

# Increased *Enterococcus faecalis* infection is associated with clinically active Crohn disease

Youlian Zhou, MD<sup>a</sup>, Huiting Chen, MS<sup>a</sup>, Hanchang He, MS<sup>b</sup>, Yanlei Du, MD PhD<sup>a</sup>, Jiaqi Hu, MS<sup>c</sup>, Yingfei Li, MD<sup>a</sup>, Yuyuan Li, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Yongjian Zhou, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Hong Wang, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Ye Chen, MD, PhD<sup>d,\*</sup>, Yuqiang Nie, MD, PhD<sup>a,\*</sup>

## Abstract

This study was performed to investigate the relationship between the abundance of pathogenic gut microbes in Chinese patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and disease severity.

We collected clinical data and fecal samples from 47 therapy-naive Chinese patients with ulcerative colitis (UC), 67 patients with Crohn disease (CD), and 48 healthy volunteers. Bacteria levels of *Fusobacterium* species (spp), enterotoxigenic *Bacteroides fragilis* (*B fragilis*), enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (*E coli*), and *Enterococcus faecalis* (*E faecalis*) were assessed by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated to test associations between bacterial content and clinical parameters.

Compared to healthy controls, the levels of both *Fusobacterium* spp and *E* faecalis were significantly increased in the feces of patients with IBD (P < 0.01). *B* fragilis levels were higher (P < 0.05) and *E* faecalis levels lower (P < 0.05) in patients with CD compared to those with UC. Increased *E* faecalis colonization in CD associated positively with disease activity (P = 0.015), Crohn disease activity index (CDAI; R = 0.3118, P = 0.0108), and fecal calprotectin (P = 0.016).

*E faecalis* and *Fusobacterium* spp are significantly enriched in patients with IBD, and increased *E faecalis* infection is associated with clinically active CD.

**Abbreviations:** CRC = colorectal cancer, CDAI = Crohn disease activity index, CD = Crohn disease, ETBF = enterotoxigenic *Bacteroides fragilis*, FC = fecal calprotectin, IBD = inflammatory bowel disease, qRT-PCR = quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction, UC = ulcerative colitis.

Keywords: Crohn disease (CD), Enterococcus faecalis, Fusobacterium spp, ulcerative colitis (UC)

# 1. Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), comprising Crohn disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), is characterized by relapsing and remitting chronic inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract. The

#### Editor: Ingrid Arijs

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

<sup>a</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, Guangzhou Digestive Disease Center, Guangzhou First People's Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, <sup>b</sup> The First People's Foshan Hospital, Chancheng District, Foshan, Guangdong, <sup>c</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Guangzhou First People's Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, <sup>d</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Gastroenterology, Department of Gastroenterology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, P. R. China.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Yuqiang Nie, Department of Gastroenterology, Guangzhou Digestive Disease Center, Guangzhou Key Laboratory of Digestive Disease, Guangzhou First People's Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, No. 1 Panfu Road, Guangzhou 510180, P. R. China (e-mail: nieyq@medmail.com.cn); Ye Chen, State Key Laboratory of Organ Failure Research, Department of Gastroenterology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou 510515, P. R. China (e-mail: chenye2001@hotmail.com).

Copyright © 2016 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2016) 95:39(e5019)

Received: 28 January 2016 / Received in final form: 7 September 2016 / Accepted: 8 September 2016

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000005019

chronic course of recurrence during IBD progression gradually leads to complications, such as stricture, fistula formation, intestinal obstruction, intestinal perforation, toxic megacolon, and even cancer. Although only 1% to 2% of all colorectal cancer (CRC) cases are colitis-associated, CRC is considered a serious complication of IBD accounting for approximately 10% to 15% of all deaths in patients with IBD.<sup>[1]</sup>

The etiology and pathogenesis of IBD are not fully understood, but many clinical and experimental observations strongly implicate intestinal bacteria as significant contributors to disease initiation and progression.<sup>[2,3]</sup> For example, antibiotics can ameliorate IBD symptoms, and genetically engineered IBDsusceptible rodents maintained under germ-free conditions are protected from IBD.<sup>[4]</sup>

Specific microorganisms directly associated with the pathogenesis of CD or UC have not been identified to date, but clinical and in vitro evidence suggests that the gut microbiome of patients with IBD differs significantly from that of healthy patients.<sup>[5–8]</sup> Ott et al<sup>[9]</sup> performed 16S ribosomal DNA (rDNA)-based singlestrand conformation polymorphism fingerprinting to reveal that mucosal inflammation in IBD was associated with a loss of normal anaerobic bacteria. On the other hand, van der Waaij et al<sup>[10]</sup> suggested that patients with active IBD or shortly after remission exhibited an increase in immunoglobulin-coated fecal anaerobic bacteria compared to healthy controls.

