname{IgG}$


Figure 3: Magnitude of association between measles immunity level and gender and age

## Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, we assessed the measles seroprevalence in the affected region by measles outbreak in Bahar district, Hamadan, Iran. We examined the level of measles immunity at ages $0-40 \mathrm{yr}$, separately, in order to predict the at-risk age groups as well as determine the level of measles immunity in a vaccinated population in an area of Iran as a country in the measles elimination step.

In our study, 272 populations, 1-40 yr old, were screened for the presence of anti-measles antibodies. Of them, 172 ( $63.24 \%$ ), had positive IgG against measles. Results of the cross-sectional study on 1368 children aged 30-54 months on south-east of Iran showed the $93.7 \%$ prevalence rate of antimeasles IgG ${ }^{14}$. Similar result was reached in another study in south-west of Iran on 900 populations under 25 yr which indicates $91.2 \%$ immunity against measles ${ }^{15}$. In Pakistan as a neighborhood country and endemic country for measles disease, $93.5 \%$ of children of 1 to 10 yr of age were immune against measles ${ }^{16}$. Results of the 16-year review of 68 measles seroprevalence studies showed that measles Seroprevalence was ranged from $60.8 \%$ to $95.9 \%{ }^{17}$. The difference in the age range of studies population (infants, children, adolescents and adults or combinations of them) and differences in antibody testing can partially justify these differences. Validation of vaccination coverage should be approved by serological surveys in defining the real protection of the community against measles outbreaks.

According age-seroprevalence profiles in our study are 1: With increase in men's age, immunity against measles also rises and 2. Compared to other investigated age groups, individuals in the 15-29 yr age group had the least immunity against measles. Concordance with our results, in Urmia of Iran and Turkey, respectively, anti-measles antibody titer was increased with the increase of age ${ }^{15,18}$. The reason for higher level of immunity in the higher age groups can be due to the efficacy of mass campaign for vaccination of MR. Of course, the level of measles immunity of 2-5 yr-olds prior was measured to the MR campaign, increasing age was associated with increase in antibody level against measles ${ }^{19}$. Therefore the strongest hypothesis regarding this direct correlation, most likely due to boosting effect from repeated exposure to circulating wild viruses, especially in males, resulting in unapparent or sub-clinical re-infection.

In the present study, logistic regression result showed that participations in 15-29 yr had the lowest odds of measles immunity compared other retrieved age groups. In line of our finding, the level of measles immunity in this age group were lower than other age groups ${ }^{20-23}$.

However, our study had some limitations: First, we could not get precise information regarding the measles vaccination date in adults in order to calculate the time interval between measles vaccination and the time to measure antibody levels for determine the effect of time on immunity level. Secondly, we also did not find information on some influential variables such as number of household members as well as being native or immigrant and travel history.

## Conclusion

Measles immunity in communities is not sufficient to prevent outbreaks and small epidemics, and periodically,
serological assessments carried out at community level and especially at high risk groups. WHO certificate regarding measles elimination has been issued for Iran in 2019, maintaining the status quo requires the efforts of the country's health authorities to provide the appropriate vaccine, correct distribution, complete coverage and monitoring of the serological status of the community.
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## Highlights

- The overall prevalence of measles-susceptible population was considerable in the investigated population (24.6\%).
- Measles immunity in communities is not sufficient to prevent outbreaks and small epidemics.
- Serological assessments at community level, especially at high-risk groups, is requires for monitor and assure of maintaining measles elimination status in Iran.
- There was no significant difference between the immunity status of the subjects in regards of their gender and age group.
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