The ratio between different pathogenic and beneficial bacterial species is also altered in patients with IBD. *Pseudomonas* contributes to the pathogenesis of CD.<sup>[6]</sup> Another study reported that the frequency of toxigenic *Clostridium difficile* was 7% higher in IBD patients than in healthy volunteers.<sup>[11]</sup> Furthermore, an increased abundance in *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Pasteur-ellacaea*, *Veillonellaceae*, and *Fusobacteriaceae*, and decreased

Funding/support: This work was supported by the National Clinical Key Institute Foundation of the Chinese Health and Family Planning Ministry (Grant No. 2013-544), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81372633), and Guangzhou Medical and Technology Project (2014A011010041).

abundance in *Erysipelotrichales*, *Bacteroidales*, and *Clostridiales* were found to correlate strongly with disease status.<sup>[12]</sup> Despite intensive research, the mechanisms by which bacteria affect the development of IBD or the disease-specific changes in the intestinal flora have not been determined to date. Thus, if the gut microbiome is considered to be a key driver of inflammation, the dysbiosis that precedes relapse could be a major therapeutic target.

Using microbial 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) sequencing, we previously showed that Fusobacterium, Bacteroides, Enterococcus, and Streptococcus were enriched in IBD patients (YZ and YC, unpublished observations). These species or genera have also been observed to be associated with CRC and its clinicopathological features.<sup>[13–18]</sup> For example, multiple studies have demonstrated that fecal or tissue samples from CRC patients were enriched for specific bacterial pathogens, including Fusobacterium,<sup>[13-15]</sup>Enterococcus faecalis (E faecalis),<sup>[16]</sup> enterotoxigenic Bacteroides fragilis (ETBF, B fragilis),<sup>[19]</sup> entero-pathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC, E coli),<sup>[17]</sup> and Streptococcus gallolyticus.<sup>[20–22]</sup> Recently, Nakatsu et al<sup>[23]</sup> also suggested that a taxonomically defined microbial consortium is implicated in the development of CRC. Furthermore, Ericsson et al<sup>[24]</sup> identified a naturally occurring variation in gut microbes that was associated with CRC severity, and the abundance of certain taxa correlated with decreased tumor burden. Once we obtain a better understanding of the microbial dysbiosis underlying colorectal carcinogenesis, new strategies toward the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of CRC may be realized.<sup>[25]</sup>

The objective of our study was to investigate the relationship between CRC-associated bacterial pathogens and IBD activity. We collected stool samples from Chinese patients presenting with CD or UC and healthy volunteers. We employed quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) to quantify the levels of four pathogens frequently associated with CRC, including *Fusobacterium* species (spp), ETBF, enteropathogenic *E coli*, and *E faecalis*. We then performed correlation analyses to determine whether the presence of these bacterial populations was associated with disease severity.

## 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Patients and samples

Patients with CD or UC who had not received any treatment for IBD were recruited between June 2012 and July 2013 at the Department of Gastroenterology of Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, China. Healthy volunteers ranging in age from 20 to 40 years (to match the age and gender of patients with CD) were recruited from the general population around the

Southern Medical University. Exclusion criteria included patients with previous IBD-treatment, receiving antibiotics or probiotics in the last 4 weeks, age <15 years, presentation of other known chronic diseases, and pregnancy or breast feeding. Fecal samples were collected from all enrolled subjects and stored at -80 °C before further processing.

All study protocols were in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the Ethics Committee of both Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University and Guangzhou First People's Hospital affiliated with Guangzhou Medical University. Written consent for study participation was obtained from each volunteer.

#### 2.2. IBD definitions and classification criteria

Diagnoses of UC and CD were based on the internationally accepted Lennard–Jones criteria.<sup>[26]</sup> According to the Montreal classification, UC was categorized as ulcerative proctitis (E1), left-sided (distal) UC (E2), and extensive UC (pancolitis; E3), based on the extent of the disease.<sup>[27]</sup> CD was classified based on location in the ileum (L1), colon (L2), or ileocolon (L3).<sup>[27]</sup> For the evaluation of disease activity, the Mayo score<sup>[28]</sup> for UC and Crohn disease activity index (CDAI) score<sup>[29]</sup> for CD were determined (mild, S1; moderate, S2; or severe, S3).

#### 2.3. Total bacterial genomic DNA extraction

Bacterial DNA was extracted from the fecal samples using the TIANamp Stool DNA Kit (TIANGEN Biotech, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions.<sup>[30]</sup> DNA concentrations were measured with a NanoDrop 2000 Bioanalyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA). Samples were stored at -20°C before qRT-PCR assays.

## 2.4. qRT-PCR

All primer sets for the 4 bacterial groups or species targeted the 16S rRNA gene and are listed in Table 1. 16S rRNA of each bacterial strain was cloned into the pUCm-T vector (Sangon, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's procedure for use as a copy number standard. For each qRT-PCR standard, the copy number concentration was calculated based on the length of the PCR product and the average mass of a DNA base pair. The standards were stored at -80 °C, and serial dilutions ( $1-10^8$  copies/µL) were prepared prior to each qRT-PCR assay.<sup>[35]</sup> Results for each sample were expressed as the copy number of bacterial 16S rDNA per gram of feces. Assays were performed in 96-well optical plates on the Light Cycler 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) in triplicate.

01	( <del>_</del> ]	
1.1		

Primer sequences for pathogen-specific detection of 16S rRNA by qRT-PCR.

Target bacteria	Primer sequence (5/ to 3/)	Annealing temperature, °C	Product size, bp	
Fusobacterium spp <sup>[31]</sup>	F: GGATTTATTGGGCGTAAAGC R: GGCATTCCTACAAATATCTACGAA	55	162	
E faecalis <sup>[32]</sup>	F: CCCTTATTGTTAGTTGCCATCATT R: ACTCGTTGTACTTCCCATTGT	55	144	
B fragilis <sup>[33]</sup>	F: ATAGCCTTTCGAAAGRAAGAT B: CCAGTATCAACTGCAATTTTA	52	501	
E coli <sup>[34]</sup>	F: GTTAATACCTTTGCTCATTGA R: ACCAGGGTATCTAATCCTGTT	56	340	

qRT-PCR = quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction, 16S rRNA = 16S ribosomal RNA.

The 20  $\mu$ L reactions contained Light Cycler 480 SYBR Green I Master solution (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), the specific primer pairs at a final concentration of 0.5  $\mu$ M, and 5  $\mu$ L of DNA template. Amplifications were performed as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 minutes, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 10 seconds, annealing at 52 to 56 °C (primer dependent) for 10 seconds, and extension at 72 °C for 10 seconds. The specificity of each amplification was assessed by melting curve analysis. The efficiency of amplification for each primer pair was estimated from the standard curves.

#### 2.5. Fecal calprotectin (FC) assay

FC concentrations were measured with a quantitative PhiCal enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (Immundiagnostik AG, Cat. No. K6927) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Fecal specimens were diluted 1:2500. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay plates were read by a Thermo Scientific Microplate Reader (Multiskan FC, optical density at 450 nm against 620 nm). Samples containing  $\geq$ 100 µg of calprotectin per 1 g of feces were considered calprotectin-positive.

#### 2.6. Statistical analysis

Data are presented as means  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM) for quantitative variables and as frequencies for qualitative variables. Given the nonnormal distribution of the data analyzed, a nonparametric test (Kruskal–Wallis test) was used to assess changes in bacterial number between groups. Results with a false discovery rate  $\leq 0.05$  after applying multiple test correction (Bonferroni correction method) for each species were considered significant. The Spearman correlation coefficient was calculated to estimate the correlations between variables. Statistical analyses were performed with the statistical software package SPSS16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). A 2-tailed *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Patient characteristics

The clinical data for each patient cohort are summarized in Table 2. Of the 67 patients with CD (36 males and 31 females; mean age  $31\pm 2$  years), 60 presented with active disease and a mean CDAI index of  $266.55\pm 13.099$ . CD in 11 patients was complicated by fistulizing disease. Of the 47 patients with UC (26 males and 21 females; mean age  $42\pm 2.2$  years), 46 presented with active disease and a mean Mayo score of  $8.56\pm 0.396$ . Forty-eight healthy volunteers (23 males and 25 females; mean age  $32.25\pm 0.97$  years) who consumed primarily a traditional diet provided fresh fecal samples as controls. Neither age (P < 0.001) nor gender make-up (P=0.746) different across these 3 groups.

# 3.2. Fusobacterium spp and E faecalis are significantly increased in patients with IBD

We assessed the abundance of each bacterial species by measuring the expression of the 16S rRNA gene specific to each pathogen by qRT-PCR. The levels of *Fusobacterium* spp and *E faecalis* were significantly increased in the feces of patients with IBD (P < 0.01; Fig. 1). The levels of *B fragilis* were greater in patients with CD compared to those with UC (P < 0.05). Conversely, the levels of *E faecalis* were lower in patients with

Table 2	2
---------	---

Baseline clinical characteristics of patients groups.

Characteristic	CD (N=67)	UC (N=47)
CD age group (n, %)		
$\leq$ 16 years	3 (4.48%)	NA
17–40 years	49 (73.13%)	NA
>40 years	15 (22.39%)	NA
Lesion location (n, %)		
lleum (L1)/proctitis (E1)	24 (35.82%)	10 (14.93%)
Colon (L2)/left-sided (E2)	12 (17.91%)	14 (20.90%)
lleocolon (L3)/pancolitis (E3)	31 (46.27%)	21 (31.34%)
CD behavior (n, %)		
Inflammatory (B1)	32 (47.76%)	NA
Stricturing (B2)	22 (32.84%)	NA
Penetrating (B3)	13 (14.40%)	NA
CD activity (n, %)		
Remission	7 (10.45%)	NA
Active	60 (89.55%)	NA
UC activity (n, %)		
S1 (mild)	NA	9 (13.43%)
S2 (moderate)	NA	21 (31.34%)
S3 (severe)	NA	16 (55.23%)
Fistulizing CD (n, %)	11 (16.42%)	NA
CDAI score (mean $\pm$ SE)	266.55±13.099	NA
Mayo score (mean $\pm$ SE)	NA	$8.56 \pm 0.396$

CD=Crohn disease, CDA=Crohn disease activity index, NA=not available, SE=standard error, UC=ulcerative colitis.

CD than in patients with UC (P < 0.05). No significant differences in the levels of *E coli* were detected between IBD patients and healthy controls (P > 0.05).

# 3.3. Increased E faecalis in CD is associated with disease activity

*E faecalis* was the most common bacterial species of the 4 pathogens detected in all IBD cases, occurring in 95.74% (N=45/47) of patients with UC and 86.57% (N=58/67) of patients with CD (Table 3). A significant increase in *E faecalis* levels appeared



Figure 1. Fusobacterium spp and *E* faecalis are significantly increased in patients with IBD. Bacterial gene copy number ( $\log_{10}$  copies/g feces) was determined by qRT-PCR based on 16S rRNA expression. Statistical significance was determined by the Kruskal–Wallis test followed by pairwise comparisons. \*\**P* < 0.01 versus healthy controls, \*\**P* < 0.05 versus CD or UC. CD=Crohn disease, IBD=inflammatory bowel disease, qRT-PCR=quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction, 16S rRNA=16S ribosomal RNA, UC=ulcerative collitis.

#### Table 3

Frequency of IBD patients with bacterial colonization.

Bacterium	CD (n/N, %)	UC (n/N, %)	HC (n/N, %)	Р
Fusobacterium spp	38/67 (56.72%)	38/47 (80.85%)	24/48 (50%)	0.005**
B fragilis	47/63 (74.60%)	27/47 (57.45%)	24/48 (50%)	0.022*
E faecalis	58/67 (86.57%)	45/47 (95.74%)	24/48 (50%)	< 0.001**
E coli	36/61 (59.02%)	29/46 (63.04%)	24/48 (50%)	0.419

\*\* P<0.01, \*P<0.05 Pearson Chi-square tests. CD=Crohn disease, HC=healthy control, IBD=inflammatory bowel disease, UC=ulcerative colitis.



Figure 2. Increased *E faecalis* is associated with CD activity. (A) qRT-PCR results demonstrate the number of *E faecalis* gene copies (as  $\log_{10}$  copies/g feces) based on 16S rRNA expression in HCs versus CD patients in remission or presenting with active disease. Statistical significance was evaluated by the Kruskal–Wallis test followed by all pairwise comparisons. (B) Correlation between *E faecalis* levels and CDAI score. A significant positive relationship was observed (Spearman correlation coefficient R=0.3118, P=0.0108). CD=Crohn disease, CDAI=Crohn disease activity index, HC=healthy control, qRT-PCR=quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction, 16S rRNA=16S ribosomal RNA, UC=ulcerative colitis.

to be associated with clinically active disease in patients with CD (Fig. 2A). Accordingly, we found a statistically significant and positive relationship between high-level *E faecalis* colonization and CDAI score (R=0.3118, P=0.0108, Fig. 2B, Table 4). Furthermore, in both UC and CD, high-level *E faecalis* colonization was significantly associated with increased FC (P=0.002 in UC; P=0.016 in CD).

Infection with *Fusobacterium* spp was also frequently observed in patients with UC (80.85%, 38/47; Table 3). We analyzed the correlation between *Fusobacterium* spp levels and *E faecalis*, *B fragilis*, and *E coli* levels, and found marked associations between all bacterial communities (*Fusobacterium* spp vs *B fragilis*, P =0.007; *Fusobacterium* spp vs *E faecalis*, P < 0.0001; *Fusobacterium* spp vs *E coli*, P < 0.0001; Table 4).

# Table 4

Correlations between bacterial populations and clinical parameters.

	Spearman correlation coefficient (R, P)			
Parameter	Fusobacterium	B fragilis	E faecalis	E coli
CD age classification	-0.049, 0.348	0.159, 0.108	-0.196, 0.057	-0.089, 0.249
CD duration	-0.086, 0.187	-0.182, 0.032 <sup>*</sup>	0.026, 0.394	-0.086, 0.196
CD activity	0.12, 0.168	-0.05, 0.35	0.337, 0.03 <sup>*</sup>	0.072, 0.292
UC activity	0.04, 0.395	-0.174, 0.124	0.017, 0.455	0.013, 0.466
CD location	0.122, 0.165	-0.018, 0.444	0.086, 0.247	0.146, 0.133
UC location	-0.069, 0.329	007, 0.482	0.112, 0.233	-0.114, 0.231
CD behavior	-0.123, 0.162	-0.082, 0.263	0.05, 0.346	-0.026, 0.422
CD fistula	0.068, 0.296	-0.017, 0.448	-0.013, 0.459	0.029, 0.414
UC bloody stool	0.107, 0236	0.125, 0.202	0.119, 0.213	0.028, 0.427
CDAI score	-0.018, 0.444	-0.107, 0.204	0.312, 0.005***	0.034, 0.398
Mayo score	0.09, 0.277	-0.217, 0.076	0.001, 0.499	0.065, 0.338
Age	0.088, 0.179	-0.072, 0.229	-0.051, 0.297	-0.012, 0.45
PLT	0.135, 0.078	0.017, 0.429	0.108, 0.129	0.17, 0.042 <sup>*</sup>
CRP	-0.034, 0.368	-0.077, 0.226	0.006, 0.477	-0.086, 0.203
CD_FC	-0.029, 0.422	-0.12, 0.213	0.309, 0.016 <sup>*</sup>	-0.072, 0.32
UC_FC	0.22, 0.089	-0.163, 0.161	0.455, 0.002**	0.23, 0.083
B fragilis	0.198, 0.007***		0.095, 0.123	0.205, 0.006**
E faecalis	0.338, 0.000**	0.095, 0.123		0.317, 0.000**
E coli	0.393, 0.000**	0.205, 0.006**	0.317, 0.000**	

\*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01. CD=Crohn disease, CDAI=Crohn disease activity index, CRP=C-reactive protein, FC=fecal calprotectin, PLT=platelet, UC=ulcerative colitis.

#### 4. Discussion

IBD is a multifaceted and heterogeneous disease, and increasing evidence supports a significant role for the gut microbiome in promoting IBD pathogenesis. Efforts have been made to explore the possible pathogens involved in IBD development, but it is still unclear which specific pathogen or combination of agents are responsible for triggering or enhancing IBD. In this study, we quantified the levels of pathogenic microbes known to be associated with CRC in a Chinese patient cohort to identify a link between bacterial composition and IBD severity.

New pathogens are continuously being discovered.<sup>[36]</sup> One of the most robust associations between gut bacterial content and CRC has been demonstrated for Fusobacterium spp, a heterogeneous oral pathogen that is also a common resident of the human gut mucosa.<sup>[37]</sup> The precise mechanisms by which Fusobacterium spp promotes CRC are not fully understood; however, many studies have provided insight into the role of this pathogen in CRC. As a mucosal adherent bacterium, Fusobacterium spp is located proximal to host cells, and augments CRC risk primarily through direct interaction with the host.<sup>[38-41]</sup> Strauss et al<sup>[42]</sup> found that the invasive potential of gut mucosaderived Fusobacterium nucleatum positively correlated with IBD status of the host. In our study, we also found that Fusobacterium spp levels were significantly increased in the feces of patients with IBD, especially in patients with UC. These findings in Chinese patients are consistent with important reports from Strauss et al, suggesting that the association between Fusobacterium spp infection and IBD development is unaffected by geographic and ethnic factors. Collectively, these studies highlight the importance of reducing the risk of CRC in IBD patients with high-level *Fusobacterium* spp colonization.

*E faecalis* is a common opportunistic pathogen found in the alimentary tract of both humans and animals that can trigger IBD.<sup>[43]</sup> Our study reveals that increased *E faecalis* is a prominent feature in patients with IBD, especially CD. A significant increase in *E faecalis* levels appeared to be associated with clinically active disease in patients with CD. Accordingly, we found that highlevel *E faecalis* colonization had a significant, positive relationship with CDAI score as well as with FC levels. Elevated FC is a predictor of relapse and clinically active disease in patients with IBD.<sup>[44,45]</sup> To our knowledge, this report is the 1st to demonstrate that *E faecalis* infection is positively associated with clinically active CD. However, studies with more participants will be required to further substantiate these findings.

Swidsinski et al<sup>[46]</sup> discovered that *B fragilis* biofilm is the main feature of IBD. Some studies have indicated that ETBF could be at the origin of the disease, but its presence is at least associated with active disease and relapse.<sup>[47,48]</sup> This association may potentially be attributed to the ability of fragilysin to diminish epithelial barrier function, increase bacterial internalization, and enhance antibody responses.<sup>[49]</sup> The barrier function of some IBD patients is abnormal, and organisms penetrating the lamina propria can initiate an immunologic overreaction and disease onset.<sup>[50,51]</sup> Therefore, colonization by an organism such as ETBF, which can further reduce barrier function, may very well be linked to disease relapse. Moreover, experiments in mice using a dextran sulfateinduced model of colitis showed that fragilysin induced a greater degree of inflammation and more severe disease in the presence of ETBF compared to nonenterotoxigenic B fragilis (NTBF).<sup>[52]</sup> In our patient cohort, we found that B fragilis was more enriched in patients with CD than in patients with UC, but its presence was not associated with active disease or relapse. This may be explained by the interindividual variation in *B fragilis* levels observed in our population, in which the pathogen either could not be detected at all in a few patients or was detected at high levels ( $10^7$  copies/g feces) in others. Therefore, this finding also supports the notion that the composition of the human gut microbiome is influenced by geographic and ethnic factors.<sup>[53,54]</sup>

It has been previously shown that mucosa-associated  $E \ coli$  is increased in patients with  $CD^{[36,55-59]}$  and CRC,<sup>[57,60]</sup> and to a lesser extent in those with UC.<sup>[31,32,61]</sup> Prorok-Hamon et al<sup>[33]</sup> found that IBD and CRC share in common a colonic mucosal  $E \ coli$  that expresses genes relevant to pathogenic processes, including M-cell translocation, angiogenesis, and genotoxicity. In our study, we did not detect significant differences in the total number of adherent  $E \ coli$  between IBD patients and controls or between CD and UC. This inconsistency may be due in part to the method by which  $E \ coli$  was quantified; the aforementioned studies were performed by using intestinal biopsies, which yield higher numbers of intestinal organisms that associate with the mucosal surface and harbor properties that influence the host.

In our further analysis of different combinations of bacterial colonization/infection, we found that the combination of *Fusobacterium* spp and *E faecalis* was prominent (80.85%) in UC whereas the combination of *B fragilis* and *E faecalis* was prominent (65.08%) in CD. These findings support the notion that there may not be a particular single bacterium responsible for the progression of diseases such as IBD and CRC. On the contrary, it could be that disease progression is determined by the interaction of a variety of coexisting bacteria. Indeed, studies of gut microbiota with the 16S rRNA gene sequencing method have suggested that IBD is associated with reduced biodiversity, decreased abundance of *Gammaproteobacteria*.<sup>[34,62]</sup>

There are some limitations to our study. First, the implementation of an observational study design cannot untangle the causal relationship between the gut microbiome and IBD. Samples were collected from patients already diagnosed with IBD and therefore, whether infection with Fusobacterium spp or E faecalis causes IBD or represents a consequence of disease must be investigated in future studies. If a causative link is proven, antibiotics or vaccines may be used as potential therapies to treat or prevent IBD. Second, the sample size of the healthy control group was rather small. In addition, due to the lack of follow-up data, we could not evaluate any associations between these bacteria and a longer time-to-relapse. Furthermore, it is plausible that the presence of the pathogens examined in fecal samples may not accurately reflect the microbiome dynamics in the actual gut. Indeed, adherent bacteria may exert greater effects on gene expression in colon mucosal cells than transient bacteria that are flushed in fecal samples. Additional studies are needed to determine the mechanisms by which E faecalis, Fusobacterium spp, and B fragilis contribute to pathogenesis, infiltrate the gastrointestinal tract during chronic inflammation, and confer resistance to therapy. Finally, larger studies encompassing stool and colon tissue samples across different stages of IBD development are required to identify and establish strong bacterial markers of IBD.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank National Clinical Key Institute Foundation of the Chinese Health and Family Planning Ministry (Grant No. 2013-544), the National Natural Science Foundation of China

#### References

- Munkholm P. Review article: the incidence and prevalence of colorectal cancer in inflammatory bowel disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2003;18 (Suppl 2):1–5.
- [2] Jostins L, Ripke S, Weersma RK, et al. Host-microbe interactions have shaped the genetic architecture of inflammatory bowel disease. Nature 2012;491:119–24.
- [3] Macdonald TT, Monteleone G. Immunity, inflammation, and allergy in the gut. Science 2005;307:1920–5.
- [4] Waidmann M, Allemand Y, Lehmann J, et al. Microflora reactive IL-10 producing regulatory T cells are present in the colon of IL-2 deficient mice but lack efficacious inhibition of IFN-gamma and TNF-alpha production. Gut 2002;50:170–9.
- [5] Mai V. Dietary modification of the intestinal microbiota. Nutr Rev 2004;62(6 Pt 1):235–42.
- [6] Wei B, Huang T, Dalwadi H, et al. Pseudomonas fluorescens encodes the Crohn's disease-associated I2 sequence and T-cell superantigen. Infect Immun 2002;70:6567–75.
- [7] Landers CJ, Cohavy O, Misra R, et al. Selected loss of tolerance evidenced by Crohn's disease-associated immune responses to auto- and microbial antigens. Gastroenterology 2002;123:689–99.
- [8] Manichanh C, Rigottier-Gois L, Bonnaud E, et al. Reduced diversity of faecal microbiota in Crohn's disease revealed by a metagenomic approach. Gut 2006;55:205–11.
- [9] Ott SJ, Musfeldt M, Wenderoth DF, et al. Reduction in diversity of the colonic mucosa associated bacterial microflora in patients with active inflammatory bowel disease. Gut 2004;53:685–93.
- [10] van der Waaij LA, Kroese FG, Visser A, et al. Immunoglobulin coating of faecal bacteria in inflammatory bowel disease. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2004;16:669–74.
- [11] Clayton EM, Rea MC, Shanahan F, et al. The vexed relationship between Clostridium difficile and inflammatory bowel disease: an assessment of carriage in an outpatient setting among patients in remission. Am J Gastroenterol 2009;104:1162–9.
- [12] Gevers D, Kugathasan S, Denson LA, et al. The treatment-naive microbiome in new-onset Crohn's disease. Cell Host Microbe 2014;15:382–92.
- [13] Castellarin M, Warren RL, Freeman JD, et al. Fusobacterium nucleatum infection is prevalent in human colorectal carcinoma. Genome Res 2012;22:299–306.
- [14] McCoy AN, Araujo-Perez F, Azcarate-Peril A, et al. Fusobacterium is associated with colorectal adenomas. PloS One 2013;8:e53653.
- [15] Kostic AD, Gevers D, Pedamallu CS, et al. Genomic analysis identifies association of Fusobacterium with colorectal carcinoma. Genome Res 2012;22:292–8.
- [16] Balamurugan R, Rajendiran E, George S, et al. Real-time polymerase chain reaction quantification of specific butyrate-producing bacteria, Desulfovibrio and Enterococcus faecalis in the feces of patients with colorectal cancer. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2008;23(8 Pt 1): 1298–303.
- [17] Umar S, Wang Y, Morris AP, et al. Dual alterations in casein kinase Iepsilon and GSK-3beta modulate beta-catenin stability in hyperproliferating colonic epithelia. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2007;292:G599–607.
- [18] Viljoen KS, Dakshinamurthy A, Goldberg P, et al. Quantitative profiling of colorectal cancer-associated bacteria reveals associations between fusobacterium spp., enterotoxigenic Bacteroides fragilis (ETBF) and clinicopathological features of colorectal cancer. PloS One 2015;10: e0119462.
- [19] Toprak NU, Yagci A, Gulluoglu BM, et al. A possible role of Bacteroides fragilis enterotoxin in the aetiology of colorectal cancer. Clin Microbiol Infect 2006;12:782–6.
- [20] Gupta A, Madani R, Mukhtar H. Streptococcus bovis endocarditis, a silent sign for colonic tumour. Colorectal Dis 2010;12:164–71.
- [21] Abdulamir AS, Hafidh RR, Bakar FA. Molecular detection, quantification, and isolation of Streptococcus gallolyticus bacteria colonizing colorectal tumors: inflammation-driven potential of carcinogenesis via IL-1, COX-2, and IL-8. Mol Cancer 2010;9:249.
- [22] Boleij A, van Gelder MM, Swinkels DW, et al. Clinical Importance of Streptococcus gallolyticus infection among colorectal cancer patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 53:870–8.

- [23] Nakatsu G, Li X, Zhou H, et al. Gut mucosal microbiome across stages of colorectal carcinogenesis. Nat Commun 2015;6:8727.
- [24] Ericsson AC, Akter S, Hanson MM, et al. Differential susceptibility to colorectal cancer due to naturally occurring gut microbiota. Oncotarget 2015;6:33689–704.
- [25] Borges-Canha M, Portela-Cidade JP, Dinis-Ribeiro M, et al. Role of colonic microbiota in colorectal carcinogenesis: a systematic review. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2015;107:659–71.
- [26] Lennard-Jones JE. Classification of inflammatory bowel disease. Scan J Gastroenterol Suppl 1989;170:2–6. discussion 16-9.
- [27] Satsangi J, Silverberg MS, Vermeire S, et al. The Montreal classification of inflammatory bowel disease: controversies, consensus, and implications. Gut 2006;55:749–53.
- [28] Rutgeerts P, Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, et al. Infliximab for induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. N Engl J Med 2005;353: 2462–76.
- [29] Van Assche G, Dignass A, Panes J, et al. The second European evidencebased Consensus on the diagnosis and management of Crohn's disease: definitions and diagnosis. J Crohns Colitis 2010;4:7–27.
- [30] Peng X, Yu KQ, Deng GH, et al. Comparison of direct boiling method with commercial kits for extracting fecal microbiome DNA by Illumina sequencing of 16S rRNA tags. J Microbiol Methods 2013;95:455–62.
- [31] Kotlowski R, Bernstein CN, Sepehri S, et al. High prevalence of Escherichia coli belonging to the B2+D phylogenetic group in inflammatory bowel disease. Gut 2007;56:669–75.
- [32] Sepehri S, Kotlowski R, Bernstein CN, et al. Microbial diversity of inflamed and noninflamed gut biopsy tissues in inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2007;13:675–83.
- [33] Prorok-Hamon M, Friswell MK, Alswied A, et al. Colonic mucosaassociated diffusely adherent afaC+ Escherichia coli expressing lpfA and pks are increased in inflammatory bowel disease and colon cancer. Gut 2014;63:761–70.
- [34] Frank DN, Robertson CE, Hamm CM, et al. Disease phenotype and genotype are associated with shifts in intestinal-associated microbiota in inflammatory bowel diseases. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2011;17:179–84.
- [35] Nemoto H, Kataoka K, Ishikawa H, et al. Reduced diversity and imbalance of fecal microbiota in patients with ulcerative colitis. Dig Dis Sci 2012;57:2955–64.
- [36] Darfeuille-Michaud A, Neut C, Barnich N, et al. Presence of adherent Escherichia coli strains in ileal mucosa of patients with Crohn's disease. Gastroenterology 1998;115:1405–13.
- [37] Han YW. Fusobacterium nucleatum: a commensal-turned pathogen. Curr Opin Microbiol 2015;23:141–7.
- [38] Chen W, Liu F, Ling Z, et al. Human intestinal lumen and mucosaassociated microbiota in patients with colorectal cancer. PloS One 2012;7:e39743.
- [39] Sonnenburg JL, Angenent LT, Gordon JI. Getting a grip on things: how do communities of bacterial symbionts become established in our intestine? Nat Immunol 2004;5:569–73.
- [40] Oswald IP. Role of intestinal epithelial cells in the innate immune defence of the pig intestine. Vet Res 2006;37:359–68.
- [41] Strauss J, White A, Ambrose C, et al. Phenotypic and genotypic analyses of clinical Fusobacterium nucleatum and Fusobacterium periodonticum isolates from the human gut. Anaerobe 2008;14:301–9.
- [42] Strauss J, Kaplan GG, Beck PL, et al. Invasive potential of gut mucosaderived Fusobacterium nucleatum positively correlates with IBD status of the host. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2011;17:1971–8.
- [43] Balish E, Warner T. Enterococcus faecalis induces inflammatory bowel disease in interleukin-10 knockout mice. Am J Pathol 2002;160:2253–7.
- [44] Mao R, Xiao YL, Gao X, et al. Fecal calprotectin in predicting relapse of inflammatory bowel diseases: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2012;18:1894–9.
- [45] Costa F, Mumolo MG, Ceccarelli L, et al. Calprotectin is a stronger predictive marker of relapse in ulcerative colitis than in Crohn's disease. Gut 2005;54:364–8.
- [46] Swidsinski A, Weber J, Loening-Baucke V, et al. Spatial organization and composition of the mucosal flora in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:3380–9.
- [47] Prindiville TP, Sheikh RA, Cohen SH, et al. Bacteroides fragilis enterotoxin gene sequences in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Emerg Infect Dis 2000;6:171–4.
- [48] Basset C, Holton J, Bazeos A, et al. Are Helicobacter species and enterotoxigenic Bacteroides fragilis involved in inflammatory bowel disease? Digest Dis Sci 2004;49:1425–32.
- [49] Vines RR, Perdue SS, Moncrief JS, et al. Fragilysin, the enterotoxin from Bacteroides fragilis, enhances the serum antibody response to antigen coadministered by the intranasal route. Vaccine 2000;19:655–60.

- [50] Reuter BK, Pizarro TT. Mechanisms of tight junction dysregulation in the SAMP1/YitFc model of Crohn's disease-like ileitis. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2009;1165:301–7.
- [51] Zeissig S, Burgel N, Gunzel D, et al. Changes in expression and distribution of claudin 2, 5 and 8 lead to discontinuous tight junctions and barrier dysfunction in active Crohn's disease. Gut 2007; 56:61–72.
- [52] Holton J. Enterotoxigenic Bacteroides fragilis. Curr Infect Dis Rep 2008;10:99–104.
- [53] Suzuki TA, Worobey M. Geographical variation of human gut microbial composition. Biol Lett 2014;10:20131037.
- [54] Ishikawa E, Matsuki T, Kubota H, et al. Ethnic diversity of gut microbiota: species characterization of Bacteroides fragilis group and genus Bifidobacterium in healthy Belgian adults, and comparison with data from Japanese subjects. J Biosci Bioeng 2013; 116:265–70.
- [55] Martinez-Medina M, Aldeguer X, Gonzalez-Huix F, et al. Abnormal microbiota composition in the ileocolonic mucosa of Crohn's disease patients as revealed by polymerase chain reaction-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2006;12:1136–45.

- [56] Martinez-Medina M, Aldeguer X, Lopez-Siles M, et al. Molecular diversity of Escherichia coli in the human gut: new ecological evidence supporting the role of adherent-invasive E. coli (AIEC) in Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2009;15:872–82.
- [57] Martin HM, Campbell BJ, Hart CA, et al. Enhanced Escherichia coli adherence and invasion in Crohn's disease and colon cancer. Gastroenterology 2004;127:80–93.
- [58] Mylonaki M, Rayment NB, Rampton DS, et al. Molecular characterization of rectal mucosa-associated bacterial flora in inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2005;11:481–7.
- [59] Willing B, Halfvarson J, Dicksved J, et al. Twin studies reveal specific imbalances in the mucosa-associated microbiota of patients with ileal Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2009;15:653–60.
- [60] Swidsinski A, Khilkin M, Kerjaschki D, et al. Association between intraepithelial Escherichia coli and colorectal cancer. Gastroenterology 1998;115:281–6.
- [61] Swidsinski A, Ladhoff A, Pernthaler A, et al. Mucosal flora in inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology 2002;122:44–54.
- [62] Morgan XC, Tickle TL, Sokol H, et al. Dysfunction of the intestinal microbiome in inflammatory bowel disease and treatment. Genome Biol 2012;13:R79.